Journal of Materials Chemistry A

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/materialsA

ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/



M. J. Powell,^a R. Quesada-Cabrera,^a W. L. Travis^a and I. P. Parkin^{a†}

Abstract: Fluidised Bed Chemical Vapour Deposition (FBCVD) has been widely used for the industrial production of corrosion resistant and mechanically robust coatings. Laboratory-based FBCVD rigs are less common, however, this technique is ideal for the high-throughput production of core-shell and multi-shelled materials, allowing large areas to be coated in a fast and cost effective way. The method is also convenient for the optimisation of advanced materials with tuned structural, electronic and functional properties. In this work, the synthesis of double-shelled rutile-anatase TiO2 particles is presented as a case study. Electron transfer mechanisms at the junction level of the two polymorphs have been reported as responsible for the high efficiency of TiO2-based materials, such as the well-known Evonik P25 standard. The photocatalytic performance of the double-shelled particles was evaluated during the mineralisation of a model organic pollutant (stearic acid) and compared with that of the individual components. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that multi-shelled particles have been synthesised from a chemical vapour deposition route.

Introduction

In recent years, a wide range of advanced core-shell and multishelled materials have been successfully used as antimicrobial¹⁻³ and medical treatment systems,⁴⁻⁶ photocatalysts,⁷⁻⁹ thermochromic materials,¹⁰⁻¹² quantum dots¹³⁻¹⁵ and battery compounds.¹⁶⁻¹⁹ These multi-component systems take advantage of the synergistic interaction between different layers (electron transfer processes, lattice matching, etc.) to suit particular functional properties. For instance, there have been many reports on core-shell arrays for use as high performance capacitance materials.¹⁹⁻²² Core-shell particles have also been reported for their excellent photonic properties.^{13, 23, 24} Unfortunately, the main techniques reported for the synthesis of core-shell and multi-shelled materials are currently solution based, which can be undesirable for industrial scale-up production due to the long reaction times (3-4 days), expensive solvents, refining of the product and batch-like nature of the reactions. Thus, an alternative scalable approach is crucial for the introduction of these advanced materials into the market.

See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x



FBCVD permits the synthesis of films onto powder supports by the heating and fluidisation of the powder support followed by chemical vapour deposition (by volatile precursors) of the desired material on top of the powder. The FBCVD system affords control of film growth rate and the high-throughput synthesis of advanced (doped) core-shell and multi-shelled compounds. The synthesis and photocatalytic properties of multi-shelled rutile@anatase titania (TiO₂) coatings on mica substrates were investigated here as a case study, along with mixed phase anatase and rutile shells and the individual components. This has allowed us to test the widely held view that vectorial electron charge separation and consequent increase in photogenerated charge lifetimes are responsible for the enhanced activity observed in rutile/anatase materials under UV illumination.³³⁻³⁵

YAL SOCIETY CHEMISTRY

^{a.} University College London, Department of Chemistry, Materials Chemistry Centre, 20 Gordon St. London WC1H 0AJ

[†] i.p.parkin@ucl.ac.uk

Multi-shell systems such as the case study introduced here are of increasing interest.^{36, 37} A CVD approach allows successive shells to be deposited and the samples can be coated as many times as it is required. The thickness of each layer and concentration of dopants can be carefully tailored to achieve the desired properties in the final product. In the case of coreshell particles, there have been many reports on the synthesis of Au@SiO₂ core-shell materials,³⁸⁻⁴⁰ which typically involve aqueous routes. This is a relatively slow process and is not easily scalable for industrial applications. Again in the latter case, CVD offers a convenient way to dope both the core and shells of such a structure,⁴¹⁻⁴⁴ with the ability to control the dopant level by the concentration of the dopant in the precursor mix.

Experimental

ARTICLE

Chemical precursors

Titanium (IV) chloride (TiCl₄, 99.9%) and ethyl acetate anhydrous (C₄H₈O₂, 99.8%) was purchased from Sigma Aldrich UK. Mica (Symic C001, synthetic fluorphlogopite:TiO₂ 80:20) was purchased from Eckert, the particle size range was 10-40 μ m. All chemicals/substrates were used as received with no additional workup. Oxygen-free nitrogen (99.9%) was purchased from BOC. Octadecanoic (stearic) acid was purchased from Sigma Aldrich (CH₃(CH₂)₁₆COOH, \geq 95%).

