PCCP

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the **Ethical guidelines** still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/pccp

# Surface hopping investigation of benzophenone excited state dynamics

Lucilla Favero† , Giovanni Granucci‡ and Maurizio Persico‡

†Universit`a di Pisa, Dipartimento di Farmacia via Bonanno Pisano 33, 56126 Pisa, Italy

 $\frac{1}{4}$ Università di Pisa, Dipartimento di Chimica e Chimica Industriale, via Moruzzi 13, 56124 Pisa, Italy

#### Abstract

We present a simulation of the photodynamics of benzophenone for the first 20 ps after  $n \rightarrow$ π ∗ excitation, performed by trajectory surface hopping calculations with on the fly semiempirical determination of the potential energy surfaces and electronic wavefunctions. Both the dynamic and the spin-orbit couplings are taken into account, and the time-resolved fluorescence emission is also simulated. The computed decay time of the  $S_1$  state is in agreement with experimental observations [13, 14]. The direct  $S_1 \rightarrow T_1$  InterSystem Crossing (ISC) accounts for about  $2/3$ of the  $S_1$  decay rate. The remaining  $1/3$  goes through  $T_2$  or higher triplets. The nonadiabatic transitions within the triplet manifold are much faster than the ISC and keep the population of  $T_1$  at about 3/4 of the total triplet population, and that of the others states (mainly  $T_2$ ) at 1/4. Two internal coordinates are vibrationally active immediately after  $n \to \pi^*$  excitation: one is the C=O stretching and the other one is a combination of the conrotatory torsion of the phenyl rings and of the bending involving the carbonyl C atom. The period of the torsion-bending mode coincides with oscillations in the time-resolved photoelectron spectra of Spighi et al [14] and substantially confirms their assignment.

Keywords: Benzophenone - Nonadiabatic dynamics - InterSystem Crossing - Surface Hopping - Spin-orbit coupling

### 1 Introduction

The photoexcited benzophenone chromophore shows an efficient intersystem crossing (ISC), relaxing to the triplet states with a quantum yield close to 1 [1]. For this reason it is widely used in photochemistry as a triplet sensitizer [2], and may induce DNA damage [3, 4]. It is moreover commonly used as UV blocker [5]. Benzophenone derivatives have been proposed [6] as constituents of organic light emitting diodes (OLEDs) and, thanks to their high photoluminescence quantum yield and large Stokes shift, could be good candidates for the the design of organic luminescent solar concentrators [7] (LSCs).

The  $S_1(n \to \pi^*)$  state of benzophenone gives rise to a broad and weak absorption band, with  $\lambda_{max}$  at about 345 nm in gas phase [8], corresponding to an excitation energy  $\Delta E_{exc} = 3.6$  eV. The mechanism of ISC from  $S_1$  to  $T_1$  after  $n \to \pi^*$  excitation is still a matter of debate, particularly concerning the role of higher lying triplet states. Shah et al. [9] performed transient absorption experiments on benzophenone in solution (acetonitrile) with  $\Delta E_{exc} = 4.64$  and 3.70 eV, corresponding respectively to excitation in the  $\pi \to \pi^*$ and in the upper energy end of the  $n \to \pi^*$  band. In both cases a lifetime for the  $S_1 \to T_1$ ISC of ∼ 10 ps is obtained by fitting the rise of the transient absorption signal at 530 nm, which roughly corresponds to the  $\lambda_{max}$  of  $T_1$ . This is in agreement with older results obtained in acetonitrile, benzene and ethanol [10–12] while a slightly larger ISC lifetime of 16–18 ps was reported in isooctane [11, 12].

The  $S_1 \rightarrow T_1$  decay of benzophenone in solution was thoroughly reinvestigated by Aloïse et al. [13] with transient absorption experiments considering various solvents and excitation energies. After excitation in the lower energy end of the  $n \to \pi^*$  band ( $\Delta E_{exc}$ ) 3.24 eV), the transient signals at 570 and 525 nm, corresponding respectively to the  $\lambda_{max}$ of  $S_1$  and  $T_1$ , were fitted. In acetonitrile they obtained a lifetime for the  $S_1$  decay of 17 ps, while the increase of the  $T_1$  signal gave 9.4 ps. Slightly longer times were obtained for the  $T_1$  growth in methanol and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (11.6 and 11.8 ps, respectively). With  $\Delta E_{exc} = 4.64$ eV in acetonitrile, roughly the same lifetime of  $\sim$  10 ps was obtained for the decay of  $S_1$  (but fitting the transient absorption at 330 nm) and the growth of  $T_1$ . These raw data were further mathematically analyzed, and a two step kinetic model was found  $S_1 \rightarrow IS \rightarrow T_1$ , were IS is an intermediate state, not fully identified. The characteristic times for the transitions  $S_1 \to IS$  and  $IS \to T_1$  were reported to be ~ 6 ps and ~ 10 ps respectively, largely independent of the solvent and of the excitation energy.

Very recently Spighi et al. [14] performed time resolved photoelectron spectroscopy experiments for benzophenone in a supersonic jet and deposited on cold argon clusters, with  $\Delta E_{exc} = 4.66$  eV. The time constants for the  $S_1 \rightarrow T_1$  ISC were found to depend on the environment: 5 ps for the free molecule and 20 ps for deposited benzophenone. This has been interpreted as a signature of the presence of a threshold energy to reach the  $S_1/T_1$  intersection from the  $S_1$  minimum. Strong oscillations with a period of 550 fs in the decay of the photoelectron intensity were observed, and attributed to the totalsymmetric ring torsional motion in  $S_1$ .

