


Journal of Materials Chemistry A

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this *Accepted Manuscript* with the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Self-Catalytic Membrane Photo-Reactor made of Carbon Nitride Nanosheets

Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

Kai-Ge Zhou*,^a Daryl McManus,^a Eric Prestat,^b Xing Zhong,^c Yuyoung. Shin,^a Hao-Li Zhang,^d Sarah Haigh^b and Cinzia Casiraghi*^a

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/


Solar-driven photo-oxidation is a very attractive and efficient technique for chemical conversion of organic dyes in water into non-hazardous compounds, but it requires a catalyst in order to overcome the barrier of oxidation degradation. In this study we use a membrane photo-reactor (MPR) made of nanosheets of graphitic carbon nitride ($g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$), assembled by vacuum filtration. The membrane was characterized by X-Ray Diffraction (XRD), Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR), electron microscopy and IR spectroscopy. Photo-degradation studies show that the membranes are very efficient in the degradation of sudan orange G, rhodamine 110 and methylene blue. As the catalyst is a porous laminate, the reactant can flow through the pores of the membrane. Because the space between $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ nanosheets is comparable to the size of the dyes, the probability of the reactants to be close to the catalyst is enhanced, making the reaction very efficient.

Introduction

The textile industry is the main source of organic pollution worldwide.¹⁻³ Many of the dyes used have complex structure and recalcitrant nature. They exhibit great biotoxicity and possible mutagenic and carcinogenic effects. Therefore, an efficient and low-cost technique for chemical conversion of the dyes into non-hazardous compounds is desirable. Solar-driven photo-oxidation is a very attractive technique, but requires a catalyst in order to overcome the barrier of oxidation degradation. Amongst all catalysts, TiO_2 nanoparticles are the best materials for photo-catalytic degradation of organic

pollutants because of their high efficiency, availability, low cost, non-toxicity and chemical stability.⁴ However, TiO_2 only absorbs UV light,⁵⁻⁷ so its efficiency is very low when used for sunlight-driven photo-catalytic reactions. Various attempts have been made to modify TiO_2 , either by changing its band structure or by combining it with an antenna for light absorption and transfer enhancement.⁸⁻¹¹ However, there are still issues on limited efficiency, reproducibility, controllability, and thermal stability of TiO_2 based catalysts.

Carbon nanostructures have been widely studied as catalysts for



^a School of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

^b School of Materials University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK

^c College of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Zhejiang University of Technology, Hangzhou 310014, P. R. China

^d State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000 P. R. China

*E-mail: cinzia.casiraghi@manchester.ac.uk; zhkg7120@163.com

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: preparation details, AFM, Solid NMR, TEM, SEM and IR spectroscopy; photo-degradation studies using different reactors and control experiments. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

photo-oxidation reactions.^{12, 13} In particular, the family of carbon nitrides (C_3N_4)^{14, 15} offers a valid alternative to modified TiO_2 . Graphitic- C_3N_4 ($g-C_3N_4$), which is the most stable form at room temperature, has been shown to be an efficient photo-catalyst for many reactions under visible light, from water splitting to oxidation of alkanes and olefins.¹⁶⁻²⁸ This ability has been attributed to its medium-band gap (~ 2.7 eV) and to its HOMO and LUMO levels, which encompass the oxidation and reduction potential of water.^{16, 29} Further improvement of the catalytic activity of $g-C_3N_4$ can be obtained by functionalization, similar to TiO_2 .¹⁶ An alternative approach consists of enhancing the interaction between the active site and the dye by increasing the surface area of the catalyst. Therefore, nanocasting and templating approaches have been used to produce mesoporous- C_3N_4 ($mpg-C_3N_4$).³⁰⁻³² Recently, a simple, low cost and controllable method to increase the catalytic efficiency of $g-C_3N_4$, based on the use of 2-Dimensional (2D) crystals, has been presented.³³⁻⁴⁰ 2D crystals are a new class of stable, highly processable materials that feature distinctive properties compared to their 3D counterparts. Graphitic carbon nitride is a 2D covalent organic framework characterized by a three-folded symmetric arrangement of tris-s-triazine connected by tertiary amine in-plane ($g-C_3N_4$ bulk in Figure 1A). In bulk $g-C_3N_4$, most of the catalytic sites are not directly available as they are inaccessible. Since bulk $g-C_3N_4$ is a layered material, the simplest way to maximize the exposure of the catalytic sites to the organic pollutant is to exfoliate the material into few and single-layer $g-C_3N_4$.^{34, 36, 41} Bulk $g-C_3N_4$ can be efficiently exfoliated by using liquid-phase exfoliation (LPE) in IPA/water,^{40, 42, 43} as shown in Figure 1A.

