RSC Advances

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/advances

Page 1 of 36 **RSC Advances**

E–mail: hnliuyunguo@163.com (Y.G. Liu).

∗ Corresponding author: Tel.: +86 731 88830923; Fax.: +86 731 88710171

E–mail: liushaobo23@aliyun.com (S.B. Liu).

Abstract

Hydrogen peroxide modified biochar (mBC) derived from *Alternanthera philoxeroides* (AP) biomass was used to investigate the adsorption properties of metformin hydrochloride (MF). Additionally, the effects of pH and Cu (II) on MF adsorption were also evaluated. Adsorption kinetics and isotherms indicated that the adsorption process of MF on mBC was fitted better to pseudo-second-order model and Freundlich model, respectively. The adsorption thermodynamic analysis revealed that the adsorption processes of MF were spontaneous and endothermic. In this study, there was a great influence of pH on MF adsorption capacity related to the various species of MF (cationic, zwitterionic and anionic) at different pH. Furthermore, it could be found that the presence of Cu (II) facilitated MF adsorption in the range of pH 3.0-7.0, while the 28 adsorption capacity of MF decreased with the increase of Cu (II) concentration. At pH < 29 3 or $pH > 7$, the presence of Cu (II) had only minor effects on MF adsorption.

- Keywords: Biochar; Hydrogen peroxide; Metformin hydrochloride; pH; Cu (II)
-

1. Introduction

Diabetes Mellitus is threatening more and more people's health, which is a group of metabolic diseases characterized by hyperglycemia resulting from defects in insulin 41 secretion, insulin action, or both.¹ Metformin is an antihyperglycemic agent and it can 42 lower the blood glucose concentration without causing hypoglycemia.² However, metformin has potential harms to humans and animals in natural environment. Metformin could cause adverse effects on gastrointestinal and lactic acidosis, which is 45 rare but potentially fatal.² The widely prescribed anti-diabetic metformin is among the most abundant of pharmaceuticals found in effluent and is structurally dissimilar from 47 hormones.³ It was reported that a certain concentration of metformin in wastewater effluent could cause various damages to fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*), including the development of intersex gonads in males, male fish size reduction, and fecundity reduction. Furthermore, juvenile fathead minnows were more susceptible to the estrogenic effects of metformin during a 7 day exposure than older and sexually 52 mature male fathead minnows.⁴ Besides, some studies demonstrated that the exposure of adult fathead minnows (including exposure during the critical period of male sexual 54 development) to metformin can result in severe endocrine impacts such as intersex.^{5,6} Therefore, metformin acts as an endocrine disruptor at environmentally relevant concentrations.

Recent studies of watersheds downstream of wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) showed that anti-diabetic drug metformin is one of the most abundant pharmaceuticals,

RSC Advances Page 4 of 36

67 which is the by-product of biomass pyrolysis under a negligible or limited supply of 68 oxygen.^{11,12} Various types of biomass including wood waste, crop residues, and dairy 69 manure have been used to produce biochars.^{13,14} For instance, biochars derived from zo soybean stover and peanut shell had strong affinities for trichloroethylene adsorption.¹⁵ 71 Similarly, biochar made from agricultural biomass waste exhibited a high adsorption 72 capacity on organic pollutants.¹⁶ However, the studies of antidiabetic drugs adsorption 73 by biochar was very scarce, especially metformin hydrochloride.

74 As one of the high-level nutrient adapted hydrophytes, *Alternanthera philoxeroides* (AP) has been widely used in the ecological restoration of eutrophic lakes.¹⁷ However, 76 the large amount of AP brings additional problems which need to be handled.¹⁸ 77 Currently, AP waste is often disposed by natural decomposition, which could cause zecondary environmental problems by releasing pathogens and methane.¹⁸ Therefore, 79 using AP as a source material for biochar production may be eco-friendly and 80 cost-effective. In addition, the increases of oxygen-containing surface functional groups 81 and surface area of biochar could enhance the adsorption capacity of pollutants.¹⁵ Thus, 82 we applied that H_2O_2 , as a strong oxidant to improve the adsorption performance of 83 biochar.

