RSC Advances

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/advances

Journal Name

ARTICLE

Introduction

 Polymers and copolymers containing metal fragment have been the subject of the research recently because they show the great potential which results from the unique characteristics of transition metals and the processibility of polymeric materials at the same time. $1-5$ In general, the metal moiety can be placed in the polymer backbone by covalently bonding directly to the main chain as well as by coordinating to ligands within the backbone. It can be pendant or attached to the side chain of the polymer, too.

 In the early 1960s the synthesis of the polymers containing ferrocene was described by Korshak and Nesmeyanov. The process occurred *via* the reaction of ferrocene with *tert*butyl hydroperoxide. $6,7$ Since then a lot of synthetic methods have been designed to allow the formation of metalcontaining polymers such as living, ionic, and controlled radical
polymerization⁸⁻¹⁰, polycondensation¹¹⁻¹⁴, electropolypolycondensation $^{11\text{-}14}$, electropolymerization¹⁵, and ring opening polymerization.^{16,17} So far only a few examples of aryl-ferrocene derivatives have been reported.^{18,19} Zhang and co-workers effectively applied a $1.1'$ di(4-vinylphenyl-ethane)ferrocene for acyclic diene metathesis polymerization (ADMET) to get a regular, linear polymers.²⁰

 The Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction is an exceptionally useful method in organic synthesis of compounds containing carbon – carbon bond, e.g. biaryl compounds. $21-24$ The formation of C-C bond is of vital importance in preparative organic processes from molecular model chemistry to

macromolecular and nanomaterial science. Together with the silylative coupling (SC) reaction they are very effective tools in the synthesis of new materials. The research on SC reaction has been carried out in our group for the last twenty years. The silylative coupling is the reaction of olefins with vinyl-substituted organosilicon compounds which takes place in the presence of complexes containing initially or generating in situ metal-hydrogen and metal-silicon bonds.^{25,26} The process occurs *via* cleavage of the =C−Si bond in the vinylsilane and the C−H bond in the olefin and is catalysed by transition metal complexes [TM-H] or silyl [TM-Si] ligands (where TM = Ru, Rh, Ir, Co).^{27,28} The silylative coupling reaction is an exceptional, effective and highly regio- and stereoselective way to functionalize molecular and macromolecular compounds that contain one or a few vinyl groups connected to the silicon atom. Undoubtedly, this method is a convenient and powerful tool to synthesize unsaturated, highly π-conjugated compounds.²⁹ We previously described the catalytic transformation of vinylsilyl-ferrocene derivatives *via* silylative coupling. Each time this transformation led to well-defined cyclic structures.³⁰

 Herein, we present an efficient, stereoselective methods for the preparation of new *π-*conjugated ferrocenylorganosilicon compounds. The aforementioned ferrocene derivatives were synthesized *via* suitable selected catalytic transformations such as Suzuki-Miyaura (SM) coupling and silylative coupling (SC) catalysed by well-defined TM complexes. We also present our preliminary studies on the application of this protocol to the synthesis of new polymeric material.

Experimental

Instruments and measurement

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy: ¹H NMR (300 MHz), 13 C NMR (75 MHz) and 29 Si NMR (60 MHz) spectra were recorded on a Varian XL 300 MHz spectrometer in CDCl₃

^a Faculty of Chemistry, Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznan, Umultowska 89b, 61-614 Poznan, Poland;

Correspondence to: E-mail: mariusz.majchrzak@amu.edu.pl

^{b.} Center for Advanced Technology, Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznan, Umultowska 89c, 61-614 Poznan, Poland;

Correspondence to: E-maile-mail: marcinb@amu.edu.pl

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: synthesis procedure of 3; GPC graphs; MS mass and NMR spectra, X-Ray structure determination (No. CCDC 974168)]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

ARTICLE Journal Name

solution. Chemical shifts are reported in δ (ppm) with reference to the residue solvents (${}^{1}H$ δ_{H} = 7.26 ppm, ${}^{13}C$ δ_{C} = 77.16 ppm for CDCl₃ and ¹H δ_H = 7.16 ppm, ¹³C δ_C = 128.05 ppm for C₆D₆) peak for ¹H, ¹³C and to TMS (²⁹Si δ_H = 0.00 ppm). Analytical gas chromatographic (GC) analyses were performed on a Varian Star 3400CX with a DB-5 fused silica capillary column (30 m x 0.15 mm) and TCD. Mass spectra of the substrates and products were obtained by GCMS analysis (Varian Saturn 2100T, equipped with a CP-SLI 6CB capillary column (30 m x 0.25 mm) and an ion trap detector. Highresolution mass spectroscopic (HRMS) analyses were performed on an AMD-402 mass spectrometer. An element analysis was performed on Vario EL Elementar (Germany).

Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) analyses were performed using an Agilent HPLC system equipped with UV absorbance detector and RI detector (analysis conditions: mobile phase - THF; flow rate 0.80 mL/min; temperature 20 $^{\circ}$ C; injection volume - 17 μL). The numerical average molecular weight (*Mn*), average molecular weight (*Mw*) and polydispersity index (PDI) were determined by polystyrene standards calibration curve (1.31 x 10³ and 3.64 x 10⁶ Da).

Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was made on plates precoated with plastic sheet with 250 μm thick silica gel (Polygram $SiIG/UV_{254}$, ROTH), and column chromatography was conducted with silica gel 60 (70-230 mesh, Fluka).

