Environmental Science Processes & Impacts

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/process-impacts

Dunaliella salina under various phosphate regimes

Ya Wang¹, Yanheng Zheng¹, Cong Liu¹, Pingping Xu¹, Hao Li¹, Qiaoyun Lin¹, Chunhua Zhang² and Ying Ge¹

- ¹ College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, Jiangsu Provincial Key Laboratory of Marine Biology, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095, China
- ² Demonstration Laboratory of Element and Life Science Research, Laboratory Centre of Life Science, College of Life Science, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, China

Corresponding author: Ying Ge

Address: College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, Jiangsu Provincial Key Laboratory of Marine Biology, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing 210095,

China

Telephone: 86-25-84395892

E-mail: yingge711@njau.edu.cn

Abstract

Microalgae play an important role in arsenic (As) biogeochemical cycles as they are capable to accumulate and metabolize this metalloid efficiently. This study aimed to investigate the toxicity, accumulation and transformation of arsenate (As(V)) in *Dunaliella salina*, an exceptionally halotolerant microalga, under various phosphate (PO_4^{3-}) regimes. Results of the 72-h toxicity test showed that *D. salina* was tolerant to As(V). In addition, the toxicity of As(V) was mitigated by increased PO_4^{3-} supply. *D. salina* resisted the adverse effects of As(V) through suppression of As uptake, enhancement of As reduction, methylation in the cell and excretion from the cell. Our study revealed that *D. salina* reduced As(V) toxicity using different strategies, i.e., reduction of As uptake upon acute As stress (24-h) and increase of As efflux

following chronic As exposure (9-day). Moreover, PO_4^{3-} strongly affected the adsorption, uptake and transformation of As(V) in *D. salina*. As(V) reduction, DMA production and As excretion were enhanced under P-limited conditions (0.112 mg L⁻¹) or upon higher As(V) exposure (1120 µg L⁻¹). Furthermore, PO_4^{3-} had a significant influence on the As removal ability of *D. salina*. High As removal efficiency (> 95.6%) was observed in the 5-days cultures at an initial As concentration of 11.2 µg L⁻¹ and PO_4^{3-} of 0.112 and 1.12 mg L⁻¹. However, only 10.9% of total As was removed under 11.2 mg L⁻¹ PO_4^{3-} after 9 days incubation. The findings of this study illustrate the pivotal roles of extracellular PO_4^{3-} in As(V) toxicity and metabolism, and the results may be relevant for future research on minimization of As contamination in algal products as well as on enhancement of As removal from the environment.

Keywords Dunaliella salina; Arsenate ; Phosphate; Accumulation; Transformation

Environmental impact

Certain microalga such as *Dunaliella salina* has a strong accumulation capacity of As, making the algal products prone to As contamination. On the other hand, this microalga may also be potentially used in As bioremediation. The As(V) accumulation and detoxification in this unicellular alga are affected by PO_4^{3-} , but the detailed As metabolism remain unexplored. Here, we report that PO_4^{3-} mediated As (V) toxicity, adsorption, uptake and transformation in *D. salina*. In addition, our data also indicate that the algal harvesting time and PO_4^{3-} monitoring are important in order to minimize As contamination in the algal products and to enhance As removal from environment with high alkalinity and salinity.

Introduction

Arsenic (As) is a notoriously toxic element widely distributed in all environments.¹ In natural water concentrations of arsenic have been reported in the range of <0.5 to 5000 μ g L⁻¹, and may reach up to 200 mg L⁻¹ in certain saline lakes.^{2,3} Arsenic

contamination in groundwater occurs both under reducing and oxidizing conditions and is occurring at many sites in the world,⁴⁻⁶ particularly in arid regions, where such conditions in lacustrine aquifer sediments are often concomitant with high alkalinity and/or salinity.^{7,8} Elevated As concentration have been linked to increased risks of skin, lung and bladder cancer to humans who utilize groundwater for drinking and irrigation purposes.^{9,10}

Dunaliella salina, a salt-tolerant unicellular alga, is occurs in low trophic levels in the ocean and saline lakes.¹¹ It contains large amounts of proteins, vitamins, β-carotene, and carotenoids. Therefore, this microalga is highly valuable in the production of dietary supplements and aquafeed.^{11,12} However, As pollution in water may pose serious risks to the quality of these products since it has shown that *Dunaliella* could absorb As(V) and distribute it into various cellular fractions efficiently.¹³⁻¹⁸ On the other hand, *D. salina* may be a good candidate among microalgae to be used in processes of As removal from contaminated groundwater concomitant with high alkalinity and salinity.^{16,18}

Phosphate ($PO_4^{3^-}$) is an essential nutrient for the growth of *D. salina*. The concentration of $PO_4^{3^-}$ in commercial *Dunaliella* ponds is several orders of magnitude higher (e.g., 3.1 mg L⁻¹) than that of pristine water.¹⁹ Among the factors that influence the As accumulation in microalgae, phosphate is important since it is structurally similar to As(V) and may compete with As(V) for uptake by designated $PO_4^{3^-}$ transporters in the cell membrane.^{20,21} Reductions of As uptake by *Chlorella, Synechocystis, Chlamydomonas*, etc., have been reported as the $PO_4^{3^-}$ concentration in the growth media increased.²²⁻²⁴ However, the same effect of $PO_4^{3^-}$ was not observed on the As uptake by *Dunaliella*. For example, Duncan *et al.*¹⁴ and Foster *et al.*¹⁶ demonstrated that the accumulation of As(V) in *D. tertiolecta* was not influenced by the $PO_4^{3^-}$ level in the culture media. These findings suggest that As interaction with $PO_4^{3^-}$ may be more complicated than previously thought. In fact, As(V) may be adsorbed on the cell surface before moving across the plasma membrane of microalgae through energy-dependent active transport mechanisms.^{25,26} However, this extracellular-bound As was not separated and quantified in most studies on

Dunaliella.^{14-16,27} Furthermore, although As (V) adsorption on the algal cell surface may also be affected by $PO_4^{3^2}$,²⁸ little is known about the relationship between cell surface binding and arsenic detoxification in microalgae under different $PO_4^{3^2}$ regimes.