The Fluidised Bed CVD apparatus

The samples were produced using a specially designed hotwalled Fluidised Bed Chemical Vapour Deposition (FBCVD) reactor. Error! Reference source not found.(a,b) shows a schematic design of the FBCVD rig and a photograph of the system. The precursors were contained in stainless steel cylinders (bubblers) with brass jackets and heating bands. Nitrogen (N₂) was used as a carrier gas. All the stainless steel lines were heated using heating tapes (Electrothermal 400 W, 230 V) and the temperature of all the components of the rig controlled using k-type thermocouples with Thermotron controllers. The precursors were pre-mixed in a stainless steel mixing chamber. The hot-walled reaction chamber was created using a tube furnace, fitted with a Eurotherm controller. Fluidisation of the mica support was achieved by carrier gas flow only (Figure 1(c)). The design presented here is a fixed bed; there are no moving parts within the reactor. In general, fluidisation occurred at flow rates above \sim 7 L min⁻¹ but total flow rates of >10 L min⁻¹ are typically used to ensure complete fluidisation of the particles. Stainless steel gauzes (diameter size: 0.1 mm) are placed at the two ends of the reactor in order to prevent excess mica escaping the reaction chamber during deposition.

Synthesis of double-shelled TiO₂ materials

Titanium dioxide (TiO₂) was deposited from reaction of titanium chloride (TiCl₄) and ethyl acetate (C₄H₈O₂), 45 as described in equation (1), on \sim 3 g of mica under the

conditions described in Table 1. The temperature ramp rate of the reactor was 20 °C min⁻¹ and it was kept at the target temperature for at least 30 min before deposition. The TiCl₄ and C₄H₈O₂ bubblers were set to 75 and 40 °C, respectively.



Figure 1: a) Schematic design of the Fluidised Bed Chemical Vapour Deposition (FBCVD) system. b) Photograph of the FBCVD system at UCL c) Diagram showing the fluidisation process of the particles in the FBCVD reactor; d) Schematic representation of the rutile-anatase single-shelled and double-shelled coatings and the pure components investigated here.

The plain nitrogen flow rate was set to 10 L min⁻¹ and the corresponding flow rates for TiCl₄ and C₄H₈O₂ were both set to 0.6 L min⁻¹. The deposition time for each sample was 30 min. After the deposition, the reactor was left to cool under nitrogen flow. All samples were post annealed in a furnace (ramp rate 20 °C min⁻¹) for 10 h to enhance crystallinity. The TiO₂ coating is deposited as pure anatase phase at 500 °C and as anatase-rutile mixture at 750 °C. The rutile TiO₂ layers were formed from the anatase phase at 1000 °C in air. For the double-shelled rutile@anatase sample, the powder was transferred back to the FBCVD reactor after the formation of the rutile layer and an anatase layer was then deposited at 500 °C. The latter sample was post-annealed again at 500 °C for 10 h. A schematic representation of the different coatings produced for this work is shown in Figure 1(d).

 $TiCl_4(g) + CH_3COOC_2H_5(g) \rightarrow TiO_2 + byproducts$ (1)

Film Characterisation

X-ray diffraction (XRD) studies were carried out using a *Stoe* (Mo) *StaniP* diffractometer. The instrument operates with a Mo X-ray source (Mo tube 50 kV 30 mA), monochromated (Pre-sample Ge (111) monochromator selects K α 1 only) and a *Dectris Mython* 1k silicon strip detector covering 18° (2 θ). Samples were run in transmission mode, with the sample being rotated in the X-ray beam. The diffraction patterns obtained were compared with database standards. Raman spectroscopy was carried out using a *Renishaw* 1000 spectrometer equipped with a 633 nm laser. The Raman system was calibrated using a silicon reference. UV/vis

spectroscopy was performed using a Perkin Elmer Lambda 950 UV/Vis/NIR Spectrophotometer. The absorption spectra were recorded via diffuse reflectance, with the samples being deposited onto microscope slides. A Labsphere reflectance standard was used as reference in the UV/vis measurements. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were obtained using a TEM Jeol 2100 with a LaB₆ source operating at an acceleration voltage of 100 kV. Micrographs were recorded on a Gatan Orius charge-coupled device (CCD). The powders were sonicated and suspended in methanol and drop-casted onto a 400 Cu mesh lacey carbon film grid (Agar Scientific Ltd.) for TEM analysis. Low-temperature nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms were measured at 77 K using a Quantachrome Autosorb-IQ2 machine. Specific surface area was measured using the desorption isotherm within relative pressures of 0.01 and 0.3, in accordance with the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method.