Materials and methods

The chemicals were obtained from the following sources: toluene, diethyl ether, dichloromethane (DCM), pentane and hexane, were purchased from Fluka, CDCl₃ from Dr Glaser A.G. Basel, 1,1'-dibromoferrocene, sodium carbonate (Na₂CO₃), potassium carbonate (K_2CO_3), magnesium sulphate (MgSO₄), tripotassium phosphate (K_3PO_4) , calcium hydride (CaH₂), sodium hydride (NaH), 1,2-dichloromethane, 4-bromostyrene, ferroceneboronic acid, 4-vinylphenylboronic acid, 3-vinylphenylboronic acid, ethanol, Celite 545, bis(dibenzylideneacetone) palladium(0) $[Pd(dba),],$ tris(*o*-tolyl)phosphine from Aldrich, vinyltrimethylsilane from ABCR and bromoferrocene from Strem. Toluene was distilled from sodium and hexane, ethanol from calcium hydride under argon. The above mentioned solvents were stored over molecular sieves type 4Å. All liquid substrates were also dried and degassed by bulb-to-bulb distillation. All the syntheses of the catalysts, molecular compounds and catalytic tests were carried out under an inert argon or air atmosphere. Palladium complexes $[Pd(\eta^2\text{-dba})(PPh_3)_2]$ $(1)^{(31)}$, $[Pd(\eta^2\text{-dba})(PCy_3)_2]$ $(2)^{(31)}$, $[Pd(\eta^2-dba)(P(o-tolyI)_3)_2]$ $(3)^{(32)}$ and ruthenium species $[RuH(CO)Cl(PCy₃)₂]$ (4)⁽³³⁾ were prepared according to the literature procedure. The silyl derivatives of compound 4-vinyldimethylsilylbiphenyl (**5**) and 4,4'-bis(vinyldimethylsilyl) biphenyl (6) were synthesized according to the procedure 34 .

Synthetic procedures

General procedure for the catalytic test of palladium complexes - System 1 / System 2

A mixture of bromoferrocene or ferroceneboronic acid (0.5 mmol), 4-vinylphenylboronic acid or 4-bromorstyrene (0.5 mmol), 2M aq. base solution (1 mmol of $Na₂CO₃$, K₂CO₃, K₃PO₄ depending on the combination of the complex), ethanol (0.75 mL), toluene (0.5M solution concentration for bromoderivative), palladium(0) catalyst (**1**, **2**, **3**; 1 mol%) were placed in a two-neck glass reactor equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a reflux condenser. The suspension was degassed and heated in an oil bath at $85\text{-}90^{\circ}$ C for 24h. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC (eluent mixture: hexane/DCM – 8:1, $R_f = 0.4$ -0.5 in relation to biphenyl) and GCMS to complete.

General procedure for the catalytic test with dibromoferrocene

A mixture of dibromoferrocene (0.5 mmol), 4-vinylphenylboronic acid (1.02 mmol), 2M aq. base solution (0.7-1 mmol of K_3PO_4), ethanol (0.75 mL), toluene (0.5M solution concentration for dibromoderivative), palladium(0) catalyst (**1**, **2**, **3**; 1 or 1.5 mol%) were placed in a two-neck glass reactor equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a reflux condenser. The suspension was degassed and heated in an oil bath at 85-90°C for 24h. The reaction progress was monitored by TLC (eluent mixture: hexane/DCM $-$ 5:1, $R_f = 0.3$ -0.5 in relation to biphenyl) and GCMS to complete.

Procedure synthesis and characterization of new monostyrylferrocenyl derivatives

1-(3-vinylphenyl)ferrocene (7): $[Pd(\eta^2-dba)(P(o-tolyl)_3)_2]$ (3) (8.4 mg, 0.088 mmol, 1 mol%), 3-vinylphenylboronic acid or 4-vinylphenylboronic acid (0.90 mmol), bromferrocene (235 mg, 0.88 mmol), ethanol (1.75 mL), toluene (1.75 mL) and aqueous $2M$ K₃PO₄ (2.60 mL) were placed in a two-neck glass reactor equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and reflux condenser under an argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at 90°C and the progress of the reaction was monitored by GCMS. Upon the consumption of ferrocene halide, the mixture was cooled to room temperature. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 x 10 mL). The organic phases were combined, dried over MgSO₄ (6h) and concentrated. The product was isolated by column chromatography (SiO₂, Celit[®], sand) with hexane and then hexane/dichloromethane (2:1) mixture as eluents. The final product **9** was obtained with 76 % yield (194 mg) as an orange solid.

Analytic data: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.98 (s, 5H, C₅H₄), 4.24 (s, 2H, C₅H₄), 4.59 (s, 2H, C₅H₄), 5.20 (d, 1H, J_{HH} = 10.8 Hz, –CH=*C*H²), 5.71 (d, 1H, *JHH* = 16.8 Hz, –CH=*C*H²), 6.67 (dd, 1H, J_{HH} = 10.8 Hz, -CH=CH₂), 7.19 (m, 2H, C₆H₄), 7.32 (m, 1H, C₆H₄), 7.41 (s, 1H, C_6H_4). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 66.6, 68.9, 69.6, 85.3, 113.8, 123.8, 125.8, 128.4, 136.9, 137.4, 139.5. MS (EI) (m/z (relat. int. %)): 288.0 (M⁺) (100), 121 (5). HRMS (m/z) calcd. for $C_{18}H_{16}$ Fe: 288.06014; found 288.05956. Elemental analyses calcd. for $C_{18}H_{16}Fe$: C 75.02, H 5.60; found C 75.01, H 5.59.

