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In the past few decades, various nanovehicles have been developed as drug delivery systems, in which inorganic and organic components are integrated within a nano-object. Upon the application of remote stimuli, e.g. alternating magnetic field, near infrared or ultrasound radiations, the release of guest molecules can be triggered in a quite controlled manner. Herein, we review different hybrid nanostructures which have already been reported for the remotely triggered release, such as those based on (1) host/guest conjugates, (2) core/corona nanoparticles, (3) polymer nanogels, (4) polymer micelles, (5) liposomes, (6) mesoporous silica particles and (7) hollow nanoparticles. Moreover, we also summarize six underlying mechanisms that govern such kind of remotely triggered release behaviours: (1) enhanced diffusion and/or permeation, (2) thermo- or photo-labile bond cleavage, (3) fusion of phase-changed materials, (4) photo-induced isomerisation, (5) thermo-induced swelling/de-swelling of thermo-responsive polymer, and (6) destruction of the nanostructures. The ways in which different components are incorporated into an integrated hybrid nanostructure and how they contribute to the remotely triggered release behaviours are detailed.

1. Introduction

Application of traditional anti-cancer chemotherapeutic reagents is still circumvented by their poor water solubility, metabolic instability, as well as their dose-dependent toxic potential. This is why substantial amount of effort has been devoted toward developing innovative drug delivery system (DDS) to efficiently and effectively transport such chemotherapeutic reagents. Controlled drug delivery systems, which are intended to deliver drugs at predetermined rates within a predefined period, have been developed to overcome the shortcomings of conventional chemotherapeutic modulations. As shown in Fig. 1, the annual number of articles aiming to develop DDS has substantially increased in the last decade. Due to this huge amount of publications on DDS, it is impossible to review all of them, thus we selected those, which are the most original and/or promising systems according to our own experience. Moreover this review is especially focused on delicately-designed hybrid nanovehicles specifically for remotely triggered drug release.

1. 1. Why remote triggers?

In order to address those complicated issues emerging from the clinical practices, an ideal DDS must satisfy some “self-contradictory” requirements. It should primarily be capable of safely delivering high-amount of chemotherapeutic agents to the disease location, with a “zero premature release” character during the in vivo transport. On the other hand, controlled release of the chemotherapeutic payloads should be easily achieved in a controlled manner, upon the application of specific stimuli. Usually, this kind of stimuli can be present in vivo or remotely applied by clinicians. For the former one, some disease microenvironments might manifest themselves with particularly different physiological characteristics from their healthy surroundings, such as difference in pH, concentration of glucose...
or reductive reagents, presence of a specific enzyme, etc. Thus, once the nanovehicles reach those disease pathologies and experience such pathological difference, it might allow them to respond specifically to these “triggers” with chemical/physical changes, following with the subsequent drug release. For example, the extracellular pH in solid tumours tends to be acidic (ca. 6.5-6.0), compared to that of the vascular fluid (7.4). Additionally, the pH value decreases along the cellular uptake of nanovehicles from 7.4 (cytosolic) to 5.5-6.0 (endosomes), and finally reaches ca. 5.0 (lysosomes). Therefore, DDS bearing pH-sensitive moieties, like acid-labile bonds, could be disintegrated or become permeable after reaching the tumour sites and/or internalizing within the lesion cells, resulting in the pH-induced release of chemotherapeutic payloads. Up to now, many efforts have been directed to developing DDS with the potential to release the cargo molecules in response to these internal pathological stimuli.

Although significant progress has been achieved on DDS sensitive to these pathological “triggers”, such kind of release behaviours are still executed in a passive mode and lack of control over the dose, timing and duration of the drug release. Moreover, this approach could not allow for pulsatile or self-regulated drug release, which is adjusted to the staging of biological rhythms, since the onset of some diseases always exhibit strong circadian temporal dependence, such as diabetes. Therefore, it would be more desirable if the chemotherapeutic payloads could be delivered in a manner that precisely matches the physiological needs at a proper period and/or within a specific site. Fortunately, these drawbacks could be well overcome with manually-introduced remote stimuli, e.g. ultrasound, magnetic field or light application, due to the facile accessibility and manipulative controllability, as well as less side-effects, making them appealing in future DDS developments. As summarized in Fig. 1, there is also a continuous increase in the number of articles per year dealing with “remotely triggered release”. Popularity of the “remotely triggered release” concept also results in the constant emerging of delicately-designed nanovehicles, bearing functional moieties sensitive to a certain remote stimuli. Up to now, structures based on superparamagnetic nanoparticles with the responsiveness to magnetic fields,1-14 gold nanoparticles to light,15, 16 liposomes to high-frequency ultrasound,17-19 etc, have ever been thoroughly reviewed for remotely triggered drug release. In addition to the “remotely triggered release” function, these intricate nanostructures also bring out some chances/possibilities endow the DDS with other credentials, for example, in vivo tracking with the aid of biomedical imaging,20 which further demonstrate their potential in biomedical application.

1. 2. Why nanovehicles?

Intravenous administration is one of the most commonly used procedures in those clinical practices, consisting of the perfusion of a solution or dispersion directly into a vein. Compared with other routes of administration, the intravenous route is the fastest way to deliver fluids containing chemotherapeutic reagents throughout the body. Generally, a successful DDS for intravenous administration should possess good biocompatibility, high colloidal stability, efficient protection and entrapment of the chemotherapeutics, as well as improved pharmacokinetics. On the other hand, it is also required to be capable to escape the mononuclear phagocyte system (MPS) and target to the desirable sites with a high efficiency, leading to an improved therapeutic treatment. Nowadays, rapid progress in nanotechnology offers novel insights and concepts for DDS, and also arises a growing interest in nano-sized DDS. As reported by Matsumura and coll., nanoparticles are able to spontaneously accumulate in some pathological sites, e.g. tumours, via the well-established enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect. This effect involves the extravasation and accumulation of those nanoparticles within the interstitial tumour space, through the “leaky” but discontinuous pathological neovasculatures within the tumour tissues. Thus, this EPR effect allows for a passive targeting of nanovehicles to tumours, based on the specific cut-off size of those leaky vasculatures.

On the other hand, some nanoparticles are reported to exhibit characteristic responsiveness to those remote triggers as-referred. For examples, gold nanoparticles with different shapes (nanorods, nanocages, nanoshells, etc.), exhibiting a longitudinal surface plasmon resonance (SPR) band in the near infrared (NIR) region, are sensitive to NIR light, superparamagnetic nanoparticles (magnetite or maghemite) exhibit intrinsic sensitiveness to external magnetic fields without remnant magnetization, and nano-sized liposomes, made of thermo-sensitive lipids, are able to undergo a phase change upon exposure to high-frequency ultrasound, etc. Vehicles made of such kind of nanoparticles, bearing responsiveness to these remote triggers, show a promising application as DDS for remotely triggered release. Up to now, some general reviews dealing with the biomedical application of these nanoparticles, have been reported, such as the one of Duguet and coll. on magnetic nanoparticles, Murphy and coll. on gold nanorods, Halas and coll. on gold nanoshells, Xia and coll. on gold nanocages, Perrie and coll. and Muller and coll. on thermo-sensitive liposomes, to cite a few. Therefore, the high-efficacy accumulation of nanoparticles within the pathological sites, as well as their responsiveness to remote stimuli, promises their application as DDS for chemotherapeutic payloads, with potency of remotely triggered release.

1. 3. Why hybrid structures?

Various structures, e.g. polymer micelles or nanogels, mesoporous silica, liposomes, as well as their hybrid derivatives, have been exploited for DDS. The attractiveness of these hybrid structures, differentiating themselves form other mono-component structures, arises from the synergistic combination of different functions, which are interesting by themselves but become more appealing after integration within a
nano-sized object. Preponderant literatures on such hybrid structures are mostly focused on the integration of organic components, e.g. biodegradable macromolecules, stimuli-responsive macromolecules, amphiphilic macromolecules, lipids and dendrimers, with inorganic ingredients, e.g. gold, iron oxide, silver, nanocrystals and silica nanoparticles. The selection of different components to self-assemble within one hybrid body is a tricky task, and it is usually decided by the envisioned therapeutic goal, type of payloads, biocompatible/biocompatible essence of each component, as well as the expected routes for administration, etc. Being synergistic, each component benefits from the combination within the single body. For those inorganic nanoparticles, taking maghemite nanoparticles as an example, it might suffer from a lack of colloidal stability in biological fluids and would be rapidly cleared from the bloodstream before reaching the targeted pathological sites. In order to overcome these obstacles, surface coating or encapsulation within some compatible nanovehicles is preferred, especially when it allows introducing several other supplementary functions. Over the years, various synthetic, structural, physicochemical and biomedical aspects of magnetic hybrid nanostructures have been discussed in a number of excellent reviews, such as the one by Muller and coll. on magnetic liposomes, Brazel and coll. on thermo-responsive magnetic vehicles, Knezenic and coll. on magnetic mesoporous silica and Lecommandoux and coll. on magnetic composites, to cite a few.

Scheme: (1) Hybrid nanovehicles with different kinds of structures designed for drug delivery systems, such as liposomes (a), cross-linked polymer nanogels or micelles (b), organic/inorganic core/corona nanohybrids (c), mesoporous silica-based nanovehicles engineered with gating moieties (d) and host/guest nano-conjugates (e); (2) delivery of the guest molecules to desirable tumour sites via passive or active targeting through the vasculature; (3) trigger release of the loaded cargos through remote stimuli, e.g. ultrasound, light (such as near infrared laser) or alternating magnetic field (AMF); (4) tumour cell apoptosis resulting from the synergistic effect of thermal and chemotherapeutic contributions.

1.4 Why this review?

The field of remotely-triggered drug release has already been covered by some pioneering reviews. The reviews by Kohane and coll. on “remotely triggerable drug delivery systems” and “Materials to clinical devices: technologies for remotely triggered drug delivery”, dedicated most on the classification of different remote triggers as well as those different structures possessing the potency for triggered release corresponding to those remote triggers. In the present review, we systematically discuss those hybrid vehicles within nano-scaled dimension, according to different mechanisms for remotely-triggered drug release, such as covalent bond cleavage, enhanced diffusion and permeation, fusion of phase-change materials, etc. It is also different from those recent reviews dealing with one trigger, as well as the reviews focusing on both mono-component and hybrid structures.
in the scale of micro- and nano-dimension, such as Lecommandoux and coll. on magnetic-responsive polymer composites, Almutairi and coll. on light (UV and NIR)-triggered release from nanovehicles based on both mono-component and hybrid composites, Perrie and coll. on locally and remotely triggered release from mono-component or hybrid liposome-based systems, Couvreur and coll. on both locally and remotely triggered release from nano-carriers, etc.

Here, we summarize the updated state-of-the-art researches on hybrid nanovehicles developed for remotely-triggered release (Scheme 1), while for other DDS, which do not match these criteria, like those mono-componented DDS, macro/microscaled DDS, DDS for remotely triggers via controversial UV irradiation, etc., will not be covered in the present review. Classification of those hybrid nanovehicles will be first highlighted according to different nanostructures. Even though different hybrid nanostructures might have ever been discussed in some of those above-mentioned reviews, herein, we will only summarize the hybrid nanostructures, which are indeed designed for remotely-triggered release. Moreover, special insights will be, for the first time, as far as we know, dedicated into the underlying mechanisms of the remotely-triggered release from those delicately-designed hybrid nanovehicles.

