Nanoscale

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/nanoscale



ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Molecular design driving tetraporphyrin self-assembly on graphite in either face-on or edge-on arrangement: a joint STM, electrochemical and computational study

M. El Garah,^a A. Santana Bonilla,^b A. Ciesielski,^a A. Gualandi,^c L. Mengozzi,^c A. Fiorani,^c M. Iurlo,^c M. Marcaccio,^c R. Gutierrez,^b S. Rapino,^c M. Calvaresi,^c F. Zerbetto,^{*, c} G. Cuniberti,^{*, b,d,e} P. G. Cozzi,^{*,c} F. Paolucci^{*,c} and P. Samorì^{*,a}

Tuning intermolecular interactions among suitably designed molecules forming highly ordered self-assembled monolayers is a viable approach to control their organization at the supramolecular level. Such a tuning is particularly important when applied on sophisticated molecules combining functional units which possess specific electronic properties, such as electron/energy transfer, in order to develop multifunctional systems. Here we have synthesized two tetraferroceneporphyrin derivatives that by design can selectively self-assemble at the graphite/liquid interface either into face-on or edge-on monolayer-thick architectures. The former supramolecular arrangement consists of two-dimensional planar networks based on hydrogen bonding among adjacent molecules whereas the latter relies on columnar assembly generated through intermolecular van der Waals interactions. Scanning Tunneling Microscopy (STM) at the solid-liquid interface has been corroborated with cyclic voltammetry measurements and assessed by theoretical calculations to gain multiscale insight into the arrangement of the molecule with respect to the basal plane of the surface. The STM amalysis allowed the visualization of these assemblies with a sub-nanometer resolution and cyclic voltammetry measurements provided direct evidence of the interactions between porphyrin and ferrocene with the graphite surface and offered also insight into the dynamics within the face-on and edge-on assemblies. The experimental findings were supported by theoretical calculations to shed light onto the electronic and other physical properties of both assemblies. The capability to engineer the functional nanopatterns through self-assembly of prophyrins containing ferrocene units is a key step toward the bottom-up construction of multifunctional molecular nanostructures and nanodevices.

1. Introduction

Controlling the spatial arrangement of complex functional molecules at surfaces and interfaces with an atomic precision is one among the greatest challenges in the *bottom-up* fabrication of functional architectures. By embracing the supramolecular approach,¹⁻³ that relies on spontaneous association of suitably designed small molecules into one-, two- and three-dimensional superstructures, this spatial

control may be attained *via* self-assembly of suitably designed molecular building blocks. When spatial confinement in 2D on a solid substrate is employed, this approach makes it possible to generate periodically ordered structures, which can then be characterized on the nanoscale by Scanning Tunneling Microscopy (STM).⁴⁻¹⁶ Self-assembly at interfaces enables the controlled positioning of functional units with sub-nanometer precision over areas of micrometers and thereby allows the fine-tuning of numerous properties of the resulting nanostructures¹⁷⁻²¹ for technological applications, in particular in optics, catalysis, electronics and sensing.²²⁻²³

Porphyrins have been extensively explored as molecular scaffolds because of their unique opto-electronic properties, which makes them appealing for numerous applications including solar cells²⁴ and photo-catalysis.²⁵ STM was used to explore the self-assembly of porphyrins under ultra-high vacuum (UHV) on graphite²⁶ and metallic substrates²⁷⁻²⁹, and under ambient conditions on graphite supports.³⁰⁻³⁶ In general, the adsorption of porphyrins can be classified into two distinct molecular configurations, i.e. *face-on* and *edge-on* (columnar stacking). Once physisorbed on the basal plane of highly

^{a.} ISIS & iCFRC, Université de Strasbourg & CNRS, 8 Allée Gaspard Monge, 67000 Strasbourg

Email: <u>Samori@unistra.fr</u>.

^{b.a.e} Institute for Materials and Max Bergamann Center of Biomaterials, TU Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany.

Email: g.cuniberti@tu-dresden.de

^c Dipartimento di Chimica "Giacomo Ciamician", Via Selmi2, 40126, Bologna, Italy. Email: <u>piergiorgio.cozzi@unibo.it, francesco.paolucci@unibo.it,</u> <u>francesco.zerbetto@unibo.it</u>

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

ARTICLE

oriented pyrolitic graphite (HOPG), porphyrin molecules typically adopt face-on configuration, which maximizes van der Waals molecule-substrate interactions. This configuration has been widely observed by STM where the aromatic core lay flat on the basal plane of the surface.^{30, 33, 37-40} Conversely, when the intermolecular van der Waals interactions are stronger than those between molecules and substrate, the molecules tend to adopt an edge-on configuration. For applications in organic electronics, the edge-on adsorption of porphyrin molecules, where the cores are stacked in columnar assembly, can be of special interest for charge transport.⁴¹ The best strategy to construct edge-on stacked porphyrin-based architectures is to promote the $\pi\text{-}\pi$ stacking between the aromatic cores. Hitherto, only a few examples have been reported.42-44 Interestingly, it has been recently demonstrated that in the case of the porphyrins substituted in meso positions with alkyl chains, the final configuration of the self-assembled nanopatterns at solution/HOPG interface, directly depends on the length of aliphatic substituents.⁴⁵ In particular, it was shown that the presence of the long alkyl chains promotes the formation of *face-on* porphyrin-based architectures whereas porphyrins functionalized with short chains adopt the edge-on conformation. This different behaviour can be explained by the presence of strong molecule surface and molecule-molecule interactions, respectively. Nonetheless, it has been also reported that face-on porphyrin assemblies can be generated by exploiting β -functionalization with ethyl chains.⁴⁶