Journal Name ARTICLE ARTICLE

1-(4-vinylphneyl)ferrocene (8) was obtained according to the above-mentioned preparation procedure of **7** with 82 % yield (209 mg) as an orange-yellow solid.

Analytic data: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 4.04 (s, 5H, C₅H₄), 4.33 (s, 2H, C₅H₄), 4.65 (s, 2H, C₅H₄), 5.25 (d, 1H, J_{HH} = 10.8 Hz, –CH=*C*H²), 5.76 (d, 1H, *JHH* = 16.8 Hz, –CH=*C*H²), 6.71 (dd, 1H, J_{HH} = 10.8 Hz, -CH=CH₂), 7.35 (d, 2H, C₆H₄), 7.45 (d, 2H, C₆H₄). 13 C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 66.4, 69.0, 69.6, 84.9, 112.8, 126.1, 126.2, 135.1, 136.6, 138.9. MS (EI) (m/z (relat. int. %)): 288.1 (M⁺⁺) (100). HRMS (m/z) calcd. for C₁₈H₁₆Fe: 288.06014; found 288.05998. Elemental analyses calcd. for $C_{18}H_{16}$ Fe: C 75.02, H 5.60; found C 75.05, H 5.61.

Procedure synthesis and characterization of distyrylferrocenyl derivatives

 $1,1$ `-bis(3-vinylphenyl)ferrocene (9): $[{\sf Pd}(\eta^2{\text{-}}{\sf dba})({\sf P}({\sf o{\text{-}}tolyl})_3)_2]$ (**3**) (16.7 mg, 0.0174 mmol, 1 mol%), 4-vinylphenylboronic acid or 3-vinylphenylboronic acid (1.9 mmol), 1,1`-dibromferrocene (299 mg, 0.870 mmol), ethanol (1.75 mL), toluene (1.75 mL) and aqueous $2M$ K₃PO₄ (2.60 mL) were placed in a two-neck glass reactor equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and reflux condenser under an argon atmosphere. The mixture was stirred at 90°C and the progress of the reaction was monitored by GCMS. Upon the consumption of ferrocene dihalide, the mixture was cooled to room temperature. The organic layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 x 15 mL). The organic phases were combined, dried over MgSO₄ (6h) and concentrated. The product was isolated by column chromatography (SiO₂, Celit[®], sand) with hexane and then hexane/dichloromethane (1:1) mixture as eluents. The final product **9** was obtained with 91 % yield (307 mg) as an orange solid.

Analytic data: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 4.30 (s, 4H, C₅H₄), 4.53 (s, 4H, C₅H₄), 5.24 (d, 2H, J_{HH} = 17.6 Hz, -CH=CH₂), 5.71(d, 2H, *JHH* = 11.6 Hz, –CH=C*H*²), 6.65 (dd, 2H, *JHH* =10.8 Hz, 17.5 Hz, -CH=CH₂), 7.15-7.25 (m, 8H, C₆H₄). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl³): δ 68.1, 70.4, 86.0, 113.7, 123.5, 124.1, 125.5, 128.4, 136.8, 137.4, 138.2. MS (EI) (m/z (relat. int. %)): 390.7 (M⁺) (100). HRMS (m/z) calcd. for $C_{26}H_{22}Fe$: 390.10709; found 390.10654. Elemental analyses calcd. for $C_{26}H_{22}Fe$: C 80.01, H 5.68; found C 80. 16, H 5.70.

1,1`-bis(4-vinylphenyl)ferrocene (**10**) was obtained according to the above-mentioned preparation procedure of **9** with 90% yield (305 mg) as an orange-yellow solid.

Analytic data: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 4.25 (s, 4H, C₅H₄), 4.50 (s, 4H, C5H⁴), 5.27 (d, 2H, *JHH* = 10.8 Hz, –CH=*C*H²), 5.76 (d, 2H, *JHH* = 16.8 Hz, –CH=*C*H²), 6.71 (dd, 2H, *JHH* = 10.8 Hz, 17.4 Hz, -CH=CH₂), 7.26 (s, 8H, C₆H₄). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 67.8, 70.5, 85.7, 112.7, 125.9, 126.1, 135.1, 136.6, 137.6. MS (EI) (m/z (relat. int. %)): 390.3 (M⁺) (100), 388.6 (5), 167 (5). HRMS (m/z) calcd. for $C_{26}H_{22}Fe$: 390.10709; found 390.10714. Elemental analyses calcd. for $C_{26}H_{22}Fe$: C 80.01, H 5.68; found C 80. 11, H 5.70.

Procedure synthesis and characterization of silylstyrylferrocenyl derivatives with trimethylvinylsilane

1,1`-bis-{((E,E)-2-phenyl-3-ethenyl)trimethylsilyl} ferrocene (**11**): In a typical experiment, the ruthenium catalyst [RuH(CO)Cl(PCy₃)₂] (4) (1 mol% per styryl group) was dissolved in toluene (0.75 mL, 0.75M) and placed in a glass reactor under argon. Then 1,1`-bis(vinylphenyl)ferrocene (**9** or **10**) (200 mg, 0.512 x 10^{-1} mmol) and trimethylvinylsilane (308 mg, 3.07 mmol) were added in the molar ratio 1:6. Then a glass reactor was heated to 80°C and maintained at that temperature for 18 h. The progress of the reaction was monitored by GC and GCMS. The final product was separated from the residues of the catalyst via SiO₂ column with hexane as an eluent. The product was obtained with 98% yield (268 mg) as an orange solid.

