## Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/crystengcomm

# Short contacts of the sulphur atoms of a 1,2,3,5-dithiadiazolyl dimer with triphenylstibine: first co-crystal with an aromatic compound †

#### 3 René T. Boeré\*

- 4 Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, and the Canadian Centre for Research in Advanced Fluorine
- 5 Technologies. University of Lethbridge, Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada, T1K 3M4. Fax: +403-329-2057; Tel. +403-
- 6 329-2045 E-mail: boere@uleth.ca
- 7 TOC Graphic and brief text



8

- 9 Co-crystallization of aromatic compounds with neutral dithiadiazolyl dimers has not previously succeeded.
- 10 There is great scope here for crystal engineering using mutually compatible components.

#### 11 Abstract

The structure of dimeric  $2,7-bis[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-4\lambda^4,5\lambda^4,9\lambda^4,10\lambda^4-tetrathieto[1,2-a:3,4-a']bis$ 12 [1,2,3,5]dithiadiazole  $(C_8H_4F_3N_2S_2)_2$  and its adduct with triphenylstibine,  $(C_8H_4F_3N_2S_2)_2 \cdot C_{18}H_{15}Sb$ , both have 13 triclinic (P1) symmetry. They crystallize in layers containing centrosymmetric clusters consisting of four 14 15 dithiadiazolyl dimers in the parent compound and two such dimers paired with two triphenylstibine units in the 16 aromatic co-crystal. In the co-crystal, the Ph<sub>3</sub>Sb molecules associate with an equivalent moiety from a 17 neighbouring cluster in a geometry that is very reminiscent of other Ph<sub>3</sub>Sb-containing structures. Thus, the 18 adduct combines structural elements from those of its component parts. Key interactions between molecules 19 in the pure dithiadiazolyl (S to S) and the co-crystal (S to C) are significantly shorter than the sums of atom van 20 der Waals radii.

- <sup>+</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: CIF for all X-ray structures have been deposited. CCDC
   1452129-1452130. For ESI and crystallographic data in electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/xxxx.
- 23

#### 24 Introduction

There is an extensive chemistry of 1,2,3,5-dithiadiazolyl (DTDA) radicals because of interest in metallic 25 conductivity and magnetism.<sup>1</sup> Such properties depend on intermolecular contacts and thus the crystal 26 27 engineering of DTDA radicals has received intensive investigation.<sup>2</sup> DTDA radicals normally dimerize in the solid state unless there are both steric factors to prevent dimerization and secondary bonding interactions to 28 29 stabilize the monomers. There are at least five recognizable dimer configurations; of these the *cis*-oid co-facial 30 is by far the most common. Extensive experimental and theoretical considerations have concluded that the inter-dimer bonds are exclusively between the CN<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> heterocycles and are dominated by S···S interactions,<sup>3</sup> a 31 strong interaction that has been effectively described as 'pancake bonding' which constitutes a (diffuse) 32 quantum-chemical bond but also involves a dispersive component and contributions from diradical character.<sup>4-</sup> 33 34 <sup>6</sup> Crystal structures have been reported for more than 70 different neutral DTDA dimers and monomers in the Cambridge Structure Database (CSD, Version 5.37, with updates to November 2015).<sup>7</sup> In only a handful of cases 35 36 are the structures heterogeneous. A mixed oxidation state species crystallizes as the trimer [5-PhCN<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub>I<sub>3</sub> (CSD refcode: HEGVOE).<sup>8</sup> Similarly, a channel structure of HCN<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> crystallizes with ~0.18 iodine atoms in a partial 37 charge-transfer species (refcode LEJFAH).<sup>9</sup> A co-crystal of PhCN<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> and S<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub> involves an indeterminate degree 38 of charge transfer (refcode SIHZAK).<sup>10</sup> The structure of 4-(3-fluoro-4-trifluoromethylphenyl)-1,2,3,5-39 40 dithiadiazolyl (refcode: UMAROP) is typical of a (distorted) cis-oid dimer, but is significant in the context of this 41 work in that the lattice readily opens up to form channels when co-sublimed with N<sub>2</sub>, Ar, CO<sub>2</sub> or SO<sub>2</sub> (refcodes: UMARUV, UMASAC, UMASEG and UMASIK) to form host-guest gas-clathrates.<sup>11</sup> This is the only other case to 42 43 our knowledge where co-crystallization with neutral molecules has previously been demonstrated in DTDA 44 chemistry, although identification of electron density for the incorporated gas molecules relied on the delocalized solvent tools of the PLATON 'SQUEEZE' routine.<sup>12</sup> 45

In two recent reports, Haynes et al. and Rawson et al. reported on the preparation of fascinating mixed-radical 46 dimers by combining slightly electron rich with electron poorer DTDAs.<sup>13,14</sup> The successful co-crystallizations 47 include [PhCN<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>][5-C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>-CN<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>] (refcode: QUNQUM)<sup>13</sup> and [PhCN<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>][NC<sub>5</sub>F<sub>5</sub>-CN<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>] (refcode: YIMNIT),<sup>14</sup> which 48 emphasizes the importance of *perfluorination* for reducing electron richness in DTDA heterocycles via purely 49 50 inductive effects. Complex charge balances exist in mixed fluorinated/hydrocarbon DTDA dimers, which have been intensively investigated by experimental and computational charge density determinations.<sup>3</sup> The co-51 52 crystallization could be achieved either from solution or by sublimation in a tube furnace. They also reported 53 many failed attempts by mixing other DTDAs, and attempts to combine about 10 different aromatic ring 54 compounds, incorporating a variety of functional groups, with DTDAs; no co-crystals with aromatics were 55 obtained. It is not clear from the published report as to whether the aromatics were thought to be able to codimerize with the DTDA or whether some other form of association was expected. Several recent reports 56 indicate a directive or 'shepherding' role for aromatic co-crystallizers with organic radicals.<sup>15-17</sup> "End-on" 57 58 interactions from the sulphur atoms of DTDAs with aromatic carbon atoms belonging to the same DTDA species have been known since at least 1991. Thus, in the lattice of [1,4-CN<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (refcode: VINJIL),<sup>18</sup> there is an 59 interaction between two sulphur atoms of a DTDA dimer and the ipso and ortho carbon atoms of the di-60 61 substituted benzene ring of a neighbouring molecule. It has a shortest C···S contact that is 0.22 Å <  $\Sigma r_{vdw}$ . Of much more recent origin are other structures showing similar interactions, as in [3-Cl-4-CH<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>-CN<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> 62 (refcode: EZIQUY, shortest C···S contact 0.33 Å <  $\Sigma r_{vdW}$ ),<sup>19</sup> in [4-F-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>-CN<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (refcode: QEFGIT, shortest C···S 63 contact 0.20 Å  $< \Sigma r_{vdW}$ ),<sup>20</sup> in [3-CH<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>-CN<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (refcode: LELPUP, shortest C···S contact 0.29 Å  $< \Sigma r_{vdW}$ ),<sup>21</sup> and in 64 65  $[4-CH_3-C_6H_3-CN_2S_2]_2$  (refcode: LELPOJ, shortest C···S contact 0.24 Å <  $\Sigma r_{vdW}$ ).<sup>21</sup>

