Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/obc

Journal Name

ARTICLE



Novel strategies for the synthesis of unsymmetrical glycosyl disulfides

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Novel strategies for the efficient synthesis of unsymmetrical glycosyl disulfides are reported. Glycosyl disulfides are increasingly important as glycomimetics and molecular probes in glycobiology. Sialosyl disulfides are synthesised directly from the chlorosialoside Neu5Ac2Cl, proceeding via a thiol-disulfide exchange reaction between the sialosyl thiolate and symmetrical disulfides. This methodology was adapted and found to be successfully applicable to the synthesis of unsymmetrical glucosyl disulfides under mild conditions.

Introduction

Although less studied than thioglycosides, interest in glycosyl disulfides has increased significantly over recent years, particularly with their key potential as glycomimetics.¹⁻³ For instance, glycosyl disulfides have shown affinity to lectin Concanavalin A,^a while other disulfides have been successfully employed as tools to aid understanding of carbohydrate structures.^{7, 8} Moreover, the significant utility and advantages of glycosyl disulfides as glycosyl donors in the synthesis of a variety of glycosides⁹⁻¹³ and oligosaccharides^{2, 14, 15} has been demonstrated following the seminal work of the Davis group, with wide applications to the synthesis of glycopeptides^{13, 16} and vaccines.¹⁷ Whilst not widely employed to-date, sialosyl disulfides possess equal potential for application to the synthesis of O-, S- and oligosialosides.

Robert A Falconer*

To the best of our knowledge, and contrarily to glycosyl disulfides, there is only one report describing the preparation of sialosyl disulfides (by Hummel). This is achieved by means of a diethyl Sazodicarboxylate as a sulfenyl transfer reagent to the S-sialosyl moiety.¹⁸ On the other hand, several synthetic methodologies have been developed for the synthesis of glycosyl disulfides. Briefly, symmetrical glycosyl disulfides can be rapidly prepared by the oxidation of the corresponding glycosyl thiols, $\overset{4}{,}$ 19-21 while unsymmetrical glycosyl disulfides can be prepared by reaction of a suitable electrophilic sulfur-based glycosylsulfenyl-tranfer reagent, such as nitropyridine sulfides,²² alkylthiosulfonates esters,⁷⁻¹⁰ selenylsulfides,² sulfenamides,²³ and sulfenic acids²⁴ with thiols. Additionally, the Ramström group successfully extended their phosphine-catalysed disulfide methathesis conditions²⁵ to the synthesis of unsymmetrical glycosyl disulfides.²⁶

These strategies generally require laborious synthesis and purification of the glycosylsulfenylating agents, which are occasionally unstable and often obtained only in moderate yields. We ourselves developed a one-pot synthesis of glycosyl disulfides in good to excellent yields via the use of an in situ glycosylsulfenylhydrazine derivative from 1-thiogycosides.²⁷ Szilágyi et al. reported preparation of unsymmetrical glycosyl disulfides using 1-chlorobenzotriazole as oxidising agent, trapping the sulfenyl radicals in an one-pot fashion, albeit under strict temperature control (-78 °C).28

Having previously identified the acetyl disulfide sialoside (Neu5Ac2SSAc) 1 as a by-product during the routine synthesis of 2thioacetyl sialoside (Neu5Ac2SAc) 2 (Figure 1) when 2chlorosialoside **3** is reacted with KSAc,²⁹ we were motivated to explore its utility in the preparation of sialosyl disulfides, and to explore whether there were wider applications for glycosyl disulfides.

Results and Discussion

Given that formation of by-product 1 was markedly dependent on the batch of commercial KSAc, with varied levels of oxidation,²⁹ our initial efforts were focused on the synthesis of this compound. We initially reacted 2-chlorosialoside 3 with KSAc in the presence of an oxidising agent (I₂, Scheme 1). Under these conditions, the partial in situ oxidation of KSAc to AcSSAc successfully promoted the formation of 1 from 3 as major product in 80 % yield. An excess of KSAc and longer reaction times (48 h at room temperature) were required to effect complete conversion to **1**. Shortening of reaction times and heating led to several undesirable and unidentified sialic acid-related species and a higher percentage of the 2,3-elimination by-product Neu5Ac2en, which often plagues reactions of this type.³⁰



Institute of Cancer Therapeutics, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Bradford, Bradford BD7 1DP

E-Mail: r.a.falconer1@bradford.ac.uk; gribeiro@bradford.ac.uk

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x





Furthermore, we have investigated the optimal experimental conditions for the preparation of sialosyl disulfides through the alkylation of 1 with ethyl iodide (Scheme 2). The reactions were monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Despite its instability to diethylamine treatment, Neu5Ac2SSAc 1 was partially alkylated to form the corresponding ethyl disulfide sialoside 4a (50 %) together with the corresponding thiosialoside 4b (50 %). Other bases were thus Triethylamine, investigated. diisopropylamine, diisopropylethylamine proved insufficient to promote Sdeacetylation of the SSAc group, even after 2 h at RT, with 1 being fully recovered from the reaction. Morpholine and hydrazine hydrate successfully promoted S-deacetylation of sialoside 1, but with side reactions, i.e. formation of 5a and 5b, and no alkylated products. The use of hydrazine acetate, however, led to the desired SS-alkylated product 4a, together with non-alkylated disulfide sialoside 5a. Reaction times longer than 15 minutes also led to the formation of the undesired 4b. Given these findings, we reasoned that performing the same reaction with both hydrazine acetate and triethylamine simultaneously should yield the desired product, with an absence of break-down products. This proved to be the case: sialoside 1 was fully and efficiently converted into sialosyl disulfide 4a in only 10 min at 50 °C. Acetyl disulfide 1 is thus a convenient and efficient route to sialosyl disulfides under these conditions.