Analytic data: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.16 (s, 18H, -Si-CH₃), 4.29 (s, 4H, C₅H₄), 4.52 (s, 4H, C₅H₄), 6.44 (d, 2H, J_{HH} = 19.2 Hz, -C*H*=CH-SiMe³), 6.86 (d, 2H, *JHH* = 18.8 Hz, -CH=C*H*-SiMe₃), 7.19-7.28 (m, 8H, C₆H₄). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -1.2 (-Si-CH³), 68.1, 70.4, 86.0, 123.6, 123.9, 125.6, 128.4, 136.9, 137.2, 138.2, 143.2. ²⁹Si NMR (60 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -6.30. MS (EI) (m/z (relat. int. %)): 536.5 (17), 535.8 (43), 535.0 (M^{+•}) (100). HRMS (m/z) calcd. for $C_{32}H_{38}FeSi_2$: 534.18615; found 534.18605. Elemental analyses calcd. for $C_{32}H_{38}FeSi_2$: C 71.89, H 7.16; found C 71.81, H 7.13.

1,1`-bis-{((E)-2-phenyl-4-ethenyl)trimethylsilyl}ferrocene (**12**)*.* was obtained according to the above-mentioned preparation procedure of **11** with 99 % yield (271 mg) as an orange solid. Analytic data: 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.19 (s, 18H, -Si-C*H*³), 4.32 (s, 4H, C5*H*⁴), 4.55 (s, 4H, C5*H*⁴), 6.45 (d, 2H, *JHH* =19.2 Hz, -CH=CH-SiMe₃), 6.85 (d, 2H, *J_{HH}* =18.8 Hz, -CH=CH-SiMe₃), 7.21 (d, 4H, C₆H₄), 7.28 (d, 4H, C₆H₄). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -1.16 (-Si-CH³), 67.6, 71.5, 87.1, 127.5, 127.6, 128.5, 136.2, 138.2, 143.2. ²⁹Si NMR (60 MHz, CDCl₃): δ - 6.29. MS (EI) (m/z (relat. int. %)): 535.4 (M^{+}) (100). HRMS (m/z) calcd. for $C_{32}H_{38}$ FeSi₂: 534.18615; found 534.18599. Elemental analyses calcd. for C₃₂H₃₈FeSi₂: C 71.89, H 7.16; found C 71.83, H 7.14.

Procedure synthesis and characterization of silylstyrylferrocenyl derivatives with 4-dimethylvinylsilylbiphenyl

1,1`-bis-{((E)-2-phenyl-3-ethenyl)dimethylbiphenylsilyl}-

*ferrocene***.(13) and** *1,1`-bis-{((E)-2-phenyl-4-ethenyl)dimethyl biphenylsilyl}-ferrocene* (**14**). In a typical experiment, the ruthenium catalyst [RuH(CO)Cl(PCy₃)₂] (4) (1 mol%) was dissolved in toluene (0.75M) and placed in a glass reactor under argon. Then 1,1`-bis(vinylphenyl)ferrocene (**9** or **10**) (200 mg, 0.512 \times 10⁻¹ mmol) and 4-(vinyldimethylsilil)biphenyl (**5**) (305 mg, 0.128 mmol) were added in the molar ratio 1:2.5. Then the glass reactor was heated to 90°C and maintained at that temperature for 24h. The progress of the reaction was monitored by GC and GCMS. The final product was separated via SiO₂ column with hexane as an eluent. The final product was obtained with 81 % yield (337 mg) as an orange solid.

Analytic data: 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.49 (s, 12H, -Si-CH₃), 4.40 (s, 4H, C₅H₄), 4.66 (s, 4H, C₅H₄), 6.53 (d, 2H, J_{HH} = 19.2 Hz, -CH=CH-), 6.87 (d, 2H, J_{HH} = 19.2 Hz, -CH=CH-SiMe₂-), 7.10-7.25 (m, 8H, C₆H₄), 7.35 (t, 4H, m-C₆H₄-C₆H₅), 7.44 (t, 2H, -

C₆H₄-p-C₆H₅), 7.62 (m, 10H, -C₆H₄-C₆H₅), 7.67 (d, 4H, o-C₆H₄- C_6H_5). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -2.4 (-Si-CH₃), 68.1, 70.4, 124.2, 124.4, 126.1, 126.5, 126.7, 127.1, 127.4, 128.2, 128.7, 134.4, 137.0, 137.3, 141.0, 141.0, 141.8, 145.5.²⁹Si NMR (60 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -11.15. HRMS (m/z) calcd. for C₅₄H₅₀FeSi₂: 810.28005; found 810.28001. Elemental analyses calcd. for C₅₄H₅₀FeSi₂: C 79.97, H 6.21; found C 79.59, H 6.19.

1,1`-bis-{((E)-2-phenyl-4-ethenyl)dimethylbiphenylsilyl}-

ferrocene (14) was obtained according to the abovementioned preparation procedure of **13** with 87 % yield (362 mg) as an orange-yellow solid.

Analytic data: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.45 (s, 12H, -Si-CH₃), 4.40 (s, 4H, C₅H₄), 4.66 (s, 4H, C₅H₄), 6.42 (d, 2H, J_{HH} = 20.4 Hz, -C*H*=CH-); 6.93 (d, 2H, *JHH* = 18.9 Hz, -CH=C*H*-Si-), 7.19- 7.23 (m, 8H, C₆H₄), 7.35 (t, 4H, m-C₆H₄-C₆H₅), 7.44 (t, 2H, -C₆H₄*p*-C6*H*⁵), 7.62 (m, 10H, -C6*H*⁴ -C6*H*⁵). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl³): δ -2.3 (-Si-CH₃), 68.1, 70.3, 124.2, 126.1, 126.5, 127.4, 127.4, 128.2, 128.8, 134.4, 137.0, 137.3, 141.1, 141.3, 141.7, 145.5. ²⁹Si NMR (60 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -11.16. HRMS (m/z) calcd. for $C_{54}H_{50}$ FeSi₂: 810.28005; found 810.28009. Elemental analyses calcd. for C₅₄H₅₀FeSi₂: C 79.97, H 6.21; found C 79.64, H 6.20.

