This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal’s standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical guidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.
Bimetallic Mn$^{III}$-Fe$^{II}$ hybrid complexes formed by a functionalized Mn$^{III}$ Anderson polyoxometalate coordinated to Fe$^{II}$: Observation of a field-induced slow relaxation of magnetization in the Mn$^{III}$ centres and a photoinduced spin-crossover in the Fe$^{II}$ centres

Alexandre Abhervé, Mario Palacios-Corella, Juan Modesto Clemente-Juan, Raphael Marx, Petr Neugebauer, Joris van Slageren, Miguel Clemente-León and Eugenio Coronado

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX
DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

The synthesis and crystal structure of the Anderson POM functionalized with two 2,6-di(pyrazol-1-yl)-pyridine (1-bpp) ligands are reported (compound 1). High-frequency electron paramagnetic resonance (HF-EPR) and magnetic measurements show that it presents a significant negative axial zero-field splitting and field-induced slow relaxation of magnetization due to the presence of isolated Mn$^{III}$ anisotropic magnetic ions. Complexation of 1 with Fe$^{II}$ gives rise to a 2D cationic network formed by Anderson POMs coordinated to two Fe$^{III}$ ions through the two tridentate 1-bpp ligands and to other two Fe$^{II}$ ions through two oxo ligands in compound 2, and to an anionic polymeric network formed by Anderson POMs coordinated through the 1-bpp ligands to two Fe$^{III}$, which are coordinated to two 1-bpp ligands from two neighbouring POMs, in compound 3. Crystal structure of 2 has been solved. Magnetic properties show that Fe$^{III}$ of 3 remains in the low-spin state, while that of 2 remains in the high-spin state due to coordination to oxygens from a neighbouring POM and dimethylformamide and water solvent molecules. Irradiation of 3 at 10 K with green light induces a spin-crossover (LIESST) effect with a small but significant photoconversion (~8%). Finally, AC susceptibility measurements of 2, 3 and (C$_6$H$_4$_2N)$_2$[MnMoO$_{24}$(OCH$_2$)$_2$C$_6$H$_12$] (4) confirm field-induced slow relaxation of magnetization of Mn$^{III}$ Anderson POMs.

Introduction

Polyoxometalates (POMs) constitute a family of molecular-metal oxides with unique electronic and structural properties and a variety of applications in areas like catalysis, medicine and material science.$^{1,2}$ An interesting possibility of these polyanions is that they can be functionalized with organic ligands,$^{3}$ affording rationally designed, predictable and consistent POM-based hybrid structures.$^{4}$ One of the most successful strategies uses tris-alkoxo-amide tripods as anchoring ligands. This approach has already been used for the incorporation of a large variety of organic ligands into Lindqvist, Anderson and Dawson-Wells structures.$^{4,9}$ For instance, discrete or polymeric complexes have been obtained from tris-alkoxy-pyridyl ligands of various denticity (pyridyl,$^{10}$ bipyridyl$^{11,12}$ and terpyridine$^{13}$).

The preparation and characterization of magnetic POMs following this strategy remains largely unexplored.$^{13}$ Still, complex magnetic functionalities could be expected if the appropriate functionalization is chosen. An attractive example can result from the association of a magnetic POM molecule with a spin-crossover (SCO) complex. In SCO systems, low-spin (LS) to high-spin (HS) transitions can be triggered through a variety of external stimuli (temperature, pressure or electromagnetic radiation). They constitute one of the most spectacular examples of molecular bistability. To our knowledge, there are not previous reports of POMs showing SCO behaviour. In this work, we will explore this topic through the incorporation of the tridentate ligand, 2,6-bis(pyrazol-1-yl)pyridine (1-bpp) (Scheme 1), into a Mn$^{III}$ Anderson POM. This ligand has been chosen because Fe$^{III}$ complexes of 1-bpp usually present very abrupt spin transition with thermal hysteresis close to room temperature.$^{14,15}$ Furthermore, they often exhibit spin-crossover induced by irradiation (light-induced excited spin state trapping effect, LIESST) with relatively long lifetimes of the photoinduced metastable states.$^{16}$ To reach this goal we have first prepared the 1-bpp functionalized Anderson POM (C$_{24}$H$_{36}$N)$_2$[MnMo$_{12}$O$_{40}$(C$_6$H$_5$_3N)$_2$]·(C$_6$H$_5$NO)$_2$·(H$_2$O)$_{2.5}$ (1) and subsequently the compounds [Fe(H$_2$O)(C$_6$H$_5$NO)$_2$]·[MnMo$_{12}$O$_{40}$(C$_6$H$_5$_3N)$_2$][OH]·(H$_2$O)]·(C$_6$H$_5$NO)$_2$·2.5 (2) and (C$_{24}$H$_{36}$N)[Fe(MnMo$_{12}$O$_{40}$(C$_6$H$_5$_3N)$_2$)]·(H$_2$O)$_4$·3 (3), formed by reaction of 1 with Fe$^{II}$.