Results and Discussion

By using the LPE theory for mixed solvents⁴³ (full details in the Section 1.1 of the Supporting Information) we found that the natural logarithm of the concentration of the dispersed material (C) is a quadratic function of the IPA volume ratio ϕ :

$$\ln C = A + B\phi + C\phi^2 \quad (1)$$

where A, B, C are three constants. By using different IPA volume ratios and measuring the concentration by UV-Vis spectroscopy, Figure 1B and C, we found that the maximum concentration (~ 0.7 mg/mL) is obtained at 44% volume ratio, giving a yield of 35%, in agreement with results obtained for other 2D crystals.⁴⁴ Note that our result is in strong disagreement with Ref.,⁴⁰ which claims a yield of 90%, which has never been reported for LPE of 2D crystals.

LPE $g-C_3N_4$ nanosheets, used in traditional slurry-batch photo-reactors, show improved catalytic efficiency for photo-degradation of several dyes (Section S3 in Supporting Information) due to the larger surface area and possibly due to possessing different optical and electrical properties compared to bulk $g-C_3N_4$.^{40, 45} However, conventional photo-reactors require the catalysts to be separated and recycled: typical catalytic particles (e.g., TiO_2) have average size of 100 nm, so they are smaller than most commercial polymer filter papers (pore size: 0.1-0.45 μ m). As a result, catalysts are difficult to remove from the final products and expensive post-processes





Figure 2 a) X-ray diffraction pattern of melamine, $g-C_3N_4$ bulk and membrane; b) Thickness of $g-C_3N_4$ laminates as a function of the weight per area; in-plane (c) and cross section (d) SEM images of a $g-C_3N_4$ membrane.

are required after water treatment. Furthermore, their large surface area-to-volume ratio and surface energy create a strong tendency for catalyst agglomeration during operation.

A technology based on MPR, where the catalyst is immobilized on the surface or on the pores of the membrane (e.g. fixed catalyst approach³, would remove the need for post-treatment processes, allowing for low energy consumption, automatic control, continuous operation with simultaneous catalyst and product separation. Other advantages include low maintenance and strong flexibility, as more complex reactors can be built by connecting more membranes into modules.⁴⁶ However, the lack of control of catalyst load and distribution in the membrane strongly reduces the photo-catalytically active surface and causes the photo-conversion kinetics to strongly depend on the mass-transfer processes of the dyes from the liquid to the catalyst surface.⁴⁶ Overall, this strongly reduces the MPR photo-catalytic efficiency, when compared to traditional photo-reactors. This explains why MPR typically requires very thick catalytic beds (even up to 1 m)⁴⁶ and a very long water treatment process (up to hours).⁴⁷ Furthermore, the membrane structure and material properties are also important in determining if and how the dyes can interact with the catalyst. Ideally, the membrane should enhance surface contact with the dyes and should not deteriorate over the reaction time. Therefore, the discovery of new materials is fundamental in developing novel and efficient MPRs.

Previous works^{25, 48-51} have used 2D-crystals to support catalytic nanoparticles; however, the catalysts are weakly bonded to the 2D crystals, so they detach from the support, resulting in reduced photo-activity over the reaction time.