Procedure synthesis and characterization of polymeric materials via silylative coupling polycondensation (SCP)

Poly[(1,1'-di(3-phenylene)ferrocenylene)-(E)-vinylene-(4,4'-

bis(dimethylsilylene)biphenylene)-(E)-vinylene]s (**P1**) In a typical experiment, the ruthenium catalyst $[RuH(CO)Cl(PCy₃)₂]$ **4** (1 mol%) was dissolved in toluene and placed in a glass reactor under argon. Then 1,1`-bis(3-vinylphenyl)ferrocene (**9**) and 4,4`-bis(vinyldimethylsilyl)biphenyl (**6**) were added in the molar ratio 1:1. After that the glass reactor was heated to 90°C and maintained at that temperature for 24 h. The progress of the reaction was monitored by 1 H NMR. The final product was separated *via* precipitation step with DCM/hexane as an eluent system. The products were obtained with 69% (**P1**) and 71% (**P2**) yields (as yellow solids).

Poly[(1,1'-di(3-phenylene)ferrocenylene)-(E)-vinylene-(4,4' bis(dimethylsilylene)biphenylene)-(E)-vinylene]s (**P1**)

Analytic data: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.49 (s, 12H, -Si-CH₃), 4.27 (s, 4H, C₅H₄), 4.50 (s, 4H, C₅H₄), 5.21 (d, 1H, J_{HH} = 10.8 Hz, -CH=CH₂, traces), 5.21 (d, 1H, J_{HH} = 10.8 Hz, -CH=CH₂, traces), 6.56 (d, 1H, J_{HH} = 18.8 Hz, -CH=CH-), 6.87 (d, 1H, J_{HH} = 18.8 Hz, -CH=C*H*-Si); 7.28-7.12 (m, 4H, C6*H*⁴); 7.62-7.67 (m, 4H, $C_{12}H_8$). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -2.3 (-Si-CH₃), 68.1, 70.2, 85.8, 123.9, 124.2, 125.9, 126.6, 126.6, 128.4, 134.4, 137.6, 137.9, 138.3, 141.7, 145.5. ²⁹Si NMR (60 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -10.35. Elemental analyses calcd. for $(C_{42}H_{40}FeSi_2)_n$: C 76.81, H 6.14; found C 76.34, H 6.10. GPC data: $M_n = 3600 \text{ g*mol}^1$, $M_w =$ 5652 g*mol⁻¹, PDI (M_w/M_n) = 1.57, *n* = 9.

Poly[(1,1'-di(4-phenylene)ferrocenylene)-(E)-vinylene-(4,4'-

bis(dimethylsilylene)biphenylene)-(E)-vinylene]s **P2** was obtained according to the above-mentioned preparation procedure of **P1**.

Analytic data: ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.47 (s, 12H, -Si-CH₃), 4.41 (s, 4H, C₅H₄), 4.66 (s, 4H, C₅H₄), 5.2 (d, 1H, J_{HH} = 10.8

Hz, -CH=CH₂, traces), 5.67 (d, 1H, J_{HH} = 10.8 Hz, -CH=CH₂, traces), 6.51 (d, 1H, *JHH* = 17.4 Hz, -C*H*=CH-Si), 6.96 (d, 1H, *JHH* =18.9 Hz, -CH=CH-Si), 7.16 (C₆H₄), 7.31 (C₆H₄), 7.61-7.66 (m, $C_{12}H_8$). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -2.6 (-Si-CH₃), 68.3, 70.9, 124.0, 124.2, 125.9, 126.6, 128.4, 134.4, 137.6, 137.9, 138.3, 141.7, 145.5. ²⁹Si NMR (60 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -10.36. Elemental analyses calc. for $(C_{42}H_{40}FeSi_2)_n$: C 76.81, H 6.14; found C 76.27, H 6.09. GPC data: *M*_n = 3370 g*mol⁻¹, *M*_w = 5024 g*mol⁻¹, PDI $(M_w/M_n) = 1.49, n = 8.$

X-Ray crystal data

Crystal data of 9: $C_{26}H_{22}Fe$, M_r = 390.28, monoclinic, P2₁, a = 8.154(2) Å, b = 10.448(2) Å, c = 21.731(4) Å, β = 93.37 (2)°, V = 1848.1(7) \AA^3 , Z = 4, d_x = 1.40g·cm⁻³, μ (MoK_a) = 0.82 cm⁻¹. F(000) $= 816$, 20800 reflections collected, 6409 independent (R_{int} $=$ 0.134), 4912 with I>2σ(I). Final R [I>2σ(I)] = 5.99 %, wR2[I>2σ(I)] = 8.01 %, R[all data] = 8.57 %, wR2[all data] = 8.83%, S = 0.91, Δ $\rho_{\text{max}}/\Delta\rho_{\text{min}}$ = 0.58/-0.46 e·Å⁻³.