Ferrocene (Fc) is an organometallic compound possessing unique optoelectronic properties, which make it a key building block for various applications in materials science including sensing,⁴⁷⁻⁴⁸ catalysis,⁴⁹⁻⁵⁰ electronics,⁵¹⁻⁵³ and even in anticancer agents.⁵⁴ Thus, the control over the self-assembly of ferrocene-based architectures is key towards the investigation and exploitation of their optical and electronic characteristics. For example, ordered Fc-based structures on a surface were recently produced by exploiting hydrogen-bonding between carboxylic acids functionalized Fc's, resulting in the formation of 2D quasicrystals.⁵⁵ We recently reported on the selfof guanosine-ferrocenes assembly dyads at the graphite/solution interface into free metallic quartet motifs and ribbon architectures. In-situ STM imaging revealed that ferrocene groups physisorb as second layer on top of guanosine monolayer structures.56

Herein, we present a sub-molecularly resolved STM study on the self-assembly on graphite surface of porphyrins scaffolds functionalized in their *meso* positions with amide substituents comprising Fc electroactive units. We focused our attention on two porphyrin derivatives: **P1** and **P2** (Scheme 1, for synthesis see SI). The choice of the *meso* functionalization was aimed at exploring the effect of different self-recognition groups on the molecular self-assembly at surfaces. In particular, porphyrin **P1** was designed to promote the formation of self-assembled structures characterized by the presence of H-bonds between secondary amide groups belonging to neighbouring molecules, and therefore to generate 2D *face-on* architectures. To explore the formation of structures based exclusively on van der Waals interactions, the secondary amides have been replaced with

Journal Name

tertiary ones, and therefore the van der Waals interactions between the **P2** cores, as well as alkyl side-chains can be expected to govern the self-assembly. Moreover, we studied the electrochemical properties of **P1** and **P2** in solution as well as their self-assemblies on graphite surface by the means of cyclic voltammetry. Furthermore, we performed theoretical analyses to gain insight into the electronic and mechanical properties of both structures.



Scheme 1. Chemical structure of P1 and P2.

2. Results and discussion

2.1 Scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM)

P1 and **P2** films were prepared by drop casting 100 μ L solutions (0.1 mM in CHCl₃) onto HOPG; once dried up, the samples were kept in chloroform-saturated atmosphere for 48h at room temperature. The latter solvent vapour annealing (SVA) step in the film preparation was essential to form ordered self-assembled monolayers, by promoting the reorganization of the molecules adsorbed on the surface. Noteworthy, this method has been previously successfully used to finely tune the self-assembly of various molecules, including n-type perylene nanowires, ⁵⁷ p-type pentacene⁵⁸ and hexa-*peri*-benzacoronene (HBC) structures.⁵⁹

The STM height image of **P1** monolayers on HOPG is displayed in Figure 1a-b. It shows a monocrystalline monolayer featuring a 2D porous motif with the **P1** molecules being physisorbed flat on the graphite surface in a *face-on* arrangement. The packing of **P1** is characterized by a unit cell (see white square in Fig. 1b) with $a = b = (2.2 \pm 0.1)$ nm and $\alpha = (90 \pm 2)^\circ$, which leads to an area $A = (4.8 \pm 0.2)$ nm², where each unit cell contains a single **P1** molecule. The supramolecular architecture is stabilized by strong N-H⁻⁻O hydrogen bonds between secondary amide groups of neighbouring molecules. Because of the small size of the cavities (1.00 ± 0.05) nm², as well as geometrical constraints, all dodecyl chains are most likely back-folded into the supernatant solution, whereas the position of ferrocene units inside the nano-cavities could not be resolved with STM.

In the case of compound **P2**, the replacement of secondary amide groups with tertiary ones results in the formation of the lamellar structure monitored by STM (Figure 1d-e). Within each lamella, areas of different contrast can be distinguished: the brightest areas (marked in red in Fig. 1d) and those with intermediate brightness (marked in green in Fig. 1d) are

Page 2 of 9

assigned to the porphyrin cores and the dodecyl chains, respectively. The dark parts marked in blue in Fig. 1d, are assigned to inter-lamellar separation. The monolayer structure is characterized by the unit cell parameters $a = (5.1 \pm 0.1)$ nm, $b = (0.6 \pm 0.1)$ nm, and angle $\alpha = (90 \pm 2)^{\circ}$, which leads to an area $A = (3.1 \pm 0.1) \text{ nm}^2$, where each unit cell contains one P2 molecule. Typically, the porphyrin derivatives equipped with long alkyl chains are physisorbed flat in *face-on* fashion on the graphite surface, where the self-assembled structures can be stabilized by both porphyrin core/HOPG and alkyl chain/HOPG van der Waals interactions.^{30, 33} In contrast to P1 monolayer where intermolecular H-bonding lead to the formation of faceon architecture, P2 molecules adopt edge-on arrangement as steered by van der Waals interactions and π - π stacking. Because of the functionalization of P2 with tertiary amides, the intramolecular H-bonding is not possible; thus, the P2 molecules adopt the energetically most favourable structure, i.e. the *edge-on* arrangement.