66 The synthesis of the fluorinated DTDA radical  $5-(4-CF_3C_6H_4)-CN_2S_2$ , **1** (Chart 1), was reported by Boeré *et al.*<sup>22</sup>

and the crystal structure was briefly mentioned in the context of metal coordination chemistry of DTDA
 radicals.<sup>23</sup> We now report a detailed analysis of the lattice structure of **1** and the discovery that it can form a

69 unique 1:1 co-crystal with triphenylstibine,  $[5-(4-CF_3C_6H_4)-CN_2S_2]_2 \cdot Ph_3Sb$ , **2**, in which a typical *cis*-oid co-facial

- radical dimer moiety in itself of quite similar structure to that found in pure 1 undergoes specific
- supramolecular contacts to a phenyl ring of the stibine. This structure is the first reported co-crystal of DTDA
- 72 dimer with an aromatic compound.



73 74

#### 75 Results and discussion

#### 76 Sample preparation

77 The synthesis of 1 employed triphenylstibine, 3, as a convenient reducing agent for 1,2,3,5-dithiadiazolium 78 chlorides and **3** is itself oxidized to  $Ph_3SbCl_2$  (Scheme 1). Because **1** does not precipitate well even from 79 concentrated CH<sub>3</sub>CN solutions, the evaporated crude reaction mixture was directly sublimed in a gradient 80 sublimer. The neutral radical  $\mathbf{1}$  is more volatile than Ph<sub>3</sub>SbCl<sub>2</sub> and is also easy to recognize from its colour. In 81 the sublimation, **1** presented as dark purple needles which were used for the structure determination. Since 82 gradient sublimation in vacuum often leads to multiple crystal habits, the presence of dark purple blocks 83 amongst the needles was not of immediate concern. When the structure of the blocks was solved using the iterative method of SHELXT<sup>24</sup> it was shown to be a 1:1 co-crystal of **1** with **3**. Evidently, some unreacted **3** was 84 able to sublime and the mixed vapours crystallize to afford 2 in a precise ratio determined by specific 85 86 intermolecular interactions. Whereas crude, powdered DTDA samples are very reactive and can inflame in air, 87 the sublimed crystals of both 1 and 2 are sufficiently stable to handle in air for brief periods (for example, 88 crystal selection and mounting was done on the open bench.)



#### 91 Structural commentary and supramolecular features

92 The geometry of the DTDA dimer in **2** consists of the common *cis*-oid co-facial arrangement of planar  $CN_2S_2$ rings (Figure 1 and Table 1).<sup>2</sup> Visually, it is indistinguishable from any one of the four independent dimers found 93 94 in the crystal structure of 1 (for plots of 1, see Figure S1 in the ESI; for an overlay structure diagram of dimers 95 from 1 and 2, see Figure S2). For clarity and ease of discussion, the atom numbering scheme of the single DTDA dimer in Figure 1 will be used throughout. The average inter-dimer S···S distance in 2 is 3.068(1) Å, some 0.53 Å 96 less than the sums of their v.d. Waals' radii ( $\Sigma r_{vdW}$ ).<sup>4</sup> The least-squares planes through the two heterocyles that 97 constitute the dimer in 2 are inclined at 7.87(13)°; in addition the aryl rings twist out of the planes they are 98 99 attached to and there is an overall miss-alignment of the upper and lower dimer constituents. Consideration of 100 3D models indicates that all these effects act to minimize unfavourable steric congestion of the para-CF<sub>3</sub> 101 groups on adjacent rings. Such a distortion is also evident in all four dimers in the asymmetric unit of 1, which 102 crystallizes in the same space group, P1, but with Z = 16 rather than two (see the Experimental section for 103 details). In 1, each dimer has a slightly different manifestation of steric distortions to accommodate the bulky 104  $CF_3$  groups; the average tilt angles for the four dimer pairs is 6.1(8)° from which the value in 2 cannot be differentiated at the 99% confidence level. If for the miss-alignment of the dimer components we take the 105 torsion angle C5-C1-C11-C15, the range for 1 is 2.7(1) - 5.6(1)°, within which the value of 3.0(8)° for 2 fits 106 comfortably. The average inter-dimer S···S distance for the four dimers in 1 is 3.07(5) Å, or 0.53(5) Å less than 107 108  $\Sigma r_{vdW}$ .



rystEngComm Accepted Manuscrip

**Figure 1**. Displacement ellipsoids (30% probability level) of the 263 K structure of **2**, depicting the asymmetric unit augmented by the symmetry-equivalent second component of the *pseudo*-cuboidal Ph<sub>3</sub>Sb entity, showing the atom numbering scheme used to discuss both **1** and **2**. Intermolecular contacts up to ( $\Sigma r_{vdW} + 0.1$ ) Å are