In the present work we investigate the photodynamics of isolated benzophenone after  $n \to \pi^*$  excitation by nonadiabatic molecular dynamics simulations, in the framework of the surface hopping method [15]. The spin orbit interaction is included and evaluated on the fly during the dynamics, so that both internal conversion (IC) and ISC processes can be accounted for in our simulations [16–18]. Our aim is to clarify the mechanism of the  $S_1 \rightarrow T_1$  decay, in particular concerning the role of higher lying triplet states.

## 2 Potential energy surfaces

The electronic potential energy surfaces (PES) and couplings considered in the nonadiabatic dynamics calculations have been obtained using a semiempirical reparameterized AM1 Hamiltonian, with the Floating Occupation Molecular Orbitals Configurations Interaction (FOMO-CI) ansatz [15]. In particular, the CI subspace selected comprised 76 determinants, and was generated from an active space of 10 MO and 10 electrons, considering first a full CI of 6 MO and 10 electrons and then adding the single excitations (generated from the doubly occupation determinant) involving the remaining 4 virtual orbitals. Three of the active MOs belonged to the carbonyl group (the highest non-bonding MO  $n_0$  plus  $\pi_{\text{CO}}$  and  $\pi_{\text{CO}}^*$ ), and seven to the phenyl rings (three bonding MOs,  $\pi_{ring}$  and four antibonding,  $\pi^*_{ring}$ ). A Gaussian width for floating occupation of 0.1 Hartree was chosen.



Figure 1: Benzophenone. The numbering of phenyl carbon atoms is shown.

The improved set of semiempirical parameters was obtained by minimizing the quadratic errors with respect to given target values, according to the procedure fully described in ref. [19]. Mainly experimental data were used as targets, as shown in Table S1 of Supporting Information. The new semiempirical parameters for carbon, hydrogen and oxygen issued from the fit are shown in Table S2. Note that two different set of parameters were produced for carbonylic and aromatic carbon atoms. Our reparameterization was per-

Table 1: Equilibrium geometries. Distances in Å, angles in degrees.  $\theta$  is the angle between the planes of the phenyl rings [20]. For  $C_2$  geometries the distances  $C-C_1$  and  $C-C_{1'}$  share the same value, as well as the angles  $C_1CO$  and  $C_1CO$ , and the dihedrals  $\mathrm{OCC}_1C_2$  and  $\mathrm{OCC}_{1'}C_{2'}$ 

	$S_0$	$S_1$	$S_2$	$T_1$	$T_2$
$C-O$	1.23	1.33	1.25	1.31	1.26
$C-C_1/C-C_{1'}$	1.45	1.41	$1.45/1.42$ $1.42$ $1.41/1.45$		
$C_1CC_{1'}$	121	133	121	131	124
$C_1CO/C_{1'}CO$	120	114	$119/120$ 114		119/117
θ	51	37	41	40	53
$OCC_1C_2/OCC_{1'}C_{2'}$ -150 -159 -168/-140 -157 -134/-170					

**Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics Accepted ManuscriptPhysical Chemistry Chemical Physics Accepted Manuscript** 

formed before we became aware of the recent high level ab initio calculations of Sergentu et al. [21], reporting vertical excitation energies at CASPT2(16/15) level and minimum energy paths on  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ .

The most relevant geometrical parameters of benzophenone ground and lower lying states minima are shown in Table 1 (see Figure 1 for the numbering of atoms). The  $S_1$ and  $T_1$  minima share the same  $C_2$  conformation as the ground state with, as expected, significant but relatively small geometrical changes, mainly concerning the C-O bond length and the rotation of the phenyl rings around the  $C-C_1$  and  $C-C_{1'}$  bonds. Such rotation takes place in the conrotatory mode, which is more effective than the disrotatory one in relieving the repulsion between the H atoms in 2 and 2' with a minimal loss of conjugation of the carbonyl and phenyl  $\pi$  systems. For this reason we shall use a single parameter to describe the phenyl rotation, namely the dihedral angle  $\theta$  between the two phenyl planes (see note [20] for details). The variations in the geometrical parameters upon excitation are in reasonable agreement with the CASSCF results of Sergentu et al. [21]. The  $T_2$  minimum correspond to a  $T_1/T_2$  intersection.

In Table 2 we show vertical and adiabatic excitation energies ( $\Delta E_{vert}$  and  $\Delta E_{adia}$ ), comparing our semiempirical FOMO-CI results to available experimental and computational data. Figure 2 offers a schematic view of the relationships between minima and surface crossings. The experimental adiabatic  $S_0 \to S_1$  and  $S_0 \to T_1$  transition energies are accurately reproduced, as well as the absorption, fluorescence and phosphorescence maxima that can be identified with  $\Delta E_{vert}$  computed at the  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$  and  $T_1$  minima, respectively.  $\Delta E_{vert}(S_0 \to S_n)$  and  $\Delta E_{vert}(S_0 \to T_n)$  are also in good agreement (within 0.13 eV) with the CASPT2(16/15) results of Sergentu et al. [21], at least for  $S_1$  and  $T_1$ - $T_3$ . The agreement deteriorates for higher lying states, still remaining reasonable. Rather large differences are also found between the semiempirical and the ab initio  $\Delta E_{vert}(S_1 \rightarrow S_0)$ and  $\Delta E_{vert}(T_1 \rightarrow S_0)$ , i.e. for the luminescence bands, but in this case our results are closer to the experimental ones.