2. Remote triggers for DDS

2.1. Alternating magnetic field (AMF)

Magnetic nanoparticles, especially uniform-sized and highly-crystalline superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (maghemite or magnetite), have found tremendous applications in the biomedical field, including biological sensing, intracellular DDS, magnetic hyperthermia therapy and medical diagnosis, due to their tuneable nanomagnetism and superior biocompatibility. Up to now, magnetite and maghemite nanoparticles have reached clinical trials as high-efficient $T_2$ contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Moreover, upon exposure to external AMF, a local heating is generated via the mechanism of Néel relaxation, Brownian relaxation or hysteresis losses. Usually, tumour cells might undergo heat stress in the range of 41-46°C, resulting in some intra- and extra-cellular degradation processes, e.g. protein denaturation. Thus, magnetic nanoparticles accumulated within the tumour sites could act as heating mediators, promising the use of magnetic hyperthermia for tumours ablation. Nevertheless, concentration of the magnetic nanoparticles in the tumours cells should be high enough to accomplish an efficient and homogeneous local heating. That is why, the clinical development of magnetic hyperthermia remains rare at this moment. However, on the other hand, this kind of heating capability could be, and probably more easily, exploited to increase the local temperature of the DDS. Taking account of the specific heating capability, magnetic nanovehicles could be integrated with some other thermo-responsive moieties, such as phase-changed materials, thermo-labile covalent bond, thermo-responsive macromolecules, etc, in order to realize the release of chemotherapeutic payloads via remote AMF activation. Moreover, The combination of magnetic nanoparticles and thermo-responsive hydrogels or lipids for remotely AMF-triggered release has been discussed within the reviews by Brazel and coll. and Liu and coll. The designing and use of magnetic hybrid nanovehicles for remotely AMF-triggered release are discussed in the following sections.

2.2. Near infrared (NIR) light

Light stimulus is one of the most attractive remote triggers, since it can be remotely introduced with high spatial and temporal precision. Minimization of light absorption could be accomplished by adjusting the irradiation wavelength within the biologically-friendly window, that is, the near infrared (NIR) part of the spectrum (650-950 nm). In such a wavelength range, blood and tissues are maximally transparent and the NIR light could penetrate deeply and safely into the tissues by few centimeters, promising a further in vivo application. Plasmonic metallic nanoparticles, such as gold nanorods, nanocages and nanoshells, exhibit a strong absorption in the NIR region due to the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) oscillations, while the SPR band is usually defined by some structural parameters, such as aspect ratio, size, shell thickness, etc. On account of their extremely low-levelled photoemission quantum yield and large extinction coefficient at the SPR wavelength, such kind of gold nanoparticles (GNPs) could convert optical energy into thermal energy with a high efficiency upon NIR irradiation. In addition to the light-sensitivity, GNPs also manifest themselves with some attractive intrinsic properties, such as biocompatibility essence, facile fabrication, easy functionalization with a wide variety of host-carrier molecules, as well as convenient conjugation with different guest molecules, etc. On the other hand, taking advantage of their nanometric size, GNPs could also passively accumulate within the tumour sites through the EPR mechanism. Therefore, through elaborated optimization on those variables, including shape, size, concentration of GNPs within the tumour sites, coupled with the NIR irradiation power and period, etc., precise and localized tumour ablation via NIR-induced photothermy could be easily realized. Similarly to the magnetic hyperthermia, the NIR-induced photothermia could also be used to remotely trigger the release of chemotherapeutic payloads, once GNPs are integrated within a DDS. Up to now, there exists also some reviews dedicated to the biomedical applications (including remotely NIR-triggered release) of GNPs, such as the one reported by Halas and coll. on gold nanoshells, Murphy and coll. on gold nanorods, and Xia and coll. on gold nanocages, etc. The designing and use of GNPs-bearing hybrid nanovehicles for remotely NIR-triggered release are discussed in the following sections.

2.3. Ultrasound
Ultrasound has also found many applications in clinical practices, such as ultrasound imaging, tumour and fibroid ablation, kidney-stone shattering, etc. Similarly to the former NIR light, the focused ultrasound could also be precisely introduced with a good spatial and temporal control, but with a much deeper penetration compared to NIR light. The absorption of ultrasonic energy results in a rise of the local temperature, namely, ultrasound hyperthermia, and it could also be used complementarily with chemotherapeutic treatments. On the other side, this kind of ultrasound hyperthermia and/or ultrasound-induced cavitations could also be exploited to trigger the cargo release from DDS, such as polymeric assemblies, non-thermo-responsive or thermo-responsive liposomes, mesoporous silica, etc. Moreover, ultrasound exposure alone has been proven to improve the cell membrane permeability, thus, facilitates the entrance of exotic modalities into the cells. These characteristics make ultrasound technique particularly promising in DDS, not only because the application of ultrasound can accelerate the uptake of nanovehicles through the cellular membranes, but also in vivo trigger the release of drug payloads, synergistically contributing to an improved therapeutic efficiency.

Generally, ultrasound technology includes low-frequency ultrasound and high-frequency diagnostic ultrasound, but the wave frequency might remarkably impact the subsequent release behaviours, thus of interest as a remote trigger. Taking liposome as an example, low-frequency ultrasound with a longer wavelength is in favour of forming transient pores, due to the packing rearrangement of the lipid molecules. Thus, triggered release behaviours in this case could be attributed to the enhanced permeability of the phospholipid bilayers. While for high-frequency ultrasound, due to the high intensity in the focal spot, cavitations at higher acoustical pressures will induce more violent structural oscillation, eventually resulting in the destruction of those vehicles, once local pressure exceeds the elastic limit of the liposomal structures. There also exists some recent reviews on remotely ultrasound-triggered release from liposomes-based DDS, such as those by Perrie and coll., Carlisle and coll. and Schiffelers and coll. While the designing and use of hybrid nanovehicles for remotely ultrasound-triggered release is discussed in the following sections.

3. Fabrication of different types of hybrid nanovehicles

The use of nanotechnology offers a beneficial platform to design various nanostructures for DDS, and several families of nanovehicles have been employed to either passively or actively deliver chemotherapeutic payloads. As an ideal DDS, the system should be an active participant, rather than passive drug carrier, making it possible to regulate the release behaviours. A large number of stimuli have been reported to trigger the cargo release, and inorganic nanoparticles, which possess sensitivity to remote stimuli, such as gold nanorods to NIR light, superparamagnetic nanoparticles to magnetic fields, have been widely engineered into those hybrid nanovehicles. These hybrid nanostructures are endowed with multi-functions originating from each component, ingeniously building a smart connection among those functions. Generally, upon those remote triggers, temperature increase or thermal energy generated within those nanovehicles is the driving force, which is exploited to induce the chemo- and/or physical responses of the thermo-responsive component, and subsequently trigger the drug release. Thus, by selecting the right local heating generators and nanostructured assemblies, coupling with these thermo-sensitive components, one could envision a safe entrapment of toxic chemotherapeutic ingredients during the in vivo circulation and/or upon contacting with those non-targeted tissues; however, the chemotherapeutic payloads would be only readily available after targeting to a site of interest and the activation of remote triggers. In the following context, we will classify the hybrid nanovehicles into different categories according to their structural design, even if for some specific nanostructures, they might affiliate to two or more of these categories. Furthermore, some representative proof-of-concept models of the hybrid nanovehicles have been selected for further detailed discussion.

3.1. Hybrid host/guest conjugated nanostructures

Compared with other complicated strategies, one of the simplest routes to load guest molecules is to fabricate a host/guest conjugate through direct immobilization of the guest molecules onto the host nanovehicles, via covalent bonding or physicochemical interactions, e.g. electrostatic or van der Waals’ interactions. For GNP’s aimed to DDS, some reports have been devoted to anchor sulfur-bearing DNA molecules directly onto the GNP’s, e.g. gold nanospheres and gold nanorods, via the robust Au-S conjugation. However, due to the high affinity between gold and sulfur atoms (ca. 126 kJ/mol), the stronger power NIR irradiation or higher local temperature is needed to cleave the Au-S conjugation and subsequently trigger the release of therapeutically-active DNA modalities. Moreover, this kind of remotely triggered release is very often accompanied with shape transformation of GNP’s due to the intensive local heating, and sometimes accompanied with thermo-induced degradation of the chemotherapeutic payloads, resulting in a lowered therapeutic efficiency.
3. Inorganic/organic core/corona nanostructures

The fabrication strategy of hybrid inorganic/organic core/corona nanostructures consists of the non-covalent immobilization of macromolecules chains onto the surface of inorganic nanoparticles via the “grafting to” strategy, and covalent immobilization via the “grafting from” or “grafting to” strategies.70 Through the non-covalent method, macromolecular chains can be immobilized via physical interactions, e.g. Van der Waals’ or electrostatic interactions, in an easy way.71 The advent of efficient controlled radical polymerization (CRP) techniques has allowed to precisely design a large variety of stimuli-responsive macromolecules, which have been used to form a functional polymer corona around the inorganic cores, such as gold or magnetic nanoparticles, via the “grafting from” or “grafting to” strategy.72 Then the guest molecules could be loaded within the stimuli-responsive polymer corona, and release is subsequently triggered upon appropriate remote stimuli.

As reported in the work of Jérôme and coll.19,73, a typical non-covalent “grafting to” strategy was used to fabricate such kind of hybrid nanovehicles. Poly(acrylic acid)-b-poly(vinyl alcohol) (PAA-b-PVOH) double-hydrophilic block copolymers, successfully synthesized from CRP technique,74,75 were anchored to the surface of 7.5-nm maghemite cores (γ-Fe3O4 NPs) via electrostatic interaction. This inorganic/organic hybrid nanostructure was proved to concurrently possess responsive to variation in pH, ionic strength, as well as AMF. Positively-charged guest molecules, like methylene blue (MB), could be easily loaded via electrostatic interaction with negatively-charged PAA segments at neutral pH. On the other hand, presence of PVOH block imparts the resultant γ-Fe3O4@PAA-b-PVOH NPs with improved colloidal stability in aqueous medium, especially at pH below the pKa of PAA, and also capability to escape the MPS clearance. Aside by the pH and IS-triggered release, on account of the local heating capacity of γ-Fe3O4 cores, remotely AMF-induced release of MB was also evidenced in this work. Similar non-covalent “grafting to” routines have also been reported by Lecommandoux and coll.,76 lanthanum strontium manganese oxide nanoparticles with a silica shell was deposited with well-defined poly(lysine)-b-poly(ether) copolymer through electrostatic interactions. Then, DOX was loaded into the thermo-responsive poly(ether) domain via van der Waals’ interaction. Release of DOX was triggered by remote AMF application, due to the shrinkage of polymer corona once local temperature exceeded the lower critical solution temperature (LCST) of the poly(ether) block.

However, this non-covalent “grafting to” strategy might suffer from the drawback of labile immobilization of polymer chains, which might further lead to the structural and/or colloidal instability of such hybrid nanoparticles. Moreover, limited corona thickness might also further confine the possibility of improving drug loading capacity.77 Compared to the “non-covalent” strategy, the “covalent” strategy might possess more control over the surface grafting density, thus the surface properties could be more easily tuned. In most cases, this “grafting to” strategy involves macromolecules bearing a specific functional end-group, which exhibits high affinity to the inorganic matrix.
Representative examples are macromolecules prepared by reversible addition-fragmentation transfer (RAFT) polymerization, leading to macromolecules bearing a trithiocarbonate, dithioester, or xanthate end-groups, which are known to strongly bind to gold surface via Au-S conjugation. In addition, macromolecules with sulfur-bearing end-groups from post-modification could also be used for this purpose. Up to now, there are some reports on forming thermo-responsive corona over GNP with poly(N-isopropyl acrylamide) (PNiPAAm), poly(N-vinylcaprolactam) (PNVCL), or gold nanorods with poly(ethylene glycol)-b-poly(N-vinylcaprolactam) from RAFT technique. Other thiol-ended macromolecules from post-functionalization were also reported to coat GNP, such as the work reported by Zhong and coll. and Jérôme and coll. on GNPs, which were coated with thiol-ended poly(e-caprolactone)-b-poly(ethylene glycol) PCL-b-PEG for remotely NIR-triggered release.

