Synthesis, Optoelectronic properties and Photovoltaic Performances of Wide Band-Gap Copolymers Based on Dibenzosilole and Quinoxaline units, Rivals to P3HT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Journal:</th>
<th>Polymer Chemistry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manuscript ID</td>
<td>PY-ART-02-2016-000370.R2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Article Type:</td>
<td>Paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date Submitted by the Author:</td>
<td>02-May-2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Complete List of Authors: | CAFFY, Florent; CEA, INAC-SPRAM; CNRS, INAC-SPRAM; Universite Grenoble Alpes, INAC-SPRAM
DELBOSC, Nicolas; CEA, INAC-SPRAM; CNRS, INAC-SPRAM; Universite Grenoble Alpes, INAC-SPRAM
Chavez, Patricia; ECPM LIPHT, Organic Chemistry
Lévêque, Patrick; UdS-CNRS, ICube
FAURE-VINCENT, Jérôme; CEA, INAC-SPRAM; CNRS, INAC-SPRAM; Universite Grenoble Alpes, INAC-SPRAM
TRAVERS, Jean-Pierre; CEA, INAC-SPRAM; CNRS, INAC-SPRAM; Universite Grenoble Alpes, INAC-SPRAM
Djurado, David; CEA, INAC-SPRAM; CNRS, INAC-SPRAM; Universite Grenoble Alpes, INAC-SPRAM
PECAUT, Jacques; CEA, INAC-SyMMES; Université Grenoble Alpes, INAC-SyMMES
GREVIN, Benjamin; CEA, INAC-SPRAM; CNRS, INAC-SPRAM; Universite Grenoble Alpes, INAC-SPRAM
LEMAITRE, Noëlla; CEA, LITEN-DTS-INES
Leclerc, Nicolas; UdS-CNRS, LIPHT
DEMADRILLE, Renaud; CEA, INAC-SPRAM; CNRS, INAC-SPRAM; Universite Grenoble Alpes, INAC-SPRAM |
Synthesis, Optoelectronic properties and Photovoltaic Performances of Wide Band-Gap Copolymers Based on Dibenzosilole and Quinoxaline units, Rivals to P3HT


Three π-conjugated alternating copolymers, based on dibenzosilole as an electron-rich unit and fluorinated or non-fluorinated quinoxaline as an electron-withdrawing unit, connected through thiazole or thiophene moieties, have been synthesized, fully characterized and applied as a donor in polymer solar cells (PSCs). The three copolymers, namely PDBS-TQx, PDBS-TQxF and PDBS-TzQx, are belonging to wide band-gap semiconductors materials family, and they show an absorption edge in the visible close to 650 nm. In order to tune the position of the polymers energy levels, and in particular to decrease their HOMO energy level, we compare the use of thiazole spacer sandwiching the electron-deficient moiety as an alternative way to the popular backbone fluorination. PSCs based on a blend of PDBS-TQx and [6,6]-phenyl-C71-butyric acid methylester (PC71BM) as an active layer have shown the best device performances with a maximum power conversion efficiency of 5.14% for the active area of 0.28 cm² (under standard illumination of AM 1.5G, 1000 W/m²). Interestingly this polymer outperform P3HT:(PC61BM) solar cells used as a reference material in this work. In addition to thorough characterization data, including among others spectroscopies, XRD, OFET, AFM and nc-AFM, we discuss in details the relationship between the chemical structures of the three polymers, their optoelectronic properties, the phase separation in blends with PC71BM and their photovoltaic performances.

Introduction

Polymer solar cells (PSC) are considered as a promising technology for renewable energy sources, owing to their numerous advantages compared to other technologies employing inorganic semi-conductors. They have attracted the interest of many academic and industrial research teams since it has been demonstrated that PSC can be manufactured on lightweight, flexible and biodegradable substrates and that they can be deposited on a large area using printing technologies, which reduces the cost and the energy payback time of the solar cells. In the last decade, with the goal to improve the attractiveness of PSC for future industrial production, many works have been focused on the development of more efficient devices by synthesizing new materials, optimizing interface layers and designing new device architectures.

While conventional bulk-heterojunction devices based on narrow band-gap (NBG) polymers in a single cell are now grazing the theoretical PCE limit with efficiencies around 11%, new approaches based on the multiple absorbing species such as multiple-junction architectures and ternary blend based devices have showed highly promising performances with PCE records of 11.83% and 10.50% respectively.

Tandem devices are fabricated by superposing vertically single bulk-heterojunction junction solar cells that are electronically connected by an appropriate recombination layer. This device architecture allows broadening the absorption spectra of the final devices by employing organic semiconducting materials with complementary absorption domains in the different sub-cells. Ternary blend devices address the similar issue of a more efficient solar photon harvesting by using multiple absorbing species into a single active layer. Such a ternary solar cell can still benefit the easy fabrication process and has known a renewed interest in last years. For the fabrication of such panchromatic devices it exists a large variety of NBG materials that are capable to absorb visible and near-infra red light. Most of these polymers show PCE over 8% in single junction solar cells. To the contrary,
the number of wide band-gap (WBG) materials capable to harvest photons at shorter wavelengths and showing sufficiently high performances for use in tandem or multi-junction devices is limited to few examples.\textsuperscript{22–25}

To date, the most used WBG material in panchromatic devices is still the poly(3-hexylthiophene) (P3HT). This polymer when blended with fullerene derivatives [6,6]-phenyl-C61-butyric acid methyl ester (PCBM) or the indene-C60 bis-adduct (ICBM) can achieve PCE of 5\%\textsuperscript{22} and 6.5\% respectively in single cell.\textsuperscript{26} However P3HT has some limitations regarding the position of its highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) energy level that impedes to achieve high open circuit voltage (\(V_{oc}\)) in solar cells. Only few WBG polymers can outperform P3HT in the shorter wavelengths region (350 nm to 650 nm).\textsuperscript{27,28} To improve the currently reported record efficiencies of tandem and multi-junction cells it is now essential to develop new WBG materials alternative to P3HT showing better photovoltaic performances. Ideally these materials should combine a wider band-gap (\(E_{g} > 1.9\) eV), a good mobility of the charge carriers in order to maximize the short-circuit current-density \(J_{sc}\), and a low-lying HOMO energy level\textsuperscript{29} that enables to achieve larger open circuit voltage (\(V_{oc}\)).