84 Recently, the medicinal uses and applications of metals and metal complexes are of 85 increasing clinical and commercial importance. The metal-drug complexes can be used 86 to change human abdominal environment. Copper complex is one of the important 87 metal complexes, which could cause environmental pollution.^{19,20} Moreover, metallic elements play a crucial role in living systems.²¹ Metals are easily losing electrons from 89 the elemental or metallic state to form positively charged ions which tend to be soluble 90 in biological fluids. Metal ions are electron deficient and most biological molecules are 91 electron rich. Therefore, these opposing charges attract each other, which may lead to 92 the general tendency of metal ions to bind to and interact with biological molecules.²⁰ 93 Several studies have indicated that the formation of complexes between metallic ions 94 and organic pollutants would affect the adsorption efficiency of adsorbents. For 95 example, the presence of metallic ions $(Ca^{2+}$, Mg²⁺ and Na⁺) greatly influenced the 96 sorption of tetracyclines (TC) in soils or mineral constituents, due to the formation of 97 complexes between metallic ions and $TC²²$ Similarly, the coexistence of TC and Cu (II) 98 could enhance the adsorption of TC on montmorillonite.²³ Furthermore, the complexes 99 of TC and Cu (II) existed as various species $\text{CuH}_2\text{L}^{2+}$, CuHL⁺, and CuL) at different 100 solution pH, which had higher adsorption coefficients compared with TC $(H_3L^+, H_2L,$

RSC Advances Page 6 of 36

101 and HL⁻).²⁴ Thus, heavy metal in the natural environment could affect the transport and fate of organic pollutants. In addition, the complexation reaction between organic pollutant and heavy metal at various solution pH values results in different specific species. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the effects of pH and the presence of heavy metal on the adsorption of pollutants on natural sorbents.

106 In this study, AP was used to prepare biochar via slow pyrolysis at 300 \degree C, and the 107 resulted biochar was modified by H_2O_2 . The modified biochar was applied to determine 108 the adsorption behavior of MF. To our knowledge, few studies have focused on the 109 adsorption behavior of MF on biochar, and the effects of pH and Cu (II) on MF 110 adsorption onto biochar remain unknown. Hence, the specific objectives of this study 111 were to (1) compare physical and chemical properties of H_2O_2 -modified and unmodified 112 biochar, and the adsorption capacity of MF on H_2O_2 -modified and unmodified biochar; 113 (2) examine isotherms, kinetics and thermodynamic properties of MF sorption onto 114 mBC; (3) explore the adsorption of MF on mBC as affected by pH and Cu (II).

115 **2. Materials and methods**

116 **2.1 Biochar preparation**

117 The AP used for biochar production was collected from Changsha, Hunan province, 118 China. AP was washed with ultrapure water to remove the attached dust, and then dried 119 at 80 \degree C for 24 h. Then, the dried biomass were pyrolyzed in a lab-scale tubular reactor 120 (SK-G08123K, China) at 300 °C and 450 °C, respectively at a heating rate of 5 °C

Page 7 of 36 RSC Advances

 \min^{-1} , in N₂ environment for 2 h. The biochar samples (BC) were then cooled at room

temperature. BC were ground through a 0.15 mm sieve for this study. The final BC sample was stored for later experiments. To make the mBC, about 5 g of the final BC sample was placed into 50 mL 15% H_2O_2 solution. Then the mixture was ultrasonic dispersion for 2 h. The suspension was 126 vibrated in oscillator for 5 h at 25 $^{\circ}$ C, and then rinsed with ultrapure water and dried at 127 80 °C. The resulted mBC was stored for later experiments. **2.2 Biochar characterization** The elemental composition on the sample surface was determined using an ESCALAB 250Xi X-ray Photoelectron Spectrometer (XPS) (Thermo Fisher, USA). Carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen contents of the samples were determined using a CHN Elemental Analyzer (Elementar Vario El Cube, Germany). Brunauer, Emmett and Teller (BET) surface area was determined using a gas sorption analyzer (Quantachrome Quadrasorb 134 SI, USA) and the total pore volume was examined from the N_2 adsorption-desorption isotherms. Fourier transform infra-red spectrophotometer (FTIR) (Nicolet Magna-IR 750, USA) was used to measure the functional groups of sample's surface. The pH of 137 the point of zero charge (pH_{pzc}) was measured using Electroacoustic Spectrometer (ZEN3600 Zetasizer, UK) by adding 0.1 g mBC to solution with pH ranging from 1.0 to

12.0.

2.3 Batch adsorption

RSC Advances Page 8 of 36

141 Ultrapure water with a resistivity of 18.25 MΩ cm⁻¹ was used in this study. The 142 concentrations of MF (0.05–3.2 mmol L^{-1}) were prepared by dissolving analytic grade 143 metformin hydrochloride into ultrapure water.

