Green Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/greenchem

Journal Name

ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Amin Osatiashtiani,^a Lee J. Durndell,^a Jinesh C. Manayil,^a Adam F. Lee^a and Karen Wilson^a*

The impact of alkyl chain length on the esterification of C_2-C_{16} organic acids with C_1-C_4 alcohols has been systematically investigated over bulk and SBA-15 supported sulfated zirconias (SZs). Rates of catalytic esterification for methanol with acetic acid are directly proportional to the sulfur content for both SZ and SZ/SBA-15, with the high dispersion of SZ achievable in conformal coatings over mesoporous SBA-15 confering significant rate-enhancements. Esterification over the most active 0.24 mmol.g_{cat}¹ bulk SZ and 0.29 mmol.g_{cat}⁻¹ SZ/SBA-15 materials was inversely proportional to the alkyl chain length of alcohol and acid reactants; being most sensitive to changes from methanol to ethanol and acetic to hexanoic acids respectively. Kinetic analyses reveal that these alkyl chain dependencies are in excellent accord with the Taft relationship for polar and steric effects in aliphatic systems and the enthalpy of alcohol adsorption, implicating a Langmuir-Hinshelwood mechanism. The first continuous production of methyl propionate over a SZ fixed-bed is also demonstrated.

1. Introduction

Heterogeneous catalysis underpins sustainable chemical processes, enabling waste minimisation, improved product selectivity and thereby resource utilisation, in addition to lowering the energy required to convert raw materials and associated $CO₂$ emissions. Despite being central to the '12 principles of Green Chemistry' first espoused by Anastas and Warner, 1 the discovery, design and development of tailored catalysts for clean chemical syntheses remains an area of great academic and commercial interest. Carboxylic acid esterification is of huge importance to the chemical industry for the production of solvents, fragrances and polymers, e.g. polyethylene terephthalate, acrylate esters, and cellulose acetate, yet largely employs processes developed at the turn of the century which still utilise corrosive and hazardous mineral acids (e.g. H_2SO_4 , HCl, aryl sulphonic acids),² necessitating costly and energy intensive water treatments. In the context of Green Chemistry the development of noncorrosive and recoverable solid acid catalysts for energy efficient (and continuous) esterification is therefore highly desirable to improve E-factors by circumventing the generation of copious amounts of inorganic waste typically produced.3, 4 Solid acids based upon mesoporous oxides offer significant process advantages over microporous zeolites or

acidic polymer resins, since the latter are ill-suited to bulky reactants which cannot readily access active sites within their frameworks. For example, propanoic acid esterification with methanol over Amberlyst-15 is 15 times slower than over mesoporous sulfonic acid silicas.⁵

Carboxylic acid esterification is also of growing importance in the context of renewable fuels, wherein concerns over depleting fossil fuel resources, climate change and $CO₂$ emissions are driving the quest for clean catalytic technologies for biofuels production from the conversion of (non-edible) lignocellulose and/or triacylglycerides to alkane fuels or biodiesel.^{6, 7} Likewise, esterification of biomass derived platform chemicals,^{8, 9} including lactic, levulinic, itaconic, succinic and furan dicarboxylic acid, offers a sustainable route to produce a diverse range of esters and polyesters for use as bio-based solvents, fuel additives or materials. $10-12$ However, despite these opportunities, significant technical hurdles exist to the use of such biomass feedstocks which limit their economic deployment.⁸

The availability of a well-defined solid acid catalyst capable of esterifying short (C_1-C_3) and long chain $(C_{12}-C_{20})$ organic acids is particularly important for biofuel synthesis. Pyrolytic thermal decomposition of biomass, commonly used to generate liquid bio-oil for energy applications, produces an oil of low pH due to significant levels of C_1 - C_3 organic acids. The corrosive nature of these bio-oils is detrimental for the lifetime of deoxygenation catalysts used in their downstream reforming, hence pre-treatment processes are required to neutralise their acidity and thereby improve oil stability.⁶ Similar pre-treatments are required to remove C_{12} - C_{20} fatty acids from waste cooking, non-edible plant and algal oils to facilitate biodiesel production. 3 Biodiesel typically comprises fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) formed via the esterification

