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Formation Mechanism of Plasmonic Silver Nanohexagonal Particles Made by Galvanic Displacement Reaction

Y. S. Yamamoto,*a,b Y. Fujime,c N. Takahashi,*c N. Shunsukeb and T. Itohd

The galvanic displacement reaction (GDR) is a powerful method for the preparation of various plasmonic nanostructures within several minutes. However, the formation mechanism of the nanostructures, which keep plasmonic hotspots, still remains unclear. In this work, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) is applied to the silver nanostructures made by newly-discovered GDR between Ag complex solutions and Cu alloy substrates, which nanostructures form characteristic nanoscale hexagonal columns (NHCs) and generate strong surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) signals of adsorbates. Detailed depth profiles by multi-elements XPS analysis revealed that NHCs are made of Ag metallic cores covered with surface layers composed of copper sulfates and copper oxides which prevent NHCs from fusion, resulting in highly concentrated stable hotspots. These findings explain why NHCs exhibit reproducible SERS signals and the proposed methodology gives new insights for efficient creation of plasmonic nanostructures in a short time.

Introduction

Numerous preparation methods of metallic nanostructures have been developed1-3 because their plasmon resonance, i.e., the coupling of collective oscillations of conduction electrons in the nanostructures with incident light, generates unique plasmonic properties,4,5 which can be extensively applied to surface-enhanced spectroscopy and its expansion,6-10 acceleration of photocatalysis,11 and photo-thermotherapy.12 The control of the plasmonic nanostructures is highly attractive1-3 because the spectral shapes and wavelengths of plasmon resonance are determined by the morphology of the nanostructures. The galvanic displacement reaction (GDR) is one of the most convenient techniques for the production of such nanostructures and has been applied to various surface-enhanced spectroscopies, e.g., surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS).11-13 The advantages of the GDR are its high cost-effectiveness, rapidness, and simplicity in the production processes13-23 as compared with other methods, in particular, lithography methods.1-2 Indeed, GDR-based methods are basically simple; they involve only the addition of a solution containing metal ions onto another metal surface.13 However, the drawback of GDR-based methods is the difficulty in controlling both the formation and optimization of the nanostructures, resulting in a quite low reproducibility of SERS signals as compared with that of other well-controlled methods.24,25 Thus, a detailed analysis of the formation mechanism of the nanostructures is important to improve GDR-based methods for representative plasmonic applications. To clarify the mechanism underlying the formation of the nanostructures, element analysis in the depth direction is a valuable approach. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS)26 is a powerful tool for this purpose, because the short mean free pass of photoelectrons (<several nanometers) enables the multi-element depth profile analysis with a resolution of several angstroms.27-28 In addition, XPS spectra reveal the chemical states of the multi-elements by electronic bonding energies in the nanostructures.29

In this work, we select an interesting GDR method, which was newly discovered in 2013.13 By this GDR, the nanostructures called nanoscale hexagonal columns (NHCs) can be prepared within several minutes just by the addition of thiosulfate–Ag complexes on phosphor bronze substrates and shows SERS activity.13 Usually, metal nanostructures produced by GDR methods fuse to each other, resulting in disappearance of hotspots. The hotspots, which are the crevasses generating strong SERS signal, are essential for generating high SERS signals e.g. with an enhancement factor of 108–12,6,30-32 therefore, the disappearance of the hotspots results in lower SERS signals. However, interestingly, the NHCs were found to not fuse to each other and to maintain a high signal enhancement. Thus, in...
order to clarify this intriguing aspect, the outer surface and internal structures of the NHCs were investigated by XPS depth profile analysis of the NHCs substrates. This analysis revealed that several elements included in the NHCs exhibit different profiles, indicating that NHCs have specific internal structures. The chemical state analysis by XPS shows that the NHCs are covered with thin conductive layers and are present on the Cu depletion layer of the phosphor bronze substrates.