The “grafting from” strategy here, also called “surface-initiated polymerization” (SIP), allows to effectively immobilizing macromolecular chains onto inorganic matrices with one of the extremities tethered onto the surface. Compared with the non-covalent “grafting to” technique, the “grafting from” approach enables to reach a much higher grafting density. The resulting hybrid inorganic/organic nanostuctures are endowed with various specific features, owing to the rapid progress in CRP techniques, which are applicable to various functional monomers.

Functional coating of GNP with stimuli-responsive macromolecules via the SIP technique has been reported by Zhang and coll. on GNP with dextran-based pH- and temperature-sensitive coating (PNiPAAm), Niidome and coll. on GNP@PNiPAAm, Perez-Juste and coll. on GNP@PNiPAAm core/corona hybrid nanostructures, etc.

Here is a representative work of hybrid inorganic/organic nanostructures from SIP technique successfully developed by Ji and coll. as DDS for remotely triggered release. As shown in Fig. 3, GNRs@PNiPAAm nanostructures were prepared via the surface-initiated atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) technique (Fig. 3a and 3b), with a final SPR band at 843 nm. Thanks to the thermo-responsiveness arising from the PNiPAAm polymer corona, swelling/de-swelling transition around the LCST can remarkably affect hydrodynamic diameter of the GNRs@PNiPAAm nanohybrids, the surface refractive index and SPR band (Fig. 3c), as well as transmission of the suspension (Fig. 3d). Additionally, short bursts of NIR irradiation (λ = 808 nm, 1.5 W) heated the particles to temperature above their LCST, causing the hydrodynamic diameter of the nanoparticles shifting from below 100 nm to ca. 600 nm, due to the thermostimulated phase-change. The thermo-responsive polymer shells allowed loading norvancomycin molecules, a widely-used glycopeptide antibiotic against methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus, via hydrogen bonding. A controlled and pulsatile release of norvancomycin was also demonstrated in this work.
3. 3. Hybrid polymeric nanogels

Smart nanogels, which are nano-sized physically- or chemically-cross-linked networks made of hydrophilic and functional macromolecules, have been considered for various biological applications, due to their capacity to hold a large amount of water or biological fluids in the swollen state. Furthermore, they also undergo physicochemical changes responding to external stimuli, such as variation in pH or temperature, activation of biological molecules, like glucose and enzyme, etc. The stimuli-induced conformational changes of these nanogels affect significantly the release behaviours. For instance, thermo-induced collapsing of the thermo-responsive nanogels lead to a burst release, when local temperature overreaches the LCST. That is why magnetic and gold nanoparticles integrated with biocompatible nanogels hold great promise as DDS, and have been increasingly studied. Generally, the most commonly used method to fabricate these hybrid nanogels is the SIP strategy, which has been discussed in detail in the former section. Some works have been reported on gold and magnetic nanoparticles-based nanogels, to cite a few, and representative example could also be referred to the work of Ji and coll., as shown in Fig. 3. In this section, strategies to fabricate the hybrid polymeric nanogels, other than SIP, are detailed.

A typical chemically cross-linked hybrid nanogels from polymerization is depicted in the work of Zhou and coll., through the free radical precipitation copolymerization of NiPAAm, AAm and fluorescent carbon dots embedded in the porous carbon shell and magnetic iron oxide nanocrystals clustered in the core, using APS as initiator. The reversible swelling and shrinking of the poly(NiPAAm-co-AAm) shell in response to temperature changes not only modify the physicochemical environment of the embedded bifunctional nanoparticles (fluorescent carbon dots embedded in the porous carbon shell and superparamagnetic iron oxide nanocrystals clustered in the core) for sensing on the local environment, but also change the mesh size of the gel networks to regulate the drug release. A more recent work reported by Hayashi and coll. dealt with the in situ synthesis of hybrid nanogels. First, they polymerized pyrrole-3-carboxylic acid (PyCOOH) using iron (III) ion as a catalyst in an aqueous solution of DOX and PVA to synthesize DOX-containing carboxylic polypyrrole (DOX/PPyCOOH) nanogels. In this process, DOX was introduced within the NPs via the π-π interaction between PPyCOOH and DOX. In addition, the carboxylic acid groups of the DOX/PPyCOOH nanogels were used later for surface modification. Second, they synthesized Fe₃O₄ NPs within the DOX/PPyCOOH nanogels by reducing part of the used iron (III) ions to iron (II) ions with hydrazine. Finally, to increase the NP retention in the tumour, the nanogels were modified with PEG and folic acid via the amidation reaction. This protocol leads to hybrid nanogels, with average size of ca. 70 nm, with promising remotely-AMF-triggered release.

Fig. 3 Preparation of GNR/PNiPAAm hybrid nanostructures via surface-initiated ATRP (a), representative TEM image of GNR/PNiPAAm nanohybrids (b), the fitted plotting of longitudinal surface plasmon wavelengths of GNR/PNiPAAm vs. temperature (c), and digital photographs of GNR/PNiPAAm solutions at 25 and 41°C, respectively. Adapted with permission from Ref. 93. Copyright 2008 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.

Fig. 4 Schematic diagram illustrating the formation of an aptamer-functionalized core-shell nanogel (A). DNA sequences and linkages in the nanogels (not to scale, B). Adapted with permission from Ref. 103. Copyright 2011 American Chemical Society.
In light of the limited control over the release due to non-reversible cross-linking, reversible cross-linking was recently introduced in order to impart a better regulation over the release behaviours. Tan and coll. reported cross-linked hybrid nanogels based on Au–Ag nanorods (Au–Ag NRs) and DNA cross-linked polymer shells as new DDS. As shown in Fig. 4, anticancer drugs were encapsulated into the polyacrylamide-based polymer shell, and DNA complementarities were applied to form the cross-linked structures. Moreover, the Au–Ag NR-based nanogels can also be readily functionalized with targeting moieties of aptamers, with specific recognition of tumour cells. When exposed to NIR irradiation (808 nm, 600 mW), the photothermal effect generated by Au–Ag NRs led to a fast de-cross-linking of the formerly cross-linked nanostructures, followed with the release of the encapsulated payload with high control.

In the report of Jérôme and coll., reversibly cross-linked thermo-responsive nanogels based on poly(vinyl alcohol)-b-poly(Vinylcaprolactam) copolymers and maghemite nanoparticles (γ-Fe₂O₃ NPs) were developed. As shown in Fig. 5, thermo-responsive PVOH-b-PNVCL copolymer from the CMRP technique formed self-assembled micelles above the LCST in aqueous medium. Then, 7.5-nm γ-Fe₂O₃ NPs functionalized with boronic acid moieties were used to reversibly crosslink the PVOH corona via the boronate/diols bonding. Then thermo-, glucose- and pH-responsive reversibly cross-linked nanogels were obtained after cooling down below the LCST (Fig. 5a and 5b). The as-prepared RCL nanogels showed a good responsiveness to the presence of glucose and/or acidic pH (Fig. 5d) due to the boronate/diol complex. Moreover, presence of γ-Fe₂O₃ NPs also imparted the RCL nanogels with capability to generate local heating under AMF application (Fig. 5c).

Therefore, after loading with a hydrophobic drug within the PNVCL domain, pH- or glucose-triggered drug release could be accomplished. Furthermore, magnetic heating capacity, originating from the maghemite components, also allowed the triggered release under remote AMF application.

3.4. Hybrid polymer micelle nanostructures
Polymer micelles, obtained by the intermolecular packing of amphiphilic copolymers, are serving as powerful tools in biomedical applications, such as DDS and nano-bioreactors, by virtue of their outstanding advantages in drug loading capacity and also cellular uptake efficiency. Lower critical micellar concentration of polymer micelles imparts them with relatively superior stability in aqueous solution, compared to conventional micelles like liposomes. On the other hand, their homogeneous size, hydrophilic corona and nano-scaled dimension can effectively hinder the fast exclusion by renal clearance and MPS processes, and also they could accumulate within the tumour sites via the well-established EPR effect. Additionally, the hydrophobic reservoir can accommodate different ingredients, e.g. biomedical imaging agents, toxic chemotherapeutics, or both. Once stimuli-responsive blocks are introduced, release of the pre-loaded drug payloads can be triggered by external stimuli such as light, redox agents, variation in pH, temperature, ionic strength, etc. Moreover, after integration of inorganic components, e.g. magnetic or gold nanoparticles, multiple biomedical functions are foreseen, such as medical diagnosis, tumour ablation and remotely triggered release, etc. Generally, inorganic nanoparticles, such as iron oxide and gold nanoparticles, are stabilized with a surfactant before use, such as oleic acid, citrate salt, or cetyltrimethylammonium bromide, etc. Due to the toxic essence of most surfactants, surface modification becomes mandatory before in vivo use. The most commonly-used strategies consist of ligand exchanging, masking with other biocompatible and hydrophilic surfactants, encapsulating within other vehicles, etc. These methods are supposed to endow them with superior stability in aqueous medium or biological fluid, and/or to improve the biocompatibility of the system. As a key prerequisite to engineer this kind of hybrid polymer micelles for remotely-triggered release, a high loading level of both inorganic nanoparticles and chemotherapeutics is mandatory. The encapsulation strategy might effectively satisfy this requirement, by manipulating the co-assembly of amphiphilic copolymers with hydrophobic cargoes into micelle nanostructures. Polymer micelles, encapsulating chemotherapeutic agents together with magnetic nanoparticles, gold nanoparticles, or upconverting nanoparticles (UCNPs, NaYF4:TmYb), hold great promises for remotely-triggered release. Some of them have already been successfully proved, such as NIR-triggered release from polymer micelles.

Nevertheless, because of their dynamic (non-cross-linked) nature, those polymer micelles may encounter some critical challenges, e.g. unexpected dissociation and subsequent premature release, due to the dynamic equilibrium with the free polymer chains. Moreover, dilution of self-assembled polymer micelles upon in vivo intravenous administration might also lead to the same deficiency. Fortunately, micelle stability can be significantly improved via reversible or non-reversible covalent cross-linking. We will not detail more on these cross-linking strategies here, but only highlight the cross-linking rational in designing hybrid polymeric micelles for remotely triggered release.

A representative example has been reported by Chen and coll. dedicated to the cross-linked hybrid micelles, with encapsulated magnetic nanoparticles and chemotherapeutic agents (Fig. 6). Specifically, amphiphilic surfactant of PEO-PPO-PEO readily forms oil-in-water micelles with a PPO core and PEO corona, while Fe salts were present within the inner water phase. 4-Nitrophenyl chloroformate, gelatin and 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide were added to cross-link the micelle nanostructures. At the same time, the Fe salts were precipitated into magnetic nanoparticles via alkaline hydrolysis, thus the magnetic nanoparticles were incorporated within the cross-linked nanostructures. The cross-linking was confirmed to impart a good stability to the colloids without substantially affecting the thermo-responsiveness of the copolymer. These spherical nanostructures exhibited a hydrophilic/hydrophobic transition around 40 °C, accompanied with a size contraction and shrinkage of the core. The core content, experiencing very slight leakage at 25 °C, possessed a half-life about 5 h at 45 °C, but burst out within a few minutes under magnetic heating due to the iron oxide coarsening and core/shell disruption. Such burst-like response facilitates the release of Vitamin B12, under remote AMF activation.
3.5. Hybrid liposome nanostructures

Phospholipids are molecules that bear both a hydrophobic (non-polar tail) and a hydrophilic (polar head) component. In aqueous solution, these amphiphilic molecules spontaneously self-assemble into nano-sized liposomes, in which a single and continuous bilayer of phospholipids segregates the internal aqueous cavities from external surroundings. This specific nanostructure allows a prolonged circulation period, passive accumulation in tumor tissues via EPR effect. Generally, hydrophobic drugs are typically loaded into liposomes by passive entrapment during the liposome formation. Weakly-alkaline amphiphilic drugs could be also encapsulated with the help of transmembrane gradients or trapping agents to form insoluble complex, leading to a higher loading efficiency. More details on the loading of guest molecules within the liposome-based vehicles have already been referred thoroughly in the review of Drummond and coll. The concept of stimuli-triggered release was further extended since the report on thermo-sensitive liposomes (TSLs) by Yatvin and coll. and Weinstein and coll. TSLs can release the encapsulated cargoes near their melting temperature \( (T_m) \), where the lipid membrane undergoes a phase transition from gel to liquid crystalline state. Thermo-sensitive phospholipids, e.g. dipalmitoyl glycerophosphocholine (DPPC, \( T_m \) of 41 °C) and distearoyl glycerophosphocholine (DSPC, \( T_m \) of 55 °C), have been used to fabricate DDS for thermo-triggered release. In those TSLs-based DDS, chemotherapeutic molecules were encapsulated, and extravasation of the cargoes into healthy tissue was highly quenched at physiological temperature. However, TSLs were able to release the encapsulated drugs at or above \( T_m \) of the lipid bilayers. Thus, mild heating of a tissue could induce the local delivery of chemotherapeutics within the disease sites. Even though there have been some practices to trigger the release with conventional hyperthermia through heating, clinical translation of temperature-triggered drug delivery might be still hampered, in light of the challenge to establish and maintain a local hyperthermia, leading to uncontrollable release behaviours.