Interestingly, during the magnetic characterization of 1 we have found that the magnetically anisotropic Mn$^{III}$ ion behaves as a single-molecule magnet (SMM) showing field-induced slow...
relaxation of the magnetization. This behaviour is rare in POMs. In fact, it was observed for the first time in 2008 in mononuclear complexes based on lanthanoids ([LnW3O12]3− POM series)15 and in magnetic clusters based on the [XW6O19]4− [Mn11II3Mn11II9O4(H2O)4]12− (X = Si, Ge) POM.17 Very recently, in 2015, this behaviour has been observed for mononuclear complexes based on d metal ions ([M(SiW6O31)]17−).18 Owing to the current interest raised by the mononuclear SMMs based on Mn19,19 we have studied in this work how general this behaviour is in the Anderson structures containing this transition metal. Thus, the magnetic properties of 1, 2 and 3 will be compared with those of a functionalized MnAnderson POM reported in the literature, (C16H23N3)[MnMoO3O5(OCH2)3CNH2]2 (4). (Scheme 1). In the second part of this work, we will also show how light affects the SCO behaviour in the hybrid MnFe compound 3.

### Experimental

#### General Remarks.
C16H23N3)2−[MoO4Cl2], bppyCOOEt22 and C16H23N3)[MnMoO3O5(OCH2)3CNH2]2 (4)4 were synthesized according to the literature methods. All other materials and solvents were commercially available and used without further purification.

#### Synthesis of TRIS-bpp. Under nitrogen atmosphere, bppyCOOEt (181 mg, 0.64 mmol), (HOCH2)3CNH2 (77 mg, 0.64 mmol) and K2CO3 (88 mg, 0.64 mmol) were suspended in dry dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (5 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 18 h. The reaction mixture was then filtered, and the solvent removed by vacuum. The residue was dissolved in EtOH (3 mL) and the product precipitated by slowly adding H2O (15 mL). The white precipitate was filtered, washed with diethyl ether, and dried to give pure TRIS-bpp (87 mg, 38%).1H NMR (d4-DMSO, 300 MHz): 8.99 (dd, J = 3, 0.75 Hz, 2H, Im), 8.12 (s, 2H, H5), 7.92 (dd, J = 2, 0.75 Hz, 2H, H8), 7.82 (br, 1H, H11), 6.67 (dd, J = 3, 2 Hz, 2H, H10), 4.69 (t, J = 6 Hz, 3H, H10), 3.74 (d, J = 6 Hz, 6H, H12).

#### Synthesis of C16H23N3)[MnMoO3O5(C16H15N2O2)−[C16H18N2O2][H2O]2]2− (1).
C16H23N3)2−[MoO4Cl2] (150 mg, 0.07 mmol) and Mn(CH3COO)2·2H2O (27 mg, 0.10 mmol) were dissolved in dry dimethylacetamide (DMAc, 8 mL). Then a solution of TRIS-bpp (87 mg, 0.24 mmol) in dry DMAc (3 mL) was added, and the mixture was heated at 80°C for 18 h. The obtained orange solution was allowed to cool down. After two days, yellow cubic crystals of 1 were obtained (177 mg, 98%).1H NMR (d4-DMSO, 300 MHz): 8.97 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 4H, H11), 8.09 (br, 4H, H12), 7.91 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 4H, H13), 6.65 (dd, J = 2.7, 1.2 Hz, 4H, H11), 3.16 (m, 24H, H11), 1.56 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 24H, H9), 1.31 (sx, J = 7.5 Hz, 24H, H8), 0.93 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 36H, H2) (presence of 2 equiv. of DMAc confirmed by peaks at 1.96, 2.78 and 2.94 ppm). IR (KBr pellet, cm−1): 2960 (v C-H, s), 2935 (v C-H, s), 2874 (v C-H, s), 1670 (m), 1618 (m), 1570 (m), 1552 (sh), 1524 (m), 1483 (sh), 1462 (s), 1396 (v C-H, s), 1362 (w), 1320 (w), 1288 (w), 1259 (w), 1207 (w), 1151 (w), 1111 (sh), 1097 (v C-O, w), 1047 (m), 1036 (v C-O, sh), 1030 (sh), 941 (v Mo=O, vs), 922 (v Mo=O, vs), 903 (v Mo=O, vs), 789 (m), 760 (m), 667 (v Mo=O-Mo, vs), 565 (m), 464 (m). Anal. Calc'd for (C16H23N3)[MnMoO3O5(C16H15N2O2)]2[C16H18N2O2][H2O]2−: C, 41.0; H, 6.3; N, 9.2%. Found: C, 40.9; H, 5.8; N, 9.1%.