In this contribution, we report on the synthesis and the characterization of three wide band-gap copolymers (1.95 eV < \(E_{g} < 2.00\) eV) combining dibenzosilole and quinoxaline moieties. The quinoxaline and the dibenzosilole units were linked together either with a thiophene or a thiazole spacer to give the copolymers named PDBS-TQx, PDBS-TQxF, and PDBS-TzQx. The copolymers have been fully characterized optically and electronically prior to their use in bulk-heterojunction solar cells with fullerene derivatives.\textsuperscript{30–38} Previous works have shown that the incorporation of fluorine atoms on the benzene ring of quinoxaline or ben佐thiadiazole decreases the HOMO energy levels of the corresponding polymers leading to increased \(V_{oc}\) of the PSC and increases the polymer crystallization and polymer domains purity.\textsuperscript{39–41} Finally, we also investigated the use of thiazole spacer between D and A moieties instead of standard thiophene, as it has been shown recently that such modification could lead to a beneficial translation of both HOMO and LUMO levels towards deeper energy levels.\textsuperscript{42} The copolymers synthesis requires first the preparation of a 1,2-bis(5-octylthiophen-2-yl)ethane-1,2-dione.\textsuperscript{43} The facile access to the polymers we have designed symmetric precursors containing a central quinoxaline unit flanked with the selected spacers (thiophene or thiazole). The copolymers can then be prepared from these monomers employing palladium-mediated cross-coupling polymerization conditions. The synthesis routes of the symmetric monomers are shown in Scheme 1. 4,7-di((thiophen-2-yl)benzo[c][1,2,5]thiadiazole (1),\textsuperscript{44} 1,2-bis(5-octylthiophen-2-yl)ethane-1,2-dione (2),\textsuperscript{45} 1,4-dibromo-2,3-difluoro-5,6-dinitrobenzene (3),\textsuperscript{46} 4,7-di(thiazol-2-yl)benzo[c][1,2,5]thiadiazole (5)\textsuperscript{47} were synthesized according to literature procedures. The target quinoxaline monomer TQx was obtained by reduction with zinc powder of the [1,2,5]-ben佐thiadiazole unit in (1) to give the corresponding diamino derivative that is later involved in a condensation reaction with the 1,2-bis(5-octylthiophen-2-yl)ethane-1,2-dione. The bromination of the resulting molecule using N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) afforded the desired monomer TQx-BH. The analog of TQx-BH, i.e. TzQx-BH in which thiophene are replaced by thiazole rings, was prepared from 4,7-di(thiazol-2-yl)benzo[c][1,2,5]thiadiazole (5)\textsuperscript{47} using the same procedures.

Results and discussion

Materials synthesis

As discussed in the introduction, the ideal WBG copolymer for BHJ solar cells should possess a low-lying HOMO energy level to ensure a high \(V_{oc}\) in solar cells. One useful method to control the HOMO and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) energy levels of the donor materials is to design copolymers using electron-rich and electron-deficient units in order to build an alternating Donor-Acceptor structure.\textsuperscript{30} Such D/A structures offer large possibilities to tune independently the HOMO and LUMO energy levels.\textsuperscript{31} The combination of a weak donor segment and a medium to strong acceptor motif in the polymer backbone is a good strategy to maintain a low HOMO energy level and to achieve an intense absorption in the visible thanks to the internal charge transfer (ICT) absorption band.\textsuperscript{30} For the preparation of our three copolymers, we have selected a quinoxaline\textsuperscript{32} motif as electron-deficient unit and a dibenzosilole unit as electron-rich one. Dibenzosilole was chosen because of its high robustness compared to carbazole and fluorene units. Despite of quite similar electronic properties these moieties are known to undergo photo-oxidation processes upon light exposure leading to the degradation of their photovoltaic performances.\textsuperscript{33,34} The choice of the quinoxaline unit was related to the possibility to adjust its electro-withdrawing character by appropriate functionalizations either on the benzene or on the pyrazine ring.\textsuperscript{35} Therefore to decrease the electron-withdrawing strength of the quinoxaline and to improve the solubility of the final polymers, two alkylthiophenes have been introduced on the pyrazine ring. Additionally we have investigated the effect of swapping H for F in the benzene ring of the quinoxaline on the materials properties. Fluorination is one of the most convenient and widely employed tools to fine-tune the energy levels and structural properties of copolymers.\textsuperscript{36–38}
Finally, the fluorinated analogue of TQxF-Br was prepared by a slightly different manner. First, 1,4-dibromo-2,3-difluoro-5,6-dinitrobenzene was synthesized by nitration from 1,4-dibromo-2,3-difluorobenzene. Then 1,4-Bis(2-thienyl)-2,3-difluoro-5,6-dinitrobenzene (4) was obtained starting from compound 3 and tributyl(thiophen-2-yl)stannane using Stille coupling conditions.

**Insert Scheme 1**

The TQxF monomer was prepared by reduction of the nitro groups using Ti(II) chloride followed by a condensation on 1,2-bis(5-octylthiophen-2-yl)ethane-1,2-dione (2). This molecule was then brominated with NBS to afford the monomer TQxF-Br.

With all the monomers ready, the preparation of the three new D-A type copolymers PDBS-TQx, PDBS-TQxF and PDBS-TzQx was achieved by Suzuki coupling polycondensation between the 5,5-dihexyl-3,7-bis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)5H-dibenzo[b,d]silole and the corresponding dibromo-quinoxaline building blocks using Pd(dbdt_Bu_3)PHBF_4 as catalyst. The synthetic conditions and the structures of the copolymers are shown in Scheme 2. The copolymers were obtained as purple solids with yields varying between 30% and 70%. After the synthesis, they were purified by precipitation and Soxhlet extraction with methanol, cyclohexane, and chloroform. They showed good solubility in common organic solvents such as chloroform, THF, and chlorobenzene.

The molecular weights of the polymers were determined by Size Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) using tetrahydrofuran as eluent at 40°C and calibration with polystyrene standards. PDBS-TQx, PDBS-TQxF, and PDBS-TzQx revealed respectively an average molecular weight (Mn) of 48.8 kg.mol⁻¹, 34.2 kg.mol⁻¹ and 18.9 kg.mol⁻¹ and a polydispersity index (PDI) of 3.3, 2.5, and 2.2. The lower molecular weight of the thiazole derivative is assumed to come from the lower solubility of thiazole-based monomers.

**Insert scheme 2**

**Optical properties of the copolymers**

To further replace advantageously P3HT in tandem or ternary-based devices, the WBG materials should possess an intense absorption coefficient in the 350-650 nm region. UV-visible absorption spectra of the copolymers and PDBS-TzQx were recorded in chloroform solutions and in thin film casted from chlorobenzene solutions. The spectra are presented in Fig. 1a and 1b for the solution and thin films respectively, and the results are summarized in Table 2. The three polymers show quite similar in shape absorption spectra both in solution and in thin film. In solution they show a first maximum absorption peak in the UV part located between 381 nm and 406 nm corresponding to the π-π* transitions. They also possess a second peak that is clearly distinguished at longer wavelengths with a λ_max comprised between 526 nm and 546 nm. This second absorption band, typical in D-A chemical structures, corresponds to internal charge-transfer transitions (ICT) between the electron-rich and the electron-deficient segments.