144 The impact of pH on mBC adsorption was examined by adjusting the initial MF solutions $(0.5 \text{ mmol L}^{-1})$ ranging from 1.0 to 12.0 with 1 M NaOH or 1 M HCl. The influence of Cu on mBC adsorption was conducted by adding Cu^{2+} (0.1, 0.5, and 1 nmol L^{-1}) into MF solutions. Adsorption kinetic was conducted by adding 0.1 g mBC 148 into 50 mL of the 0.5 mmol L^{-1} solutions. These suspensions were shaken at 160 rpm at 149 25 °C for the designated time periods. Experiments for adsorption isotherms were 150 conducted at the initial concentrations of MF (0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 0.8, 1.6, 2.4 and 3.6 151 mmol L^{-1} and the shaking period of 24 h. Thermodynamic data were obtained at the 152 temperature of 25, 35 and 45 \degree C based on the experiments of adsorption isotherms. The 153 influence of background ionic strength on MF adsorption was determined at appropriate 154 pH with the addition of different concentrations of NaCl and CaCl₂ $(0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8)$ and 1.0 mmol L^{-1}). The mBC with 0.1 g was added into each solution, and suspensions 156 were shaken at 160 rpm under 25° C for 24 h.

157 **2.4 Desorption experiment**

158 The regeneration study was conducted by using hydrochloric acid as stripping agent. The mBC which has been used to adsorb MF $(2.4 \text{ mmol L}^{-1})$ was added into 50 mL of 160 0.5 mol L⁻¹ HCl solution, shaken at 160 rpm under 25 °C for 10 h. Then the adsorbent 161 was washed to neutral by deionized water and collected to reuse.

162 **2.5 MF detection**

163 The concentration of solute in supernatant solution was measured by high performance 164 liquid chromatography (Agilent 1200, USA) equipped with C18 column with column 165 temperature at 25 °C. The mobile phase consisted of acetonitrile $(65%)$ and 10 mM 166 monopotassium phosphate (35%) at a flow rate of 1.0 mL min⁻¹. The pH of phosphate 167 buffer was adjusted to 5.75 with o-phosphoric acid which was filtered through 0.2 µm 168 filter. MF was analyzed by a UV detector at 233 nm. The calibration curve was linear in the concentration range of $0.01-0.1$ mmol L^{-1} .

170 **3. Results and discussion**

171 **3.1 Characteristics of biochar**

172 The BET characteristics of BC and mBC were presented in Table 1. The BET surface 173 area of BC increased (from 42.75 to 85.68 m² g^{-1}) with the increase of pyrolysis 174 temperature (from 300 to 450° C). Moreover, the BET surface area of mBC produced at 175 450 °C was 178.37 m² g⁻¹, which was higher than that of BC (85.68 m² g⁻¹). These 176 results indicated that the surface area of BC increased obviously by increasing pyrolysis 177 temperature and H_2O_2 modification.

178 As shown in Table 2, the CHN analysis indicated similar hydrogen and nitrogen 179 contents of BC and mBC. However, the carbon content of mBC (43.47%) was lower

RSC Advances **Page 10 of 36**

196 The FTIR spectra of BC and mBC produced at 300 °C are shown in Fig. S1. 197 Characteristic peak at about 3420 cm⁻¹ was related to the stretching vibration of −OH groups. The band at 3155.2 cm^{-1} may be mainly due to the stretching vibration of −NH 199 containing in Cu (II)-(MF)₂.²⁰ The peak at 2927.5 cm⁻¹ was connected with asymmetrical stretching vibration of methylene groups and the peak at 2356.6 cm^{-1}

Page 11 of 36 RSC Advances

201 could correspond to C≡C in-line deformation vibration or carbon dioxide.²⁶ The band 202 near at 1623.8 cm^{-1} was assigned to the stretching vibration of –OH deformation of 203 water and C=O stretching vibrations of ester.²⁷ The peak near at 1430 cm⁻¹ may be 204 attributed to the $-\text{COO}-$ groups and the band near at 780 cm⁻¹ was related to carboxylate (\sim COO $-$) deviational vibration and symmetric stretching.²⁸

206 The FTIR spectra of BC (Fig. S1a) and mBC (Fig. S1b) revealed that the band at 207 1430.9 cm⁻¹ shifted to the higher wavenumbers (1434.8 cm⁻¹) after modification, which 208 demonstrated that H_2O_2 treatment could influence the oxygen-containing functional 209 groups of biochar surface.^{29,30} Compared to the FTIR spectra of mBC (Fig. S1b), the 210 peak of mBC after MF adsorption (Fig. S1c) at 1434.8 cm⁻¹ and 782.9 cm⁻¹ were shifted to 1438.7 cm⁻¹ and 779.1 cm⁻¹, respectively, which may indicate that $-COO-$ groups on 212 mBC was contributed to the MF adsorption. In addition, the FTIR spectra of mBC after 213 MF adsorption in the presence of Cu (II) (Fig. S1d) showed that those peaks, such as 214 –CO, –OH, and –COO−, had changes compared to other spectrums. The possible 215 explanation may be that the existence of Cu (II) could influence the adsorption process 216 of MF on mBC. Moreover, the appearance of the new band at 3155.2 cm^{-1} may indicate 217 that a part of MF was adsorbed at the form of Cu (II)-(MF), by mBC.²⁰

