# ChemComm

# Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

### ChemComm



### COMMUNICATION

## Photocatalytic oxidation of iron(II) complexes by dioxygen with 9mesityl-10-methylacridinium ion<sup>†</sup>

Takeshi Tsudaka,<sup>a</sup> Kei Ohkubo<sup>\*ab</sup> and Shunichi Fukuzumi<sup>\*abc</sup>

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

www.rsc.org/

Photocatalytic oxidation of iron(II) complexes by dioxygen occurred using organic photocatalysts, 9-mesityl-10-methylacridinium ion (Acr<sup>+</sup>–Mes) and 2-phenyl-4-(1-naphthyl) quinolinium ion (QuPh<sup>+</sup>–NA), in the presence of triflic acid in acetonitrile under visible light irradiation. The electron-transfer state of Acr<sup>+</sup>–Mes produced upon photoexcitation oxidized iron(II) complexes, whereas it reduced dioxygen with protons to produce iron(III) complexes and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

Metal complexes are usually oxidized by inorganic oxidants such as cerium ammonium nitrate and lead dioxide.<sup>1-5</sup> In such cases, the stoichiometric amounts of inorganic oxidants are required to obtain oxidized metal complexes, producing inorganic wastes which cause environmental problems. The ideal oxidant. which is environmentally benign, is dioxygen (O<sub>2</sub>), producing only hydrogen peroxide or water as the reduced product. However, the oxidation of metal complexes by O<sub>2</sub> is often endergonic even in the presence of an acid. Thus, an appropriate photocatalyst is required for the oxidation of metal complexes by  $O_2$  in the presence of an acid. Ruthenium(II) complexes, such as  $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$  (bpy = 2,2'bipyridine) are known to be oxidized by O2 in the presence of an acid to yield the corresponding Ru(III) complexes.<sup>6,7</sup> On the other hand, organic photocatalysts have merited increasing attention for a variety of oxidation reactions.<sup>8-14</sup> However, there has been no report on photocatalytic oxidation of metal complexes by O<sub>2</sub> using organic photocatalysts.

We report herein photocatalytic oxidation of iron(II) complexes by  $O_2$  using 9-mesityl-10-methylacridinium ion  $(Acr^+-Mes)^{15}$  and 2-

phenyl-4-(1-naphthyl)quinolinium ion  $(QuPh^+-NA)^{16}$  as organic photocatalysts in the presence of triflic acid (HOTf) in acetonitrile (MeCN) under visible light irradiation [eqn (1)]. Visible light irradiation of an O<sub>2</sub>-saturated acetonitrile was shown in Fig. 1, where the absorption band at 520 nm due to  $[Fe^{II}(bpy)_3]^{2+}$  decreased, accompanied by increase in absorption at 650 nm due to  $[Fe^{II}(bpy)_3]^{3+}$ .  $[Fe^{II}(bpy)_3]^{2+}$  was not oxidized without Acr<sup>+</sup>–Mes under irradiation (Figs. S1 and S2 in ESI<sup>+</sup>). The reduced product of





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Department of Material and Life Science, Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University, ALCA and SENTAN, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan. E-mail: ookubo@chem.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp; Fax: +81-6-6879-7370

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry and Nano Science, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750, Korea. E-mail: fukuzumi@chem.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Faculty of Science and Technology, Meijo University, ALCA and SENTAN, Japan Science and Technology Agency (JST), Nagoya, Aichi 468-8502, Japan

*<sup>†</sup> Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental and kinetic details. See DOI:* 