The absorption profiles of the three polymers are shifted toward longer wavelengths going from the solution to the solid state. In thin films, the maximum of the UV absorption peak is slightly red-shifted by 4 nm to 13 nm, whereas the peak corresponding to the ICT absorption is strongly shifted for all the polymers (by 19 nm for PDBS-TQx, 27 nm for PDBS-TQxF and 43 nm for PDBS-TzQx). These behaviours indicate better delocalization of the electrons of the π-conjugated systems in solid state and they reflect that strong π-stacking interactions occur between polymer chains (see figure 1b). It could be noticed that all polymers present really similar absorption profiles with identical band-gap measured in solid state.

**Insert figure 1**

The photoluminescence spectra of the polymers were also recorded in solution and in thin films. We observed a strong shift of the emission peaks from circa 630 nm in solution to circa 720 nm in solid state (see Fig. S1). This shift is fully consistent with the better interactions between polymer chains in thin film.

**Structural organization of the copolymers**

Looking closer at the spectra, in addition to the larger bathochromic shifts observed for PDBS-TQxF and PDBS-TzQx, one can notice some features in the ICT absorption bands of these two polymers. This may be an indication that these two polymers give rise to π-stacking interactions in solid state. To verify this hypothesis X-Ray diffraction experiments were carried out on casted polymer films. All polymers diffract rather weakly, but there are nevertheless distinct diffraction features in pure films that are detected. The presence, in all the diffractograms (see Fig.2), of one peak centred at 3.10-3.15 Å corresponds to the silicon substrate. All the three copolymers exhibit one Bragg peak centred in the 17-21 Å d-range which can fit well the periodic lateral distance between main chains. (Table 1).

**Insert Figure 2**

We also note the systematic presence of faint peaks localised between 21°< 2θ < 25°, corresponding to d-spacings of 4.23, 4.83 and 4.14 Å for PDBS-TzQx, PDBS-TQxF and PDBS-TzQx, respectively. These distances can be considered as average correlation distances separating first neighbour molecules in the direction perpendicular to the axis of the polymer backbone. These distances stay relatively large because of Van der Waals interactions of alkyl side groups preventing a closer packing of rigid conjugated flat chains. The study by X-ray diffraction measurements of single crystals of monomers DBS precursor as well as TQx, TQxF-Br and TzQx-Br has revealed...
the planar structure of these different building blocks of the polymer backbones (see supporting information). The crystal structures of the quinoxaline precursors show that the central unit and the thiophene or thiazole spacers are almost coplanar. The monomer TQx showed the highest planarity with dihedral angles between the central quinoxaline and the two adjacent thiophenes around 4.2° and 7.0°.

Insert Table 2

The quinoxaline unit substituted by fluorine atoms TQxF-Br shows slightly increased dihedral angles up to 4.7° and 10.0°. However, fluorine atoms give rise to stronger π-stacking interactions since the intermolecular distances between adjacent molecules is around 3.4 Å. The replacement of thiophene spacers by thiazole ones in TzQx-Br increased the dihedral angle values up to 8.9°. However the single crystal structure reveals also strong π-stacking interactions between thiazole rings with short intermolecular distances of circa 3.4 Å.

Finally, the type of X-ray profiles obtained for PDBS-TQx and for PDBS-TQxF are typical of layered structures exhibiting several orders of Bragg peaks of the same family. For these two polymers, layers of more or less regularly stacked chains can be reasonably assumed. The case of PDBS-TzQx is somewhat different because the presence of a doublet at low scattering angles is a strong indication of a more complex type of chain packing or orientation of the crystallites.

Electrochemical properties

Cyclic voltammetry (CV) of the polymers was carried out in a three-electrode cell using an Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a calibration with the ferrocene/ferrocenium (Fc/Fc+) redox couple. The cyclic voltammetry curves are shown in Fig. 3a and the electrochemical data are summarized in Table 2. From the CV curves we found that the oxidation process occurred at 0.94V for PDBS-TQx, 1.09V for PDBS-TQxF and 1.31V for PDBS-TzQx. The onset of the reduction potentials of the polymers was found at -1.27V and -1.28V for PDBS-TQx and PDBS-TQxF, respectively but this value was shifted at -1.11V for PDBS-TzQx. From the ionisation potential and the electronic affinity measured by CV, the corresponding HOMO and LUMO energy levels were calculated using the following equations $E_{HOMO} = -(E_{ox} + 4.38)$ (eV) and $E_{LUMO} = -(E_{RED} + 4.38)$ (eV). The HOMO energy level of PDBS-TQx was estimated at -5.32eV. The substitution of the quinoxaline unit with fluorine atoms in PDBS-TQxF induces a down-shift of the HOMO energy level at -5.47 eV. But, as expected, the strongest effect was observed when the thiophene spacers are replaced by thiazole units. Indeed the polymer PDBS-TzQx possess a HOMO energy level located at -5.69 eV. On the contrary, the impact of the different chemical variations on the LUMO levels is relatively weak. PDBS-TQx and PDBS-TQxF have a LUMO levels located at circa -3.10 eV and PDBS-TzQx shows a LUMO level at -3.27 eV. Interestingly all the polymers have a HOMO energy level deeper than the one of P3HT ($E_{HOMO} = -4.90$ eV) (see Figure 3b). This feature is expected to give rise to higher open circuit voltage for the solar cells.

Insert Figure 3

Theoretical calculations

In order to investigate the intrinsic difference between the polymers and to better understand how the chemical modifications influence the energy levels positions and the electron density distribution, theoretical calculations were performed by Density Functional Theory using the B3LYP hybrid method. To simplify the calculation, only one set of repeating units of each polymer was used for the calculation and the long alkyl chains were replaced by methyl groups. The optimisation of the geometry was carried out with the data of each monomer deduced from the single crystal X-Ray diffraction. The simulated electron density distribution and the HOMO and LUMO energy levels with the optimized geometry are shown in Fig. 4.

The calculated HOMO energy levels of PDBS-TQx, PDBS-TQxF and PDBS-TzQx were -5.27 eV, -5.38 eV and -5.57 eV respectively. The calculated LUMO energy levels of PDBS-TQx, PDBS-TQxF and PDBS-TzQx were -2.80 eV, -2.83 eV and -3.05 eV respectively. These values are quite consistent with the experimental ones found from electrochemical measurements. As expected, the three polymers show an electron density distribution of the LUMO energy levels mainly located on the quinoxaline moiety, which is the most electro-deficient unit in the polymer backbone. However we found that the electron density of the HOMO energy level of PDBS-TQx is rather delocalized on the aromatic cores of the polymer backbone including the benzene ring of the quinoxaline. The fluorine substitutions on PDBS-TQxF, that make the quinoxaline unit more electron deficient, slightly transferred the electron density of the HOMO energy level on the dibenzosilole unit. Finally the use of thiazole spacers in PDBS-TzQx induces a similar effect and increases the contribution of dibenzosilole to the HOMO energy level.

