Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/materialsA

Journal of Materials Chemistry A

RSCPublishing

COMMUNICATION

Preparation of Microvillus-Like Nitrogen-Doped Carbon Nanotubes as the Cathode of Microbial Fuel Cell

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th August 2015, Accepted 00th August 2015 Yan-Rong He^{a, b}, Feng Du^b, Yu-Xi Huang^a, Li-Ming Dai^{b,*} Wen-Wei Li^a, Han-Qing Yu^{a,*}

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/MaterialsA

Microbial fuel cell (MFC) is an emerging technology to harvest electricity from waste, but generally suffers from low power density at the present stage. Especially, the poor cathode performance usually presents a limiting factor. In this work, 5 we prepare a novel cathode material for MFC by growing vertically aligned nitrogen-doped carbon nanotubes (N-CNTs) on carbon cloth (CC) using a chemical vapor deposition method, and evaluate its performance in MFC tests. The results show that the MFC with the N-CNTs-CC as its cathode 10 exhibits an output power density of 542 mW m⁻³, greater than

that of the MFC with the Pt/C-coated CC cathode. The electrochemical experimental results show a higher catalytic activity for oxygen reduction and smaller resistance of the N-CNTs-CC electrode, compared with those of the Pt/C-CC, which 15 are responsible for its better MFC performance. The N-CNTs-CC material prepared in this work may offer an appealing

metal-free and low-cost alternative to Pt/C for MFC cathode applications.

Microbial fuel cell (MFC) is emerging technology to produce 20 electricity directly from waste streams.¹⁻⁴ In MFC anode, the electrons released from metabolism of organic matters are transferred to anode and ultimately reduced at the cathode. Various oxidants such as ferricyanide,⁵ permanganate,⁶ and oxygen,⁷ can be used as the electron acceptors at the cathode. Especially, oxygen as 25 an abundant and freely-available electron acceptor has been most widely adopted.⁸ Although MFCs have unique advantages, they have not yet put to practical application because of their low power

generation and high costs. One common limitation in energy production from MFCs is the considerable overpotential of the 30 oxygen-reducing cathode.⁹

Platinum (Pt)/ carbon (C) is the most widely used catalysts for oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) at MFC cathode due to its high catalytic activity, but the high cost and limited availability constrains its practical application.¹⁰ Half of the capital cost of an

35 MFC comes from the cathode if Pt/C is used.⁹ Apart from its high cost, Pt-based catalyst also suffers from instable performance. Therefore, to reduce MFC costs and make it sustainable for

commercial applications, it is highly desired to develop effective and low-cost catalysts to replace Pt/C.

Metal-free carbon materials, owning to their low cost and wide availability, have been extensively investigated as ORR catalysts.¹¹⁻ ¹⁵ In particular, carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are regarded as a highlyefficient ORR catalyst, attributed to their high surface area, electrocatalytic activity, corrosion resistance, and excellent 45 conductivity. Furthermore, compared with Pt, which is easy to get poisoned, CNT is a robust catalyst used in polluted environments. Moreover, heteroatoms doping is usually applied to further improve its ORR performance.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ For instance, nitrogen-doped CNTs exhibit a similar or even higher catalytic activity and better stability 50 as well as excellent tolerance to methanol and CO compared with Pt-based catalysts when Pt is poisoned.¹⁹⁻²¹ CNTs-modified air cathodes have been previously manufactured using coating methods.^{22, 23} In other studies, vertically-aligned CNTs electrode were also prepared, which exhibited even better electrochemical 55 performance attributed to its unique electrical, mechanical, thermal and conductive properties.^{24, 25} However, no application of such vertically-aligned CNTs electrodes for MFC cathode has been reported.





In this work, we prepared a vertically-aligned, nitrogen-doped CNTs (N-CNTs) -carbon cloth (CC) composite electrode by growing N-CNTs on CC using a chemical vapor deposition (CVD) method as an MFC cathode. Then, we compared the performance of

5 MFCs with this N-CNTs-CC cathode and a traditional Pt/CC cathode, characterized their electrochemical properties and explored the reason for the better performance of the MFC with the N-CNTs-CC cathode.