In addition, arsenic transformations outside and inside the algal cells were shown to be affected by the PO_4^{3-} concentration of the culture media.^{29,30} However, dynamic variations of As accumulation and transformation in and out of *Dunaliella* cells under different phosphate regimes were not yet clarified. As such, more detailed investigations on the different pathways of As(V) in this microalga are needed. Therefore in this study, we conducted both short-term (24-h; 72-h) and long-term (9-d) experiments with the following objectives: 1) to evaluate the As resistance and As removal ability of *D. salina* at different PO_4^{3-} concentrations in the culture; 2) to assess the effect of PO_4^{3-} nutrition on the dynamic processes of As adsorption, uptake and transformation.

Materials and Methods

Cultivation and growth conditions. The marine green alga *D. salina* was bought from the Collection Centre of Marine Microalgae, Key laboratory of Marine Ecology and Environmental Science, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China. The microalga was transferred into Erlenmeyer flasks containing fresh sterilized f/2 medium (PO₄³⁻: 1.12 mg L⁻¹).^{15,31} The f/2 medium was prepared with deionized water and synthetic sea salt (Table S1) with salinity maintained at 35‰, filtered through 0.22 µm membrane filter to remove particulates and autoclaved at 121 °C for 30 min. Cultures were maintained in an incubator at an irradiance of 50 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ on a 12 : 12 h light: dark cycle at 25 ± 2 °C. Axenic status was periodically confirmed by microscopic analysis (Optical microscopy and Scanning Electron Microscope) and streaking on LB Broth Medium.³¹ The initial culture optical density (OD) was adjusted to OD_{630nm}=0.060 (approx. 5 × 10⁵ cells mL⁻¹),^{32,33} there was also 20 mmol L⁻¹ 3-(N-morpholino) propanesulfonic acid (MOPS) in f/2 medium to keep its pH at 7.3 ± 0.2.

72-h As(V) toxicity experiment. Cells of D. salina were first acclimated in the f/2 medium (dissolved with artificial sea water) for 7 days, then collected by centrifugation (7000 \times g, 2min), rinsed with fresh f/2 medium without PO₄³⁻ addition for three times and then resuspended into f/2 medium without PO₄³⁻ for 3 days to reduce the PO₄³⁻ in vivo.²³ Arsenate solution was prepared by dissolving Na₃AsO₄·12H₂O in deionized water. Then *D. salina* with a cell density of 5×10^5 cells mL⁻¹ was exposed to the indicated concentrations of As(V) (Table S2) in 50 mL Erlenmeyer flasks containing 25 mL f/2 medium with three PO_4^{3-} treatments, namely P_1 (0.112 mg L⁻¹), P_2 (1.12 mg L⁻¹) and P_3 (11.2 mg L⁻¹). Each treatment was replicated three times. The toxicity experiment lasted 72 h. Then the algae cell numbers were counted daily in a Neubauer haemocytometer chamber after fixation with Lugol. The percentage of growth rate inhibition was calculated.³⁴ The concentration corresponding to 50% inhibition of the growth rate (EC50 in mg L^{-1}) in D. salina over the 72-h exposure was determined.^{22,30} Growth rates determined by cell density versus the control were used as biological end points to estimate the effect of As(V) on *D. salina*. Results were fit to a sigmoidal dose-response curve equation (Eq. (1)).²² incorporated in the software GraphPad Prism 5.

$$BR = BR_{min} + \frac{BR_{max} - BR_{min}}{1 + 10^{(logEC50 - BR) \times Hillslope}} \quad (1)$$

where BR is the biological response; BR_{min} and BR_{max} correspond to the minimal and maximal biological response, respectively, and EC_{50} is the concentration (mg L⁻¹) corresponding to a 50% inhibition of the growth rate. Hillslope is the slope factor and describes the steepness of the curve. All fits had a Hillslope value of 1.0.

Adsorption and uptake of arsenic by *D. salina* in 24-h under different phosphate concentrations. In parallel with the 72-h toxicity experiment, the ability of *D. salina* to adsorb As on the cell surface and to take up As into the cells were investigated using the culture medium enriched with a range of As(V) concentration (1.12, 11.2, 112 and 1120 mg L⁻¹) under the above three PO_4^{3-} treatments. The exposure time was set at 24-h to reduce growth differences among different treatments. At the end of As exposure, algal cultures from each replicate were

Environmental Science: Processes

harvested by centrifugation (7000 × g), then cleaned 3 times with 35‰ saline and further rinsed with ice-cold 0.1 mol L⁻¹ phosphate buffer (pH 7.0, 35‰ salinity to maintain the osmotic balance and cell integrity) for 2 min to remove As bound on the cell surface.^{22,24,30} The total and intracellular As were measured and the adsorbed As was calculated as follows: As_{adsorbed} = As_{total} – As_{intracellular}. The bioadsorption factor (BAF) and the intracellular accumulation factor (IAF) were calculated as the ratio of As content adsorbed on the cell surface and taken up in the dry algal biomass to the initial As concentrations in the medium .^{25,35,36}