Insert figure 4

Electronic transport properties

The charge carrier mobility of the donor polymer is an important parameter that partly governs the performances of BHJ solar cells. A high charge carrier mobility may contribute to improve the $J_{SC}$ and the Fill Factor (FF) leading to a higher PCE. The hole mobility of the copolymers were measured in organic field-effect transistors (OFETs) with a bottom gate and bottom contact configuration. The OFETs characteristics are presented in table 3. PDBS-TQx exhibits a hole mobility of 3.0 x 10^{-4} cm².V⁻¹.s⁻¹. Swapping H to F in the quinoxaline unit allows to increase the hole mobility of PDBS-TQxF up to 1.0 x 10^{-3} cm².V⁻¹.s⁻¹. After thermal annealing a highest value of 4.5 x 10^{-3} cm².V⁻¹.s⁻¹ was achieved in the linear regime and this value
state of the art P3HT-PC layers employing atomic force microscopy (AFM) in the rationally explained by studying the morphology of the active processing conditions. The use of DIO as additive reduces structuration of the two percolating networks and a higher dependency of the morphology of the blends depending on homogeneity of the film.

The lower hole mobility of \( \text{polymer:PC} \) compared to P3HT, the improvement mostly originates from the higher \( \text{V}_{\text{OC}} \) of the solar cells fabricated with this material which is higher by 0.2 V compared to P3HT.

The substitution of the quinoxaline with fluorine atoms in \( \text{PBDS-TQxF} \) has a significant effect on the performances. For this polymer the best cell revealed a \( \text{V}_{\text{OC}} \) of 0.81 V, a \( J_{\text{SC}} \) of 7.27 mA.cm\(^{-2}\), a FF of 0.51 leading to a PCE of 2.98%. It should however be noticed that after thermal annealing at 120°C, the performances increased to reach a PCE of 3.19% with a \( \text{V}_{\text{OC}} \) of 0.76 V, a \( J_{\text{SC}} \) of 7.61 mA.cm\(^{-2}\) and a FF of 0.55.

The performances of the solar cells containing the thiazole-based copolymer \( \text{PBDS-TzQx} \) were the lowest. In this case the overall operating parameters are decreased to reach a \( \text{V}_{\text{OC}} \) of 0.63 V, a \( J_{\text{SC}} \) of 4.92 mA.cm\(^{-2}\) leading to a maximum PCE of 1.27%. As for \( \text{PBDS-TQx} \), a thermal annealing of the devices did not yield higher performances. The much lower \( J_{\text{SC}} \) and FF for the \( \text{PBDS-TzQx} \)-based devices can be explained by its lower charge carriers mobility as demonstrated earlier. However the origin of the drop in the \( \text{V}_{\text{OC}} \) value is not yet fully understood.

**Film morphology**

The morphology of the polymer:PC\(_{71}\)BM blend films with the optimized weight ratio was investigated by tapping-mode atomic force microscopy (AFM) in the Tapping Mode. (See figure S4). The AFM topographic images are shown in fig. 6. The morphology of pristine polymers with PC\(_{71}\)BM shows aggregated domains with diameters around 500 nm for \( \text{PBDS-TQx} \), 300 nm for \( \text{PBDS-TQxF} \) and 260 nm for \( \text{PBDS-TzQx} \). (Fig. 6 a-c-e) This type of phase segregation is expected to be responsible for the enhanced exciton recombination and the dramatic drop of the current density when the polymers are processed without additives. This morphology also explains why our attempts to improve the morphology of the donor–acceptor networks by a simple thermal treatment were not successful. As explained before,
with the goal to enhance the miscibility of the two components and to favour the formation of a better interpenetrating donor–acceptor network, we used DIO as a processing additive. DIO is widely used to enable morphological control in bulk heterojunction materials where thermal annealing is either undesirable or ineffective. When used in small amount, it can increase the relative solubility of the fullerene compared to the polymer and it ensures that the two materials are well-solubilized in the processing solution.\(^{59}\) The AFM morphology images confirmed that the aggregates sizes decreased after adding DIO and each blend appears more homogeneous (Fig. 6 b-d-f). Consequently, better photovoltaic properties are obtained with these blends. However due to the limited resolution of the technique it is difficult to confirm that the two types of domains visible in the AFM images correspond to pure materials, they could also correspond to inter-mixed phases.\(^{61}\)

Insert Figure 6

Insert Figure 7

To investigate more in details the morphology of the active area of our champion cell, i.e \(\text{PDBS-TQxF:PC}_{71}\text{BM}\) we used non-contact AFM (nc-AFM) under ultra-high vacuum.\(^{62}\) In topography (Fig. 7a) a fine phase separation is clearly visible. The analysis of the damping images (Fig. 7b) revealed a more complex phase structuration. Besides a nano-phase segregation at the 10 nm scale, larger features appear at the 100nm scale. These data show that, despite the nanometer-scale phase segregation, the relative concentration of the donor and acceptor components varies at the mesoscopic scale. This strongly suggests that further improvement can be expected by achieving an even more homogeneous blend morphology.\(^{64}\)

Recombination kinetics

An important parameter in the performance of the bulk heterojunction solar cells is the charge recombination kinetics, which can be studied by analysing the light intensity dependence.\(^{63-65}\) Light-intensity-dependent \(J-V\) characteristics of the \(\text{PDBS-TQxF:PC}_{71}\text{BM}\) and \(\text{PDBS-TQxF:PC}_{71}\text{BM}\) blends polymers were measured. This experiment was carried out in order to shed light on the fundamental processes that make \(\text{PDBS-TQxF-based devices performances better than the ones of the devices based on PDBS-TQxF}.\) Light intensity from 100 to 9 mW cm\(^{-2}\) were employed and the \(J-V\) characteristics of the devices based on \(\text{PDBS-TQxF}\) are shown in the fig. 8a and those for \(\text{PDBS-TQxF-based devices are shown in the fig. 8b.}\)

Insert Figure 8

For the devices based on \(\text{PDBS-TQxF}\), the photocurrent quickly saturated at low reverse bias, on the contrary, for the devices based on \(\text{PDBS-TQxF}\) the photocurrent saturation is only observed at high reverse bias as evidenced especially at high illumination. This behavior could be explained by more charge carrier recombination for the devices based on \(\text{PDBS-TQxF}\), especially for voltages approaching the \(V_{OC}\). Figure 9 shows the variation of the \(J_{SC}\) as a function of light intensity for the solar cells based on \(\text{PDBS-TQxF:PC}_{71}\text{BM}\) and \(\text{PDBS-TQxF:PC}_{71}\text{BM}\). In organic solar cells, the dependence of the steady state short circuit current density \(J_{SC}\) as a function of the incident light intensity \((I)\) can be expressed according to a power law \(J_{SC} \propto I^\alpha\). The physical interpretation of the fitting parameter \(\alpha\) is still under debate since it does not give a high-precision measure of the recombination mechanism. However, a fitting parameter \(\alpha\) close to 1 is often attributed to a first order loss process whereas a value close to 0.5 is related to a second order (bimolecular) loss process. In other words when the \(\alpha\) value is close to the unity it corresponds to a low bimolecular recombination rate.\(^{66,67}\) Herein, in both devices investigated, the \(\alpha\) values are close to unity, which indicates that monomolecular recombination is the dominant loss mechanism.