#### Synthesis of [Fe(H2O)3(MoO4O2(C16H15N2O2)[OH])[H2O])3+ (2).
C16H23N3)[MnMoO3O5(C16H15N2O2)]2−[C16H18N2O2][H2O]2+ (1) (25.8 mg, 0.01 mmol) was dissolved in acetonitrile (3 mL). A solution of Fe(CO)5·xH2O (5.1 mg, 0.02 mmol) in acetonitrile (3 mL) was added slowly, and the resulted mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature. The orange precipitate was filtered and recrystallized in dimethylformamide (10 mL). After three days, red crystals were obtained (0.8 mg, 4%) IR (KBr pellet, cm−1): 2922 (v C-H, s), 2875 (v C-H, s), 2645 (m), 1648 (m), 1628 (m), 1572 (m), 1528 (m), 1500 (m), 1460 (s), 1405 (v C-H, s), 1327 (w), 1295 (w), 1274 (w), 1211 (s), 1173 (s), 1156 (w), 1098 (v C-O, s), 1055 (v C-O, sh), 1025 (sh), 972 (s), 946 (v Mo=O, vs), 925 (v Mo=O, vs), 912 (v Mo=O, vs), 796 (m), 765 (m), 668 (v Mo=O-Mo, vs), 569 (m), 466 (m). Anal. Calc’d for [Fe(H2O)3(C16H18N2O2)][MoO4O2(C16H15N2O2)][OH][H2O])3+: C, 23.3; H, 2.6; N, 10.0%. Found: C, 21.59; H, 3.62; N, 8.99%.

#### Synthesis of (C16H23N3)2−[Fe(MoO4O2(C16H15N2O2))[H2O])4 (3).
C16H23N3)[MnMoO3O5(C16H15N2O2)]2−[C16H18N2O2][H2O]2+ (1) (12.9 mg, 0.005 mmol) was dissolved in dry acetonitrile (5 mL). A solution of Fe(CO)5·xH2O (0.005 mmol) in dry acetonitrile (500 μL) was added slowly, and the resulted mixture was stirred for 10 min at room temperature. The orange precipitate was centrifuged, washed with dry acetonitrile (5 mL), and dried under vacuum (4 mg, 40%). IR (KBr pellet, cm−1): 2958 (v C-H, s), 2923 (v C-H, s), 2872 (v C-H, s), 1670 (m), 1624 (m), 1570 (m), 1527 (m), 1499 (sh), 1459 (s), 1400 (v C-H, s), 1390 (w), 1363 (w), 1323 (w), 1264 (w), 1209 (w), 1169 (w), 1096 (v C-O, w), 1052 (v C-O, sh), 1026 (sh), 972 (s), 945 (v Mo=O, vs), 922 (v Mo=O, vs), 903 (v Mo=O, vs), 795 (m), 764 (m), 665 (v Mo=O-Mo, vs), 567 (m), 462 (m). Anal. Calc’d for (C16H23N3)[Fe(MoO4O2(C16H15N2O2))[H2O])4: C, 28.8; H, 3.7; N, 9.1%. Found: C, 27.5; H, 2.5; N, 9.1%. The bands at 1624, 1390, 1169 and 972 cm−1 could support the coordination of Fe8 to 1-bpp.

#### Physical measurements.
Infrared (IR) spectra were recorded in the solid state (KBr pellets) on a Nicolet Avatar 320 FTIR spectrometer in the 400–4000 cm−1 range. C, H and N elemental analyses were done on a CE Instruments EA 1110 CHNS Elemental analyser. The Mn:Mo and Fe:Mn:Mo ratios were measured on a Philips ESEM X230 scanning electron microscope equipped with an EDAX DX-4 microsonde. 1H NMR spectra were acquired on a Bruker AVANCE DRX 300 spectrometer.
Single crystals of all compounds were mounted on glass fibres using a viscous hydrocarbon oil to coat the crystal and then transferred directly to the cold nitrogen stream for data collection. All reflection data were collected at 120 K for 1 and 180 K for 2 on a Supernova diffractometer (1) and on a Supernova Atlas Dual Source diffractometer (2) equipped with a graphite-monochromated Enhance (Mo) X-ray Source (λ = 0.7107 Å). The CrysalisPro program, Oxford Diffraction Ltd., was used for unit cell determinations and data reduction. Empirical absorption correction was performed using spherical harmonics, implemented in the SCALE3 ABSPACK scaling algorithm. Crystal structures were solved by direct methods with the SIR97 program, and refined against all F^2 values with the SHELXL-2013 program, using the WinGX graphical user interface. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically except as noted and hydrogen atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined isotropically with a riding model. The structure of 2 showed a weak diffraction due to the presence of disordered solvent molecules in the structure. Due to this, it was not possible to refine anisotropically C and N atoms. Initial refinements revealed the presence of substantial number of unresolved solvent (DMF) molecules in 2. The subroutine SQUEEZE from PLATON was used to remove the diffracting component of disordered solvents resulting in a void of ca. 741.5 Å³ and 142 electrons/cell omitted. This corresponds to ca. 3 DMF molecules per unit cell. Crystallographic data are summarized in Table S1, ESI†. CCDC-1058519 and 1058520 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif. 0.5 mm glass capillaries were filled with polycrystalline samples of compound 1 and mounted and aligned on an Empyrean PANalytical powder diffractometer, using CuKα radiation (λ = 1.54177 Å). A total of 3 scans were collected at room temperature in the 20 range 5-40°. A Q-TOF Premier mass spectrometer with an orthogonal Z-spray electrospray source (Waters, Manchester, U.K.) was used for Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS). The temperature of the source block was set to 100 °C and the desolvation temperature to 120 °C. A capillary voltage of 3.3 kV was used in the negative scan mode, and the cone voltage was set to 5 V to control the extent of fragmentation of the identified species. TOF mass spectra were acquired in the W-mode operating at a resolution of ca. 15000 (fwhm). Mass calibration was performed using a solution of sodium iodide in isopropanol/water (50:50) from m/z 50 to 3000. Acetonitrile sample solutions were infused via syringe pump directly connected to the ESI source at a flow rate of 10 μL/min. The observed isotopic pattern of each compound perfectly matched the theoretical isotope pattern calculated from their elemental composition using the MassLynx 4.1 program.