One has to remember that 2D crystals show unique properties not only when used as individual nanosheets, but also when assembled into membranes. Laminates composed by millions of nanosheets all stacked to each other show very different properties compared to the corresponding bulk




material.^{52, 53} For example, membranes made of graphene oxide nanosheets have shown unique properties, such as selective permeation of water, opening the possibility of using these membranes for gas or liquid separation.⁵⁴⁻⁵⁷

Here, we demonstrate the use of the $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ laminate as a self-catalytic MPR: in this approach, the membrane is the catalyst itself, so separation and chemical reaction happen in situ and at the same time. Nanosheet-based membranes can be easily fabricated by vacuum filtration.^{58, 59} Because the catalytic activity strongly depends on the precise structure (defects, amount of edges exposed, etc.) characterization of the membranes was performed using several techniques⁶⁰. Figure 2A shows that the X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) characteristic of the molecular precursor (melamine) disappears in either the $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ bulk or membrane. Therefore, we can exclude any melamine residuals or derivatives in either the bulk or nanosheet $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$. The XRD spectrum of $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ bulk and nanosheet-based membrane is characterized by a strong peak at 27.8° , the typical interlayer stacking (002) peak of graphite, corresponding to a distance of 0.326 nm.⁶¹ In bulk $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ a small peak at 13° is visible, corresponding to an in plane structural

packing motif, due to the tri-s-triazine patterning.^{17, 32} Note that the in-plane repeat period observed (0.681 nm) is smaller than the one of tri-s-triazine (~ 0.713 nm) and this has been attributed to small tilt angularity in the structure.¹⁷ In $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ nanosheets this peak seems to shift at $\sim 16^\circ$, indicating an increase in tilting. This is expected considering that nanosheets usually assemble with tilting angles in the laminate, giving rise to a structure with pores and capillaries (See Figure 1 in Ref⁶²). Note that the XRD of our membrane is very different from measured powder obtained after drying the $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ dispersion at 60°C in vacuum.⁴⁰ In this case a loose and irregular morphology was obtained, while in our work a more ordered and graphitic morphology, still retaining the inter-planar tri-s-triazine characteristics of the initial material, has been observed. We measured the thickness of the laminate by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). As shown in Figure 2B, the thickness of the laminate is found to be proportional to the weight per area. The slope is $1.06 \pm 0.04 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$, so the density of the laminate is $\sim 0.94 \text{ g/cm}^3$, which is smaller than the density of a $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ crystal (2.5 g/cm^3).⁶³ Figure 2C and D show in plane and cross-section SEM images of the laminate, respectively, confirming that the membrane is composed by partially overlapped and tilted nanosheets, giving rise to a characteristic porous structure. This is confirmed by TEM (Figure S5). The characteristic structure of the $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ membrane allows water to flow between the layers and at the same time maximizes the number of catalytic active sites at the solution-catalyst interface, Figure 3A.

We tested this idea by evaluating the residual dye content by UV-Vis spectroscopy after the liquid has flown through the membrane. In a typical process, 1 mg of $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ nanosheets is deposited on an alumina oxide filter with pore size of $0.02 \mu\text{m}$ (Whatman Inc.) by vacuum filtration. Then, the water containing $5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$ of dye was pumped through the membrane with a flow rate of 2 mL/h under irradiation with a lamp. To demonstrate the versatility of this method, we studied the photo-degradation of three dyes often used in the textile industry: Sudan orange G (SG), Rhodamine 110 (Rh) and Methylene Blue (MB). We found that less than 1 % of the



dye was left in the collected water after flowing through the $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ laminate, Figure 3B, showing that this approach has comparable efficiency to the process based on individual nanosheets (see Supporting Information). Furthermore, we tracked the reaction over time to investigate the stability of the process. The time-dependent flux conversion efficiency [FE(t)] is given by: $FE(t) = 1 - C_f(t)/C_0$, where C_f refers to the concentration of the dyes left in the treated liquid at a certain time, and C_0 is the starting dye content. Figure 3C shows that $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ membranes achieve a FE of $\sim 100\%$ for several hours.

