Journal of Materials Chemistry C

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/materialsC

Journal of Materials Chemistry C

ARTICLE



Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Air bubble promoted large scale synthesis of luminescent ZnO nanoparticles

S. Koch,^{a,b} S. Späth,^c A. Shmeliov,^d V. Nicolosi^d and K. Mandel^{*a,c}

Luminescent zinc oxide nanoparticles were synthesized via a facile one-pot sol-gel synthesis route using zinc acetate dihydrate and potassium hydroxide as cheap precursors. It is demonstrated that dissolved oxygen plays a key role in the synthesis of the nanoparticles. For larger scale synthesis batches, it is therefore crucial to provide sufficient oxygen supply during reaction, as otherwise, the formation of ZnO nanoparticles does not occur. A concept is presented to achieve a large gas to liquid interface by introducing air bubbles into the reaction system to ultimately promote ZnO nanoparticle formation at larger scale batches. The flow rate of the air during synthesis directly influences the yield and the luminescence intensity of the nanoparticles.

Introduction

Zinc oxide nanostructures arise increasing interest due to their versatile applicability in advanced materials applications for instance in the fields of electronics, optoelectronics, piezoelectronics or spintronics.^{1,2} Furthermore nano ZnO is, beyond UV-protection in suncreams, an interesting material for applications directly linked to the human body, such as medical products or bioimaging.^{3,4} The broad applicability arises from the extraordinary electronic, magnetic and optical properties of this semiconductor nanomaterial, mainly due to quantum confinement effects and the defect-rich wurzite crystal structure.^{1,3,5}

ZnO is a direct and wide band gap material (3.4 eV) showing a narrow exitonic near band edge emission (~380 nm).⁶ Therefore, it is a very promising material for UV lightemitting optoelectronic devices including light-emitting diodes or laser diodes.^{2,5} Particularly interesting is that undoped ZnO quantum dots may also exhibit photoluminescence in the visible wavelengths range. The origin of this light emission is mainly attributed to the presence of oxygen vacancies in the crystal structure of ZnO nanoparticles.⁶⁻⁹ The visible emission is supposed to be caused by electron transitions from the conduction band to a deep-level hole defect.^{10,11} These deep-level defects were identified as doubly charged oxygen vacancies originating from the charging of an oxygen vacancy with a photogenerated hole. To ensure the formation of these defects, adsorbed surface groups, scavenging the photoexcited electrons, have to be present. These might be bridging acetates, oxygen or hydroxyl groups.^{7,11} Therefore, the visible luminescence of ZnO quantum dots also depends on the synthesis method as this influences for example the nanoparticles surface character, crystallinity and purity.¹⁰ For small particles, due to quantum effects, the width of the bandgap and therefore also the difference between conduction band and defect states increases.¹² Consequently, the emitted (visible) colors that depend on that width, are directly related to the nanoparticle size.^{10,11} Due to their adjustable color emission, these (non-toxic, heavy-metal-replacing) undoped ZnO quantum dots can be of particular interest for a number of applications such as high-quality imaging of ZnO labelled cells, light-emitting diodes, sensors with optical read out or anti-fake labelling.¹³⁻

Various synthesis approaches have been developed in the last decades to fabricate ZnO nanostructures with quantum confined properties. These can be divided in chemical methods like precipitation^{17,18}, sol-gel reactions^{19,20} and hydrothermal treatment^{21,22} and in physical methods, e.g. vapor^{23,24} or pulsed laser^{25,26} deposition. While chemical methods can often be considered as low cost synthetic routes suitable for upscaling, physical methods result in highly crystallized particles with high purity and fine UV emission.^{24,26} If visible light-emitting undoped ZnO quantum dots shall be obtained, synthesis routes causing high defect densities, i.e. a lot of oxygen vacancies within the crystal, are needed. This can be achieved for instance via decomposition of organo-zinc derivatives²⁷, electrolysis¹⁶, hydrothermal treatment²⁸ or sol-gel techniques. The sol-gel

^{a.} Fraunhofer Institute for Silicate Research, ISC, Neunerplatz 2 97082 Würzburg, Germany.