		$E_{\rm ox}$ vs.		Acr <sup>+</sup> -Mes			QuPh <sup>+</sup> –NA		_
Entry	Fe <sup>II</sup> complex	SCE <sup><i>a</i></sup> , V	$\Delta G_{\rm ox},{\rm eV}$	Yield <sup><i>d</i></sup> , %	TON	$\Phi^{f}, \%$	Yield <sup>e</sup> , %	TON	${oldsymbol{\Phi}}^{f},\%$
1 b	[Fe <sup>II</sup> (Clphen) <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>2+</sup>	1.20	0.90	5	0.25	0.11	30	1.5	0.34
2 <sup>b</sup>	$[Fe^{II}(bpy)_3]^{2+}$	1.06	0.62	42	4.2	0.32	32	3.5	0.73
3 <sup>b</sup>	$\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{Me}_{2}\mathrm{bpy})_{3}\right]^{2+}$	0.88	0.26	22	2.1	1.6	30	3.0	5.2
4 <sup>c</sup>	$Fe^{II}(BrC_5H_4)_2$	0.72	-0.06	81	4.1	7.2	87	4.2	13
5 °	$\operatorname{Fe}^{II}(\operatorname{BrC}_5\operatorname{H}_4)(\operatorname{C}_5\operatorname{H}_5)$	0.53	-0.44	81	4.1	19	60	3.0	26

**Table 1** Product and quantum yields ( $\Phi$ ) of iron(III) complexes for photocatalytic oxidation of iron(II) complexes by O<sub>2</sub> in the presence of HOTf together with the one-electron oxidation potentials of iron(II) complexes ( $E_{ox}$ ) and the free energy change of the oxidation ( $\Delta G_{ox}$ )

Clphen = 5-chloro-1,10-phenanthroline, bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine, Me<sub>2</sub>bpy = 4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine, BrC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub> = bromocyclopentadienyl, C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub> =cyclopentadienyl, Reaction conditions: [photocatalyst] = 0.20 mM; [HOTf] = 0.10 M; [[Fe<sup>II</sup>(Clphen)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>(PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>)<sub>2</sub>] = 1.0 mM, [[Fe<sup>II</sup>(bpy)<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>(PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>)<sub>2</sub>] = 2.0 mM, [[Fe<sup>II</sup>(BrC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] = 1.0 mM, [Fe<sup>II</sup>(BrC<sub>5</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)(C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)] = 2.0 mM; <sup>a</sup> Taken from ref. 19.; <sup>b</sup> MeCN (0.40 mL), Cell path length 0.1 cm; <sup>c</sup> MeCN (3.0 mL), Cell path length 1 cm; <sup>d</sup> Photoirradiation ( $\lambda > 390$  nm); <sup>c</sup> ( $\lambda > 300$  nm); <sup>f</sup> see the experimental section in the ESI†

 $O_2$  was  $H_2O_2$ , which was detected by spectral titration with use of the oxo[5,10,15,20-tetra(4-pyridyl)porphyrinato]titanium(IV) complex (see the experimental section in the ESI<sup>†</sup>).<sup>17</sup>

The photocatalytic oxidation of other iron(II) complexes by  $O_2$ was examined in the presence of HOTf in  $O_2$ -saturated MeCN (Figs. S3-S6 in ESI<sup>†</sup>). The quantum yields of formation of iron(III) complexes were determined using a ferrioxalate actinometer (see Experimental Section in ESI<sup>†</sup>).<sup>18</sup> The quantum yields ( $\Phi$ ) of photocatalytic oxidation of iron(II) complexes by  $O_2$  in the presence of HOTf in MeCN are listed in Table 1 together with the concentrations of iron(II) complexes, HOTf and  $O_2$ , the oneelectron oxidation potentials of iron(II) complexes ( $E_{ox}$ )<sup>19</sup> and the free energy change of the oxidation ( $\Delta G_{ox}$ ). The  $\Delta G_{ox}$  values were evaluated from the  $E_{ox}$  values and the  $E_{red}$  value of  $O_2$  in the presence of an acid in MeCN (0.75 V vs. SCE) [eqn (2)].<sup>20</sup>