**Experimental**

NHCs on phosphor bronze substrate were synthesized by GDR, as reported elsewhere.\(^\text{13}\) Briefly, to a solution of Na\(_2\)S\(_2\)O\(_3\), NaOH, and H\(_2\)O (0.45 g) in 2 mL of H\(_2\)O, AgCl (0.13 g) was added, and the mixture was stirred until dissolution was complete. The final volume was adjusted to 100 mL using H\(_2\)O. To form the NHCs, the surface of the phosphor bronze substrate (JIS H3110, CS191P; composition of Cu + Sn + P > 99.5%, Sn 5–7%, P 0.03–0.35%, Zn 0.20%, Pb 0.05%, and Fe 0.10%) was scratched with a diamond pen to refresh the surface. Next, the solution containing thiosulfate–Ag complexes [(Ag(S\(_2\)O\(_3\))]\(^{2-}\) was poured on the substrate, left for 120 min, and then blown off to terminate the reaction. Upon addition of the solution, the color of the phosphor bronze substrate turned deep brown from the original metallic bronze color (inset of Figure 1B1), thus facilitating the monitoring of the GDR process.

The shapes of the NHCs were measured using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, JEOL, JSM-6700FZ) operating at 15 kV. XPS analyses were carried out on PHI 5000 Versaprobe (Ulvac-Phi Inc.), operating at 10\(^{-8}\) Pa with monochromated Al Ka radiation (photon energy 1486.6 eV).\(^\text{13}\) Prior to the XPS measurements, the surface of the NHCs was cleaned by sputtering with an Ar\(^+\) ion source of 500 eV for 1 min in order to remove oxygen sourced from the air. The conditions for recording broad XPS spectra, namely survey spectra, were as follows: 25 scans with 1 min per spectrum at a pass energy of 117.4 eV. The conditions for recording narrow XPS spectra were as follows: 100 scans with 40 s per spectrum at a pass energy of 23.5 eV. In order to obtain the XPS depth profiles, the surface of the NHCs was repeatedly sputtered by a series of 10 s bursts of Ar\(^+\) ion beam with 500 eV to expose the new subsurface, and the XPS spectra of each subsurface were measured in sequence. The resolution of the depth profiles was estimated by direct measurement of the standard samples, GaAs, under conditions identical to those used in the current experiments. The GaAs surfaces were sputtered by 1.00 nm per min with an Ar\(^+\) ion source of 500 eV; thus, the sputtering times 1 min corresponds approximately to the depth 1 nm. The X-ray beam was focused on an area with a diameter of 10 \(\mu\)m to collect the XPS signals only from inside the scratch occurring on the substrate. The XPS spectra were analyzed by Igor Pro software ver. 4.0.6.1 using a conventional curve fitting procedure. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded using a Philips PW1710 diffractometer with the Cu KR radiation (\(\lambda=1.54056\) Å) at a scanning rate of 2 degrees per min in 2\(\theta\) patterns were recorded using a conventional curve fitting procedure. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded using a Philips PW1710 diffractometer with the Cu KR radiation (\(\lambda=1.54056\) Å) at a scanning rate of 2 degrees per min in 2\(\theta\) ranging from 20° to 120°. The whole phosphor bronze substrates partly covered with NHCs were supported on glass substrates for XRD measurements.

