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Abstract

The thermoelectric properties of MoSi$_2$-type intermetallic compound Al$_6$Re$_5$Si$_4$ (investigated compositions: Al$_{6-x}$Re$_{4.7}$Si$_{4+x}$ (x = 0–0.9)) related to TiSi$_2$-type narrow band gap intermetallic compounds were systematically investigated. A first-principles band structure calculation implies that this compound forms a narrow band gap in the electronic density of states that will be suitable for obtaining a large power factor. Indeed, the maximum power factor is 1.65 mW m$^{-1}$ K$^{-2}$ for the sample with $x = 0.8$. The Al$_{6-x}$Re$_{4.7}$Si$_{4+x}$ ($x = 0–0.8$) samples show degenerate semiconductor behavior as $p$-type materials; the temperature coefficient of the electrical conductivity is negative and the positive Seebeck coefficient increases with increasing temperature. A negative Seebeck coefficient is observed in the Si-rich sample with $x = 0.9$, which is understood by shifting the Fermi level to the conduction band. The estimated band gap is 0.53 eV from the Arrhenius plot. Because of a simple crystal structure,
a relatively high thermal conductivity ranging from 7.6 to 13.5 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ is observed at 300 K. The maximum dimensionless figure-of-merit of 0.19 at 973 K is close to the optimal value predicted by a single parabolic band model.
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Introduction

To date, building sustainable energy society using natural and renewable energy sources instead of non-renewable fossil fuels remains an unsolved issue. One of the promising contributions is thermoelectric power generation through the direct conversion of waste heat into electrical energy.\(^1\) Its efficiency is closely related to the dimensionless figure-of-merit, \(zT\), defined as \(zT = S^2\sigma T/\kappa_{\text{total}}\), where \(S\), \(\sigma\), \(\kappa_{\text{total}}\), and \(T\) are the Seebeck coefficient, the electrical conductivity, the total thermal conductivity, and the temperature, respectively. The \(\kappa_{\text{total}}\) consists of two contributions; the phonon part, \(\kappa_{\text{phonon}}\), and the electron part, \(\kappa_{\text{electron}}\). Much effort for improving efficiency and finding new materials have been performed, based on novel concepts for \(zT\) enhancement such as resonant states at the band edge,\(^2\) band structure engineering,\(^3\) and introduction of nanostructures.\(^4\)

One of the criteria for a new material is a narrow band gap compound possessing a large power factor, \(S^2\sigma\), and/or a low thermal conductivity. In general, \(S^2\sigma\) depends on the local electronic structure near the Fermi level, \(E_F\). Most promising thermoelectric materials, such as lead chalcogenides,\(^5\) clathrates,\(^6\) and skutterudites,\(^7\) form narrow band gaps near the \(E_F\). On the other hand, a glass-like low \(\kappa_{\text{phonon}}\) will be obtained in solids with complex crystal structures.\(^8,9\)

Recently, narrow band gap binary intermetallic compounds composed of group-13 and transition metal elements have been extensively investigated as a new class of thermoelectric materials: TiSi\(_2\)-type RuAl\(_2\),\(^10-12\) RuGa\(_2\),\(^12-19\) and FeGa\(_3\)-type RuGa\(_3\),\(^20,21\) RuIn\(_3\),\(^21-25\) and FeGa\(_3\),\(^20,26-29\). In particular, RuAl\(_2\) and RuGa\(_2\) have been shown to have one of the Nowotny chimney-ladder phases with a valence electron concentration of approximately 14.\(^30\) \(zT\) enhancement is achieved through carrier doping by suitable elemental substitutions. The highest \(zT\) for \(p\)- and \(n\)-type in these compounds are 0.80 and 0.31 for Sn-doped RuIn\(_3\)\(^23\) and Ir-doped RuGa\(_2\),\(^17\) respectively. Recently, Takeuchi \textit{et al.} reported the
thermoelectric properties of related ternary low-cost TiSi$_2$-type Al-Mn-Si compounds (\(zT_{300K} = 0.05\))$^{31}$ and demonstrated \(zT\) enhancement up to 0.38 with \(n\)-type Ru and Re co-substitutions with the composition of Al$_{37.0}$(Mn$_{26.0}$Ru$_{1.0}$Re$_{3.0}$Fe$_{1.0}$)Si$_{30.0}$. $^{32}$ Very recently, the thermoelectric properties of CrSi$_2$-type W or Ta containing Al-Mn-Si were reported.$^{33}$