In order to confirm that the decrease in concentration is neither due to simple adsorption on the surface of the membrane¹ nor the rejection by the interlayer spacing, we also performed a control experiment, where the same amount of solution has been filtered under dark conditions. We found that only $\sim 5.4\%$ of SG gets physisorbed in a $\sim 2\ \mu\text{m}$ thick laminate (Figure 4). Therefore, this shows that most of the molecules can move through the nanosheets and that changes in the dye concentration are mainly produced by photo-degradation. In the case of positively charged Rh and MB, the effect of the physical absorption increases to $\sim 20\%$, probably due to steric effects with negatively charged $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$. Two very recent references indicate that $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ incorporated with graphene oxide⁶⁴ or polymer⁶⁵ can act as a separation membrane. However, the bare $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ membrane is unable to stop the leaking of organic dyes in dark. Therefore, the separation function of the membrane is not the dominant effect for the degradation.

The experiment shown in Figure 3A was also performed by replacing the laminate with $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ bulk (section 3.2.2 in supporting information). We observed that only 5.8% of SG was degraded by the bulk $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ (Figure 4), confirming the higher degradation efficiency of the $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ laminate. Note that laminates produced by simple drop-casting show reduced degradation efficiency, due to the non-uniform laminate surface and to the tiny cracks produced from the evaporation of the solvent, Fig S15. However, we believe an improved solution processed coating method may result in better degradation performance, for instance, the HNO_3 assisted coating method as reported by Wang et al recently.⁶⁶

The superior degradation efficiency of the $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ nanosheet-based membranes is attributed to the increased amount of catalyst active sites available to the dye, when the mobile phase is traveling in the membrane (Figure 5a). This is confirmed by studying the conversion efficiency for membranes of increasing thickness from ~ 0.8 to $\sim 2\ \mu\text{m}$: the thicker the membrane, the higher the conversion rate (Figure 5b). Furthermore, there is an additional effect that could be responsible for the superior efficiency: the reaction is expected to happen in the small interlayer space between the nanosheets ($\sim 1\text{nm}$). It is known that confinement in nanopores and nanobubbles can strongly affect nucleation of organic crystals and chemical reactions,⁶⁷⁻⁶⁹ so the interlayer space may act as a nanoreactor for the photo-chemical degradation of the dye, improving the kinetic rate.

As a consequence, the whole laminate can be treated as a series connection of numerous nanoreactors. Using the model of series reactor, we can further understand the high efficiency




Figure 5 a) Schematic of 2D-nanosheets based reactor for photo-degradation. b) Change in the concentration of SG after 2 hrs as a function of the laminate thickness.

of the $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ laminate. Under this approach, a large number of small volume reactors in series is characterized by higher conversion efficiency than few large volume reactors in series (Supporting Information).

Conclusions

In summary, we have demonstrated that $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ membranes can be used to make low-cost, efficient, easy-to-produce MPRs for water treatment under visible light. The high efficiency of this type of MPR is attributed to its structure and composition: the membrane is porous, allowing the water to move in the reactor; at the same time, the reactor is made of $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ nanosheets, which are efficient photo-catalysts for degradation of organic pollutants because their larger surface area. In this reactor, because the space between $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ nanosheets is comparable to the size of the dyes, the probability of the reactants to be close to the catalyst is enhanced, making the reaction very efficient. The membrane can be therefore considered a self-catalytic reactor. Since $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ has been shown to be an efficient photo-catalyst for many reactions under visible light, from water splitting to oxidation of alkanes and olefins, we expect self-catalytic MPRs to find several applications in sustainable chemistry.

Experimental Methods

The preparation of $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ nanosheet: The yellow powder of graphitic carbon nitride ($g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$) bulk phase was prepared by a thermal annealing of melamine (Sigma Aldrich Inc.) at $600\ \square$ for 1.5 hours.⁵⁸ 30 mg of $g\text{-C}_3\text{N}_4$ bulks was mixed with 10 mL isopropanol

and water mixtures. The soya-like suspension was mild sonicated in a bath cleaner (DAWE 6290A, 150W, 25 kHz) for 12 hours to obtain the dispersion of g-C₃N₄ nanosheets. The large bulk was removed by a centrifugation at 1000 rpm (~79g) for 10 min. To further separate the thin layered g-C₃N₄, the supernatant (top 2/3) obtained in the last process was then centrifuged at 3000 rpm (~664g) for 10 min. The top 1/2 supernatant of g-C₃N₄ was collected for the further characterizations. To avoid the signal overflow, 0.5mL g-C₃N₄ dispersion was diluted ten times by the corresponding solvent mixture for the measurement of UV-vis absorption (Cary 300, Varian Inc.).