Parameter <sup>a</sup>	<b>1</b> -i <sup>b</sup>	<b>1</b> -ii <sup>c</sup>	<b>1</b> -iii <sup>d</sup>	<b>1</b> -iv <sup><i>e</i></sup>	2
S1—S2	2.0886(18)	2.0879(18)	2.0881(19)	2.0784(19)	2.0865(9)
S1—N1	1.622(4)	1.636(4)	1.626(4)	1.628(4)	1.629(2)
S2—N2	1.626(4)	1.624(4)	1.625(4)	1.622(4)	1.629(2)
N1-C1	1.338(6)	1.336(6)	1.328(6)	1.346(6)	1.336(3)
N2—C1	1.342(6)	1.339(6)	1.330(6)	1.337(6)	1.330(3)
C1—C2	1.476(6)	1.469(6)	1.485(7)	1.474(6)	1.479(3)
S11—S12	2.0840(19)	2.0798(18)	2.0753(18)	2.0841(18)	2.0972(10)
S11—N11	1.633(4)	1.639(4)	1.628(4)	1.639(4)	1.626(2)
S12—N12	1.634(4)	1.621(4)	1.636(4)	1.621(4)	1.629(2)
N11-C11	1.337(6)	1.339(6)	1.339(6)	1.338(6)	1.339(3)
N12-C11	1.333(6)	1.339(6)	1.339(6)	1.336(6)	1.334(3)
C11—C12	1.478(6)	1.483(6)	1.480(6)	1.485(6)	1.485(4)
N1—S1—S2	94.27(16)	94.67(16)	94.01(16)	95.21(16)	94.40(8)
N2—S2—S1	94.60(15)	94.16(15)	94.63(16)	94.59(16)	94.42(8)
C1-N1-S1	115.0(3)	114.0(3)	114.6(3)	113.1(3)	114.27(18)
C1—N2—S2	114.4(3)	114.9(3)	114.0(3)	114.2(3)	114.39(17)
N2-C1-N1	121.7(4)	122.1(4)	122.8(4)	122.8(4)	122.5(2)
N2-C1-C2	117.1(4)	119.3(4)	118.2(4)	118.9(4)	118.7(2)
N1-C1-C2	121.2(4)	118.5(4)	119.0(4)	118.2(4)	118.7(2)
N11—S11—S12	94.19(16)	94.96(15)	94.25(16)	94.80(15)	94.56(8)
N12—S12—S11	94.91(15)	94.50(15)	95.21(15)	94.28(16)	94.12(8)
C11-N11-S11	114.4(3)	113.3(3)	114.8(3)	113.6(3)	114.21(19)
C11—N12—S12 <sup>e</sup>	113.7(3)	114.6(3)	113.5(3)	115.0(3)	114.57(19)
N12-C11-N11	122.8(4)	122.6(4)	122.3(4)	122.3(4)	122.5(2)
N12-C11-C12	118.9(4)	117.9(4)	120.7(4)	117.2(4)	119.1(2)
N11-C11-C12	118.3(4)	119.5(4)	117.0(4)	120.4(4)	118.4(2)
	<b>3a</b> -i <sup>f</sup>	<b>3a</b> -ii <sup>f</sup>	<b>3b</b> -i <sup>g</sup>	<b>3b</b> -ii <sup><i>g</i></sup>	2
Sb1-C20	2.143(6)	2.155(6)	2.146(5)	2.154(7)	2.146(5)
Sb1-C30	2.150(10)	2.170(10)	2.143(7)	2.148(7)	2.143(7)
Sb1—C40	2.151(9)	2.161(9)	2.139(8)	2.139(7)	2.139(8)
C20—Sb1—C30	98.0(3)	95.2(3)	96.5(3)	96.1(3)	97.46(9)
C20—Sb1—C40	95.7(3)	95.5(3)	96.5(2)	97.4(3)	96.88(9)
C30—Sb1—C40	96.0(3)	97.5(3)	96.0(3)	95.5(3)	95.76(9)
∑∠(C-Sb-C)	289.7(4)	288.2(4)	289.0(3)	289.0(4)	290.1(11)

114	Table 1. Selected DTDA interatomic distances	(Å) and angles (°	) from the crystal structures of 1 - 3.
-----	--	-------------------	---

<sup>a</sup> The atom numbering scheme is that of **2**, see Figure 1. <sup>b</sup> Dimer i: S1S2;S3S4. <sup>c</sup> Dimer ii: S5,S6;S7S8. <sup>d</sup> Dimer iii:

S9,S10;S11S12. <sup>e</sup> Dimer iv: S13,S14;S15S16. <sup>f</sup> CSD refcode: ZZZEHA01; 2 mol. per eq. pos.<sup>25 g</sup> CSD refcode:
 ZZZEHA02; 2 mol. per eq. pos.<sup>26</sup>

118 Within the heterocycles, the average S–S bond length of 2.0919(9) Å in **2** (Table 1) can be compared to a mean 119 of 2.085(3) Å for four such bonds in **1**; the average S–N bond length of 1.628(1) Å with a mean of 1.629(7) Å in

- 120 **1**; the average N1–C1 bond length of 1.335(3) Å with a mean of 1.338(3) Å in **1** and the average C1–C2 bond
- 121 length of 1.482(3) Å with a mean of 1.477(5) Å in **1**. Each parameter in **2** is therefore comfortably within the
- 122 statistical ranges observed for the independent values found in the structure of **1** except the S–S bond length
- which is *statistically* longer in **2**; however, the difference is just 0.3%, so is unlikely to be chemically significant.