In Table 2 we also show vertical transition energies obtained from our state averaged CASSCF(16/13), which includes 16 states (6 singlets and 10 triplets), and was performed with the 6-31G<sup>\*</sup> basis set at the B3LYP/6-31G<sup>\*</sup> ground state minimum. If compared to the available experimental data, and to the CASPT2 results of ref. [21], the CASSCF transition energies are invariably too high, providing therefore a much worse description of benzophenone PES's with respect to FOMO-CI.

Minimum energy conical intersections (MXS) between  $S_0/S_1$  and  $S_0/T_1$  were determined at the FOMO-CI level. For  $S_0/S_1$  two distinct, highly distorted, MXS structures were found (enolic and cyclopropanone, see Figure 2), at 4.38 and 4.04 eV above the ground state minimum, respectively. The presence of the enolic  $S_0/S_1$  MXS was subsequently confirmed by ab initio state averaged  $\text{CASSCF}(16/13)$  calculations including  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$  and  $T_1$ , performed using the 6-31G<sup>\*</sup> basis set and the MOLPRO program package [22], which located the MXS at 4.20 eV above the  $S_0$  minimum, in good agreement with the semiempirical result. Analogously, a cyclopropanone  $S_0/S_1$  MXS was obtained with CASSCF, at 4.32 eV above the  $S_0$  minimum. An enolic MXS was also found for  $S_0/T_1$ , at 3.52 eV above the ground state minimum according to FOMO-CI. These distorted MXS lie around or higher than the  $S_1$  Franck-Condon energy, indicating that fast  $S_1 \to S_0$  or  $T_1 \to S_0$  deactivation pathways are not readily accessible by  $n \to \pi^*$ excitation.

The  $S_1/T_1$  MXS is found at 0.45 eV above the  $S_1$  minimum, with a planar geometry. The energies of  $S_1$  and  $T_2$  at the  $S_1$  minimum are, respectively, 3.30 and 3.55 eV while at the  $T_2$  minimum they are 3.55 and 3.32, so that the two states must cross proceeding

#### **Page 5 of 15 Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics**

from one minimum to the other. In fact, the  $S_1/T_2$  MXS is found at an intermediate geometry with respect to  $S_1$  and  $T_2$  equilibrium points shown in table 1, and only 0.09 eV above the  $S_1$  minimum. Note moreover that  $T_2$  and  $S_1$  are almost degenerate in the Franck-Condon region (see table 2). Overall, the above pattern for  $S_1$  and  $T_2$  closely match the ab initio results of Sergentu et al. (see in particular the  $T_2$  minimum energy path shown in figure 7 of Ref. [21]).

The spin orbit (SO) interaction among FOMO-CI semiempirical wavefunctions was evaluated using a mean field Hamiltonian fully described elsewhere [23]. The relevant SO semiempirical parameters for carbon and oxygen were fitted in order to reproduce ab initio CASSCF results. In particular, the target SO couplings were obtained from

Table 2: Vertical and adiabatic transition energies, in eV. Values used as targets in the reparameterization are given in bold.  $C_2$  symmetry labels are indicated were appropriate. The  $T_1/T_2$  MXS (minimum energy intersection) corresponds to the minimum of the  $T_2$  PES, according to our FOMO-CI calculations.

Transition	$FOMO-CIa$	$CASSCF^a$	$CASPT2$ [21]	Exp
$\Delta E_{adia}$				
$S_0(A)_{min} \rightarrow S_1(A)_{min}$	3.30		3.15	$\boldsymbol{3.25}^b$
$S_0(A)_{min} \rightarrow T_1(A)_{min}$	3.09		2.85	$3.00^b$
$S_0(A)_{min} \rightarrow T_1/T_2$ MXS	3.32		3.22	
$\Delta E_{vert}$ (absorption)				
$S_0(A)_{min} \rightarrow S_1(A)$	3.53	4.46	3.66	$3.61^c$
$S_0(A)_{min} \rightarrow S_2(A)$	4.56	5.60	4.33	$4.40^{d}$
$S_0(A)_{min} \rightarrow S_3(B)$	4.57	5.62	4.43	$4.40^d$
$S_0(A)_{min} \rightarrow S_4(B)$	4.76	6.00	5.39	$5.00^e$
$S_0(A)_{min} \rightarrow S_5(A)$	5.05	6.64		$5.00^e$
$S_0(A)_{min} \to T_1(A)$	3.26	3.88	3.33	
$S_0(A)_{min} \rightarrow T_2(A)$	3.51	4.25	3.41	
$S_0(A)_{min} \rightarrow T_3(B)$	3.57	4.32	3.69	
$S_0(A)_{min} \rightarrow T_4(A)$	3.85	5.05	4.18	
$S_0(A)_{min} \rightarrow T_5(B)$	3.86	5.17	4.18	
$S_0(A)_{min} \rightarrow T_6(A)$	4.07	5.19	4.22	
$S_0(A)_{min} \rightarrow T_7(B)$	4.11	5.27	4.26	
$S_0(A)_{min} \rightarrow T_8(A)$	4.92	6.90		
$S_0(A)_{min} \rightarrow T_9(B)$	4.93	6.65	6.47	
$\Delta E_{vert}$ (emission)				
$S_1(A)_{min} \rightarrow S_0(A)$	2.93		2.34	$2.95^{f}$
$T_1(A)_{min} \rightarrow S_0(A)$	2.84		2.19	$2.78^{c} - 2.72^{f}$