As speciation and phosphate content in medium and in cells after 9-d exposure to As(V) under different phosphate concentrations. The As adsorption, uptake and transformation by D. salina in the long term (9-d) were also investigated under different PO43- treatments. D. salina cells were collected and processed as described above, then inoculated in 100 mL of f/2 medium and exposed to two As(V) treatments, including As₁ (11.2 μ g L⁻¹) and As₂ (1120 μ g L⁻¹), and three PO₄³⁻ treatments (P1, P2 and P3) for 9 days. The growth of algal cells (OD630nm) was measured daily using a SpectraMax M5 Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices, US). Each treatment had three replicates. Aliquots of 5 mL growth medium were taken daily by centrifugation (7000 \times g, 2min), and then filtered through a 0.45 μ m nylon filter and kept in the freezer at -20 °C for later determination of total As and speciation analysis in the medium. The PO_4^{3-} content in the cultures was analyzed in the same way as described above at 0, 2, 4, 8, 12 h and 1 to 9 days. After exposure to As(V) for 4h and 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 days, algal cells were harvested as described above and freeze-dried, then kept in a desiccator before As speciation analyses. After 9-d incubation, the As adsorbed and taken up by D. salina and intracellular phosphorus (P) were also analyzed. To investigate if extracellular secretions of D. salina could change As speciation under different PO_4^{3-} treatments, the algae were cultured in 0.112 and 1.12 mg $L^{-1} PO_4^{3-}$ medium for 3 days, and then the suspension was centrifuged for 2 min at 7000 \times g and at 4 °C, followed by filtration by a sterilized $0.45 \mu m$ nylon filter. The supernatant was supplemented with As(V) to a final concentration of 1120 µg L⁻¹ and incubated for 9 days. Aliquots of the supernatant

samples were taken on the 1st, 3rd and 9th day of incubation and analyzed for As speciation.

Analysis of As and P in *D. salina* and quality control. The freeze-dried algal samples were digested with 2 ml of HNO₃–HClO₄ (4:1, v/v) at 120 °C.³⁷ Total As and P were determined by hydride generation atomic fluorescence spectrometry (HG–AFS, AFS-9130, Jitian, Beijing, China) and inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometer (ICP-AES, PerkinElmer Optimal 2100DV, USA), respectively. The limit of detection (LOD) for As and P obtained in this study were 0.15 \pm 0.07 µg kg⁻¹ and 12.9 \pm 1.34 mg kg⁻¹, respectively. The relative standard deviation (RSD) values were less than 3.4% for all samples.

& Impacts Accepted Manuscript

Environmental Science: Processes

Arsenic speciation in algal samples was analyzed. The algal samples were extracted with 10 mL 1.75% HNO₃ at 90 °C for 10 min.³⁸ Final algal extracts were filtered through 0.22 µm nylon syringe filters before As speciation analysis by high performance liquid chromatography coupled with hydride generation atomic fluorescence spectrometry (HPLC-HG-AFS). Arsenite (As(III)), As(V), monomethylarsonic acid (MMA(V)) and dimethylarsinic acid (DMA(V)) were separated by an anion exchange column Hamilton PRP-X100 (250 mm×4.1 mm, 10µm) with the mobile phase containing 15 mmol L^{-1} (NH₄)₂HPO₄ (pH 6.0). Arsenic speciation in the algae and the medium were analyzed within 24h. The above extraction method was applied and had been shown reliable as it did not change As speciation in *D. salina* upon such treatments.³⁸

Standard reference material rice flour (NIST-SRM 1568b) was used to check on the accuracy of the analyses.³⁵

Statistical analysis. SPSS 20.0, GraphPad Prism 5 and SigmaPlot 12.5 software were used for statistical analyses and figure preparations, respectively. One-way or two-way ANOVA and post hoc multiple comparisons (Tukey) were used to detect significance (p < 0.05) in the toxicity of As(V), As(V) uptake, accumulation and transformation experiments of the present study.

Results

Environmental Science: Processes

The tolerance and accumulation of As(V) in *D. salina* was affected by the phosphate level in the culture.

To evaluate the impact of PO_4^{3-} on the acute toxicity of As(V) to *D. salina*, the growth inhibition (GI) of *D. salina* (Table S2) and values of 72-h EC50 in 0.112, 1.12 and 11.2 mg L⁻¹ PO_4^{3-} levels were calculated (0.62 ± 0.06, 41.5 ± 3.8 and 258 ± 26, respectively). The As(V) toxicity on *D. salina* was clearly alleviated by the increase of P supply, since the GI was lowered significantly (Table S2) and 72-h EC50 values had an approximate 416-fold increase when *D. salina* was cultured in 0.112-11.2 mg L⁻¹ PO_4^{3-} .

Although the adsorbed and absorbed As was enhanced remarkably in *D. salina* at higher concentrations of As(V) in the culture (Fig. 1), the BAF and IAF were reduced $(51.2 \pm 1.7 \text{ to } 0.18 \pm 0.01 \text{ and } 30.9 \pm 0.5 \text{ to } 0.79 \pm 0.03)$ irrespective of PO₄³⁻ levels (Fig. S1). In addition, the percentage of adsorbed As increased from 34.3 to 87.9% of total algal As when exposed from 1.12 to 1120 mg L⁻¹ As(V) at three PO₄³⁻ levels (Fig. 1). On the other hand, at the same As(V) concentrations, the amounts of extra- and intracellular As declined significantly (p < 0.01) when the PO₄³⁻ levels increased (Fig. 1).



Fig. 1 Arsenic adsorption and absorption by *D. salina* after exposure to various indicated concentrations (1.12, 11.2, 112, 1120 mg L⁻¹) of As(V) in P₁, P₂ and P₃ growth medium for 24 h. P₁, P₂ and P₃ denote 0.112, 1.12 and 11.2 mg L⁻¹ phosphate, respectively. Data are means \pm SE (n=3).