218 **3.2 Kinetic studies**

219 Pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order, and intra-particle diffusion were used to 220 simulate the kinetic of MF sorption on mBC made at 300 and 450 $^{\circ}$ C. Governing 221 equations for these models can be written as: $31,32$

$$
222 \quad \text{First-order:} \quad q_t = q_e (1 - e^{-k_1 t}) \tag{1}
$$

$$
223 \quad \text{Second-order:} \quad q_t = \frac{k_2 q_e^2 t}{1 + k_2 q_e t} \tag{2}
$$

224 Intra-particle diffusion:
$$
q_t = k_{pi}t^{1/2} + C
$$
 (3)

225 Where q_t (µmol g⁻¹) and q_e (µmol g⁻¹) are the amount of sorbate removed at time *t* and at equilibrium, respectively, and k_1 (min) and k_2 (g μmol⁻¹ min⁻¹) are the first-order and second order sorption rate constants, respectively. The k_{pi} (μ mol g^{-1} min^{-1/2}) is the 228 diffusion rate constant of intra-particle. *C* is the intercept related to the thickness of the 229 boundary layer.

230 The relative parameters calculated from pseudo-first-order model, 231 pseudo-second-order model and intra-particle diffusion model are listed in Table 3. The 232 correlation coefficient (R^2) of the pseudo-second-order model (0.98 and 0.96) was 233 higher than those of the pseudo-first-order model (0.94 and 0.89), indicating the 234 experimental data fitted better to pseudo-second-order model. The values of *q*^e calculated from pseudo-second-order model (120.81 and 145.65 µmol g^{-1}) were more 236 fitted in the experimental value (122 and 153 µmol g^{-1}). The pseudo-second-order 237 model supposes that the sorption rate of MF is controlled by chemisorption involving 238 valence forces through the sharing or exchange of electrons between mBC surface and 239 MF.³³ Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 3, MF adsorption on mBC at the beginning 8 h was rapidly and the adsorption capacities were 104 and 132 μ mol g⁻¹ for mBC pyrolyzed 241 under 300 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$ and 450 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$, respectively.

Page 13 of 36 RSC Advances

251 **3.3 Adsorption isotherms**

252 Langmuir, Freundlich and Temkin adsorption models were used to fit the MF adsorption 253 isotherm data.³⁷ Governing equations for these models can be written as:

Langmuir model: L e L e eK C q K C q + = 1 max 254 (4)

$$
255 \quad \text{Freundlich model:} \quad q_e = K_F C_e^{1/n} \tag{5}
$$

256 Temkin model:
$$
q_e = \frac{RT}{br} \ln Ar + \frac{RT}{br} \ln C_e
$$
 (6)

257 where K_L and K_F are the Langmuir bonding term related to interaction energies (L 258 μ mol⁻¹) and the Freundlich affinity coefficient ((μmol g⁻¹) (μmol L⁻¹)⁻ⁿ), respectively. 259 *A*_T is the Tempkin isotherm equilibrium binding constant (L g^{-1}), and *b*_T is Tempkin 260 isotherm constant. *R* is the universal gas constant (8.314 J mol^{−1} K⁻¹), and *T* is absolute temperature (K). The C_e is the equilibrium concentration (µmol L^{-1}), q_e is the amount of

RSC Advances Page 14 of 36

262 MF adsorbed at equilibrium (μmol g⁻¹), q_{max} is the maximum adsorption capacity of the solute (umol g^{-1}). The *n* is the Freundlich linearity constant related to the surface site heterogeneity. The adsorption represents favorable adsorption condition if *n* is greater 265 than 1 and less than 10 ($1 < n < 10$). The Langmuir model assumes monolayer adsorption onto a homogeneous surface with no interactions between the adsorbed molecules. The Freundlich model is an empirical equation commonly used for heterogeneous surfaces. The Temkin model contains a factor that explicitly taking into account of adsorbent-adsorbate interactions and its derivation is characterized by a uniform distribution of binding energies (up to some maximum binding energy). The MF adsorption isotherms on mBC at three temperatures are shown in Fig. 4. 272 As shown in Table 4, the correlation coefficient (R^2) values of Freundlich model (0.99,

0.99, 0.99 and 0.99, 0.99, 0.99) were higher than those of Langmuir model (0.97, 0.96, 0.95 and 0.97, 0.97, 0.96) and Temkin model (0.88, 0.86, 0.85 and 0.85, 0.83, 0.84). Therefore, these adsorption data of MF onto mBC fitted Freundlich model better than Langmuir model and Temkin model, indicating that the heterogeneity adsorption of the 277 MF to the bonding sites could be attributed to the surface functional groups of mBC.³⁷ Moreover, the constants *n* of Freundlich model at three temperatures were 1.89, 1.99, 2.35 and 1.92, 2.01, 2.27, respectively.