Photocatalytic Testing

For the evaluation of their photocatalytic activity, the samples were dip-coated onto borosilicate glass slides from 1 wt.% aqueous solutions. The immersion time was 10 s and withdrawal of 30 cm min⁻¹ during the dip coating process. The samples were then dried overnight at 100°C. A layer of stearic acid was drop-casted onto the samples from a chloroform solution (0.05 M). The degradation of the acid was monitored by infrared spectroscopy (2700 – 3000 cm⁻¹) using a *Perkin Elmer RX-I* Fourier transform infrared spectrometer. The samples were irradiated in a home-built light box equipped with six blacklight blue fluorescent tubes (UVA 6×18W) and an extractor fan. The irradiance (4 \pm 0.2 mW cm⁻²) at sample position was measured using a *UVX* radiometer (*UVP*). The area of the sample under illumination was 3.14 cm².

Results and Discussion

Physical and optical characterisation

A series of double-shelled rutile@anatase, single-shelled anatase-rutile mix and individual pure anatase and rutile coatings were deposited on mica particles by *Fluidised Bed* Chemical Vapour Deposition (FBCVD). The TiO_2 films were synthesised by reaction between $TiCl_4$ and ethyl acetate as described above (*vide supra*).



Figure 2: Diffuse reflectance spectra of typical double-shelled rutile@anatase (red line), single-shelled rutile-anatase mix (black line) and pure anatase (blue line) and rutile (pink line) materials. Inset, corresponding Tauc plots showing band-gap energies for these samples line colours match description above.

The as-deposited pure anatase TiO_2 films were transparent and thus looked greyish on the mica substrate, which was originally of white/silvery colour. On the contrary, the powders annealed at 750 and 1000 °C, which contained the rutile phase, had a golden/cream colour.

Diffuse reflection UV/vis spectra (Figure 2) showed a red-shift of the absorption spectra for those samples containing the rutile phase, in agreement with the literature.⁴⁶ Close inspection of Figure 2 suggests that the single-shelled anataserutile mix sample was predominantly anatase, since the absorption spectrum of the former is similar to that of pure anatase TiO₂, whilst the double-shelled rutile@anatase material had a clear rutile component. Tauc $\mathsf{plots}^{43,47}$ were calculated from absorbance data in order to estimate the corresponding band-gap energies of these materials (Figure 2, inset). The pure anatase and rutile phases had band-gap energies of 3.11 and 2.84 eV, respectively, which are lower than literature values.48,49 As expected, the mix and doubleshelled rutile@anatase samples showed band-gap energies within these two extreme values (3.10 and 2.90 eV, respectively).

XRD analysis confirmed the presence of pure crystalline anatase and rutile TiO_2 phases (Figure 3(a)). The individual rutile and anatase samples were compared to standard samples (ICSD database) and the additional peaks observed in the patterns were due to the mica substrate. The intensity and sharpness of the diffraction peaks are in contrast with the typical broadening observed in XRD patterns of CVD TiO_2 thin films.²⁷ The single-shelled mix and double-shelled samples showed the presence of both anatase and rutile phases. Surprisingly, the XRD pattern of the single-shelled mix sample (Figure 3(a)) showed similar peak intensity ratios of the two polymorphs, which was unexpected considering that the absorption properties of this sample were similar to pure anatase (Figure 2).

ARTICLE

ARTICLE



Figure 3: a) XRD patterns of pure anatase and rutile TiO_2 layers, single-shelled anataserutile mix and double-shelled rutile@anatase materials. Anatase peaks are denoted by (A), rutile peaks are denoted by (R) and the mica substrate peaks are denoted by (*). b) Corresponding Raman spectra with rutile and anatase bands assigned. Mica bands denoted by (*) symbols.



