PCCP

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/pccp



Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics

ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

pH and Concentration Dependence of the Optical Properties of Thiol-Capped CdTe Nanocrystals in Water and D₂O

R. Schneider^{a,d}, F. Weigert^a, V. Lesnyak^b, S. Leubner^b, T. Lorenz^c, T. Behnke^a, A. Dubavik^b, J.-O.

Joswig^c, U. Resch-Genger^{a,*}, N. Gaponik^b, and A. Eychmüller^b

The optical properties of semiconductor nanocrystals (SC NCs) are largely controlled by their size and surface chemistry, i.e., the chemical composition and thickness of inorganic passivation shells and the chemical nature and number of surface ligands as well as the strength of their bonds to surface atoms. The latter is particularly important for CdTe nanocrystals, which - together with alloyed $Cd_xHg_{1.x}Te$ - are the only SC NCs that can be prepared in water in high quality without the need for an additional inorganic passivation shell. Aiming at a better understanding of the role of stabilizing ligands for the control of the application-relevant fluorescence features of SC NCs, we assessed the influence of two of the most commonly used monodentate thiol ligands, thioglycolic acid (TGA) and mercaptopropionic acid (MPA), on the colloidal stability, photoluminescence (PL) quantum yield (QY), and PL decay behavior of a set of CdTe NC colloids. As indirect measure for the strength of the coordinative bond of the ligands to SC NCs in D₂O. As prerequisite for these studies, the number of surface ligands was determined photometrically at different steps of purification after SC NC synthesis with Ellman's test. Our results demonstrate ligand control of the pH-dependent PL of these SC NCs, with MPA-stabilized CdTe NCs being less prone to luminescence quenching than TGA-capped ones. For both types of CdTe colloids, ligand desorption is more pronounced in H₂O compared to D₂O, underlining also the role of hydrogen bonding and solvent molecules.

KEYWORDS

Semiconductor nanocrystal; CdTe; photoluminescence; thiol ligand; thioglycolic acid; mercaptopropionic acid; surface chemistry; dilution study

Introduction

In the last decades, colloidal semiconductor nanocrystals (SC NCs) have matured from objects and tools of basic research to a class of photoluminescent materials increasingly employed in life and material sciences, optical technologies, and telecommunication. Typical applications range from fluorescent reporters in bioimaging studies, immunohistochemistry, and fluorescence assays to active materials in solar cells, displays, and solid state lighting.¹⁻³

High quality SC NCs present sophisticated core-shell or coreonly architectures covered with organic surface ligands that are bound to surface atoms either coordinatively or electrostatically or interact with them via van der Waals forces.⁴ The type and amount of ligands control not only particle nucleation and growth during synthesis, thereby determining particle core formation, its size and size distribution, yet it also determines SCNC solubility in different media and the choice of suitable conjugation chemistries for subsequent (bio)functionalization. More important, they have a strong impact on the photoluminescence (PL) properties, i.e., the size of the PL quantum yield (PLQY) and partly also on the PL decay kinetics of SC NC.⁵ This is related to the passivation of surface defects, i.e., incompletely coordinated metal or chalcogen atoms on the SCNC surface, which eliminates or strongly reduces nonradiative charge trapping decay pathways of optically generated excitons. Hence, the value of the PL QY and the PL decay behavior reflect directly the surface coverage of such NCs.⁶⁻⁸

Suitable surface passivation of SC NCs requires a sufficient change in the energetic position of localized trap states for

^a Federal Institute for Materials Research and Testing (BAM), Richard-Willstätter-Str. 11, 12489 Berlin, Germany

^b Physical Chemistry and Center for Advancing Electronics Dresden, TU Dresden, Bergstr. 66b, 01062 Dresden, Germany

^c Theoretical Chemistry, TU Dresden, Bergstr. 66b, 01062 Dresden, Germany
^d Institute of Chemistry, University Potsdam, Karl-Liebknecht-Straße 24-25, 14476
Potsdam-Golm, Germany

^{*} Corresponding Author <u>ute.resch@bam.de</u>

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI:

ARTICLE

optimum results. In addition, surface ligands can also affect the degree of quantum confinement and can exchange charge carriers with photoexcited SC NCs, depending on the energies of their highest occupied (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied (LUMO) molecular orbitals.⁹ This underlines the high importance of the strength of the SC NC surface atomligand bond for their optical properties, especially for all measurements and applications, where the initial SC NC concentration and/or the SCNC microenvironment are changed. Other factors to be considered include the number of functional groups, ligand size, and the electronic nature of the ligand-surface atom bond as well as the possible removal of surface ligands by protonation of their metal coordinating sites and hence, the ligand's (de)protonation constant (K_a) .^{10,} ¹¹ This can introduce a pH dependence of the optical properties and the colloidal stability. Moreover, the dielectric constant of the ligand layer can affect SC NC PL. Also, with the aid of properly chosen surface ligands, blinking of SC NC can be suppressed or reduced ¹² and only recently, it was shown that the PLQY of SCNCs can be restored upon addition of extra surface ligands.¹³

The influence of ligand-surface interactions on the optical properties of SC NCs is not yet fully understood despite of their importance for many applications of SC NCs and their development into routinely used fluorescent tools. Such interactions are also influenced by size- and material-specific effects including the chemical composition of the SC NC material and more importantly, its surface, as well as the chemical nature of the ligands.^{8, 14} This further complicates the already complex interplay of multiple parameters.