**Results and discussion**

XPS analysis is a powerful technique to reveal the detailed chemical states of elements in the nanostructures at a resolution of several angstroms along the depth direction.\(^\text{26-28}\) Indeed, XPS has been successfully applied to characterize the internal nanostructures.\(^\text{33}\) However, the resolution of XPS analysis in the lateral direction, performed by focusing the area of the X-ray beam, is of several \(\mu\)m for the light collection optical system used. Thus, in order to avoid complexity in the analysis, the phosphor bronze surface needs to be completely covered with NHCs in the micrometer order. Figure 1A1 and 1A2 show the typical SEM images of the NHCs within 3 min of GDR. The hexagonal shape of NHCs is clear after 3 min of reaction, however, the density of the NHCs on the phosphor bronze surface is too low to record pure XPS spectra of NHCs. According to the time-course study in ref. 13, we have concluded that the formation of Ag nanostructures is taking place along with the GDR. Therefore, in order to avoid signal contamination from the phosphor bronze surface, the reaction time was increased from 3 to 120 min and a surface completely covered with NHCs was obtained. Figure 1B1 and 1B2 illustrate the typical SEM images of the NHCs within 120 min of GDR. Figure 1B2 shows that the NHCs grow perpendicularly and overlap each other. The SEM observation indicates that, on increasing the side length \(l\), individual NHCs grow in the lateral direction rather than in the thickness direction. Figures 1C and 1D show the histograms of the side length \(l\) of NHCs for a GDR time of 3 and 120 min, respectively. The average values of \(l\) were 40 nm for a GDR time of 3 min and 69 nm for a GDR time of 120 min. These results, illustrated in Figures 1E and 1F, respectively, suggest that the NHCs with a GDR time of 120 min form a single NHC layer on the phosphor bronze surfaces without vacancy, indicating that the NHC samples are suitable for XPS analysis. Note that for the better morphology analysis, high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) was applied to visualize the shapes of NHCs,
however, we didn’t succeeded it because of the thickness of the phosphor bronze substrate (~0.3 mm).

To identify the elements included in NHCs, the surfaces of NHCs are measured by XPS with large spectral windows. Figure 2 shows the XPS survey spectrum with assignments of each element peak. The peaks in the XPS survey spectrum are attributed to Ag 3d$_{5/2}$, Sn 3d$_{5/2}$, Cu 2p$_{3/2}$, O 1s, S 2p, and C 1s, indicating that the outermost surface of NHCs is composed of these atoms. The proposed reactions involved in the present GDR process are:

$$\text{Ag}^+ + n\text{S}_2\text{O}_3^- \rightleftarrows [\text{Ag}_n\text{S}_2\text{O}_3]_3^{(3n-1)}$$

$$\text{Ag} \rightarrow \text{Ag}^0$$

All elements involved in Eq. (1) are found in Figure 2. O, Ag, and S atoms are originally contained in the thiosulfate-Ag complexes used to create NHCs. O atoms can be contaminants, but are also involved in the GDR process. The appearance of Cu and Sn atoms, which are present in the substrate and not included in the reaction solution, may be attributed to the dissolution of the substrate by the thiosulfate complex and its transfer to the NHC surface. C atoms are well-known contaminants of XPS, and will, therefore, be excluded from the discussion of the internal structures of the NHCs. The elements discussed herein are consistent with previous EDS results of NHCs. Thus, we conclude that Ag, Cu, Sn, O, S, and C atoms are present in the surface of the NHCs.

XPS depth profiles of Ag 3d$_{5/2}$, Sn 3d$_{5/2}$, Cu 2p$_{3/2}$, O 1s, S 2p, and C 1s were carried out to investigate the internal structures of the NHCs. The detailed chemical states of Ag, Cu, Sn, O, S, and C atoms are analyzed from the spectral peak energies. Figures 3A–3F show the depth profiles of the XPS spectra of these elements. Figures 4A–4F illustrate the XPS depth profiles of these elements. Figures 4A–4F show the XPS signal intensities of Ag 3d$_{5/2}$ rapidly increase (0–10 min), saturate (10–60 min), and slowly decrease (60–100 min), indicating that the amount of Ag atoms on the surface region (0–10 min) is less than the amount at other depths. Sn atoms are originally included in the phosphor bronze substrate and exist independently in the metal state without bonding to other elements. The existence of metallic Ag, which has rich conduction electrons resulting in strong plasmonic resonance, is consistent with the strong SERS signal generated from the molecules adsorbed on the NHCs. For further confirmation of the Ag atoms in the metal state, the XRD patterns were taken. Larger peaks at 43.1, 50.1 and 73.5 degrees are assigned to Cu and Sn, which are main contents of phosphor bronze substrate. Smaller peaks at 38.5, 45.0, 65.2 and 78.4 degrees are all assigned to Ag fcc phase, thus Ag atoms inside NHCs synthesized using this method existed in fcc phase. The lattice constant of Ag calculated from this XRD pattern was 4.05 Å, which is close to the reported data (4.086 Å). Therefore, we conclude that Ag atoms exist in the metal state inside NHCs. The SERS activity of the NHCs is also supports the existence of Ag atoms in the metal state.