To begin to evaluate the wider applicability of these findings, we explored the scope of this reaction by employing different aryl and alkyl bromides (Table 1). Under these optimised conditions, sialoside 1 proved to be highly reactive to both benzyl- (Entry 2, Table 1) and 4-fluorobenzyl bromide (Entry 5, Table 1) with formation of only the desired product, the respective sialosyl disulfides 6a and 6b, obtained in fair to good yields. In addition to DMF, this SS-alkylation reaction was also performed in other solvents such as dichloroethane (Entry 3, Table 1) and acetonitrile (Entry 4, Table 1) at 50 °C for 10 min. In these cases conversion of 1 into 6a was inefficient, with an additional sialic acid-related byproduct detected by ¹H NMR. DMF was confirmed as the preferred solvent for these reactions. We also confirmed that when either hydrazine acetate or triethylamine were utilised alone with benzyl bromide in dichloroethane, no product was observed, with 1 being fully recovered. When reacting with the less electrophilic cinnamyl bromide (Entry 6, Table 1), however, two products were detected by TLC (we were unable to isolate these products for further investigation). After purification (flash column chromatography), sialosyl disulfide 6c was obtained in low yield (22 %).

In summary, acetyl disulfide sialoside **1** was demonstrated to be an easily synthesised and useful intermediate for the preparation of some sialosyl disulfides. However, with respect to its limited reactivity to weak electrophiles, associated with side reactions and poor yields, this strategy was deemed sub-optimal as a general method for the synthesis of unsymmetrical sialosyl disulfides. With a view to arriving at a more widely applicable methodology, we thus re-designed our synthetic strategy.



Table 1

	Ac OAc SSAc	NH₂NH₂.AcC	DH (1.1 eq) ► Act		
	0Ac 1	50°C, 10 mi	n Ű	6	AC
Entry	RY		Solvent	Product	Yield
1	ethyl iod	ide	DME	4a	_a
2	benzvl bro	mide	DMF	6a	54 ^b
3	benzyl bro	mide	DCE	6a	_c
4	benzyl bro	mide	MeCN	6a	_d
5	4-fluorobenzyl	bromide	DMF	6b	79 ^b
6	cinnamyl bro	omide	DMF	6c	22 ^b

^{a.1}H NMR analysis indicated complete conversion of **1** into **4a**; ^bIsolated yields; ^{c.1}H NMR analysis indicated 74 % of **6a**;

Whilst previously investigating the mechanism by which acetyl disulfide sialoside 1 is formed, we established that 2-chlorosialoside 3 was unreactive towards AcSSAc. This observation ruled out direct nucleophilic attack of a putative acetyl disulfide anion (AcSS⁻) on the electrophilic anomeric carbon. Repetition of this reaction in the presence of KSAc, however, promoted the formation of Neu5Ac2SSAc 1, which suggested that the source of 1 is in fact the sialosyl thioacetate, Neu5Ac2SAc 2.29 Moreover, we also found that formation of Neu5AcSSAc 1 from pure Neu5Ac2SAc 2 and AcSSAc was only achieved when KSAc was present. This finding suggests that KSAc promotes the selective S-deacetylation of the thioacetate group of 2 and that the thiolate anion generated reacts with the electrophilic sulfur of the symmetrical acetyl disulfide to produce the Neu5Ac2SSAc 1 through a thio-disulfide exchange mechanism. Reactions between thiols and symmetrical disulfides, similar to that observed in vivo between thiols and glutathione, have been well explored albeit with limited success in organic chemistry for the synthesis of non-glycosidic unsymmetrical disulfides.^{31, 32} In the same way, we demonstrated that when 2 is reacted with symmetrical benzyl disulfide BnSSBn in the presence of KSAc, Neu5Ac2SSBn 6a is formed (Scheme 3). ¹H NMR analysis of this reaction showed that after 1 h sialoside 2 had been converted into 6a in very good yield (75 %).

Based on the finding that KSAc, which is crucial for the preparation of Neu5Ac2SAc **2**, also efficiently promotes the reaction of **2** with symmetrical disulfide BnSSBn, we thought it interesting to attempt formation of Neu5Ac2SSBn **6a** directly from chlorosugar Neu5Ac2Cl **3**. This would remove the need for separate thiosialoside synthesis. ¹H NMR experiments indicated that when **3** and BnSSBn were reacted in the presence of KSAc, no Neu5Ac2SSBn **6a** was observed after a 3 h reaction, and that **3** had not been completely converted

Journal Name

into **2**. When **3** and KSAc were reacted for several hours prior to addition of BnSSBn, however, Neu5Ac2SSBn **6a** was formed in 33 % after 9 h. This two-step one-pot reaction was drastically improved when a base (diethylamine) was added with the BnSSBn. Neu5Ac2Cl **3** was completely converted into Neu5Ac2SSBn **6a** in 1 h. These conditions could also be applied to the synthesis of other benzyl and phenyl sialosyl disulfides (entries 2 and 4, Table 2).

This methodology could be utilised to successfully prepare the forementioned cinnamyl sialosyl disulfide 6c (entry 3, Table 2). As expected, aliphatic symmetrical disulfides were found to be less reactive towards chlorosugar 3, with longer reaction times (2 h to overnight) required (entries 6, 7, and 9, Table 2). Hydroxyl-functionalised disulfides were also amenable in these conditions, with 7d being obtained in good yield after a 2 hr reaction (entry 7, Table 2). When a heteroaromatic disulphide (entry 8) was reacted under these conditions, the respective thiosialoside was also observed in equal amounts to the disulphide 7e. This presumably results from the competitive nucleophilic attack of the in situ formed (and relatively more reactive) 5-chloropyridin-2-mercaptan to the unreacted chlorosialosyl 3. Formation of this thiosialoside was minimised by first reacting chlorosialoside 3 with KSAc followed by the addition of 5-chloropyridin-2-disulfide and base after 6 h.