A better understanding of ligand-surface interactions requires simple methods for the determination of the number of surface ligands per SC NC and the shell structure, as was recently shown by us for CdTe colloids synthesized with different shell structures by means of simple tests of the quality of the ligand shell.^{15, 16} Moreover, the rational design of highly emissive SCNCs calls for systematic and really comparable studies on the influence of commonly used surface ligands like short chain mono or bidentate thiols with charged end groups on their optical properties and the influence of pH on SC NC stability and PL. For example, recent studies of the pH dependence of the PL of CdTe NCs capped with thiol ligands like thioglycolic acid (TGA), mercaptopropionic acid (MPA), hydroxyl-terminated 1thioglycerol (TG), and amine-terminated 1-thioglycerol (MA) revealed a complex correlation between the terminal group of the ligand engaged in free ligand-Cd²⁺ complexes and secondary coordination, SC NC aggregation induced by protonation of electrostatically stabilizing charged ligands, thiol protonation, and the influence of counter ions.¹⁷ Such studies are also important for ligand design, which is being increasingly used e.g., for better control of the nano-bio interface.¹⁸⁻²¹

Aiming at a deeper understanding of the role of surface ligands, we address here the question how the type and the amount of monodentate thiol surface ligand, pH, and hydrogen bonding as well as SC NC concentration influence

Journal Name

Page 2 of 10

the colloidal stability and the PL features of CdTe NC colloids. In this respect, a set of TGA- and MPA-stabilized CdTe SC NCs of representative size was prepared following established methods $^{\rm 22\text{-}24}$ and analytically characterized regarding the number of surface ligands per SC NC. Subsequently, their optical properties and colloidal stability were assessed as a function of pH and dilution/SC NC concentration in water as well as in D₂O. D₂O, used e.g., for NMR studies of the ligand shell of SCNCs ²⁵⁻³⁰ was chosen as a solvent for the characterization of the surface composition of SCNCs in order to assess the influence of hydrogen bonding. We focus here on steady state PL measurements, PL dynamics, and the correlation of the observed changes to obtain information on quenching effects associated with e.g., ligand desorption. Moreover, the results were compared with previously performed studies of ternary CdHgTe SC NCs stabilized with different monodentate thiol ligands.¹²

Experimental

Materials

All chemicals used were of analytical grade or of the highest purity available and employed as received: $Cd(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (Alfa Aesar), Al₂Te₃ (Cerac Inc.), NaOH (Aldrich), NaOD (Merck), H₂SO₄ (Aldrich), DCl (Aldrich), HCl (Aldrich), D₂O (Aldrich), TGA (Merck), and MPA (Aldrich). All aqueous solutions were prepared with Milli-Q water (Millipore). The QY standard Nile Red (batch number 333298/1 1196) was obtained from Lambda Physik. Ethanol employed for dissolving of the QY standard was of spectroscopic grade and purchased from Aldrich. For the Ellman's test, 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB, Ellman's reagent) (Aldrich), NaH₂PO₄ (Aldrich), Na₂EDTA (Aldrich), and Na₃PO₄ (Aldrich) were used.

Synthesis of thiol-capped CdTe NCs

MPA- and TGA-capped CdTe NCs were synthesized according to a previously reported procedure.²²⁻²⁴ The initial molar ratio of Cd^{2+} : Te^{2-} : thiol was set to 1.00 : 0.75 : 1.3 for all syntheses. $Cd(ClO_4)_2 \bullet 6H_2O$ was dissolved in 250 mL of ultrapure water in a three-necked flask equipped with valves and septa, and either TGA or MPA was added under stirring. The pH was adjusted to 12 by dropwise addition of a 1 M NaOH solution and oxygen was removed by flushing with argon for 1 h. H₂Te gas, generated by reaction of Al_2Te_3 lumps with 0.5 M H_2SO_4 , was bubbled through the solution for ca. 30 min in a slow argon stream under stirring. Formation and growth of the NCs proceeded upon reflux. All synthetic key parameters such as temperature, initial molar ratio of Cd, Te, and thiol, reaction volume, and pH were kept constant to ensure identical growth conditions. The as prepared CdTe colloids were concentrated on a rotary evaporator. Unless otherwise stated, all SC NC colloids investigated were purified by precipitation of the as synthesized SCNCs with 2-propanol. The precipitated CdTe NCs were subsequently redispersed either in water or D₂O. No special post-preparative treatments to control and reduce the size distribution or to increase PL QY were applied.

Methods

Characterization of the CdTe NCs. Samples for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were prepared by dropping a diluted NC solutions on a copper grid coated with a silicon dioxide film and subsequently evaporating the solvent. TEM imaging was carried out on a Tecnai F20 microscope (FEI Company), operating at 200 kV acceleration voltage.

Optical spectroscopy. UV/Vis absorption spectra were recorded with a calibrated Cary 5000 spectrophotometer (Varian Inc., Palo Alto, USA). The absorption spectra were used for determination of the size of the colloids from the spectral position of the first excitonic absorption peak with the aid of size curves ^{23, 31, 32} and controlled by comparison with the TEM data. Steady state PL measurements were performed with a calibrated FLS-920 fluorometer (Edinburgh Photonics) at BAM, equipped with a Xe lamp, Czerny-Turner double monochromators, a reference channel, and Glan-Thompson polarizers placed in the excitation and emission channels set to 0° and 54.7°, respectively (magic angle conditions) to render detected emission intensities independent of possible emission anisotropies.³³⁻³⁵ All fluorescence spectra shown were corrected for the wavelength-dependent spectral responsivity of the fluorometer's detection channel as previously reported.³⁶ The relative determination of PLQY from the absorption spectra and integrated corrected emission spectra (blank and spectral correction; consideration of the photonic nature of the emitted light) of the sample and standard in air-saturated solution was performed according to a previously published protocol.⁸ We used the quantum yield standard Nile Red (excitation wavelength: 500 nm; solvent ethanol; PL QY = 0.64) $^{14, 37}$. PL QY values were calculated from integral spectrally corrected emission spectra (referenced to the spectral photon radiance scale), with consideration of the refractive indices of the solvents used for the SC NCs and the QY standard.³⁴ All absorption and fluorescence measurements were performed with air-saturated and freshly prepared SC NC dispersions at T = (25 ± 1) °C using 10×10 mm quartz cuvettes from Hellma GmbH if not otherwise stated. The absorbance of the SCNC and dye solutions used for determination of the PL QY was within the range of 0.02 to 0.10 at the excitation wavelength.