Figure 1. STM height images of **P1** (a,b) and **P2** (d,e) monolayers at the 1-phenyloctane/HOPG interface. Scanning parameters (a,b and d,e): Average tunnelling current (I_t) = 25-30 pA, tip bias voltage (V_t) = 550-650 mV. The packing models of **P1** and **P2** are shown in (c) and (f), respectively. Dodecyl side chains in (c) are omitted for clarity

2.2. Electrochemical measurements

ARTICLE

Cyclic voltammetric studies were conducted, at room temperature and in a standard three electrodes cell, with HOPG working electrodes functionalized with either P1 or P2 monolayers. Prior to the electrochemical study on the two types of self-assembled architectures, i.e. face-on P1 assemblies and edge-on P2 columnar structures, the electrochemical properties of porphyrins P1 and P2 were investigated in dichloromethane (DCM) solutions, under ultradry conditions to obtain a sufficiently large potential window (see ESI) to investigate both the ferrocenyls and the porphyrin moieties. In solution, all ferrocenyls are expected to be electronically equivalent and therefore the single four-electron oxidation peak (with $E_{1/2}$ = +0.46 V for P1 and +0.53 V for P2, see Fig. S2 in ESI) was attributed to the oxidation of the ferrocenyl groups. The remaining four reversible peaks observed in Fig. S2, correspond instead to two one-electron reductions and two one-electron oxidations respectively involving the porphyrin moiety, in line with the reported activity of phenyl-porphyrins.⁶⁰ No interaction was evidenced between ferrocenyls and porphyrin, as expected due to the absence of any conjugation between them.

Cyclic voltammetric studies of physisorbed P1 and P2 were instead performed in 0.1 M KCl aqueous solutions in order to avoid desorption of porphyrins from HOPG surface during the experiments. Within the water limited potential window, the only detectable redox processes were those associated to the oxidation of ferrocenyls, as shown in Figure 2. In the case of porphyrin P1, except for the first scan (v. infra), the curves (Figure 2a) obtained at any scan rates (from 10 to 100 mV/s) display the typical symmetric morphology expected for adsorbed species and correspond to the four reversible oneelectron ferrocene oxidations, with $E_{1/2} = 0.26 V$ (as an average of forward and reverse peaks potentials). The large anticipation of the redox process with respect to solution (200 mV) can only in part be attributed to an $E_{1/2}$ shift on passing from DCM to water⁶¹ and would rather indicate a significantly strong stabilization of ferrocenyl cations upon interaction with the carbon surface.



Figure 2. Cyclic voltammograms of P1 (a) and P2 (b) adsorbed on HOPG, scan rates: 10 mV s⁻¹ (black), 20 mVs⁻¹ (red), 50 mVs⁻¹ (blue) and 100 mVs⁻¹ (green), T=25°C.

The electronic equivalence of the four ferrocenyl units remained therefore undisturbed upon adsorption on HOPG. This was not totally expected since the interaction with the carbon surface could have introduced some electronic

ARTICLE

anisotropy⁶²⁻⁶³ associated for instance to the presence of the four chiral centers in proximity of the ferrocenyls moieties in P1. Since a racemate was used for the preparation of the films, a sizeable anisotropy reflecting on the electrochemical behaviour could in principle be expected. However, while the effects of chirality on the absorption geometry of 2D lattices on surfaces was previously observed⁶⁴⁻⁶⁶, chiral discrimination in electrochemical experiments is rare and associated with electrodes with an inherent enantiorecognition ability towards different chiral redox probes as that obtained, e.g., by surface modification by chiral conducting thiophene oligomers⁶⁷ Interestingly, the first forward scan of each voltammetric curve in Figure 2a shows two separate peaks, variously overlapping at different scan rates, which might in principle be associated to the above anisotropy. However, no separation was observed both in the reverse peak and in the subsequent forward and reverse scans, even after leaving the electrode to re-equilibrate for some time at open circuit conditions. The behaviour is particularly evident in the curve at 20 mVs⁻¹, where two separate peaks appear, while at 50-100 mVs⁻¹ the overlap is greater. By contrast, the curve at 10 mVs⁻¹ only shows one unresolved peak, already during the first forward scan. Such a behaviour, highly conserved in different sampled areas, would be rather indicative of molecular dynamics in the adsorbed film, triggered by the electrochemical stimulus, and taking place over the timescale investigated in the present experiments. Such a dynamics would imply that the monolayer structure in its pristine state (prior to the voltammetric experiments) contains non-equivalent ferrocenyl units, which, following the injection of positive charges in the ferrocenyl units, would rearrange in a novel structure where all ferrocenyls are equivalent. Such a structure would be energetically favoured since the initial CV behavior cannot be recovered upon removal of the extra charges during the reverse scan. Such a partly disordered state would possibly form upon exposure to the aqueous medium where the structure evidenced by the STM studies with all alkyl chains pointing outwards from the surface (and all ferrocenyls in closer contact with the electrode surface) would be relatively unfavoured with respect to one where some of the chains are folded backwards and the corresponding ferrocenyls more distant from the electrode. This hypothesis will be further examined in the following section. A very different CV behaviour was found for porphyrin P2, characterized by a stable CV pattern comprising two oxidations, located at 0.37 and 0.56 V respectively and each associated to the removal of two electrons (Fig. 2b). Such a behaviour is highly reproducible in the subsequent scans and would suggest the breaking of the electronic symmetry between the four chemically-equivalent ferrocenyls. This interpretation is in line with the edge-on organization of the P2 within the self-assembled monolayer as described in the previous section, according to which the two ferrocenyls that are closer to the HOPG surface experience a different electronic environment with respect to those facing the solution. It is worth noticing that the two couples of redox processes are located respectively at higher and lower energies than in free P2 in solution. Since adsorption of

Journal Name

porphyrins on the HOPG surface facilitates in **P1** the ferrocene oxidation, we may attribute the first set of **P2** oxidations to the two ferrocenyls which are in the film directed towards the HOPG surface while the higher potential of the second pair of oxidations with respect to solution could be ascribed to electrostatic repulsions between neighbouring ferrocenyls.