Figure 4: Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) images from (a) anatase TiO_2 ; (b) rutile TiO_2 ; (c) single-shelled anatase-rutile TiO_2 mix and (d) double-shelled rutile@anatase TiO_2 particles.

The crystallite size in the samples was roughly estimated using the Scherrer equation within the range of 58 - 65 nm.

Further structural studies were carried out using Raman spectroscopy (Figure 3(b)). Consistent with the XRD results, the pure anatase and rutile samples showed bands associated with these phases, ⁵⁰ and no impurity phases were identified. The characteristic Raman-active modes of the anatase TiO_2 structure were observed at 144, 197, 634 (E_g), 525 ($A_{1g}+B_{1g}$) and 400 (B_{1g}) cm⁻¹.⁵⁰ Some additional bands were observed in the pure anatase spectrum, which were assigned to the mica substrate. The corresponding modes for the rutile polymorph were found at 150 (B_g), 236, 444 (E_g) and 610 (A_{1g}) cm⁻¹. As expected, the characteristic Raman bands of the two polymorphs were also observed in the case of the single-shelled mix sample, although the anatase bands dominated the spectrum (Figure 3(b)). It is worth noting that the double-

shelled material showed anatase bands only and no traces of the rutile phase were detected. The fact that rutile was clearly observed in the XRD studies but untraceable in the Raman analysis of the double-shelled material is strong evidence of the full coverage of the particle by an homogeneous anatase TiO₂ overlayer.

The particle morphology was investigated by TEM. Figure 4(a) shows a typical TEM image of the pure anatase sample, containing round particles within a range of sizes. The latter was attributed to the mica substrate. In contrast, the rutile coated particles seemed to crystallise/sinter into a hexagonal shape (Figure 4(b)). Interestingly, the single-shelled mix material (Figure 4(c)) combined features of both anatase and rutile particles, with a hexagonal structure clearly present but with rounded edges. Finally, the double-shelled particles, with the anatase phase deposited as an overlayer, showed very similar morphology to that of pure anatase (Figure 4(d)), which supports the conclusions based on the Raman studies. A close inspection of the TEM images showed an apparently homogeneous coating of the mica substrate and no obvious imperfections or porosity could be observed. The thickness of the outer shell in the double-shelled sample, Figure 4(d), was used to determine growth rates for the FBCVD process as ~1.7 nm min⁻¹. Whilst this growth rate seems low in comparison to normal CVD TiO₂ deposition processes, which are typically in the range of ~100 s nm min⁻¹, the former rate is expected once the surface area of the powder substrate is taken into account. The average particle size of the samples was estimated as 50-70 nm, in agreement with the crystallite size range estimated from the Scherrer equation (vide supra).

BET analysis was used to determine the surface areas of the samples, which ranged between $3-12 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ (Table 1), which is in accordance with literature values for similar sized TiO₂ particles.⁵¹ These values are significantly lower than those for standard commercial powders, such as P25, with literature values of 56 m² g⁻¹.⁵² Interestingly, the BET results could be correlated with the particle sizes observed in the TEM images. The anatase and rutile nanoparticles had similar particle size and calculated surface areas, whilst the single-shelled mix sample contained small particles and large BET areas and the double-shelled material had the largest particles and correspondingly smallest area. The relatively low surface areas determined also suggested that the samples exhibited low porosity, giving further evidence of good surface coverage by the FBCVD route.

Photodegradation of organic pollutants

The photocatalytic activity of the TiO_2 -based samples synthesised in this work was assessed during mineralisation of stearic acid, a model organic pollutant.⁵³ Stearic acid is very stable under UVA irradiation in the absence of a photocatalyst. The mineralisation of the acid can be formulated according to equation (2) and it follows simple zero-order kinetics. The full mineralisation process requires 104 electrons.

$$CH_{3}(CH_{2})_{16}CO_{2}H + 26O_{2} \xrightarrow{TiO_{2}(hv \ge E_{bg})} 18CO_{2} + 18H_{2}O$$
 (2)