Magnetic measurements were performed with Quantum Design MPMS-XL-5 SQUID and PPMS-9 magnetometers on powdered polycrystalline samples. Photomagnetic measurements were performed irradiating with a Diode Pumped Solid State Laser DPSS-532-20 from Chylas coupled via an optical fibre to the cavity of the SQUID magnetometer. The optical power at the sample surface was adjusted to 3.4 mW cm⁻², and it was verified that it resulted in no significant change in magnetic response due to heating of the sample. The photomagnetic samples consisted of a thin layer of compound whose weight was obtained by comparison with the magnetic measurement of a more accurately weighted sample of the same compound. High-frequency EPR (HF-EPR) spectra (100 – 370 GHz) were recorded on a home-built spectrometer. Its microwave source is a 8 – 20 GHz signal generator (VDI) in combination with an amplifier–multiplier chain (VDI) to obtain the required frequencies. It features a quasi-optical bridge (Thomas Keating) and induction mode detection. The detector is a QMC magnetically tuned InSb hot electron bolometer. The sample is located in an Oxford Instruments 15/177 cryomagnet equipped with a variable temperature insert (1.5–300 K). The sample was measured as a 5 mm pressed pellet, which was mixed with eicosan (ratio 1:1 25 mg each). Spectral simulations were performed using the EasySpin 4.5.3 simulation software. A modulation amplitude of 80 mA (80 G) was used to modulate the magnetic field. Two temperature sensors allowed monitoring of the sample temperature with high accuracy. The sample was investigated at different frequencies and temperatures (see Table S2, ESI†). A linewidth of 120 mT (FWHM) was used. The powder spectrum is obtained using 91 orientations.

Results and discussion

Syntheses

1-bpp-functionalized Anderson POM (1) was synthesized in several steps following adapted literature procedures (see Scheme 2). The starting material for the preparation of the functionalized POM was a tris-(hydroxymethyl)-functionalized 1-bpp (TRIS-bpp), which was obtained from 1-bpp-4′-carboxyethylester. The ester was obtained by esterification with ethanol of the carboxylate 1-bpp derivative (bppCOOH). The functionalization of the POM was performed in dry dimethylacetamide (DMAc). It can also be performed with similar conditions in dry acetonitrile but it gives rise to a less pure product in a lower yield. Single crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of the DMAc solution of the compound. ¹H NMR spectra confirm the purity of TRIS-bpp and 1 and the grafting of 1-bpp to the POM in 1 (see Fig. S1, ESI†). As observed previously in terpyridine-functionalized

Scheme 2 Synthesis of TRIS-bpp and 1.
Anderson POM, the electronic influence of the cluster (paramagnetic Mn) induces changes in the chemical shifts of the methylene protons of the ligand but not in those of the aromatic ones. Further characterization of I by elemental analysis, IR spectroscopy (Fig. S2, ESi†), microanalysis and electrospray mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) is consistent with the bifunctionalization of the POM. Microanalysis shows a Mn:Mo ratio close to 1:6. Fig. S3, ESi†, shows the ESI-MS (negative mode) analysis of a solution of I in acetonitrile. The three most intense peaks appear at m/z values of 543.2, 814.8 and 935.9, which correspond respectively to the \([\text{MnMoO}_3\text{O}_2\text{(C}_6\text{H}_5\text{N}_2\text{O}_3)]^{-}\) ([I]−), \([\text{MnMoO}_3\text{O}_2\text{(C}_6\text{H}_5\text{N}_2\text{O}_3)]\) (H+ + [I]−) and \([[\text{C}_6\text{H}_6\text{N}]{\text{MnMoO}_3}\text{O}_2\text{(C}_6\text{H}_5\text{N}_2\text{O}_3)]^{-}\) (Tetrabutylammonium (TBA) + [I]−) species. The charge of the species present in the spectrum has been unambiguously characterized by single ion recording (SIR) at the highest resolution of the spectrometer with monoisotopic peaks separated by 1/2. Fig. S4, ESi†, shows the isotopic distributions of the most intense peaks. As these peaks arise from species in which the POM remains intact, we can conclude that the structure of the polyanion is preserved in solution.