To evaluate the effect of PO_4^{3-} on the chronic toxicity of As(V), we measured the cell growth of *D. salina* under different As(V) (As₁ and As₂) and PO₄³⁻ (P₁, P₂ and P₃) treatments during a 9-d period (Fig. 2). The As₁ (11.2 µg L⁻¹) treatment did not significantly affect the algal growth at all PO₄³⁻ levels during the incubation (data not shown). However this was not the case under As₂ exposure (Fig. 2). Significant (p < 0.01) growth stimulation (4.2-19.1% and 13.1-24.8%, respectively) by As₂ and P₂/P₃ was observed after 3 days of culture (Fig. 2). In contrast, the algal growth was significantly (p < 0.01) inhibited by As₂ under P₁ treatment after 2 days incubation.



Fig. 2 Growth of *D. salina* in the f/2 medium containing the indicated concentrations of

phosphate and arsenate (0 and As₂). P₁, P₂ and P₃ denote 0.112, 1.12 and 11.2 mg L⁻¹ phosphate, respectively. As₂ denote 1.12 mg L⁻¹ As(V). Data are means \pm SE (n=3)

As(V) reduced the uptake and accumulation of phosphate by D. salina.

Along with samples, standard reference material (rice flour, NIST-SRM 1568b) was detected with the same procedures. No significant loss or gain of As and P during the digestion procedures were observed. The measured values for total As $(0.271 \pm 0.023 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ and P (1523.5± 19.9 mg kg⁻¹), were slightly lower than the certified values $(0.285 \pm 0.014 \text{ mg kg}^{-1} \text{ and } 1530 \pm 40 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}, \text{ respectively; n=3}).$

As shown in Fig. 3, phosphate was quickly consumed by *D. salina*. At P₁ treatment, it was depleted within 2h (data not shown). The depletion of PO₄³⁻ in the medium was extended to 3 days when P₂ was applied (Fig. 3a). After 9 days of incubation under P₃ treatment, 4.8, 4.7 and 4.0 mg L⁻¹ PO₄³⁻ was consumed by *D. salina* under 0, As₁ and As₂ exposure, respectively (Fig. 3b). The greatest PO₄³⁻ depletion rate in the P₂ and P₃ mediums occurred in the first 2 hours in control treatment, reaching up to 80.9 ± 2.0 and 256 ± 6.5 µg L⁻¹ h⁻¹, respectively (Table S3). The depletion of PO₄³⁻ in the culture was significantly (p < 0.01) inhibited by As₂ under P₂ and P₃ treatments after 2 days incubation (Fig. 3). On the other hand, significant differences (p < 0.01) of total P in algal cells under the P₁, P₂ and P₃ treatments were observed (Table 1 and S4). Compared to the control, the intracellular P decreased by 21%, 6.6% and 11% (P₁, P₂ and P₃ treatments, respectively) when exposed to As₂.





Fig. 3 Phosphate remained in the medium after exposure to 0, As_1 and As_2 in the P_2 (a) and P_3 (b) growth medium for 0, 2, 4, 8, 12h and 1 to 9 days. As_1 and As_2 denote 11.2 and 1120 μ g L⁻¹ As(V), respectively. P_2 and P_3 denote 1.12 and 11.2 mg L⁻¹ phosphate, respectively. Data are means \pm SE (n=3).

Phosphate affected the amounts of As in the culture and cells of *D. salina*.

As shown in Fig. 4, significant difference (p < 0.01) of As in the culture was observed from 4 h when exposed to the three PO₄³⁻ treatment. When treated with As₁ (Fig. 4a), the total As concentration in the P₃ media remained relatively constant throughout the 9-d incubation period while As(V) was observed almost depleted by *D. salina* within 3 and 5 days at the P₁ and P₂ treatments, respectively. The depletion rate of As(V) was respectively 0.13 ± 0.02 and 0.07 ± 0.03 µg L⁻¹ h⁻¹ in the P₁ and P₂ growth media within the 1st day (Fig. 4a and Table S5). After 1 day of incubation, most of the PO₄³⁻ in the culture was depleted (Fig. 3a), making the As uptake rate accelerated and it reached 0.20 ± 0.01 (P₁) and 0.13 ± 0.00 µg L⁻¹ h⁻¹ (P₂) on the 2nd day (Fig. 4a and Table S5). After 5-d incubation, 96.6% and 95.6% of the initial As(V) added to the culture was removed under the P₁ and P₂ treatments, respectively. However, the percentage of total As removed by the algal cells decreased as the concentration of As(V) in the medium increased. The highest percentage of As removed was only 7.7% when treated with the As₂ for 9 days irrespective of PO₄³⁻ levels (Fig. 4b)



Environmental Science: Processes

Fig. 4 Arsenic remained in the medium after exposure to As_1 (a) and As_2 (b) in P_1 , P_2 and P_3 growth medium for 0, 4h and 1 to 9 days. As₁ and As₂ denote 11.2 and 1120 μ g L⁻¹ As(V), respectively. P₁, P₂ and P₃ denote 0.112, 1.12 and 11.2 mg L⁻¹ phosphate, respectively. Data are means \pm SE (n=3).

After 9-d incubation, total As in algal cells were also different under various As(V) and PO_4^{3-} treatments (Table 1 and S4). Both adsorption and uptake of As by *D. salina* increased significantly with As(V) exposure (Table 1). Besides, phosphate alone and As-P interaction had a significant effect (p < 0.01) on As adsorption and absorption (Table 1 and S4). When P_3 was applied, the uptake of As by *D. salina* was not detected after exposure to As_1 (Table 1 and Fig. 4a). The intracellular As increased and accounted for 8.3% of the total As in D. salina after exposure to As₂ (Table 1). Moreover, Arsenic uptake increased 100 times when the ratio of As/P in the culture varied from 0.1 to 10 under P₁ treatment. However, cellular As(V) levels only increased about 21 times when the As/P ratio in the medium rose from 0.01 to 1 under P2 treatment (Table 1). Interestingly, algal As uptake in the P2 medium was higher than that in the P₁, but the results were reversed in the As₂ treatment (Table 1 and Fig. 5 and 6).