Time-resolved fluorescence measurements. Fluorescence decay curves were recorded in 10×10 mm quartz cuvettes (Hellma) with a FLS-920 fluorescence lifetime spectrometer (Edinburgh Instruments) equipped with a super-continuum laser (Fianium SC400-2-PP) set to a pulse repetition rate of 1 MHz using time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC) without polarizers.^{12, 23} All samples were excited at 500 nm, while the emission was detected at the emission maximum of each sample employing a spectral bandwidth of the emission monochromator of 12 nm. The calculated lifetimes represent the time after which the initial fluorescence intensity decreased to the 1/e part of its maximum value.

Ellman's test. Quantification of the average number of thiol ligands per SC NC was performed with the Ellman's test ^{38, 39} previously validated by us for the analysis of monodentate ligands on SC NCs like TGA.^{14, 15} A 3.4×10^{-3} M stock solution of DTNB was prepared in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (NaH₂PO₄) containing 1×10^{-3} M EDTA (pH 8.0, adjusted with NaOH). Addition of EDTA led to complete dissolution of the colloid as

controlled by absorption and fluorescence measurements. For calibration, a freshly prepared aqueous stock solution of each ligand (3×10^{-3} M) was used. For this assay, 2900-2949 µL of buffer, 50 µL of Ellman's reagent solution, and 1-50 µL of the sample or calibration solution were mixed. To monitor the reaction process, absorption spectra were recorded in intervals of 10 min until the absorption remained constant. The thiol concentration was determined after complete reaction by comparison of the absorbance at 409 nm with the calibration curve obtained for the pure ligand.

ICP-OES. All measurements were done with diluted SC NC samples without further treatment using a Horiba Jobin Yvon Ultima 2CHR instrument. The wavelengths chosen for quantification were 228.802 nm (Cd), 180.676 nm (S), and 214.281 nm (Te), respectively. All results were obtained from triple measurements. The relative standard deviations were below 3 %.

Dilution studies in H₂O and D₂O at different pH/pD. An aqueous and a deuterated phosphate buffer (0.01 M Na₂PO₄) were prepared in H_2O and D_2O and adjusted to five different pH/pD values with HCI/DCI. For each pH/pD value, fresh samples were prepared by dilution of varying amounts (1 to 80 µL) of the aqueous SC NC stock solution. concentrated The concentrations of the SCNC stock solutions were 3.31×10⁻⁵ (M2), 5.13×10^{-5} (T2), 1.59×10^{-4} (T3), and 3.85×10^{-4} M (M3), respectively, as calculated from the size curves and sizedependent extinction coefficients given by Rogach,²³, Yu,³¹ and Ji.³² The volume of the measurement solutions was 3 mL for 10×10 mm conventional optical cells suitable for absorption and fluorescence and 15 mL for 10×50 mm cells used solely for absorption measurements of very dilute SC NC solutions with absorbances < 0.01 at 500 nm. The pH/pD values were always controlled before and after the measurement of the absorption and emission spectra.

The pD values provided here present the analog of the pH in D_2O solution. These values differ from pH due to the different dissociation constants of H_2O and D_2O . They can be obtained from pH measurements with a glass electrode in D_2O solution according to eq. 1.⁴⁰

$$pD = pH_{reading} + 0.40 \qquad (eq. 1)$$

Molecular-dynamics (MD) simulations. The MD simulations were performed using the density-functional tight-binding approach ⁴¹⁻⁴³ in a self-consistent charge extension.⁴⁴ The cubic simulation box (a = 0.99 nm) contained 32 H₂O or D₂O molecules, respectively. A 30 ps equilibration phase was followed by a 30 ps production run with a time step of 0.25 fs at 300 K. Snapshots were taken every 10 fs, which were analysed with respect to hydrogen bonds (distance criterion: 0.25 nm) as previously reported.^{45, 46}

Results and discussion

Surface chemistry and spectroscopic properties of TGA- and MPAstabilized CdTe

The CdTe SC NCs were characterized by TEM, ICP-OES, the photometric Ellman's test as well as steady state and timeresolved fluorometry. The absorption and emission spectra are illustrated in Figure 1 and the relevant analytical and spectroscopic properties are summarized in Table 1. As follows from these data, the sizes of the TGA- and MPA-stabilized CdTe samples T2 and M2 and T3 and M3 are comparable, typical TEM images are provided in Figure 1S (in the Supporting Information, *SI*). With PL QY values between 41 and 57 %, our CdTe NCs reveal the moderate to high PL QYs to be expected for high quality CdTe colloids.



Figure 1. Normalized absorbtion (dotted lines)- and emission spectra (solid lines) of CdTe-TGA NC samples T2 and T3 and CdTe-MPA NC samples M2 and M3 in water (pH 9). Excitation was at 500 nm. The absorption spectra shown present the wavelength dependence of the measured absorbance.

Influence of pH

ARTICLE

The PL properties of TGA- and MPA-stabilized CdTe colloids are considerably influenced by pH as shown in Figure 2 for the colloids T2 and M2, with the type of thiol ligand affecting pH-induced spectral and intensity changes.

In the case of TGA-stabilized CdTe T2, the spectral position and shape of the emission spectra are not affected by pH in the alkaline pH range. As follows from Figure 2 (top panel), at pH 7.4 and pH 6.6, the lowest pH values where we could still observe luminescence, the luminescence was slightly blue shifted by 2 nm and 4 nm, respectively. This blue shift was also seen in the absorption spectra (Figure 4S) and may provide a hint for particle oxidation as result of ligand desorption.⁴⁷ No emission was detectable at pH \leq 6.6.

Table 1. Characterization of the TGA- and MPA-stabilized CdTe NCs T2, T3, M2 and M3: SC NC sizes obtained from sizing curves (representatively controlled by TEM), spectroscopic properties, and average ligand densities derived from ICP-OES and the Ellman's test.