![Scheme 3 Proposed structure for the polymeric network of 3.](image)

When I was reacted with FeCl3 in acetonitrile, a precipitate immediately formed, as observed in Lindqvist POM functionalized with terpyridine. The precipitation takes place after the addition of one equivalent of FeCl3 to the POM suggesting the formation of a polymeric compound in which every POM is coordinated to two FeCl3, which, at the same time, are coordinated to two 1-bpp from two POMs (see Scheme 3). Elemental analysis of this precipitate is consistent with the formula \((\text{C}_9\text{H}_9\text{N})\text{[Fe(MnMoO}_3\text{O}_2\text{(C}_6\text{H}_5\text{N}_2\text{O}_3)]\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}}\) (3). Furthermore, microanalysis show a Fe:Mn:Mo ratio close to 1:1:6 and IR spectrum (Fig. S2, ESi†) and magnetic properties (see below) are consistent with coordination of two 1-bpp to FeCl3. Unfortunately, it was not possible to get single crystals of this compound to solve the structure. If two equivalents of FeCl3 are added, an orange precipitate is obtained with a Fe:Mo:Mo ratio close to 2:1:6. This could indicate that FeCl3 are either coordinated to 1-bpp from the POM or act as counterion. This precipitate was partially soluble in polar aprotic solvents such as DMSO, DMF and dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO). DMF. The dissolution may involve dissociation of the 1-bpp-metal coordination bond as observed in pyridyl-functionalized hexavanadates. Indeed, recrystallization in DMF of the compound gave rise to compound 2, in which octahedral coordination around FeCl3 is completed with DMF and water solvent molecules and o xo groups from neighbouring POM (see below). The presence of DMF and water molecules coordinated to the MnII (Mn, Co, Ni, Zn) metals has also been observed in pyridyl-functionalized hexavanadates.

**Structure**

![Fig. 1 View of the structure of the functionalized-POM in compound I.](image)

I crystallizes in the monoclinic space group P21/n. The asymmetric unit is composed by two half crystalllographically independent anions, two TBA+ cations, two DMAC solvent molecules and water molecules that present some disorder. The two crystalllographically independent anions contain an inversion centre placed in the Mn. They present the common Anderson POM structure with six MoO6 octahedral edge-sharing units forming a hexagon around the central MnO6 octahedron. As both alkoxo ligands from the bpp-ligand are directly linked to the MnIII ion, this corresponds to the b isomer of the Anderson structure (Fig. 1). All metal atoms essentially lie in a common plane, with a maximum deviation of 0.003 Å from the best least-squares plane. The octahedral coordination geometry of the central MnIII ion is quite regular, with three Mn-O distances of 1.961(3), 1.977(3) and 2.014(3) Å for Mn1 and 1.913(3), 2.019(3) and 2.022(3) Å for Mn2, and cis-O-Mn-O bond angles comprised between 87.00(10)° and 93.00(11)° and trans-O-Mn-O angles of 180° due the presence of an inversion center in Mn. In contrast to previous MnIII complexes exhibiting a field-induced slow relaxation of magnetization, the coordination sphere around MnIII does not exhibit a marked tetragonal distortion. For example, distances and angles in I are much closer to a perfect octahedron than those of TBA:Mn[SiW12O40]2−:H2O (Mn-O distances of 1.928(5), 1.939(5) and 2.323(5) Å, cis-O-Mn-O bond angles of 77.75(19)° and 88.2(2)° and trans-O-Mn-O angle of 101.36(19)°). It should be noted that the rigidity of the POM framework prevents the MnIII ion from undergoing marked Jahn-Teller distortions. Due to this, the coordination octahedron is only very slightly elongated (Mn1) or compressed (Mn2). The small distortions observed correspond to a slight compression of the octahedron, bringing the two faces capped by the organic ligands closer together, as in other MnIII Anderson POMs. Indeed, distances between these two faces of the octahedron (2.186-2.189 Å) are slightly shorter than those between other faces (2.312-2.338 Å). The two crystalllographically independent POMs present a different orientation. They are surrounded by TBA+ cations and solvent molecules (Fig. S5, ESi†). The shortest distance between MnIII belonging to different POMs is 14.317 Å. Hydrogen bonds are observed between the terminal o xo groups of the POM and water molecules. Furthermore, the NH groups of...
the two POMs form hydrogen bonds with a DMAc molecule and a water molecule. Powder X-ray diffraction of 1 confirms the structure of the compound (Fig. S6, ESI†).