280 Fig. 5 shows the adsorption capacity of MF on BC and mBC at equilibrium. It about 1845 also demonstrated that the adsorption amount of biochar modified by H₂O₂ (258 μmol g⁻¹ for 282 300 °C and 335.5 µmol g^{-1} for 450 °C) was higher than that of unmodified biochar (226

283 µmol g^{-1} for 300 °C and 248.5 µmol g^{-1} for 450 °C). The XPS, FTIR and BET studies indicated that several reasons may be responsible for the increasing adsorption capacity: (1) mBC was functionalized well with −COO− groups comparing with BC, which may be contributed to the MF adsorption; (2) compared to BC, mBC had a higher surface area contained more binding sites, which may be related to the MF adsorption; (3) the surface area increase with the increase of pyrolysis temperature.

289 **3.4 Adsorption thermodynamic analysis**

290 The thermodynamic data, such as Gibbs free energy ΔG^0 , enthalpy ΔH^0 , entropy ΔS^0 , 291 can be calculated using the following equations:

$$
\Delta G^0 = -RT \ln K_e \tag{7}
$$

$$
293 \qquad \ln K_e = \frac{\Delta S^0}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^0}{RT} \tag{8}
$$

294 where ΔG^0 is the stand free energy change of the ion exchange (kJ mol⁻¹), ΔH^0 (kJ 295 mol^{-1}) is the enthalpy change, ΔS^0 (J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹) is the entropy change, *R* is the universal gas constant (8.314 mol⁻¹ K⁻¹), *T* is the absolute temperature (K), K_e is the thermodynamic equilibrium constant which was calculated by plotting $ln(q_e c_e^{-1})$ versus 298 *q*_e and extrapolating to zero q_e . The values of ΔH^0 and ΔS^0 can be determined from the 299 intercept and slope of the linear plot of ΔG^0 versus *T*.

300 Changes of temperature can affect sorption behavior of organic chemicals on 301 sorbents. Increasing temperature can enhance the rate of molecular diffusion and 302 decrease the viscosity of solution. Therefore, it can be easier for sorbate molecules to

RSC Advances Page 16 of 36

 $\frac{1}{303}$ cross the external boundary layer and move into the internal pores of sorbents.³⁸ 304 Thermodynamic parameters calculated by Eqs. $(7) - (8)$ are shown in Table 5. The 305 maximum adsorption amount of MF was obtained at 45 $^{\circ}C$, and the maximum adsorption capacity ranged from 375 µmol g^{-1} to 435 µmol g^{-1} as the temperature 307 ranged from 25 ^oC to 45 ^oC. The negative values of ΔG^0 at three temperatures 308 demonstrated that the process of these adsorption were spontaneous in nature. Moreover, 309 the more negative ΔG^0 proved that the driving force of sorption was stronger. The 310 decrease of ΔG^0 with increasing temperature indicated that the driving force of sorption increased due to less occupation of high energy sorption sites. The positive value of ∆*H* 0 311 $(7.631 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})$ indicated that it is an endothermic adsorption associated with an 313 entropy driven process $(\Delta S^0 > 0)$. Furthermore, the increasing randomness at the solution/solid interface during the adsorption was proved by the positive value of ∆*S* 0 314 315 $(33.80 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1})$. Therefore, the adsorption processes of MF were spontaneous and 316 endothermic.

317 **3.5 Adsorption of MF on biochar as affected by pH and Cu (II)**

318 The pH is a major factor affecting adsorption of ionizable organic contaminants due to the varied species.³⁹ MF has positively charged (cationic), zwitterionic, and/or 320 negatively charged (anionic) species at different pHs due to different $pk_a s$ ($pk_a = 2.97$ and $pk_{a2}=11.61$.²⁰ The species distribution as function of pH was depicted in Fig. 6. MF 322 molecules mainly existed as cations at $pH < 2.97$ and dominated as anions at $pH >$

Page 17 of 36 RSC Advances

In order to evaluate the effects of heavy metals on the adsorption of MF, Cu (II) $(0.1, 0.5, \text{ and } 1 \text{ mmol } L^{-1})$ were added into MF solution at an initial concentration of 0.5 337 mmol L^{-1} . The existence of Cu (II) at a low concentration could enhance the adsorption of MF onto mBC (Fig. S2c). Moreover, a big influence of heavy metals was present at the pH 3−7, whereas the adsorption of MF in the presence of heavy metal was almost similar at pH >7. Experiments data indicated that the adsorption capacity of MF decreased with the increase of Cu (II) concentration when the concentration of Cu (II) sample 1 reached up to 0.5 and 1 mmol L^{-1} .