Next, the amount and the chemical state of Sn atoms are examined. Figure 3B shows that the XPS peak of Sn 3d$_{5/2}$ at 368.3 eV does not shift and maintains a Gaussian line shape during the intensity change, suggesting that Ag atoms do not bond to other elements and exist in NHCs in the Ag$^+$ state at every depth. In addition, Figure 4A shows that the intensity profile of Ag 3d$_{5/2}$ is different from that of other elements; thus, clearly, Ag atoms exist independently in the metal state without bonding to other elements. The existence of metallic Ag, which has rich conduction electrons resulting in strong plasmonic resonance, is consistent with the strong SERS signal generated from the molecules adsorbed on the NHCs. For further confirmation of the Ag atoms in the metal state, the XRD patterns were taken. Larger peaks at 43.1, 50.1 and 73.5 degrees are assigned to Cu and Sn, which are main contents of phosphor bronze substrate. Smaller peaks at 38.5, 45.0, 65.2 and 78.4 degrees are all assigned to Ag fcc phase, thus Ag atoms inside NHCs synthesized using this method existed in fcc phase. The lattice constant of Ag calculated from this XRD pattern was 4.05 Å, which is close to the reported data (4.086 Å). Therefore, we conclude that Ag atoms exist in the metal state inside NHCs. The SERS activity of the NHCs is also supports the existence of Ag atoms in the metal state.

We herein start discussion of the amount and the chemical state of the Ag atoms in each depth. Figure 4A shows that the XPS signal intensities of Ag 3d$_{5/2}$ rapidly increase (0–10 min), saturate (10–60 min), and slowly decrease (60–100 min), indicating that the amount of Ag atoms on the surface region (0–10 min) is less than the amount at other depths. Sn atoms are originally included in the phosphor bronze substrate and exist independently in the metal state without bonding to other elements. Sn atoms are mainly contained in the thiosulfate-Ag complexes used to create NHCs. O atoms can be contaminants, but are also involved in the GDR process. The appearance of Cu and Sn atoms, which are present in the substrate and not included in the reaction solution, may be attributed to the dissolution of the substrate by the thiosulfate complex and its transfer to the NHC surface. C atoms are well-known contaminants of XPS, and will, therefore, be included in the discussion of the internal structures of the NHCs. The elements discussed herein are consistent with previous EDS results of NHCs. Thus, we conclude that Ag, Cu, Sn, O, S, and C atoms are present in the surface of the NHCs.