YAL SOCIETY
CHEMISTRY

^aEuropean Bioenergy Research Institute, Aston University, Birmingham B4 7ET, UK. E-mail: k.wilson@aston.ac.uk; Tel: +44 (0)121 2045456

[†] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Sulfur loading analysis, temperature dependant propylamine desorption on TGA-MS, porosimetry isotherms, measurement of heat of adsorption, reaction profiles and TOFs, calculation of rate constant and Taft plots. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x access the research data supporting this publication, http://dx.doi.org/10.17036/819fb053-e8b2-4541-aec6-07754a611d16

and transesterification of free fatty acids (FFAs) and triacyl glycerides (TAGs) respectively.¹³ Commercial biodiesel production currently employs energy-intensive and environmentally unfriendly processes utilising homogenous base catalysts such as sodium or potassium methoxide.⁵ Such liquid catalysts are highly active for transesterification, but very sensitive to water and FFAs, with saponification during aqueous quench and neutralisation steps generating vast quantities of inorganic salt contaminated aqueous waste, which hinders biodiesel purification and results in a high Efactor for the process.^{5, 14} Life-cycle calculations on biodiesel synthesis from soybean feedstock show that fuel purification and associated water treatment is the single most energy intensive step in biodiesel production, *accounting for 87 % of the total primary energy input*. ¹⁵ Reducing the production of contaminated water during biomass conversion processes is critical to minimise any negative impact on society.¹⁶

 Various solid acid catalysts have been studied for carboxylic acid esterification, including sulfonic acid functionalised (hierarchical) mesoporous SBA-15, $^{14, 17-21}$ KIT-6⁵ and PMO^{13} silicas, Cs-exchanged heteropolyacids,²²⁻²⁶ tungstated zirconia, 27 zirconium phosphate $28-30$ and Nafion/SiO₂ composite (SAC-13), 31 and sulphated zirconia (SZ) .³²⁻³⁴ Of these solid acids, SZ is an attractive candidate because of its superacidic properties, low cost and good thermal stability. $35-37$ However, previous studies have either focused on use of bulk SZ for the esterification of short chain acids with methanol or ethanol, or the esterification of $>C_{10}$ fatty acids.36, 38, 39 While such bulk SZs are promising solid acid catalysts, their low surface areas present significant opportunities to improve efficiency through the development of highly porous and nanocrystalline SZ phases with tunable acidity. To date, the impact of sulfur loading and associated acid strength on catalytic esterification has not been systematically investigated, and is important for optimising both product yield and also catalyst stability.

 Herein, we report on the application of two systematic families of bulk and highly dispersed SZs^{40} (synthesised as conformal monolayers over an ordered mesoporous silica support) to the esterification of carboxylic acids in batch and continuous flow reactors. Alkyl chain length of both alcohol and acid influenced activity, reflecting a combination of steric and electronic effects and consistent with a bimolecular surface reaction. A first demonstration of the continuous liquid phase esterification of methanol with propanoic acid is also demonstrated for the most active SZ catalyst.

2. Experimental

Catalyst synthesis & characterisation

Bulk sulfated zirconia. The preparation of bulk sulfated zirconia with tunable acid loading has been previously reported elsewhere. 41 Briefly, a series of SZ catalysts with different SO₄²⁻ loadings were prepared by impregnation of 50 g Zr(OH)₄ (MEL Chemicals - XZO 880/01) with 500 ml $H₂SO₄(aq)$ of molarity 0.01–0.5 M. The slurry was stirred for 5 h at ambient temperature, filtered and dried at 80 °C overnight, and then calcined at 550 °C for 3 h. Catalysts were stored in air and used without pre-treatment.

SZ grafted SBA-15. High surface area SBA-15 supported sulphated zirconia catalysts were prepared following the method reported in our previous work.⁴² Briefly, 10 g of SBA-15 (prepared via the original method of Zhao *et al.*⁴³) was dried at 300 °C for 4 h, then cooled to 100 °C and added to a solution of 58.5 g of 70 % zirconium propoxide in propanol (Sigma-Aldrich) in 300 mL of anhydrous hexane. The amount of zirconium precursor was calculated on the basis of the number of surface hydroxyl groups on SBA-15 (determined from thermal gravimetric analysis). The reaction mixture was refluxed at 69 °C overnight, filtered, and washed three times with hexane to remove any unreacted precursor. The material was subsequently rehydrated in 300 mL of deionized water under stirring for 4 h to fully hydrolyse any residual propoxide groups. Finally, the catalyst was filtered and dried at 80 °C overnight. This procedure was repeated to produce SBA-15 coated with a nominal second and third monolayer of zirconia. Sulfation of $ZrO₂/SBA-15$ materials was achieved via their immersion in aqueous sulfuric acid (10 mL acid solution per g sample, H_2SO_4 concentrations spanning 0.015–0.25 M) for 5 h, after which samples were filtered and dried overnight at 80 °C. The resulting materials were activated by calcination at 550 °C in static air for 3 h.