$$\Delta G_{\rm ox} = -2e(E_{\rm red} - E_{\rm ox}) \tag{2}$$

Judging from the  $\Delta G_{ox}$  values, the photocatalytic oxidation of  $[\text{Fe}^{II}(\text{Clphen})_3]^{2+}$ ,  $[\text{Fe}^{II}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  and  $[\text{Fe}^{II}(\text{Me}_2\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$  is endergonic, whereas that of  $\text{Fe}^{II}(\text{BrC}_5\text{H}_4)_2$  and  $\text{Fe}^{II}(\text{BrC}_5\text{H}_4)(\text{C}_5\text{H}_5)$  is exergonic. The  $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$  values increased with decreasing the  $E_{ox}$  values of iron(II) complexes as the free energy change of the reaction in eqn (1) decreased to be thermodynamically more favourable. Dependence of  $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$  on concentrations of  $[\text{Fe}^{II}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}(\text{PF}_6^{-})_2$ , HOTf and O<sub>2</sub> is shown in Fig. 2 (part a, b and c, respectively). The  $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$  value became constant with increasing concentrations of  $[\text{Fe}^{II}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}(\text{PF}_6^{-})_2$ , HOTf and O<sub>2</sub>, respectively. The photocatalytic oxidation of iron(II) complexes was enhanced by using QuPh<sup>+</sup>–NA instead of Acr<sup>+</sup>–Mes as shown in Table 1. (Figs. S7-S11 in ESI<sup>+</sup>)

Nanosecond laser flash photolysis measurements were performed in order to clarify the catalytic mechanism for photocatalytic oxidation of iron(II) complexes by  $O_2$  with Acr<sup>+</sup>-Mes in the presence of HOTf in MeCN. Transient absorption spectra were taken after the nanosecond laser excitation at 355 nm of a deaerated MeCN solution of Acr<sup>+</sup>-Mes in the absence and presence of  $[Fe^{II}(bpy)_3]^{2+}(PF_6)_2$  as shown in Fig. 3. The transient absorption band at 490 nm is due to the electron-transfer state of Acr<sup>+</sup>–Mes. In the presence of  $[Fe^{II}(bpy)_3]^{2+}$  the absorption at 490 nm decayed more rapidly and the decay rate increased with increasing concentration of  $[Fe^{II}(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ . The decay rate obeyed pseudo-first-order kinetics and the pseudo-first order rate constant increased linearly with increasing concentration of  $[Fe^{II}(bpy)_3]^{2+}(PF_6)_2$ . From the slope the rate constant  $(k_{ox})$  of electron transfer from  $[Fe^{II}(bpy)_3]^{2+}$  to the electron-transfer state of Acr<sup>+</sup>–Mes was determined to be  $3.7 \times 10^8$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> as shown in the

inset of Fig. 3. Similarly the  $k_{ox}$  values of other iron(II) complexes were determined (see Figs. S15-S23 in ESI<sup>†</sup>) as listed in Table 2



**Fig. 2** Dependence of  $\Phi$  on concentrations of (a)  $[Fe^{II}(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ , (b) HOTf and (c) O<sub>2</sub>. Standard conditions:  $[[Acr^+-Mes](CIO_4^-)] = 1.0 \text{ mM}; [[Fe^{II}(bpy)_3]^{2+}(PF_6^-)_2] = 2.0 \text{ mM}; [HOTf] = 0.15 \text{ M}; [O_2] = 2.6 \text{ mM}; dehydrated MeCN (0.40 mL); Cell path length 0.1 cm; Excitation wavelength: 420 nm.$ 



**Fig. 3** Transient absorption decay at 490 nm due to the electron-transfer state of  $[Acr^{+}-Mes](ClO_{4}^{-})$  with various concentrations of  $[Fe^{II}(bpy)_{3}]^{2^{+}}(PF_{6}^{-})_{2}$ . Inset: decay rate constant versus concentrations of  $[Fe^{II}(bpy)_{3}]^{2^{+}}(PF_{6}^{-})_{2}$ .