XPS depth profiles of Ag 3d$_{5/2}$, Sn 3d$_{5/2}$, Cu 2p$_{3/2}$, O 1s, S 2p, and C 1s were carried out to investigate the internal structures of the NHCs. The detailed chemical states of Ag, Cu, Sn, O, S, and C atoms are analyzed from the spectral peak energies. Figures 3A–3F show the depth profiles of the XPS spectra of these elements. Figures 4A–4F illustrate the XPS depth profiles of these elements. Figures 4A–4F show the XPS signal intensities of Ag 3d$_{5/2}$ rapidly increase (0–10 min), saturate (10–60 min), and slowly decrease (60–100 min), indicating that the amount of Ag atoms on the surface region (0–10 min) is less than the amount at other depths. Sn atoms are originally included in the phosphor bronze substrate and exist independently in the metal state without bonding to other elements. The existence of metallic Ag, which has rich conduction electrons resulting in strong plasmonic resonance, is consistent with the strong SERS signal generated from the molecules adsorbed on the NHCs. For further confirmation of the Ag atoms in the metal state, the XRD patterns were taken. Larger peaks at 43.1, 50.1 and 73.5 degrees are assigned to Cu and Sn, which are main contents of phosphor bronze substrate. Smaller peaks at 38.5, 45.0, 65.2 and 78.4 degrees are all assigned to Ag fcc phase, thus Ag atoms inside NHCs synthesized using this method existed in fcc phase. The lattice constant of Ag calculated from this XRD pattern was 4.05 Å, which is close to the reported data (4.086 Å). Therefore, we conclude that Ag atoms exist in the metal state inside NHCs. The SERS activity of the NHCs is also supports the existence of Ag atoms in the metal state.
Figure 4 shows that the XPS signal intensities of Cu 2p (1)), the possible chemical states of the Cu atoms in NHC layer are considering the chemical components Cu, O and S in the GDR (Eq. 60–100 min). It is natural that Cu atoms detected much in the layer of >50 nm, which is estimated as the original phosphor bronze substrate. In the phosphor bronze substrate layer, Cu atoms decrease (0–10 min), remain constant (10–60 min), and increase at a depth of >50 nm, which is estimated as the NHC layer. Thus, the layer between 50–80 nm can be explained by standard chemical reactions of GDR, we discuss that later. This transference also suggests the presence of a depletion layer of Cu atoms beneath the NHCs, indeed, the depletion layer can be observed as the difference between the recovery slope of Cu 2p3/2 and that of Sn 3d5/2 (Figures 4B and 4C).

The examination of the chemical state of Cu atoms is important but rather complicated, because XPS spectra vary during the depth profile of Cu atoms. Figure 3C demonstrates that the XPS peak of Cu 2p3/2 at 932.8 eV at 0 min shifts to 932.6 eV from 3 to 100 min. Figure 4C shows that the XPS signal intensities of Cu 2p3/2 rapidly decrease (0–10 min), remain constant (10–60 min), and increase (60–100 min). It is natural that Cu atoms detected much in the layer at a depth of >50 nm, which is estimated as the original phosphor bronze substrate, because Cu is a main component of phosphor bronze substrate. In the phosphor bronze substrate layer, Cu atoms must exist as Cu metal (932.61 eV in XPS spectra of Cu 2p3/2). However, Cu atoms are not contained in reaction solution, thus, Cu atoms detected at a depth of <50 nm, i.e. the NHC layer, should be transferred from the phosphor bronze substrate by the GDR. This can be explained by standard chemical reactions of GDR, we discuss that later. This transference also suggests the presence of a depletion layer of Cu atoms beneath the NHCs, indeed, the depletion layer can be observed as the difference between the recovery slope of Cu 2p3/2 and that of Sn 3d5/2 (Figures 4B and 4C).

Considering the chemical components Cu, O and S in the GDR (Eq. (1))), the possible chemical states of the Cu atoms in NHC layer are CuO, Cu2O, CuS, and Cu2S. Their electron binding energies of Cu 2p3/2 are reported to be 932.61, 933.57, 932.43, 932.2, and 932.5 eV, respectively. Thus, no candidates for the peak at 932.8 eV (0 min, outermost surface in Fig. 3C), and CuO, Cu2O, CuS, and Cu2S are candidates for the peak at 932.6 eV (3–50 min, i.e., <50 nm except the outermost surface). In order to clarify the chemical state of Cu atoms on the outermost surface (0 min), the conventional curve fitting procedure was applied to the XPS peak of Cu 2p3/2 at 932.8 eV at 0 min. As shown in Fig. 6A, the peak at 932.8 eV at 0 min was separated into two peaks, 933.4 and 932.7 eV, which can be assigned to the phosphor bronze substrate. Of note is that as shown in Figure 4A and 4C, on the outermost surface at 0 min, CuO also exists with other unknown Cu compounds at the ratio of 1:2 (from the peak intensity ratio in Fig. 6A). Of note is that as shown in Figure 4A and 4C, on the outermost surface at 0 min, the amount of Cu atoms is more than 5 times that of Ag atoms, indicating that the main content of the outermost surface is Cu atoms, not Ag atoms. This means that the Ag2 mainly forming NHCs is not naked but covered with CuO and other Cu compounds.