All catalysts were fully characterised by N_2 physisorption, CHNS elemental analysis, acid site titration by propylamine chemisorption/TPD. Heats of adsorption of methanol, ethanol and 1-propanol on 0.05SZ were measured using a fullyautomated Surface Measurement Systems Ltd Inverse GC system, with surface properties determined according to literature methods. $44, 45$ Full details of the experimental procedures and equipment are given in the ESI.

Catalytic esterification reactions

Batch esterification was performed at 60 °C using a Radleys Carousel Reactor Station using 150 mmol alcohol (methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol or 1-butanol), 5 mmol of propanoic (C_3) , hexanoic (C_6) , lauric (C_{12}) or palmitic (C_{16}) acid, with 0.5 mmol of dihexyl ether (as an internal standard) and 25 mg Bulk SZ or 50 mg SZ/SBA-15.

Continuous flow esterification of propanoic acid and methanol was conducted at 60 °C using a commercial Uniqsis FlowSyn reactor. Briefly, 75 mg catalyst was diluted with quartz beads (Sigma Aldrich, mesh size = 325), and packed within a 10 mm i.d. x 100 mm OMNIFIT® glass column to give a total bed volume of 1.7 cm³. A liquid stream of methanol (150 mmol) and propanoic acid (5 mmol) was then delivered to the packed bed at flow rates between 0.1 to 1 cm³.min⁻¹. Samples were collected periodically for analysis by GC. Full details of the reaction conditions and analytical procedure are given in the ESI.

Journal Name ARTICLE ARTICLE

3. Results and discussion

Catalyst Characterisation

Table 1 and **Figures S1-S4** summarise the physicochemical properties of the bulk SZ and SZ/SBA-15 catalysts employed in this study.^{40, 41}

^aBET. ^bCHNS. ^cPropylamine TGA-MS. ^dNH₃ calorimetry.

Bulk SZ samples possessed a relatively low BET surface area in the range of 140 to 200 m^2 g^{-1} typical of non-porous sulfated zirconia catalysts. Mesoporous SZ/SBA-15 catalysts with surface areas between 430 and 490 m^2 g^{-1} are consistent with previously reported materials.⁴² CHNS analysis and quantification of acid sites by propylamine adsorption/TGA-MS revealed that for bulk SZ the sulfur and acid site loading increased monotonically with the concentration of impregnating H_2SO_4 solution up to 0.25 M. While the sulfur content increased further for higher $[H_2SO_4]$, the acid site loading reached a plateau due to the formation of multilayer sulfate compounds which do not contribute to the acidity. 41 The sulfur and acid site loadings of the SZ/SBA-15 series likewise increased with $[H_2SO_4]$ up to ~0.1 M before reaching a plateau when the surface saturated at ~ 0.17 M H₂SO₄. Previous $NH₃$ calorimetry on these samples has shown that while increasing the S loading from 0 to 1.8 wt% enhanced the acid strength of bulk SZ, further increases in S loading did not affect the acid strength, consistent with the formation of SO₄ multilayers at this point. This is also reflected in the peak propene desorption temperature for propylamine decomposition (**Figure S2**) which decreases from 440 °C to 425 °C with [H₂SO₄], consistent with increased acid strength. The acid strengths of the SZ/SBA-15 series were comparable to the highest loading bulk SZ catalysts, and exhibit a weak

dependence on SO₄ content; suggesting that surface Zr^{4+} sites are more readily polarised in conformal SZ coatings, even at the lowest SO_4^2 loadings.