Properties	CdTe-TGA T2	CdTe-TGA T3	CdTe-MPA M2	CdTe-MPA M3
Diameter [nm] First	3.3 ± 0.1	3.8 ± 0.1	3.7 ± 0.1	3.5 ± 0.1
excitonic max. [nm]	600 ± 6	630 ± 6	625 ± 6	610 ± 6
Emission max. [nm]	635 ± 2	663 ± 2	685 ± 2	666 ± 2
FWHM _{em} [nm]	49.6 ± 0.3	56.9 ± 1.2	82.2 ± 0.6	76.7 ± 0.4
PL QY [%]	57 ± 3	47 ± 3	42 ± 3	41 ± 3
Ligands per SC NC	173 ± 17	154 ± 15	402 ± 40	337 ± 34



Figure 2. Emission spectra of TGA-stabilized CdTe T2 (top) and MPA-stabilized CdTe M2 (bottom) at constant concentration and different pH in water. Excitation was at 500 nm.

At pH 4.6, the absorption spectra of TGA-stabilized CdTe show a slight red shift, the absorption starts do decrease, and the dispersion starts to scatter. This suggests protonation-induced

PL quenching, accompanied by (and maybe also related to) the onset of particle agglomeration.

In contrast, for the MPA-stabilized CdTe sample M2, a reduction in pH is accompanied by a slight decrease in PL intensity for pH values below 8.4. This diminution in PL intensity becomes more pronounced for pH values \leq 6.6 (Figure 2, lower panel) and is accompanied by a considerable red shift in emission. The absorption spectra, however, are barely affected by pH in the range of 9.8 to 4.8 (see *SI*, Figure 4S, right panel) and the dispersion remains transparent. The red shift in emission might be due to an increased contribution of PL originated from surface states. Below pH 4.8, also for MPA-stabilized CdTe NCs, an acidic pH induces particle growth and a change in the particle size distribution, yet the particles remain luminescent even at acidic pH values up to 4.8 and are still colloidally stable. At pH values < 4.8, also MPA-capped CdTe NCs aggregate and become nonemissive.

Figure 3 compares the pH dependence of the TGA- and MPAstabilized CdTe samples, revealing a diminution in PL QY with decreasing pH for both ligands. While for CdTe-TGA (T2), no emission was detectable at pH \leq 6.6, the CdTe-MPA sample (M2) was still luminescent at pH 4.8. Moreover, for MPAstabilized CdTe, the PL QY remained constant above pH 8.4 whereas the PL QY of TGA-capped CdTe steadily increased with increasing pH until pH 9.8. Although PL QY was not measured at more alkaline pH values, considering the slope of the pHdependent PL QY in comparison to that of the CdTe-MPA sample (M2) shown in Figure 3, maximum PL QY can be expected for a pH of about 10.



Figure 3. pH dependence of PL QY of TGA- and MPA-stabilized CdTe T2 and M2 in water. For comparison, the maximum PL QYs are set to 1. The initial PL QY values are given in Table 1. Excitation was at 500 nm.

Our observation of a pH-dependent PL QY agrees well with findings from other groups which observed a similar behavior for CdSe and CdTe NCs stabilized with MPA and other thiolate ligands. For example, Gao et al. observed a higher PL QY at higher, i.e., alkaline pH for TGA-stabilized CdTe,⁴⁸ and Zhang et. al. demonstrated an improved stability of MPA-capped SC NCs at decreasing pH compared to a TGA surface modification.⁴⁹ In a recent study of TGA- and MPA-stabilized CdHgTe colloids, we also found a decrease in PL QY with decreasing pH for TGA- and MPA-capped CdHgTe SC NCs. For these materials, the PL changes are more pronounced for

TGA,¹⁴ yet the observed effects were still smaller than the changes occurring for our TGA- and MPA-stabilized CdTe of closely matching size. This underlines the influence of the strength of the ligand-surface atom bond on such dependencies, as the strength of the Hg²⁺-thiol bond exceeds that of the Cd²⁺-thiol bond. We ascribe the pH dependence of the PL properties of our TGA- and MPA-functionalized CdTe colloids to a combination of effects, with main contributions arising from the pK₂ values of the thiol and carboxylic acid groups of the stabilizing ligands and the onset of particle aggregation and in this respect, also from the ligand shell and the overall number of ligands present in solution. With a pK_a of 3.6, the carboxylic group of the TGA ligand is slightly more acidic than the carboxylic group of MPA (pK_a of 4.32). The pK_a of the thiol groups of TGA and MPA shows the same picture with values of 10.56 and 10.84, respectively.⁵⁰ The improved colloidal stability of the MPA-functionalized particles compared to TGA-capped CdTe is most likely related to the ca. twofold higher ligand density of the MPA-functionalized SC NCs as revealed by the results of the Ellman's test (see also dilution studies in the next section). Obviously, MPA provides a better stabilization at lower pH values and is more favorable for the preservation of PL at lower pH values than TGA. Moreover, our results underline the importance of ligand analysis.

Influence of SC NC concentration on PL QY in H₂O and D₂O

Dilution studies of the TGA- and MPA-stabilized CdTe colloids in H_2O and D_2O solutions at a constant pH of 9.0 shown in Figures 4 revealed a decrease of PL QY for both thiol ligands in H_2O for absorbances < 0.01 (concentrations below 2×10^{-7} M). TGA-stabilized colloid T2 seems to be slightly more prone to dilution-induced fluorescence quenching than MPA-capped CdTe NCs M2. A similar reduction in PLQY was previously observed by us for other TGA-stabilized CdTe colloids, with quenching effects depending on SC NC size and hence, surfaceto-volume ratio, and on the thickness and morphology of the ligand shell.⁸ In contrast, dilution with D₂O at a pD value of 9.0 did not affect the PL QY within our measurement uncertainty of 5 %. Moreover, with values of 60 % (T2) and 45 % (M2), the PL QYs of both colloids in D₂O were slightly higher compared to water. In the literature on II/VI and IV/VI SC NCs, there are various, sometimes even contradictory reports on the quenching and enhancement of the PL of CdSe, CdSe/ZnS, CdTe, and PbS stabilized with different capping agents in different environments and in the presence of various additives.^{10, 51-55} For example, the emission spectra, PL QY, and PL lifetimes of CdSe/ZnS NCs can be affected by the presence of water molecules (humidity), oxygen (as electron acceptor and by energy transfer), and by other electron donors and acceptors. Common explanations for such effects include the passivation of surface states, photoinduced or chemically induced surface transformation or the rearrangement of capping agents on SCNC surfaces, neutralization of local charged centers inside and outside of SC NCs, photoionization and charge transfer between SC NCs and surface ligands. For example, static quenching of the luminescence of CdSe/Zns SC NCs, stabilized with a mixture of trioctylphosphine oxide, 4hexadecylamine, and 11-mercaptoundecanoic acid by differently substituted thiol ligands has been reported, with the extent of the PL diminution depending on the size and