An alternative approach involves the application of ultrasound or encapsulation of inorganic heating generators, such as iron oxide or gold nanoparticles. The combination of TSLs and ultrasound for remotely triggered release involves the ultrasound hyperthermia and/or ultrasound-induced cavitations, which have been referred in Section 2.3. However, the introduction and role of inorganic heating generators are going to be highlighted here. Similarly to the hybrid polymer micelles, inorganic nanoparticles could be also easily encapsulated within the liposomes. The formulation and use of multi-functional and multi-component formulated liposomal nanoparticles, sometimes referred as “theranostics”, are being extensively explored. In those practices, additional functions are also integrated within an...
individual liposome nanoparticle, such as site-specific targeting, biomarker, imaging capability, delivery of therapeutics, and responsiveness to external or internal stimuli for controlled release, etc. Recent advance in nanohybrid liposomes, aiming for biomedical applications, includes the encapsulation of magnetic nanoparticles, gold nanorods, gold nanospheres, and gold nanoshells, to cite a few. Some proof-of-concept studies have been performed for triggered drug release via remote stimuli, such as AMF, NIR, and ultrasound. It deserves to note that, as the complexity of liposome nanoparticles increases, so do the cost and difficulty associated with their manufacture and also the quality control. Therefore, a compromise between the gains in therapeutic benefits and additional expenses is of great importance before the designing and fabrication.

![Diagram of liposome nanoparticles](image)

**Fig. 7** (A) Schematic representation of molecular ingredients of (B) possible lipid aggregate nanostructures for in vivo use: (I) micelle from micelle-forming lipids and/or from PEG-lipids; (II) conventional liposome consisting of a phospholipid bilayer; (III) stabilized liposome after incorporating a small amount of PEG-lipids and/or cholesterol; (IV) microemulsion consisting of a surfactant (amphiphile) monolayer encapsulation oil; (V) micelle can containing hydrophobic nanoparticles and (VI) a lipid bilayer over nanoparticulate cores. Adapted with permission from Ref. 124. Copyright 2006 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.

### 3. 6. Hybrid mesoporous silica-based core/shell nanostructures

Mesoporous silica nanoparticles (MSNs) show very interesting properties, e.g. stable mesoporous nanostructure, high surface areas, large pore volume, regular and tuneable mesopore size, homogeneous pore distribution, and high loading capacity (up to 50 wt.%) making them ideal to host guest molecules. Biocompatibility of MSNs, particularly good hemocompatibility as demonstrated by Lin and coll., imparts them with potency for intravenous administration. Up to now, lots of attempts have been devoted to loading drug molecules within the mesoporous cavities. However, due to the weak interaction between the guest molecules and the inner mesoporous cavities, unavoidable premature release is usually detected, impeding their further in vivo applications. That is why widespread research interest has been shifted to engineering the mesoporous structures with some switchable gating components, in order to open or close the cavities “on will”, providing advantageous contribution to the controlled release behaviours. Additionally, another attractive feature of these capping systems should be the character of “premature zero release”, when delivering those entrapped cargoes exclusively to the desirable sites. As far as we know, nanoparticles, phase changed materials (PCMs) and supramolecular assemblies have already been attempted as gating functionality in the MSNs-based DDS, while triggered release was activated and regulated by various physical/chemical stimuli, such as reductive agent, enzyme, pH, glucose, etc. This kind of MSNs-based materials, engineered with capping components and possessing responsiveness to specific stimuli, have been recently reviewed in details by Zink and coll. In comparison to the chemical stimuli as mentioned above, remote physical stimuli, such as light, magnetic field and ultrasound, bear the advantage of activating the release with spatial and temporal precision. In the occasion of intravenous administration, remote and non-invasive physical stimuli are
preferable to control the therapeutic treatment.\textsuperscript{11, 20, 161} To impart them with specific sensitivity to those remote stimuli, inorganic components, \textit{e.g.} gold or magnetic nanoparticles, have been successfully integrated with the MSNs. Well-defined core@shell nanostructures, such as GNPs@MSNs,\textsuperscript{162-167} upconverting nanoparticles UCNP@MSNs,\textsuperscript{168-170} \(\gamma\)-Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@MSNs,\textsuperscript{171} Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@Zn@MSNs,\textsuperscript{172, 173} MSNs@liposomes,\textsuperscript{174} and Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@MSNs\textsuperscript{175} have been developed. Furthermore, MSNs with the mesopores capped with magnetic nanoparticles,\textsuperscript{176-178} GNP,\textsuperscript{179} UCNP\textsuperscript{180} and sulfonatocalix[4]arene,\textsuperscript{167} oligonucleotide,\textsuperscript{173} \textit{etc.}, have also been used to regulate the release behaviours with remote stimuli. More recently, Kitagawa and coll.\textsuperscript{181} reported the fabrication of porous aluminum coated with gold nanorods, and developed this nanostructure for NIR-triggered release of anthracene.

One representative strategy, reported by Duguet and coll.,\textsuperscript{182} is the development of core-shell nanoparticles made of a \(\gamma\)-Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} core and mesoporous silica shell (\(\gamma\)-Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}@MSNs) as DDS (Fig. 8). Phase-changed molecules, \textit{e.g.} dodecanoic acid (DA) and 1-tetradecanol (TD) with melting temperature of 45 °C and 39 °C, respectively, were introduced as gatekeepers to regulate the drug release behaviours. The release mechanism is based on the reversible thermo-induced phase change of the PCMs. Thus the pre-loaded drug molecules diffuse across the PCMs fluid (above \(T_m\)) into the release medium. On the other side, under biological condition (below \(T_m\)), the drug molecules would be safely trapped within the cavities with the aid of those PCMs in solid state. With the \(\gamma\)-Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} core as heating mediator, release of cargos is supposed to be activated via remote AMF application. In another report by Duguet and coll.,\textsuperscript{183} similar strategy by combining GNR@MSNs and PCMs was also used to fabricate a light-sensitive DDS, with potential for NIR-triggered release.

3.7 Hybrid hollow nanostructures

Nanoparticles with hollow interiors are increasingly more attractive in both fundamental research and practical applications, because of their unique inner void nanostructure and rigid shells providing both chemical and mechanical shielding from the surrounding environment.\textsuperscript{184} All these advantages allow efficient delivery of various guest molecules. In most cases, those hollow nanostructures could be prepared with those organic or inorganic components. For those with organic origins, they consist of polymer vehicles, liposomes, \textit{etc.}, which have already been mentioned in the former sections and aren’t discussed here. In this section, we mainly focus on the hollow nanostructures based on inorganics, such as silica, gold, \textit{etc.}.

Hollow silica nanoshells, other than mesoporous silica mentioned in the former Section 3.6, possess both a rigid mesoporous shell and interior hollow cavity. These nanostructures possess a low density, high specific area and extraordinary higher cavity volume, in favour of drug loading and controlled release.\textsuperscript{185, 186} Generally, hollow silica nanoshells are fabricated \textit{via} a dual-template strategy, in which a hard or soft template is used to form the interior cavity, while soft template to generate the mesopores in the shells.\textsuperscript{187} In order to explore the possibility to trigger the release under remote stimuli, the hollow silica nanoshells were engineered with different components, such as introducing a further gold nanoshell over the silica nanoshell,\textsuperscript{188-190} introducing gold cores\textsuperscript{191} or magnetic cores\textsuperscript{192} within the nanoshells, \textit{etc.} A representative work of these silica-based hollow nanostructures designed for DDS was reported by Chen and coll.\textsuperscript{192} As shown in Fig. 9, the magnetic nanoparticles and hydrophobic drugs were dissolved in oil drops and stabilized with Pluronics® (PEO-PPO-PEO) and poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA). Then the nanocomposites were coated with an ultra-thin mesoporous \(\text{SiO}_2\) shell leading to a nanoshell structure. This nanoshell underwent significant size shrinkage and the diameter decreased by more than 10 times under AMF treatment, due to the thermo-induced hydrophilic/hydrophobic transition of the inner polymeric components. During this transition, it was also accompanied with solid shells destruction; therefore, remotely AMF-triggered release was achieved.

The gold-based hollow nanostructures designed for DDS usually consist of gold nanocages and nanoshells. Gold nanocages, developed by Xia and coll.,\textsuperscript{193} have been proposed to many applications in the biomedical field. However, the multiple pores that connects the hollow centre and the external environment, leads to the loss of all of the contents within the nanocages, if used as DDS directly. Thus, chemical or physical modification over the external shell or internal cavity becomes
Fig. 9 Schematic illustration of the synthesis and structure of the hollow silica nanostructure for magnetically-triggered controlled drug release. The first step involved mixing the iron oxide nanoparticles and the hydrophobic drug in an organic solvent to form a uniform phase. Next, the mini-emulsion method was applied using blended polymers, poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) and Pluronic® F68 (PEO-PPO-PEO) as a binder to fabricate the self-assembling nanocomposites. Upon the evaporation of the organic solvent, the polymers encapsulated the iron oxide nanoparticles and hydrophobic drug within the composite. Finally, the nanocomposites were coated with a thin layer of silica by hydrolysis and condensation of TEOS to obtain the final yolk/shell capsules (a). This nanostructure possesses an average diameter of about 76 nm and 76nm ultra-thin silica shell (b, c and d). Adapted from Ref. 192 with permission from The Royal Society of Chemistry.

In addition to gold nanocages, gold nanoshells, consisting of only a thin gold wall with a hollow interior, have the unique combination of small size, spherical shape and hollow interior. Moreover, a strong and tuneable absorption band in the NIR region leads their possibility to generate local heating under NIR irradiation. Similarly to the fabrication of hollow silica, a hard or soft template is also needed to form the interior cavity. In addition to those gold nanoshells formed over the silica nanocores as mentioned above, some attempts have been reported to coat these hollow nanostructures (gold nanocages) with PNIPAAm-based thermo-responsive copolymers or bioactive hyaluronic acid, cap the pores with immunoglobulin G (IgG) via reversible boronate/diols bonding, co-load guest molecules together with PCMs, thanks to the introduction of gating components, good entrapment of cargo molecules and remotely NIR-triggered release were reported in these studies.