Catalyst Reactivity

Bulk versus dispersed SZ: To minimise mass transport limitations, esterification of the short chain acetic acid with methanol was first investigated in order to establish the role of SO⁴ 2- content on reactivity over both SZ families. **Figure 1** shows the resulting Zr normalised initial rates as a function of impregnating $[H_2SO_4]$ concentration, which shows an initial increase in activity for both catalyst families with $[H_2SO_4]$. In general, SZ/SBA-15 was twice as active as its bulk SZ counterpart, attributed to the improved dispersion of the supported materials and attendant higher surface sulfate density. Both families exhibited maximum activity for materials synthesised with $0.05M$ H₂SO₄, reflecting a combination of increased acid site strength and concentration up to completion of a sulfate monolayer over SBA-15. The ratelimiting step in esterification is generally accepted to be nucleophilic attack of the alcohol on the carbenium ion formed through proton donation to the acid, 46 thus the increase acid strength across the series is expected to impact on the efficiency of carbenium ion formation and observed catalyst activity. Similar albeit less dramatic variations were also observed for longer chain acids and alcohols (**Figures S5-10**). It is interesting to note for all reactions that a high activity was retained for SZ/SBA-15 for S contents >2wt%, whereas that of the analogous bulk SZ decreased. This decrease coincides with the loss in surface area and crystallinity of the bulk systems (Table 1) following impregnation with >0.25M [H₂SO₄] used to achieve the highest degree of sulfation.^{40, 41}

Figure 1. Zr normalised initial reaction rates for acetic acid esterification with methanol over SZ and SZ/SBA-15 as a function of [H₂SO₄] impregnating solution.

 Effect of alcohol and acid alkyl chain length: Steric and electronic effects in esterification were subsequently

ARTICLE Journal Name

investigated for the optimum 0.05 M bulk SZ catalyst by varying both the acid and alcohol chain length. **Figure 2** shows the variation of Turnover Frequency (TOF) for propanoic acid

Figure 2. TOFs for propanoic acid esterification with different alcohols over bulk 0.05SZ, and of C2-C16 acids with methanol over bulk 0.05SZ and 0.05SZ/SBA-15 catalysts.

esterification with C_1 - C_4 alcohols, and of C_2 - C_{16} acids with methanol. In both cases, TOFs were strongly dependent upon the reactant size, with methanol three times more active than ethanol for propanoic acid esterification, and acetic acid twice as reactive as propanoic acid in their esterification with methanol. Increasing either alcohol or acid chain length beyond C_2 or C_6 respectively had little further impact. 0.05 SZ/SBA-15 exhibited a similar trend in reactivity with acid chain length, albeit with slightly lower TOFs, which may be arise from in-pore diffusion limitations.

 The preceding results are consistent with a Langmuir-Hinshelwood bimolecular surface reaction model (**Scheme 1**).⁴⁷ For this two site mechanism, coordination of the alcohol (via the hydroxyl oxygen) and the organic acid (via the carbonyl oxygen to form a carbocation) is required at adjacent Brönsted acid sites. The nucleophilic species generated upon deprotonation of the alcohol OH can then attack the carbocation to generate a tetrahedral intermediate which finally eliminates water to form the ester. Based on this proposed reaction mechanism, the lower activity of longer chain alcohols/acids is in accordance with previous observations, $48, 49$ and can be attributed to polar and steric effects⁵⁰ in the aliphatic systems, and is well described by the Taft relationship as shown in **Figure S11**. The gradient of the plot of log(k/k(CH₃)) versus polar substituent (σ^*) or steric substituent constant (E_s) gives rise to the sensitivity factors for the reaction towards polar (ρ) or steric effects (δ) respectively. **Figure S11** shows that ρ is >1 suggesting the reaction accumulates negative charge in the transition state which is

accelerated by electron withdrawing groups, while δ is also positive indicating steric effects are greater in the transition state, with increased in steric bulk leading to decreased reaction rate. While such steric and polar effects dictate activity for short chain acids, for long chain fatty acids diffusion limitation and reduced accessibility of acid sites may also contribute to decreased TOF.