Table 1 Total As and P contents in D. salina under various As(V) and PO₄³⁻ concentrations in the 9-d incubation.

Treatment		As/P	Total As and P	in algal cells (mg	cells (mg kg ⁻¹)	
As(V)	PO4 ³⁻		Adsorbed As	Absorbed As	Р	
0	P_1	0	n.d.	n.d.	1030 ± 24 ^c	
	P_2	0	n.d.	n.d.	$3261\pm41~^{b}$	
	P ₃	0	n.d.	n.d.	9043 ± 119^{a}	
As ₁	\mathbf{P}_1	0.1	2.78 ± 0.30^{a}	0.56 ± 0.13 ^b	886 ± 41 ^c	
	P_2	0.01	1.94 ± 0.19^{a}	1.72 ± 0.12^{a}	3133 ± 32^{b}	

Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts

	P ₃	0.001	$0.84\pm0.07~^{b}$	n.d.	$8268\pm85~^a$
As ₂	P ₁	10	53.57± 1.05 ^b	56 ± 2.10^{a}	814 ± 5 ^c
	P ₂	1	61.26 ± 0.59^{a}	36.44 ± 1.10^{b}	$3046\pm18~^{b}$
	P ₃	0.1	$51.30 \pm 0.28 \ ^{b}$	4.66 ± 0.35 ^c	$8034\pm71~^a$

As₁ and As₂ indicate 11.2 and 1120 μ g L⁻¹ As(V), respectively. P₁, P₂ and P₃ denote 0.112, 1.12 and 11.2 mg L⁻¹ phosphate, respectively. All data are means \pm SE (n=3). Different letters within columns indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) between various P levels according to Tukey's multiple range tests, n.d.: not detected, indicating As concentrations are generally at or below the LOD (0.15 μ g kg⁻¹) obtained in this study.

Phosphate had differential impacts on As biotransformation in D. salina.

Along with algal samples, rice flour was also analyzed with the same extraction procedure. The concentrations of DMA $(0.20 \pm 0.03 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ and inorganic arsenic (iAs) $(0.10 \pm 0.04 \text{ mg kg}^{-1})$ were similar to the certified values (DMA $0.180 \pm 0.012 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$; iAs $0.092 \pm 0.010 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$). In the present study, the recoveries of As species in algal samples ranged between 87.4% and 104.6% by the above extraction method.

As shown in Fig. 5b, two inorganic arsenicals, As(III) and As(V), were detected in the cells when exposed to P₂ treatment. Under P₁ treatment (Fig. 5a), in addition to As(V) and As(III), DMA was detected from the 1st to 7th day with a percentage of 25.6-47.5%. Besides, during the 9-day As₁ exposure, total As taken up by the algae under the P₂ treatment were consistently higher than that under the P₁ treatment (Fig. 5 and Table 1).

Upon the As₂ treatment, arsenic concentration in the algal cells increased significantly with the culture time (Fig. 6). Arsenate was the predominant intracellular As species during the incubation, accounting for 88.3-100% of the total As. Besides, the increase of algal As(III) content was observed when the P content in the cultures decreased from 11.2 to 0.112 mg L⁻¹. Furthermore, when As exposure increased from 11.2 to 1120 μ g L⁻¹ under P₂ treatment (Fig. 5b and 6b), a small percentage of DMA was observed in the algal cells after 3 days incubation.

Environmental Science: Processes



Fig. 5 Arsenic species in the algal cells after exposure to As_1 for 4h (1/6 day), 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 days under P_1 (a) and P_2 (b) treatments. As_1 denote 11.2 µg L⁻¹ As(V). P_1 and P_2 denote 0.112 and 1.12 mg L⁻¹ phosphate, respectively. Data are means ± SE (n=3).



Fig. 6 Arsenic species in the algal cells after exposure to As₂ for 4h (1/6 d), 1, 3, 5, 7 and 9 days under P₁ (a), P₂ (b) and P₃ (c) phosphate treatments. As₂ denote 1.12 mg L⁻¹ As(V). P₁, P₂ and P₃ denote 0.112, 1.12 and 11.2 mg L⁻¹ phosphate, respectively. Data are means ± SE (n=3).

Lower phosphate in the growth media induced more As(III) and DMA excretion from *D. salina*.

Analysis of As speciation in the culture showed that As(V) remained to be the predominant (91.1-99.5% of the total As) species during the 9-d culture (Fig. 7).

Under P₁ treatment, more As(III) and DMA were found in the growth medium (Fig. 7a and b). However, when treated with P₂ and P₃, the percentage of As(III) and DMA in the medium was lower (Fig. 7b and c). Since As(V) was the only As species in the culture of the biotic control samples (supernatant after centrifugation of algal culture, representing extracellular secretion of *D. salina*, Fig. S2) and no bacteria and any other contamination were observed on the agar plates or in the liquid cultures under a Scanning Electron Microscope (Fig. S3), we could confirm that the reduction and methylation of As occurred in cells and As(III) and DMA in the culture were excreted from *D. salina*.



Fig. 7 Arsenic speciation in the culture after exposure to As₂ for 1 to 9 days under P₁
(a), P₂ (b) and P₃ (c) phosphate treatments. As₂ denote 1.12 mg L⁻¹ As(V). P₁, P₂ and P₃ denote 0.112, 1.12 and 11.2 mg L⁻¹ phosphate, respectively. Data are means ± SE (n=3).