However, at a depth of <50 nm, it is difficult to identify the chemical state of the Cu atoms only from the peak position of Cu 2p3/2. Thus, we tried to estimate the chemical states of S atoms from by XPS spectra of S 2p2/3. The XPS spectra of S 2p (Figure 3E) always exhibit two peaks, at 161.9 and 163 eV, which can be assigned to the doublet of S 2p, meaning no information is obtained about the chemical states of S atoms. However, S atoms are not detected from the original phosphor bronze substrate by XPS measurements (data not shown), thus, the S atoms detected at any depth in Figure 3E must be sourced from the reaction solution as a thiosulfate ion (S2O32−). From the proposed literature, S2O32− forms thiosulfate-Cu complexes with Cu ions and the disproportionation of thiosulfate-Cu complexes gives both CuS and Cu2S. Thus, in the present GDR, it is considerable that S atoms sourced as a thiosulfate ion (S2O32−) forms thiosulfate-Cu complexes with Cu ions and disproportionate into both CuS and Cu2S. In addition, by the present GDR Cu ions can be generated from Cu2O metals. The detailed chemical reactions will be summarized later.
We here also examine Cu$_2$O as one of the chemical states of the Cu atoms with analyzing of the XPS spectra of O 1s. The XPS spectra of O 1s have a peak at 530.3 eV (Figure 3D, 0-100 min). As illustrated in Figure 4D, the XPS signal intensities of O 1s decrease (0–20 min) and then sharply increase (40–100 min). The electron binding energies of O 1s for CuO and Cu$_2$O are reported to be 529.68 and 530.2 eV, respectively. Thus, the chemical state of the O atoms at 530.3 eV is expected to be Cu$_2$O. O atoms are not detected from the XPS spectra obtained from the original phosphor bronze substrate after the surface sputtering of twenty nm by Ar$^+$ ion beam (data not shown); thus, the increase in the O 1s signals from 40 to 100 min indicates that O atoms sourced from reaction solution (thiosulfate ion (S$_2$O$_3^{2-}$)) percolate into phosphor bronze substrate then may form Cu$_2$O. This is consistent with the electron binding energy of Cu 2p$_{3/2}$ for Cu$_2$O, which is reported to be 932.43 eV, a value close to that of Cu$_2$S shown in Figure 3C (932.5 eV). Therefore, considering the XPS spectra peaks of Cu 2p$_{3/2}$, S 2p and O 1s as well as the possible chemical reactions of all the contents, chemical state of Cu atoms is estimated as CuO, CuS, Cu$_2$S and Cu$_2$O for 0 min and CuS, Cu$_2$S and Cu$_2$O for 3-100 min. To quantify the chemical state of Cu atoms, we tried to reproduce the depth profiles of S and O atom by changing the abundance ratios of CuS, Cu$_2$S and Cu$_2$O. Figures 6B and 6C show the best reproduction as explained below. Diamonds (◆) in Figs. 5B and 5C indicate the numbers of S and O atoms experimentally obtained, respectively, these are the same with Figures 4E and 4D, respectively. △ and ■ in Figs. 5B and 5C indicate the reproduced numbers of S and O atoms by assuming CuS : Cu$_2$S : Cu$_2$O = 1:1:2. × indicate the reproduced numbers of S atoms by assuming CuS : Cu$_2$O = 1:1. These reproduced depth profiles (△, ■ and ×) are well-fitted to the experimental profiles (◆) from 0-50 min, indicating in the NHC layer <50 nm, CuS, Cu$_2$S and Cu$_2$O are included as Cu components. The reproduced depth profiles do not fit the experimental profiles >50 nm owing to the presence of substrates.