In this study, we focus on a related MoSi$_2$-type compound, Al$_6$Re$_5$Si$_4$, possessing a tetragonal crystal structure (the space group: \(I4/mmm\) with lattice parameters of \(a(b) = 3.180\) Å and \(c = 8.020\) Å).$^{34}$ It contains mixing sites of Al/Si, as shown in Fig. 1. To date, there have been few reports on Al$_6$Re$_5$Si$_4$ that include phase stability, electronic structure, and physical properties. The purpose of this work is characterizing the band structure and the thermoelectric properties of MoSi$_2$-type Al$_6$Re$_5$Si$_4$ and discussing the properties in terms of a single parabolic band model, which contribute to enhance our insight on further \(zT\) improvement for ternary Al-(Mn,Re)-Si systems.

**Experimental procedure and phase characterization**

First, we determined the single-phase composition range of Al$_6$Re$_5$Si$_4$. Nominal compositions of Al$_6$Re$_{5.0}$Si$_{4.0}$ (\(\delta = 0, 0.3, 0.5\)) were selected. Cold-pressed pellet samples from mixture of powder Al (4N purity; KOJUNDO CHEMICAL LABORATORY Co. LTD, Tokyo, Japan), Re (4N purity; RARE METALLIC Co. LTD, Tokyo, Japan), and Si (4N purity; KOJUNDO CHEMICAL LABORATORY Co. LTD, Tokyo, Japan) were arc-melted (NEV-ACD-05; Nissin Giken Co., Saitama, Japan) under an argon atmosphere, followed by spark plasma sintering (SPS) (SPS-515S; Fuji Electronic Industrial Co., Kanagawa, Japan). The obtained button shape samples were crushed into fine powder with particles sizes of below 45 µm with an agate mortar for the sintering. The powder samples were set in a 10 mm-diameter carbon die with carbon spacers. The temperature of the specimen was increased
from room temperature to 1873–1973 K with uniaxial pressure of 115 MPa under an argon atmosphere, and the consolidating temperature was then held for 10 min. The characterization of the samples was performed by X-ray diffraction measurements with Cu $K\alpha$ radiation (SmartLab; Rigaku Co., Tokyo, Japan).

Figure 2 shows the X-ray diffraction patterns of Al$_6$Re$_5$Si$_4$ ($\delta = 0$, 0.3, 0.5) after arc melting. Because the stoichiometric composition of Al$_6$Re$_5$Si$_4$ ($\delta = 0$) contains Re as a secondary phase, we obtained a single-phase sample in the slightly off-stoichiometric composition of Al$_6$Re$_{4.7}$Si$_4$ ($\delta = 0.3$). Further decreasing the Re concentration leads to the secondary phase of $\alpha$-Al-Re-Si. Therefore, we selected the nominal composition of Al$_6$Re$_{4.7}$Si$_4$ as a starting point. Considering the crystal structure of Al$_6$Re$_5$Si$_4$ (see Fig. 1), introducing vacancies at Re sites would be unstable and lead to apparent Re reduction brought about by reducing Al and Si during the arc-melting process. Indeed, weight loss of about 2% was observed.

To tune the thermoelectric properties, we synthesized several Al$_6$Re$_{4.7}$Si$_{4+x}$ ($x = -1.0–1.0$) compounds with constant Re concentration. The sample preparation procedure is the same as that for Al$_6$Re$_5$Si$_4$. The obtained bulk samples are all highly dense, with relative densities of over 95%.