Discussion

It has been well documented that some types of microalgae can efficiently accumulate and detoxify As.^{16,18,35,39-42} As such, these microalgae may have value in use for As bioremediation from contaminated water. In the present study, when the initial PO_4^{3-} was less than or equal to 1.12 mg L⁻¹, the percentage of As removed from the 5-days cultures was more than 95.6% at an initial As concentration of 11.2 µg L⁻¹ (Fig. 4a). These results indicate that *D. salina* could be a good candidate for As removal from

contaminated saline water which contained relatively low PO_4^{3-} (0.112-1.12 mg L⁻¹) and As(V) (11.2 µg L⁻¹). Additionally, algal harvesting time is important and should be taken into account in order to maximize the accumulation of As and minimize the excretion of As (Fig. 5). Since the mechanisms of As(V) resistance of *D. salina* have not been fully elucidated, we conducted batch culture studies to quantify As in various metabolic pathways (adsorption, uptake, transformation and excretion) under different P treatments.

Our results demonstrated that D. salina was strongly resistant to As(V). At 1.12 mg $L^{-1} PO_4^{3-}$ level, the 72-h EC50 value of As(V) (41.5 ± 3.8 mg L^{-1}) was much higher than the values $(4.53-33.5 \text{ mg L}^{-1})$ reported for other algal species such as C. *reinhardtii* and *Monoraphidium arcuatum* (1.5-1.6 mg L^{-1} PO₄³⁻ level).^{20,30} The algal growth was even stimulated when exposed to 1120 μ g L⁻¹ As concentration from the 3rd to 9th day under 1.12 and 11.2 mg L⁻¹ PO₄³⁻ treatments (Fig. 2). Similar growth stimulation (20-57%) by As(V) had also been reported when arsenic-resistant algae Chlorella sp. and O. tauri were exposed to 750 and 2250 μ g L⁻¹ As (V), respectively.^{42,43} However, at 0.112 mg L^{-1} PO₄³⁻ supply, the growth of *D. salina* was depressed more severely by As, indicating that the As tolerance was partly mediated by the extracellular PO_4^{3-} level. Arsenate toxicity was aggravated (e.g., lower EC50 value $(0.62 \pm 0.06 \text{ mg L}^{-1})$, higher growth inhibition percentage (Table S2)) because there was not enough PO_4^{3-} to compete with As(V) for the phosphate transport systems.^{20,30,44,45} At the same time, the algal cells synthesize more PO_4^{3-} transporters to compensate for the lack of PO_4^{3-} in the medium, leading to increased uptake of As(V) and higher As accumulation (Fig. 1 and Table 1).²⁰

Arsenic adsorption on the cell surface received little attention in previous studies.^{20,} ⁴⁶ In the present study, both adsorbed and absorbed As fractions in *D. salina* were found closely related to As(V) metabolism (Table 1 and Fig. 1 and S1). Within 24 h, more As was taken up when the cells were exposed to 1.12 and 11.2 mg L⁻¹ As(V), whereas more As was bound extracellularly under the higher As exposure (112 and 1120 mg L⁻¹, Fig. 1). The increase in As adsorption percentage was also evident for *M. arcuatum* when the cells were treated with higher As(V) concentrations.³⁰ In addition,

after 9-d of As treatments (11.2 and 1120 µg L⁻¹), arsenic absorbed in D. salina was generally less than that adsorbed (Table 1). These results indicate that *D. salina* may reduce As(V) toxicity using different strategies, *i.e.*, suppression of As uptake upon acute As stress (24 h, 112 and 1120 mg L⁻¹) or enhancement of As efflux following chronic As exposure (9 d, 11.2 and 1120 µg L⁻¹). Furthermore, our results revealed that As adsorption and uptake by D. salina were inhibited with increased P levels in all As treatments (Fig. 1). Similarly, the uptake of As(V) in many organisms (e.g., algae, fungi, plants and amphibians) has been reported to be mediated by P due to their structural analogy.^{20, 47-50} Our results also revealed that As(V) treatment reduced the PO_4^{3-} assimilation rate (Fig. 3 and Tables 1 and S3) from the culture, and provided further evidence for the competition between As(V) and PO_4^{3-} to be taken up by algal cells. Here it is noteworthy that PO_4^{3-} could be depleted far more quickly than As(V) by D. saling (Tables S3 and S5). As seen in Fig. 3b, 4.7 mg L^{-1} PO₄³⁻ was depleted by D. salina during 9 days of incubation when treated with 11.2 μ g L⁻¹ As(V). As a result, more As(V) (> 0.84 mg kg⁻¹) would be accumulated by *D. salina* when PO_4^{3-} levels become depleted (Table 1 and Fig. 6a and b). Therefore, it is necessary to continuously monitor PO43- in commercial Dunaliella ponds to minimize As contamination in the algal products.

Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts Accepted Manuscript

Our results demonstrated that As biotransformation and excretion was mediated by extracellular PO₄³⁻. At conditions of 0.112 mg L⁻¹ PO₄³⁻, more As(V) was taken up into cells (Fig. 6a) through the up-regulated phosphate transport system.²³ At the same time, As(V) reduction, methylation, and As(III) and DMA excretion were accelerated and were the main pathways of As metabolism under 0.112 mg L⁻¹ PO₄³⁻ treatment (Fig. 5a and 6a and 7a). These findings were supported by Hellweger *et al.* (2003) and Levy *et al.* (2005), who have shown that algae in the phosphate-limited culture were more likely to produce and efflux methylated As compounds.^{29,30} However, when 1.12 and 11.2 mg L⁻¹ PO₄³⁻ were supplied, As(V) dominated As speciation and was only slightly reduced to As(III) and methylated to DMA (Figs. 5b and 6b and 6c). It is possible that high concentrations of PO₄³⁻ strongly inhibited the algal uptake of As(III) and its reduction to As(III) via cytoplasmic arsenate reductase.⁵¹ The levels of As(III)

in the culture was also negligible during the 9-d incubation (Fig. 7b and c), probably due to the more effective surface As(III) re-oxidized process with increased PO_4^{3-} levels.²³