Aligned N-CNTs were prepared directly on the entire CC, 10 which is a conductive sheet of carbon fibers. The SEM images show that N-CNTs were uniformly distributed over the CC surface, forming a three dimensional network structure (Fig. 1a-c). Additionally, the carbon fibers were covered by a 3-µm thick CNTs layer, where the CNTs were vertically aligned along carbon fibers.

15 This structure was expected to increase the accessibility of electrolyte and oxygen to the N-CNTs, and thus improve the mass transfer and benefit for the electrochemical reactions.²⁶



Fig. 2 (a) Sum XPS spectra, and (b) XPS N1s spectra of the N-CNTs-20 CC electrode.

Fig. 1d shows the Raman spectra of the raw CC, N-CNTs-CC, and N-CNTs grown on silicon wafer as a comparison. The D-band and G-band exist in all the electrods. The D-band is attributed to A_{1g} phonon of sp³ carbon atoms of disordered graphite, and the G-25 band comes from the in-plane vibration of sp² carbon atoms.²⁷ The G-band of the carbon cloth located at 1583 cm⁻¹, while that of the N-CNTs-CC blue-shifted to 1587 cm⁻¹, which was close to the value of the N-CNT-silicon wafer (1593 cm⁻¹), indicating the covering of N-CNTs on the carbon cloth. The full width of half-maxima of D-³⁰ band could be used to evaluate the crystallinity structures of the carbon.²⁸ The full width of half-maxima of the raw carbon cloth was 49.1 cm⁻¹, while those of the N-CNTs-CC and N-CNTs-silicon wafer were 70.0 cm⁻¹ and 93.2 cm⁻¹, respectively. This suggests that, after the growth of the N-CNTs, the disorderness on the surface of the cNTs have defects, and in addition, the doping of N elements further disordered the carbon structure of the CNTs. Briefly, after the growth of N-CNTs, the surface of the carbon cloth was covered and the surface properties was also changed.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscope (XPS) was used to examine 40 the elemental composition and valence state of the N-CNTs-CC electrode. The XPS survey spectrum in Fig. 2a shows the C 1s, N 1s, and O 1s with an associated Auger peaks. The O peaks might arise from the incorporation of physicochemically adsorbed oxygen, 45 which was reported to be advantageous for the ORR application.²⁹ Fig. 2b and 2c shows the core levels spectra of C 1s and N 1s, respectively. The main component peak in C 1s at 284.5 eV indicates that graphite carbon was the major component in the N-CNTs,³⁰ while the peak appeared at 285.4 eV was attributed to the 50 C-N bond.³¹ From the core levels N1s spectra, pyridinic-N and pyrrolic-N located near 398.7 eV and 400.7 eV, respectively, were attributed to C-N sp³ and C=N sp².³², ³³ These results indicate that the well maintained graphite carbon and the doping of the N might lead to a change of conductivity and ORR activity.



Fig. 3 (a) Output voltage of the MFCs; and (b) anode and cathode potentials of the MFCs with the N-CNTs-CC cathode and Pt/C-CC cathode respectively.

The electrocatalytic activity of the N-CNTs-CC as an MFC cathode was examined and compared with that of the Pt/C-CC cathode. All the experiments were conducted in duplicate (Fig. 3). Fig. 3a illustrates the output voltages of the MFCs during four 5 cycles. Although the voltages increased gradually over the cycles in both MFCs, the voltages of the N-CNTs-CC were in general a little higher than those of the Pt/C-CC. The output voltage of an MFC depends mainly on the potential difference between the anode and