<sup>a</sup>This work. <sup>b</sup>Supersonic jet, 0-0 band [25–27]. <sup>c</sup>Absorption/phosphorescence, band maximum, low pressure vapor [8]. dAbsorption, band shoulder, solution [28, 29].  $e$ Absorption, band maximum, solution [28, 29].  $\frac{f}{f}$ Fluorescence/phosphorescence, band maximum, solution [30].

coupling	geometry	CASSCF	FOMO-CI
$S_0/T_1$	planar	57	62
$S_1/T_2$	planar	41	38
$T_1/T_2$	planar	55	52
$S_0/T_1$	perp	61	63
$S_0/T_2$	perp	0.02	0.13
$S_1/T_1$	perp	0.03	0.09
$S_1/T_2$	perp	34	25
$T_1/T_2$	perp	46	33

Table 3: Spin orbit coupling,  $cm^{-1}$ . For geometry specifications see the text.

state averaged CASSCF(16/13)/6-31G<sup>\*</sup> calculations including 16 states. In agreement with Sergentu et al. [21] the  $T_1$  state showed non negligible  $n \to \pi^*/\pi \to \pi^*$  mixing, the extent of which is influenced by the value of the angle  $\theta$  between the phenyl rings: for planar geometries  $(\theta = 0^{\circ})$   $n \to \pi^*$  and  $\pi \to \pi^*$  configurations belong to different irreducible representations, so that no mixing is possible. Therefore, according to El-Sayed rules [24], the SO coupling between  $T_1$  and  $S_1(n \to \pi^*)$  is in turn influenced by the angle  $\theta$  (see figure 3). To avoid inconsistencies due to differences in  $n \to \pi^*/\pi \to \pi^*$ mixing with FOMO-CI wavefunctions with respect to CASSCF, the target SO couplings were determined at geometries where the mixing is zero for symmetry reasons: the planar  $C_{2v}$  ( $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ ) geometry and the perpendicular  $C_s$  ( $\theta = 90^{\circ}$ ) geometry, obtained minimizing



Figure 2: Potential energy surfaces of benzophenone: schematic view of minimum energy points. Labels  $S_0/S_1$ -e and  $S_0/S_1$ -c refer respectively to enolic and cyclopropanone minimum energy conical intersections.

#### **Page 7 of 15 Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics**

the  $S_0$  energy with respect to the other internal coordinates. In this way the semiempirical SO parameters for C and O were evaluated, respectively, as  $\xi_C = 28.6$  cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $\xi_O = 222$ cm<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> . In table 3 we show the target CASSCF SO couplings and the corresponding FOMO-CI results at the planar and perpendicular geometries referred above. In the following, we shall refer to the SO coupling strength, computed as the square root of the sum of the squares of the multiplet components. The dependence of the  $S_1/T_1$  and  $S_1/T_2$  SO coupling on the orientation of the phenyl planes is shown in figure 3. As one can see from table 3, with the fitted semiempirical SO parameters the FOMO-CI wavefunctions reproduce well the ab initio results. At the ground state equilibrium geometry the semiempirical  $S_1/T_1$  and  $S_1/T_2$  SO couplings are, respectively, 6 and 26 cm<sup>-1</sup>, to be compared with the CASSCF values of 20 and 29  $cm^{-1}$ . Given the good results of table 3, the discrepancy in the  $S_1/T_1$  SO coupling at the ground state minimum has to be attributed to the different  $n \to \pi^*/\pi \to \pi^*$  mixing in the semiempirical wavefunctions with respect to CASSCF.

# 3 Excited state dynamics

The nonadiabatic molecular dynamics calculations have been performed with our surface hopping scheme [15], using the spin-adiabatic method [16] to account for the SO interaction. In particular, we selected the first 6 singlet and 10 triplet states giving rise to 36 spin-adiabatic (i.e. spin-mixed) states after diagonalization of the SO Hamiltonian. In all the dynamics calculations the PESs and couplings were obtained on the fly with the FOMO-CI method and the semiempirical parameters referred above. To sample the starting conditions a ground state trajectory was run for 100 ps, with an integration time step of 0.1 fs, using the Bussi Parrinello algorithm [31] to introduce the coupling with a thermostat at 298 K.



Figure 3:  $S_1/T_1$  and  $S_1/T_2$  spin orbit coupling (cm<sup>-1</sup>) with respect the OCC<sub>1</sub>C<sub>2</sub> dihedral. Solid (respectively, dashed) lines: the  $\mathrm{OCC}_{1'}\mathrm{C}_{2'}$  dihedral is kept fixed at 180 $\textdegree$  (respectively, 150 $\textdegree$ ). All the other internal coordinates are optimized for the ground state.