Our results also demonstrated that As biotransformation and efflux was mediated by intracellular P. When treated with 11.2 μ g L⁻¹ As, both total As and P in the 0.112 and 1.12 mg L⁻¹ PO₄³⁻ treatments from the 5th to 9th day remained low (Fig. 3a and 4a), which could favor As excretion via passive diffusion.^{20,29} However, As uptake in *D. salina* under 1.12 mg L⁻¹ PO₄³⁻ treatment was higher than that under the 0.112 mg L⁻¹ PO₄³⁻ treatment (Fig. 5 and Table 1). The difference is likely due to As efflux from *D. salina* that was enhanced at the lower intracellular P level which in turn contributed to the lower As in *D. salina* under the 0.112 mg L⁻¹ PO₄³⁻ treatment (Fig. 7a and Table 1).²⁰

Our results support the As biotransformation model proposed by Cullen et al.^{52,53}, in which As(V) taken up and detoxified by D. salina may be transformed through reduction and methylation (Fig. 5 and 6), as well as excretion of As(III) and DMA species from cells (Fig. 7). Such effects were observed in other microalgae such as Closterium aciculare, Aulacoseira granulate, Pediastrum biwae and Cvanidioschvzon.^{54,55} These findings, however, were different from another Dunaliella species, D. tertiolecta, reported by Foster et al.¹⁶ and Duncan et al.^{14,15}. who stated that arsenosugars to be major As components in Dunaliella when exposed to 2 μ g L⁻¹ As(V). We postulate that this discrepancy could be attributed to the difference between species and the higher As(V) exposure (> 11.2 μ g L⁻¹) we used. In fact, it has been demonstrated that the formation of methylated arsenic compounds and arsenosugars by microalgae depend on arsenic concentration in the environment.⁵⁶ Inorganic As species were dominant in microalgae exposed to higher As exposures (50-200 µg L⁻¹).^{14,56}

Conclusions

This study demonstrated that D. salina had a strong As(V) tolerance due to suppression of As uptake into the cells, detoxification of intracellular As mainly by

Page 19 of 22

As(V) reduction and subsequent methylation, as well as expelling As(III) and DMA species from cells. Our results indicated that PO_4^{3-} had a significant effect on the toxicity, adsorption and uptake of As(V) by *D. salina*. Arsenate exposure also decreased PO_4^{3-} uptake in *D. salina*. Furthermore, harvesting time and PO_4^{3-} monitoring during the cultivation are important in order to minimize As contamination in the algal products and to enhance As removal from the environment using these halotolerant microalgae.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (41371468, 31400450), Jiangsu Provincial Graduate Student Innovation Project (KYZZ_0182) and undergraduate student research training program (1413C15). The authors are grateful to Professor Christopher Rensing in University of Copenhagen for constructive suggestions and English improvement for the manuscript.

References

- S. Fujiwara, I. Kobayashi, S. Hoshino, T. Kaise, K. Shimogawara, H. Usuda and M. Tsuzuki, *Plant Cell Physiol.*, 2000, 41, 77–83.
- 2 R. S. Oremland, J. F. Stolz and J. T. Hollibaugh, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 2004, 48, 15–27.
- 3 P. Smedley and D. Kinniburgh, *Appl. Geochem.*, 2002, **17**, 517–568.
- 4 H. Guo, B. Zhang, G. Wang and Z. Shen, *Chem. Geol.*, 2010, 270, 117–125.
- 5 F. N. Robertson, *Environ. Geochem. Hlth.*, 1989, **11**, 171–185.
- S. C. Peters, J. D. Blum, B. Klaue and M. R. Karagas, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 1999, 33, 1328–1333.
- 7 L. Rodriguez-Lado, G. Sun, M. Berg, Q. Zhang, H. Xue, Q. Zheng and C. A. Johnson, *Science*, 2013, 341, 866–868.
- 8 Y. Deng, Y. Wang and T. Ma, Appl. Geochem., 2009, 24, 587–599.
- 9 M. M. Bahar, M. Megharaj and R. Naidu, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. R.*, 2016, 23, 2663–2668.
- J. Saha, A. Dikshit, M. Bandyopadhyay and K. Saha, *Crit. Rev. Environ. Sci. Tec.*, 1999, 29, 281–313.