- the cathode. After the four operating cycles, both the anode and 10 cathode potentials of the two MFCs were measured. Fig. 3b shows that the N-CNTs-CC had a slightly higher cathode potential, but its anode potential was close to that of Pt/C-CC.
- To get a better insight into the electricity generation performance of the two electrodes, the polarization curves and 15 power density curves were measured (Fig. 4). A typical polarization curve shows three different zones, i.e., activation, ohmic and concentration losses.³⁴ The activation loss shows the proportion of voltage loss occurs in electrode reaction that transfer of electrons to/from the electrode. The ohmic loss is mainly originated from the 20 resistance of electron transfer across the electrodes, bacterial biofilm, electrolyte, and proton exchange membrane. The concentration loss is caused by the change in concentration of the reactants at the surface of the electrodes. The polarization curve of the N-CNTs-CC showed an almost linear increase of current with 25 decreased voltage, indicating that the voltage loss was dominated by ohmic loss. In comparison, the Pt/C-CC showed both activation and ohmic loss regions in the polarization curve with a larger slope, suggesting a relatively poor ORR activity and a higher internal resistance of the MFC with Pt/C-CC cathode.34 The maximum 30 power density of the MFC with the N-CNTs-CC reached 542 mW m⁻³ (135 mW m⁻²), greater than that with the Pt/C-CC (499 mW m⁻¹ ³) by 8.6%. This value is comparable to that reported in a previous work (139 mW m⁻²),³⁵ where CNT/Pt composites were used as MFCs cathode, suggesting a good ORR catalytic performance of





Fig. 4 Polarization curve and power density curve of the MFCs with different cathodes

The MFC performance is affected by many factors, including 40 reactor configuration, overpotential, and internal resistance.⁶ Herein, the ORR catalytic activities of the cathode were examined. The Tafel plots of the N-CNTs-CC and Pt/C-CC electrodes are shown in Fig. 5a. Evaluation of the intrinsic electrocatalytic activities for electrocatalysts was made based on the relative values of exchange 45 current density j_0 for ORR, which is an important kinetic parameter representing the electrochemical reaction at equilibrium.³⁶ This value is recognized as an indicator of the electron transfer rate of a catalyst, and its magnitude governs how rapidly the electrochemical

reaction can occur. In this study, the exchange current density j_0 so values were 5.13 mA cm⁻² and 4.36 mA cm⁻² for the N-CNTs-CC and Pt/C-CC, respectively, indicating that the N-CNTs-CC had a higher ORR activity.



Fig. 5 (a) Tafel plots of the Pt/CC-cathode and N-CNT-cathode $_{55}$ recorded in 50 mM phosphate buffer solution of pH 7.0, at the scan rate of 1 mV s⁻¹, over-potential from 60 to 80 mV; (b) EIS of the N-CNTs-CC and Pt/C-CC electrodes

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was used to determine the resistance of the cathode reaction (Fig. 5b). By fitting 60 the data of the Nyquist plots, the value of each parameter was obtained. The ohmic loss, Rs resulting from the ionic resistance of electrolyte, and the intrinsic resistance of active materials, and the contact resistance were found to be 9.22 Ω and 16.95 Ω for the N-CNT-CC and Pt/C-CC, respectively. Since both MFCs were 65 operated under the exactly same conditions, the difference in the ohmic resistance should be attributed to the higher conductivity of the CNTs. The activation loss (Rct) of the N-CNT-CC was 11.23 Ω , which was also smaller than 16.30 Ω for the Pt/C-CC, suggesting that the activation energy required to transfer an electron from the 70 N-CNT/CC to the electrolyte was less than that of the Pt/CC. Both ohmic loss and activation loss of the N-CNTs-CC were smaller than those of the Pt/C-CC electrode, which in good agreement with the Tafel results. The smaller ohmic resistance of the N-CNTs-CC electrode might be resulted from the good conductivity of the 75 CNTs-CC and the doping of the N element. The core levels spectra of the C 1s in Fig. 2b show that the major component in the CNTs was graphite carbon, which maintained the graphite structure and the conductivity of the CNTs. In addition, a previous study has demonstrated that the doping of graphite-like sp² N in graphite so structure could act as a weak electron donor and increase the electrical conductivity of the electrode greatly.³² In the N-CNTs-CC electrode, sp² N accounted for a large part of the doped-N in the CNT (Fig. 2c), which would lead to a smaller resistance of the electrode.^{19, 37} The Warburg resistance of the N-CNT-CC and the 85 Pt/C-CC were 0.011 Ω and 0.0074 Ω , respectively, which were

much less than the ohmic loss and the activation loss, indicating that the concentration loss of the MFC system did not play a major role under our experimental conditions.



s Fig. 6 CV of the Pt-cathode and N-CNT-cathode in 50 mM phosphate buffer of pH 7.0, at the scan rate of 1 mV s⁻¹.