Triphenylstibine, 3, is a long-known compound; structures have been reported in triclinic (refcode: ZZZEHA01) 124 <sup>25</sup> and monoclinic (refcode: ZZZEHA02) polymorphs,<sup>26</sup> both of which have two independent molecules per 125 asymmetric unit. The Ph<sub>3</sub>Sb geometry is remarkably uniform amongst all of these structures (Table 1). Thus the 126 127 mean Sb-C distance in 2 of 2.153(6) Å is well within the s.u. of the mean values for the five independent 128 molecules in the comparison set at 2.150(8) Å, whilst the mean C-Sb-C pyramidal angles in 2 at 96.7(7)° is also 129 within s.u. of 96.3(8)° in the comparison set. The close-to-90° angles at antimony, which is a feature of heavy 130 Group 15 element chemistry, are possibly of importance for stabilizing the pseudo-cuboidal dimerization of 131 Ph<sub>3</sub>Sb also depicted in Figure 1. This geometry is almost indistinguishable from that in the monoclinic form of **3** 132 (see Figure S7 in the ESI). The shortest contacts are "T-interactions" from a ring C atom to a CH of the other component, with lengths in 2 and 3 of 2.915 and 2.862 Å. This association of two strongly pyramidal triphenyl 133 134 components is reminiscent of the supramolecular organization of  $Ph_4P^+$  cations which has been dubbed the

135 "sextuple phenyl embrace" with an estimated attraction energy of 60–85 kJ·mol<sup>-1.27</sup>



136

Figure 2. Simplified "cartoons" depicting the arrangements of clusters within one double-layer, which occur in
 the crystal lattices of 1 (a) and 2 (b); for detailed diagrams of these layers see the ESI (Figures S3 and S5).

The supramolecular architecture of 1, beyond its *cis*-oid dimerization,<sup>4</sup> is dominated by a 'pin-wheel' 139 140 arrangement of four such DTDA dimers into a square pattern, with short inter-molecular contacts between 141 dimers, from the 'end' of one set to the 'side' of the next, continuing around the square. To start the 142 discussion, consider the simplified diagram in Figure 2. There are two such sets of centrosymmetric pin-wheels, 143  $(A \pm D)$  and  $(A' \pm D')$ , each composed of four different monomers that are symmetry duplicated. Thus, in Figure 2a, dimers A and C are the same two molecules but reversed in this top-down view, as are B and D; the second 144 145 pinwheel is similarly composed of A'/C' and B'/D'. This type of pin-wheel motif has been observed in several DTDA crystal structures;<sup>2</sup> it is most common for structures that adopt the tetragonal space group  $I4_1/a$ . 146 Examples include [2,6-F<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>-CN<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (refcode: VUXZEU02);<sup>28</sup> [2,5-F<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>-CN<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (refcodes: NIHBAH and 147

- 148 NIHBAH01);<sup>29,30</sup> and  $[1,3-(S_2N_2C)_2-C_6H_4]_2$  (refcode: SOBSOR).<sup>31</sup> There is one report of pin-wheels in space group 149 *I*42*m*,  $[1,3-CN_2S_2-5-{}^tBu-C_6H_3]_2$  (refcode: POYXAC).<sup>32</sup> The lattice of **1** *appears* as if it should be tetragonal (i.e.
- thereby rendering the two pin-wheels equivalent) but it is undoubtedly the distortions induced by the bulky  $CF_3$
- 151 groups that frustrate full adoption of such symmetry. Indeed, there are precedents for this too: in [1,3,5-
- 152  $(S_2N_2C)_3-C_6H_3]_2$ , pin wheels exist in space group  $P2_1/c$  although its lattice is metrically close to tetragonal
- 153 (refcode: KUFDUK),<sup>33</sup> whilst in  $[3,5-Cl_2-C_6H_3-CN_2S_2]_2$  (refcode: DIXNEF) in space group *P*1, the lattice contains a
- 154 mixture of tetrameric pin-wheels of dimers and isolated doublets of dimers.<sup>34</sup>
- 155 The most remarkable supramolecular feature of 2 is the series of 'end-on' short contacts between the four sulphur atoms of the DTDA dimer and the aryl ring atoms C42-C45, which range from 3.168(3) - 3.463(3)Å [0.33] 156 157 to 0.04 Å <  $\Sigma r_{vdW}$ ] as shown in Figure 1. All of these carbon atoms are part of one phenyl ring belonging to a  $Ph_3Sb$  and the mutual orientation of the components in **2** precludes interaction with the antimony donor 158 electron pair. There are additional aryl ring "T-interactions" between the DTDA aryl H atoms and ring carbon 159 atoms of the stibine, which results in an alternating pattern of  $(DTDA)_2 \pm Ph_3Sb \pm (DTDA)_2 \pm Ph_3Sb$  which, 160 although somewhat rectangular, strongly resembles the pin-wheel arrays in 1 (Figure 2b). This cluster is also 161 162 centrosymmetric, so that dimer G is the inverse of E, and H the inverse of F. In both structures, the assemblies 163 occur within well-defined layers. Thus, one way to describe the supramolecular architecture of 2 is that Ph<sub>3</sub>Sb 164 molecules, each also part of their own pseudo-cuboidal dimers, replace every second DTDA dimer specifically at the site of the "end-on" bonding (Figure 2b). 165



166

**Figure 3**. One of two symmetry-independent, centrosymmetric, pin-wheel clusters in the asymmetric unit of **1** showing intermolecular contacts shorter than ( $\Sigma r_{vdW} - 0.2$  Å). H atoms have been removed to enhance visibility. [Symmetry codes: (i) x,-1+y,z; (ii) 1+x,-1+y,z, (iii) 1+x,-1+y,z, (iv) -x,1-y,1-z, (v) 1-x,1-y,1-z.] The CF<sub>3</sub> groups belonging to molecules *iv* and *v* are rotationally disordered (for details, see the ESI).

171 In Figure 3, one of the two essentially equivalent pin-wheels in the structure of **1** is shown in molecular detail. 172 For a more extended view of the lattice, please see the ESI (Figure S3), where several sets of the two 173 symmetry-independent pin-wheels are depicted from a top view and a side view. The latter emphasizes the 174 "double-layer" structure consisting of slices of the lattice that are parallel to the (1 1 0) Miller planes and are 175 about 8.3 Å thick. Metric data for the intermolecular contacts both between the monomers and between the

- 177 Noteworthy is the relative shortness of all these contacts, i.e. all the blue lines in Figure 3 are from contacts
- shorter than ( $\Sigma r_{vdW} 0.2$  Å). By contrast, the pin-wheels in the slices above and below the one that is drawn in
- 179 Figure S3 are partly offset and the shortest contacts from one slice to the next are S3...S5' at 3.711(2) and
- 180 S10…S14' at 3.765(2) Å, much weaker interactions that are *longer* than  $\Sigma r_{vdW}$ .