In addition to gold nanocages, gold nanoshells formed over liposomes or surfactants such as cholesterylsuccinyl silane and also gold nanoshells via the galvanic strategy have been successfully obtained. Some of these nanostructures have already been reported as DDS for remotely NIR-triggered release. A representative DDS based on hollow gold nanoshells, reported by Chen and coll., is shown in Fig. 10. The core of the silica nanorattle was coated with a gold nanoshell, and then functionalized with transferrin (TF) and PEG. Silica nanorattles endowed the integrity with unique hollow structure and movable cores. On the other hand, the presence of gold nanoshells also endowed the hybrid nanostructure with tuneable optical property and facile access to surface functionalization. Based on these advantages from different components, this DDS effectively combined the active and passive targeting, remote-controlled photothermal therapy, together with chemotherapeutic agents to completely kill tumour cells with NIR light irradiation.
Recently, Duan and coll.\textsuperscript{213, 214} reported a new strategy to fabricate hollow nanostructures assembled from amphiphilic gold nanocrystals with mixed polymer brush coatings. Specifically, gold nanocrystals, nanospheres or nanorods, were immobilized with two types of chemically distinct polymer grafts, hydrophilic PEG and hydrophobic poly(methyl methacrylate)\textsuperscript{214} or polylactic acid\textsuperscript{215} poly(2-nitrobenzyl acrylate)\textsuperscript{216}. The amphiphilicity-driven self-assembly of block copolymers occurred in aqueous medium, leading to the formation of vesicular nanostructures. At the same time, the strong inter-particle plasmonic coupling leads to collective properties different from those in discrete units. Upon exposure to NIR light (785 nm, 2 W/cm\textsuperscript{2}), light-induced deconstruction of the vesicles was confirmed by UV/vis spectroscopy and TEM, suggesting a great promise in NIR-triggered drug release.\textsuperscript{215}

### 4. Mechanisms of the triggered release via remote activation

Generally, the application of remote triggers, \textit{e.g.} AMF, NIR and ultrasound, is accompanied with local heating, which might also lead to various effects on the chemical/physical response, such as cleavage of thermo-labile covalent bonds, thermo-induced phase change, thermo-induced structural deformation disintegration of nanostructures, etc. In this section, we detail item by item the underlying mechanisms involved during those remotely triggered release behaviours, even though for some specific nanostructures, there might be more than one mechanism involved. For example, the triggered release upon fusion of PCMs might be attributed to both thermo-induced phase change and increased diffusion coefficient at higher temperature. Nevertheless, in each catalogue, we discuss the main mechanism, or at least the one taking the dominant role during the process of remotely triggered release.

#### 4.1. Enhanced diffusion or permeation

As detailed in \textit{Section 2}, thermal and/or mechanical effects are involved during the application of remote triggers. Generally, an increase in local temperature could contribute to an enhanced diffusion of the chemotherapeutic molecules and also permeability of the nanostructures by: i) increasing the kinetic energy and diffusivity of chemotherapeutic molecules, ii) facilitating the entering of chemotherapeutic molecules within the disease microenvironments, and iii) enhancing blood circulation in the treated disease areas (\textit{in vivo} practice).\textsuperscript{128} This enhanced diffusion or permeation effect ultimately leads to an improved therapeutic efficiency. The local heating under remote triggers can be tuned through experimental parameters, such as not only the application time, but also the wavelength and power of the NIR laser, frequency and magnetic field amplitude of AMF, and frequency, intensity or focus of the ultrasound setup. Therefore, the enhanced permeation or diffusion of chemotherapeutic reagents could also be manipulated \textit{via} such kind of external controls. For all those hybrid nanostructures targeted for remotely triggered release, the DDS is always accompanied with avoidable local heating during the remote stimuli application, which can definitely contribute to enhanced permeation or diffusion of the payloads. Here, in this section, we mainly focus on those DDS,
presenting only enhanced permeation or diffusion under remote triggers, without the synergetic contribution from other mechanisms, which are referred in the following sections.

For hybrid liposomes-based nanovehicles, when non-thermo-sensitive lipids were used, enhanced diffusion or permeation represents the dominant mechanism behind the remotely stimuli-triggered drug release. For example, in the work of Chu and coll., the liposomes@SiO$_2$@Au nanovehicles were fabricated to deliver DOX. It was demonstrated that NIR laser could be used to excite the gold nanoshell and activate the drug release, thanks to the local heating. Other hybrid liposomes based on non-thermo-sensitive lipids were also reported, such as the reports by Jin and coll., and Stroeve and coll. to cite only a few. Similar nanostructures, built with stimuli-responsive inorganic NPs and non-thermo-sensitive organic components, have also been reported to remotely trigger the release based on such mechanism, such as mesoporous silica embedded with gold nanorods, polymer micelles encapsulated with UCNPs, PVOH-based nano-capsules embedded with magnetic nanoparticles, etc.

![Fig. 11](image-url)

Fig. 11 Electromagnetic field (EMF) triggered release of guest-tethered nanovehicles in pulsatile and multistage profiles. (a) Nanovehicles were assembled by first covalently linking a 30 bp “parent” strand to a dextran-coated magnetic nanoparticle, and then allowing a fluorescent complement (of 12, 18, or 24 bp) to hybridize; (b) repeated EMF pulses of 5 minutes resulted in corresponding release of fluorescein guest molecules; (c) alteration of oligonucleotide duplex length shifted response of heat-labile tether enabling complex, tunable release profiles. Low power EMF exposure resulted in release of FAM-conjugated 12 bp, whereas higher power results in simultaneous melting of both 12 bp and 24 bp. Application of EMF to implants containing 18 bp tethers resulted in release of model drugs and penetration far into surrounding tissue (D) when compared to unexposed controls (E) (scale bar: 100 µm). These mice were imaged with a 7T MRI scanner, and transverse section (F) depicts image contrast due to presence of nanoparticles (arrow).

Adapted with permission from Ref. 66. Copyright 2007 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.

4. 2. Thermo- or photo-labile bond cleavage

One of the most facile strategies, involving the triggered release upon remote triggering, is to fabricate host/guest conjugates, in which the guest molecules are immobilized on the inorganic matrix via thermo-labile bonding. Different kinds of nanovehicles based on the host/guest conjugate nanostructures have been presented in Section 3.1, and most of them are targeted for gene delivery. To some extent, the Watson-Crick base-pairing is not an exactly covalent bonding, however, still different from those conventional hydrogen bonds, since it highly depends on the base-pair number, energy needed to break such kind of interaction might be higher than that of conventional hydrogen bonding. On account of the significance of Watson-Crick base-pairing in the gene delivery system, we discuss it together with the conventional thermo-labile covalent bonds.

This concept is illustrated by Fe$_3$O$_4$@DNA nano-conjugates (Fig. 11a) reported by Bhatia and coll. Single strand DNA (ssDNA) moieties (labelled with fluorescence group) were anchored on the dextran-coated magnetic nanoparticles to form a hybridized bond, and then these nano-sized vehicles were used to deliver 12, 18 or 24 basepair (bp) complementary ssDNA. Since the hybridized dsDNA is thermo-sensitive, it can be dehybridized into ssDNA above a specific temperature, depending on the length and guanine/cytosine (G/C) pair content in the ssDNA chain. Thanks to the local heating generated by the magnetic nanoparticles under external AMF, the remotely AMF-triggered release of DNA can be achieved, depending on the magnetic field.
strength, namely the local temperature generated. Pulsatile release of fluorescence-labelled ssDNA was also evidenced under EMF (400 kHz, 0.55 kW) on/off cycles in Fig. 11b. Moreover, by immobilizing two different DNA moieties, 12 and 24 pb ssDNA, a selective triggered release was obtained under lower field strength (400 kHz, 0.55 kW), while non-selective triggered release under high field strength (400 kHz, 3 kW), as shown in Fig. 11c. In vivo test of the EMF-triggered release of model drugs, as well as the penetration far into surrounding tissue, was confirmed via confocal microscope observation (Fig. 11d and 11e). Moreover, the iron oxide NPs could also act as contrast agent for biomedical imaging and/or in vivo delivery tracking (Fig. 11f). A similar remote release of DNA through dehybridization via AMF application was also reported by Baglioni and coll.\textsuperscript{67} based on the GNPs@CoFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} NP and immobilized oligonucleotides. There have been also some successful attempts dealing with the thermo-labile hybridization of double-stranded oligonucleotides, aiming for the remotely NIR-triggered release of single-stranded oligonucleotides immobilized on GNRs,\textsuperscript{217} gold nanoshells\textsuperscript{55,57,59,60,65,169} and gold nanospheres,\textsuperscript{56,61} etc.

Another thermo-labile covalent bond aimed for remotely triggered gene release is the Au-S conjugation. Based on this strategy, sulfur-bearing guest molecules to be delivered are, in most cases, directly immobilized onto gold matrix via Au-S conjugation. In the report of Chen and coll.,\textsuperscript{2\textsuperscript{19}} GNPs@DNA conjugates (gold nanorods and gene of enhanced green fluorescence protein) were exposed to femtosecond laser pulses. Cleavage of the Au-S bonding resulted in the release of pre-loaded DNA molecules. On the other hand, this treatment was also accompanied with the shape transforming of gold nanorods, due to the higher temperature generated during NIR laser irradiation. This kind of release mechanism was also applied in other conjugates consisting of gold nanoparticles and DNA molecules.\textsuperscript{49, 51-54} It is deserved to note that, in the report of Hamad-Schifferli and coll.,\textsuperscript{52} they immobilized two different DNA molecules onto two kinds of GNRs with different aspect ratios (corresponding to different SPR bands), respectively. Similarly to the selective release reported by Bhatia and coll.,\textsuperscript{55} the selectively triggered release of the DNA molecules was also achieved by controlling the NIR irradiation wavelength, according to the two different SPR bands.

A similar thermo-labile bonding was also reported by Niidome and coll.\textsuperscript{69} on the immobilization of guest molecules onto the surface of magnetic nanoparticles via the Diels-Alder reaction. The Diels-Alder reaction is known as a reversible cycloaddition reaction between diene and alkene groups to form a cycloadduct. In particular, the reaction between furan and maleimide proceeds at 60 °C, and its reverse reaction, the so-called retro-Diels-Alder reaction, occurs at 90 °C. In that work, a building block of Diels-Alder cycloadduct with PEG chain and a thiol end-group (mPEG\textsubscript{20,000}-DA-SH) was synthesized from the Diels-Alder reaction between mPEG\textsubscript{20,000}-maleimide and furfuryl disulfide, and the subsequent reduction from disulfide bonds to thiol groups with tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride. The cycloadduct of mPEG\textsubscript{20,000}-DA-SH was then immobilized onto the GNRs, and remotely NIR-triggered release of PEG was detected, when the temperature of the system reached 80 °C (local temperature was supposed to reach above 90 °C). Another thermo-labile azo-groups, reported by Pellegrino and coll.,\textsuperscript{58} was used to immobilize guest molecules onto the surface of iron oxide nanoparticles. Upon remote AMF treatment, the azo-groups were cleaved. Based on this strategy, the mass ratio between the delivery vehicle and the drug was significantly reduced, and both hydrophobic and hydrophilic drugs were released. Moreover, combination of different drugs bound on the same nanoparticle at different distances was also confirmed to realize the independent and selective release of each chemotherapeutic payload.