^{*}E-mail: karl-sebastian.mandel@isc.fraunhofer.de

^{b.} University Bordeaux, Institute of Science Moléculaire, UMR-5255, 351 cours de la Libération 33405 Talence, France.

^c University Würzburg, Chair of Chemical Technology of Materials Synthesis, Röntgenring 11 97070 Würzburg, Germany.

^{d.} Trinity College Dublin, Advanced Microscopy Laboratory, Unit 27-29 Grand Canal Quay D02 DA31 Dublin, Ireland.

ARTICLE

synthesis is most often chosen to obtain ZnO nanoparticles with highly visible emissions intensities as in contrast to the other methods, it shows advantages like process simplicity, high homogeneity and the preparation of particles at low cost and low temperature.^{29,30}

The most common sol gel synthesis uses zinc acetate precursors and a base such as KOH or LiOH in alcohol as solvent.^{20,31-33} Recent studies reported on a simplified method that made any heating and refluxing of the zinc acetate precursor unnecessary. The base and the zinc acetate are just separately dissolved in ethanol and are then combined and stirred for the required time at room temperature.^{10,14} However, with this synthesis approach, only small batches can be realized and the achieved concentration of nanoparticles is rather low. A precondition for bringing these well-defined ZnO nanoparticles in dispersion towards application is the capability to synthesize substantial quantities with comparable ease. Only then, prototype materials for application can be created and the performance of these ZnO nanoparticles can be compared to other comparable materials such as gas-phase synthesized ZnO. Demonstration of upscalability and increase of product yield is therefore essential. We found that a key towards this is to add air-bubbles to the synthesis. By doing so, with this novel approach, it is possible to produce substantial amounts of well-defined nano ZnO in an easy and inexpensive way.

Experimental methods

Materials

Zinc acetate dihydrate $(Zn(CH_3CO_2)_2 \cdot 2 H_2O)$, zinc acetate (99.9 %, Zn(CH_3CO_2)_2), lithium hydroxide (98 %, LiOH), potassium hydroxide flakes (90%, KOH) and ethanol were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and were used without further purification.

Small batch nanoparticle synthesis at low concentrations, based on Tang et al. $^{\rm 14}$

 $Zn(CH_3CO_2)_2$ (36 mg, 1.5 mmol) was dissolved in ethanol (20 ml) in an ultrasonic bath. LiOH (37 mg, 0.2 mmol) was also ultrasonically dissolved in ethanol (20 ml). Then, both solutions were mixed together and stirred. The synthesis was carried out at three different conditions: firstly in a lid-covered flask, secondly in an open flask (which allowed for air exchange) and thirdly in a flask flooded with nitrogen.

Air bubble-assisted large batch nanoparticle synthesis at high concentrations

In a typical synthesis $Zn(CH_3CO_2)_2 \cdot 2 H_2O$ (8.13 g, 37 mmol) was dissolved in ethanol (700 ml) with the help of continuous sonication using a Branson Sonifier II Model 450 for 15 min on an ice water bath. Also KOH (8.31 g, 148 mmol) was dissolved in ethanol (280 ml) in an ultrasonic bath. After combining both solutions, compressed air was continuously bubbled into the stirred reaction batch at a selected flow rate. The compressed air was introduced into the reaction vessel via a glass frit with

holes of a diameter of 200 μm . The reaction was performed for 6 h. For testing the upscalability potential of the synthesis, the reaction was carried out with the same ratios of the precursors and the solvent as well as compressed air inlet but with a total reaction volume of 6 l.

To examine the solubility of air in the reaction batch, the synthesis was furthermore executed with small reaction volumes of 50 ml in a 1.5 l high-pressure reactor at normal pressure and 7 bar.