Scheme 1. Mechanism of esterification over Brönsted acid (B^{*}) sites of a sulfated
zirconia catalyst. (Adapted from reference ⁴⁷)

 For alcohols, enhanced electron-donating properties with increased alkyl chain length are expected to destabilise the protonated transition state, explaining the concomitant decrease in esterification rate. Inverse gas chromatography measurements (**Figure S12**) also demonstrate that the adsorption enthalpy of C_1 - C_3 alcohols on bulk SZ also decreases linearly with alkyl chain length (and pKa) (**Figure 3**). Hence, the higher reactivity of shorter chain alcohols can be understood in terms of their weaker adsorption relative to more strongly bound C_3 alcohols (of higher pKa), which favour alkoxide formation and concomitant blocking of catalytic sites.

Figure 3. Heat of adsorption of alcohols on 0.05SZ as a function of alcohol pKa.

Larger, more sterically-demanding alcohols may also experience limited accessibility to protonated (or activated)

Journal Name ARTICLE ARTICLE

carboxylates, likewise hindering catalytic turnover, as reported previously for the esterification of primary secondary and tertiary alcohols over bulk SZ wherein activity decreased from 1° > 2° > 3° alcohols.³⁶ The relative insensitivity of TOFs for longer chain alcohols is in agreement with studies of lauric acid esterification with C_1-C_6 alcohols,³⁶ although the study by Grecea and co-workers observed the same activity for methanol as longer chain alcohols (possibly a consequence of their lower alcohol:acid ratio of 1:1 and higher reaction temperature of 133°C).

In the case of carboxylic acids, the inductive effect increases with chain length, with increases in the electrondonating ability of the acid, favouring protonation of the carboxylate oxygen. However, as a consequence, the electrophilicity of the carbonyl carbon is lowered, and hence the rate-limiting nucleophilic attack by an alcohol becomes disfavoured. This so called 'steric effect' increases with alkyl chain length of the carboxylic acid, and is widely assumed to dictate the reactivity of carboxylic acids in acid-catalysed esterification.50-52 Our observations in **Figure 2** are also consistent with the work of Liu *et al.*⁵⁰ who investigated the effect of alkyl chain length on FFA esterification with methanol using sulfuric acid and a commercial Nafion/silica composite (SAC-13). Thus, for acids $>C_6$ it seems likely that esterification is rate-limited not only by restricted access to active sites.

Table 2 summarises the conversions and initial rates of the esterification of all saturated organic acids explored, along with functional levulinic acid and unsaturated oleic and linoleic acids with methanol, to test the versatility of the 0.05SZ in esterification reactions of relevance to biomass conversion (**Figure S13**). It is interesting to note that under these mild conditions conversion of both oleic and linoleic acid is comparable to saturated palmitic acid suggesting that unsaturation is not detrimental to performance. The conversion of levulinic acid conversion also compares favourably to literature reports for SZ in levulinic acid esterification despite being under such mild conditions.^{53, 54}

Continuous flow esterification: The ability to conduct esterification under continuous flow is highly desirable to

increase process efficiency and facilitate the translation of catalytic processes from laboratory to industrial scale.⁵⁵ We therefore explored whether the excellent activity of the optimum bulk 0.05SZ catalyst for the batch esterification of propanoic acid with methanol could be mirrored in a fixed bed continuous flow microreactor. Despite the commercial significance of esterification to the global chemicals sectors, and popularity of SZ as a solid acid catalyst, the only literature reports of continuous esterification using SZ are limited to the esterification of soybean oil⁵⁶ or octanoic acid.^{56, 57} This may reflect concerns regarding the stability of commercial SZ catalysts towards SO_4^{2-} leaching in aqueous/polar environments.⁵⁸