UNCPs are capable of converting continuous NIR light into a range of different wavelengths throughout UV, visible and NIR regions. This attractive capability makes it possible to cleave UV-labile chemical bonding, such as benzoin and o-nitrobenzyl derivatives and coumarin, under NIR irradiation.\textsuperscript{2\textsuperscript{21}} Xing and coll.\textsuperscript{2\textsuperscript{22}} firstly utilized this strategy to trigger the release of siRNA from the silica-coated UNCPs, through NIR (980 nm)-induced cleavage of o-nitrobenzyl ester bonds. Similar strategy was also extended in the work of Zhang and coll.\textsuperscript{2\textsuperscript{23}} for NIR-triggered release NO, Branda and coll.\textsuperscript{2\textsuperscript{24}} for NIR-triggered release of carboxylic acid, Zhang and coll.\textsuperscript{1\textsuperscript{69}} for triggered release of DNA. Yeh and coll.\textsuperscript{2\textsuperscript{25}} for NIR-triggered release of 2-nitrobenzaldehyde, Xing and coll.\textsuperscript{2\textsuperscript{26}} for NIR-triggered release of D-luciferin, Krull and coll.\textsuperscript{2\textsuperscript{27}} for NIR-triggered release of 5-fluorouracil, Li and coll.\textsuperscript{2\textsuperscript{22}} for NIR-triggered release of chlorambucil, based on the combination of UNCPs-containing hybrid nanostructures, photo-labile covalent bonding and remote NIR irradiation. Therefore, in light of the toxicity essence and limited penetration depth of UV irradiation, a rational combination of UNCPs and NIR irradiation could effectively solve these puzzles, and open up a favourable situation for more conventional UV-sensitive DDS.

The major advantage for thermo- or photo-labile bond cleavage mechanism might underlie on the simple and facile fabrication routes needed to fabricate the host/guest conjugates, compared with other complex nanostructures. Moreover, it is easier to obtain a higher and controllable loading capacity. However, there might be still some concerns over the further application of the host/guest conjugates based on the mechanism of covalent bond cleavage. First of all, the toxicity or activity of the chemotherapeutic agents immobilized on the surface could not be totally quenched during the in vivo delivery. Second, for most of the systems developed, high local temperature was needed to cleave the thermo-labile covalent bonding. The more stable the covalent bonding, the less the premature release during the delivery routine. However, higher power and/or period of treatment for remote stimuli application are needed to cleave these thermo- or photo-labile bonds. Third, this triggered release mechanism is also challenged by some other drawbacks, such as the local overheating, thermo- or photo-induced degradation of
the chemotherapeutic agents, limited therapeutic efficiency, shape transformation or dissociation of the nanostructures, etc. In order to find clinical use of these host/guest conjugates, further optimization/consideration of their structures and also elaborated selection of thermo- or photo-labile bonds, as well as the remote energy matching the release, are needed.

4.3. Fusion of phase-changed materials

As discussed in Section 3.6 on the gating systems based on porous nanostructures, different strategies are attempted to engineer them with gate-keeping components, in order to achieve a “zero premature release” and also triggered release upon different stimuli. These gating systems have already been particularly summarized in the recent review of Zink and coll.,\textsuperscript{159}, \textsuperscript{160} Lin and coll.,\textsuperscript{154, 158} and Martinez-Manez and coll.\textsuperscript{2} Among the gate-keeping components, thermal-induced PCMs, such as fatty acid or fatty alcohol, were first reported by Xia and coll.\textsuperscript{198} In this work, 1-tetradecanol ($T_m$ of 38-39 °C) was used as gatekeeper for these preloaded guest molecules within gold nanocages, taking advantage of its immiscibility with water as well as good biocompatibility (Fig. 12a). Since a PCM can reversibly change its physical state between solid and fluid within a narrow temperature range, thus it could confine the preloaded guest molecules within the gold nanocages until the local temperature exceeded the $T_m$ (Fig. 12b-d). As shown in Fig. 12e, mixture of Rhodamine 6G (R6G) and 1-tetradecanol was loaded together within the gold nanocages with minimal release behaviour. However, sustainable release was detected, once the temperature was increased above $T_m$ of 1-tetradecanol. Thanks to the reversible phase transition of the PCMs, an on/off control over the release could be easily achieved via the heating on/off treatment (Fig. 12f), in a pulsatile manner. Moreover, as a remote and deeply penetrating energy source, high-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) can be used to trigger the release (Fig. 12g).

More recently, Singamaneni and coll.\textsuperscript{199} extended this research, but used NIR in this work to trigger the release of Nile red or DOX from the same system. Additionally, they introduced surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) to monitor the release. Considering the absence of strong absorption in the NIR range for chemotherapies, e.g. DOX, the SERS technique could be effectively used for in vitro/vivo tracing the release.

Inspired by the great potential role of PCMs in gating system, Duguet and coll., recently reported the combination of PCMs (1-
tetradecanol) with $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$@MSNs$^{182}$ or GNR@MSNs$^{183}$, with responsiveness to AMF and NIR light, respectively. The presence of PCMs was again confirmed to realize a “zero-premature release”, while triggered release could be readily obtained upon the application of AMF and NIR light. Furthermore, a “pulsatile” release, with control over the release amount/period, can be facilely achieved by manually control over the on/off remote stimuli, indicating the possibility of releasing the uploaded chemotherapeutics following the biological rhythm.

![Fig. 13 Preparation of GNR@PCL-b-PEG, drug loading of DOX and triggered release of DOX by conventional external heating or internal heating induced by remote NIR irradiation (a); UV/Vis spectra of an aqueous suspension of GNR@PCL-b-PEG (50 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$) under different temperatures (b); release profiles of DOX from a DOX-loaded aqueous suspension of GNR@PCL-b-PEG (150 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$) at different temperatures (c); release profiles of DOX over 42 h at 37 °C after NIR laser irradiation for 30 min (802 nm, 100 or 150 mW) (d). Adapted with permission from Ref. 88. Copyright 2014 WILEY-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim.]

Crystalline polymer with the character of thermo-induced phase change might also be used for the remotely triggered release. Jérôme and coll.$^{88}$ reported the use of crystalline PCL-based amphiphilic block copolymers to form a phase-changed polymer corona over gold nanorods (Fig. 13a), whose SPR band located in the NIR range and could also be affected by the temperature (Fig. 13b). Hydrophilic drug, e.g. DOX, was loaded within the hydrophobic PCL domain and trapped among those PCL crystallites. As reported, a minimal premature release (< 5% entire payload) was detected within 48 h at 37 °C (Fig. 13c). However, upon the remote NIR application, released DOX was detected due to the fusion of PCL crystallites resulting from the NIR-induced local heating, and also heating up of nanovehicles might also contribute to a faster diffusion of cargo molecules (Fig. 13d). Similar work was also reported by Zhong and coll.$^{86, 87}$ As discussed in Section 3.5 on hybrid liposome nanostructures, thermo-sensitive liposomes (TSLs) could release the drug payloads above $T_m$ of the lipid bilayers. Phase change of the lipid membrane occurs above $T_m$ from a well-ordered gel phase to the less ordered liquid crystalline phase. Liposomal membranes in the gel (i.e. solid-like) phase are less permeable to water and cargo molecules, compared to the liquid-crystalline phase.$^{128, 129}$ As reported by Drummond and coll.$^{125}$, the membrane permeability above $T_m$ increased by several orders of magnitude, thereby facilitating the cargo release. Rational combination of inorganic heating generators with phospholipid molecules, which possess $T_m$ just above the body temperature, is also receiving increasing attention in clinical practices, on account of the facile access to local hyperthermia and to substantial improvements over the controlled release behaviours.

A representative DDS based on TSLs and gold nanoparticles for remotely triggered release is reported by Romanowski and coll.$^{145}$ As shown in Fig. 14a, a gold nanoshell was formed with gold nanoparticles over the TSLs made of dipalmitoyl phosphatidylcholine (DPPC), and a remotely triggered release was achieved with the NIR-induced phase change of the TSLs. Thermo-induced release evidenced ca. 24% release at 31 °C but 100% near 47 °C under gradual heating (Fig. 14b), in agreement...
with the pre-transition temperature at 35.3 °C and a main phase transition at 41.4 °C of DPPC. While for the light-triggered release, the responsiveness of liposome to different-wavelength light was modelled with a four-parameter logistic function, with 50% release observed at 12, and 82 J of cumulative energy for on-resonant (971 nm, Fig. 14c) and off-resonant (655 nm, Fig. 14d), respectively. For the sake of comparison, the release increased slowly over a broad range of energy for bare liposomes without gold nanoshells (Fig. 14e). Moreover, temperature of gold-coated liposomes (Fig. 14f and 14g) rose more steeply and reached a higher temperature than bare liposomes (Fig. 14h).

Based on the same principle of rationally combining inorganic heating generators and phospholipid molecules, similar works on TSLs@gold nanovehicles\textsuperscript{143, 144, 148, 149, 153, 207} for remotely NIR-triggered release and TSLs@iron oxide nanovehicles\textsuperscript{14} for remotely AMF-triggered release were also reported. Moreover, there are also some reports on nanovehicles based on the combination of TSLs and gold or magnetic nanoparticles, for remotely ultrasound-triggered release. In this case, the light- (gold nanoparticles) or magnetism-responsive (magnetic nanoparticles) components will not serve as heating generators anymore, but act as the heat conductors or transistor of ultrasonic energy. For example, DDS consisted of TSLs, iron oxide and chemotherapeutic reagents were reported also for remotely ultrasound-triggered release.\textsuperscript{228, 229} More examples could be referred to the reviews on magnetic liposomes for MRI diagnosis\textsuperscript{27} or magnetic field-guided delivery.\textsuperscript{129}

4.4. Photo-induced isomerisation

Light-responsive macromolecular colloids based on the photo-isomerizable moieties, such as azobenzene groups, have been widely studied in controlled drug release.\textsuperscript{230} In most of the studies, photochromic groups within these amphiphilic block copolymers experience a light-induced trans-cis transition. Upon irradiation with low wavelength, e.g. 360 nm for azobenzene
group, they are converted to an isomeric form, leading to the increased polarity and hydrophilic essence of the copolymer, followed with the destabilization of the micellar structures. However, reverse isomerization could be activated under irradiation with higher wavelengths, e.g. 440 nm, resulting in the recovery of the former isomeric and hydrophobic essence, and the re-formation of the micellar structures. This specific photo-induced trans-cis transition has been used to remotely triggered release from micelles, and mesoporous silica. In addition to these azobenzene moieties, similar photo-isomerizable moieties, such as cinnamic acid groups, and other photo-cleavable moieties, such as benzoin derivatives and o-nitrobenzyl groups, have also found application in light-triggered release. The major advantage of DDS based on the photo-isomerizable moieties is the reversible nature, allowing turning the systems on and off, and releasing the cargo molecules on demand. Even though these light-responsive components exhibit great promise in DDS and there have been a large variety of DDS based on these structures reported, they still suffer a lot from the lack of in vivo translation; essentially because of the damages to healthy cells from UV irradiation can not be avoided. Moreover, the UV light can not penetrate into the tissue. As declared in Section 1, the irradiation with UV light is not in the scope of this review, thus those triggered release based on UV-triggered trans-cis mechanism is not highlighted here, but more information can be referred to the tutorial review by Zhao and coll.

In spite of the critical limitation for those UV-sensitive DDS in potential clinical practices, a new revolution, fortunately, is brought up after the report of upconverting nanoparticles (UCNPs), which are consisting of NaYF$_4$ nanocrystals doped with Tm$^{3+}$ and Yb$^{3+}$. This kind of nanoparticles manifests themselves with the prominent performance as light-harvesting antennae, and sequentially absorbing multiple photons of NIR light and converting them into higher energy (UV light). This new strategy could be an effective alternative to resolve the as-referred drawbacks, and offers a totally new platform, once some UCNPs are incorporated. The first example of using UCNPs for NIR-triggered release was demonstrated by Branda and coll. for the cleavage of photo-labile 3',5'-dialkoxybenzoin derivatives and release of acetic acid-group. After this report, the same group extended this strategy on NIR-triggered cargo release from micelle nanostructures, which bear photo-labile moieties of o-nitrobenzyl groups. The underlying mechanism is based on the photo-induced cleavage of photo-labile bonds (Section 4.2).