181

**Figure 4**. Unit cell contents of the centrosymmetric crystal structure of **2** showing intermolecular contacts shorter than  $\Sigma r_{vdW}$ . H atoms except those involved in contacts and the CF<sub>3</sub> groups on front upper and back lower DTDA have been removed to enhance visibility. [Symmetry code: (i) 1-x,1-y,1-z.]

- Similarly, Figure 4 presents a more detailed view of the intermolecular contacts that support the supramolecular architecture of the crystal lattice of **2**. A more extended view of the lattice and a side-view is provided in the ESI (Figure S5) Metric data for the intermolecular contacts shown by the blue dotted-lines in
- 188 Figure 4 are reported in Table S2. Noteworthy here is that the shortest sulphur-carbon interaction of 3.168(3) Å
- is as short when expressed as (distance <  $\Sigma r_{vdW}$ ) to the sulphur-sulphur inter-molecular contacts in **1** (see Tables
- 190 S1 and S2 in the ESI), i.e. they appear to be of comparable strength.



191

**Figure 5.** Interaction of a  $Ph_3Sb$  phenyl ring with a 6:5 junction bond of  $C_{60}$  in the adduct structure (refcode: YIKVET).<sup>19</sup> The carbon atoms in the fullerene are rendered orange for contrast.

For the sole other example of supramolecular interactions to  $Ph_3Sb$  of the type observed in **2** we must turn (Figure 5) to a co-crystal with fullerene, **4**. This structure (refcode: YIKVET) <sup>35</sup> displays a side-on interaction from

196	the face of one of the three phenyl rings over a 6:5 ring junction of $C_{60}$ (there are altogether six $Ph_3Sb$
197	associated with each $C_{60}$ molecule, see the ESI, Figure S6). The contact distances are on the order of the $\Sigma r_{vdW}$
198	(3.48(1) – 3.65(1) Å) and were attributed to an electrostatic interaction between a region of partial negative
199	charge in the center of the phenyl ring and a region of partial positive charge on the $C_{60}$ surface, <sup>35</sup> although
200	there is almost certainly a significant contributions from dispersion. To test this hypothesis, a PBEPBE/6-
201	311+g(2df,2p) DFT calculation was undertaken (see Figure S8 and Table S4, ESI) on a somewhat simplified
202	model. The average NPA charge of the (model) benzene C atoms is -0.183 e and of the 6:5 junction C atoms is
203	+0.006, so that $\Delta q$ is 0.189 e. When a similar calculation is performed on a model system for <b>2</b> , the sulphur
204	atoms bear an average NPA charge of +0.465 e (Figure 6 and Table 2) and the average benzene C charge is -
205	0.182 <i>e</i> , so that $\Delta q$ is 0.647 <i>e</i> . The net dipole moment of 6.9 Debye is oriented along the middle of the DTDA
206	dimer and is directed to the benzene ring face.

207	Table 2. Compilation of	f computed NPA	atomic charges in the	model system for 2 <sup>4</sup>
			0	,

Atom	Charge	Atom	Charge
S1	0.463	C8	1.010
S2	0.467	F1	-0.317
N1	-0.716	F2	-0.323
N2r	-0.705	F3	-0.347
C1	0.497	C40	-0.203
C2	-0.114	C41	-0.173
C3	-0.135	C42	-0.170
C4	-0.158	C43	-0.179
C5	-0.107	C44	-0.182
C6	-0.160	C45	-0.187
C7	-0.130		

<sup>a</sup> Data taken from PBEPBE/6-311+g(2df,2p) DFT calculation. A full listing is provided in Table S3, ESI.



209

- **Figure 6**. Computed NPA atomic charges from a PBEPBE/6-311+g(2df,2p) DFT calculation and the net dipole
- 211 moment of a model structure in which the pendant  $Ph_2Sb$  group has been removed. Red indicates regions of 212 negative charge and green is positive.

### 213 Experimental

214 General

215 Unless otherwise indicated, all procedures were performed under an atmosphere of purified N<sub>2</sub> using a glovebox, Schlenkware, and vacuum-line techniques. Solvents used were reagent-grade or better. Acetonitrile 216 (HPLC grade) was double-distilled from  $P_2O_5$  and  $CaH_2$  and diethyl ether was distilled from 217 sodium/benzophenone. SCl<sub>2</sub> was distilled under protection from moisture (5 mL crude containing 1 mL PCl<sub>3</sub>), 218 219 stored on ice, and used within a few hours. Infrared spectra were obtained as Nujol mulls between CsI plates 220 and were recorded on a Bomem MB102 Fourier transform spectrometer. Melting points (capillaries) were 221 determined on an Electrothermal melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Combustion analysis was performed by M-H-W Laboratories, Phoenix, AZ. Gradient sublimation was undertaken using a home-build 3-222 223 zone tube furnace under dynamic vacuum for initial purification followed by slow sublimation in a sealed, 224 evacuated Pyrex tube (15 mm i.d. × 600 mm). The zone temperatures were adjusted based on visual inspection 225 of the progress of sublimation. The silvlated amidine  $4-F_3CC_6H_4C(=NTMS)N(TMS)_2$  was prepared by the literature method.<sup>36</sup> 226