We have thus identified convenient conditions for the synthesis of sialosyl disulfides, starting from either the 2-chlorosialoside **3** or 2-thioacetate **2**.

We subsequently sought to establish wider applicability to the broader glycoside field. D-glucose was selected as being generally representative. In this case, diethylamine was found to be insufficient to promote the synthesis of glucosyl disulfides. Other organic bases such as diisopropylethylamine and morpholine proved to be equally insufficient. Hydrazine hydrate efficiently promoted the thiol-disulfide exchange reaction between glucosyl thioacetate **8** and the symmetrical disulfide of interest, however. The method proved successful for a similar range of alkyl and aryl disulfides, resulting in glucosyl disulfides being successfully synthesised from 1-thioacetate derivative **8** in good yields (Table 3). Previous reports describing the synthesis of glucosyl disulfides from glucosyl thioacetate **8** employed sodium hydroxide, which leads also to complete hydrolysis of *O*-acetate protecting groups.³³



Г	a	b	I	e	2	
•	~	~		-	_	

AcO AcHN	COAC CI KSAC (3 eq). COAC COOME Et ₂ NH (2 OAC RSSR (3	eq) 6	Ac COOMe SSR OAc
Entry	R	Product	Yield (%)
1	benzyl	6a	65
2	4-fluorobenyl	6b	62
3	cinnamyl	6c	56
4	phenyl	7a	75
5	per-O-acetyl-glucose	7b	61
6	methyl	7c	71
7	2-hydroxyethyl	7d	64
8	5-chloropyridin-2-yl	7e	69 ^ª
9	cyclohexyl	7f	60

^a.Corrected yield by ¹H NMR

Table 3			
AcO AcO AcO	OAc	AcO AcO ACO ACO 9	Ac
Entry	R	Product	Yield (%)
1	2-hydroxyl ethyl	9a	49
2	cyclohexyl	9b	58
3	phenyl	9с	65
4	benzyl	9d	60 ^a
5	4-fluorobenzyl	9e	52 ^b
6	methyl	9f	65
7	5-chloropyridin-2-yl	9g	66

 $^{\rm a}\mbox{Yield}$ calculated by $^{1}\mbox{H}$ NMR; $^{\rm b}\mbox{1}\mbox{H}$ NMR of the crude indicated full conversion of ${\bf 8}$ into ${\bf 9e}$

Conclusions

In summary, we report novel strategies for the synthesis of unsymmetrical sialosyl and glycosyl disulfides, offering significant advantages over existing methodologies, employing readily utilised intermediates. This methodology offers a convenient route to compounds of biological interest, with potential as carbohydrate probes or enzyme inhibitors. Given the increasing awareness of the importance of carbohydrates in biological processes, e.g. cancer progression and metastasis,^{34, 35} the field of synthesis of carbohydrate mimetics and probes such as glycosyl disulfides will continue to gain pace.

Experimental

General information

NMR spectra were generated on a JEOL ECA-600 and Bruker AMX 400 operating at 600 MHz and 400 MHz respectively. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm downfield relative to tetramethylsilane (solvent $CDCl_3$). Low resolution mass spectra (LRMS) were generated using a Micromass Quattro Ultima. High resolution mass

ARTICLE

ARTICLE

spectrometry was performed at the National Mass Spectrometry Centre Swansea using MAT95 or MAT900 in the electrospray ionisation (ESI) mode. Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on precoated silica plates 60 F_{254} (Merck). Visualisation of the plates was carried out using UV light (254 nm), and/or a solution of permanganate or sulphuric acid followed by heating. Flash column chromatography was carried out on Merck 9385 silica gel 60 (40-63 μ m) (Merck). All solvents were of reagent grade.

General method for the synthesis of sialosyl disulfides

A solution of 3 (0.1 mmol) in ethyl acetate (3 ml) was mixed with KSAc (0.3 mmol) followed by the addition of symmetrical disulfide (0.3 mmol) and diethylamine (0.2 mmol) at RT. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuum. Column chromatography on silica gel (ethyl acetate 100%) afforded desired sialosyl disulfides.

Methyl 2-(benzylsulfanyl) 5-acetamido-4,7,8,9-tetra-*O*-acetyl-2,3,5-trideoxy-2-thio-β-D-*glycero*-D-*galacto*-2-

nonulopyranosonate (6a) $-{}^{1}$ H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 1.88 (s, 3H, NAC), 1.94, 2.03, 2.17 (4s, 12H, 4OAC), 2.23 (dd, 2H, H-3ax, $J_{3ax,4}$ 12.5 Hz, $J_{3ax,3eq}$ 12.6 Hz), 2.69 (dd, 1H, H-3eq, $J_{3eq,4}$ 4.2 Hz, $J_{3ax,3eq}$ 12.6 Hz), 3.81 (s, 3H, COOMe), 4.00 – 4.14 (m, 5H), 4.36 (d, 1H, H-9, $J_{9,9'}$ 12.5 Hz), 4.89 (ddd, 1H, H-4), 5.26 (d, 1H, H-6), 5.34 (d, 1H, H-7), 5.39 (m, 1H, H-8), 7.24 – 7.33 (m, 5H); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 14.30, 20.79, 20.91, 20.96, 21.25, 23.32, 37.54, 44.71, 49.44, 53.25, 62.23, 67.47, 69.43, 69.79, 75.01, 89.47, 127.77, 128.66 (2C), 129.69 (2C), 136.41, 168.00, 170.05, 170.21, 170.32, 170.73, 171.09; MS (ES⁺) C₂₇H₃₅NO₁₂S₂ (629) *m/z* (%) 630.3 [M+H]+ (100); HRMS (ES⁺) Found 630.1668, calcd for C₂₇H₃₆NO₁₂S₂ 630.1673 [M+H]⁺