Characterization

Absorbance and luminescence of the particles were examined with a TECAN Multimode-Microplate-Reader Infinite® M1000 PRO in 96-well plates, giving evidence for a the formation of nanoparticles. X-ray diffraction was carried out with a PANalytical Empyrean Series 2 employing Cu K_{α} radiation. The crystallite size of the nanoparticles was determined from the X-ray diffractograms, based on Scherrer's formula for the peaks at 47.5 and 56.5 °2theta (by taking the average of the sum of these two peaks). To account for instrumental and angular broadening, a LaB₆ standard was measured as reference. By doing so, the peak broadening contribution from the instrument can be determined at the angles of interest and taken into account for the peak width at half maximum of the samples of interest. Shape and size of the particles were studied with a transmission electron microscope FEI Titan operated at 300 keV. To quantitatively obtain a mean particle size and the size distribution of the synthesized ZnO nanoparticles, the size for 60 particles for each sample from TEM images was determined. This was done by fitting round circles around the lattice fringes of the nanoparticles and measuring their diameter.

The reaction yields from the particle syntheses were determined via centrifugation of 5 ml of the reaction batch, decantation, drying and weighing of the obtained particle pellets.

Results and discussion

Our research was initially spiked by the finding that the method of ZnO nanoparticle synthesis, related to the approach of Tang et al.¹⁴, yielded very different results, depending on the accessibility of air during the synthesis. Therefore, we initially focused on the influence of the surrounding atmosphere on the synthesis result by comparing the reaction in a closed flask versus an opened flask which allowed air circulation, and a nitrogen flooded flask. The reactions were carried out using small volumes of ethanol (40 ml) and low concentrated Zn(CH₃CO₂)₂ and LiOH. After 4 hours of reaction, the turbidity described by Tang et al.¹⁴, indicating particle growth, was only observed in case of air circulation. Figure 1 shows the photoluminescence (PL) (Fig. 1a) and absorbance (Fig. 1b) spectra of the three differently treated samples. Both spectra reaffirm the visual impression, as only the sample reacted under air circulation shows a significant PL and absorbance for the wavelength ranges that are expected from Journal Name



Fig. 1 a) Luminescence and b) absorbance spectra of ZnO nanoparticles after 4 h reaction time, synthesized in flasks closed with a lid (dotted line), without lid allowing air circulation (solid line) or under nitrogen atmosphere (dashed line). As precursors, low concentrated Zn(CH₃CO₂)₂ and LiOH in ethanol were chosen.

what has been described in literature for ZnO nanoparticles.^{10,14} While a slight PL of the other samples (closed flask, respectively nitrogen flooded) also indicate a minor particle formation, the yields of these reactions are very small and therefore not of interest for upscaling intentions. The slightly higher PL intensity of the nitrogen flooded in comparison to the closed reaction batch can be explained by the enhanced formation of oxygen vacancies in a nitrogen atmosphere.⁸ Negative absorbance values in case of nitrogen atmosphere are due to the measurement method in well plates. Small variations of the measured sample volumes result in less absorbance and due to subtraction of ethanol as reference negative values can be obtained.

Therefore it can be concluded that oxygen is the driving force for an enhanced particle formation. The atmospheric oxygen dissolves at the air-liquid interface in the ethanol solution. According to the hypothesis introduced by Spanhel and Anderson²⁰, zinc oxide nanoparticles are obtained by a rapid formation and combination of molecular building blocks ((1) and (2)), which is followed by particle growth (3):

$m \operatorname{Zn}^{2^+} + n \operatorname{O}^{2^-} \Longrightarrow (\operatorname{ZnO})_m$	(1)
$(ZnO)_m + (ZnO)_m => (ZnO)_{2m}$	(2)
$Zn^{2+} + O^{2-} + (ZnO)_m => (ZnO)_{m+1}$	(3)