ARTICLE

charge of the water soluble thiol.⁵⁶ Here, PL quenching was mainly ascribed to charge transfer between the SC NCs and the thiols. Although there exist only very few studies comparing H_2O and D_2O , differences in the influence on PL between both solvents have been reported for e.g., CdSe/ZnS NC colloids, which were attributed to water solvation and stabilization of a charged surface state.⁵¹ We ascribe our findings to two effects or their combination as highlighted in Scheme 1: a shift of the ligand adsorption-desorption equilibrium to the adsorption side, i.e., to the surface-coordinated thiol ligands, by D_2O compared to H_2O and/or the quenching of the fluorescence of SC NCs with partly removed surface ligands by H_2O molecules.



Scheme 1. a) Schematic presentation of the surface of TGA-capped (left) and MPA-capped (right) CdTe NCs; b) Schematic presentation of the influence of solvent molecules on the surface of TGA- and MPA-capped CdTe NCs dispersed in H_2O (left) and in D_2O (right). These schematic presentations are not intended to show the actual angle between the CdTe surface and the thiol ligand.



Figure 4. Left: Normalized PL QYs of TGA- and MPA-stabilized CdTe colloids (T2; M2) in H_2O (pH 9.0) as a function of SC NC concentration. The highest PL QY was set to 1. The initial PL QY values are given in Table 1. Excitation was at 500 nm. Right: Normalized PL QYs of TGA- and MPA-stabilized CdTe colloids (T2; M2) in D_2O (pD 9.4) as function of SC NC concentration. The highest PL QY was set to 1. The initial PL QY values in D_2O are 60 % (T2) and 45 % (M2), respectively. Excitation was at 500 nm.

Our findings of a different influence of H_2O and D_2O on the PL properties of TGA- and MPA-stabilized CdTe encouraged us to perform MD simulations of both solvents for studying their hydrogen bond network. As described in the *SI*, these simulations revealed further differences. Apparently, D_2O exhibits 25 % less deuterium-bond changes during a simulation time of 30 ps than hydrogen-bond changes occurring in H_2O . This correlates with a slightly longer deuterium-bond lifetime.

Journal Name

Page 6 of 10

Both effects are caused by the mass differences between deuterium and hydrogen. This affects the vibrational frequencies of both solvents as well, a fact, which is often utilized to identify water-related quenching of the luminescence of molecular and nanoscale lanthanide emitters.^{57, 58} The fact that the deuterium-bond network is longer lasting enables different interactions with the ligands at the SC NC surface, which is reflected by different PL QYs. This suggests that a longer-lasting deuterium-bond can favor a more compact ligand shell and hence, a better passivation of surface defects.

Combined precipitation and dilution studies

For a better understanding of the dilution studies and to demonstrate the influence of dilution on the adsorption/desorption equilibrium and on the optical properties, exemplarily precipitation and dilution experiments were performed. In a first step, the amount of ligands required for SC NC stabilization was assessed. For this purpose, we representatively precipitated as synthesized CdTe-MPA colloid M3 by addition of EtOH, separated the SC NCs from excess ligand in solution by decantation and determined the amount of ligand in the filtrate and bound to the still redispersible colloid by ICP-OES. Our results revealed that in the case of M3, half of the amount of MPA initially applied for SC NC synthesis remained in the filtrate, i.e., in solution. Upon a second washing step, 13 % of the remaining ligand shell was removed from the particles, which were nevertheless still redispersible and colloidally stable. More than three precipitation and redispersion steps (PRSs) could not be realized.



6 | J. Name., 2012, 00, 1-3

Figure 6. Normalized absorbtion (dotted lines) and emission spectra (solid lines) of TGA-capped CdTe in H₂O (upper panel) and D₂O (lower panel) after different precipitation–redispersion steps (PRS; PRS 1-3). Excitation was at 480 nm. The stock solution is the assynthesized colloid. Absorbances of 0.024 at λ_{ex} were used in both cases equaling a particle concentration of 2.2×10⁻⁷ M. The absorption spectra shown present the wavelength dependence of the measured absorbance.

Subsequently, we performed dilution studies with TGA- and MPA-stabilized CdTe samples T3 and M3 and assessed the spectroscopic properties of these SCNCs after repeated precipitation with EtOH and subsequent redispersion in H_2O and D₂O. Figure 6 compares the spectral changes in absorption and emission resulting for TGA-capped samples after successive cycles of precipitation and redispersion (PRS; PRS 1-3) in H₂O (upper panel) and D₂O (lower panel). As follows from this figure, the changes in the emission of TGA-stabilized CdTe are solvent dependent. In H₂O, the emission band shifts to the red with each PRS cycle. In contrast, in D₂O, the spectral position of the PL of TGA-capped CdTe does not change by successive PRS after the first precipitation from the aqueous stock solution of the as synthesized SC NC. The corresponding absorption spectra of TGA-capped CdTe in H₂O and D₂O are barely affected by successive PRS. The scattering, indicated by an increase in absorbance in the wavelength region of its onset, observed for both samples after three PRS (data not shown), which is accompanied by a slight red shift of the absorption onset, provides hints for Ostwald ripening, particle aggregation, and decrease in colloidal stability. In contrast, in the case of MPA-capped CdTe (data not shown), the absorption spectra and the spectral position of the emission maximum are not affected by the increasing number of PRSs in H₂O and D₂O. This underlines the beneficial impact of MPA stabilization and the influence of the solvent, i.e., its hydrogen bond network.