The fabrication of the fixed-bed catalyst membrane: by vacuum filtration, the dispersion containing 1 mg catalyst was deposited on the anodisc alumina oxide paper (0.02µm pore size, 25 mm diameter, Whatman Inc.). The laminate was characterized by X-ray diffraction (RINT2100; Rigaku, Japan) and scanning electron microscope (FEI XL30 ESEM-FEG). The error bar in Figure 5 is obtained by analyzing 20 different SEM cross sections for each sample.

The photo-degradation was performed under a 100W mercury lamp with a cutoff filter to remove the light below 400nm. The flow efficiency of the catalytic degradation is calculated by following equation: flow efficiency=(1- C(t)/C(0)), where C(0) represents the starting concentration of the organic pollutant, C(t) is the concentration of the pollutant at a certain time. Based on Lambert-Beer Law, we can replace C(t) by the absorbance measured at 433 nm for SG, at 499 nm for Rh and 664 nm for MB).

Acknowledgements

KGZ acknowledges the Royal Society in the framework of the Newton Fellowship scheme. This work was partially funded by the EPSRC Grants No. EP/K016946/1 (graphene-based membranes) and EP/K039547/1 and the ERC-Co grant (NOC2D).

Notes and references

- V. K. Gupta, I. Ali, T. A. Saleh, A. Nayak and S. Agarwal, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, 2, 6380-6388.
- S. Karthikeyan, V. K. Gupta, R. Boopathy, A. Titus and G. Sekaran, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 2012, 173, 153-163.
- V. K. Gupta, S. K. Srivastava, D. Mohan and S. Sharma, *Waste Manage.*, 1998, 17, 517-522.
- A. L. Linsebigler, G. Lu and J. T. Yates, *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, 95, 735-758.
- C. Burda, Y. Lou, X. Chen, A. C. S. Samia, J. Stout and J. L. Gole, *Nano Lett.*, 2003, 3, 1049-1051.
- R. Asahi, T. Morikawa, T. Ohwaki, K. Aoki and Y. Taga, *Science*, 2001, 293, 269-271.
- H. Irie, Y. Watanabe and K. Hashimoto, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2003, 107, 5483-5486.
- X. Chen, L. Liu, P. Y. Yu and S. S. Mao, *Science*, 2011, 331, 746-750.
- H. Lin, L. Li, M. Zhao, X. Huang, X. Chen, G. Li and R. Yu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, 134, 8328-8331.
- Y. Zhang, Z.-R. Tang, X. Fu and Y.-J. Xu, *ACS Nano*, 2011, 5, 7426-7435.
- Q. Xiang, J. Yu and M. Jaroniec, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, 134, 6575-6578.
- V. K. Gupta and T. A. Saleh, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, 20, 2828-2843.
- V. K. Gupta, R. Kumar, A. Nayak, T. A. Saleh and M. A. Barakat, *Adv. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2013, 193-194, 24-34.
- Y. Zheng, L. Lin, B. Wang and X. Wang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, 54, 12868-12884.
- J. Zhang, Y. Chen and X. Wang, *Energ. Environ. Sci.*, 2015, 8, 3092-3108.
- Y. Wang, X. Wang and M. Antonietti, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, 51, 68-89.
- X. Wang, K. Maeda, A. Thomas, K. Takanebe, G. Xin, J. M. Carlsson, K. Domen and M. Antonietti, *Nat. Mater.*, 2009, 8, 76-80.
- A. Thomas, A. Fischer, F. Goettmann, M. Antonietti, J.-O. Müller, R. Schlögl and J. M. Carlsson, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2008, 18, 4893-4908.
- X. Wang, K. Maeda, X. Chen, K. Takanebe, K. Domen, Y. Hou, X. Fu and M. Antonietti, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, 131, 1680-1681.
- F. Goettmann, A. Thomas and M. Antonietti, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2007, 46, 2717-2720.
- F. Goettmann, A. Fischer, M. Antonietti and A. Thomas, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2006, 45, 4467-4471.
- J. Zhang, X. Chen, K. Takanebe, K. Maeda, K. Domen, J. D. Epping, X. Fu, M. Antonietti and X. Wang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, 49, 441-444.
- X. Wang, S. Blechert and M. Antonietti, *ACS Catal.*, 2012, 2, 1596-1606.
- X. Chen, J. Zhang, X. Fu, M. Antonietti and X. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, 131, 11658-11659.
- X.-H. Li, J.-S. Chen, X. Wang, J. Sun and M. Antonietti, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, 133, 8074-8077.
- M. Shalom, S. Inal, C. Fettkenhauer, D. Neher and M. Antonietti, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, 135, 7118-7121.
- P. Zhang, Y. Wang, H. Li and M. Antonietti, *Green Chem.*, 2012, 14, 1904-1908.
- X.-H. Li, X. Wang and M. Antonietti, *ACS Catal.*, 2012, 2, 2082-2086.
- M. Zhang and X. Wang, *Energ. Environ. Sci.*, 2014, 7, 1902-1906.
- M. Groenewolt and M. Antonietti, *Adv. Mater.*, 2005, 17, 1789-1792.
- Y. Wang, X. Wang, M. Antonietti and Y. Zhang, *ChemSusChem*, 2010, 3, 435-439.
- F. Goettmann, A. Fischer, M. Antonietti and A. Thomas, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, DOI: 10.1039/b608532f, 4530-4532.
- D. J. Martin, K. Qiu, S. A. Shevlin, A. D. Handoko, X. Chen, Z. Guo and J. Tang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, 53, 9240-9245.
- J. Xu, L. Zhang, R. Shi and Y. Zhu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, 1, 14766-14772.
- O. Abdulrahman, *Nanoscale*, 2013, 5, 8921-8924.