These simplified reaction models suggest that the presence of free oxygen promotes the formation and growth of nanoparticles. Therefore, with a more pronounced presence of oxygen in solution, more zinc oxide primary particles are formed (also faster). To verify this hypothesis, we carried out the synthesis in a reaction vessel under standard pressure and under an air pressure of 7 bars. With higher air pressure the partial pressure of the aerial oxygen is higher as well and more oxygen dissolves at the liquid-air interface. With this pressure variation experiment, it turned out that our assumption could be confirmed, as the reaction yield of grown ZnO nanoparticles was increased by approximately 4 % with elevated air pressure. It can therefore be concluded that high amounts of oxygen promote the ZnO nanoparticle formation. However, high-pressure reactions are often not desired due to the

challenges that are faced when going to larger scales. Possibly, the use of oxidants could be an alternative, however, addition of further chemicals might negatively influence the reaction by changing the pH or generating undesired side products. Thus, with respect to these considerations, for upscaling, a new approach has to be sought to provide enlarged reaction volumes with sufficient oxygen. The reason is that as soon as the syntheses batches increase in volume, the ratio of the airliquid interface to the reaction volume decreases as it is depicted in figure 2. Hence, the oxygen that dissolves at the interface is not sufficient for promoting the reaction effectively. To circumvent this bottleneck, the inlet of air bubbles was tested as an efficient tool to increase the airliquid interface. By variation of the flow rate of compressed air, forming bubbles in the batch reaction vessel when flowing through a glass frit with 200 µm pores, the ratio of air-liquidsurface to reaction-volume can be arbitrarily and finely manipulated and thus renders upscaling of the reaction possible. Figure 3 depicts a photograph of a large scale reaction batch under UV-light excitation and the reaction setup for large scale synthesis in a 10 I reactor. Due to air inlet, even a much higher surface to volume ratio compared to the small batch reaction can even be achieved.

To further examine the influence of air bubbles on the reaction, reactions at a one liter scale were carried out using KOH and $Zn(CH_3CO_2)_2 \cdot 2 H_2O$ as inexpensive educts. In figure 4 the concentration of obtained nanoparticles as function of the reaction time is depicted. By increasing the flow rate of compressed air from 50 to 300 l/h, the particle concentration or reaction yield can be increased, as higher flow rates imply more bubbles and therefore a higher liquid-air interface, i.e. more oxygen is dissolved and hence more particles are formed. The examination of the obtained particle concentrations during the reaction raises the question if the yield increases due to the formation of new particles of the same size or if the particles just age and get larger. Looking at the PL (Fig. 5 a)), the maximum of the particle luminescence is found for all cases and at all points in time at 556 nm. This proofs that the particles always retain the same size as the PL would shift if their size was changed.^{10,13,14}

Journal Name



Fig. 2 Scheme to demonstrate changes of the surface to volume ratio when going from a) a small reaction batch (40 ml in 50 ml flask) to b) a 10 times larger reaction batch (400 ml batch in 500 ml flask). c) shows the way to increase the surface to volume ratio by introducing air bubbles. d) depicts the calculated surface to volume ratios (per second) that are obtained for the 40 ml and the 400 ml reaction batch without any additional air inlet and for the 400 ml batch when compressed air at 1, 5 and 10 l/h is added.



ARTICLE

Fig. 3 a) Photograph of a 6 I reaction batch under UV-light excitation (300-400 nm, 15 W) and b) scheme of a 10 I reaction set up.



Fig. 4 Concentration of zinc oxide nanoparticles synthesized with 50, 100 and 300 l/h compressed air flow.



Fig. 5 a) PL spectra exc. 350 nm – 6h and b) Intensities of the luminescence maxima (at 556 nm due to excitation at 350 nm) scaled with the respective nanoparticle concentration (intensity per mg particles) for zinc oxide nanoparticles synthesized with 50, 100 and 300 l/h compressed air flow.