Subsequently, we performed dilution studies with our TGAand MPA-stabilized CdTe colloids after each precipitation step, thereby varying the absorbance at the excitation wavelength between 0.01 and 0.10 and hence, the particle concentration in the range of 1×10^{-6} to 1×10^{-7} M. The changes in emission and PL QY resulting for both colloids are summarized in Figure 7 (inset: emission spectra; H_2O : blue symbols and curves; D_2O : black symbols and curves) for an exemplarily chosen absorbance of 0.024 equaling a particle concentration of 2.2×10^{-7} M and shown in the SI (see Figure 2S) for different particle concentrations and PRSs. As follows from Figure 2S, the PL QY is independent of the particle concentration in the range of 1×10⁻⁶ to 1×10⁻⁷ M for each precipitation step. As shown in Figure 7 and Figure 2S (right panel), the PL QY of the TGA-stabilized CdTe sample decreased with each PRS in both solvents whereas the PL QY of the MPA-capped CdTe colloid (SI, Figure 2S, left panel) remained constant even after three PRSs in H_2O and in D_2O in the chosen absorbance/concentration range. Moreover, the emission spectra of TGA-stabilized CdTe shifted to the red (Figure 7, inset), thereby reflecting the behavior shown in Figure 6 (upper panel). In contrast, the emission of MPA-capped CdTe did not change its spectral position upon dilution (see Figure 7, inset, and also Figure 6, lower panel). We ascribe the diminution in PLQY resulting for TGA-capped CdTe to the increasing loss of surface ligands accompanying each PRS,

which favors the nonradiative recombination of charge carriers. The fact that the PL QY of MPA-stabilized CdTe is barely affected by up to three PRSs is attributed to the denser initial surface coverage provided by MPA, exceeding that of TGA by a factor of about 2, possibly in conjunction with a higher binding constant of this thiol to the CdTe surface atoms.



Figure 7. PL QY of TGA-stabilized CdTe samples as a function of precipitation steps with EtOH and redispersion in H₂O (blue) or D₂O (black). The samples were excited at 480 nm and their absorbance at λ_{ex} was held constant at 0.024 equaling a particle concentration of 2.2×10⁻⁷ M.

In order to assess the influence of pH/pD on such dilution studies, we subsequently performed dilution cycles solely with the more stable MPA-capped CdTe M3 at acidic pD in D₂O (pD 6.4) and recorded PL decay curves. The lifetimes calculated from these decay curves, which are summarized in Figure 8, represent the time after which the initial fluorescence intensity decreased to the 1/e part of its maximum value. Although the luminescence decay curves of SC NC are occasionally fitted with a double exponential fit, with the resulting decay components being assigned e.g., to the recombination of core states and radiative carrier recombination associated with the involvement of surface states,^{59, 60} we favor here this simple, yet in our opinion more physically meaningful model for SC NC with a distribution of sizes and surface states. An analysis of the lifetime data with stretched exponential fits, yielding decay rate probability functions, as suggested by some authors to obtain a more descriptive picture of the results from time-resolved PL studies with SC NC,⁶¹⁻⁶³ is shown in the SI. In addition to timeresolved PL measurements, the PL QY was determined as previously described.



Figure 8. PL QY and 1/e fluorescence lifetime of CdTe-MPA sample M3 upon dilution in D_2O at pD 6.4. Inset: Corresponding decay curves. Excitation was at 500 nm. The dashed line presents a guide for the eye only.

As follows from Figure 8, at acidic pD values, also the PL QY and the PL lifetime of CdTe-MPA becomes concentrationdependent, with a diminution in fluorescence resulting for particle concentrations $< 5 \times 10^{-7}$ M. A strong change in fluorescence intensity accompanied by an unchanged or barely influenced fluorescence lifetime provides a hint for an inhomogeneous quenching (some emitters are quenched while others remain unaffected) whereas a diminution of the fluorescence quantum yield accompanied by a reduction in emission lifetime as observed here presents an indicator for homogeneous quenching, with all emitters being similarly affected.⁶⁴

Conclusion and Outlook

In summary, the influence of pH, particle concentration, and redispersion-dilution cycles on the colloidal stability and photoluminescence properties of a set of analytically well characterized CdTe colloids stabilized with the monodentate thiols TGA and MPA were systematically assessed in water and D_2O using steady state and time-resolved fluorometry. Our results clearly demonstrate ligand control of the pHdependence, of the colloidal stability and PL behavior, i.e., the extent of the pH-related spectral shifts in emission and fluorescence quenching. Moreover, we stress the importance of hydrogen bonding and the stability of the thiol-surface atom bond for the PL properties of ligand-capped SC NCs. MPAstabilized CdTe colloids revealed an improved stability and a reduced sensitivity to luminescence quenching. The pH dependence of the PL properties of our TGA- and MPAfunctionalized CdTe colloids is controlled by different parameters including the pK_a values of the thiol and carboxylic acid groups of the capping ligands, the ligand shell itself, and the overall number of ligands present in solution from particle synthesis. This highlights the importance of ligand analysis necessary for the knowledge of the overall ligand concentration and the number of ligands per SC NC for SC NC stability control and optimum PL properties.

Our findings provide straightforward tools to compare the quality and performance of CdTe SC NCs functionalized with small monodentate thiol ligands like TGA and MPA, which are

the most commonly used stabilizing ligands for these SC NC. Our approach can be most likely transferred to other SC NC and ligand systems in the future. This can be beneficial for the design of SC NCs with improved PL properties. In this respect, we will assess also the size dependence of these effects and perform similar studies with CdTe NCs stabilized with other thiols.

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) projects EY16/14-3 and RE1203/12-3, the M-era-Net project ICENAP, and the Cluster of Excellence 'Center for Advancing Electronics Dresden' (cfaed). Computational time was granted by the Center for Information Services and High Performance Computing (ZIH) of TU Dresden for project QDSIM. We thank Christine Damm (IFW Dresden e.V.) for assistance in performing the TEM imaging and S. Hatami and N. Esendemir for help with the analytical studies.