More recently, the light-induced trans-cis mechanism, with
the help of UCNPs, has just been further adapted to induce the NIR-triggered release from mesoporous silica by Shi and coll. (Fig. 15). Core/shell mesoporous silica-coated UCNPs were first synthesized (Fig. 15a, c and d), and then the inner mesopores were modified with N-(3-triethoxysilyl)propyl-4-phenyl-azobenzamide to introduce azobenzene moieties. Thus, it is envisioned that the reversible photo-isomerization by simultaneous UV/vis light emitted by the UCNPs could create a continuous rotation-inversion movement. The back and forth wagging motion of the azobenzene moieties could act as a molecular impeller that propels the release of DOX (Fig. 15b). The proof-of-concept release showed that the DOX release amount reached 40 wt.% in 16 h under intermittent NIR exposures (980 nm, 2.4 W/cm²), and reached a maximum of 80 wt.% after 16-h laser irradiation (8.9 W/cm²). However, without NIR irradiation, less than 5 wt.% of DOX was leached into the aqueous medium in 17 h (Fig 15c), indicating that the increased release rate is attributed to the external NIR irradiation, and also depends on the irradiation powers. In another recent report, Qu and coll. intricately integrated the hollow UNPs and photosensitive merocyanine moieties to build a smart DDS for remotely triggered release of protein. Due to the isomerization of merocyanine (positively charged) to spiropyran (neutral) under NIR irradiation, the pre-loaded proteins, trapped via electrostatic interaction between protein and MC moieties, can be easily released.

4. 5. Thermo-induced swelling/collapsing of thermo-responsive polymer

4.5.1. Sneezing out
Thermo-responsive polymers, e.g. PNiPAAm-, PNVCL- or PEO-PPO-PEO-based macromolecules, exhibit a LCST in aqueous medium. The macromolecular chains undergo a reversible coil/globule conformation transition around the LCST, during which the former hydrophilic and expanded conformation evolves into hydrophobic and compact one (above the LCST). As mentioned above, local heating could be generated upon exposure of specific inorganic components to remote stimuli. Thus, by engineering them with thermo-responsive polymers, it is expected that the application of remote stimuli could lead to the sharp shrinkage of the polymers domains, followed with the sneezing out of guest molecules. Similar results have been reported when using PNiPAAm- or PEO-PPO-PEO-based hybrid nanostructures.

Fig. 16 (a) Gold nanocages-based DDS decorated by a thermoresponsive polymer: upon exposure to a NIR laser, energy absorbed by the nanocages was converted into heat, triggering the smart polymer to collapse and release the drug payload. When the NIR laser was turned off, the polymer chains reverted to the extended conformation and terminated release. (b) TEM images of gold nanocages with surface-coated by PNiPAAm-co-PAAm copolymer. (c) Absorption spectra of alizarin-PEG released from the gold nanocages at 42 °C; and by (d) exposure to 2-min NIR irradiation at 10, 25 and 40 mW cm⁻². The insets show the concentrations of alizarin-PEG released from the nanocages under different conditions. (e) Cell viability after going through different treatments: (C-1) 2-min NIR irradiation in the absence of gold nanocages; (C-2) 2-min NIR irradiation in the presence of Dox-free Au nanocages; and (2/5 min) NIR irradiation for 2 and 5 min in the presence of Dox-loaded gold nanocages. A power density of 20 mW cm⁻² was used for all of these studies. Adapted by permission from Ref. 195 from Macmillan Publishers Ltd: Nature Materials, copyright 2009.

4.5.2. Opening the pores
For hollow nanostructures, thermo-responsive corona on the external shell can act as a protective layer to prevent the premature release during the delivery. However, upon the application of remote stimuli, thermo-induced collapsing of the polymer corona might open the pores, resulting in the subsequent drug release. Xia and coll. reported the remotely triggered release with NIR irradiation or high-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) treatment from the gold nanocages. The gold nanocages were loaded with cargoes, whose external faces were coated with a thin layer of thermo-responsive poly(N-isopropylacrylamide-co-acrylamide) copolymer, with LCST tuneable between 32-50 °C depending on the chemical compositions. Since the collapsing/swelling of the polymer is reversible, the fine-tuning of NIR power, HIFU intensity and treatment period enables to control the dosage release. Moreover, the triggered release has been demonstrated to be applicable with fluorescently labelled proteins, drugs, and enzymes, and in vitro NIR-triggered release of DOX was also confirmed via the combination of the culture of breast cancer cells and NIR irradiation.

More recently, Lin and coll. also reported DDS based on hollow nanostructures with a thermo-responsive polymer coating. In their work, UCNPs nanoparticles were coated with mesoporous silica shell. Then a thermo-responsive polymer corona was formed with poly[N-isopropylacrylamide-co-(methacrylic acid)] [P(NiPAAm-co-MAA)] copolymers, as an active valve to moderate the diffusion of cargoes from the internal cavities. The pre-loaded DOX molecules can be safely trapped with a low-level leakage at low temperature or/and high pH value, but significantly enhanced release can be achieved at higher temperature, lower pH values. While, under NIR irradiation (1.22 W, 980 nm), a light increase in release (9.55%, compare with the 3.27% with NIR irradiation) was detected, due to the energy adsorption of water and shrinkage of the polymers within the channels.

It is deserved to note that, for an ideal thermo-responsive nanostructure targeted for remotely stimuli-triggered release, it is preferable to exhibit a minimal drug release under physiological temperature (37 °C), which is also called “zero premature release”; while controlled release is available due to the slightly local heating upon exposure to those remote stimuli. To satisfy this requirement, thermo-responsive macromolecules selected here should possess a LCST slightly above the physiological temperature (39-45 °C), in order to avoid the local overheating.

Since the most commonly used thermo-responsive homopolymers, e.g. PNiPAAm and PNVCL, possess a LCST of ca. 32 °C, increasing their LCST is therefore required for their in vivo application. One of the commonly used strategies is to incorporate another hydrophilic co-monomer. That is why usually thermo-responsive copolymers are used, e.g. poly(NiPAAm-co-AAm) with LCST of 32-50 °C.
mJ/cm² but deformed under increasing laser power, which correlates well with the fluorescence measurements. TEM and HRTEM (inset) images of gold nanovehicles (d), and TEM image of gold nanostructures after pulsed-laser irradiation at 28 mJ cm⁻² (e). Adapted with permission from Ref. 212. Copyright 2009 American Chemical Society.

4.6. Destruction of the nanostructures

Triggered drug release can be achieved by many mechanisms, but it is quite challenging to place a device in vivo with drug release activated at will of the patient or a physician by an external trigger. Pressure-induced or thermo-induced rupture of nanovehicles is also one of the most reliable techniques to release the cargo molecules in a burst mode. As discussed previously, triggered release from liposomes could be achieved with ultrasound, which causes either localized heating and/or structural deformation. These two effects contribute to triggered release based on the mechanism of enhanced diffusion and/or phase-change of the TSLs lipid membrane. Moreover, when higher-energy ultrasound is used and structure deformation exceeds the elastic limitation of the lipid membrane, mechanical disruption of the liposomal structure occurs, leading to a burst release.

As far as we know, the NIR-triggered structure disintegration of those nanovehicles is mainly dealing with the nanostructures based on combination of GNP’s and liposomes, such as GNP’s adsorbed on liposomes, gold nanoshells over the liposomes or embedding GNP’s within liposomes. Similarly to other gold-based nanostructures, spontaneous local heating could also be generated under NIR irradiation for those liposome@GNP’s nanostructures. The local temperatures were reported to be able to reach few hundreds of degrees, depending on the irradiation conditions. This high-efficient photothermal conversion induces significant thermal and mechanical stress, or even destabilizes the nanostructures, resulting in the rupture of nanovehicles and subsequent cargo release. In a representative work by Gao and coll., liposome@gold nanostructure was reported for spatially and temporally triggered drug release (Fig. 17a). As a proof of concept, this kind of nanovehicle was loaded with cargo molecules of organic dye carboxyfluorescein or DOX, and a leakage-free character was evidenced over extended storage periods. However, the cargoes could be also efficiently released under NIR laser irradiation (Fig. 17b). After NIR irradiation, the nanostructure extinction profiles exhibited a significant blue-shift (Fig. 17c). Based on TEM observation, after laser illumination, the majority of nanovehicles were converted to large gold aggregates (Fig. 17e), compared with the hollow gold nanoshell structure before irradiation (Fig. 17d). All of these results corroborated the NIR-induced disintegration of the nanostructures. Similar works based on liposome@gold nanostructures were also reported by Wu and coll. Srivastava and coll., De and coll., for the NIR-triggered release with the underlying disintegration mechanism.
Apart from the gold nanoshells, silica or liposomal nanostructures, NIR-triggered disintegration of self-assembled micelle nanostructures is another simple and efficient way for remotely triggered release.\textsuperscript{240} As mentioned above, with the help of UCNPs, photo-isomerizable moieties, e.g. azobenzene groups, can be used for remotely triggered release based on the mechanism of light-induced trans-cis transition. On the other hand, photo-labile moieties, such as benzoaz and o-nitrobenzyl derivatives, can also be adopted for NIR-triggered release based on the light-induced disintegration of nanostructures. Branda and coll.\textsuperscript{116} reported micellar nanostructures made of an amphiphilic copolymer bearing o-nitrobenzyl moieties, in which Nile Red and UCNPs were loaded (Fig. 18a-b). The release mechanism is based on the photo-induced cleavage of o-nitrobenzyl groups by UV light, which is emitted by the UCNPs upon NIR irradiation (980 nm, 5 W, 4 h, Fig. 18d), followed by the subsequent hydrophobic/hydrophilic transition, disintegration of micelles and triggered drug release. The same strategy was also extended in their subsequent work\textsuperscript{115} on reversibly cross-linked hydrogels, which were cross-linked with molecules bearing o-nitrobenzyl moieties, and developed for DDS. NIR-induced gel-sol transition was evidenced with the release of trapped cargoes due to the de-cross-linking (Fig. 18e, and 18-f). Gu and coll.\textsuperscript{117} as well as Almutairi and coll.\textsuperscript{118} also reported polymer micelles, made of o-nitrobenzyl-bearing amphiphilic block copolymers, with UCNPs- and drug encapsulated. NIR illumination could also lead to the disassociation of the micelles, accompanied with a burst release of pre-loaded hydrophobic cargoes.

Similarly to the NIR-induced structural disintegration, AMF-triggered release based on the same strategy was also engaged with thermo-labile nanostructures, such as gold nanoshells,\textsuperscript{241, 242} combination of magnetic nanoparticles with liposomes,\textsuperscript{44} or silica nanoshells.\textsuperscript{241, 242}

5. Conclusion, prospective and challenges

DDS responding to local stimuli, such as variation in pH or temperature, activation of reductive agent or enzyme, could effectively provide desirable release, even in a passive mode, but fail in diseases treatment following the biological rhythms.\textsuperscript{10} However, it can be undoubtedly concluded that nanovehicles
aimed for remotely triggered release could offer an alternative solution. Moreover, remote triggers of these systems present various advantages, such as the improved efficiency, good spatial and temporal controllability over the release, lack of undesirable adverse effect, minimal release during the in vivo delivery, etc. With the increasing requirements on clinical practices, it might demand delivering drugs at the right time, right place and also with right amounts, which holds good promises of benefit to the patients, but presents huge challenge for remotely-triggered DDS.

Further requirements, such as zero premature release, pulsatile release, targeting delivery and minimal heating up to the surroundings, have been brought up in some studies. In the following sections, we present a concise comment on each character.