36. X. Zhang, X. Xie, H. Wang, J. Zhang, B. Pan and Y. Xie, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, 135, 18-21.
37. S. Yang, X. Feng, X. Wang and K. Müllen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2011, 50, 5339-5343.
38. M. J. Bojdys, N. Severin, J. P. Rabe, A. I. Cooper, A. Thomas and M. Antonietti, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2013, 34, 850-854.
39. J. Tian, Q. Liu, A. M. Asiri, A. O. Al-Youbi and X. Sun, *Anal. Chem.*, 2013, 85, 5595-5599.
40. Q. Lin, L. Li, S. Liang, M. Liu, J. Bi and L. Wu, *Appl. Catal. B- Environ.*, 2015, 163, 135-142.
41. S. Yang, Y. Gong, J. Zhang, L. Zhan, L. Ma, Z. Fang, R. Vajtai, X. Wang and P. M. Ajayan, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, 25, 2452-2456.
42. Y. Hernandez, V. Nicolosi, M. Lotya, F. M. Blighe, Z. Sun, S. De, I. T. McGovern, B. Holland, M. Byrne, Y. K. Gun'ko, J. J. Boland, P. Niraj, G. Duesberg, S. Krishnamurthy, R. Goodhue, J. Hutchison, V. Scardaci, A. C. Ferrari and J. N. Coleman, *Nat. Nanotech.*, 2008, 3, 563-568.
43. K.-G. Zhou, N.-N. Mao, H.-X. Wang, Y. Peng and H.-L. Zhang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, 50, 10839-10842.
44. U. Khan, A. O'Neill, M. Lotya, S. De and J. N. Coleman, *Small*, 2010, 6, 864-871.
45. H. Zhao, H. Yu, X. Quan, S. Chen, H. Zhao and H. Wang, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 624-628.
46. Y. Zhang, J. C. Crittenden, D. W. Hand and D. L. Perram, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 1994, 28, 435-442.
47. G. Zayani, L. Bousselmi, F. Mhenni and A. Ghrabi, *Desalination*, 2009, 246, 344-352.
48. S. Humaira, K. C. Kemp, C. Vimlesh and S. K. Kwang, *Nanotechnology*, 2012, 23, 355705.
49. H. Zhang, P. Xu, G. Du, Z. Chen, K. Oh, D. Pan and Z. Jiao, *Nano Res.*, 2011, 4, 274-283.
50. Y. Zhang, Z.-R. Tang, X. Fu and Y.-J. Xu, *ACS Nano*, 2010, 4, 7303-7314.
51. H. Zhang, X. Lv, Y. Li, Y. Wang and J. Li, *ACS Nano*, 2009, 4, 380-386.
52. R. R. Nair, M. Sepioni, I. L. Tsai, O. Lehtinen, J. Keinonen, A. V. Krasheninnikov, T. Thomson, A. K. Geim and I. V. Grigorieva, *Nat. Phys.*, 2012, 8, 199-202.
53. R. R. Nair, I. L. Tsai, M. Sepioni, O. Lehtinen, J. Keinonen, A. V. Krasheninnikov, A. H. Castro Neto, M. I. Katsnelson, A. K. Geim and I. V. Grigorieva, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, 4.