2 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group C2/c. The asymmetric unit is composed of half crystallographically independent anion and one crystallographically independent Fe coordinated to a DMF and water molecule. Furthermore, it contains half crystallographically independent OH and water molecules. The structure of the anion is the same as that of the 1-bpp-functionalized Anderson POM found in 1 with an inversion centre placed in Mn, but, in contrast to 1, it presents a two dimensional (2D) polymeric structure (Fig. 2). Thus, each functionalized Anderson POM is coordinated to two FeII ions through the two tridentate 1-bpp ligands and to other two FeII ions through two oxo ligands linked to two Mo ions (Mo2). The octahedral coordination around FeII is completed with one DMF and water solvent molecules and the oxo ligand from a neighbouring POM mentioned above. This gives rise to a 2D network in the bc plane formed by interconnected [FeII(HP-O)(C3H7NO)][MnII3Mo4O12(C3H7H2N3O2)2] units (Fig. 2). The octahedral coordination geometry of the central MnIII presents three Mn-O distances of 1.906(11), 1.998(11) and 2.034(12) Å, cis-O-Mn-O bond angles comprised between 87.1(5)° and 92.9(5)° and trans-O-Mn-O angles of 180° due the presence of the inversion centre in Mn. FeII presents a more distorted octahedral coordination geometry. The shortest distance is that with the O atom from DMF (1.985(19) Å). Fe-O distances to the water molecule and oxo ligand from POM are intermediate (2.128(16) and 2.206(13) Å), while Fe-N distances to the 1-bpp ligand range from 2.182(16) to 2.224(16) Å. These distances indicate that FeII is in the HS state. A lateral view of two neighbouring layers, shown in Fig. S7, ESI†, allows distinguishing the microporous channels, which are formed along the crystallographic c-axis. These pores are occupied by three disordered DMF solvent molecules (see above). Beside these DMF molecules, the space between the cationic layers is occupied by water solvent molecules and OH groups, which are connected through hydrogen bond interactions with NH groups and POM oxo groups from the layers. Hydrogen bond formation agrees with the presence of half crystallographically independent OH anion (O200 in Fig. S7, ESI†), which counterbalances the positive charge of the 2D layer. Powder X-ray diffraction of 2 could not be performed due to the small amount of sample available.

Figure 2: Views of a layer of functionalized-POM linked through FeII ions in the structure of 2 (top) and of the repeating trimeric unit with two coordinated POMs (bottom) (Fe (yellow), Mn (pink), Mo (white), C (black), N (blue), O (red)). Red oxygen atoms from the POM are those coordinated to FeII ions. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Magnetic properties

Temperature dependence of the product of the molar magnetic susceptibility times the temperature (χmT) of a pressed pellet of 1 in eicosane is shown in Fig. 3. χmT value at room temperature (2.9 cm³mol⁻¹K) is consistent with an isolated MnII with S = 2 and g = 2.0. Upon cooling, the χmT value remains constant until 40 K. Below this temperature, there is an abrupt decrease which indicates that there is an appreciable zero-field splitting as observed in other MnIII mononuclear complexes. This is further confirmed by the isothermal magnetization (M) curves of 1 in the temperature range of 2–10 K, which cannot be superposed at high H/T values (Fig. 4). This indicates that there is a strong magnetic anisotropy of the ground state of MnIII. From simultaneous fitting of susceptibility and magnetization data using the Magpack program (Figs. 4 and S8, ESI†), a D value = -5.75 cm⁻¹, a E value = 0.01 cm⁻¹ and a g value = 2 have been obtained. A more precise value of the negative axial anisotropy and a rhombic term presence was confirmed by high-frequency electron paramagnetic resonance (HF-EPR).

HF-EPR is a useful technique to study mononuclear MnIII complexes. HF-EPR spectra of a pressed pellet of 1 in eicosane at different temperatures and frequencies (see Table S2, ESI†) are shown in Figs. 5, S9 and S10, ESI†. Simulations of these spectra using the EasySpin simulation software clearly confirm the negative sign of D. All simulations were done using the following set of parameters: $D = -5.24$ cm⁻¹, $E = 0.39$ cm⁻¹ and $g_{iso} = 1.98$. Interestingly, the slightly distorted octahedral geometry of MnIII in the Anderson POM gives rise to higher axial zero-field splitting parameter than values found in literature for other MnIII complexes presenting a clear tetrahedral elongation of the coordination sphere of MnIII, including those showing a field-
induced slow relaxation of magnetization ($D$ ranging from -3.2 to -4.55 cm$^{-1}$). On the other hand, this $D$ value is closer to that of TBA$_2$H$_{10}$[Mn$^{III}$]([SiW$_9$O$_{34}$]$_2$)·3H$_2$O ($D = -5.28$ cm$^{-1}$), which also presents a clear tetrahedral distortion.$^{18}$ Finally, the rhombic $E$-term is slightly lower than most of these complexes ($E \approx 0.5-0.7$ cm$^{-1}$)$^{19a,b,c,e}$ but higher than that found for Na$_5$[Mn($\ell$-tart)$_2$]·12H$_2$O ($E = 0.032$ cm$^{-1}$)$^{19d}$ and TBA$_2$H$_{10}$[Mn$^{III}$]([SiW$_9$O$_{34}$]$_2$)·3H$_2$O ($E = 0.00119$ cm$^{-1}$).$^{18}$