#### **Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics Page 8 of 15**

In the present work, excitation in the  $n \to \pi^*$  band is considered: the starting conditions were therefore selected from the thermalized trajectory (discarding the first 20 ps) in agreement with the radiative transition probability to the spin-adiabatic states in the energy range 3.35–3.75 eV, following a stochastic algorithm described elsewhere [15]. Overall, 320 starting conditions were selected and the corresponding surface hopping trajectories were propagated for 20 ps. A single trajectory was discarded for technical reasons, so that the final averages shown here are obtained from a total of 319 trajectories. An integration time step of 0.1 fs was used both for the nuclear and for the electronic degrees of freedom. In particular, for the latter ones the local diabatization scheme was employed [32,33]. The quantum decoherence was approximately taken into account using our overlap based correction [34] with Gaussian width  $\sigma = 0.2$  a.u. and overlap threshold  $S_{min} = 0.005$ .



Figure 4: Spin-diabatic state populations after  $n \to \pi^*$  excitation. Black curves are biexponential fits of the state populations. Only states with final population larger than 5% are shown.

As the SO coupling in benzophenone is weak, the results are better analyzed in terms of the spin-diabatic (i.e. unmixed) singlet and triplet states. In figure 4 we show the time evolution of the spin-diabatic state populations:

$$
\overline{P}_K(t) = N_{traj}^{-1} \sum_j \sum_m |\langle K_m | A^{(j)}(t) \rangle|^2
$$
\n(1)

Here  $N_{traj}$  is the total number of trajectories, the index j runs over all trajectories, the index m identifies the components of the spin multiplet K, and  $A^{(j)}(t)$  is the current spin-adiabatic state for the trajectory j at time t [17, 18]. The fit of  $P_{S_1}(t)$  with an exponential function  $e^{-t/\tau}$  yielded  $\tau = 16.2$  ps. This result is in good agreement with the lifetime of 17 ps obtained by Aloïse et al. [13] in their experiments with  $\Delta E_{exc} = 3.24$  eV in acetonitrile, by a monoexponential fit of their raw transient absorption data. A more refined representation for the decay of the  $S_1$  population is obtained by fitting  $P_{S_1}(t)$ with a biexponential function

$$
\overline{P}_{S_1}(t) = we^{-t/\tau_1} + (1-w)e^{-t/\tau_2}
$$
\n(2)

#### **Page 9 of 15 Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics**





In this case we get  $\tau_1 = 6$  ps,  $\tau_2 = 53$  ps and  $w = 0.49$ . Although the biexponential function fits our data much better than the single exponential, it is clear that this value of  $\tau_2$  is only a rough estimate, because it largely exceeds the duration of our simulation. The  $\tau_1$  value is close to the lifetime of 5 ps observed in time-resolved photoelectron spectra of the isolated molecule after  $\pi \to \pi^*$  excitation by Spighi et al [14], who assigned it to the ISC decay of  $S_1$ .

During 20 ps only 2 trajectories out of 319 undergo  $S_1 \rightarrow S_0$  decay, which is not enough for a meaningful statistics. It is however clear that the internal conversion rate of  $S_1$  must be negligible in this time scale, so that the lifetime of  $S_1$  is practically determined by the ISC process. In the same time interval, no trajectories switch from the triplet states to  $S_0$ . Therefore, within the first tens of picoseconds we expect the rise of the total triplet population to be almost exactly complementary to the decay of  $S_1$ , i.e.

$$
\overline{P}_{\text{all-triplets}}(t) = 1 - \overline{P}_{S_1}(t) \tag{3}
$$

as shown in figure 4. The population of every single triplet increases almost exactly with the same law, being at all times a fraction of the total: about 76%  $T_1$ , 13%  $T_2$ , 4%  $T_3$ , and 6%  $T_4$  together with the higher triplets, of which the last with a non negligible contribution is  $T_8$  (see figures 4, S1 and S2). Overall, the population of triplets other than  $T_1$  is considerable and can significantly contribute to probe signals such as differential absorption or photoionization, because of the different spectral properties of these states (we remind that  $T_1$  is essentially  $n \to \pi^*$ , while  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and most of the others are  $\pi \to \pi^*$ ).

To analyze in more detail the nonadiabatic dynamics we monitored the switches between quasi-diabatic states (or multiplets). A  $K \to L$  switch for trajectory j is counted



Figure 5: Energetic and geometrical variables, averaged over the full swarm of trajectories, in the 0–2 ps time domain. Upper panel: energy differences  $E(S_1) - E(S_0)$ ,  $E(T_1) - E(S_0)$  and  $E(T_2) - E(S_0)$ , in eV. Middle panel: distance C-O, in Å. Lower panel: angles  $\theta$  and  $C_1CC_{1'}$ , in degrees. Note the scale for  $C_1CC_{1'}$  on the right side.

when K is replaced by L as the multiplet K with the largest probability  $P_K^{(j)}(t) =$  $\sum_{m} |\langle K_m|A^{(j)}(t)\rangle|^2$  (see ref. [18] for details). We calculate the one-way transition rate  $R_{K\to L}(t_1, t_2)$  between states K and L, averaged over all trajectories, on the basis of the number  $N_{K\to L}(t_1, t_2)$  of  $K \to L$  switches occurring in the time interval  $[t_1, t_2]$ :

$$
\overline{R}_{K \to L}(t_1, t_2) = \frac{N_{K \to L}(t_1, t_2)}{N_{traj} (t_2 - t_1)}
$$
\n(4)