54. R. K. Joshi, P. Carbone, F. C. Wang, V. G. Kravets, Y. Su, I. V. Grigorieva, H. A. Wu, A. K. Geim and R. R. Nair, *Science*, 2014, 343, 752-754.
55. R. R. Nair, H. A. Wu, P. N. Jayaram, I. V. Grigorieva and A. K. Geim, *Science*, 2012, 335, 442-444.
56. K. Huang, G. Liu, Y. Lou, Z. Dong, J. Shen and W. Jin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, 53, 6929-6932.
57. H. Li, Z. Song, X. Zhang, Y. Huang, S. Li, Y. Mao, H. J. Ploehn, Y. Bao and M. Yu, *Science*, 2013, 342, 95-98.
58. G. Eda, G. Fanchini and M. Chhowalla, *Nat. Nanotech.*, 2008, 3, 270-274.
59. D. A. Dikin, S. Stankovich, E. J. Zimney, R. D. Piner, G. H. B. Dommett, G. Evmenenko, S. T. Nguyen and R. S. Ruoff, *Nature*, 2007, 448, 457-460.
60. T. A. Saleh and V. K. Gupta, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2012, 371, 101-106.
61. Y. Zhang, Q. Pan, G. Chai, M. Liang, G. Dong, Q. Zhang and J. Qiu, *Sci. Rep.*, 2013, 3.
62. F. Withers, H. Yang, L. Britnell, A. P. Rooney, E. Lewis, A. Felten, C. R. Woods, V. Sanchez Romaguera, T. Georgiou, A. Eckmann, Y. J. Kim, S. G. Yeates, S. J. Haigh, A. K. Geim, K. S. Novoselov and C. Casiraghi, *Nano Lett.*, 2014, 14, 3987-3992.
63. J. Sehnert, K. Baerwinkel and J. Senker, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2007, 111, 10671-10680.
64. Y. Wang, R. Ou, H. Wang and T. Xu, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 2015, 475, 281-289.
65. K. Cao, Z. Jiang, X. Zhang, Y. Zhang, J. Zhao, R. Xing, S. Yang, C. Gao and F. Pan, *J. Membr. Sci.*, 2015, 490, 72-83.
66. J. Zhang, M. Zhang, L. Lin and X. Wang, *Angew. Chem.*, 2015, 127, 6395-6399.
67. J.-M. Ha, J. H. Wolf, M. A. Hillmyer and M. D. Ward, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, 126, 3382-3383.
68. Y. Diao, T. Harada, A. S. Myerson, T. Alan Hatton and B. L. Trout, *Nat. Mater.*, 2011, 10, 867-871.
69. C. H. Y. Xuan Lim, A. Sorkin, Q. Bao, A. Li, K. Zhang, M. Nešladek and K. P. Loh, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, 4, 1556.

Graphical Abstract



Novel membrane photo-reactor based on 2D crystals: the discovery of new materials is fundamental in developing novel and efficient membrane photo-catalytic reactors. We demonstrated that g-C₃N₄ nanosheets can be assembled in membranes permeable to water, which allow making low-cost, efficient, easy-to-produce self-catalytic photo-reactors for water treatment under visible light.