Abbreviations (in order of appearance)

рН	Negative logarithm of acid concentration
рD	pH equivalent for deuterated solutions
SC	Semiconductor
NC	Nanocrystal
TGA	Thioglycolic acid
MPA	Mercaptopropionic acid
PL	Photoluminescence
QY	Quantum yield
HOMO	Highest occupied molecular orbital
LUMO	Lowest unoccupied molecular orbital
TG	1-thioglycerol
MA	amine-terminated 1-thioglycerol
NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance
DTNB	5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid)
EDTA	ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
Т	Temperature
TCSPC	Time-correlated single-photon counting
ICP-OES	Inductively coupled plasma-optical emission
	spectroscopy
MD	Molecular dynamics
PRS	Precipitation step
ex	Excitation
em	Emission

References

- D. V. Talapin, J. S. Lee, M. V. Kovalenko and E. V. Shevchenko, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 389.
 - Y. C. Wang, R. Hu, G. M. Lin, I. Roy and K. T. Yong, *Acs Applied Materials & Interfaces*, 2013, **5**, 2786-2799.

1.

2

Journal Name

31.

37.

38.

39.

40.

41.

42.

43.

44.

45.

46.

47.

48.

49.

50.

51.

52.

53.

54.

55.

56.

58.

59.

60.

61.

62.

Journal Name

- 3. M. V. Kovalenko, L. Manna, A. Cabot, Z. Hens, D. V. Talapin, C. R. Kagan, V. I. Klimov, A. L. Rogach, P. Reiss, D. J. Milliron, P. Guyot-Sionnnest, G. Konstantatos, W. J. Parak, T. Hyeon, B. A. Korgel, C. B. Murray and W. Heiss, ACS Nano, 2015, 9, 1012-1057
- 4. D. A. Hines and P. V. Kamat, Acs Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2014, 6, 3041-3057.
- 5. M. D. Peterson, L. C. Cass, R. D. Harris, K. Edme, K. Sung and E. A. Weiss, in Annual Review of Physical Chemistry, Vol 65, eds. M. A. Johnson and T. J. Martinez, 2014, vol. 65, pp. 317-339.
- 6. A. M. Munro, I. J. L. Plante, M. S. Ng and D. S. Ginger, Journal 36. of Physical Chemistry C, 2007, 111, 6220-6227.
- 7. M. D. Garrett, A. D. Dukes, J. R. McBride, N. J. Smith, S. J. Pennycook and S. J. Rosenthal, Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2008, 112, 12736-12746.
- M. Grabolle, M. Spieles, V. Lesnyak, N. Gaponik, A. Eychmuller 8. and U. Resch-Genger, Analytical Chemistry, 2009, 81, 6285-6294
- 9. K. E. Knowles, M. T. Frederick, D. B. Tice, A. J. Morris-Cohen and E. A. Weiss, Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters, 2012, 3, 18-26
- 10. J. Aldana, Y. A. Wang and X. Peng, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2001, 123, 8844-8850.
- 11. A. J. Morris-Cohen, M. Malicki, M. D. Peterson, J. W. J. Slavin and E. A. Weiss, Chemistry of Materials, 2013, 25, 1155-1165.
- A. Mandal and N. Tamai, Applied Physics Letters, 2011, 99. 12.
- 13. Y. Shen, R. Tan, M. Y. Gee and A. B. Greytak, Acs Nano, 2015, 9, 3345-3359
- 14. S. Leubner, R. Schneider, A. Dubavik, S. Hatami, N. Gaponik, U. Resch-Genger and A. Eychmuller, Journal of Materials Chemistry C, 2014, 2, 5011-5018.
- 15. S. Leubner, S. Hatami, N. Esendemir, T. Lorenz, J. O. Joswig, V. Lesnyak, S. Recknagel, N. Gaponik, U. Resch-Genger and A. Eychmuller, Dalton Transactions, 2013, 42, 12733-12740.
- 16. J. Ziegler, A. Merkulov, M. Grabolle, U. Resch-Genger and T. Nann, Langmuir, 2007, 23, 7751-7759.
- S. H. Xu, C. L. Wang, Z. Y. Wang, H. S. Zhang, J. Yang, Q. Y. 17. Xu, H. B. Shao, R. Q. Li, W. Lei and Y. P. Cui, Nanotechnology, 2011, 22
- J. Park, J. Nam, N. Won, H. Jin, S. Jung, S. Jung, S. H. Cho and 18. S. Kim, Advanced Functional Materials, 2011, 21, 1558-1566.
- 19. N. Zhan, G. Palui, A. Kapur, V. Palomo, P. E. Dawson and H. Mattoussi, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2015, DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b10359.
- 20. N. Q. Zhan, G. Palui and H. Mattoussi, Nature Protocols, 2015, 10, 859-874.
- G. Palui, F. Aldeek, W. T. Wang and H. Mattoussi, Chemical 21. Society Reviews, 2015, 44, 193-227.
- V. Lesnyak, N. Gaponik and A. Eychmueller, Chemical Society 22 Reviews, 2013, 42, 2905-2929.
- 23 A. L. Rogach, T. Franzl, T. A. Klar, J. Feldmann, N. Gaponik, V. Lesnyak, A. Shavel, A. Eychmuller, Y. P. Rakovich and J. F. Donegan, Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2007, 111, 14628-14637.
- 24. N. Gaponik, D. V. Talapin, A. L. Rogach, K. Hoppe, E. V. Shevchenko, A. Kornowski, A. Eychmuller and H. Weller, Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 2002, 106, 7177-7185.
- 25. I. Moreels, J. C. Martins and Z. Hens, Sensors and Actuators B: 57. Chemical, 2007, 126, 283-288.
- 26. I. Moreels, B. Fritzinger, J. C. Martins and Z. Hens, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2008, 130, 15081-15086.
- 27 B. Fritzinger, I. Moreels, P. Lommens, R. Koole, Z. Hens and J. C. Martins, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2009, 131, 3024-3032.
- 28. A. Hassinen, I. Moreels, C. de Mello Donegá, J. C. Martins and Z. Hens, The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters, 2010, 1, 2577-2581
- B. Fritzinger, R. K. Capek, K. Lambert, J. C. Martins and Z. 29 Hens, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2010, 132, 10195-10201
- 30. R. Gomes, A. Hassinen, A. Szczygiel, Q. Zhao, A. Vantomme, J. C. Martins and Z. Hens, The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters, 2011, 2, 145-152.