#### 227 Preparation of 1

In a typical preparation, 5.0 g (13.5 mmol) of 4-F<sub>3</sub>CC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>C(=NTMS)N(TMS)<sub>2</sub> was warmed into 40 mL of CH<sub>3</sub>CN, 228 229 whereupon excess, freshly distilled, SCl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL, excess) was added through the top of a reflux condenser with 230 vigorous agitation. After several hours refluxing, the solution was cooled to ambient and filtered under inert 231 gas. The dried  $4-F_3CC_6H_4CN_2S_2^+Cl^-$  was re-suspended in a minimum quantity of warm acetonitrile, freeze-thaw degassed 3×, and then 2.5 g solid Ph<sub>3</sub>Sb (7 mmol, slight excess based on the amidine) was added from a solids 232 233 addition funnel. After refluxing for 30 min, the solution was cooled to ambient after which volatiles were 234 removed using vacuum. The dried cake was transferred (caution: glove box!) to a borosilicate glass sublimation 235 tube (20 mm i.d. × 600 mm) and sublimed in a dynamic vacuum in a horizontal tube furnace. The crude, black, 236 sublimed material was then placed in a narrower tube, evacuated and sealed by melting the constricted neck. 237 Careful gradient sublimation using three heating zones resulted in some colourless crystals near the origin and 238 well-formed but small needles amongst large blocks of purple to black crystals. Crystals were harvested in a 239 glove box by sacrificing the glass tube.

#### 240 X-ray Crystallography

241 A thin, dark purple-black, needle corresponding to 1 was selected, coated in Paratone<sup>™</sup> oil, mounted on the 242 end of a thin glass capillary and cooled on the goniometer head to 173(2) K with the Bruker low-temperature 243 accessory. A large red-purple block corresponding to 2 was likewise selected and mounted, but the best dataset could be obtained at 263(2) K. A full hemisphere of data was collected for each on a Bruker APEX-II 244 245 diffractometer using Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$  = 0.71073 Å) controlled by APEX2 software.<sup>37</sup> A multi-scan absorption correction (SADABS) <sup>37</sup> was applied to the data, scaled and corrected for polarization (SAINT-Plus),<sup>37</sup> where 246 after the structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXS or SHELXT)<sup>24,38</sup> and refinement was conducted with 247 full-matrix least-squares on  $F^2$  using SHELXL-2014.<sup>39</sup> H atoms attached to carbon were observed in a fine-248 focused Fourier map and were treated as riding on their attached aromatic carbon atoms with C-H = 0.95 Å 249 250 and  $U_{iso} = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$  for the purpose of model refinement. The structure of **1** has disorder of the CF<sub>3</sub> groups in one of four independent DTDA dimers. An adequate two part disorder model was developed; restraints were 251 252 required to ensure adequate geometries. For a detailed description and graphics, see the ESI (Figure S9). The 253 structure of **2** displays a similar disorder applying to both  $CF_3$  groups for which a model akin to that used for **1** 254 was developed. Details are in the ESI (Figure S10). In the refinement of both structures, the displacement ellipsoids were globally restrained using the newly developed RIGU code in SHELX-2014.<sup>39,40</sup> This was necessary 255

256 to prevent oblate or NPD fluorine displacement ellipsoids and was also valuable for the increased thermal 257 motion in the structure of **2** determined at 263 K. Crystal and experimental parameters are compiled in Table 3, 258 and selected interatomic distances are available in Table 1. More detailed crystal structure reports are 259 available in Tables S5 & S6, ESI. Structures were visualized and the lattice geometrical properties were analyzed with the use of Mercury v3.7.41 Structure depositions: 1, CCDC 1452129 and 2 CCDC 1452130, contain the 260 supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained, free of charge, via 261 http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/products/csd/request/ (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 262 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, U.K. (Fax: 44-1223-336033 or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk)). 263

**Table 3.** Crystal, structure determination and refinement parameters

Parameter	1	2
Formula	$C_8H_4F_3N_2S_2$	$C_{34}H_{23}F_6N_4S_4Sb$
FW (amu)	249.25	851.55
Temperature (K)	173(2)	263(2) K
Radiation, $\lambda$ (Å)	Mo, 0.71073	Mo, 0.71073
Crystal system	Triclinic	Triclinic
Space group	P1	P1
a (Å)	9.4916(9)	11.4543(10)
b (Å)	18.1887(17)	11.7399(10)
<i>c</i> (Å)	22.275(2)	13.9480(12)
α (°)	91.5790(10)	73.3640(10)
β (°)	97.3290(10)	73.2470(10)
γ (°)	102.7550(10)	82.5790(10)
Volume (Å <sup>3</sup> )	3713.8(6)	1718.6(3)
Ζ	16	2
D <sub>calc</sub> (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.783	1. 646
μ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	0.583	1.110
F(000)	2000	848
Crystal size (mm <sup>3</sup> )	0.18 x 0.10 x 0.04	0.460 x 0.420 x 0.280
heta range (°)	1.847 to 26.220	1.813 to 28.578°
Index ranges:	$-11 \leq h \leq 11$	-15 ≤ <i>h</i> ≤ 15
	-22 ≤ <i>k</i> ≤ 22	-15 ≤ <i>k</i> ≤ 15
	-27 ≤ / ≤ 27	-18 ≤ <i>l</i> ≤ 18
Total rfl.	39140	19773
Indep. rfl.	14828	8041
R(int)	0.0812	0.0195
Compl. θ 25.5°	99.6 %	99.6 %
Abs. corr.	Semi-empirical from equivalents	
Max. and min. transmission	0.900	0.900
	0.811	0.717
Data / restraints / parameters <sup>a</sup>	14828 / 1034 / 1137	8041 / 594 / 516
GOF <i>, F</i> <sup>2</sup>	0.973	1.054
Final R indices [ $I>2\sigma$ ]	$R_1 = 0.0540, wR_2 = 0.0930$	$R_1 = 0.0313, wR_2 = 0.0789$
R indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.1396$ , $wR_2 = 0.1185$	$R_1 = 0.0404$ , $wR_2 = 0.0864$
Larg. pk (e/Å <sup>-3</sup> )	0.470	0.664
Larg. hole (e/Å <sup>-3</sup> )	-0.456	-0.590

**SrystEngComm Accepted Manuscript** 

<sup>*a*</sup> Full-matrix least-squares on  $F^2$ .