On the one hand, the presence of Cu (II) facilitated MF adsorption on mBC at pH

RSC Advances Page 18 of 36

344 3−7, which may be attributed to the formation of mono and bis-complexes of Cu (II) 345 and MF $((Cu(MF))^{2+}$ and $(Cu(MF)_2)^{2+}$), and the reduction of the mobility of MF in 346 solution²⁰. The solubility of $(Cu(MF))^{2+}$ and $(Cu(MF)_2)^{2+}$ were lower than those of 347 cationic, zwitterionic and anionic of MF, showing the increasing hydrophobicity of MF 348 in the presence of Cu (II).^{20,40} The hydrophobic interactions were generally considered 349 as an important factor for driving organic chemicals sorption on adsorbents. On the 350 other hand, CuOH⁺ and Cu(OH)₂ would form at high pH, which may be contributed to the minor effects of the presence of Cu (II) on MF adsorption by mBC at $pH > 7^{23,41}$ 352 Therefore, these results demonstrated that the interactions of Cu (II) and MF at different 353 solution pH should be taken into account to understand the environmental fate.

354 **3.6 Effect of background electrolyte on MF removal**

355 Fig. 7 showed the effect of background electrolyte on the MF adsorption onto the mBC at pH 3 in NaCl and CaCl₂ (0, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8 and 1.0 mmol L⁻¹) solution. As shown in Fig. 7, the presence of NaCl at low concentration $(0.1 \text{ mmol L}^{-1})$ had minor effect on 358 the adsorption capacity of MF. With the increase of NaCl concentration from 0.2 to 1.0 359 mmol L^{-1} , the MF adsorption capacity decreased from 114 to 97 µmol g^{-1} . However, the $CaCl₂$ could increase MF removal until the concentration of CaCl₂ was 0.2 mmol L^{-1} , and the adsorption capacity increased from 117 to 119 µmol g^{-1} . The effect of CaCl₂ on 362 MF adsorption was sensitive when the concentration of CaCl₂ reached at 0.4 mmol L^{-1} . 363 The possible explanation could be that high concentration of Na⁺, Ca²⁺ and Cl[−] can

Page 19 of 36 RSC Advances

hinder the electrostatic between the charges on biochar surface and MF (cationic) in 365 solution. Moreover, Na⁺ and Ca²⁺ could occupy surface adsorption sites of mBC firstly. In addition, the high ionic strength of the solution could influence the activity coefficient of MF, thus decreasing the contact between the sorbent and solute. The study of the effect of background electrolyte on MF adsorption indicated that electrostatic force may be one possible sorption mechanism for the removal of MF on mBC.

3.7 Regeneration and desorption analysis

Desorption properties of biochar can reflect its practical and economical value. In this study, the regeneration of mBC was conducted by using 0.5 mol L^{-1} hydrochloric acid desorption. As shown in Fig. 8, the adsorption capacity of MF decreased with the increase of cycles, but not less than 198.5 µmol g^{-1} in the sixth cycle. Therefore, mBC can be regenerated by using hydrochloric acid. The reduction of specific surface area, pore volume and functional groups may contribute to the decreased adsorption capacity of MF on mBC.

4. Conclusions

 This study proved that the higher temperature biochar $(450^{\circ}C)$ and mBC had a higher 380 adsorption capacity for MF compared to the lower temperature biochar $(300\degree C)$ and 381 unmodified biochar. H_2O_2 treatment could increase oxygen-containing functional groups and surface area of biochar. Moreover, the adsorption mechanism was mainly attributed to the chemisorption. In addition, pH had great influence on MF adsorption by mBC and the optimum pH value was 3. The presence of Cu (II) could influence the adsorption capacity of MF at pH 3−7, which may be attributed to the formation of complexes between Cu (II) and MF. However, Cu (II) had minor effects on MF 387 adsorption capacity at $pH > 7$.

Acknowledgements

This study was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation

and Innovation Group of China (Grant no.41271332, 51478470 and 51521006), and the

Hunan Provincial Innovation Foundation For Postgraduate (Grant No. CX2015B090).

References

- 1. A. D. Association, *Diabetes. Care.*, 2010, 33, S62–S69.
- 2. T. Klepser, M. Kelly, *Am. J. Health-Syst. Ph.*, 1997, 54, 893–903.
- 3. N. J. Niemuth, R. D. Klaper, *Chemosphere*, 2015a, 135, 38–45.
- 4. J. Crago, C. Bui, S. Grewal, D. Schlenk, *Gen. Comp. Endocr.*, 2016, In press.
- 5. R. Van Aerle, T. J. Runnalls, C. R. Tyler, *J. Fish Biol.*, 2004, 64, 335–369.
- 6. N. J. Niemuth, R. Jordan, J. Crago, C. Blanksma, R. Johnson, R. D. Klaper, *Environ.*
- *Toxicol. Chem.*, 2015b, 34, 291–296.
- 7. M. Oosterhuis, F. Sacher, T. L. Ter Laak, *Sci. Total. Environ.*, 2013, 442, 380–388.
- 8. M. Scheurer, A. Michel, H. J. Brauch, W. Ruck, F. Sacher, *Water. Res.*, 2012, 46, 4790–4802.
- 9. A. J. Ghoshdastidar, S. Fox, A.Z. Tong, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.*, 2014, 22,