In a similar way, we define the corresponding rate constant

$$
\overline{T}_{K \to L}(t_1, t_2) = N_{traj}^{-1} (t_2 - t_1)^{-1} \sum_{i} \left[ \overline{P}_K(t_i) \right]^{-1} \tag{5}
$$

Here the index i runs over all the  $K \to L$  switches occurring at times  $t_i \in [t_1, t_2]$ . We qualify  $\overline{T}_{K\to L}$  as a "rate constant" because the  $1/\overline{P}_K(t_i)$  factor is meant to normalize the transition rate with respect to the population of the starting state; but of course

#### **Page 11 of 15 Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics**

 $T_{K \to L}$  is determined by the dynamics and may change in time. In table 4 we list the one-way rates and rate constants for different time intervals, along with the net rates  $\Delta R_{K\to L} = R_{K\to L} - R_{L\to K}$ . For simplicity, we group together all the triplets from  $T_2$  to  $T_{10}$ , but in table S3 we provide more detailed data. Some of the rate constant values cannot be determined with a good statistical accuracy, because they depend on a small number of switches and/or on small state probabilities  $P_K$ : quite understandably, this occurs at short times ([0, 5] ps time interval) for triplet  $\rightarrow$  singlet transitions. Apart from such uncertainties, the rate constants do not show dramatic changes, probably because the geometrical relaxation and the internal vibrational energy redistribution occur in a shorter time scale and/or their effect on the transition rates is minor.

The  $S_1 \rightleftarrows T_2$  ISC rates exceed the  $S_1 \rightleftarrows T_1$  ones in both directions, because of the larger spin-orbit coupling and smaller energy gap for the former transition. However, the forward and backward  $S_1 \rightleftarrows T_2$  rates tend to cancel out, so the net contribution of the  $T_2$  route to the decay of  $S_1$  is smaller than that of  $T_1$ : overall, during the first 20 ps the  $S_1 \rightarrow T_1$  net rate is about twice the sum of the net rates from  $S_1$  to  $T_2$  and all the higher triplets. The ISC net transition rates tend to slow down as the  $S_1$  population decreases, showing a tendency towards equilibrium. Much faster exchanges, but again with relatively small net effects on the state populations, are caused by the dynamic couplings within the triplet manifold. This can be appreciated from the noisy character of the  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  curves in figure 4, if compared to  $S_1$  and the total triplet populations. Overall, some population from  $T_2$  and the higher triplets leaks to  $T_1$ , especially during the first picoseconds, but the population flux seems to follow the circular route  $T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \rightarrow$ higher triplets  $\rightarrow T_1$ .

The internal coordinates most affected by the  $n \to \pi^*$  excitation are the R<sub>CO</sub> distance, the  $CC_1C_{1'}$  angle and the  $\theta$  dihedral (see Table 1). In both  $S_1$  and  $T_1$  the carbonyl group acquires an extra  $\pi$  electron and the stabilizing effect of conjugation with the phenyl groups becomes more important than in the ground state. As a consequence, the phenyl groups tend to rotate towards planarity (smaller  $\theta$ ) and the  $C_1CC_{1'}$  angle opens to relieve the repulsion between  $H_2$  and  $H_{2'}$ . In figure 5 we present the time evolution of some energetic and geometrical variables, averaged on the full swarm of trajectories, in the 0–2 ps domain, when  $S_1$  is by far the most populated state. The averaged energy differences  $E(X) - E(S_0)$ , with  $X = S_1$ ,  $T_1$  or  $T_2$ , show fast oscillations with the same period of the C-O stretch, further modulated by the oscillations of  $\theta$ . Differently with respect to the Franck-Condon point, the  $T_2$  curve lies sensibly higher in energy with respect to  $S_1$ : this can be understood considering that the minimum energy geometry of  $T_2$  is quite different from that of  $S_1$  and  $T_1$  (see table 1).  $C_1CC_{1'}$  and  $\theta$  oscillate with the same period but with opposite phases, in agreement with the above considerations. In addition,  $C_1CC_{1'}$ is clearly affected by the C=O stretching motion. The period of the combined  $\theta$  and  $C_1CC_{1'}$  mode is about 600 fs, which is in nice agreement with the oscillation period of 550 fs observed for the time resolved photoelectron intensity in the experiments of Spighi et al. [14]. Therefore, we substantially confirm their attribution of this feature to the ring torsional motion in  $S_1$ .

The evaluation of the transition dipole moments along the nuclear trajectories allows us to simulate the decay of the fluorescence intensity [35]. The photon emission rate averaged over all the trajectories is shown in figure 6. The spikes that represent sudden increases in the emission rate, in particular around 13 ps, are due to transitions to  $S_2$ . The  $\pi \to \pi^*$  states have a much larger oscillator strength with respect to  $S_1$ , so that a very modest population of the  $S_2$  state (below 1%) can lead to a sizeable increase in the emission rate. In fact, very few trajectories do switch to  $S_2$ , which means this contribution

#### **Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics Page 12 of 15**

to the emission rate, besides being of secondary importance, cannot be accurately assessed on the basis of our simulation.