2.3. MD calculations

In order to understand the dynamic electrochemical response of P1 self-assembled monolayers, we have performed quenched molecular dynamic simulations. Since P2-based architectures do not display electrochemical dynamics, we focused our attention on P1 derivative exclusively. For P1, the first full scan of cyclic voltammetry shows that the split wave of the forward scan disappears in the reverse scan. The overlapping waves merge when the scan rates are between 10 and 20 mVs⁻¹. Qualitatively, the associated dynamics can be assigned to a rate constant of ~15 s⁻¹. At room temperature, using Eyring theory, the energy barrier is ~ 15.8 kcal mol⁻¹. It is tempting to ascribe this behavior to the annealing of widespread defects. Quenched molecular dynamics (QMD), that were used elsewhere to investigate the interaction of ferrocene and carbon nanostructures,68-71 were carried out. The starting geometry of P1 was taken from STM images (Figure 1c) with the molecule adsorbed on the graphite surface with all the ferrocene moieties oriented clockwise ("all trans" conformation, Figure 3a). However, the most stable geometry identified by the QMD protocol of a single P1 molecule on the HOPG surface was characterized by the presence of a "cis" conformation of two ferrocene moieties. Conversion of the two conformations requires the torsion of the phenyl ring linker that connects the porphyrin and the ferrocenes. A scan along this dihedral angle was carried out to obtain the energy between the two conformational isomers.



Figure 3. a) All-trans conformer of **P1** on graphite, all Fc's are oriented clockwise; b) the more stable conformer of **P1** on graphite identified by quenched molecular dynamics; c) energy profile that connects the two conformers

The phenyl group bound to Fc is below the plane formed by the core of the molecule. Torsion about a carbon-carbon bond brings the phenyl group above the plane through a barrier of 14.9 kcal mol⁻¹, see Figure 3c, which is comparable with the barrier obtained by the analysis of the CV data. This small rearrangement is hardly expected to generate a second wave in the voltammogram. However, the new conformation can further re-organize to yield a structure where two Fc face each other, Figure 3b. The new barrier is 9.8 kcal mol⁻¹ and lower than the first one. It is readily overcome and generates a structure where two Fc interact strongly and therefore can have a different oxidation potential than the Fc in the structure of Figure 3a. In the calculations, a single molecule was considered. The investigation of the isomerization path could be carried out using periodic boundary conditions. However, this approach would make the isomerization occur simultaneously in every cell and can generate artifacts. For molecules isolated on the surface (defects) the cis structure is more stable by 3.8 kcal mol⁻¹ than the all trans conformer that is observed by STM measurements. The stability of the two conformers is reversed, with an additional stability of 3.2 kcal mol⁻¹ in favor of the more symmetric structure, if the four Fc's are oxidized. The reason for the reversal in stability is the increase of the destabilizing Coulomb interaction between the adjacent Fc's. By and large, the Quenched Molecular Dynamics simulations suggest that upon adsorption, defects are created where two Fc's interact with each other. These defects can be cured by oxidation of the film.

2.4. DFT calculations

To shed light onto the self-assembly, and in particular on both inter- and intramolecular interactions of P1 and P2, we have used density functional theory (DFT) as implemented in the CP2K package,⁷²⁻⁷⁷ with the BLYP exchange-correlation (XC) functional.78-79 The valence electrons were treated by a double-zeta Gaussian basis set, while the core electrons were treated by norm-conserving pseudopotentials of the Goedecker-Teter-Hutter type.⁸⁰⁻⁸¹ Van der Waals interactions were included through the standard D3 approximation.⁸² The energy cut-off for the plane wave expansion of the density was set to 400 Ry and the relative cut-off to 50 Ry. The combination of this specific basis set and the XC functional provides a good balance between the amounts of correlation needed to describe the electronic structure of the ferrocene complex while preserving both, structural and electronic features known from the graphite surface. In all cases, the convergence criteria of 1×10^{-7} Hartree for the SCF energy and 9×10^{-4} Hartree Å⁻¹ for the energy gradient were employed. In our case, both complexes have been studied in a three-stage approach that allows us to systematically address the influence of the different interactions present in these systems.

In the first step, we have optimized the isolated single molecules in the gas-phase. This gave us the opportunity to peruse basic electronic structure features and chemical quantities of interest such as the electron affinity ($EA=E_n-E_{n-1}$), the ionization potential ($IP=E_{n+1}-E_n$), the chemical potential

 $(\mu=(IP+EA)/2)$, and the chemical hardness $(\eta = IP - EA)$. All these quantities are displayed in Table 1. Here, E_{n-1} , E_n and E_{n+1} are electronic total energies corresponding to a total number of electrons of n-1, n and n+1, respectively.

3	IP	EA	μ	η	vdW	Repulsion	Adsorption
P1	5.70	1.80	3.75	3.90	N/A	0.35	-0.45
P2	5.80	1.50	3.65	4.30	-0.047	0.11	-0.60

Table 1. Computed values for the ionization potential (IP), electron affinity (EA), chemical potential (μ) and hardness (η) as well as total energy differences for complexes **P1** and **P2**. All quantities are given in eV.