$\label{eq:2.1} Methyl \ 2-[(4'-fluorobenzyl)sulfanyl] \ 5-acetamido-4,7,8,9-tetra-O-acetyl-2,3,5-trideoxy-2-thio-\beta-D-glycero-D-galacto-2-$

nonulopyranosonate (**6b**) - ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 1.93 (s, 3H, NAc), 1.96, 2.04, 2.13, 2.19 (4s, 12H, 4OAc), 2.22 (dd, 1H, H-3a, $J_{3ax,3eq}$ 12.5Hz), 2.71 (dd, 1H, H-3eq, $J_{3eq,4}$ 4.6 Hz, $J_{3ax,3eq}$ 12.5Hz), 2.71 (dd, 1H, H-3eq, $J_{3eq,4}$ 4.6 Hz, $J_{3ax,3eq}$ 12.5Hz), 3.81 (s, 3H, COOMe), 3.99 (d, 1H, ²J 12.1 Hz), 4.02-4.07 (m, 3H, H-5, NH, -CH), 4.11 (dd, 1H, H-9a, $J_{8,9a}$ 5.4 Hz, $J_{9a,9b}$ 12.5 Hz), 4.35 (dd, 1H, H-9b, $J_{8,9b}$ 2.7 Hz, $J_{9a,9b}$ 12.5 Hz), 4.89 (m, 1H, H-4), 5.27 (d, 1H, H-6, $J_{5,6}$ 9.3 Hz), 5.32 (dd, 1H, H-7, $J_{6,7}$ 1.5 Hz, $J_{7,8}$ 8.2 Hz), 5.39 (m, 1H, H-8), 7.00 (d, 1H, ³J 8.5 Hz), 7.01 (d, 1H, ³J 8.5 Hz), 7.32 (d, 1H, ³J 8.5 Hz); 7.01 (d, 1H, ³J 8.5 Hz), 7.32 (d, 1H, ³J 8.5 Hz), 7.33 (d, 1H, ³J 8.5 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 20.78, 20.86, 20.92, 21.24, 23.18, 37.48, 43.82, 49.73, 53.32, 62.08, 67.36, 68.94, 69.58, 74.75, 89.58, 114.21, 115.48, 115.65, 120.75, 131.36, 131.41, 167.86, 170.09, 170.20, 170.73, 171.15, 171.29; MS (ES⁺) C₂₇H₃₄FNO₁₂S₂ (647.69) *m/z* (%) 648.4 [M+H]+ (20), 665.2 [M+NH₄]+ (100), 670.1 [M+Na]+ (40); HRMS (ES⁺) Found 648.1567, calcd for C₂₇H₃₅FNO₁₂S₂ 648.1579[M+H]⁺

Methyl 2-(cynnamylsulfanyl) 5-acetamido-4,7,8,9-tetra-*O*-acetyl-2,3,5-trideoxy-2-thio-β-D-*glycero*-D-*galacto*-2-

nonulopyranosonate (**6c**) - ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 600 MHz) δ 1.90 (s, 3H, NAc), 1.99, 2.03, 2.13, 2.18 (4s, 12H, 4OAc), 2.24 (dd, 1H, H-3ax, $J_{3ax,4}$ 11.8 Hz, $J_{3ax,3eq}$ 12.5 Hz), 2.70 (dd, 1H, H-3eq, $J_{3ax,4}$ 4.6 Hz, $J_{3ax,3eq}$ 12.5 Hz), 3.62 (m, 2H), 3.81 (s, 3H, COOMe), 4.00 (d, 1H, NH, $J_{NH,5}$ 10.8 Hz), 4.06 (m, 1H, H-5), 4.13 (dd, 1H, H-9a, $J_{8,9a}$ 5.5 Hz, $J_{9a,9b}$ 12.3 Hz), 4.38 (d, 1H, H-9a, $J_{9a,9b}$ 12.3 Hz), 4.88 (m, 1H, H-4), 5.23 (d, 1H, H-6, $J_{5,6}$ 9.7 Hz), 5.32 (d, 1H, H-7, $J_{7,8}$ 8.1 Hz), 5.37 (m, 1H, H-8), 6.20 (m, 1H), 6.56 (d, 1H, ${}^{E}J$ 15.4 Hz), 7.24 (m, 1H), 7.30 (m, 2H), 7.39 (m, 2H); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 20.85, 20.88, 20.94, 21.24, 23.25, 37.50, 42.63, 49.50, 53.28, 62.39, 67.46, 69.46, 69.71, 74.71,

89.15, 123.32, 126.60 (2C), 127.88, 128.68 (2C), 134.99, 136.65, 162.97, 168.01, 170.09, 170.15, 170.72, 170.74, 171.12; MS (ES⁺) $C_{29}H_{37}NO_{12}S_2$ (655.18) m/z (%) 656.33 [M+H]+ (20), 678.24 [M+Na]+ (55); HRMS (ES⁺) Found 673.2086, calcd for $C_{29}H_{37}NO_{12}S_2$ 673.2095[M+NH₄]⁺