Transmission electron microscope images (Fig. 6) also confirm about the same particle size for all samples: For the sample synthesized with 50 l/h airflow, the size was counted to be 6.8 nm with a standard deviation of 1.5 nm; for 100 l/h the particles are 6.0 nm with std.dev. 0.9 nm and for 300 l/h the particles are sized 6.2 nm with a std.dev. of 1.2 nm. A size of about 6 nm is also obtained from applying the Scherrer's formula on the X-ray diffractograms of the samples (an exemplary diffractogram is shown in Fig. 7).

Journal Name



Fig. 6 Transmission electron microscopy images of ZnO nanoparticles after 6 h of reaction with an air flow of a) 50 l/h, b) 100 l/h, c) 300 l/h. a) and b) were prepared on holey-carbon/copper TEM grids, ZnO nanoparticles showing the hexagonal crystal lattice in c) are supported by ultrathin carbon film. The insets show the size distribution, obtained from counting 60 particles.



Fig. 7 Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of zinc oxide nanoparticles (synthesized with 100 l/h compressed air inlet, after 6 h of reaction).

Correlating the PL of the samples with the particle concentration (Fig. 5 b)), it becomes evident that the PL intensity per mg particles is the same for the samples with 50 and 100 l/h air flow and does only decrease slightly during the reaction time while a flow rate of 300 l/h results in lower PL intensities per mg particles.

This indicates that in the case of a flow rate of 100 l/h the particle yield can be significantly increased without negative effects on the PL intensity of the sample while if the flow rate is chosen too high, the gain of particle yield is on the cost of the luminescence intensity of the sample. An explanation for this phenomenon might be that on the one hand, oxygen promotes the particle formation but on the other hand, the presence of too much oxygen has a negative effect on the PL intensity. This is obvious as the luminescence is correlated with the oxygen defects of the ZnO crystals and if too much oxygen is present in the reaction solution, less oxygen vacancies are formed. Therefore, a flow rate of 100 l/h of compressed air is found to be the optimal setting to increase the yield of a 1 liter

reaction batch without influencing the PL properties of the particles negatively.

Conclusions

In this work, it was demonstrated that the formation of zinc oxide nanoparticles is promoted by dissolved oxygen in the reaction solution. The upscaling of the reaction can be easily carried out by adding bubbles of compressed air to the larger batch synthesis vessel. By careful selection of the flow rate, the luminescence intensity of the zinc oxide nanoparticles can be maintained and the yield of the particles can be significantly increased. Thus, a facile and inexpensive method for the upscaling of luminescent zinc oxide nanoparticles was successfully developed.

Acknowledgements

This project has received funding from the *European Union*'s *Horizon 2020 research an innovation programme* under grant agreement No 645993.

We thank Rainer Jahn (Fraunhofer ISC, Wuerzburg, Germany) for the reproduction of the synthesis and the XRD measurements.

Notes and references

- 1 C. Klingshirn, *Phys. Status Solidi B*, 2007, **244**, 3027-3073.
- 2 J. H. Li, D. Z. Shen, J. Y. Zhang, D. X. Zhao, B. S. Li, Y. M. Lu, Y.
- C. Liu and X. W. Fan, J. Lumin., 2007, 122, 352-354.
- 3 S. K. Arya, S. Saha, J. E. Ramirez-Vick, V. Gupta, S. Bhansali and S. P. Singh, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2012, **737**, 1-21.
- 4 H.-M. Xiong, Y. Xu, Q.-G. Ren and Y.-Y. Xia, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 7522–7523.
- 5 A. Janotti and Ch. G. Van de Walle, *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, 2009, **72**, 126501-126530.
- 6 A. van Dijken, E. A. Meulenkamp, D. Vanmaekelbergh and A. Meijerink, J. Lumin., 2000, **87–89**, 454-456.
- 7 L. Spanhel, J. Sol-Gel Sci. Technol., 2006, 39, 7-24.