In organic solar cells, the nature of the main recombination process (monomolecular versus bimolecular) can also be determined by the slope of \(V_{OC}\) versus \(\ln(I)\). Figure 10 displays the \(V_{OC}\) as a function of the light intensity for the solar cells based on \(\text{PDBS-TQxF:PC}_{71}\text{BM}\) and \(\text{PDBS-TQxF:PC}_{71}\text{BM}\). A slope of 2 kT/q is observed in case of monomolecular recombination where \(k\) is the Boltzmann constant, \(T\) the temperature and \(q\) the elementary charge. For bimolecular recombination, a slope of \(kT/q\) is observed.\(^{66}\)

Insert Figure 9

Insert Figure 10

In our case, the slope values for the solar cells based on \(\text{PDBS-TQxF}\) and \(\text{PDBS-TQxF}\) are equal to 1.29 kT/q and 1.63 kT/q, respectively. This result indicates that monomolecular recombination seems even more pronounced with our fluorinated copolymer, i.e. \(\text{PDBS-TQxF}\). Opposite effects have been reported on other class of fluorinated copolymers containing benzothiadiazole units such as \(\text{PCPDITBT}\).\(^{68}\) Therefore it seems that the introduction of fluorine substituents on the electron-withdrawing units do not lead systematically to a decrease in the charge recombination process.

Conclusions

In summary, the synthesis, the characterization of the optoelectronic properties, the structural organization and the photovoltaic properties of a series of wide band gap copolymers based on dibenzosilole and fluorinated or non-fluorinated quinoxaline sub-units connected through thiophene or thiazole segments are described. The copolymers, namely \(\text{PDBS-TQxF}, \text{PDBS-TQxF}\) and \(\text{PDBS-TzQxF}\) present an absorption edge close to 650 nm in the solid state and HOMO energy levels comprised between -5.3 eV and -5.7 eV.
eV. When they are combined to PC$_7$BM to fabricate bulk-heterojunction solar cells, PCE ranging from 1.27% to 5.14% are achieved. Interestingly one of the new polymers, i.e. PDBS-TQx leads to increased $V_{oc}$ (by circa 0.2V) and superior solar cell performances compared to a benchmark cell based on P3HT, one of the most known wide band gap polymers in the field. Contrary to P3HT, it is important to mention here that record performances with PDBS-TQx were obtained without any post-fabrication treatment such as thermal or solvent annealing. Despite a higher hole mobility and a deeper HOMO energy level, PDBS-TQxF performances in solar cells only reached 3.19%. By using experimental photocurrent measurement in combination with light intensity dependence, the lower efficiency of PDBS-TQxF is attributed to a higher monomolecular charge recombination rate.

Owing to the opto-electronic properties of PDBS-TQx and the quite promising performances in single bulk-heterojunction junction solar cells, we believe that this material could be valuable to develop tandem devices or ternary blend devices where the complementary of the absorption domains of the different components is essential to achieve record performances.

**Experimental section**

**Instrumentation**

$^1$H, $^{19}$F and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were recorded in chloroform-d solutions on a Bruker AC200 or Bruker AC400 spectrometers. Elemental analyses (C, H, N and S) and mass spectroscopy measurements were carried out by CRMPO at the University of Rennes 1 (France). The molecular weight was measured by IPCM at the Sorbonne University and polyacrylates was used as the standard (THF as eluent). Cyclic voltammetry of polymer films were measured against Ag/AgCl as the reference electrode, Pd as counter electrode and Pt as working electrode in a 0.2M electrolyte containing tetrabutylammonium hexafluoro-phosphate in acetonitrile.

**Materials Synthesis**

All chemicals were purchased from Aldrich, Acros organics, Strem Chemicals, Alfa Aesar, Fluorochem or TCI Chemicals, and used without further purification. Solvent tetrahydrofuran was distilled over sodium-benzophenone under an atmosphere of dry argon prior to use.

$^{4,7}$di(thiophen-2-yl)benzo[c][1,2,5]thiadiazole (1)

$^{4,7}$di(thiophen-2-yl)benzo[c][1,2,5]thiadiazole (2.00 g, 6.80 mmol), 2-thienylboronic acid (2.32 g, 17.69 mmol) and Tétrakis{(triphenylphosphine)-palladium (393 mg, 340 μmol) were solubilised in toluene (10 mL) and dried THF (10mL) under argon. A 2M solution of K$_2$CO$_3$ was used for degassing by bubbling argon in it during 1 hour, before adding 8.84 mL (17.69 mmol). Then 2 drops of Aliquat 336 were added, and the flask was deeped in a thermostated oil bath at 90°C and kept under argon. The reaction was monitored by TLC. After 48 hours, the temperature was decreased to room temperature. Water was added and organic compounds were extracted by Et$_2$O. The organic layer was washed by water, dried over MgSO$_4$ and concentrated. The desired compound was purified by chromatography over silica with 50 % of CHCl$_3$ in C$_6$H$_4$ as eluent. The red solid powder was recrystallized in ethanol.

Finally, compound 1 was obtained as red needles (1.21 g, 60%).$^{1}$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 200 MHz, δ): 8.12 (dd, $J = 3.8$ Hz, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.88 (s, 2H), 7.46 (dd, $J = 5.2$ Hz, $J = 1.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.22 (dd, $J = 5.2$ Hz, $J = 3.8$ Hz, 2H). MS: calcd, 300.99279; found, 300.9928.