 The influence of residence time (τ) on propanoic acid conversion was first investigated (**Figure 4A**). A monotonic rise in acid conversion was observed as the residence time was increased from τ = 4 to 19 min, reaching a maximum of 0.55 mmolh⁻¹ $g_{(cat)}$ ⁻¹ for a residence time of 17.3 min, with single pass conversions reaching 45 % and methyl propanoate selectivity ≥98 %. The maximum acid conversion may be limited by poor mass-transport and the resulting accumulation of ester and water products at the catalyst surface for longer residence times, displacing the reaction equilibrium. Catalyst deactivation can be a significant barrier to the commercialisation of solid acid catalysts, with sulfate leaching and irreversible water adsorption often reported responsible for the deactivation of SZ catalysts.^{33, 58} An extended propanoic acid esterification reaction was therefore performed to probe catalyst stability, employing a long residence time (τ = 17.3 min) in order to maximise reactant-catalyst contact. **Figure 4B** shows the resulting Turnover Number (TONs) for continuous flow versus batch esterification. In batch, the TON fell continuously from a high initial value of 175 after 1 h to only 80 after 6 h. This activity loss will certainly reflect consumption of the acid, but may also be conflated with displacement of the equilibrium by reactively-formed water and/or deactivation. In contrast, continuous esterification revealed an extremely stable and high TON of 140 (with ≥98 % ester selectivity) throughout the 6 h reaction, evidencing excellent catalyst stability, and discounting deactivation as the cause of the poor longer term batch performance. Furthermore post reaction analysis of the reaction medium and catalyst by CHNS revealed no evidence for SO₄ loss. It seems likely that literature reports of sulfate leaching from SZ are a consequence of the higher sulfuric acid concentrations (typically >0.25 M)⁵⁹ employed in their synthesis, which may leave significant unstable sulfoxy species following calcination⁶⁰ that are more susceptible towards leaching than our optimum SZ catalyst prepared with only 0.05 M H_2SO_4 ; control over the surface sulfate density may thus be integral to SZ stability.

Figure 4. A) Influence of residence time on propanoic acid esterification with methanol over 0.05SZ. Reaction conditions: 65 mg catalyst, 0.1-1 cm^3 .min -1 of 150 mmol MeOH/5 mmol propanoic acid, 60 °C. B) Comparison of batch and flow esterification of propanoic acid and methanol over 0.05SZ. Reaction conditions: 75 mg catalyst, 150 mmol MeOH/5 mmol propanoic acid (stirred or flowed at 0.1 cm³.min⁻¹), 60 °C.

Conclusions

Families of bulk and mesoporous silica supported SZ catalysts have been synthesised with variable sulfate loadings and investigated for the esterification of acetic acid with methanol. Esterification activity increased with sulfate loading over both families, reaching a maximum for acid site loadings of 0.3 mmol.g⁻¹, attained following impregnation by dilute 0.05 M $H₂SO₄$. SZ/SBA-15 catalysts were generally twice as active as their bulk counterparts when normalised to the Zr content reflecting superior sulfate dispersion throughout the high area mesopore network. Esterification activity over the optimal SZ and SZ/SBA-15 catalysts exhibits a pronounced dependence on

alcohol (**R**COH) or acid (**R**COOH) increases the reaction rate. Continuous esterification of propanoic acid with methanol under mild conditions is successfully demonstrated for the first time over a fixed-bed of bulk SZ, affording extremely stable operation with good conversion and excellent selectivity to methyl propanoate, and evidencing negligible S leaching.

electron withdrawing groups to aliphatic chain (**R**), of the

Acknowledgements

We thank the EPSRC for financial support (EP/K000616/2, EP/G007594/4 and EP/K036548/2) and the award of a Leadership Fellowship to AFL. KW thanks the Royal Society for an Industry Fellowship. Support from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no. 604307 is also acknowledged. MEL Chemicals are also acknowledged for providing bulk $\mathsf{Zr}(\mathsf{OH})_4$ samples.

References

1. P. T. Anastas and J. C. Warner, *Green chemistry: theory and practice*, Oxford university press, 2000.

2. G. D. Yadav and P. H. Mehta, *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 1994, **33**, 2198-2208.

3. K. Wilson and A. F. Lee, *Catalysis Science & Technology*, 2012, **2**, 884-897.

- 4. R. A. Sheldon, *Green Chemistry*, 2007, **9**, 1273-1283.
- 5. C. Pirez, J.-M. Caderon, J.-P. Dacquin, A. F. Lee and K. Wilson, *ACS catalysis*, 2012, **2**, 1607-1614.

6. L. Ciddor, J. A. Bennett, J. A. Hunns, K. Wilson and A. F. Lee, *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 2015, **90**, 780-795.

7. A. F. Lee, J. A. Bennett, J. C. Manayil and K. Wilson, *Chemical Society Reviews*, 2014, **43**, 7887-7916.

8. K. Wilson and A. F. Lee, *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A*, 2016, **374**, 20150081.

9. A. J. Ragauskas, C. K. Williams, B. H. Davison, G. Britovsek, J. Cairney, C. A. Eckert, W. J. Frederick, J. P. Hallett, D. J. Leak, C. L. Liotta, J. R. Mielenz, R. Murphy, R. Templer and T. Tschaplinski, *Science*, 2006, **311**, 484-489.