In a second step, we have built the unit cells based on the experimental models and the previously optimized molecular building blocks with the aim of reproducing the different motifs observed experimentally (not including the substrate at this stage). In the case of P1, we have focussed on the hydrogen bonding formed by the amide groups and the possible repulsive electrostatic interaction of the ferrocene units due to the presence of localized *d*-states (see Figure S3 in ESI). For P2, the suggested lay-out has been built by forming two possible conformations allowing for van der Waals interactions between the chains along the *a* and *b* lattice directions (Figure 5). Both, the unit cell and the molecule conformations were optimized; the resulting lattice constants are in very good agreement with the reported experimental results (a = 2.16 nm, b = 2.24 nm for **P1** and a = 5.1 nm, b = 2.24 nm0.61 nm for P2). Subsequently, we carried out single-point electronic structure calculations in order to assess the energetic stability of the complex. In each case, we have modelled (see Figure S3 and Figure S4 of ESI) the basic intercell interaction, namely, the hydrogen bonding in the case of P1 and the van der Waals network in the case of P2. Our calculations strongly suggest (see the values of the van der Waals and repulsive interactions in Table 1) that for both complexes, the surmised self-assembly mechanisms are not sufficient to explain the experimentally observed patterns, indicating a stronger influence of the substrate in mediating the energetics and stability of P1 and P2. Hence, in the final step, we have included the graphite substrate and the molecules in our previously optimized unit cells through a slab model using three atomic layers, a vacuum space of 40 Å was added to avoid spurious interactions between periodic images when using periodic boundary conditions. The Brillouin zone was sampled only at the Γ point, without loss in accuracy since large lattice vectors were used (more than 300 atoms in both cases) when compared to the original graphite surface unit cell. To quantify the interaction between the molecules and the substrate, we have defined the adsorption energy E_{ad} through the expression $E_{ad} = E_t - (E_{mol} + E_{surf})$, with E_{mol} and E_{surf} being the total energies of the separate molecule and

surface, respectively. As shown in Table **1**, for both molecular complexes **P1** and **P2**, the adsorption energy is negative and has absolute values larger than inter- and intramolecular van der Waals and repulsive contributions. This strongly indicates that the absorption energy can compensate the other two

ARTICLE

that the absorption energy can compensate the other two previously mentioned contributions and stabilize the molecular assembly.



Figure 4. Computed molecular conformations, electronic features, and STM images for the **P1** complex: (a) Side view of the optimized unit cell, (b) Simulated STM images of unoccupied states, experimental conditions are respectively: +0.5 V, $|\Psi|2=10-7$ Å⁻³ integration of the wave function. c) Projected density of states highlighting the contributions from the metallic Fe d-states around the Fermi energy.

As a direct result of the geometry optimization procedure, the spatial arrangement of the molecule within the unit cell is obtained, providing a suitable atomistic model to investigate not only the adsorption distances of the individual ferrocene moieties to the surface for both complexes, but also the formation of the inter-cell hydrogen bonding in the case of P1. Our results also show that in the case of P2 the observed arrangement favors the parallel alignment of the alkyl chains in both lattice directions and hence van der Waals binding interactions. Two out of four dodecyl chains are in their extended *all-trans* conformation and are parallel to the surface where the adjacent two Fc units are back-folded into the supernatant solution. Consequently, the other dodecyl chains as well as the other Fc groups are physisorbed on HOPG. Then, this geometrical distribution leads to a direct interaction of the ferrocenes with the surface. No interdigitating of dodecyl chains was observed in multiple samples.

Particular attention has been devoted, in the case of **P1**, to the position of the alkyl chains, since it was a puzzling issue to guess the best possible spatial lay-out of the chains within the unit cell. Hence, we have computed the potential energy surface for the case where the chains are back-folded in the supernatant solution, with the conjugated core facing directly

the HOPG surface. The relevant length was chosen to be the distance of the normal vector cantered at the plane created by the four iron atoms with a carbon atom of the surface. For each molecule-surface separation, the atomic positions were relaxed leading us to observe a clear minimum at 5.5 Å in the total energy surface (see Figure S5 of the ESI). On the contrary, the minimum distances between the ferrocene moieties and the graphite substrate found in the second configuration, with alkyl chains below the porphyrin core and thus closer to the surface, were determined as 10.5 Å. In this case the alkyl chains act a mechanical buffer that decouples the ferrocene moieties and the conjugated porphyrin cores from the HOPG surface. As a result, we concluded that the long alkyl chains are back-folded, pointing away from the substrate, and that this conformation is the most energetically favorable, in agreement with the experimental results.



Figure 5. Computed molecular conformations, electronic features, and STM images for the **P2** complex: (a) Side view of the optimized unit cell, (b) Simulated STM images of unoccupied states, experimental conditions are respectively: +0.7 V, $|\Psi|$ 2=10-7 Å⁻³ integration of the wave function. c) Projected density of states highlighting the contributions from the metallic d-states around the Fermi energy.

Taking this result into account, the possibility of a bond formation between the ferrocene units and the HOPG surface was additionally addressed by calculating some selected crosssections of the electron localization function (ELF)⁸³ and the corresponding results are displayed in Figure S6 of the ESI. According to the ELF analysis the dominating interaction is electrostatic in origin, as a result of an admixture of van der Waals and Coulombic forces due to the strong electron localization of the HOMO levels (see ESI for the molecular orbitals images). Hence, the physisorbed picture is corroborated in terms of these two analyses.

Based on these facts, the strong difference observed in the network formation stems from the ability of **P1** to still form hydrogen bonding in contrast to **P2**.