CV analysis was performed to further evaluate the ORR performance of the two cathodes in 50 mM PBS saturated with oxygen. The two electrodes had the same levels of onset potential, 10 while the cathodic peak potential of the N-CNTs-CC was 0.056 V vs. Ag/AgCl, which is more positive than that for the Pt/C-CC (Fig. 6). The improved ORR activity of the N-CNTs-CC might be resulted from the doped-N defect, which makes the C atoms adjacent to N dopants have a substantially high positive charge 15 density to counterbalance the strong electronic affinity of N.¹⁹ The resulted C atoms could serve as adsorption site for oxygen and also facilitate charge-transfer at the electrode/electrolyte interface.³⁸ Although the two electrodes had the same onset potential for ORR, the more positive cathodic peak potential of the N-CNTs-CC 20 suggests a better catalytic activity of this electrode for ORR.⁷ This

result is consistent with the the above Tafel measurement results. Several factors, such as conductivity, catalytic activity for ORR, hydrophobicity, might contribute to the enhanced the cathode performance of the N-CNTs-CC electrode. The EIS results

25 provided direct evidence for the smaller resistance of the N-CNTs-CC electrode, resulting from the N-doping of the CNTs, which is beneficial to a smaller internal resistance of the MFC. Meanwhile, the N-doping of the CNTs also increased the activity sites of the electrode, and accordingly enhanced its ORR activity. Thus, the 30 smaller resistance and the better ORR performance of the N-CNTs-

CC resulted in the higher power density of the MFC with N-CNTs-CC cathode.

In summary, we used a simple process to directly fabricate a vertically-aligned N-CNTs on CC in this work, and used it as an

- 35 efficient, low-cost cathode for MFC. The N-CNTs-CC electrode could increase the MFC power density by 8.6% compared with the Pt/C-CC electrode. The electrochemical characterization suggests that the better performance of the MFC with N-CNTs-CC cathode resulted from the smaller resistance and better ORR performance of
- 40 the N-CNTs-CC cathode. The present work provides an effective approach for preparing low-cost and efficient MFC cathodes, but further optimization of the electrode preparation process and conditions shall still be needed.
- 45 ^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Science & Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China. E-mail: <u>hqyu@ustc.edu.cn</u>

^bDepartment of Macromolecular Science and Engineering, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio 44106, USA. E-mail: <u>liming.dai@case.edu</u>

50

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21477120 and 51538012), and the Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research ⁵⁵ Team in University and the Collaborative Innovation Center of Suzhou Nano Science and Technology of Ministry of Education of China for the partial support of this work.

Notes and references

- M. Zhu, C. Wang, D. Meng and G. Diao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, 1, 2118-2125.
 - Y. Q. Wang, H. . Huang, B. Li and W. S. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 5110-5118.
 - 3. J. Winfield, L. D. Chambers, J. Rossiter, J. Greenman and I. Ieropoulos, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 7058-7065.
- 65 4. X. Chen, X. Wang, K. Liao, L. Zeng, L. Xing, X. Zhou, X. Zheng and W. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3,19402-19409.
 - S. Oh, B. Min and B. E. Logan, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2004, 38, 4900-4904.
- B. Kim, I. Chang and G. Gadd, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2007, **76**, 485-494.
 - X. W. Liu, X. F. Sun, Y. X. Huang, G. P. Sheng, K. Zhou, R. J. Zeng, F. Dong, S. G. Wang, A. W. Xu, Z. H. Tong and H. Q. Yu, *Water Res.*, 2010, 44, 5298-5305.
- 8. D. Li, Y. Qu, J. Liu, W. He, H. Wang and Y. Feng, *J. Power Sources*, 2014, **272**, 909-914.
 - S. Zhong, L. Zhou, L. Wu, L. Tang, Q. He and J. Ahmed, J. Power Sources, 2014, 272, 344-350.
 - 10. Y. Yan, Y. Chen and L. Wang, *Energ. Environ. Sci.*, 2011, 4, 1892-1899.
- 80 11. Z. Liu, X. Fu, M. Li, F. Wang, Q. Wang, G. Kang and F. Peng, J.Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 3289-3293.
 - 12. R. Zhang, S. He, Y. Lu and W. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 3559-3567.
- 13. W. Zhao, P. Yuan, X. She, Y. Xia, S. Komarneni, K. Xi, Y.
 Che, X. Yao and D. Yang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, 3, 14188-14194.
 - 14. J. Zhu, S. P. Jiang, R. Wang, K. Shi and P. K. Shen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 15448-15453.
- 15. C. Zhao, P. Gai, C. Liu, X. Wang, H. Xu, J. Zhang and J. J.
 ⁹⁰ Zhu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, 1, 12587-12594.
 - I. Kruusenberg, L. Matisen, H. Jiang, M. Huuppola, K. Kontturi and K. Tammeveski, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2010, 12, 920-923.
- 17. Z. Yang, H. Nie, X. a. Chen, X. Chen and S. Huang, J. *Power Sources*, 2013, **236**, 238-249.
 - R. Nie, X. Bo, C. Luhana, A. Nsabimana and L. Guo, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energ.*, 2014, **39**, 12597-12603.
 - K. Gong, F. Du, Z. Xia, M. Durstock and L. Dai, *Science*, 2009, **323**, 760-764.