We found that samples with $x = 0–0.8$ are single phase, as shown in Fig. 3, together with calculated patterns. As for samples with $x > 0.8$ and $x < 0$ (not shown), extra peaks of secondary phases are observed, indicating that the solubility limit of Al/Si is limited within 5.5 at.%, as presented in Fig. 4.

The electrical conductivity and the Seebeck coefficient were measured in a helium atmosphere between 373 K and 973 K using the four-probe method and the steady-state temperature gradient method, respectively (ZEM-1; Advance-Riko, Inc., Kanagawa, Japan). The thermal conductivity was obtained by measuring the geometric density, specific heat and thermal diffusivity was obtained using
the laser flash method (TC-7000; Advance-Riko, Inc.). Hall coefficient measurements were performed at room temperature (Resitest 8300, Toyo Co., Tokyo, Japan). The Debye temperatures were calculated from the transversal and longitudinal speed of sound measured using an ultrasonic pulse echo method (Echometer 1062; Nihon Matech Co., Tokyo, Japan).

**Electronic density of states and band structure calculation**

To clarify the electronic structure of Al$_6$Re$_5$Si$_4$, we performed a first-principles band structure calculation using the Advance/PHASE package program, which employs projector augmented wave pseudopotentials within the generalized gradient approximation of density functional theory. It should be noted that Al$_6$Re$_5$Si$_4$ contains mixing sites of Al(0.6)/Si(0.4) (see Fig. 1), which prevents the correct calculation of the electronic structure. Hence, we first adopted hypothetical ordered ReAl$_2$ and ReSi$_2$ with a tetragonal MoSi$_2$-type crystal structure for calculation. Because the crystal structure of ReSi$_2$ is recognized as an orthorhombic MoPt$_2$-type structure with lattice parameters of $a = 3.128$ Å, $b = 3.144$ Å, and $c = 7.677$ Å with the same atomic coordination, we calculated the electronic density of states (DOS) using both MoSi$_2$- and MoPt$_2$-type crystal structures for ReSi$_2$. A dense mesh of 50 $k$-points in the whole Brillouin zone was used, and the cut-off energy of 340 eV was selected. After structural optimization with the constant lattice parameters, we obtained the DOS for both ReAl$_2$ and ReSi$_2$, as shown in Fig. 5. There is no significant difference in the DOS between MoSi$_2$- and MoPt$_2$-type ReSi$_2$.

The hypothetical MoSi$_2$-type ReAl$_2$ forms a band gap of 0.86 eV about 1 eV above $E_f$. In this case, ReAl$_2$ will have $p$-type metallic properties. In contrast, ReSi$_2$ has a wide pseudogap below $E_f$ and shows $n$-type properties. These imply that the DOS and band gap are changing with Al/Si
concentrations: non-rigid band like change. Considering that Al_6Re_5Si_4 possesses an intermediate number of valence electron between ReAl_2 and ReSi_2, E_F can be shifted to both valence and conduction bands by tuning the sample composition. Indeed, such optimization can be achieved by changing Al/Si concentrations, as described in the next section.

In addition to the above structural models, we constructed another hypothetical model of MoSi_2-type Al_6Re_5Si_4, i.e., ReAlSi (Fig. 6a), which is similar to the experimental composition of the sample with x = 1.0, as displayed in Fig. 4. In this model, a dense mesh of 8000 k-points in the whole Brillouin zone was used. The DOS using this model is displayed in Fig. 6b, and a narrow band gap of 0.28 eV is observed. This value seems to be underestimated, compared with the experimentally obtained value of 0.53 eV, as described in the next section. From the electronic band structure (Fig. 6c), the obtained band gap is indirect and an effective band degeneracy of N_v = 4 are recognized. The parabolic shape of lighter valence bands and heavier conduction bands are realized. Parabolic fit to the top of the valence band at the gamma point yields the effective mass of ~0.3 m_e.