The corresponding STM images have been calculated using the standard Tersoff-Hamann theory, see Figure 2b and Figure 3b with a constant bias potential of 500 meV for **P1** and 700 meV

6 | J. Name., 2012, 00, 1-3

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

Nanoscale Accepted Manuscript

Journal Name

for P2. The integration range covers mostly states on the organic core with a certain amount of Ferrocene admixture (see the corresponding PDOS in Figures 2c and 3c). A rather good agreement with the experimental images has been achieved (compare with Figure 1d and Figure 1e) allowing us to conclude that our modelled configurations are likely to quantitatively describe the physical situations studied in the experiments. Finally, we also have computed the projected density of states (PDOS) to get further insight into the nature of the electronic states around the Fermi level. The results are shown in Figure 4c and Figure 5c for P1 and P2, respectively. In both cases, hybridization between the states of the porphyrin cores mainly coming from the carbon atoms and the d states from the ferrocene molecules was found in the region near the Fermi energy. States coming from the oxygen and hydrogen atoms are also observed in this region with a larger spectral weight for P1 than for P2 that can be ascribed to the dominance of the hydrogen bonding network in P1 when compared with the weaker van der Waals coupling in the case of P2.

3. Conclusions

In summary, we have exploited Scanning Tunneling Microscopy imaging in combination with cyclic voltammetry measurements in order to gain detailed and multiscale insight into the self-assembly of complex molecular system on the surface of an electrode such as graphite. To challenge this approach, we have synthesized two substituted porphyrins exposing in four meso-positions different amide groups equipped with dodecyl side chains and ferrocene units, that by design can be expected to undergo self-assembly on graphite in two different ways. Both derivatives were found to form monomorphic 2D crystals. Subtle changes of the amide nature (secondary vs. tertiary) influenced the 2D patterns on graphite. Derivative P1, functionalized with secondary amides, selfassembled into planar face-on H-bonded porous arrays stabilized by intermolecular N-H^{...}O pairing. Conversely, derivative P2 equipped with tertiary amides was found to form edge-on lamellar structures, whose presence is attributed to strong porphyrin-porphyrin interactions reinforced by strong electrostatic interactions between ferrocenes. Such dramatic difference in the supramolecular arrangement highly affected the molecule's electrochemical properties as monitored by cyclic voltammetry. In particular, the CV behavior of P1 molecules provided distinct evidence for the existence of dynamics in the adsorbed film that is associated to the presence of defects within the self-assembled structures. The latter is the result of the different conformations adopted by the ferrocene side-groups. DFT and Molecular Dynamics calculation provided further support in order to attain a full understanding on the self-assembly behaviors and made it possible to bestow information onto the electronic and physical properties of both assemblies. In view of the dual functionality characterizing our complex molecules containing electroactive units such as porphyrins and ferrocenes, the results discussed in this work may be of importance to

decorate the surface with bi-functional nanopatterns at will, and potentially use them as complex supramolecular scaffolds with tunable electronic properties.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the European Community through the project EC FP7 ICT-MOLARNET (318516) and the European Research Council project SUPRAFUNCTION (GA-257305), the Agence Nationale de la Recherche through the LabEx project Chemistry of Complex Systems (ANR-10-LABX-0026_CSC) and the International Center for Frontier Research in Chemistry. A.S.B. and R.G thank A. Dianat for very helpful discussions. This work has also been partly supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) within the Cluster of Excellence "Center for Advancing Electronics Dresden". Computational resources were provided by the ZIH at the Dresden University of Technology

Notes and references

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

- 1. T. F. A. Greef and E. W. Meijer, *Nature*, 2008, **453**, 171-173.
- 2. J.-M. Lehn, Science, 2002, **295**, 2400-2403.
- J. W. Steed and J. L. Atwood, Supramolecular chemistry, John Wiley & Sons, 2009.
- 4. J. V. Barth, G. Costantini and K. Kern, *Nature*, 2005, **437**, 671-679.
 - M. O. Blunt, J. C. Russell, M. D. Gimenez-Lopez, J. P. Garrahan, X. Lin, M. Schroder, N. R. Champness and P. H. Beton, *Science*, 2008, **322**, 1077-1081.
 - F. Cicoira, C. Santana and F. Rosei, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2008, **285**, 203-267.
 - A. Ciesielski, M. El Garah, S. Haar, P. Kovaricek, J. M. Lehn and P. Samori, *Nat. Chem.*, 2014, **6**, 1017-1023.
 - A. Ciesielski, C.-A. Palma, M. Bonini and P. Samorì, Adv. Mater., 2010, **22**, 3506-3520.
 - S. De Feyter and F. C. De Schryver, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2003, **32**, 139-150.
- 10. J. A. A. W. Elemans, S. B. Lei and S. De Feyter, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 7298-7332.
- 11. J. M. MacLeod, O. Ivasenko, D. F. Perepichka and F. Rosei, Nanotechnology, 2007, **18**, 424031-424040.
- D. Bonifazi, H. Spillmann, A. Kiebele, M. de Wild, P. Seiler,
 F. Cheng, H.-J. Güntherodt, T. Jung and F. Diederich, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 4759-4763.
- D. Heim, K. Seufert, W. Auwärter, C. Aurisicchio, C. Fabbro, D. Bonifazi and J. V. Barth, Nano Letters, 2010, 10, 122-128.
- M. El Garah, J. Lipton-Duffin, J. M. MacLeod, R. Gutzler, F. Palmino, V. Luzet, F. Chérioux and F. Rosei, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2013, 8, 1813-1817.
- A. Ciesielski, G. Schaeffer, A. Petitjean, J. M. Lehn and P. Samorì, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2009, 48, 2039-2043.
- L. Piot, D. Bonifazi and P. Samorì, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2007, 17, 3689-3693.
- 17. A. Ciesielski, S. Lena, S. Masiero, G. P. Spada and P. Samorì, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 1963-1966.