Journal Name

The degradation of the acid can be easily monitored by FTIR, following the disappearance of C-H characteristic bands within the range of 2700-3000 cm⁻¹ (Figure 5(a)). Typically, the degradation rates are estimated from linear regression of the initial 30-40% drop in integrated area of the acid characteristic IR-active bands. The degradation curves of stearic acid on the different TiO₂-based samples are shown in Figure 5(b). The initial concentration of the acid did not change under the illumination conditions of the experiment (UVA, 4 \pm 0.2 mW cm⁻²) in the absence of a photocatalyst (on plain glass). The photocatalytic activity can be expressed as formal quantum efficiency (ξ), defined as molecules degraded per incident photon (molec photon⁻¹). The corresponding ξ values are represented in Figure 5(c). In this case, it was assumed that all incident photos were absorbed by the photocatalyst and they all had the same energy, corresponding to 365 nm (E = 3.39 eV). As expected in the case of photodegradation reactions of organic pollutants, the pure rutile sample showed negligible activity whilst the pure anatase sample showed the highest degradation rate (Figure 5(c)).⁵⁴ The ξ value of the anatase sample was consistent with those reported for thin films,³³ considering the thickness of the layers (\leq 100 nm) deposited in this work. The activity rates of the single- and double-shelled materials were found as intermediate between the pure rutile and anatase behaviours. The small difference in BET surface areas $(3-12 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1})$ was not considered relevant to the activity rates observed here (Table 1). The highest surface area was estimated for the anatase-rutile mix sample, however this sample did not show the highest activity. Similarly, both the double-shelled and pure anatase samples had similar surface areas (3 and 5 m^2 g⁻¹, respectively) but the photocatalytic activity of the latter was significantly higher.

Inspection of the corresponding UV/vis spectra (Figure 2) allowed correlation of their respective activities with the content of anatase and rutile phases in the single-shelled mix and double-shelled samples, provided any synergistic interaction between the polymorphs is ignored. However, the fact that the rutile-anatase systems showed poorer activities than that of pure anatase and anatase-rutile mix nanoparticles was surprising, since the synergistic interaction between the two TiO₂ polymorphs has been extensively reported.^{33, 55-58} It is important to highlight that the arrangement of the polymorphs in anatase-rutile systems should contribute to a vectorial separation of photogenerated charge pairs, as in a layered arrangement, and in any random anatase-rutile mixture the overall effect may be hindered.³⁵ When arranged appropriately, the anatase-rutile interaction contributes to an efficient charge separation and thus enhanced activity in these systems. The latter argument has been used to explain, for instance, the high photocatalytic efficiency of the commercial standard Evonik TiO₂ sample, formerly Degussa P25.⁴⁶ The mechanism of this interaction and in particular the band structure and electron transfer between the rutile and anatase phases is still a matter of debate. It has been generally accepted that rutile acts as an electron sink in anatase-rutile systems. However, evidence of electron transfer from rutile to anatase has been observed by EPR analysis.⁵⁶ The latter

observation was also supported by recent calculations.³⁴ These authors suggested that the widely observed electron transfer from anatase to rutile is due to defects at the heterojunction level, which represent deep electron trap levels (1 eV below the conduction band) in rutile. This has been recently confirmed by transient spectroscopy studies on anatase-rutile mixtures which revealed hole transfer occurring from rutile to anatase.⁵⁹ This is relevant since it has been postulated that hydroxyl and hydroperoxyl radicals (\bullet OH, \bullet O₂H), formed in the reactions with participation of photogenerated holes and water molecules, are key species in the photodegradation of organic molecules.⁶⁰

Here, the surprisingly low activity of the double-shelled sample could be due to the efficient coverage of the rutile layer by the deposition of the anatase overlayer, as inferred from the Raman results (Figure 3(b)) and the discussion around the TEM/BET analysis. The exposure of both anatase and rutile phases to the medium also seems to play a crucial role in the activity enhancement observed for anatase-rutile samples. Zhang et al.58 observed an enhanced activity of anatasedecorated rutile particles during H₂ evolution up to approximately 15 wt.% anatase loading, but the activity of the samples dropped once the rutile phase was fully isolated from the medium. Similar observations were independently reported by Kandiel et al.,55 for anatase-decorated rutile nanorods. The latter showed optimum photoactivity in the gas-phase degradation of acetaldehyde under UVA irradiation, however the nanorods became inactive once they were embedded in anatase nanoparticles. In the current work, an attempt was made towards the decoration of rutile components with anatase nanoparticles by changing the deposition times of the anatase phase (5, 10, 20 min). However, this approach resulted in homogeneous coatings with variable thicknesses and the activity of the resulting samples was similar to that of the double-shelled rutile@anatase material discussed here. In the case of the single-shelled mixed sample, its relatively high photoactivity could be explained considering that anatase will initially transform into rutile in the bulk of the particles, but the surface could still remain as anatase at the deposition temperature used in the synthesis (750 °C), according to previous studies in the literature.⁵⁸