**Thermoelectric properties of Al_{6-x}Re_{4.7}Si_{4+x} (x = 0–0.9)**

Figures 7a,b show the electrical conductivity, σ, and the Seebeck coefficient, S, as a function of temperature for the Al_{6-x}Re_{4.7}Si_{4+x} (x = 0–0.9). The thermoelectric properties are quite sensitive to the sample composition, which is generally observed in narrow band gap compounds. Indeed, the σ at 400 K ranges widely from 25 to 7300 Ω^{-1} cm^{-1}. The samples with x = 0–0.8 show degenerate semiconductor behavior, while the sample with x = 0.9 shows non-degenerate behavior. The σ decreases and the S increases with increasing x up to x = 0.8, indicating that the carrier concentration decreases monotonically by shifting E_F to the valence band edge. A further increase in x brings n-type
transport properties with intrinsic semiconductor behavior. Using the data for \(x = 0.9\), the estimated band gap is 0.53 eV from the Arrhenius plot above 800 K. This value is larger than that of the hypothetical model ReAlSi, as already mentioned in section 2.

Figure 7c plots the Hall carrier concentration, \(n_H\), versus \(S\) for selected \(\text{Al}_{6-x}\text{Re}_{4.7}\text{Si}_{4+x}\) \((x = 0.6\) and \(0.8)\), using a single parabolic band model (SPB)\(^7\) for the valence bands. This assumption would be reasonable, considering the band dispersion at the valence band maximum (VBM). Using the SPB model only for doping levels and temperatures where minority carrier effects are not observed in the transport data is necessary. In this sense, the samples with \(x = 0.9\) should be excluded for analysis. The \(\sigma\) and \(S\) at 400 K are almost identical between the samples with \(x = 0.5\) and 0.6. On the other hand, the \(S\) of the samples with \(x = 0\) and 0.3 are almost zero value. Thus, we selected the samples with \(x = 0.6\) and 0.8 for analysis using the SPB model. This model assumes that acoustic phonons are the dominant scattering event \((\lambda = 0)\). Using the following equations, we employed the SPB model at 373 K.

\[
S = \frac{k_B}{e} \left( \frac{(2\lambda + 1)F_{1/2}(\eta) + (1 + \lambda)F_{2}(\eta)}{(1 + \lambda)} \right) - \eta, \tag{1}
\]

\[
n = 4\pi \left( \frac{2m^*k_B T}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} F_{1/2}(\eta), \quad n_H = n/r_H, \tag{2}
\]

\[
r_H = \frac{3}{2} \frac{F_{1/2}}{F_{1/2} F_0^2}, \tag{3}
\]

\[
F_j(\eta) = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{i\epsilon}}{1 + \exp(\epsilon - \eta)} d\epsilon, \tag{4}
\]

where \(k_B\), \(F_j\), \(m^*\), \(\hbar\), \(n\), \(r_H\), \(c\), and \(\eta\) are the Boltzmann constant, the Fermi integrals, the effective mass, the Planck constant, the chemical carrier concentration, the Hall factor, the reduced carrier energy, and the reduced chemical potential, respectively. The obtained \(m^*\) for \(\text{Al}_{6-x}\text{Re}_{4.7}\text{Si}_{4+x}\) \((x = 0.6\) and \(0.8)\) are 1.6 \(m_e\) and 2.1 \(m_e\), respectively, and these \(m^*\) are much heavier than that (~0.3 \(m_e\)) predicted by the calculation. Here, we note that the band degeneracy of \(N_v = 4\) are expected in \(\text{Al}_{6-x}\text{Re}_{4.7}\text{Si}_{4+x}\), therefore, the apparent heavy \(m^*\) will be reasonable and in such a case \(S\) can be enhanced with higher carrier
concentration of $\sim 10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$.