5. 1. Zero premature release

From the clinical viewpoint, it is highly desirable to achieve a zero or nearly-zero premature release before delivering the drug-loaded nanovehicles to the disease sites, in order to improve the therapeutic efficiency and avoid serious side effects in the case of the delivery of highly toxic guest molecules. Usually, the diffusion of guest molecules from the nanovehicles into the surrounding environment is an unavoidable thermodynamic procedure under a concentration gradient. Conventional DDS, such as self-assembled polymer micelles or liposomes, polymer nanogels, mesoporous silica, and stimuli-responsive inorganic/organic nanohybrids, cannot satisfy this requirement. That is why numerous attempts have been made, e.g. gating systems in the mesoporous silica2 and reversibly-cross-linked micelles or nanogels,2 in order to obtain a good entrapment of the cargo molecules and get rid of the risk from undesired premature release. Once such a nanostructure is excited with specific stimuli right after targeting to the disease sites, the guest molecules are released, resulting in an improved therapeutic efficacy and decreased deleterious side effects. Taking the advantageous merits of remote triggers, such as AMF, NIR and ultrasound, a better spatial and temporal control could be accomplished. However, the higher the barrier from the nanostructures to trap the cargo molecules, the higher the energy needed to trigger the release, subsequently the higher the risk of adverse effect, such as local overheating. Thus, an optimal compromise between the trapping nanostructures and remote stimuli should be found before the in vivo application.

5. 2. Pulsatile release

For an ideal DDS, it is not only very important to achieve a “zero premature release” over prolonged period of in vivo delivery as required, but also for some special purposes it might be necessary to release the pre-loaded guest molecules in small portions following a programmed mode, called “pulsatile release”. Compared with the conventional burst or sustainable release, the pulsatile release might present lots of merits, such as reduced adverse effects and improved tolerability, limited risk of overdose, predictable and reproducible release behaviours, etc. In the human body, many vital functions are regulated by transient release of bioactive substances at a specific time and site under physiological conditions. Thus, in order to mimic the function of living systems and in view of emerging chronotherapeutic approaches, it is of necessity to consider this requirement. Normally, pulsatile DDS can be pre-programmed and self-regulated depending on the presence of some specific molecules or variation in physiological variables, or can be externally actuated by applying various external stimuli outside the body. As for the former regulation, taking temperature as an example, thermo-responsive polymer-based DDS could release the cargoes in a pulsatile mode upon alternating heating/cooling treatment, due to the de-swelling/swelling conformation of the polymer chains. Similar structures for pulsatile release could be found in the review by Okano and coll. and Park and coll.

Alternative strategy should be the application of remote triggers, since they possess a spatial and temporal control over the in vivo release behaviour. Furthermore, when using remote triggers for pulsatile release, it might also avoid the side effect caused by over exposure to AMF, NIR, ultrasound, such as normal tissue damage from local overheating. Up to now, significant progress has been made, and a lot of trails have been done, such as the magnetically, NIR, ultrasound triggered pulsatile release. In these works, they disclosed the possibility of switching on/off the drug release, and sustainable release could be obtained by more on/off cycles. Even though, at this moment, this kind of DDS still bear some drawbacks even at the laboratory scale, such as lack of manufacturing reproducibility and efficacy, large number of process variables, limited drug loading, need of advanced technology and trained/skilled persons for manufacturing. We still believe that their development is definitely a formidable challenge for nanomedicine.

5. 3. Mild or minimal warming of the surroundings

Generally, hyperthermia is also categorized into local, regional and whole body hyperthermia, depending on the location of disease and also heating strategies. A local hyperthermia involves the generation of heat only in a small area of interest, such as a tumour site. Regional hyperthermia refers the heating treatment within a larger area, such as a whole organ. The whole body hyperthermia is usually applied when the cancer cells spread throughout the whole body. As known to us, at temperature of 41-45°C, tumour cells begin to show signs of apoptosis, while higher temperature above 50°C is associated with less apoptosis but more frank necrosis, due to the thermo-induced protein denaturation, revealing potential tumour treatment via hyperthermia. A concern to keep in mind for tumour treatment via hyperthermia, particularly those relying on remote triggers, is that patients rarely die of their primary tumours, since many primary tumours can be surgically removed.
Metastatic disease is a common cause of death in advanced cancer, but small metastatic cancers are not accessible via remote triggers. Hence, applications for remote triggered hyperthermia formulations should be carefully chosen, for reasons of preserving quality of life.

In addition to such direct hyperthermia treatments, these nanovehicles are explored to combine chemotherapeutic reagents and hyperthermia therapy or phototherapy. This combined strategy results in eliminating a part of the tumour cells, and also makes the resistant tumour cells more vulnerable to other treatments, such as chemotherapeutic reagents. Based on this blueprint, local hyperthermia is gaining increasing attention due to controlled intracellular heating within specified sites.\(^{255}\) As discussed above, one of the underlying mechanisms for remotely-triggered release is to heat the nanovehicles in order to induce the cleavage of covalent bonding, phase change, \textit{trans-cis} transition, structural disintegration, \textit{etc.} However, some details should also be taken into consideration over this strategy. Indeed on one hand, for the thermal cleavage of covalent bonds, \textit{e.g.} Au-S,\(^{220}\) Diels-Alder cycloadduct,\(^{69}\) and azo group,\(^{68}\) a high-energy trigger is needed, and, on the other hand, overheating of the surrounding might result in epidermal detachment, burns, and necrosis of the viable epidermis or underlying tissues. Some other disadvantages, such as limited penetration of heat into body tissues and undesirable under-dosage in some target region might further limit the clinical use. That is why recent studies, especially those conducted with higher-amplitude remote stimuli, have paid more attention to control and minimize thermal effects.

Generally, the \textit{in vivo} therapeutic effect would be decided by a lot of variables. In a first approximation, the concentration of the delivered drugs at the disease sites depends on the plasma concentration of the nanovehicles, the drug loading capacity, the release kinetic and the overall period of hyperthermia treatment. The aforementioned parameters define the requirements for the route of administration and the properties of nanovehicles. Furthermore, biological factors like tumour vascularization and perfusion are of utmost importance, as they modulate not only the drug uptake within the tumours but may also strongly vary between patients even for the same tumour type.\(^{22, 251}\) From empirical interpretation, a relative high targeting amount, namely higher targeting efficiency of the nanovehicles and/or higher loading capacity, might be in favor of using lower-amplitude remote triggers to reach the threshold of local heating. Optimal method to non-invasively maintain a minimal local heating, but with desirable release, would therefore be of high clinical value.

5.4. Targeted delivery and bio-distribution

The \textit{in vivo} delivery of nanovehicles to the desirable sites is critical in both DDS and other biomedical uses, such as diagnostic imaging. In all cases, nonspecific cell binding can place healthy tissue at risk. To limit non-specific binding, different nanostructures have been engineered with some targeting ligands, which show an affinity for specific tissues. Thus, they could accumulate within the desirable sites through an active approach. For magnetic nanovehicles, external magnetic guidance could also contribute to a targeted delivery,\(^{253}\) but the required magnetic properties are very different from those needed for AMF-triggered release. Passive targeting takes advantage of the predetermined physicochemical properties of the nanovehicles, and specifically migrate to a given disease region. For example, targeting to solid tumour tissue can be achieved through the passive mechanism, \textit{i.e.} EPR effect.\(^{23}\) However, EPR is limited to specific metastatic solid tumours, and successful implementation highly depends upon a number of factors including degree of capillary disorder, blood flow, and lymphatic drainage rate, making the effective management more difficult.

Passive targeting is available for only certain \textit{in vivo} applications and does not necessarily guarantee the internalization of nanovehicles by those specifically targeted cells. Nanovehicles, which are additionally marked with molecular targeting ligands, might show an active targeting character.\(^{254}\) A number of nanovehicles have been implemented with targeting ligands into their designs with various targeting moieties, \textit{e.g.} peptides, antibody or folic acid, which are approved by FDA for clinically treatment of malignant tumours.\(^{254}\) In an ideal targeting ligands/receptors pair, identification of these targeting ligands does not express on normal cells. Therefore, it allows targeting these nanovehicles to only malignant cells for further chemotherapeutic and/or hyperthermia treatment, promising minimal therapy-related toxicities. Unfortunately, in those experimental practices dealing with targeted delivery, most potential cancer targeting ligands, \textit{e.g.} epithelial growth factor receptors, can always over-express in cancer cells but not uniquely.\(^{254}\) Constitutive expression of ligands on normal cells leads to uptaking targeted nanovehicles in nonmalignant tissues, leading to potential toxicities and limitations for drug delivery or hyperthermia. Any possible targeting moieties for cancer cells may provide a feasible means for targeting delivery, but require careful evaluations over the targeting efficacy.

Experts have forecasted a continuously rising demand for dosage from such hybrid nanovehicles with the help of remote triggers. Thus, more and more attempts are being made to design and fabricate various DDS according to patient requirements, in terms of therapeutic efficacy and compliance. Remotely-triggered release formulations are smart DDS specially suited to satisfy these needs, and offer interesting options for intelligent management over the disease treatments. We are convinced that with increase in technological advancement and better design parameters, these systems will be optimized step by step in the near future and reach translational developments towards patients.
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### Abbreviation List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMF</td>
<td>Alternating magnetic fields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMF</td>
<td>Electromagnetic field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIR</td>
<td>Near infrared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIFU</td>
<td>High-intensity focused ultrasound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDS</td>
<td>Drug delivery system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPS</td>
<td>Mononuclear phagocyte system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPR</td>
<td>Enhanced permeability and retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Magnetic resonance imaging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNPs</td>
<td>Gold nanoparticles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNRs</td>
<td>Gold nanorods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>Maghemite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_3$O$_4$</td>
<td>Magnetite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPs</td>
<td>Nanoparticles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSNs</td>
<td>Mesoporous silica nanoparticles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSLs</td>
<td>Thermo-sensitive liposomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCNPs</td>
<td>Upconverting nanoparticles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dsDNA</td>
<td>Double-stranded DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssDNA</td>
<td>Single-stranded DNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNAi</td>
<td>RNA interference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNIPAAm</td>
<td>Poly(N-isopropyl acrylamide)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAAm</td>
<td>Polyacrylamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>Poly(ethylene glycol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL</td>
<td>Poly(ε-caprolactone)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEO</td>
<td>Poly(ethylene oxide)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPO</td>
<td>Poly(propylene oxide)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAA</td>
<td>Poly(acrylic acid)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVOH/PVA</td>
<td>Poly(vinyl alcohol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLL</td>
<td>Polylysine peptide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNVCL</td>
<td>Poly(N-vinylcaprolactam)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMRP</td>
<td>Cobalt-mediated radical polymerization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAFT</td>
<td>Reversible addition-fragmentation transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATRP</td>
<td>Atom transfer radical polymerization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIP</td>
<td>Surface-initiated polymerization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCST</td>
<td>Lower critical solution temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPPC</td>
<td>1,2-Dipalmitoyl-sn-glyerylphosphocholine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSPC</td>
<td>1,2-Distearoyl-sn-glyerylphosphocholine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOX</td>
<td>Doxorubicin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPR</td>
<td>Surface plasmon resonance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEM</td>
<td>Transmission electron microscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PyCOOH</td>
<td>Pyrrole-3-carboxylic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APS</td>
<td>Ammonium persulfate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bp</td>
<td>Basepair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERS</td>
<td>Surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>Reversibly cross-linking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R6G</td>
<td>Rhodamine 6G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS</td>
<td>Ionic strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB</td>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDC</td>
<td>1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>Immunoglobulin G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCM</td>
<td>Phase-changing materials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF</td>
<td>Transferrin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Scheme: (1) Hybrid nanovehicles with different kinds of structures designed for drug delivery systems, such as liposomes (a), cross-linked polymer nanogels or micelles (b), organic/inorganic core/corona nanohybrids (c), mesoporous silica-based nanovehicles engineered with gating moieties (d) and host/guest nano-conjugates (e); (2) delivery of the guest molecules to desirable tumour sites via passive or active targeting through the vasculature; (3) trigger release of the loaded cargos through remote stimuli, e.g. ultrasound, light (such as near infrared laser) or alternating magnetic field (AMF); (4) tumour cell apoptosis resulting from the synergistic effect of thermal and chemotherapeutic contributions.
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