Conclusions

A laboratory-scaled fluidised bed chemical vapour deposition (FBCVD) system has been introduced as an easily scalable, fast and cost effective route to produce multi-shelled and coreshell particles with the ability to tailor the core and shells to obtain desirable chemical properties. Single-shelled mix and double-shelled rutile@anatase TiO₂ particles were successfully synthesised and investigated as a case study. The TiO₂ shells were deposited on mica particles. The photocatalytic activity of these materials was studied during photodegradation of stearic acid. It was interesting to observe that the double-shelled rutile@anatase material showed a surprisingly low activity, which corroborates a number of reports that state

ARTICLE

both rutile and anatase polymorphs must be exposed to the medium for a photocatalytic efficiency enhancement in mixedphase materials. This seemingly negative result is actually perceived as evidence for the coverage efficiency of the FBCVD method, as inferred from TEM, BET measurements and particularly Raman studies.

Acknowledgements

Dr. Andreas Kafizas is thanked for discussion on rutile-anatase interaction and Martin Vickers and Diana Teixeira Gómez for access and acquisition of XRD patterns.

Notes and references

- 1 W. Ye, M. F. Leung, J. Xin, T. L. Kwong, D. K. L. Lee and P. Li, *Polymer*, 2005, 46, 10538-10543.
- 2 H. Sakai, T. Kanda, H. Shibata, T. Ohkubo and M. Abe, *Journal* of the American Chemical Society, 2006, 128, 4944-4945.
- 3 B. Chudasama, A. K. Vala, N. Andhariya, R. Upadhyay and R. Mehta, *Nano Research*, 2009, 2, 955-965.
- 4 V. Salgueiriño-Maceira and M. A. Correa-Duarte, *Advanced Materials*, 2007, 19, 4131-4144.
- 5 D. V. Talapin, I. Mekis, S. Götzinger, A. Kornowski, O. Benson and H. Weller, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 2004, 108, 18826-18831.
- 6 Z. Xu, Y. Hou and S. Sun, Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2007, 129, 8698-8699.
- 7 K. Maeda, K. Teramura, D. Lu, N. Saito, Y. Inoue and K. Domen, *Angewandte Chemie*, 2006, 118, 7970-7973.
- 8 S. Shanmugam, A. Gabashvili, D. S. Jacob, J. C. Yu and A. Gedanken, *Chemistry of Materials*, 2006, 18, 2275-2282.
- 9 X. W. Wang, G. Liu, G. Q. Lu and H. M. Cheng, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2010, 35, 8199-8205.
- 10 S.-Y. Li, G. A. Niklasson and C.-G. Granqvist, Journal of Applied Physics, 2011, 109, 113515.
- 11 Y. Gao, S. Wang, H. Luo, L. Dai, C. Cao, Y. Liu, Z. Chen and M. Kanehira, *Energy & Environmental Science*, 2012, 5, 6104-6110.
- 12 Y. Li, S. Ji, Y. Gao, H. Luo and M. Kanehira, *Scientific reports*, 2013, 3.
- 13 B. Dabbousi, J. Rodriguez-Viejo, F. V. Mikulec, J. Heine, H. Mattoussi, R. Ober, K. Jensen and M. Bawendi, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 1997, 101, 9463-9475.
- 14 S. Kim, B. Fisher, H.-J. Eisler and M. Bawendi, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2003, 125, 11466-11467.
- 15 D. Valerini, A. Creti, M. Lomascolo, L. Manna, R. Cingolani and M. Anni, *Physical Review B*, 2005, 71, 235409.
- 16 L.-F. Cui, R. Ruffo, C. K. Chan, H. Peng and Y. Cui, *Nano letters*, 2008, 9, 491-495.
- 17 M. Ren, Z. Zhou, X. Gao, W. Peng and J. Wei, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2008, 112, 5689-5693.
- 18 B. Sun, Z. Chen, H.-S. Kim, H. Ahn and G. Wang, *Journal of Power Sources*, 2011, 196, 3346-3349.
- 19 J. Liu, J. Jiang, C. Cheng, H. Li, J. Zhang, H. Gong and H. J. Fan, Advanced Materials, 2011, 23, 2076-2081.
- 20 X. Xia, J. Tu, Y. Zhang, X. Wang, C. Gu, X.-b. Zhao and H. J. Fan, ACS nano, 2012, 6, 5531-5538.
- 21 P. Yang, X. Xiao, Y. Li, Y. Ding, P. Qiang, X. Tan, W. Mai, Z. Lin, W. Wu and T. Li, ACS nano, 2013, 7, 2617-2626.
- 22 C.-h. Tang, X. Yin and H. Gong, Acs Applied Materials & Interfaces, 2013, 5, 10574-10582.
- 23 D. Liu, Y. Gao, D. Gao and X. Han, Optics Communications, 2012, 285, 1988-1992.