$1,2$-bis(5-octylthiophen-2-yl)ethane-1,2-dione (2)

2-n-octylthiophene (5.00 g, 25.46 mmol) was solubilised in dried tetrahydrofuran (THF) (20 mL) at -78°C under argon. Then n-butyllithium (11.20 mL, 28.01 mmol, 2.5M in hexane) was added dropwise. Temperature was kept between -78°C and -50°C during 2 hours. This mixture was then added dropwise on a solution of LiBr (2.21 g, 25.46 mmol) and CuBr (3.65 g, 25.46 mmol) in dried THF (65 mL) at -78°C under argon. After 15 minutes oxalylchloride (1.45 g, 11.46 mL) was added dropwise. The reaction temperature slowly increased to room temperature. After 2.5 hours the reaction was quenched by adding a saturated aqueous solution of NH$_4$Cl. The organic compound was extracted by Et$_2$O, washed by saturated aqueous solution of NaCl, dried over MgSO$_4$ and concentrated. The solid powder was recrystallized in hexane, deeped in liquid nitrogen and filtered. Finally, compound 2b was obtained as a yellow solid (4.46 g, 68%).$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 200 MHz, δ): 7.86 (d, $J = 3.8$ Hz, 2H), 6.88 (dd, $J = 3.8$ Hz, 2H), 2.88 (t, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 4H), 1.72 (m, 4H), 1.27 (m, 20H), 0.88 (m, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 50 MHz, δ): 182.6, 160.0, 137.7, 136.5, 126.4, 31.8, 31.3, 30.8, 29.2, 31.5, 30.4, 29.3, 29.2, 22.6 and 14.1. MS: calcd, 447.23915; found, 447.2397.

$2,3$-bis(5-octylthiophen-2-yl)-5,8-di(thiophen-2-yl)Quinoxaline (TQx)

4,7-di(thiophen-2-yl)benzo[c][1,2,5]thiadazole (0.26 g, 0.86 mmol) and zinc dust (0.68 g, 10.00 mmol) were added to a mixture of acetic acid (20 mL), ethanol (5 mL) and water (5 mL) and heated to 100°C under nitrogen for 4 hours. The insoluble solid was then removed by filtration and the filtrate was added to 1,2-bis(5-octylthiophen-2-yl)ethane-1,2-dione (0.35 g, 0.78 mmol). The mixture was heated at 70°C for 15 h. The organic compound was concentrated and purified by chromatography over silica with 20 % of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ in C$_6$H$_4$ as eluent. Finally, TQx was obtained as an orange solid (340 mg, 63%).$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz, δ): 8.02 (s, 2H), 7.88 (dd, $J = 3.6$ Hz, $J = 1.0$ Hz, 2H), 7.53 (dd, $J = 5.2$ Hz, $J = 1.0$ Hz 2H), 7.41 (d, $J = 3.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.19 (dd, $J = 5.2$ Hz, $J = 3.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.72 (d, $J = 3.6$ Hz, 2H), 2.89 (t, $J = 8$ Hz, 4H), 1.76 (m, 4H), 1.5-1.2 (m, 20H), 0.89 (m, 6H); $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 101 MHz, δ): 150.9, 144.8, 139.2, 138.9, 138.8, 136.4, 130.7, 130.0, 128.3, 126.9, 126.7, 126.4, 31.8, 31.5, 30.4, 29.3, 29.2, 29.2, 22.6 and 14.1. Anal. Calcd for C$_{39}$H$_{36}$N$_2$S$_2$: C, 70.34; H, 6.79; N, 4.10; S, 18.77. Found: C, 70.30; H, 6.81; N, 4.02; S, 18.60. MS: calcd, 683.26166; found, 683.2617.
5,8-bis(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-2,3-bis(5-octylthiophen-2-yl)Quinoxaline (TQxF-Br)

N-bromosuccinimide (177 mg, 0.99 mmol) was added on a solution of 2,3-bis(5-octylthiophen-2-yl)-5,8-di(thiophen-2-yl)quinoxaline (340 mg, 0.50 mmol) in CHCl₃ (20 mL) and acetic acid (3 mL) at 0°C. The temperature slowly increased to room temperature. After 15 hours, the organic compound was concentrated and purified by chromatography over silica with 20% of CHCl₃ in CH₂Cl₂ as eluent. Finally, TQxF-B was obtained as an orange solid (348 mg, 83%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, δ): 7.83 (s, 2H), 7.45 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 2H), 7.38 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 2H), 6.72 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 2H), 2.91 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 1.84-1.73 (m, 4H), 1.51-1.23 (m, 20H), 0.90 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 101 MHz, δ): 151.3, 145.1, 139.6, 138.6, 135.7, 130.2, 129.9, 129.1, 129.5, 125.4, 116.5, 31.8, 31.4, 30.4, 29.3, 29.2, 29.1, 22.6 and 14.1. Anal. Calc. for C₃₀H₂₃Br₂N₂S₂: C, 66.82; H, 6.17; Br, 18.23; F, 4.33; N, 3.91; S, 14.65. MS: calc., 839.80268; found, 839.8026.

1,4-Bis[(2-thiényl)-2,3-difluoro-5,6-dinitrobenzene (4)

1,4-dibromo-2,3-difluoro-5,6-dinitrobenzene (400 mg, 1.10 mmol), 2-tributylstannyl-thiophene (0.77 mL, 2.42 mmol) and tetrakis-(triphenylphosphine)-palladium (63.9 mg, 0.055 mmol) were solubilised in acetic acid (10 mL) under argon, the n 1,2-bis(5-bromosuccinimide (74 mg, 0.42 mmol) was added on a solution 6,7-difluoro-2,3-bis(5-octylthiophen-2-yl)quinoline (150 mg, 0.21 mmol) in CHCl₃ (10 mL) and acetic acid (1.5 mL) at 0°C. The temperature slowly increased to room temperature. After 15 hours, the organic compound was concentrated and purified by chromatography over silica with 20% of CHCl₃ in CH₂Cl₂ as eluent. Finally, TQxF-B was obtained as an orange solid (165 mg, 90%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, δ): 7.69 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 2H), 7.36 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 2H), 6.72 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 2H), 2.90 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 1.78 (m, 4H), 1.5-1.2 (m, 20H), 0.90 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 101 MHz, δ): 151.7, 149.3 (dd, J°C = 258.5 Hz, J°C = 19.0 Hz), 144.9, 138.0, 133.1, 132.4, 130.8, 130.5, 129.2, 124.8, 118.5, 116.7, 31.9, 31.5, 30.5, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 22.7 and 14.2; ¹⁹F NMR (CDCl₃, 376 MHz, δ): -129.4 ppm (s). Anal. Calc. for C₃₀H₂₃Br₂N₂S₂: C, 66.82; H, 6.17; Br, 18.23; F, 4.33; N, 3.91; S, 14.63. Found: C, 54.91; H, 4.90; N, 3.14; S, 14.65. MS: calc., 875.06384; found, 839.0636.