- 8 A. Asok, M. N. Ghandi and A. R. Kulkarni, *Nanoscale*, **2012**, 4, 4943-4946.
- 9 K. Vanheusden, C. H. Seager, W. L. Warren, D. R. Tallant and J. A. Voigt, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 1996, **68**, 403-405.
- 10 Y. Lv, W. Xiao, W. Li, J. Xue and J. Ding, *Nanotechnology*, 2013, **24**, 175702-175712.
- 11 A. van Dijken, E. A. Meulenkamp, D. Vanmaekelbergh and A. Meijerink, J. Lumin., 2000, 90, 123-128.
- 12 K.-F. Lin, H.-M. Cheng, H.-Ch. Hsu, L.-J. Lin and W.-F. Hsieh, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2005, **409**, 208–211.
- 13 X. Xu, Ch. Xu, X. Wang, Y. Lin, J. Dai and J. Hub, *CrystEngComm*, 2013, **15**, 977-981.
- 14 X. Tang, E. S. Guang Choo, L. Li, J. Ding and J. Xue, *Chem. Mater.*, 2010, **22**, 3383–3388.
- 15 S. T. Tan, X. W. Sun, J. L. Zhao, S. Iwan, Z. H. Cen, T. P. Chen, J. D. Ye, G. Q. Lo, D. L. Kwong and K. L. Teo, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2008, **93**,013506 1-3.
- 16 L. Selagard, V. Khranovskyy, F. Söderling, C. Vahlberg, M. Ahren, P.-O. Käll, R. Yakimova and K. Uvdal, Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2010, 2, 2128-2135.
- 17 S. C. Zhang and X. G. Li, Colloids Surf. A, 2003, 226, 35-44.
- 18 Y. Wang, C. Zhang, S. Bi and G. Luo, Powder Technology, 2010, 202, 130–136.
- 19 M. Vafaee and M. Sasani Ghamsari, *Materials Letters*, 2007, **61**, 3265–3268.
- 20 L. Spanhel and M. A. Anderson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, **113**, 2826-2833.
- 21 C.-H. Lu, C.-H. Yeh, Ceramics International, 2000, 26, 351-357.
- 22 B. Baruwati, D. K. Kumar and S. V. Manorama, *Sensors and Actuators B*, 2006, **119**, 676–682.
- 23 Y. Ding, P. X. Gao and Z. L. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 2066-2072.
- 24 S. K. Mohanta, D. C. Kim, H. K. Cho, S. J. Chua and S. Tripathy, Journal of Crystal Growth, 2008, **310**, 3208–3213.
- 25 J. H. Choi, H. Tabata and T. Kawai, J. Cryst. Growth, 2001, 226, 493-500.
- 26 X. Q. Wei, Z. Zhang, Y. X. Yu and B. Y. Man, Opt. Laser Technol., 2009, 41, 530–534.
- 27 M. L. Kahn, A. Glaria, C. Pages, M. Monge, L. Saint Macary, A. Maisonnat and B. Chaudret, J. Mater. Chem., 2009, 19, 4044–4060.
- 28 S. Kumar and P.D. Sahare, Optics Communications, 2012, 285, 5210–5216.
- 29 Z. Chen, X. X. Li, G. Du, N. Chen and A. Y. M. Suen, *J. Lumin.*, 2011, **131**, 2072–2077.
- 30 H.-M. Xiong, Adv. Mater., 2013, 25, 5329-5335.
- 31 E. A. Meulenkamp, J. Phys. Chem. B, 1998, 102, 5566-5572.
- 32 R. D. Yang, S. Tripathy, Y. Li and H.-J. Sue, Chem. Phys. Lett., 2005, 411, 150–154.
- 33 K. Rekha, M. Nirmala, M. G. Nair and A. Anukaliani, *Physica* B, 2010, **405**, 3180–3185.

Page 6 of 7



39x19mm (300 x 300 DPI)