- 24 F. Wang, R. Deng, J. Wang, Q. Wang, Y. Han, H. Zhu, X. Chen and X. Liu, *Nature Materials*, 2011, 10, 968-973.
- 25 K. L. Choy, Prog. Mater. Sci., 2003, 48, 57-170.
- 26 T. D. Manning, I. P. Parkin, R. J. H. Clark, D. Sheel, M. E. Pemble and D. Vernadou, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2002, 12, 2936-2939.
- 27 S. A. O'Neill, I. P. Parkin, R. J. Clark, A. Mills and N. Elliott, Journal of Materials Chemistry, 2003, 13, 56-60.
- 28 A. Mills, A. Lepre, N. Elliott, S. Bhopal, I. P. Parkin and S. O'neill, *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry*, 2003, 160, 213-224.
- 29 S. Kinkel, G. Angelopoulos and W. Dahl, *Surface and Coatings Technology*, 1994, 64, 119-125.
- 30 R. Moene, L. Kramer, J. Schoonman, M. Makkee and J. Moulijn, *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 1997, 162, 181-191.
- 31 N. Voudouris, C. Christoglou and G. Angelopoulos, *Surface and Coatings Technology*, 2001, 141, 275-282.
- 32 F. Danafar, A. Fakhru'l-Razi, M. A. M. Salleh and D. R. A. Biak, Chemical Engineering Journal, 2009, 155, 37-48.
- 33 R. Quesada-Cabrera, C. Sotelo-Vazquez, J. C. Bear, J. A. Darr and I. P. Parkin, Advanced Materials Interfaces, 2014, 1.
- 34 D. O. Scanlon, C. W. Dunnill, J. Buckeridge, S. A. Shevlin, A. J. Logsdail, S. M. Woodley, C. R. A. Catlow, M. J. Powell, R. G. Palgrave and I. P. Parkin, *Nature Materials*, 2013, 12, 798-801.
- 35 A. Kafizas, C. J. Carmalt and I. P. Parkin, *Chemistry-a European Journal*, 2012, 18, 13048-13058.
- 36 S. Jun, E. Jang and J. E. Lim, *Nanotechnology*, 2006, 17, 3892. 37 X. Li, D. Shen, J. Yang, C. Yao, R. Che, F. Zhang and D. Zhao,
- Chemistry of Materials, 2012, 25, 106-112.
- 38 L. M. Liz-Marzán, M. Giersig and P. Mulvaney, *Langmuir*, 1996, 12, 4329-4335.
- 39 Y. Lu, Y. Yin, Z.-Y. Li and Y. Xia, *Nano letters*, 2002, 2, 785-788.
- 40 J. Lee, J. C. Park and H. Song, *Advanced Materials*, 2008, 20, 1523-1528.
- 41 T. D. Manning, I. P. Parkin, M. E. Pemble, D. Sheel and D. Vernardou, *Chem. Mater.*, 2004, 16, 744-749.
- 42 H. M. Yates, M. G. Nolan, D. W. Sheel and M. E. Pemble, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology a-Chemistry, 2006, 179, 213-223.
- 43 A. Kafizas, C. Crick and I. P. Parkin, *Journal of Photochemistry* and Photobiology a-Chemistry, 2010, 216, 156-166.
- 44 A. Kafizas, C. W. Dunnill and I. P. Parkin, J. Mater. Chem., 2010, 20, 8336-8349.
- 45 S. A. O'Neill, R. J. Clark, I. P. Parkin, N. Elliott and A. Mills, Chemistry of Materials, 2003, 15, 46-50.
- 46 B. Ohtani, O. Prieto-Mahaney, D. Li and R. Abe, Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology A: Chemistry, 2010, 216, 179-182.
- 47 J. Tauc, Materials Research Bulletin, 1968, 3, 37-&.
- 48 H. Tang, K. Prasad, R. Sanjines, P. Schmid and F. Levy, *Journal* of *Applied Physics*, 1994, 75, 2042-2047.
- 49 M. Batzill, E. H. Morales and U. Diebold, *Physical Review Letters*, 2006, 96, 026103.
- 50 U. Balachandran and N. Eror, Journal of Solid State Chemistry, 1982, 42, 276-282.
- 51 M. Anpo, T. Shima, S. Kodama and Y. Kubokawa, *Journal of Physical Chemistry*, 1987, 91, 4305-4310.
- 52 K. J. A. Raj and B. Viswanathan, Indian J. Chem. A, 2009, 48, 1378.
- 53 A. Mills and J. S. Wang, *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology a-Chemistry*, 2006, 182, 181-186.
- 54 H. Kominami, S.-y. Murakami, J.-i. Kato, Y. Kera and B. Ohtani, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 2002, 106, 10501-10507.
- 55 T. A. Kandiel, R. Dillert, A. Feldhoff and D. W. Bahnemann, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2010, 114, 4909-4915.