ARTICLE

- A. Ciesielski, L. Piot, P. Samorì, A. Jouaiti and M. W. 45. Hosseini, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 1131-1136.
- 19. J. A. A. W. Elemans, R. Van Hameren, R. J. M. Nolte and A. E. Rowan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2006, **18**, 1251-1266.
- 20. T. Kudernac, S. B. Lei, J. A. A. W. Elemans and S. De Feyter, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 402-421.
- 21. G. P. Spada, S. Lena, S. Masiero, S. Pieraccini, M. Surin and P. Samorì, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 2433-2438.
- 22. S. A. DiBenedetto, A. Facchetti, M. A. Ratner and T. J. Marks, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 1407-1433.
- 23. C. A. Palma and P. Samorì, *Nat. Chem.*, 2011, **3**, 431-436.
- A. Yella, H.-W. Lee, H. N. Tsao, C. Yi, A. K. Chandiran, M. K. Nazeeruddin, E. W.-G. Diau, C.-Y. Yeh, S. M. Zakeeruddin and M. Grätzel, *Science*, 2011, **334**, 629-634.
- 25. H. Guo, J. Jiang, Y. Shi, Y. Wang, J. Liu and S. Dong, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2004, **108**, 10185-10191.
- 26. B. A. Friesen, B. Wiggins, J. L. McHale, U. Mazur and K. W. Hipps, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, **132**, 8554-8556.
- T. A. Pham, F. Song, M. N. Alberti, M.-T. Nguyen, N. Trapp, C. Thilgen, F. Diederich and M. Stöhr, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 14473-14476.
- H. Spillmann, A. Kiebele, M. Stöhr, T. A. Jung, D. Bonifazi,
 F. Cheng and F. Diederich, *Adv. Mater.*, 2006, **18**, 275-279.
- W. Auwärter, A. Weber-Bargioni, A. Riemann, A. Schiffrin,
 O. Gröning, R. Fasel and J. V. Barth, J. Chem. Phys., 2006, 124, 194708.
- 30. X. Qiu, C. Wang, Q. Zeng, B. Xu, S. Yin, H. Wang, S. Xu and C. Bai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 5550-5556.
- 31. J. Visser, N. Katsonis, J. Vicario and B. L. Feringa, *Langmuir*, 2009, **25**, 5980-5985.
- 32. S. B. Lei, J. Wang, Y. H. Dong, C. Wang, L. J. Wan and C. L. Bai, *Surf. Interface Anal.*, 2002, **34**, 767-771.
- 33. H. Wang, C. Wang, Q. Zeng, S. Xu, S. Yin, B. Xu and C. Bai, *Surf. Interface Anal.*, 2001, **32**, 266-270.
- 34. J. Otsuki, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2010, 254, 2311-2341.
- 35. K. Suto, S. Yoshimoto and K. Itaya, *Langmuir*, 2006, **22**, 10766-10776.
- M. El Garah, N. Marets, M. Mauro, A. Aliprandi, S. Bonacchi, L. De Cola, A. Ciesielski, V. Bulach, M. W. Hosseini and P. Samorì, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 8450-8459.
- A. G. Slater, Y. Hu, L. Yang, S. P. Argent, W. Lewis, M. O. Blunt and N. R. Champness, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, 6, 1562-1569.
- 38. T. Ikeda, M. Asakawa, M. Goto, K. Miyake, T. Ishida and T. Shimizu, *Langmuir*, 2004, **20**, 5454-5459.
- M. Li, D. den Boer, P. lavicoli, J. Adisoejoso, H. Uji-i, M. Van der Auweraer, D. B. Amabilino, J. A. A. W. Elemans and S. De Feyter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 17418-17421.
- J. Otsuki, E. Nagamine, T. Kondo, K. Iwasaki, M. Asakawa and K. Miyake, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 10400-10405.
- 41. P. G. Schouten, J. M. Warman, M. P. de Haas, M. A. Fox and H.-L. Pan, *Nature*, 1991, **353**, 736-737.
- 42. Y. S. Zhou, B. Wang, M. Z. Zhu and J. G. Hou, *Chem Phys Lett*, 2005, **403**, 140-145.
- 43. J. A. A. W. Elemans, M. C. Lensen, J. W. Gerritsen, H. van Kempen, S. Speller, R. J. M. Nolte and A. E. Rowan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2003, **15**, 2070-2073.
- 44. T. Sakano, J. Hasegawa, K. Higashiguchi and K. i. Matsuda, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2012, **7**, 394-399.