Page 4 of 6

- 20. Y. Yao, B. Zhang, J. Shi and Q. Yang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, 7, 7413-7420.
- 21. Z. Cui, S. Wang, Y. Zhang and M. Cao, J. Power Sources, 2014, 259, 138-144.
- 5 22. W. Heming, W. Zhuangchun, A. Plaseied, P. Jenkins, L. Simpson, C. Engtrakul and R. Zhiyong, *J. Power Sources*, 2011, **196**, 7465-7469.
- 23. M. Ghasemi, M. Ismail, S. K. Kamarudin, K. Saeedfar, W. R. W. Daud, S. H. A. Hassan, L. Y. Heng, J. Alam and S. E. Oh, *Appl. Energ.*, 2013, **102**, 1050-1056.
- 24. E. G. Rakov, Russian Chem. Rev., 2013, 82, 538-566.
- 25. M. S. u. Sarwar, M. Dahmardeh, A. Nojeh and K. Takahata, *J. Mater. Process. Technol.*, 2014, **214**, 2537-2544.
- 26. B. Kim, H. Chung and W. Kim, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 15 15223-15227.
 - 27. S. Chen, J. Zhu and X. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 11829-11834.
 - 28. P. Sharma, V. Bhalla, V. Dravid, G. Shekhawat, E. S. Prasad and C. R. Suri, *Sci. Rep.*, 2012, **2**,877.
- 20 29. S. Wang, E. Iyyamperumal, A. Roy, Y. Xue, D. Yu and L. Dai, *Angew Chem. Int. Edit.*, 2011, **50**, 11756-11760.
 - A. E. Shalagina, Z. R. Ismagilov, O. Y. Podyacheva, R. I. Kvon and V. A. Ushakov, *Carbon*, 2007, 45, 1808-1820.
- 31. S. Maldonado, S. Morin and K. J. Stevenson, *Carbon*, 2006,
 44, 1429-1437.
 - 32. Y. R. He, X. Xiao, W. W. Li, G. P. Sheng, F. F. Yan, H. Q. Yu, H. Yuan and L.J. Wu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, 14, 9966-9971.
- 33. C. He and P. K. Shen, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2013, **35**, 80-30 83.
- 34. X. C. Abrevaya, N. J. Sacco, M. C. Bonetto, A. Hilding-Ohlsson and E. Cortón, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, 63, 580-590.
- 35. M. Ghasemi, M. Ismail, S. K. Kamarudin, K. Saeedfar, W.
- 35 R. W. Daud, S. H. A. Hassan, L. Y. Heng, J. Alam and S.E. Oh, *Appl. Energ.*, 2013, **102**, 1050-1056.
- 36. Y. Yan, B. Xia, Z. Xu and X. Wang, ACS Catalysis, 2014, 4, 1693-1705.
- 37. D. Yu, Y. Xue and L. Dai, *J.Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2012, **3**, 2863-2870.
- 38. G. Wu, D. Li, C. Dai, D. Wang and N. Li, *Langmuir*, 2008, 24, 3566-3575.

Graphic Abstract