- 56 D. C. Hurum, A. G. Agrios, K. A. Gray, T. Rajh and M. C. Thurnauer, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B*, 2003, 107, 4545-4549.
- 57 T. Ohno, K. Tokieda, S. Higashida and M. Matsumura, *Applied Catalysis A: General*, 2003, 244, 383-391.
- 58 J. Zhang, Q. Xu, Z. Feng, M. Li and C. Li, Angewandte Chemie International Edition, 2008, 47, 1766-1769.
- 59 X. W. Andreas Kafizas, Stephanie R. Pendlebury, Piers Barnes, Min Lin, Carlos Sotelo-Vazquez, Raul Quesada-Cabrera, Can Li, Ivan P. Parkin and James R. Durrant, In preparation.
- 60 M. R. Hoffmann, S. T. Martin, W. Choi and D. W. Bahnemann, Chemical Reviews, 1995, 95, 69-96.

Name	Description	Deposition (Deposition Conditions		Annealing Conditions		S _{BET}	Eg	ξ
		Time (min)	т (°С)	Т (°С)	Time (h)	(nm)	(m ² g ⁻¹)	(eV)	(molec photon ⁻¹) x10 ⁻⁶
Anatase	Anatase	30	500	500	10	65	5	3.11	48.4
Anatase-Rutile	Single-shelled mix	30	750	750	10	60	12	3.10	23.6
Rutile	Rutile	30	500	1000	10	58	5	2.84	2.1
Rutile@Anatase	Double-shelled	30	500	1000	10	63	3	2.90	12.7
	(anatase on rutile)	30	500	500	10				

Table 1: Synthesis conditions and surface areas of single- and double-shelled TiO₂ samples on mica support.



Figure 5: a) IR spectra of stearic acid during UVA illumination on TiO₂ anatase particles over 38 h. b) Integrated areas of characteristic stearic acid IR-active bands (2700 – 3000 cm⁻¹) on rutile:anatase core-shell, anatase/rutile mixed-phase, pure rutile and pure anatase nanoparticles under UVA irradiation (4 ± 0.2 mW cm⁻²). The integrated areas of the acid on plain glass (no catalyst) are included for reference. c) Corresponding formal quantum efficiencies (ξ), defined as molecules of acid degraded per incident photon (molec photon⁻¹).