Page 21 of 36 RSC Advances

- 10. B. D. Blair, J. P. Crago, C. J. Hedman, R. J. F. Treguer, C. Magruder, L. S. Royer, R. D. Klaper, *Sci. Total. Environ.*, 2013b, 444, 515–521.
- 11. X. Tan, Y. Liu, Y. Gu, Y. Xu, G. Zeng, X. Hu, S. Liu, X. Wang, S. Liu, J. Li, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2016, 212, 318–333.
- 12. X. Tan, Y. Liu, G. Zeng, X. Wang, X. Hu, Y. Gu, Z. Yang, *Chemosphere*, 2015, 125, 70–85.
- 13. X. Chen, G. Chen, L. Chen, Y. Chen, J. Lehmann, M. B. McBride, A. G. Hay,
- *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2011, 102, 8877–8884.
- 14. W. K. Kim, T. Shim, Y. S. Kim, S. Hyun, C. Ryu, Y. K. Park, J. Jung, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2013, 138, 266–270.
- 15. M. Ahmad, S. S. Lee, X. Dou, D. Mohan, J. K. Sung, J. E. Yang, Y. S. Ok,
- *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2012, 118, 536–544.
- 16. B. Chen, Z. Chen, S. Lv, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2011, 102, 716–723.
- 17. B. Qin, *Ecol. Eng.*, 2009, 35, 1569–1573.
- 18. L. Xiao, L. Ynag, Y. Zhang, Y. Gu, L. Jiang, B. Qin, *Ecol. Eng.*, 2009, 35, 1668–1676.
- 19. M. M. Correia dos Santos, V. Famila, M. L. Simoes Goncalves, *Anal. Biochem.*,
- 2002, 303, 111-119.
- 20. S. R. Devi, *Int. J. Eng. Sci. Invent.*, 2013, 2, 39–50.
- 21. G. Wilkinsion, R. D. Gillard, J. A. McCleverty, *Pergamen*, *Oxford*, 1987.

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

- 22. T. X. Bui, H. Choi, *Chemosphere*, 2010, 80, 681–686.
- 23. Y. J. Wang, D. A. Jia, R. J. Sun, H. W. Zhu, D. M. Zhou, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2008, 42, 3254–3259.
- 24. Z. Zhang, K. Sun, B. Gao, G. Zhang, X. Liu, Y. Zhao, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2011, 190, 856–862.
- 25. S. Stankovich, D. A. Dikin, R. D. Piner, K. A. Kohlhaas, A. Kleinhammes, Y. Jia,
- Y., Wu, S. T. Nguyen, R. S. Ruoff, *Carbon*, 2007, 45, 1558–1565.
- 26. L. Tang, G. D. Yang, G. M. Zeng, Y. Cai, S. S. Li, Y. Y. Zhou, Y. Pang, Y. Y. Liu,
- Y. Zhang, B. Luna, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2014, 239, 114–122.
- 27. D. D. Das, M. I. Schnitzer, C. M. Monreal, P. Mayer, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2009, 100, 6524–6532.
- 28. T. Y. Jiang, J. Jiang, R. K. Xu, Z. Li, *Chemosphere*, 2012, 89, 249–256.
- 29. C. Moreno-Castilla, M. A. Ferro-Garcia, J. P. Joly, I. Bautista-Toledo, F.
- Carrasco-Marin, J. Rivera-Utrilla, *Langmuir*, 1995, 11, 4386-4392.
- 30. M. B. Ahmed, J. L. Zhou, H. H. Ngo, W. Guo, M. Chen, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2016,
- 214, 836–851.
- 31. Y. S. Ho, G. McKay, *Process. Biochem.*, 1999, 34, 451–465.
- 32. Y. Sun, Q. Yue, B. Gao, Y. Gao, X. Xu, Q. Li, Y. Wang, *J. Taiwan. Inst. Chem. E.*,
- 2014, 45, 681–688.
- 33. J. Febrianto, A. N. Kosasih, J. Sunarso, Y. H. Ju, N. Indraswati, S. Ismadji, *J.*
- *Hazard. Mater.*, 2009, 162, 616–45.