Environmental Science: Processes

- 11 A. Hosseini Tafreshi and M. Shariati, J. Appl. Microbial., 2009, 107, 14–35.
- 12 P. Spolaore, C. Joannis-Cassan, E. Duran and A. Isambert, J. Biosci. Bioeng., 2006, 101, 87–96.
- 13 E. Duncan, S. Foster and W. Maher, Bot. Mar., 2010, 53, 377–386.
- 14 E. G. Duncan, W. A. Maher, S. D. Foster and F. Krikowa, *Mar. Chem.*, 2013, **157**, 78–85.
- 15 E. G. Duncan, W. A. Maher, S. D. Foster and F. Krikowa, Environ. Chem., 2013, 10, 91-101.
- 16 S. Foster, D. Thomson and W. Maher, Mar. Chem., 2008, 108, 172–183.
- O. Takimura, H. Fuse, K. Murakami, K. Kamimura and Y. Yamaoka, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 1996, 10, 753–756.
- 18 Y. Yamaoka, O. Takimura, H. Fuse and K. Murakami, Appl. Organomet. Chem., 1999, 13, 89–94.
- M. García-González, J. Moreno, J. Canavate, V. Anguis, A. Prieto, C. Manzano, F. Florencio and M. Guerrero, J. Appl. Phycol., 2003, 15, 177–184.
- 20 N. X. Wang, Y. Li, X. H. Deng, A. J. Miao, R. Ji and L. Y. Yang, *Water Res.*, 2013, 47, 2497–2506.
- 21 Y. Wang, S. Wang, P. Xu, C. Liu, M. Liu, Y. Wang, C. Wang, C. Zhang and Y. Ge, *Rev. Environ. Sci. Bio.*, 2015, 14, 427–451.
- 22 I. B. Karadjova, V. I. Slaveykova and D. L. Tsalev, Aquat. Toxicol., 2008, 87, 264–271.
- 23 S. Zhang, C. Rensing and Y. G. Zhu, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2013, 48, 994–1000.
- 24 N. X. Wang, B. Huang, S. Xu, Z. B. Wei, A. J. Miao, R. Ji and L. Y. Yang, *Aquat. Toxicol.*, 2014, 157, 167–174.
- 25 E. J. Olguin and G. Sanchez-Galvan, New Biotechnol., 2012, 30, 3-8.
- 26 S. Wang and X. Zhao, J. Environ. Manage., 2009, 90, 2367–2376.
- E. G. Duncan, W. A. Maher, S. D. Foster, K. M. Mikac and F. Krikowa, J. Appl. Phycol., 2014, 26, 2129–2134.
- 28 Y. Jiang, D. Purchase, H. Jones and H. Garelick, Int. J. Phytoremediat., 2011, 13, 834-844.
- F. L. Hellweger, K. J. Farley, U. Lall and D. M. Di Toro, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 2003, 48, 2275–2288.
- 30 J. L. Levy, J. L. Stauber, M. S. Adams, W. A. Maher, J. K. Kirby and D. F. Jolley, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 2005, 24, 2630–2639.
- 31 R. R. Guillard and J. H. Ryther, Can. J. Microbiol., 1962, 8, 229–239.
- 32 H. Chen, J. G. Jiang and G. H. Wu, J. Agr. Food Chem., 2009, 57, 6178–6182.

2	
3	
1	
-	
D	
6	
7	
8	
õ	
9	
10	
11	
12	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
10	
18	
19	
20	
21	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
20	
20	
27	
28	
29	
20	
30	
31	
32	
33	
24	
34	
35	
36	
37	
20	
30	
39	
40	
41	
12	
+2	
43	
44	
45	
46	
-10	
41	
48	
49	
50	
50 E1	
51	
52	
53	
54	
57	
00	
56	
57	
58	
50	
09	
60	

- 33 Y. H. Zhu and J. G. Jiang, Eur. Food Res. Technol., 2008, 227, 953–959.
- S. Folgar, E. Torres, M. Perez-Rama, A. Cid, C. Herrero and J. Abalde, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2009, 165, 486–493.
- 35 M. M. Bahar, M. Megharaj and R. Naidu, J. Appl. Phycol., 2013, 25, 913–917.
- G. Sánchez-Galván, O. Monroy, J. Gómez and E. J. Olguín, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 2008, 194, 77–90.
- 37 G. Somer and A. Nakişci Ünlü, Turk. J. Chem., 2007, 30, 745–753.
- 38 Y. Ge, Z. Ning, Y. Wang, Y. Zheng, C. Zhang and D. Figeys, *Chemosphere*, 2016, 145, 112–118.
- 39 X. X. Yin, J. Chen, J. Qin, G. X. Sun, B. P. Rosen and Y. G. Zhu, *Plant Physiol.*, 2011, **156**, 1631– 1638.
- 40 X. X. Yin, L. H. Wang, R. Bai, H. Huang and G. X. Sun, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 2012, 223, 1183– 1190.
- 41 S. Maeda, K. Kusadome, H. Arima, A. Ohki and K. Naka, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 1992, 6, 407–413.
- 42 S. Y. Zhang, G. X. Sun, X. X. Yin, C. Rensing and Y. G. Zhu, *Chemosphere*, 2013, 93, 47–53.
- 43 K. Knauer and H. Hemond, J. Phycol., 2000, 36, 506–509.
- 44 M. A. Borowitzka and C. J. Siva, J. Appl. Phycol., 2007, 19, 567–590.
- 45 D. Planas and F. P. Healey, J. Phycol., 1978, 14, 337–341.
- 46 Z. Wang, Z. Luo, C. Yan, F. Che and Y. Yan, J. Hazard. Mater., 2014, 276, 393–399.
- 47 Y. Gong, H. N. Chou, C. D. Tu, X. Liu, J. Liu and L. Song, J. Appl. Phycol., 2008, 21, 225-231.
- 48 F. Zhao, J. Ma, A. Meharg and S. McGrath, New Phytol., 2009, 181, 777–794.
- 49 A. J. Miao, N. X. Wang, L. Y. Yang and W. X. Wang, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 2012, **31**, 1283– 1291.
- 50 X. Zeng, S. Su, Q. Feng, X. Wang, Y. Zhang, L. Zhang, S. Jiang, A. Li, L. Li, Y. Wang, C. Wu, L. Bai and R. Duan, *Chemosphere*, 2015, **119**, 1163–1168.
- 51 D. C. Slaughter, R. E. Macur and W. P. Inskeep, *Microbiol. Res.*, 2012, 167, 151–156.
- 52 W. R. Cullen, L. G. Harrison, H. Li and G. Hewitt, Appl. Organomet. Chem., 1994, 8, 313–324.
- 53 W. R. Cullen, H. Li, G. Hewitt, K. J. Reimer and N. Zalunardo, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 1994, 8, 303–311.
- 54 H. Hasegawa, Y. Sohrin, K. Seki, M. Sato, K. Norisuye, K. Naito and M. Matsui, Chemosphere,

2001, 43, 265-272.

- 55 J. Qin, C. R. Lehr, C. Yuan, X. C. Le, T. R. McDermott and B. P. Rosen, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2009, **106**, 5213–5217.
- 56 G. Caumette, I. Koch, K. House and K. J. Reimer, *Environ. Chem.*, 2014, 11, 496–505.