At last, the process of the NHCs formation is summarized by considering the reported chemical reactions involving common chemical components. From Equation (1), the present GDR is categorized as the electroless plating under galvanic displacement reaction involving the silver ions and copper without any reducing agents. This electroless plating is started by adding the thiosulfate-Ag complexes [Ag(S$_2$O$_3$)$_n$]$^{2(n-1)}$ to the phosphor bronze substrate which contains the Cu metal. The ionization tendency of Ag is lower than that of Cu, thus the Cu metal dissolves into Cu$^+$ and Cu$^{2+}$.
Ag + nS\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} \xrightarrow{} \{\text{Ag}(S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3})\}_{n}\textsuperscript{2-} (n=1-3)

Then Ag\textsuperscript{+} ions are deposited onto the phosphor bronze substrate as the Ag\textsuperscript{2+} metal, forming the core of NHCs.\textsuperscript{39} While, thiosulfate ions (S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-}) forms new complexes with Cu ions as:

Cu\textsuperscript{2+} + nS\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} \xrightarrow{} \{\text{Cu}(S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3})\}_{n}\textsuperscript{2-} (n=1-3)

Cu\textsuperscript{2+} + 2e\textsuperscript{-} \xrightarrow{} Cu (E\textsubscript{0}=0.377V) (2)

and the thiosulfate-Cu complexes generate CuS, Cu\textsubscript{2}S and H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} in aqueous conditions:\textsuperscript{39}

2[\text{Cu}(S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3})\textsuperscript{2-}] + 3[\text{Cu}(S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3})\textsuperscript{3-}] + 3H\textsubscript{2}O
\xrightarrow{} 3CuS + Cu\textsubscript{2}S + 3H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} + S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} (4)

The generation of Cu\textsubscript{2}S and CuS from Cu metal is the reason why the GDR continuously proceeds and generates larger NHCs. S atoms sourced from the reaction solution as S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} can act as a counterpart of the Cu atoms to dissolve Cu metal as thiosulfate-Cu complexes then the thiosulfate-Cu complexes generate both CuS and Cu\textsubscript{2}S. Both CuS and Cu\textsubscript{2}S are stable products in the present reaction condition.\textsuperscript{39} H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} accelerates the reactions with the generation of the Cu\textsuperscript{2+} from the Cu metal:\textsuperscript{39}

Cu + 2H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} \xrightarrow{} Cu\textsuperscript{2+} + SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} + SO\textsubscript{2} + 2H\textsubscript{2}O (5)

In summary, the internal structure of the NHCs and their growth is illustrated in Fig. 7A. The XPS analysis revealed that the NHCs contain mainly Ag\textsuperscript{2+} metal, the surface of the NHCs is covered with a thin outermost layer which consists of CuO, Cu\textsubscript{2}S, CuS and CuO over the second layer which consists of CuS, Cu\textsubscript{2}S and CuO. Cu depletion layer is also formed under the NHCs. Thus, the growing process of the NHCs is as follows: at first, seeds of NHCs are sparsely generated from Ag complexes by receiving electrons from the Cu\textsuperscript{2+} metal in the substrate; the seeds then grow and overlap each other. During the process, Cu ions bind to S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} ions, mainly forming CuS, Cu\textsubscript{2}S and CuO and covering the Ag\textsuperscript{2+} cores of the NHCs. Thus the main galvanic reaction equation is:

2Ag\textsubscript{2}(S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{n}\textsuperscript{(2n-1)} + 5Cu\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{3n} + 3H\textsubscript{2}O
\rightarrow 2Ag\textsuperscript{0} + 3CuS + Cu\textsubscript{2}S + 3H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} + 5S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} + SnP (n=2-3) (2)

The reduced Ag\textsuperscript{+} ions are immediately incorporated in the crystal lattice of Ag\textsuperscript{0}. Figure 7A also illustrates the internal structure of the NHCs. The crucial element of the XPS analysis is the presence of about the 10-nm-thick second layer on the NHCs, covered with the 3-nm-thin outermost layer. The electric resistances of Cu\textsubscript{2}S (10\textsuperscript{5} \(\Omega\text{m}\)), CuS (10\textsuperscript{3} \(\Omega\text{m}\)) and CuO (>10\textsuperscript{6} \(\Omega\text{m}\)) are much higher than that of Ag\textsuperscript{0} (10\textsuperscript{8} \(\Omega\text{m}\)). Thus, the CuS layer serves as a dielectric layer and prevents NHCs from fusing to each other, resulting in stable SERS-active junctions in the NHC aggregates.\textsuperscript{13} To further confirm the presence of the surface layer of NHCs, the dielectric breakdown induced by continuous electron beam irradiation was examined using both metallic Ag NPs, fabricated by the Lee-Meisel method,\textsuperscript{18} and NHCs. Figure 6B displays the SEM images of Ag NPs (Figures 7B1 and 7B2) and NHCs (Figures 7B3 and 7B4) before and after continuous electron beam irradiation, respectively. The dielectric breakdown appears as roughened surfaces only in the NHCs in Figure 7B4, indicating that their surfaces are covered with a dielectric layer, mainly containing CuS, Cu\textsubscript{2}S and CuO.

The rationale for the formation of hexagonal shapes of Ag\textsuperscript{0} is still unclear; however, several reports on CuS and Cu\textsubscript{2}S hexagonal nanoparticles\textsuperscript{49-52} imply that Ag\textsuperscript{0} nanoparticles are stabilized by the formation of hexagonal shapes, which can be easily covered with the layer including CuS and Cu\textsubscript{2}S. Indeed, hexagonal Ag\textsuperscript{0} nanoparticles are reproducibly synthesized under proper conditions.\textsuperscript{1,2,53,54}

Conclusions

XPS analysis was carried out to clarify the formation mechanism of NHCs made by the newly-discovered GDR between a Ag complex solution and a Cu alloy substrate. Multi-element XPS depth profile analysis revealed that NHCs are mainly made of metallic Ag atoms principally covered with a thin surface layers composed of copper sulfates and copper oxides, which prevents NHCs from fusing. These findings explain the strong plasmonic resonance of NHCs for sensitive SERS detection. In the proposed formation mechanism, the effects of the O atoms in the atmosphere on the GDR process were neglected. Thus, in order to obtain high-quality NHCs, the preparation of NHCs under a nitrogen atmosphere, excluding atmospheric oxygen, will be designed. The XPS analysis by synchrotron radiation facility will provide more detailed information to elucidate the unknown counterparts of the Cu atoms occurring at 932.8 eV in the spectrum of Cu\textsubscript{2}P\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} and will help improve the quality of the NHCs for further plasmonic applications. The rationale for the formation of hexagonal shapes of Ag\textsuperscript{0} is unclear; however, several reports on CuS and Cu\textsubscript{2}S hexagonal nanoparticles\textsuperscript{49-52} imply that Ag\textsuperscript{0} nanoparticles are stabilized by the formation of hexagonal shapes, which can be easily covered with the surface layers composed of copper sulfate and copper oxide. Indeed, hexagonal Ag\textsuperscript{0} nanoparticles are reproducibly synthesized under proper conditions\textsuperscript{1,2,53,54} as well as both CuS and Cu\textsubscript{2}S forms hexagonal shape nanoparticles.\textsuperscript{49-52}
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