#### 266 Computation

For the DFT calculations, a simplified model with benzene representing the phenyl group of **2** was employed. 267 using the crystal structure geometry to define the shape. The pendant Ph<sub>2</sub>Sb group was removed and replaced 268 269 by an H atom at standard C-H distances using the program GaussView 5.0. A density functional theory (DFT) 270 calculation was undertaken at this static geometry at the PBEPBE/6-311+G(2df,2p) level of theory in Gaussian W03 on a personal computer under Windows 7.<sup>42</sup> The lack of availability of good parameters for antimony in 271 high-level basis sets was the main reason for excluding it from these calculations. The Normal Population 272 273 Analysis atomic charges and the calculated dipole moment were visualized in GaussView (Figure 7). In an 274 analogous fashion, a model of C<sub>60</sub> surrounded by a hexagonal array of six benzene rings in the location of Ph<sub>3</sub>Sb 275 phenyl rings was computed as a model for 4 (see ESI, Figure S8 and Table S4).

#### 276 Conclusions

Co-sublimation of rather volatile 4-CF<sub>3</sub>-substituted DTDA 1 with triphenylstibine 3 results in a well-defined 1:1 277 278 adduct 2 that is linked by supramolecular contacts between the electropositive heterocycle sulphur atoms and 279 the negative charge associated with the phenyl ring  $\pi$ -system. The structure determined for **2** shows 280 remarkable similarity to that of the parent DTDA dimer; in place of the 'pin-wheel' arrangement of four such dimers in the lattice of  $\mathbf{1}$ , the adduct shows two DTDA dimers and two Ph<sub>3</sub>Sb unit, resulting in a slightly 281 282 rectangular arrangement in place of the symmetrical square. The aromatic interactions do not disrupt the 283 'pancake bonding' within DTDA dimers, but involve the sulphur terminus of the rings in a longitudinal interaction of a type that dominates DTDA crystal engineering.<sup>2</sup> A preliminary investigation of NPA charges 284 shows a significantly larger electrostatic component to the interaction in 2 compared to the  $C_{60}$  adduct 4, 285 consistent with shorter intermolecular contact distances in 2 compared to 4. 286

Ph<sub>3</sub>Sb may be a very suitable complexing agent for many thiazyl radicals;<sup>35</sup> the resulting supramolecular architectures may be capable of further optimization to achieve desirable solid-state properties. Further progress in DTDA-aromatic supramolecular chemistry may be anticipated by concentrating on very electron rich aromatics – mesitylene or durene as benzene derivatives – but also PAHs such as triphenylene <sup>43</sup> or perylene. By employing *radical aromatics* such as phenalenyl, it may indeed be possible to engineer mixed DTDA/aromatic pancake dimers.<sup>44,45</sup>

#### 293 Acknowledgements

The Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) is gratefully acknowledged for generous support through the Discovery Grants program. The diffractometer was purchased with the help of NSERC and the University of Lethbridge. Many students have worked on dithiadiazolyl chemistry in my laboratory over the years. Their names appear in the cited references. The anonymous referees are thanked for several helpful suggestions.

#### 299 **References**

3001.R. T. Boeré and T. L. Roemmele, "Chalcogen–Nitrogen Radicals". In: Jan Reedijk and Kenneth301Poeppelmeier, editors. Comprehensive Inorganic Chemistry II, 2013, Vol 1. Oxford: Elsevier. p. 375- 411.

302 2. D. A. Haynes, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, **13**, 4793–4805.