4,7-Di-[thiazol-5-yl]benzo[c][1,2,5]thiadiazole (5)

4,7-dibromobenzo[c][1,2,5]thiadiazole (0.50 g, 1.70 mmol), Pd-Herrmann (0.08 g, 0.08 mmol), 3,4,5,6-tetramethyl-t-Bu-X-Phos (0.08 g, 0.51 mmol), Cs₂CO₃ (1.22 g, 3.74 mmol), and PiVOH (0.05 g, 0.51 mmol) were put in a Schlenk under argon. Dried DMAc (10 mL) was added followed by thiazole (0.29 g, 3.40 mmol). The Schlenk tube was dipped in a thermostated oil bath at 120°C. After 16 hours, the temperature was decreased to room temperature. The organic compound was extracted with CH₂Cl₂, washed with water and dried with Na₂SO₄. The organic layer was concentrated. The desired compound was purified by chromatography over silica with 10% of EtOAc in CH₂Cl₂ as eluent followed by a recrystallization in CHCl₃/methanol. Finally, compound 5 was obtained as an orange solid (0.39 g, 75%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ (ppm): 8.92 (s, 1H), 8.82 (s, 1H), 7.87 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ (ppm): 154.0, 152.3, 142.9, 134.0, 126.8, 124.2. MS: calc., 302.9827; found, 302.9842.

2',3'-bis(5-octylthiophen-2-yl)quinoxaline-5,8-diyldithiazole (TzQx)

4,7-di-[thiazol-2-yl]benzo[c][1,2,5]thiadiazole (110 mg, 0.36mmol) and zinc dust (290 mg, 4.4 mmol) were added to a mixture of acetic acid (10 mL), ethanol (2.5 mL) and water (2.5 dmol/L). The reaction mixture was heated at 90°C for 2 hours.
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ml) and heated to 100°C under argon for 3 hours. The insoluble solid was then removed by filtration and the filtrate was added to 1,2-bis(5-octyli thiophen-2-yl)ethane-1,2-dione (186 mg, 0.41 mmol). The mixture was heated at 65°C for 15 h. The organic compound was concentrated and purified by chromatography over silica with 10% of ethyl acetate in CHCl₃ as eluent. Finally, TzQx was obtained as an orange solid (172 mg, 69%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, δ): 8.73 (s, 2H), 7.88 (s, 2H), 7.42 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 1.5-1.2 (m, 20H), 0.90 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 101 MHz, δ): 161.3, 151.6, 145.8, 142.3, 138.5, 136.1, 130.9, 130.7, 128.2, 124.8, 123.1, 31.9, 31.5, 30.4, 29.35, 29.27, 29.2, 22.7 and 14.1. Anal. Calcd for C₁₁₃H₁₂₂N₁₄S₄: C, 50.47; H, 4.97; N, 5.91; S, 15.22. Found: C, 50.47; H, 4.97; N, 5.91; S, 15.22. MS: calcd, 841.07318; found, 841.0731.

Poly[5-(5,5-dihexyl-5H-dibenzo[b,d]silole-3-yl)thiophen-2-yl]-6,7-difluoro-2,3-bis(5-octyli thiophen-2-yl)-8-(thiophen-2-yl)viniloxaline (PDBS-TQxF)  For this polymerization 5,5-dihexyl-3,7-bis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-5H-dibenzo[b,d]silole (399 mg, 0.66 mmol), 5,8-bis(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-2,3-bis(5-octyli thiophen-2-yl)viniloxaline (530 mg, 0.63 mmol), tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium (11.5 mg, 12 μmol), tri-tert-butylphosphonium tetrafluoroborate (14.6 mg, 0.05 mmol), aqueous solution of K₂CO₃ (2.77 mL, 1.39 mmol) were solubilized in a mixture of toluene (13 mL) and DMF (7 mL). PDBS-TQx was obtained as a purple powder (258 mg, 40%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, δ): 8.0-6.5 (Aromatic protons), 3.0-0.2 (Aliphatic protons). Mₛ = 48.8 kg·mol⁻¹, PDI = 3.3 (GPC, polystyrene calibration).

Poly[5-(5,5-dihexyl-5H-dibenzo[b,d]silole-3-yl)thiophen-2-yl]-6,7-difluoro-2,3-bis(5-octyli thiophen-2-yl)-8-(thiothefi-2-yl)viniloxaline (PDBS-TQxFF)  For this polymerization 5,5-dihexyl-3,7-bis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-5H-dibenzo[b,d]silole (79 mg, 0.13 mmol), 5,8-bis(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-6,7-difluoro-2,3-bis(5-octyli thiophen-2-yl)viniloxaline (110 mg, 0.12 mmol), tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium (2.3 mg, 2.5 μmol), tri-tert-butylphosphonium tetrafluoroborate (2.9 mg, 0.01 mmol), aqueous solution of K₂CO₃ (0.55 mL, 0.28 mmol) were solubilized in a mixture of toluene (10 mL) and DMF (2.5 mL). PDBS-TQxFF was obtained as a purple powder (109 mg, 81%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, δ): 8.2-6.5 (Aromatic protons), 3.0-0.6 (Aliphatic protons). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, δ): -129.75 (m). Mₛ = 34.2 kg·mol⁻¹, PDI = 2.0 (GPC, polystyrene calibration).

Physicochemical characterizations  UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 2 spectrometer (wavelength range: 250–800 nm;
resolution: 2 nm). Electrochemical studies of the synthesized molecules were performed in a one compartment, three-electrode electrochemical cell equipped with a flat platinum working electrode (7 mm²), a Pt wire counter electrode, and a reference electrode of Ag/AgCl, whose potential was checked using the Fc/Fc⁻ couple as an internal standard. The electrolyte consisted of 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (Bu₄NPF₆) solution in acetonitrile.

**Organic field effect transistor devices preparation**

Bottom contact field-effect transistors were elaborated on commercially available pre-patterned test structures whose source and drain contacts were composed of 30 nm thick gold and 10 nm thick Indium Tin Oxide (ITO) bilayers. A 230 nm thick silicon oxide was used as gate dielectric and n-doped (3 x 10⁻³⁵ cm⁻³) silicon crystal as gate electrode. The channel length and channel width were 20 µm and 10 mm respectively. The test structures were cleaned in acetone and isopropyl alcohol and subsequently for 15 minutes in a ultraviolet-ozone system. Then, hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) was spin-coated (500 rpm for 5 s and then 4000 rpm for 50 s) under nitrogen ambient and followed by an annealing step at 130°C for 15 minutes. Finally, 5 mg.ml⁻¹ anhydrous chlorofom polymer solutions were spin coated (1250 rpm for 60s and 2000 rpm for 120s) to complete the FET devices. The samples were then left overnight under vacuum (<10⁻⁶ mbar) to remove residual solvent traces. Both, the FET elaboration and characterizations were performed in nitrogen ambient.