Results and discussion

The main aim of the catalytic research was to develop efficient methods for the synthesis of the new materials consisting of *π*-conjugated ferrecenyl units. In order to do this, two fully controlled reactions which allowed to get new stereoselective organic-ferrocene derivatives were used. Olefins were prepared in an efficient Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reaction catalysed by well-defined palladium species. A series of catalytic tests was performed to optimize the reaction conditions for the selective formation of new 1-substituted ferrocene olefins.

Two substrates systems were used: first – the reaction of bromoferrocene (BrFc) with vinylboronic acid and the second one - ferroceneboronic acid ((OH)₂BFc) with 4-bromostyrene in the presence of palladium(0) catalyst, i.e. $[Pd(dba)(PPh_3)_2]^{31}$ (1) , $[Pd(dba)(PCy₃)₂]$ ³¹ (2) $[Pd(dba)(P(o-tolyl)₃)₂]$ ³² (3), according to Scheme 1.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of new 1-styrylferrocene *via* Suzuki coupling reaction.

While examining the first system, we worked out the catalytic conditions to obtain controlled main product **8**. At the same time, while testing the second system, we observed decomposition of $(OH)_2$ BFc to ferrocene and the progress of

Journal Name ARTICLE ARTICLE

the reaction was not satisfying. In addition, we noticed an important factor, which was the aqueous solution of the base. In case of weaker bases such as sodium carbonate or potassium carbonate no formation of the product was observed in the system. The most appropriate was salt of potassium orthophosphate(V) (K_3PO_4) . In this way it is possible to get nearly 92% conversion of BrFc to styrylferrocene (**8**).

In the first system we identified the by-products in small amounts as well ($D = 4-6$ %, $E = 8-10$ %). The best and the most selective catalyst proved to be **3**. In the next step, which was based on previous research, we synthesized two substituted 1,1'-ferrocenes. As we expected a mixture of products, we conducted a series of preliminary tests again. Three complexes of palladium (0) were used. The typical catalytic system for tests consisted of toluene solution (0.5M) of 1,1' dibromoferrocene (Br₂Fc), ethanol, 2M solution of base, 4vinylphenylboronic acid and suitable palladium(0) catalysts, see Scheme 2.

[Pd] = Pd(dba)(PPh3)2 (1), Pd(dba)(PCy3)2 (2), Pd(dba)(P(0-tolyl3))2 (3)

In many cases we also identified trace amount of side compounds **(8) B**, **D**, **E** (sometimes a lot, see table 1) 1 bromoferrocene (BrFc) and 1,1'-dibromo ferrocene (as an unreacted substrate, Br_2Fc) see Figure 5 on the basis of MS spectra (see in the ESI). In the presence of the complexes **1** and **2** the debromination process followed quite fast.

As a result, some quantity of product **8 (B)** was created and it was quite difficult to separate it from the main compound **A**, see Table 1.

In order to slow down the process, we reduced the amount of the catalyst **2** (0.5 mol%) and lowered the temperature to 70°C. In this way the selectivity of the coupling reaction was controlled. However, the **B** product was formed as well. The most selective catalyst was **3**, too**.** Probably, the activity and selectivity of this catalyst is caused by the type of the phosphine and its steric hindrance.

To our best knowledge, the use of well-defined palladium catalysts consisting of tris(*o*-tolyl) phosphine and *'dba'* ligands in Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reactions is not known. This form of the catalyst is generated *'in situ'* and participates in the polymerization reaction³⁵ and the organometallic changes.³⁶ Moreover, the catalytic mixture of $Pd_2(dba)_3$ and tris(*o*-tolyl) phosphine is regularly used in the common coupling reactions 37 to generate catalytic species.

Therefore, we used biphasic solvents system of toluene (0.5M solution concentration for halide)/ethanol (1.75 mL)/bases (2.6 mL, 2M solution of K_3PO_4) and **3** (1.5 mol%) as the most selective and efficient palladium catalyst for the synthesis of new olefins, see Scheme 3:

Scheme 3. Synthesis of distyryl-ferrocene derivatives in the presence of **3**.

Typically, the crude mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 / water system solvents and a small amount of sodium chloride was used to disperse the slurry. Then, the mixture was left over magnesium sulfate for five-six hours. ¹ H NMR spectrum of **9** (see Figure 1) below shows a new, clean ferrocene olefin which was isolated with simple and convenient methods of flash filtration (glass filter/silica gel/Celit®) and next by column chromatography (silica gel/sand).

Because of the potential distribution (polymerization process *via* vinyl group), these olefins should be kept at low temperatures (2-5^oC). Compounds 9 and 10 were isolated and fully characterized spectroscopically (1 H NMR spectrum show us clearly of high purity of **9**). The solid state structure of **9** was determined by X-ray crystallography (Figure 3). As far as we

Scheme 2. Synthesis of new styrylferrocenes *via* Suzuki coupling reaction.

ARTICLE Journal Name

know this is the first crystallographic characterization of styryl ferrocene derivative.

X-Ray discussion

Interestingly, in the crystal structure there are two symmetryindependent molecules in the asymmetric part of the unit cell, and these molecules have different conformation (Figure 2). In one of them, the vinyl groups are located almost exactly one over another (the improper torsion angle C=C···C=C is 1°), while in the other these groups are rotated, and the torsion angle is 54.1°. This might suggest – as the rotation barrier is obviously high and there is no possibility of interconversion in the crystal – that in the solution this compound exists as the mixture of two conformers.

Figure 2. Comparison of two symmetry-independent molecules in the crystal structure of **9**.