The relaxation properties of I were studied by susceptibility measurements performed with an alternating magnetic field (AC susceptibility). In the absence of a magnetic field, no signal in the out of phase molar susceptibility ($\chi_m''$) is observed. When magnetic fields of 0.2 or 0.5 T are applied, strong frequency-dependent peaks in both the in phase molar susceptibility ($\chi_m'$) and $\chi_m''$ appear with clear maxima of $\chi_m''$ below 3 K (Figs. 6 and S8, ESI†). This is a clear indication that I presents a field-induced slow relaxation of magnetization.
Notice that only a few mononuclear Mn(N) complexes, reported very recently, have shown this behaviour. They are
15 Ph₂P[Mn(η3-opbaCl₂)(py)₂] (H₂opbaCl₂ = N,N′-3,4-dichloro-16-phenylenebis(oxamic acid), py = pyridine, and Ph₂P = 17 tetraphenylphosphonium cation),[16] [Mn(N)(5-TMMAM(R)-salmen)(H₂O)CO₃(CN)₃]·7H₂O·MeCN (5-TMMAM(R)-salmen = (R)-N,N-(1-methylethenylene)bis(5-
18 trimethylammoniumsalicylidenedicarboxylate).[16]
19 [Mn(N)(η3-OPPh₂)₂N][16] Na₂[Mn(t-tart)₂]·12H₂O (t-tart = t-
20 tartarate),[16] Mn(eta3-dbmm) (dbmm = dibenzylmethanido) and
21 [Mn(N)(dbmm)(L)₂](ClO₄) (L = dimethyl sulfoxide or pyridine),[16g] and the TBA·H₃[16d][Mn(N)(SiW₁ₑO₃₃)₂]·3H₂O POM mentioned above.[16h]
22 The maxima of \( \chi'' \) in I appear at lower temperature (2.4 K) than those of compounds Ph₂P[Mn(N)(opbaCl₂)(py)₂] (-3.6 K) or [Mn(N)(dbmm)(L)₂](ClO₄) (L = pyridine) (-2.6 K) but higher than those of compounds [Mn(N)(5-TMMAM(R)-salmen)(H₂O)CO₃(CN)₃]·7H₂O·MeCN.[19]
23 [Mn(N)(η3-OPPh₂)₂N][16] [Mn(N)(dbmm)N][16d] [Mn(N)(dbmm)(L)₂](ClO₄) (L = dimethyl sulfoxide) and TBA·H₃[16d][Mn(N)(SiW₁₁O₃₃)₂]·3H₂O are similar to those found in Ph₂P[Mn(N)(opbaCl₂)(py)₂] (0.089–
24 0.216).[16h]

Temperature dependence of \( \chi_m T \) of powdered samples of 2 and 3 is shown in Figure 3. \( \chi_m T \) values at room temperature (9.4 cm⁻³ mol⁻¹ K for 2 and 3.3 cm⁻³ mol⁻¹ K for 3) are close to the expected contributions for an isolated Mn(N) with \( S = 2 \) and \( g = 2.0 \) plus two Fe(N) in the HS state for 2. These data are consistent with metal-ligand distances in the structure of 2 that indicate that Fe(N) is in the HS state. This is in agreement with the crystal field splitting caused by the coordination of Fe(N) with O atoms (N₂O₃ coordination sphere), whose strength is lower than that caused by the N atoms in 3. In fact, in 3, Fe(N) is coordinated to two 1-bpp ligands leading to a LS state of Fe(N). As \( \chi_m T \) values for 2 remain constant upon cooling, we can conclude that there is no magnetic interaction between the Mn(N) centre (\( S = 2 \)) and the two HS Fe(N) centres (\( S = 2 \)). This is due to the magnetic isolation between Mn(N) and the HS Fe(N), which is provided by the relatively long TRIS-bpp bridging ligand or MoO₃ units. Indeed, minimum distances between Fe and Mn are close to 6.4 Å in 2. Finally, as spin-crossover of other 1-bpp derivatives has been observed at temperatures well above 300 K,[34] \( \chi_m T \) of 3 has been measured up to 400 K. Unfortunately, \( \chi_m T \) remains close to LS values indicating that no spin-crossover is taking place in this polymer. To see if it was possible to photoinduce the spin-crossover, 3 was irradiated with green light (\( \lambda = 532 \) nm, optical power 3.4 mW cm⁻²) at 10 K. A small but significant increase of the magnetic signal was observed. After three hours, the irradiation was switched off and the temperature was then increased at the standard rate of 0.3 K min⁻¹. The \( \chi_m T \) product firstly increases upon warming from 10 K due to zero-field splitting of the HS Fe(N) and reaches a maximum near 40 K (Fig. 7). At higher temperatures \( \chi_m T \) decreases to reach similar values to those obtained before irradiation above 70 K. The maximum difference between the two curves (0.25 cm⁻³ mol⁻¹ K) indicates a
photoconversion close to 8%. This low photoconversion is similar to that observed in other Fe\textsuperscript{II} compounds showing a disordered structure and high T\textsubscript{crossover}. Further studies are needed to understand the photomagnetic behaviour of this compound (spectroscopic studies and relaxation kinetics of the photoinduced metastable state).