$\label{eq:metric} \begin{array}{lll} Methyl & 2-[phenylsulfanyl] & 5-acetamido-4,7,8,9-tetra-$O-acetyl-2,3,5-trideoxy-2-thio-$\beta-D-$glycero-D-$galacto-2-$ \end{array}$

nonulopyranosonate (7a) ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.87 (s, 3H, NAc), 2.02, 2.03, 2.05, 2.12 (4s, 12H, OAc), 2.19 (dd, 1H, H-3ax, $J_{3ax,4}$ 12.4 Hz, $J_{3ax,3eq}$ 12.8 Hz), 2.75 (dd, 1H, H-3eq, $J_{3eq,4}$ 4.8 Hz, $J_{3eq,3ax}$ 12.8Hz), 3.48 (s, 3H, COOMe), 3.91 (m, 3H, H-5, H-6, H-9a), 4.25 (dd, 1H, H-9b, $J_{8,9}$ 2.8 Hz, $J_{9a,9b}$ 12.4 Hz), 4.85 (m, 1H, H-4), 5.10 (m, 1H, H-8), 5.24 (m, 2H, H-7, NH), 7.21 (m, 1H), 7.32 (m, 2H), 7.55 (d, 2H, ³J 7.8 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 20.74, 20.87, 21.15, 23.21, 37.18, 49.25, 52.90, 61.71, 67.11, 69.46, 69.63, 74.66, 76.72, 77.04, 77.36, 86.49, 127.15, 128.01, 128.75, 136.12, 167.67, 169.89, 170.08, 170.26, 170.70, 170.94; MS (ES⁺) C₂₆H₃₃NO₁₂S₂ (615) m/z 616.29 [M+H]⁺ (47) 638.28 [M+Na]⁺ (100); HRMS (ES⁺) Found 638.1330, calcd for C₂₆H₃₃NO₁₂S₂Na 638.1336 [M+Na]⁺

Methyl2-[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl]]5-acetamido-4,7,8,9-tetra-O-acetyl-2,3,5-trideoxy-2-thio-β-D-

glycero-D-galacto-2-nonulopyranosonate (**7b**) - ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.86 (s, 3H, NAc), 1.98, 2.01, 2.03, 2.05, 2.08, 2.09, 2.14, 2.19 (s, 24 H, 8 OAc), 2.79 (dd, 1H, H-3eq_{Neu}, $J_{3ax,4}$ 4.4 Hz, $J_{3ax,3eq}$ 12.8 Hz), 3.79 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.88-4.17 (m, 5H, H-5_{glw}, NH, H-5_{Neu}, H-7_{Neu}, H-9a_{Neu}), 4.33-4.39 (m, 2H, H-6_{Neu}, H-9b_{Neu}), 4.64 (d, 1H, H-1_{glu}, $J_{1,2}$ 10.0 Hz), 4.85 (m, 1H, H-4_{Neu}), 4.94 (dd, 1H, H-2glu, $J_{1,2}$ 10.0 Hz), 5.12 (m, 2H, H-6_{glu} and H-6a_{glu}), 5.31 (m, 2H, H-6b_{glu} and H-8_{Neu}), 5.55 (dd, 1H, H-3_{glu}, $J_{2,3}$ 9.2 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ 9.2 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 14.16, 20.55, 20.57, 20.66, 20.71, 20.79, 20.98, 23.14, 38.42, 49.16, 52.89, 50.34, 61.71, 62.36, 67.46, 68.08, 68.83, 69.72, 70.46, 73.51, 75.05, 75.60, 87.08, 90.81, 167.04, 169.40, 169.43, 169.99, 170.07, 170.13, 170.32, 170.60, 170.71, 170.82, 171.07; MS (ES⁺) C₃₄H₄₇NO₂₁S₂ (869.2) *m/z* (%) 870.22 [M+H]+ (36), 892.20 [M+Na]+ (100); HRMS (ES⁺) Found 887.2414, calcd for C₃₄H₄₇NO₂₁S₂NH₄ 887.2420 [M+NH₄]⁺

Methyl 2-[methylsulfanyl] 5-acetamido-4,7,8,9-tetra-*O*-acetyl-2,3,5-trideoxy-2-thio-β-D-*glycero*-D-*galacto*-2-

nonulopyranosonate (**7c**) - ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.88 (s, 3H, NAc), 2.03, 2.04, 2.12, 2.15 (s, 12 H, 4 OAc), 2.22 (dd, 1H, H-3ax, $J_{3ax,4}$ 12.0 Hz, $J_{3ax,3eq}$ 12.8 Hz), 2.50 (s, 3H, SSMe), 2.70 (dd, 1H, H-3eq, $J_{3ax,4}$ 4.7 Hz, $J_{3ax,3eq}$ 12.8 Hz), 3.81 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.01 (m, 2H, H-5, H-6), 4.13 (m, 1H, H-9a), 4.39 (m, 1H, H-9b), 4.89 (m, 1H, H-4), 5.21 (d, 1H, NH, $J_{5,NH}$ 9.6 Hz), 5.31 (m, 2H, H-6, H-8); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 20.72, 20.79, 20.99, 21.05, 23.15, 24.74, 37.36, 49.41, 53.03, 62.15, 67.46. 69.52, 69.72, 74.91, 89.12, 168.00, 169.95, 170.08, 170.15, 170.59, 170.89; MS (ES⁺) C₂₁H₃₁NO₁₂S₂ (553.6) *m/z* (%) 554.26 [M+H]+ (18), 576.25 [M+Na]+ (100); HRMS (ES⁺) Found 554.1348, calcd for C₂₁H₃₂NO₁₂S₂ 554.1348 [M+H]⁺

Methyl 2-[(2-hydroxyethyl)methylsulfanyl] 5-acetamido-4,7,8,9tetra-*O*-acetyl-2,3,5-trideoxy-2-thio-β-D-*glycero*-D-*galacto*-2-

nonulopyranosonate (**7d**) - ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.87 (s, 3H, NAC), 2.02, 2.06, 2.13, 2.15 (4s, 12H, 4OAc), 2.24 (dd, 1H, H-3ax, $J_{3ax,4}$ 12.0 Hz, $J_{3ax,3eq}$ 12.6 Hz), 2.68 (dd, 1H, H-3eq, $J_{3ax,4}$ 4.8 Hz, $J_{3ax,3eq}$ 12.6 Hz), 2.76 (t, 1H, OH, J 6.3 Hz), 2.94 (m, 1H, SCH₂CH₂OH), 3.08 (m, 1H, SCH₂CH₂OH), 3.81 (s, 3H, COOMe), 3.85 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂OH), 4.01 (m, 3H, H-5, H-6, H-9a), 4.39 (dd, 1H, H-9b, $J_{8,9}$ 2.8