On the other hand, the semiconducting sample with $x = 0.9$ shows a large negative $S$ value of $-400$ $\mu V K^{-1}$ at 400 K, indicating that $E_F$ shifts to the conduction band edge because of an increase in the number of valence electron. However, a dramatic decrease in $|S|$ above 600 K is observed as a result of the excitations of minority carriers, which is the same trend seen in $\sigma$.

The power factor, $S^2\sigma$, increases with increasing $x$ up to $x = 0.8$, as shown in Fig. 8. By adjusting $E_F$ close to the valence band edge, the highest $S^2\sigma$ of 1.65 mW m$^{-1}$ K$^{-2}$ with the highest $S$ is obtained for $x = 0.8$ sample as a $p$-type material. The $n$-type sample ($x = 0.9$) should be adjusted by further electron doping for higher $S^2\sigma$ because the carrier concentration is too low. This large power factor in the Al$_6$Re$_5$Si$_4$ phase is comparable to those of TiSi$_2$-type Al-Mn-Si$^{32}$ and RuAl$_2$.\textsuperscript{12}

Figures 9a–e show the total thermal conductivity, $\kappa_{\text{total}}$, the electron thermal conductivity, $\kappa_{\text{electron}}$, the phonon thermal conductivity, $\kappa_{\text{phonon}}$, the specific heat, $C_p$, and the thermal diffusivity, $\alpha$, as a function of temperature. The $\kappa_{\text{electron}}$ was calculated using the Wiedemann–Franz law ($\kappa_{\text{electron}} = L\sigma T$). We estimated a Lorenz number using a model proposed by Kim et al., $L = 1.5 + \exp[-|S|/116]*10^{-8}$ V$^2$ K$^{-2}$.$^{39}$ The $\kappa_{\text{electron}}$ decreases with increasing $x$ due to an decrease in $\sigma$. Because of the simple crystal structure, a relatively large $\kappa_{\text{phonon}}$ is observed from 3.2 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ to 9.2 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ at 300 K. The $\kappa_{\text{phonon}}$ decreases with increasing temperature because of Umklapp scattering. However, an unusual trend of increasing $\kappa_{\text{phonon}}$ at high temperatures may be understood by the bipolar diffusion effect$^{40}$ brought about by excitations of both electrons and holes, judging from the results of the $S$. The $\kappa_{\text{phonon}}$ seems to increase with increasing $x$. To obtain further insight, we measured the speed of sound, $v_s$, and calculated the Debye temperature, $\theta_D$, for samples with $x = 0-0.9$, as listed in Table 1. Here, $\kappa_{\text{phonon}}$ can be expressed as follows,
\[ \kappa_{\text{phonon}} = \frac{1}{3} C_p V_0^2 \tau_{\text{phonon}}, \]  

(5)

where \( C_p \) and \( \tau_{\text{phonon}} \) are the specific heat and the phonon relaxation time. Apparently, there is no systematic trend in \( v_s \) and \( \theta_0 \) to explain the composition dependence of \( \kappa_{\text{phonon}} \). In this case, the degree of chemical disordering of Al/Si sites may influence \( \tau_{\text{phonon}} \); \( \kappa_{\text{phonon}} \) decreases with increasing contrast between Al and Si.

The dimensionless figure-of-merit, \( zT \), is displayed in Fig. 10a. Except for \( x = 0 \), \( zT \) monotonically increases with increasing temperature. The maximum \( zT \), \( zT_{\text{max}} \), is observed at 973 K for all samples, and \( zT_{\text{max}} \) increases with increasing \( x \) up to \( x = 0.8 \). In the measured temperature range, the highest \( zT_{\text{max}} \) of 0.19 as \( p \)-type and 0.12 as \( n \)-type at 973 K were determined for samples with \( x = 0.8 \) and 0.9, respectively. The present materials have a high melting point, of approximately 2000 K, consequently \( zT \) will be enhanced at higher temperatures. To elucidate the optimal carrier concentration for \( zT \), we calculated \( zT \) as a function of \( n_{\text{H}} \) within a SPB model, as follows.