The geometrical parameters of both molecules are typical, phenyl rings are almost parallel one to another (dihedral angles are 6.0 $(4)^\circ$ and 3.2 $(4)^\circ$), and as the mean distance between the rings is *ca*. 3.5 Å there is some $\pi \cdot \pi$ interaction between the rings.

The arrangement of vinyl groups on the phenyl ring in no way interferes with the binding of activated carbon–hydrogen bond.

Figure 3. A perspective view of one of the symmetry-independent molecules of **9** with labelling scheme. The ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level, hydrogen atoms are shown as spheres with arbitrary radii.

Therefore, we used a selected new distyryl-olefins in a stereoselective synthesis of several new conjugated siliconferrocenyl–organic compounds.

In the next step, we used the olefin obtained for silylative coupling (SC) reaction. Ruthenium(II) hydride complexes are known to be active in SC of vinylsilanes with vinylarenes. This catalytic process of 1,1'-distyrylferrocene derivative with vinylsilane was conducted following the original procedure. The SC reaction of **9** or **10** with trimethylvinylsilane (6 equivalents) was accomplished following the well-defined procedure: ruthenium catalyst [RuH(CO)Cl(PCy₃)₂] (4) (2 mol% per olefin molecule), toluene (0.75M), 80^oC for 18 h (up to 100% conversion of divinylarylene derivative), under argon atmosphere, see Scheme 4.

Scheme 4 Silylative coupling of **9** and **10** with vinylsilanes.

The 'Schlenk' closed system was used to give selectively only one isomer of the new *E,E*-bis((trimethylsilyl)vinylene) ferrocenes (**11**, **12**) in very good isolated yields 98% and 99%. On the basis of MS spectral analysis we identified further byproduct, i.e. the *E*-bis(trimethylsilyl)ethene. A typical compound is formed by reacting an excess of vinylsilane (yield 15-19%), but this one is easily separated from the main product. We observed lower reactivity of the vinyl group at 4-dimethylvinylsilylbiphenyl (**5**). Therefore we applied an open system at 90° C with prolonged time to 24 hours. Such reaction conditions allowed to synthesize and isolate new ferrocene organicsilicon compounds in a good yield 81% (**13**), 87% (**14**).

The optimized conditions for the above reaction allowed us to use the most effective catalytic system for selectively controlled synthesis of new polymeric materials. A typical reaction system consisted of 0.2-0.125M olefin solution in toluene, 4,4'-bis(dimethylvinylsilyl)biphenyl (**6**) and the ruthenium complex (**4**). The system was placed in an oil bath at 80-90°C for 24-36h. The molar ratio of the reaction mixture was [cat.]:[olefin]:[vinylsilane] = $1x10^{-2}$:1:1, according to Scheme 5.

The low concentration of the reagents prevented the olefin from thermal-radical polymerizing. Detailed analysis of the proton spectrum confirmed the formation of an oligomer made of *trans*-vinylene fragments only in mers '-A-*trans*-B*trans*-A-*trans*-B-' (coupling constants for the protons of the – $Me₂Si-HC=CH-C_i < unit: J_{HH} = 17.4 Hz for H⁸ and 18.9 Hz for H^C)$ in the polycondensation reaction, see Figure 4. Polymers **P1**

Journal Name ARTICLE ARTICLE

and P2 were analysed using ¹H NMR spectroscopy which, in all cases, confirmed the complete consumption of vinyl groups, with no observable resonances in the alkene region (δ = 5.0– 6.4 ppm) except the terminal vinyl group of final materials.

Figure 4. ¹H NMR spectrum of (P2) in CDCl₃ at 25^oC.

Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) in THF showed quite good molecular weight values of the polymer consisting of ferrocenylene-arylene- vinylene-silylene fragments (for **P1**: *Mⁿ* = 3600 g⋅mol-1 , *Mw* = 5652 g⋅mol-1 ; **P2**: *Mn* = 3370 g⋅mol-1 , *Mw* = 5024 g⋅mol⁻¹. In addition, the polymers had narrow size distributions for P1 PDI = 1.57 and for **P2** PDI = 1.49 (see Figures **P1** and **P2** at supporting information).

The polymers were purified by successive precipitations into hexane and vacuum-dried. Both polymeric materials showed good solubility in common organic solvents such as THF, CHCl₃ and CH₂Cl₂. All styrylferrocenes and aryl-ferrocene silane derivatives were isolated and spectroscopically characterized. We synthesized several novel functionalized ferrocenes. All organic and silyl products were obtained in an excellent yield and selectivity. Both reaction systems are characterized by *trans-*stereoselectivity and selection of appropriate conditions for functionalization depending on the type compounds used.

Conclusions

To sum up, this is the first report on a fully controlled and selective method for the synthesis of new metallocene *π*-conjugated molecular **7**-**14** and macromolecular distyrylferrocenyl organosilicon compounds **P1**, **P2**. The reactions proceed with the high yields and are regiostereo-selective giving *E- and E,E*-products. This methodology can be extended to the synthesis of new class *E*-regular controlled silyl-organic-metallocene and hybrid organicmetallocene-inorganic polymeric materials. All of the compounds were produced in good and high yields. Further results on the use of these compounds for the synthesis of high-molecular weight *π*-conjugated organic and hybrid compounds will be reported in due time. Now we focus on studying optoelectronic, electrochemical and thermal properties of new materials.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the National Science Centre (Poland) (Project UMO-2011/02/A/ST5/00472).