Journal Name

**Table 2** Rate constants of electron transfer from iron(II) complexes to the electron-transfer state of organic photocatalyst and the one-electron oxidation potentials of iron(II) complexes ( $E_{ox}$ )

		$E_{\rm ox}$ vs.	Acr <sup>+</sup> –Mes	QuPh <sup>+</sup> –NA
Entry	Fe <sup>II</sup> complex	$SCE^{a}, V$	$k_{\rm et},{\rm M}^{-1}{\rm s}^{-1}$	$k_{\rm et},{\rm M}^{-1}{\rm s}^{-1}$
1	[Fe <sup>II</sup> (Clphen) <sub>3</sub> ] <sup>2+</sup>	1.20	$1.4 \times 10^{8}$	$4.9 \times 10^{8}$
2	$[Fe^{II}(bpy)_3]^{2+}$	1.06	$3.7 \times 10^{8}$	$4.5 \times 10^{8}$
3	$[Fe^{II}(Me_2bpy)_3]^{2+}$	0.88	$4.5 \times 10^{8}$	$5.8 \times 10^{8}$
4	$Fe^{II}(BrC_5H_4)_2$	0.72	$7.6 \times 10^{9}$	$7.2 \times 10^{9}$
5	$\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{BrC}_{5}\mathrm{H}_{4})(\mathrm{C}_{5}\mathrm{H}_{5})$	0.53	$8.5 \times 10^{9}$	$7.9 \times 10^{9}$



 $\mbox{Scheme 1}$  Photocatalytic cycle for oxidation of iron(II) complexes to iron(III) complexes by  $O_2$  with Acr^–Mes.

together with  $E_{ox}$  values. The  $k_{ox}$  value increases with decreasing  $E_{ox}$  values. In the presence of O<sub>2</sub>, electron transfer from the electron-transfer state of Acr<sup>+</sup>–Mes to O<sub>2</sub> is known to occur with the rate constant of  $6.8 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1.21}$  The rate constant of electron transfer from the electron-transfer state of QuPh<sup>+</sup>–NA to O<sub>2</sub> was determined to be  $6.3 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$  (see Figs. S24 in ESI<sup>†</sup>). Thus, the photocatalytic oxidation of iron(II) complexes (Fe<sup>II</sup>) by O<sub>2</sub> in the presence of HOTf proceeds as shown in Scheme 1. Photoexcitation of Acr<sup>+</sup>–Mes results in formation of the electron-transfer state of Acr<sup>+</sup>–Mes, which oxidizes iron(II) complexes to iron(III) complexes and reduces O<sub>2</sub> with proton to produce HO<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, which disproportionates to yield H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

In conclusion, iron(II) complexes are oxidized to iron(III) complexes by  $O_2$  using Acr<sup>+</sup>–Mes as an organic photocatalyst in the presence of HOTf in MeCN under visible light irradiation via electron-transfer oxidation of iron(II) complexes and reduction of  $O_2$  by the electron-transfer state of Acr<sup>+</sup>–Mes produced upon photoexcitation of Acr<sup>+</sup>–Mes, respectively. The present study provides an environmentally benign way for oxidation of metal complexes by  $O_2$  to obtain the oxidised metal complexes and hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>). Because there are many synthetically useful oxidation reactions using H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>,<sup>22</sup> this study has paved a new way for photocatalytic oxidation of substrates by  $O_2$  with organic photocatalysts and iron(II) complexes.

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid (nos. 26620154 and 26288037 to K.O.) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT); ALCA and SENTAN projects from JST, Japan (to S.F.).