Page 23 of 36 **RSC** Advances

- 34. S. Sen Gupta, K. G. Bhattacharyya, *Adv. Colloid. Interface.*, 2011, 162, 39–58.
- 35. W. Liu, J. Zhang, C. Zhang, L. Ren, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2011, 171, 431–438.
- 36. M. J. Ahmed, S. K. Theydan, *Powder. Technol.*, 2012, 229, 237–45.
- 37. K. Foo, B. Hameed, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2010, 156, 2–10.
- 38. Z. Y. Wang, X.D. Yu, B. Pan, B.S. Xing, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2010, 44, 978–984.
- 39. D. Zhang, B. Pan, H. Zhang, P. Ning, B. S. Xing, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2010, 44,
- 3805–3811.
- 40. H. Li, D. Zhang, X. Han, B. Xing, *Chemosphere*, 2014, 95, 150–155.
- 41. D. A. Jia, D. M. Zhou, Y. J. Wang, H. W. Zhu, J. L. Chen, *Geoderma*, 2008, 146,
- 224–230.

Fig. 1. XPS survey spectra of (a) pristine biochar (BC), (b) H_2O_2 -modified biochar (mBC), (c) metformin hydrochloride (MF) adsorption on mBC, and (d) MF and Cu (II) adsorption on mBC (biochar: 300° C).

Fig. 2. C 1s XPS spectra of (a) Pristine biochar (BC), (b) H₂O₂-modified bicoahr (mBC),

Fig. 3. Pseudo-first-order sorption kinetics and pseudo-second-order sorption kinetics for MF adsorption onto mBC (initial MF concentration: 0.5 mmol L−1; pH: 3.0; reaction temperature: 25° C).

Fig. 4. Langmuir isotherm and freundlich isotherm for the adsorption of MF on (a) 300 $\rm ^{o}C$ and (b) 450 $\rm ^{o}C$ mBC (solution volume: 50 mL; adsorbent dose: 0.1 g; contact time: 24 h; pH: 3.0).

Fig. 5. The adsorption capacity of MF on BC and mBC at equilibrium (solution volume: 50 mL; adsorbent dose: 0.1 g; contact time: 24 h; pH: 7.0).

Fig. 6. Molecular structure and speciation of MF under different pH conditions.

Fig. 7. Effect of background electrolyte (NaCl and CaCl₂) on the MF adsorption by mBC. The line of dashes indicating the adsorption capacity of MF without any interfering electrolytes (MF concentration: 0.5 mmol L^{-1} ; solution volume: 50 mL; adsorbent dose: 0.1 g; contact time: 24 h; temperature: $25\,^{\circ}\text{C}$; pH: 3.0; biochar: 300 $^{\circ}\text{C}$).

Fig. 8. Adsorption and desorption cycles of mBC for MF adsorption. (MF concentration: 2.4 mmol L⁻¹; solution volume: 50 mL; adsorbent dose: 0.1 g; contact time: 24 h; temperature: 25° C; pH: 3.0; biochar: 300° C).

^a Determined by weight difference assuming that the total weight of the samples was made up of the

tested elements only.

Table 3 Pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order model parameters for metformin hydrochloride (MF) sorption on H₂O₂-modified biochar (mBC) (300 ^oC) at 25 ^oC.

Table 4 Langmuir, Freundlich and Temkin isotherms parameters for MF sorption

Biochar	Temperature	Langmuir model			Freundlich model			Temkin model		
(^{o}C)	(K)	q_{max} (µmol g ⁻¹)	$K_L(L \mu mol^{-1})$	R^2	$K_F(L \mu mol^{-1})$	$\mathbf n$	R^2	$A_T(Lg^{-1})$	b_T	R^2
300	298.15	528.10	8.99×10^{-4}	0.97	6.03	1.89	0.99	0.05062	37.84	0.88
	308.15	551.36	9.71×10^{-4}	0.96	8.01	1.99	0.99	0.02181	40.94	0.86
	318.15	546.10	1.37×10^{-3}	0.95	15.77	2.35	0.99	0.2126	47.81	0.85
450	298.15	625.27	9.03×10^{-4}	0.97	7.50	1.92	0.99	0.08291	36.59	0.85
	308.15	648.05	9.78×10^{-4}	0.97	9.87	2.01	0.99	0.1620	41.06	0.83
	318.15	627.12	1.43×10^{-3}	0.96	16.93	2.27	0.99	0.3867	44.92	0.84

on mBC produced at 300 °C.

	at 300° C.						
	$ln k_e$			ΔG^0 (kJ mol ⁻¹)			
298.15 K	308.15 K	318.15 K					298.15 K 308.15 K 318.15 K ΔH^0 (kJ mol ⁻¹) ΔS^0 (J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)
0.9858	1.089	1.176	-2.444	-2.789	-3.120	7.631	33.80

Table 5 Thermodynamic parameters for the adsorption of MF by mBC produced