\[ zT = \frac{S^2}{L + (\psi \beta)^{-1} \tau}, \]  

(6)

\[ L = \frac{k_B^2}{e^2} \frac{3F_0F_z - 4F_1^2}{F_0^2}, \]  

(7)

\[ \beta = \frac{\mu_0 (m^*/m_e)^{3/2} \tau^{5/2}}{\kappa_{\text{phonon}}}, \]  

(8)

\[ \psi = \frac{8\pi e}{3} \left( \frac{2m_e k_B}{h^2} \right)^{3/2} F_0, \]  

(9)

\[ \mu_{\text{H}} = \mu_0 \frac{F_{-1/2}}{2F_0}. \]  

(10)

Here, we assume that \( \mu_{\text{H}} \) decays \( T \) (degenerate behavior) at high temperatures and the constant \( n_{\text{H}} \) is used for a rough estimation of the \( zT \) curve at 973 K. Using the parameters \( m^* = 2.1 \) (0.9) \( m_e \), \( \mu_{\text{H}} = 36 \) (12) \( \text{cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \), and \( \kappa_{\text{phonon}} = 8.9 \) (7.0) \( \text{Wm}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1} \) calculated using \( L = 1.88 \times 10^{-8} \) (1.71 \( \times 10^{-8} \) \( \text{V}^2 \text{K}^{-2} \) at 373 K (at 973 K), the predicted \( zT \) curves at 373 K and 973 K are displayed in Fig. 10b. The \( zT \) curves are consistent with the experimental data, indicating that the obtained \( zT \) of 0.19 is close to the optimal
$zT$ value. To enhance the $zT$ value for this compound, $\kappa_{\text{phonon}}$ should be lowered by alloying and/or nanostructuring.

**Conclusions**

The thermoelectric properties of MoSi$_2$-type intermetallic compounds Al$_{6-x}$Re$_{4.7}$Si$_{4+x}$ ($x = 0$–0.9) were systematically investigated in the temperature range from 373 K to 973 K. To clarify the electronic structure, a first-principles band structure calculation was performed for ReAl$_2$, ReAlSi, and ReSi$_2$. The calculation implied that this compound forms a narrow band gap of $\sim$0.3 eV in the electronic density of states. Indeed, Al$_{6-x}$Re$_{4.7}$Si$_{4+x}$ ($x = 0$–0.8) samples behaved as degenerate semiconductors while Al$_{5.1}$Re$_{4.7}$Si$_{4.9}$ ($x = 0.9$) showed non-degenerate properties with a band gap of 0.53 eV. A large $S^2\sigma$ of 1.65 mW m$^{-1}$ K$^{-2}$ at 873 K was observed for the sample with $x = 0.8$ by adjusting the position of $E_F$. However, a relatively large $\kappa_{\text{phonon}}$ was observed from 3.2 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ to 9.2 W m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ at 300 K because of the simple crystal structure. We confirmed that the increasing contrast between Al/Si can decrease the $\kappa_{\text{phonon}}$. The maximum $zT$ reached 0.19 at 973 K for Al$_{5.2}$Re$_{4.7}$Si$_{4.8}$ ($x = 0.8$), whose value is close to that of the optimal $zT$ determined using a SPB model.
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[Figure captions]

Fig. 1 Crystal structure of MoSi$_2$-type Al$_6$Re$_5$Si$_4$. The blue and orange spheres are Al(0.6)/Si(0.4) and Re, respectively.

Fig. 2 X-ray diffraction patterns for Al$_6$Re$_5$Si$_4$ ($\delta = 0, 0.3, 0.5$) after arc melting, together with calculated patterns. The arrows indicate (a) the secondary phases of Re and (c) the $\alpha$-Al-Re-Si phase. The square indicates an unidentified phase (a).