Notes and references

- 1 I. Manners, *Science* 2001, **294**, 1664-1666.
- 2 R. D. Archer, 'Inorganic and Organometallic Polymers', Wiley-VCH, Weinheim 2001.
- 3 T. Ogoshi, H. Itoh, K.-M Kim, Y. Chujo, *Macromolecules* 2002, **35**, 334-338.
- 4 A. S. Abd-El-Aziz, *Macromol. Rapid Commun*. 2002, **23**, 995- 1031.
- 5 Y. Morisaki, H. Chen, Y. Chujo, *J. Organomet. Chem*. 2004, **689**, 2684-2689.
- 6 V. V. Korshak, S. L.Sosin, V. P. Alekseeva *Dokl. Akad Nauk SSSR* 1960, **132**, 360-365.
- 7 A. N. Nesmeyanov, V. V. Korshak, N. S. Voevodskii, S. L. Kochetkova, S. L. Sosin, R. B. Materikova, et al., *Dokl. Akad Nauk SSSR* 1961, **137***,* 1370-1375.
- 8 R. B. Grubbs, *J. Polym. Sci. Part A Polym. Chem.* 2005, **43**, 4323-4336.
- 9 Y. Qin, C. Cui, F. Jäkle, *Macromolecules* 2008, **41,** 2972- 2974.
- 10 L. Ren, C. G. Hardy, C. Tang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, **132**, 8874-8875.
- 11 J. B. Heilmann, M. Schiebitz, Y. Qin, A. Sundararaman, F. Jäkle, T. Kretz, et al., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006, **45**, 920- 925.
- 12 W. K. Chan, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2007, **251**, 2104-2118.
- 13 W.-Y. Wong, P. D. Harvey, *Macromol Rapid Commun* 2010, **31**, 671-713.
- 14 H. Fukumoto, K. Yamane, Y. Kase, T. Yamamoto, *Macromolecules* 2010, **43**, 10366-10375.
- 15 B. J. Holliday, T. M. Swager, *Chem. Commun.* 2005, 23-36. 16 D. E. Herbert, U. F. J. Mayer, I. Manners, *Angew. Chem. Int.*
- *Ed.* 2007, **46**, 5060-5081. 17 V. Bellas, M. Rehahn, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, **46**, 5082-
- 5104.
- 18 R. Knapp, M. Rehahn, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1993, **452**, 235- 240.
- 19 C. Imrie, P. Engelbrecht, C. Loubser, C. W. McCleland, V. O. Nyamori, R. Bogardi, D. C. Levendis, N. Tolom, J. Van Rooyen, N. J. Williams, *J. Organomet. Chem*., 2002, **645**, 65- 81.
- 20 H. Zhang, F. Liu, J. Cao, L. Ling, R-F Sun, *Chinese Journal of Polymer Science,* 2016, **34 (2)**, 242-252.
- 21 B. M. Trost, T. R. Verhoeven, in: G. Wilkinson, F. G. Stone, E. W. Abel (Eds.), *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry,* vol. 8, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1982, pp. 799-938.
- 22 R.. F. Heck, *Palladium Reagents in Organic Synthesis*, Academic Press, New York, 1985.
- 23 J. Hassan, M. Sevignon, *Chem. Rev.* 2002, **102***,* 1359-1469.
- 24 S. Kotha, K. Lahin, D. Kashinath, *Tetrahedron,* 2002, **58**, 9633-9695.
- 25 B. Marciniec, C. Pietraszuk, in *Handbook of Metathesis,* (Ed.: R. H. Grubbs), Wiley, Weinheim, 2003, chapter 2.13.
- 26 B. Marciniec, C. Pietraszuk, *Curr. Org. Chem.* 2003, **7**, 691– 735.
- 27 B. Marciniec, *Coord. Chem. Rev*. 2005, **249**, 2374–2390.
- 28 B. Marciniec, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2007, **40**, 943-952.
- 29 M. Majchrzak, M. Hybsz, S. Kostera, M. Kubicki, B. Marciniec, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2014, **55**, 3055-3058.
- 30 M. Majchrzak, B. Marciniec, M. Kubicki, A. Pawelczyk, *Organometallics*, 2005, **24**, 3731-3736.
- 31 M. Majchrzak, S. Kostera, M. Kubicki, I. Kownacki, *Dalton Transactions* 2013*,* **42**, 15535-15539.
- 32 B. A. Harding, P. R. Melvin, Jr. W. Dougherty, S. Kassel, F. E. Goodson, *Organometallics* 2013, **32**, 3570-3573.
- 33 C. S. Yi, D. W. Lee, Y. Chen, *Organometallics* 1999, **18**, 2043- 2045.
- 34 M. Majchrzak, B. Marciniec, Y. Itami, *Adv. Synth.& Catal.* 2005, **347**, 1285–1294.
- 35 J. Murage, J. W. Eddy, J. R. Zimbalist, T. B. McIntyre, Z. R. Wagner, F. E. Goodson, *Macromolecules* 2008, **41**, 7330−7338.
- 36 F. Paul, J. Patt, J. F. Hartwig, *Organometallics* 1995, **14**, 3030−3039.
- 37 B. Zimmerman, W. I. Dzik, T. Himmler, L. K. Goossen, *J. Org. Chem*. 2011, **76**, 8107−8112.
- 38 Agilent Technologies 2010. CrysAlis PRO (Version 1.171.35.4).
- 39 A. Altomare, G. Cascarano, C. A. Giacovazzo, A. Gualardi, *J. Appl. Cryst.* 1993, **26**, 343-350.
- 40 G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Cryst.* 2008, **A64**, 112-122.

A selective and efficient catalytic way for the synthesis of new styryl-*π-*conjugated silyl-ferrocenes in a stereoselective manner is presented.