Journal Name

Hz, $J_{9a,9b}$ 12.4 Hz), 4.88 (m, 1H, H-4), 5.23 (m, 1H, NH, $J_{5,NH}$ 9.6 Hz), 5.26 (dd, 1H, H-7, J 1.6 Hz, J 8.0 Hz), 5.36 (m, 1H, H-8); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 20.75, 20.79, 21.09, 21.38, 23.14, 37.41, 39.98, 49.34, 53.15, 60.41, 60.50, 62.73, 67.45, 69.00, 74.76, 89.37, 167.87, 170.11, 170.17, 170.41, 170.91, 171.11;MS (ES⁺) C₂₂H₃₃NO₁₃S₂ (583.6) *m/z* (%) 584 [M+H]+ (14), 606.24 [M+Na]+ (100); HRMS (ES⁺) Found 601.1722, calcd for C₂₂H₃₃NO₁₃S₂NH₄ 601.1732 [M+NH₄]⁺

nonulopyranosonate (7e) ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.86 (s, 3H, NAc), 2.01, 2.03, 2.03, 2.11 (4s, 12H, 4OAc), 2.24 (dd, 1H, H-3ax, J_{3ax,4} 12.0 Hz, J_{3ax,3eq} 12.4 Hz), 2.68 (dd, 1H, H-3eq, J_{3ax,4} 4.8 Hz, J_{3ax.3eq} 12.8 Hz), 3.73 (s, 3H, COOMe), 4.01 (m, 3H, H-5, H-6, H-9a), 4.39 (dd, 1H, H-9b, J_{8.9} 2.8 Hz, J_{9a.9b} 12.4 Hz), 4.91 (m, 1H, H-4), 5.01 (m, 1H, H-8), 5.18 (d, 1H, NH, J_{5,NH} 8.0 Hz), 5.27 (m, 1H, H-7), 7.75 (dd, 1H, H4`, ⁴J 2.2 Hz ³J 8.6 Hz), 7.78 (d, 1H, H3`, ³J 8.6 Hz), 8.38 (d, 1H, H6`, ⁴J 2.2 Hz); 7.68, 7.80, 8.40, (m, 3H, H-2', H-3', H-4') ; ¹³C NMR (CDCl_{3,} 100 MHz) δ 14.16, 20.55, 20.70, 20.77, 20.98, 23.11, 37.41, 49.28, 53.24, 60.35, 62.00, 67.20, 68.86, 69.37, 74.70, 87.57, 121.58, 136.82, 147.64, 157.35, 167.69, 169.82, 169.85, 170.14, 170.53, 170.81; MS (ES^+) $C_{25}H_{31}CIN_2O_{12}S_2$ (650.1) m/z (%) 651.27 (100), 673.11 [M+Na]+ [M+H]+ (22): HRMS (ES $^{*})$ Found 651.1069, calcd for $C_{25}H_{32}CIN_{2}O_{12}S_{2}$ 651.1080 [M+H]⁺

$Methyl \ 2-[(cyclohexyl)methylsulfanyl] \ 5-acetamido-4,7,8,9-tetra-O-acetyl-2,3,5-trideoxy-2-thio-\beta-D-glycero-D-galacto-2-$

nonulopyranosonate (**7d**) - ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 1.31 (m, 7H), 1.66 (m, 1H), 1.82 (m, 2H), 1.90 (s, 3H, NAc), 2.07, 2.10, 2.14, 2.17 (4s, 12H, 4OAc), 2.I (dd, 1H, H-3ax, $J_{3ax,4}$ 12.0 Hz, $J_{3ax,3eq}$ 12.8 Hz), 2.68 (dd, 1H, H-3eq, $J_{3ax,4}$ 4.8 Hz, $J_{3ax,3eq}$ 12.8 Hz), 2.95 (m, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H, COOMe), 3.93 (m, 2H, H-5, H-6), 4.07 (dd, 1H, H-9a, $J_{8,9a}$ 5.2 Hz, $J_{9a,9b}$ 12.6 Hz), 4.41 (dd, 1H, H-9b, $J_{8,9}$ 2.4 Hz, $J_{9a,9b}$ 12.6 Hz), 4.92 (m, 1H, H-4), 5.34 (m, 3H, NH, H-7, H-8); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃ 100 MHz) δ 13.55, 14.63, 21,27, 21.29, 21.51, 21.86, 23.64, 26.16, 37.73, 40.45, 43.32, 49.84, 50.15, 53.49, 62.47, 67.92, 69.93, 70.36, 75.24, 88.96, 168.81, 170.32, 170.38, 170.64, 171.01, 171.38; MS (ES⁺) C₂₆H₃₉NO₁₂S₂ (621.7) *m/z* (%) 622.26 [M+H]+ (8), 644.32 [M+Na]+ (100); HRMS (ES⁺) Found 622.1974, calcd for C₂₆H₃₃NO₁₂S₂ 622.1986 [M+H]⁺.

General method for the synthesis of glycosyl disulfides – To a solution of 8 (0.1 mmol) in ethyl acetate (2 ml) was added hydrazine hydrate (0.3 mmol) followed by symmetrical disulfide (0.5 mmol) at RT. After 4-5, the reaction mixture was quenched with sat sol NaHCO₃ (20 ml) and extracted ethyl acetate (3 x 20 ml). The organic phase was dried over MgSO₄, filtered and the filtrate concentrated under vacuum. Column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate 2:1) afforded desired glycosyl disulfides.