303	3.	S. Domagala, K. Kosc, S. W. Robinson, D. A. Haynes and K. Woźniak, Cryst. Growth Des., 2014, 14, 4834-
304		4848.
305	4.	K. E. Preuss, <i>Polyhedron</i> , 2014, <b>79</b> , 1–15.
306	5.	H. Z. Beneberu, Y-H. Tian and M. Kertesz, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2012, <b>14,</b> 10713–10725.
307	6.	R. T. Oakley, <i>Prog. Inorg. Chem.</i> , 1988, <b>36</b> , 299-391
308	7.	F. H. Allen, <i>Acta Cryst.</i> 2002, B <b>58</b> , 380–388.
309	8.	C. D. Bryan, A. W. Cordes, R. C. Haddon, R. G. Hicks, R. T. Oakley, T. T. M. Palstra, A. S. Perel and S. R. Scott,
310		Chem. Mater. 1994. <b>6</b> , 508–515.
311	9.	C. D. Bryan, A. W. Cordes, R. C. Haddon, R. G. Hicks, D. K. Kennepohl, C. D. MacKinnon, R. T. Oakley, T. T.
312		M. Palstra, A. S. Perel, S. R. Scott, L. F. Schneemeyer and J. V. Waszczak, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116,
313		1205–1210.
314	10.	A. J. Banister, M. I. Hansford, Z. V. Hauptman, A. W. Luke, S. T. Wait, W. Clegg and K. A. Jorgensen, J. Chem.
315		Soc. Dalton Trans. 1990, 2793–2808.
316	11.	C. S. Clarke, D. A. Haynes, J. M. Rawson and A. D. Bond, Chem. Commun., 2003, 2774-2775.
317	12.	A. L. Spek, Acta Crystalogr. C. Struct. Chem., 2015, 71, 9-18.
318	13.	C. Allen, D. A. Haynes, C. M. Pask, and J. M. Rawson, CrystEngComm, 2009, 11, 2048–2050.
319	14.	S. W. Robinson, D. A. Haynes and J. M. Rawson, CrystEngComm, 2013, 15, 10205-10211.
320	15.	H. Akpinar, J. T. Mague and P. M. Lahti, CrystEngComm, 2013, <b>15</b> , 831-835.
321	16.	G. M. Espallargas, A. Recuenco, F. M. Romero, L. Brammer and S. Libri, CrystEngComm, 2012, 14, 6381-
322		6383.
323	17.	H. Akpinar, J. T. Mague, M. A. Novak, J. R. Friedman and P. M. Lahti, CrystEngComm, 2012, 14, 1515-1526.
324	18.	A. W. Cordes, R. C. Haddon, R. T. Oakley, L. F. Schneemeyer, J. V. Waszczak, K. M. Young, N. M.
325		Zimmerman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, <b>113</b> , 582-
326	19.	J. M. Cole, C. M. Aherne, J. A. K. Howard, A. J. Banister, P. G. Waddell, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. E: Struct. Rep.
327		<i>Online,</i> 2011, <b>67</b> , o2514.
328	20.	J. M. Cole, C. M. Aherne, P. G. Waddell, A. J. Banister, A. S. Batsanov, J. A. K. Howard, Polyhedron, 2012,
329		<b>45</b> , 61-70.
330	21.	Y. Beldjoudi, D. A. Haynes, J. J. Hayward, W. J. Manning, D. R. Pratt, J. M. Rawson, CrystEngComm, 2013,
331		<b>15</b> , 1107-1113.
332	22.	R. T. Boeré, K. H. Moock, S. Derrick, W. Hoogerdijk, K. Preuss, J. Yip and M. Parvez, Can. J. Chem., 1993, 71,
333		473-486.
334	23.	H. F. Lau, P. C. Y. Ang, V. W. L. Ng, S. L. Kuan, L. Y. Goh, A. S. Borisov, P. Hazendonk, T. L. Roemmele, R. T.
335		Boeré and R. D. Webster, Inorg. Chem. 2008, <b>47</b> , 632–644.
336	24.	G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A, Found. Adv., 2015, <b>71</b> , 3–8.
337	25.	E. A. Adams, J. W. Kolis and W. T. Pennington, Acta Cryst. 1990, C46, 917–919.
338	26.	Effendy, W. J. Grigsby, R. D. Hart, C. L. Raston, B. W. Skelton and A. H. White, Aust. J. Chem., 1997, 50,
339		675–682.
340	27.	I. Dance and M. Scudder, Chem. Eur. J., 1996, <b>2</b> , 481–486.
341	28.	C. S. Clarke, D. A. Haynes, J. N. B. Smith, A. S. Batsanov, J. A. K. Howard, S. I. Pascu and J. M. Rawson,
342		<i>CrystEngCom</i> , 2010, <b>12</b> , 172–185.
343	29.	A. J. Banister, A. S. Batsanov, O. G. Dawe, P. L. Herbertson, J. A. K. Howard, S. Lynn, I. May, J. N. B. Smith, J.
344		M. Rawson, T. E. Rogers, B. K. Tanner, G. Antorrena, F. Palacio, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 2539-
345		2341.
		12

- 346 30. L. Beer, A. W. Cordes, D. J. T. Myles, R. T. Oakley, N. J. Taylor, *CrystEngComm*, 2000, **2**, 109-114.
- 347 31. M. P. Andrews, A. W. Cordes, D. C. Douglass, R. M. Fleming, S. H. Glarum, R. C. Haddon, P. Marsh, R. T.
  348 Oakley, T. T. M. Palstra, L. F. Schneemeyer, G. W. Trucks, R. Tycko, J. V. Waszczak, K. M. Young, N. M.
  349 Zimmerman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 3559-3568.
- 350 32. R. A. Beekman, R. T. Boeré, K. H. Moock, M. Parvez, Can. J. Chem., 1998, **76**, 85-93.
- 33. A. W. Cordes, R. C. Haddon, R. G. Hicks, R. T. Oakley, T. T. M. Palstra, L. F. Schneemeyer, J. V. Waszczak, J.
   Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, **114**, 5000-5004.
- 34. C. P. Constantinides, D. J. Eisler, A.Alberola, E.Carter, D.M.Murphy, J.M.Rawson, CrystEngComm (2014),
  16, 7298-.
- 355 35. M. Fedurco, M. M. Olmstead and W. R. Fawcett, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, **34**, 390–392.
- 356 36. R. T. Boeré, R. T. Oakley and R. W. Reed, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1987, **331**, 161-167.
- 357 37. Bruker (2008). APEX2, SAINT-Plus and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison Wisconsin, USA.
- 358 38. G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A, Found. Crystalog.*, 2008, **64**, 112–122.
- 359 39. G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystalogr. Sect. C,. Struct. Chem.*, 2015, **71**, 3–8.
- 40. A. Thorn, B. Dittrich and G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A, Found. Crystalog.*, 2012, **68**, 448-451.
- 361 41 D. F. Macrae, P. R. Edgington, P. McCabe, E. Pidcock, G. P. Shields, R. Taylor, M. Towler and J. van de
  362 Streek, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 2006, **39**, 453–457.
- 363 42. Gaussian 03, Revision C.02, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. 364 Cheeseman, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., T. Vreven, K. N. Kudin, J. C. Burant, J. M. Millam, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, 365 V. Barone, B. Mennucci, M. Cossi, G. Scalmani, N. Rega, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, M. Klene, X. Li, J. E. 366 367 Knox, H. P. Hratchian, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, P. Y. Avala, K. Morokuma, G. A. Voth, P. 368 369 Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, V. G. Zakrzewski, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, D. K. Malick, 370 A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, Q. Cui, A. G. Baboul, S. Clifford, J. Cioslowski, B. B. 371 Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. 372 Peng, A. Nanayakkara, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, C. Gonzalez, and 373 J. A. Pople, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2004.
- 43. J. C. Collings, K. P. Roscoe, R. L. Thomas, A. S. Batsanov, L. M. Stimson, J. A. K. Howard and T. B. Marder,
   *New J. Chem.*, 2001, **25**, 1410-1417.
- 376 44. S. K. Pal, M.E. Itkis, F. S. Tham, R. W. Reed, R. T. Oakley, B. Donnadieu and R. C. Haddon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*,
  377 2007, **129**, 7163-7174.
- 44. Pradip Bag, Mikhail E. Itkis, Dejan Stekovic, Sushanta K. Pal, Fook S. Tham, and Robert C. Haddon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 10000-10008, and references therein.
- 380