- W. W. Yu, L. H. Qu, W. Z. Guo and X. G. Peng, Chemistry of Materials, 2003, 15, 2854-2860.
- X. Ji, D. Copenhaver, C. Sichmeller and X. Peng, Journal of the 32. American Chemical Society, 2008, 130, 5726-5735.
- K. D. Mielenz, E. D. Cehelnik and R. L. McKenzie, Journal of 33. Chemical Physics, 1976, 64, 370-374.
- 34. C. Würth, M. Grabolle, J. Pauli, M. Spieles and U. Resch-Genger, Nature Protocols, 2013, 8, 1535-1550. 35.
 - C. Würth, D. Geißler and U. Resch-Genger, Zeitschrift für Physikalische Chemie, 2015, 229, 153-165.
 - U. Resch-Genger and P. C. DeRose, Pure and Applied Chemistry, 2012, 84, 1815-1835.
 - T. Felbeck, T. Behnke, K. Hoffmann, M. Grabolle, M. M. Lezhnina, U. H. Kynast and U. Resch-Genger, Langmuir, 2013, 29, 11489-11497.
 - G. L. Ellman, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 1959, 82, 70-77.
 - M. Moser, T. Behnke, C. Hamers-Allin, K. Klein-Hartwig, J. Falkenhagen and U. Resch-Genger, Analytical Chemistry, 2015, 87. 9376-9383.
 - P. K. Glasoe and F. A. Long, The Journal of Physical Chemistry, 1960, 64, 188-190.
 - D. Porezag, T. Frauenheim, T. Köhler, G. Seifert and R. Kaschner, Physical Review B, 1995, 51, 12947-12957.
 - G. Seifert, D. Porezag and T. Frauenheim, International Journal of Quantum Chemistry, 1996, 58, 185-192.
 - G. Seifert and J.-O. Joswig, Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Molecular Science, 2012, 2, 456-465.
 - M. Elstner, D. Porezag, G. Jungnickel, J. Elsner, M. Haugk, T. Frauenheim, S. Suhai and G. Seifert, Physical Review B, 1998, 58, 7260-7268
 - J. O. Joswig and G. Seifert, Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 2009, 113, 8475-8480.
 - W. L. Cavalcanti, D. F. Portaluppi and J. O. Joswig, Journal of Chemical Physics, 2010, 133.
 - Y. Zhang, J. He, P.-N. Wang, J.-Y. Chen, Z.-J. Lu, D.-R. Lu, J. Guo, C.-C. Wang and W.-L. Yang, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2006, 128, 13396-13401.
 - M. Gao, S. Kirstein, H. Möhwald, A. L. Rogach, A. Kornowski, A. Eychmüller and H. Weller, The Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 1998, 102, 8360-8363.
 - H. Zhang, Z. Zhou, B. Yang and M. Gao, The Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 2003, 107, 8-13.
 - S. Xu, C. Wang, H. Zhang, Z. Wang, B. Yang and Y. Cui,
 - Nanotechnology, 2011, **22**, 315703. K. Pechstedt, T. Whittle, J. Baumberg and T. Melvin, *Journal of* Physical Chemistry C, 2010, 114, 12069-12077.
 - J. Muller, J. M. Lupton, A. L. Rogach, J. Feldmann, D. V. Talapin and H. Weller, Applied Physics Letters, 2004, 85, 381-383.
 - A. C. S. Samia, X. Chen and C. Burda, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2003, 125, 15736-15737
 - S. W. Buckner, R. L. Konold and P. A. Jelliss, Chemical Physics Letters, 2004, 394, 400-404.
 - S. Hatami, C. Wurth, M. Kaiser, S. Leubner, S. Gabriel, L. Bahrig, V. Lesnyak, J. Pauli, N. Gaponik, A. Eychmuller and U. Resch-Genger, Nanoscale, 2015, 7, 133-143.
 - V. V. Breus, C. D. Heyes and G. U. Nienhaus, The Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2007, 111, 18589-18594.
 - S. Wilhelm, M. Kaiser, C. Wurth, J. Heiland, C. Carrillo-Carrion, V. Muhr, O. S. Wolfbeis, W. J. Parak, U. Resch-Genger and T. Hirsch, Nanoscale, 2015, 7, 1403-1410.
 - R. Arppe, I. Hyppanen, N. Perala, R. Peltomaa, M. Kaiser, C. Wurth, S. Christ, U. Resch-Genger, M. Schaferling and T. Soukka, Nanoscale, 2015, 7, 11746-11757.
 - K. Zhao, J. Li, H. Wang, J. Zhuang and W. Yang, The Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2007, 111, 5618-5621.
 - S. J. Byrne, S. A. Corr, T. Y. Rakovich, Y. K. Gun'ko, Y. P. Rakovich, J. F. Donegan, S. Mitchell and Y. Volkov, *Journal of* Materials Chemistry, 2006, 16, 2896-2902.
 - G. Zatryb, A. Podhorodecki, J. Misiewicz, J. Cardin and F. Gourbilleau, Nanoscale Res Lett, 2011, 6, 106.
 - A. Van Driel, I. Nikolaev, P. Vergeer, P. Lodahl, D. Vanmaekelbergh and W. Vos, Physical review B, 2007, 75, 035329.

ARTICLE

Journal Name

Page 10 of 10

- 63. R. Saito and K. Murayama, *Solid state communications*, 1987, **63**, 625-627.
- 64. N. C. Bigall, W. J. Parak and D. Dorfs, *Nano Today*, 2012, 7, 282-296.

10 | J. Name., 2012, 00, 1-3