2-Hydroxyethyl-(2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-β-D-

glucopyranosyl)disulfide (9a) ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz,) δ 1.97, 1.99, 1.99, 2.05 (s, 12H, OAc), 2.38 (bs, 1H,OH), 2.88 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂OH), 3.73 (ddd, 1H, H-5, $J_{5,6a}$ 2.0 Hz, $J_{5,6b}$ 4.7 Hz, $J_{4,5}$ = 9.6 Hz,), 3.83 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 2H, CH_2CH_2OH), 4.14 (dd, 1H, H-6a. $J_{5,6a}$ 2.0 Hz, $J_{6a,6b}$ 12.4 Hz), 4.21 (dd, 1H, H-6b, $J_{5,6b}$ 4.7 Hz, $J_{6a,6b}$ 12.5 Hz), 4.52 (d, 1H, H-1, $J_{1,2}$ 9.6 Hz),), 5.06 (dd, 1H, H-4, $J_{3,4}$ 9.6 Hz, $J_{4,5}$ 9.6 Hz), 5.24 (m, 2H, H-2, H-3); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 20.48, 20.51, 20.57, 20.63, 41.91, 60.02, 61.78, 67.97, 69.09, 73.75, 76.24, 87.14,

iomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manus

169.10, 169.30, 170.11, 170.61; MS (ES⁺) C₁₅H₂₂O₁₀S2 (426) *m/z* (%) 463.21 [M+Na]+ (100);

4-Flurorobenzyl-(2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)-

disulfide (9e) - ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 2.01, 2.02, 2.04, 2.07 (4s, 12H, OAc), 3.75 (m, 1H, H-5) 3.99 (s, 2H, SSCH₂PhF), 4.19 (dd, 1H, H-6a, $J_{5,6a}$ 2.4 Hz, $J_{6a,6b}$ 12.4 Hz), 4.27 (dd, 1H, H-6b, $J_{5,6b}$ 4.8 Hz, $J_{6a,6b}$ 12.4 Hz), 4.53 (d, 1H, H-1, $J_{1,2}$ 9.6 Hz), 5.13 (dd, 1H, H-4, $J_{3,4}$ 9.6 Hz, $J_{4,5}$ 9.6 Hz), 5.25 (dd, 1H, H-3, $J_{2,3}$ 9.6 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ 9.6 Hz), 5.32 (dd, 1H, H-2, $J_{1,2}$ 9.6 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ 9.6 Hz), 7.00 (dd, 2H, H3', ³J 8.4 Hz, $J_{H,F}$ 8.8 Hz). 7.27 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 20.53, 20.55, 20.60, 20.67, 43.53, 62.09, 68.11, 69.16, 73.83, 87.79, 115.28 (2C), 131.10 (2C), 169.07, 169.36, 170.13, 170.41; MS (ES⁺) C₂₁H₂₅FO₉S₂ (504) m/z (%) 527.14 [M+Na]+ (100); HRMS (ES⁺) Found 522.1249, calcd for C₂₁H₂₉FO₉S₂ N 522.1262 [M+NH₄]⁺.

Methyl-(2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetyl-β-D-glucopyranosyl)-disulfide (9f) – ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 2.01, 2.03, 2.08 (3s, 12H, 4OAc), 2.48 (s, 3H, SSC*H*₃), 3.74 (m, 1H, H-5), 4.17 (dd, 1H, H-6a, $J_{5,6a}$ 2.4 Hz, $J_{6a,6b}$ 12.4 Hz), 4.23 (dd, 1H, H-6b, $J_{5,6b}$ 4.8 Hz, $J_{6a,6b}$ 12.4 Hz), 4.57 (d, 1H, H-1, $J_{1,2}$ 9.6 Hz), 5.11 (dd, 1H, H-4, $J_{3,4}$ 9.6 Hz, $J_{4,5}$ 9.6 Hz), 5.25 (dd, 1H, H-3, $J_{2,3}$ 9.6 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ 9.6 Hz), 5.30 (dd, 1H, H-2, $J_{1,2}$ 9.6 Hz, $J_{2,3}$ 9.6 Hz); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 20.54, 20.57, 20.63, 20.66, 24.62, 60.35, 62.09, 68.15, 69.11, 73.89, 88.03, 169.11, 169.35, 170.18, 170.49; MS (ES⁺) Found 428.1036, calcd for C₁₅H₂₂O₉S₂ HRMS (ES⁺) Found 418.1036, calcd for C₁₅H₂₂O₉S₂ N 428.1043 [M+NH₄]⁺.

5-chloropyridin-1-sulfanyl -(2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-β-d-glucopyranosyl)disulphide (9g) -¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 2.00, 2.02, 2.10, 2.13 (4s, 12H, OAc), 3.79 (m, 1H, H-5), 4.22 (dd, 1H, H6a, $J_{5,6a}$ 2.0 Hz $J_{6a,6b}$ 12.4 Hz), 4.25 (dd, 1H. H6b, $J_{5,6b}$ 4.4 Hz, $J_{6a,6b}$ 12.4 Hz), 4.25 (dd, 1H. H6b, $J_{5,6b}$ 4.4 Hz, $J_{6a,6b}$ 12.4 Hz), 4.26 (dd, 1H, H-4, $J_{4,5}$ 9.6 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ 10.0 Hz), 5.06 (dd, 1H, H-4, $J_{4,5}$ 9.6 Hz, $J_{3,4}$ 10.0 Hz), 7.34 (d, 1H, 3 J 8.0 Hz), 7.42 (d, 1H, 3 J 8.0 Hz), (7.66 (s, 1H); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 14.17, 20.53, 20.62, 20.75, 21.00, 60.37, 61.60, 67.94, 69.78, 73.89, 76.19, 87.28, 138.14, 169.16, 169.28, 170.09, 170.68, 210.01; MS (ES⁺) C₁₉H₂₂CINO₉S₂ (507) *m/z* (%) 508.17 [M+H]⁺(100), 503.16 [M+Na]+ (24); HRMS (ES⁺) Found 508.0489, calcd for C₁₉H₂₂CINO₉S₂ 508.0497 [M+H]⁺

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge EPSRC for a DTA studentship awarded to RAF to support BRS. Yorkshire Cancer Research is additionally thanked for financial support of RAF. The EPSRC UK National Mass Spectrometry Facility, Swansea University, is thanked for HRMS measurements.