#### Notes and references

- (a) L. Duan, A. Fischer, Y. Xu and L. Sun, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 10397; (b) T. Ishizuka, S. Ohzu and T. Kojima, Synlett, 2014, 25, 1667.
- 2 (a) M. Murakami, D. Hong, T. Suenobu and S. Fukuzumi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 11605; (b) T. Kojima, K. Nakayama, K. Ikemura, T. Ogura and S. Fukuzumi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 11692.
- 3 D. Hong, S. Mandal, Y. Yamada, Y-M. Lee, W. Nam, A. Llobet and S. Fukuzumi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 9522-9531.
- 4 (a) G. P. McDermott, P. Jones, N. W. Barnett, D. N. Donaldson and P. S. Francis, *Anal. Chem.*, 2011, **83**, 5453; (b) M. P. Patel, S. A. Varnum, D. Gandla, M. J. Zdilla and C. J. Martoff, *Anal. Methods*, 2014, **6**, 5818.
- 5 (a) S. Fukuzumi, C. L. Wong and J. K. Kochi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 2928; (b) S. Fukuzumi, K. Miyamoto, T. Suenobu, E. Van Caemelbecke and K. M. Kadish, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 2880-2889; (c) S. Fukuzumi, H. Miyao and T. Suenobu, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2005, 109, 3285-3294.
- 6 A. Das, V. Joshi, D. Kotkar, V. S. Pathak, V. Swayambunathan, P. V. Kamat and P. K. Ghosh, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2001, 105, 6945-6954.
- 7 S. Kato, J. Jung, T. Suenobu and S. Fukuzumi, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, 6, 3756 - 3764.
- (a) M. Fagnoni, D. Dondi, D. Ravelli and A. Albini, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, 107, 2725; (b) D. Ravelli, M. Fagnoni and A. Albini, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, 42, 97.
- 9 (a) D. A. Nicewicz and T. M. Nguyen, ACS Catal., 2014, 4, 355–360;
  (b) D. A. Nicewicz and D. S. Hamilton, Synlett, 2014, 25, 1191-1196.
- 10 D. Ravelli and M. Fagnoni, *ChemCatChem*, 2012, 4, 169.
- (a) K. Ohkubo and S. Fukuzumi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 2009, 82, 303;
  (b) S. Fukuzumi and K. Ohkubo, Chem. Sci., 2013, 4, 561.
- 12 D. Ravelli, D. Dondi, M. Fagnoni and A. Albini, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, 38, 1999.
- 13 (a) M. L. Marin, L. Santos-Juanes, A. Arques, A. M. Amat and M. A. Miranda, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 1710; (b) M. L. Marin, A. Miguel, L. Santos-Juanes, A. Arques, A. M. Amat and M. A. Miranda, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2007, **6**, 848.
- 14 Y. Pan, S. Wang, C. W. Kee, E. Dubuisson, Y. Yang, K. P. Loh and C-H. Tan, *Green Chem.*, 2011, **13**, 3341.
- 15 (a) S. Fukuzumi, H. Kotani, K. Ohkubo, S. Ogo, N. V. Tkachenko and H. Lemmetyinen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, **126**, 1600; (b) S. Fukuzumi, H. Kotani and K. Ohkubo, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2008, **10**, 5159.
- 16 (a) H. Kotani, K. Ohkubo and S. Fukuzumi, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2012, 155, 89; (b) Y. Yamada, T. Miyahigashi, H. Kotani, K. Ohkubo and S. Fukuzumi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, 133, 16136.
- 17 C. Matsubara, N. Kawamoto and K. Takamura, *Analyst*, 1992, 117, 1781.
- 18 C. G. Hatchard and C. A. Parker, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A, 1956, 235, 518-536.
- 19 (a) J. Park, Y-M. Lee, W. Nam, and S. Fukuzumi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 5052; (b) H. Yoon, Y-M. Lee, X. Wu, K-B. Cho, R. Sarangi, W. Nam and S. Fukuzumi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 9186.
- 20 R. Cofé and D. T. Sawyer, Inorg. Chem., 1986, 25, 2089.
- 21 H. Kotani, K. Ohkubo and S. Fukuzumi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 15999.
- 22 (a) A. Fingerhut, O. V. Serdyuk and S. B. Tsogoeva, *Green Chem.*, 2015, **17**, 2042; (b) Y. Zhu, Q. Wang, R. G. Cornwall and Y. Shi, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 8199; (c) S. Fukuzumi and K. Ohkubo, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, **4**, 836.

nemuomm Accepted Ma

#### **TOC Graphic**



Photocatalytic oxidation of iron(II) complexes by dioxygen occurred using organic photocatalysts, 9-mesityl-10methylacridinium ion, in the presence of triflic acid in acetonitrile under visible light irradiation. Page 4 of 4