We fitted the emission rate with the exponential function

$$
F(t) = K_F e^{-t/\tau_F} \tag{6}
$$

and we obtained the fluorescence rate constant  $K_F = 0.23 \ \mu s^{-1}$  and the lifetime  $\tau_F = 17.5$ ps. As almost the whole emission originates from  $S_1$ ,  $\tau_F$  is close to the lifetime obtained by a monoexponential fit of the  $S_1$  population. Also the fluorescence rate shows evidence of a more complex decay, that can be approximated by a biexponential law:

$$
F(t) = K_F[we^{-t/\tau_1} + (1 - w)e^{-t/\tau_2}]
$$
\n(7)

However, it was not possible to obtain reliable values of the four parameters  $K_F$ ,  $w$ ,  $\tau_1$ and  $\tau_2$  from the fitting procedure, so we assumed  $\tau_1 = 6$  ps and  $\tau_2 = 53$  ps as for the S<sub>1</sub> population. Then, the fitting yielded  $K_F = 0.25 \ \mu s^{-1}$  and  $w = 0.52$ . Of course the  $K_F$  values obtained from mono- or biexponential fits are very similar, since in both cases they represent the emission rate at  $t = 0$ .

From the steady state absorption and delayed fluorescence spectra of benzophenone in CCl<sub>4</sub>, Sun et al. [30] obtained  $K_F = 1.1 \pm 0.1 \ \mu s^{-1}$  by making use of the Birks-Dyson equation [36, 37]. Our  $K_F$  value for the isolated molecule can be converted to the corresponding solution quantity by taking into account the refractive index of the solvent,  $n = 1.47$  in this spectral range [38]. According to the empty cavity model [39, 40] the fluorescence rates in vacuo and in solution are related by the factor  $9n^5(2n^2+1)^{-2}$ , so our computed  $K_F$  would be converted to about 0.5  $\mu$ s<sup>-1</sup>. Comparing this value with the experimental one, we find it underestimated by about a factor two. Since the  $S_0 - S_1$ transition is almost dipole forbidden and presumably very sensitive to the  $n \to \pi^*/\pi \to \pi^*$ mixing, both our semiempirical estimate and the application of the Birks-Dyson equation are questionable [36] and further work is planned to investigate this issue.

### 4 Conclusions

We simulated the photodynamics of benzophenone for the first 20 ps after  $n \to \pi^*$ excitation with on the fly trajectory surface hopping calculations. The  $T_1$  state has a mixed  $n \to \pi^*/\pi \to \pi^*$  character and therefore shows a sizeable SO coupling with  $S_1(n \to \pi^*)$ , so that the main ISC channel is found to be due to  $S_1 \to T_1$  transitions. In fact we might have slightly underestimated the  $S_1 \rightarrow T_1$  ISC rate because at non-planar geometries our FOMO-CI  $T_1$  wavefunction shows less  $\pi \to \pi^*$  character with respect to the CASSCF one. Nevertheless, our simulations clearly show that  $T_2$  and also higher triplets play a non negligible role in the decay of  $S_1$ , because such states are close in energy and more strongly coupled to  $S_1$  than  $T_1$ . We obtained a lifetime of  $S_1$  of about 16 ps by a monoexponential fit, or two lifetimes of 6 and 50 ps by a biexponential fit, which seems compatible with experimental determinations [13, 14]. Within the duration of our simulation (20 ps), almost no decay to the ground state takes place, and the most populated triplet is  $T_1$  (about 3/4 of the total). The population of  $T_2$  is around 13% and that of all the higher triplets (mainly  $T_3$  and  $T_4$ ) about 10%. The nonadiabatic transitions among triplet states are ultrafast, with rate constants ranging from 1 to 50 ps<sup>−</sup><sup>1</sup> . While it would be difficult to unravel experimentally the dynamics within the triplet manifold, the contribution of  $T_2$  and of the higher triplets to differential absorption or



Figure 6: Fluorescence decay. Thin red line: photon emission rate. Thick green line: fit with the biexponential function of eq. 7 see the text.  $\Phi_F = K_F[w\tau_1 + (1-w)\tau_2]$  is the fluorescence quantum yield.

photoelectron signals should not be disregarded. We note that vibrational energy loss to the environment may decrease the accessibility of  $T_2$  and of the higher triplets in solution or in other condensed media.

After excitation to  $S_1$ , two internal modes start a train of damped oscillations: one is the C=O stretching, with a period of 28 fs, and the other is a combined conrotatory phenyl torsion and phenyl-C-phenyl angle opening, with a period of about 600 fs. An oscillation with about the same frequency was observed by Spighi et al. [14] in time resolved photoelectron spectroscopy experiments and we substantially confirm their attribution to the phenyl torsional motion.

### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by grants of the University of Pisa. In particular GG acknowledges the project "Progetti di Ricerca di Ateneo" (grant no. PRA 2015 0038).

### References

- [1] V. Balzani, P. Ceroni and A. Juris, Photochemistry and Photophysics, Wiley-VCH (2014).
- [2] R. P. Wayne, Principles and applications of photochemistry, Oxford University Press (1988).
- [3] M. Consuelo Cuquerella, V. Lhiaubet-Vallet, J. Cadet and M. A. Miranda, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 1558-1570.