Notes and references

- I. Hamachi, T. Nagase and S. Shinkai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 12065-12066.
- 2. D. P. Gamblin, P. Garnier, S. van Kasteren, N. J. Oldham, A. J. Fairbanks and B. G. Davis, *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.*, 2004, **43**, 828-833.
- S. Andre, Z. C. Pei, H. C. Siebert, O. Ramstrom and H. J. Gabius, *Bioorg.* Med. Chem., 2006, 14, 6314-6326.
- 4. Z. C. Pei, T. Aastrup, H. Anderson and O. Ramstrom, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2005, **15**, 2707-2710.
- 5. Z. C. Pei, R. Larsson, T. Aastrup, H. Anderson, J. M. Lehn and O. Ramstrom, *Biosens. Bioelectron*, 2006, **22**, 42-48.

ARTICLE

- B. N. Murthy, S. Sinha, A. Surolia, N. Jayaraman, L. Szilagyi, I. Szabo and K. E. Kover, *Carbohyd. Res.*, 2009, **344**, 1758-1763.
- L. Szilagyi, T. Z. Illyes and P. Herczegh, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, 42, 3901-3903.
- I. Brito, M. Lopez-Rodriguez, A. Benyei and L. Szilagyi, *Carbohyd. Res.*, 2006, 341, 2967-2972.
- B. G. Davis, S. J. Ward and P. M. Rendle, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 189-190.
- 10. E. J. Grayson, S. J. Ward, A. L. Hall, P. M. Rendle, D. P. Gamblin, A. S. Batsanov and B. G. Davis, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **70**, 9740-9754.
- 11. D. N. Harp and J. G. Gleason, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1971, 93, 2437-2445.
- 12. R. J. Ferrier, R. H. Furneaux and P. C. Tyler, *Carbohyd. Res.*, 1977, **58**, 397-404.
- G. J. L. Bernardes, E. J. Grayson, S. Thompson, J. M. Chalker, J. C. Errey, F. El Oualid, T. D. W. Claridge and B. G. Davis, *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.*, 2008, 47, 2244-2247.
- C. F. Liang, M. C. Yan, T. C. Chang and C. C. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 3138-+.
- C. F. Liang, T. C. Kuan, T. C. Chang and C. C. Lin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 16074-16079.
- M. Fernandez-Gonzalez, O. Boutureira, G. J. L. Bernardes, J. M. Chalker, M. A. Young, J. C. Errey and B. G. Davis, *Chem. Sci.*, 2010, 1, 709-715.
- E. J. Grayson, G. J. L. Bernardes, J. M. Chalker, O. Boutureira, J. R. Koeppe and B. G. Davis, *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.*, 2011, **50**, 4127-4132.
- G. Hummel and O. Hindsgaul, Angew. Chem. Int. Edit., 1999, 38, 1782-1784.
- 19. M. J. Kiefel, R. J. Thomson, M. Radovanovic and M. von Itzstein, J. Carbohyd. Chem., 1999, 18, 937-959.
- 20. S. Knapp, E. Darout and B. Amorelli, J. Org. Chem., 2006, 71, 1380-1389.
- M. Adinolfi, D. Capasso, S. Di Gaetano, A. Iadonisi, L. Leone and A. Pastore, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, 9, 6278-6283.
- 22. W. M. Macindoe, A. H. van Oijen and G. J. Boons, Chem. Commun., 1998, 847-848.
- 23. T. Z. Illyes, T. Szabo and L. Szilagyi, *Carbohyd. Res.*, 2011, **346**, 1622-1627.
- 24. M. C. Aversa, A. Barattucci and P. Bonaccorsi, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, 6355-6359.
- 25. R. Caraballo, M. Rahm, P. Vongvilai, T. Brinck and O. Ramstrom, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 6603-6605.
- R. Caraballo, M. Sakulsombat and O. Ramstrom, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 8469-8471.
- 27. G. Ribeiro Morais and R. A. Falconer, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2007, **48**, 7637-7641.
- N. Stellenboom, R. Hunter, M. R. Caira and L. Szilagyi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2010, **51**, 5309-5312.
- 29. G. Ribeiro Morais, Oliveira I F, Humphrey A J and R. A. Falconer, *Carbohyd. Res.*, 2010, **345**, 160-162.
- 30. G. Ribeiro Morais, R. S. Oliveira and R. A. Falconer, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2009, **50**, 1642-1644.
- 31. B. Mandal and B. Basu, Rsc Adv, 2014, 4, 13854-13881.
- 32. M. Musiejuk and D. Witt, Org. Prep. Proced. Int., 2015, 47, 95-131.
- 33. J. J. Bailey and D. R. Bundle, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 2193-2213.
- 34. R. A. Falconer, R. J. Errington, S. D. Shnyder, P. J. Smith and L. H. Patterson, *Curr. Cancer Drug Targets*, 2012, **12**, 925-939.
- Y. M. Al-Saraireh, M. Sutherland, B. R. Springett, F. Freiberger, G. Ribeiro Morais, P. M. Loadman, R. J. Errington, P. J. Smith, M. Fukuda, R. Gerardy-Schahn, L. H. Patterson, S. D. Shnyder and R. A. Falconer, *PloS One*, 2013, 8, e73366.

)rganic & Biomolecular Chemistry Accepted Manuscript