- J. Otsuki, K. Namiki, Y. Arai, M. Amano, H. Sawai, A. Tsukamoto and T. Hagiwara, *Chem. Letters*, 2009, **38**, 570-571.
- 46. A. Jahanbekam, B. Chilukuri, U. Mazur and K. W. Hipps, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2015, **119**, 25364-25376.
- 47. D. Astruc, M. C. Daniel and J. Ruiz, *Chem. Commun.*, 2004, 2637-2649.
- 48. R. Djeda, A. Rapakousiou, L. Liang, N. Guidolin, J. Ruiz and D. Astruc, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 8152-8156.
- 49. A. K. Diallo, C. Ornelas, L. Salmon, J. R. Aranzaes and D. Astruc, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 8644-8648.
- 50. C. Ornelas, L. Salmon, J. R. Aranzaes and D. Astruc, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 4946-4948.
- D. Astruc, C. Ornelas and J. Ruiz, Acc. Chem. Res., 2008, 41, 841-856.
- 52. C. Ornelas, J. Ruiz, C. Belin and D. Astruc, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, **131**, 590-601.
- 53. M. N. Hansen, E. Farjami, M. Kristiansen, L. Clima, S. U. Pedersen, K. Daasbjerg, E. E. Ferapontova and K. V. Gothelf, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **75**, 2474-2481.
- 54. V. J. Fiorina, R. J. Dubois and S. Brynes, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1978, **21**, 393-395.
- N. A. Wasio, R. C. Quardokus, R. P. Forrest, C. S. Lent, S. A. Corcelli, J. A. Christie, K. W. Henderson and S. A. Kandel, *Nature*, 2014, **507**, 86-89.
- M. El Garah, R. C. Perone, A. S. Bonilla, S. Haar, M. Campitiello, R. Gutierrez, G. Cuniberti, S. Masiero, A. Ciesielski and P. Samori, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, 51, 11677-11680.
- G. De Luca, A. Liscio, P. Maccagnani, F. Nolde, V. Palermo, K. Müllen and P. Samorì, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2007, 17, 3791-3798.
- D. H. Kim, D. Y. Lee, H. S. Lee, W. H. Lee, Y. H. Kim, J. I. Han and K. Cho, *Adv. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 678-682.
- G. De Luca, A. Liscio, F. Nolde, L. M. Scolaro, V. Palermo, K. Müllen and P. Samorì, *Soft. Matter.*, 2008, *4*, 2064-2070.
- C. Sooambar, V. Troiani, C. Bruno, M. Marcaccio, F. Paolucci, A. Listorti, A. Belbakra, N. Armaroli, A. Magistrato and R. De Zorzi, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2009, 7, 2402-2413.
 - B. Soucaze-Guillous, W. Kutner, M. T. Jones and K. M. Kadish, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1996, **143**, 550-556.
- V. Arima, M. Iurlo, L. Zoli, S. Kumar, M. Piacenza, F. Della Sala, F. Matino, G. Maruccio, R. Rinaldi and F. Paolucci, *Nanoscale*, 2012, 4, 813-823.
- M. Iurlo, L. Mengozzi, S. Rapino, M. Marcaccio, R. C. Perone, S. Masiero, P. Cozzi and F. Paolucci, Organometallics, 2014, 33, 4986-4993.
- I. Destoop, H. Xu, C. Oliveras-Gonzalez, E. Ghijsens, D. B. Amabilino and S. De Feyter, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 7477-7479.
- H. Xu, E. Ghijsens, S. J. George, M. Wolffs, Ž. Tomović, A. P. H. J. Schenning and S. De Feyter, *ChemPhysChem*, 2013, 14, 1583-1590.
 - N. Katsonis, H. Xu, R. M. Haak, T. Kudernac, Ž. Tomović, S.
 J. George, M. Van der Auweraer, A. P. H. J. Schenning, E.
 W. Meijer, B. L. Feringa and S. De Feyter, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 4997-5001.
- S. Arnaboldi, T. Benincori, R. Cirilli, W. Kutner, M. Magni, P. R. Mussini, K. Noworyta and F. Sannicolo, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, 6, 1706-1711.

61.

66.

- M. Calvaresi, M. Quintana, P. Rudolf, F. Zerbetto and M. Prato, ChemPhysChem, 2013, 14, 3447-3453.
- 69. X. Liu, H. Kuzmany, P. Ayala, M. Calvaresi, F. Zerbetto and T. Pichler, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 3202-3208.
- W. Plank, R. Pfeiffer, C. Schaman, H. Kuzmany, M. Calvaresi, F. Zerbetto and J. Meyer, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 4515-4522.
- M. Quintana, M. Grzelczak, K. Spyrou, M. Calvaresi, S. Bals, B. Kooi, G. Van Tendeloo, P. Rudolf, F. Zerbetto and M. Prato, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 13310-13315.
- M. Frigo and S. G. Johnson, *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 2005, 93, 216-231.
- 73. J. Hutter, M. Iannuzzi, F. Schiffmann and J. VandeVondele, Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Molecular Science, 2014, **4**, 15-25.
- 74. M. Krack and M. Parrinello, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2000, **2**, 2105-2112.
- 75. G. Lippert, J. Hutter and M. Parrinello, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 1999, **103**, 124-140.
- 76. J. VandeVondele and J. Hutter, J. Chem. Phys., 2003, **118**, 4365-4369.
- 77. J. VandeVondele and J. Hutter, J. Chem. Phys., 2007, **127**, 114105.
- 78. A. D. Becke, *Phys. Rev. A*, 1988, **38**, 3098-3100.
- 79. C. Lee, W. Yang and R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1988, **37**, 785-789.
- S. Goedecker, M. Teter and J. Hutter, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1996, 54, 1703-1710.
- C. Hartwigsen, S. Goedecker and J. Hutter, *phys. Rev. B*, 1998, **58**, 3641-3662.
- S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich and H. Krieg, J. Chem. Phys., 2010, 132, 154104.
- 83. J. Tersoff and D. R. Hamann, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1985, **31**, 805-813.