To test if SMM behaviour is general for this type of structures, we have measured the relaxation properties of 2 and 3 by AC susceptibility measurements. Furthermore, we have studied for the first time the magnetic properties of 4 (Scheme 1), one of the simplest Anderson POM with Mn\textsuperscript{III} reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{20}

We have to take into account that, while unfunctionalized Anderson-type polyoxomolybdates with Mn\textsuperscript{II}, Fe\textsuperscript{II}, Ni\textsuperscript{II}, and Zn\textsuperscript{II} are known in the literature, all Mn\textsuperscript{III} Anderson POM structures reported so far correspond to functionalized POMs.\textsuperscript{2} 2, 3 and 4 show strong frequency-dependent $X_{\text{ac}}$ and $X_{\text{dc}}$ peaks under an applied magnetic field of 0.5 T below 3 K as in 1. This is a clear indication that field-induced slow relaxation of magnetization is a common feature for this type of structure (Figs. S8 and S12, ESI\textsuperscript{1}).

The calculated values of $\tau$ and $E_r$ of these compounds are similar to those of 1 and the other Mn\textsuperscript{III} complexes showing this behaviour (Fig. S12 and table S3, ESI\textsuperscript{1}).

Conclusions

In this work, two tridentate 1-bpp ligands have been incorporated into an Anderson POM in compound 1 by using the tris-alkoxoamido tripod functionalization as shown by single crystal X-ray diffraction. Direct reaction of 1 in a 1:1 Fe\textsuperscript{II}:POM ratio gives rise to a 1D polymer in compound 3, whereas a 2:1 Fe\textsuperscript{II}:POM ratio leads to a precipitate partially soluble in DMF, which leads to 2 after recrystallisation. These results confirm the versatility of the coordination chemistry of tris-alkoxo-amido functionalized POMs to obtain a great variety of structures ranging from a 2D cationic network in compound 2 or an anionic polymer in compound 3. Two conclusions can be extracted from the structure of 2: i) the excess of metal leads to coordination with the oxo groups of the POM and; ii) recrystallization in polar aprotic solvents such as DMF involves dissociation of the 1-bpp-metal coordination bond. A similar behaviour has been observed in other functionalized POMs such as pyridyl-functionalized hexavanadates.\textsuperscript{19}

Magnetic properties of 1 have shown that it presents a field-induced slow relaxation of magnetization due to magnetic anisotropy of Mn\textsuperscript{III}, as observed in other mononuclear Mn\textsuperscript{III} complexes reported very recently. This is the second example of d-metal POM exhibiting this behaviour reported in the literature. Until very recently, slow relaxation of magnetization in POMs had only been found in POMs containing lanthanoids.\textsuperscript{16} The similar behaviour of the reference compound 4, one of the simplest functionalized Anderson POM reported so far, and 2 and 3 confirms that this type of behaviour is general for this type of structures. Furthermore, it shows that a high Jahn-Teller tetrahedral distortion as that of previous Mn\textsuperscript{III} complexes showing field-induced slow relaxation of magnetization is not needed to obtain such behaviour. This result opens the way for the preparation of hybrid POMs combining this property with other magnetic properties of interest. Thus, spin-crossover behaviour could be expected if two 1-bpp ligands were coordinated to Fe\textsuperscript{II} as observed in compound 3. The magnetic properties indicate that, although Fe\textsuperscript{II} complexes remain in the LS state in all the temperature range, it is possible to induce spin-crossover by light irradiation (LIESST effect). However, the LS to HS photoconversion is limited (~8%). Possible strategies to improve these results are the use of other counterions or solvents as the spin transition of this type of complexes is very sensitive to the changes of packing and intermolecular interactions resulting from different counterion or solvent molecules. Other possibility is to decrease the ligand field by the introduction of other substituents in the 1-bpp derivative.
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