**Devices characterizations**

Patterned ITO glass substrates were cleaned using sequential sonication in water, acetone and isopropanol. The washed substrates were treated with a UV- ozone cleaner for 30 min, then, the hole transporting PEDOT:PSS (40nm) was spin-coated on the ITO glass, and dried at 180 °C for 30 min. Polymer and PC₇BM in chlorobenzene were spin-coated to the substrate in a glove box 1,8-diodooctane (DIO) was used as a processing additive to optimize the morphology. Calcium (20nm) and Aluminium layers (100nm) were deposited by thermal evaporation under vacuum (10⁻⁷ mbar). The active area of the device was 0.28 cm². The current density-voltage characteristics of the devices in the dark and under white light illumination were measured using an AM 1.5G solar simulator. Current–voltage, I–V, characteristics and PCEs of the solar cells, illuminated through the ITO, were measured in inert atmosphere via a computer controlled Keithley 1 SMU 2400 unit using 100 mW.cm⁻² air mass (1.5)-simulated white light generated by an Oriel SP94023A (Xe Lamp) Solar simulator. These simulated conditions are consistent with most published works to date. A monocrystalline silicon solar cell, calibrated at the Fraunhofer Institut Solare Energiesysteme (Freiburg, Germany), was used as a reference cell to confirm stabilization of the 100 mW.cm⁻² illumination.
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Scheme 1. Synthetic routes of the monomers TQx, TQxF and TzQx.
Scheme 2. Synthetic routes of the polymers PDBS-TQx, PDBS-TQxF and PDBS-TzQx.
Figure 1. (a) UV-Visible absorption spectra of PDBS-TQx, PDBS-TQxF and PDBS-TzQx in solution in chloroform. (b) in thin films.
Figure 2. X-Ray diffraction patterns of polymers PDBS-TQx, PDBS-TQxF and PDBS-TzQx in films.
Table 1 Angular positions of the Bragg peaks and periodical distances measured by X-ray diffraction of PDBS-TQx, PDBS-TQxF and PDBS-TzQx polymers in films.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymers</th>
<th>Angle 2θ (°)</th>
<th>Distance (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDBS-TQx</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>17.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.20</td>
<td>8.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20.14</td>
<td>5.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.47</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDBS-TQxF</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>19.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.54</td>
<td>10.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.44</td>
<td>4.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDBS-TzQx</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>23.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>13.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.94</td>
<td>4.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymers</td>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{max,sol}}$ (nm)</td>
<td>$\lambda_{\text{max,film}}$ (nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDBS-TQx</td>
<td>406, 546</td>
<td>410, 565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDBS-TQxF</td>
<td>396, 531</td>
<td>404, 558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDBS-TzQx</td>
<td>381, 526</td>
<td>394, 569</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Optical and electrochemical properties of PDBS-TQx, PDBS-TQxF and PDBS-TzQx.
Figure 3. (a) Cyclic voltammograms of the polymers PDBS-TQx, PDBS-TQxF and PDBS-TzQx. (b) HOMO and LUMO energy levels of the copolymers.
Figure 4. DFT calculated HOMO and LUMO wave functions of the geometry-optimized repeating unit structure PDBS-TQx, PDBS-TQxF and PDBS-TzQx.

LUMO -2.80 eV  
HOMO -5.27 eV  
LUMO -2.83 eV  
HOMO -5.38 eV  
LUMO -3.05 eV  
HOMO -5.57 eV
Table 3 Hole mobilities in Organic field-effect transistors of PDBS-TQx, PDBS-TQxF and PDBS-TzQx.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>As deposited</th>
<th>Annealed 100°C 10 min.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\mu_{\text{lin.}}$ (cm$^2$.V$^{-1}$.s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$\mu_{\text{sat.}}$ (cm$^2$.V$^{-1}$.s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDBS-TQx</td>
<td>3.0x10^{-4}</td>
<td>8.5x10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDBS-TQxF</td>
<td>1.0x10^{-3}</td>
<td>2.0x10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDBS-TzQx</td>
<td>2.0x10^{-5}</td>
<td>8.0x10^{-5}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 Photovoltaic properties BHJ PSCs of PDBS-TQx, PDBS-TQxF, PDBS-TzQx and P3HT. \(^a\) Average on 5 solar cells (active area, 0.28cm\(^2\)). \(^b\) this work, \(^c\) according to reference [57].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Active layer</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Additive</th>
<th>Thickness (nm)</th>
<th>(V_{OC}) (V)</th>
<th>(J_{SC}) (mA.cm(^{-2}))</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>PCE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDBS-TQx:PC(_{71})BM</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>CB</td>
<td>3 % DIO</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>9.72</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>5.14 (^{a4.93})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDBS-TQxF:PC(_{71})BM</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>CB</td>
<td>3 % DIO</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>2.98 (^{a2.78})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDBS-TzQx:PC(_{71})BM</td>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>CB</td>
<td>3 % DIO</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>1.27 (^{a1.03})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3HT:PC(_{61})BM</td>
<td>1:0.8</td>
<td>o-DCB</td>
<td>no DIO</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>4.07(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3HT:PC(_{71})BM</td>
<td>1:0.7</td>
<td>o-DCB</td>
<td>no DIO</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>4.21(^c)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5. Current-density-voltage characteristics of optimized devices of PDBS-TQx, PDBS-TQxF and PDBS-TzQx.
**Figure 6.** AFM images, topography, 3µm x 3 µm of active layer made of: (a) PDBS-TQx:PC\textsubscript{71}BM 1:2 (b) PDBS-TQx:PC\textsubscript{71}BM 1:2 + 3%DIO (c) PDBS-TQxF:PC\textsubscript{71}BM 1:2 (d) PDBS-TQxF:PC\textsubscript{71}BM 1:2 + 3%DIO (e) PDBS-TzQx:PC\textsubscript{71}BM 1:2 (f) PDBS-TzQx:PC\textsubscript{71}BM 1:2 + 3%DIO
Figure 7. High resolution topographic (a) and damping (b,c) nc-AFM images of the blend PDBS-TQx:PC_{71}BM in the dark. The white and yellow contours in (c) pinpoint nanometer and mesoscopic scale domains, respectively.
Figure 8. J-V curves for BHJ solar cells of PDBS-TQx:PC$_{71}$BM 1:2 (a) and PDBS-TQxF:PC$_{71}$BM 1:2 (b) under different light intensities.
Figure 9. Double logarithmic plot of $J_{SC}$ as a function of the light intensity and fitting line according to the power law.
**Figure 10.** $V_{oc}$ as a function of light intensity with linear fit.
Dibenzosilole and quinoxaline based copolymers were synthesized and tested in bulk-heterojunction solar cells showing power conversion efficiencies up to 5.14%.

Wide Band-Gap copolymers

$1.95 \text{ eV} < E_g < 2 \text{ eV}$

$\text{X} = \text{H or F}$

$\text{Y} = \text{CH or N}$

PCE = 5.14%