Fig. 3 X-ray diffraction patterns for Al$_{6+x}$Re$_{4.7}$Si$_{4+x}$ ($x = 0–0.9$), together with calculated patterns. The arrow and squares indicate Si and an unidentified phase, respectively.

Fig. 4 Nominal composition diagram of synthesized Al$_6$Re$_5$Si$_4$ ($\delta = 0, 0.3, 0.5$) and Al$_{6+x}$Re$_{4.7}$Si$_{4+x}$ ($x = -1.0–1.0$). The circle, cross, and star symbols represent the compositions of single-phase, multiple-phases, and calculation (see Fig. 6(a)), respectively.

Fig. 5 Electronic density of states (DOS) for ordered (a) MoSi$_2$-type ReAl$_2$ and (b) MoSi$_2$- and MoPt$_2$-type ReSi$_2$.

Fig. 6 (a) Crystal structure model, (b) electronic density of states (DOS), and (c) band structure for MoSi$_2$-type ReAlSi.
Fig. 7 (a) Electrical conductivity, $\sigma$, (b) Seebeck coefficient, $S$, for $\text{Al}_{67-x}\text{Re}_{4.7}\text{Si}_{4+x}$ ($x = 0$–$0.9$), and (c) Seebeck coefficient as a function of Hall carrier concentration, $n_{\text{H}}$, for $\text{Al}_{67-x}\text{Re}_{4.7}\text{Si}_{4+x}$ ($x = 0.6$ and $0.8$). The solid lines show calculated $S$ curves at 373 K, using an SPB model with $m^* = 1.6 \, m_e$ ($x = 0.6$) and $2.1 \, m_e$ ($x = 0.8$), respectively.

Fig. 8 Power factor, $S^2 \sigma$, as a function of temperature for $\text{Al}_{67-x}\text{Re}_{4.7}\text{Si}_{4+x}$ ($x = 0$–$0.9$).

Fig. 9 (a) Total thermal conductivity, $\kappa_{\text{total}}$, (b) electron thermal conductivity, $\kappa_{\text{electron}}$, (c) phonon thermal conductivity, $\kappa_{\text{phonon}}$, (d) specific heat, $C_p$, and (e) thermal diffusivity, $\alpha$, as a function of temperature for $\text{Al}_{67-x}\text{Re}_{4.7}\text{Si}_{4+x}$ ($x = 0$–$0.9$). The dotted and dashed lines represent the Dulong–Petit limit ($3R$) and $4R$, respectively.

Fig. 10 (a) Dimensionless figure of merit, $zT$, as a function of temperature for $\text{Al}_{67-x}\text{Re}_{4.7}\text{Si}_{4+x}$ ($x = 0$–$0.9$), and (b) $zT$ as a function of Hall carrier concentration for $p$-type $\text{Al}_{67-x}\text{Re}_{4.7}\text{Si}_{4+x}$ ($x = 0.6$ and $0.8$). The solid and dashed lines show calculated $zT$ curves at 373 K and 973 K, respectively.
Table 1 Speed of sound, \( v_s \), and Debye temperature, \( \theta_D \), for Al\(_{67-x}\)Re\(_4\)Si\(_{4+x} \) (\( x = 0-0.9 \)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>( v_s ) (m s(^{-1} ))</th>
<th>( \theta_D ) (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( x = 0 )</td>
<td>( 4.89 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x = 0.3 )</td>
<td>( 5.03 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x = 0.5 )</td>
<td>( 5.09 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x = 0.6 )</td>
<td>( 5.09 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x = 0.8 )</td>
<td>( 4.99 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x = 0.9 )</td>
<td>( 4.68 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>570</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(a) Al₆Re₄.7Si₂.5
(b) Al₅.7Re₄.7Si₂.3
(c) Al₅.5Re₄.7Si₂.5
(d) Al₅.4Re₄.7Si₂.6
(e) Al₅.2Re₄.7Si₂.8
(f) Al₅.1Re₄.7Si₂.9
(g) Calculation
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