10. F. M. A. Geilen, B. Engendahl, A. Harwardt, W. Marquardt, J. Klankermayer and W. Leitner, *Angewandte Chemie*, 2010, **122**, 5642-5646.

11. M. Dusselier, P. Van Wouwe, A. Dewaele, E. Makshina and B. F. Sels, *Energy & Environmental Science*, 2013, **6**, 1415-1442.

12. J. H. Clark, V. Budarin, T. Dugmore, R. Luque, D. J. Macquarrie and V. Strelko, *Catalysis Communications*, 2008, **9**, 1709-1714.

13. C. Pirez, M. T. Reche, A. F. Lee, J. C. Manayil, V. C. dos-Santos and K. Wilson, *Catalysis Letters*, 2015, **145**, 1483-1490.

Journal Name ARTICLE ARTICLE

14. J. Dhainaut, J.-P. Dacquin, A. F. Lee and K. Wilson, *Green Chemistry*, 2010, **12**, 296-303.

15. J. Sheehan, V. Camobreco, J. Duffield, M. Graboski and H. Shapouri., *An overview of biodiesel and petroleum diesel life cycles.* , Golden: National Renewable Energy Laboratory., 1998.

16. K. Mulder, N. Hagens and B. Fisher, *Ambio*, 2010, **39**, 30-39. 17. I. K. Mbaraka, D. R. Radu, V. S. Y. Lin and B. H. Shanks, *Journal of Catalysis*, 2003, **219**, 329-336.

18. J. A. Melero, L. F. Bautista, G. Morales, J. Iglesias and D. Briones, *Energy & Fuels*, 2008, **23**, 539-547.

19. S. Garg, K. Soni, G. M. Kumaran, R. Bal, K. Gora-Marek, J. K. Gupta, L. D. Sharma and G. M. Dhar, *Catalysis Today*, 2009, **141**, 125-129.

20. J. P. Dacquin, A. F. Lee, C. Pirez and K. Wilson, *Chemical Communications*, 2012, **48**, 212-214.

21. C. Pirez, A. F. Lee, J. C. Manayil, C. M. A. Parlett and K. Wilson, *Green Chemistry*, 2014, **16**, 4506-4509.

22. A. Alsalme, E. F. Kozhevnikova and I. V. Kozhevnikov, *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 2008, **349**, 170-176.

23. Y. Leng, J. Wang, D. Zhu, Y. Wu and P. Zhao, *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical*, 2009, **313**, 1-6.

24. V. Dufaud, F. Lefebvre, G. P. Niccolai and M. Aouine, *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, 2009, **19**, 1142-1150.

25. K. Narasimharao, D. R. Brown, A. F. Lee, A. D. Newman, P. F. Siril, S. J. Tavener and K. Wilson, *Journal of Catalysis*, 2007, **248**, 226-234.

26. L. Pesaresi, D. R. Brown, A. F. Lee, J. M. Montero, H. Williams and K. Wilson, *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 2009, **360**, 50-58.

27. D. E. López, K. Suwannakarn, D. A. Bruce and J. G. Goodwin Jr, *Journal of Catalysis*, 2007, **247**, 43-50.

28. K. Ngaosuwan, X. Mo, J. G. Goodwin Jr and P. Praserthdam, *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 2010, **380**, 81-86.

29. K. Ngaosuwan, X. Mo, J. G. Goodwin and P. Praserthdam, *Topics in Catalysis*, 2010, **53**, 783-794.

30. K. N. Rao, A. Sridhar, A. F. Lee, S. J. Tavener, N. A. Young and K. Wilson, *Green Chemistry*, 2006, **8**, 790-797.

31. J. A. Melero, J. Iglesias and G. Morales, *Green Chemistry*, 2009, **11**, 1285-1308.

32. J. Ni and F. C. Meunier, *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 2007, **333**, 122-130.

33. D. E. López, J. G. Goodwin Jr, D. A. Bruce and S. Furuta, *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 2008, **339**, 76-83.