#### **Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics Page 14 of 15**

- 
- [4] E. Dumont, M. Wibowo, D. Roca-Sanju´an, M. Garavelli, X. Assfeld and A. Monari, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2015, 6, 576-580.
- [5] R. Kumasaka, A. Kikuchi and M. Yagi, Photochem. Photobiol., 2014, 90, 727-733.
- [6] S. Y. Lee, T. Yasuda, Y. S. Yang, Q. Zhang and C. Adachi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2014, 53, 6402-6406.
- [7] M. Debije, P. Verbunt, P. Nadkarni, S. Velate, K. Bhaumik, S. Nedumbamana, B. Rowan, B. Richards and T. Hoeks, Appl. Opt., 2011, 50, 163-169.
- [8] T. Itoh, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1985, **89**, 3949.
- [9] B. K. Shah, M. A. J. Rodgers and D. C. Neckers, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2004, 108, 6087-6089.
- [10] K. Prater, W. L. Freund and R. M. Bowman, Chem Phys. Lett., 1998, 295, 82-88.
- [11] P. F. McGarry, C. E. Jr. Doubleday, C.-H. Wua, H. A. Staab and N. J. Turro, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A, 1994, 77, 109-117.
- [12] H. Miyasaka, K. Morita, K. Kamada and N. Mataga, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1990, 63, 3385-3397.
- [13] S. Aloïse, C. Ruckebusch, L. Blanchet, J. Réhault, G. Buntinx and J.-P. Huvenne, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2008, 112, 224-231.
- [14] G. Spighi, M.-A. Gaveau, J.-M. Mestdagh, L. Poisson and B. Soep, *Phys. Chem.* Chem. Phys., 2014, 16, 9610-9618.
- [15] M. Persico and G. Granucci, Theor. Chem. Acc., 2014, 133, 1526.
- [16] G. Granucci, M. Persico and G. Spighi, J. Chem. Phys., 2012, 137, 22A501.
- [17] L. Favero, G. Granucci and M. Persico, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2013, 15, 20651.
- [18] L. Martínez-Fernández, I. Corral, G. Granucci and M. Persico, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, 5, 1336-1347.
- [19] T. Cusati, G. Granucci, E. Mart´ınez-N˜unez, F. Martini, M. Persico and S. V´azquez, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2012, 116, 98.
- [20] The angle  $\theta$  is defined as the dihedral formed by the average planes of the two phenyl rings, i.e. the planes obtained by a least squares fit of the positions of their carbon atoms. Assuming pure rotations around the  $C-C_1$  and  $C-C_{1'}$  axes by angles  $\phi, \phi' \in [0, \pi/2]$  without loss of coplanarity of the phenyl rings and the carbonyl C atom, we have  $\cos\theta = \cos\phi \cos\phi' + \cos\alpha \sin\phi \sin\phi'$ , where  $\alpha = \angle C_1CC_{1'}$ . We see that  $\theta$  is an increasing function of both  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$ , so it can be taken as a good descriptor of the loss of planarity of the whole  $\pi$  system.
- [21] D.-C. Sergentu, R. Maurice, R. W. A. Havenith, R. Broer and D. Roca-Sanjuán, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2014, 16, 25393.
- [22] H.-J. Werner, P. J. Knowles, G. Knizia, F. R. Manby and M. Schütz, WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci., 2012, 2, 242.
- [23] G. Granucci and M. Persico, J. Comput. Chem., 2011, 32, 2690.
- [24] M. A. El-Sayed, J. Chem. Phys., 1963, 38, 2834.
- [25] K. W. Holtzlaw and D. W. Pratt, J. Chem. Phys., 1986, 84, 4713.
- [26] N. Ohmori, T. Suzuki and M. Ito, J. Phys. Chem., 1988, 92, 1086-1093.
- [27] V. D. Vachev and J. H. Frederick, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1996, 249, 476-484.

#### **Page 15 of 15 Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics**

- [28] P. Sett, T. Misra, S. Chattopadhyay, A. K. De and P. K. Mallick, Vibrational Spectroscopy, 2007, 44, 331-342.
- [29] W. L. Dilling, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1966, **31**, 1045.
- [30] Y.-P. Sun, D. F. Sears and J. Saltiel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 706-711.
- [31] G. Bussi and M. Parrinello, Comp. Phys. Comm., 2008, 179, 26.
- [32] G. Granucci, A. Toniolo and M. Persico, J. Chem. Phys., 2001, 114, 10608.
- [33] F. Plasser, G. Granucci, J. Pittner, M. Barbatti, M. Persico and H. Lischka, J. Chem. Phys., 2012, 137, 22A514.
- [34] G. Granucci, M. Persico and A. Zoccante, J. Chem. Phys., 2010, 133, 134111.
- [35] T. Cusati, G. Granucci and M. Persico, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 5109-5123.
- [36] S. J. Strickler and R. A. Berg, J. Chem. Phys., 1962, 37, 814.
- [37] J. B. Birks and D. J. Dyson, Proc. R. Soc. A, 1965, 275, 135-148.
- [38] K. Moutzouris, M. Papamichael, S. C. Betsis, I. Stavrakas, G. Hloupis and D. Triantis, Appl. Phys. B, 2013, 116, 617-622.
- [39] R. J. Glauber and M. Lewenstein, Phys. Rev. A, 1991, 43, 467-491.
- [40] D. Toptygin, J. Fluoresc., 2003, 13, 201-219.