34. X.-R. Chen, Y.-H. Ju and C.-Y. Mou, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2007, **111**, 18731-18737.

35. M. A. Ecormier, K. Wilson and A. F. Lee, *Journal of Catalysis*, 2003, **215**, 57-65.

36. M. L. Grecea, A. C. Dimian, S. Tanase, V. Subbiah and G. Rothenberg, *Catalysis Science & Technology*, 2012, **2**, 1500-1506. 37. A. A. Kiss, A. C. Dimian and G. Rothenberg, *Advanced Synthesis & Catalysis*, 2006, **348**, 75-81.

38. K. Saravanan, B. Tyagi and H. C. Bajaj, *Catalysis Science & Technology*, 2012, **2**, 2512-2520.

39. D. Rattanaphra, A. P. Harvey, A. Thanapimmetha and P. Srinophakun, *Renewable Energy*, 2011, **36**, 2679-2686.

40. A. Osatiashtiani, A. F. Lee, M. Granollers, D. R. Brown, L. Olivi, G. Morales, J. A. Melero and K. Wilson, *ACS Catalysis*, 2015, **5**, 4345-4352.

41. A. Osatiashtiani, A. F. Lee, D. R. Brown, J. A. Melero, G. Morales and K. Wilson, *Catalysis Science & Technology*, 2014, **4**, 333-342.

42. G. Morales, A. Osatiashtiani, B. Hernandez, J. Iglesias, J. A. Melero, M. Paniagua, D. Robert Brown, M. Granollers, A. F. Lee and K. Wilson, *Chemical Communications*, 2014, **50**, 11742- 11745.

43. D. Zhao, J. Feng, Q. Huo, N. Melosh, G. H. Fredrickson, B. F. Chmelka and G. D. Stucky, *Science*, 1998, **279**, 548-552.

44. M. C. Gutierrez, J. Rubio, F. Rubio and J. L. Oteo, *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1999, **845**, 53-66.

45. H. E. Newell, G. Buckton, D. A. Butler, F. Thielmann and D. R. Williams, *Pharmaceutical Research*, **18**, 662-666.

46. D. E. López, K. Suwannakarn, J. G. Goodwin and D. A. Bruce, *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 2008, **47**, 2221- 2230.

47. Z. Zeng, J. Chen, L. Cui, W. Xue and Y. Che, *Recent developments on the mechanism and kinetics of esterification reaction promoted by various catalysts*, INTECH Open Access Publisher, 2012.

48. J. Lilja, D. Y. Murzin, T. Salmi, J. Aumo, P. Mäki-Arvela and M. Sundell, *Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical*, 2002, **182– 183**, 555-563.

49. V. K. S. Pappu, V. Kanyi, A. Santhanakrishnan, C. T. Lira and D. J. Miller, *Bioresource Technology*, 2013, **130**, 793-797.

50. Y. Liu, E. Lotero and J. G. Goodwin Jr, *Journal of Catalysis*, 2006, **243**, 221-228.

51. M. Charton, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 1975, **97**, 1552-1556.

52. H. Fujimoto, Y. Mizutani, J. Endo and Y. Jinbu, *The Journal of Organic Chemistry*, 1989, **54**, 2568-2573.

53. Y. Kuwahara, W. Kaburagi, K. Nemoto and T. Fujitani, *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 2014, **476**, 186-196.

54. D. R. Fernandes, A. S. Rocha, E. F. Mai, C. J. A. Mota and V. Teixeira da Silva, *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 2012, **425–426**, 199-204.

55. S. G. Newman and K. F. Jensen, *Green Chemistry*, 2013, **15**, 1456-1472.

56. S. Furuta, H. Matsuhashi and K. Arata, *Catalysis Communications*, 2004, **5**, 721-723.

57. S. Furuta, H. Matsuhashi and K. Arata, *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 2004, **269**, 187-191.

58. K. Suwannakarn, E. Lotero, J. G. Goodwin Jr and C. Lu, *Journal of Catalysis*, 2008, **255**, 279-286.

59. M. G. Cutrufello, U. Diebold and R. D. Gonzalez, *Catalysis Letters*, **101**, 5-13.

60. D. Fa˛rcas¸iu, J. Q. Li and S. Cameron, *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 1997, **154**, 173-184.

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)