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The development of new high capacity redox active materials is key to realizing the potential of non-aqueous redox flow batteries (RFBs). In this paper, a series of substituted 1,4-dimethoxybenzenes based redox active molecules, have been developed via a subtractive design approach. Five molecules have been proposed and developed by removing or reducing the bulky substituent groups of DBBB (2,5-di-tert-butyl-1,4-bis(2-methoxymethoxy)benzene), a successful overcharge protection material for lithium-ion batteries. Of these derivatives, 2,3-dimethyl-1,4-dimethoxybenzene (23DDB) and 2,5-dimethyl-1,4-dimethoxybenzene (25DDB) are particularly promising as they demonstrate favorable electrochemical characteristics at gravimetric capacities (161 mAh/g) that approach the stability limit of chemically reversible dimethoxybenzene based structures. Diffusivity, solubility, and galvanostatic cycling results indicate that both 23DDB and 25DDB molecules promise for non-aqueous RFBs.

Demand for stationary electrical energy storage systems is forecast to grow significantly in the coming years, due to their potential to facilitate the widespread integration of renewable, non-dispatchable, energy sources such as solar and wind on the grid, and to provide for a range of services that include deferral of infrastructure investments, grid stabilization, and resiliency through back-up power. Redox flow batteries (RFBs) have the potential to meet the challenging technical and economic requirements for cost-effective storage deployment. However, significant improvements in the performance, durability, and manufacturing of current RFB technologies are required to meet system cost targets established by the U.S. Department of Energy. To this end, significant research activities have been focused on lowering system costs and improving energy density through high-performance electroreactors, new electrolyte formulations, and novel tailored redox molecules.

While the vast majority of RFB chemistries are based on aqueous couples, of late, increasing efforts have focused on exploring the non-aqueous design space. Transitioning from aqueous to non-aqueous electrolytes offers a wider window of electrochemical stability that enables operation at higher cell voltages (> 4 V). Further, a greater selection of redox materials may be available due to either the wider solvent stability window or the variety of non-aqueous solvents. Together these benefits promise to reduce cost of energy and potentially enable high-energy small-footprint storage devices. However, the increased cost and lower ionic conductivity of non-aqueous electrolytes, as compared to their aqueous counterparts, places design constraints on active materials including high gravimetric charge capacity (~180 mAh/g), high solubility (> 0.8 kg/kg) and high cell voltage (> 3 V) to meet aggressive cost targets (low $100’s per useable kWh). Note that the gravimetric charge capacity refers to the intrinsic capacity of the candidate active materials rather than the capacity of the flow battery itself. Developing redox chemistries to meet these materials-level targets is key to realizing cost-effective non-aqueous RFBs. Here we seek to identify pathways to redox compounds in line with these material requirements through careful pruning of stable redox structures engineered for different energy applications.

First proposed in the 1980s, small electroactive molecules have been employed as redox shuttles to improve lithium (Li)-ion cell safety, specifically overcharge protection. Through advances in molecular engineering and electrolyte formulation, a number of redox-active organic molecules have demonstrated stable performance for 100’s of overcharge cycles with various Li-ion cell chemistries. Many of the materials developed and knowledge gained in this field over the past 30 years can be directly leveraged to guide the design of high performance active materials for non-aqueous RFBs. However, differences in the material requirements between overcharge protection molecules in Li-ion batteries and redox compounds in non-aqueous RFBs lead to several new challenges. First, in flow...
batteries, the organic molecules serve as energy-bearing species requiring high charge carrier concentrations to enable high energy storage. Second, in flow batteries, the actives must be stable in their charged state for hours to days. In comparison, overcharge protection materials function as electrolyte additives and thus have lower solubility requirements. Also, they remain charged for time periods required to traverse the separator (~25-50 µm thickness) within an enclosed cell (generally, on the order of seconds).

Here, we aim to re-engineer a successful overcharge protection materials, 2,5-di-tert-butyl-1,4-bis(2-methoxyethoxy)benzene (DBBB, Figure 1), for non-aqueous RFB applications.\textsuperscript{11, 12} In particular, we modify the substituent groups around the 1,4-dialkoxynaphthalene redox center with an overarching goal of increasing molecular capacity and imparting other favorable properties (e.g., increased solubility). Based on DBBB, we have previously developed a series of room-temperature liquid redox compounds by modifying the polyethylene oxide (PEO) side chains of the dimethoxy-di-tert-butyl-benzene based redox structure.\textsuperscript{13-16} However, the storage capacities of these compounds are lower than desired (≤ 100 mAh/g). In this contribution, we report on the electrochemical characterization of two promising dimethoxybenzene derivatives, 2,3-dimethyl-1,4-dimethoxybenzene (23DDB) and 2,5-dimethyl-1,4-dimethoxybenzene (25DDB) as high-potential redox active molecules for non-aqueous RFBs. As compared to DBBB, these compounds demonstrated increased gravimetric capacity and solubility without sacrificing electrochemical performance. Furthermore, high gravimetric capacity may enable additive molecular design strategies to be employed to enhance stability.

Motivated by the favorable electrochemical properties but low gravimetric capacity of DBBB (79 mAh/g), we seek to develop higher capacity derivatives of DBBB by removing or minimizing substituent groups. The remarkable performance and durability of DBBB stems from its elegant chemical structure and can be attributed to: 1) the aromaticity of the dimethoxybenzene platform (Hückel's rule) which helps stabilize the radical cation;\textsuperscript{17} 2) the bulky tert-butyl groups that provide both electron donation and steric protection effects to further stabilize the radical cation;\textsuperscript{18} 3) the symmetry of the di-tert-butyl-dimethoxybenzene redox core.\textsuperscript{12, 19} To reduce the molecular weight without sacrificing electrochemical performance, two modifications are contemplated. First, given that the two tert-butyl groups contribute ~34% of DBBB’s molecular mass, replacing these bulky groups will lead to the immediate reduction in molecular weight. As the smallest electron donating group that still provides some measure of steric protection, methyl groups appear to be a good choice. Second, the long ether chains on the DBBB molecule facilitate solubilization into polar solvents but are bulky (37% of the molecular weight) and do not influence the electrochemical performance. However, with the removal of the nonpolar tert-butyl groups, these ether chains may not be necessary for acceptable solubility. Thus, based on these design considerations, a family of dimethoxybenzene derived molecules including 2-methyl-1,4-dimethoxybenzene (MDB), 2,3-dimethyl-1,4-dimethoxybenzene (23DDB), 2,5-dimethyl-1,4-dimethoxybenzene (25DDB), and 2,6-dimethyl-1,4-dimethoxybenzene (26DDB), has been proposed.

Details about the material availability and synthesis procedures can be found in ESI. As 1,4-dimethoxybenzene (DB) is known to undergo irreversible electro-oxidation,\textsuperscript{20} methyl groups were gradually introduced onto the benzene ring to provide additional stabilization effect in order to construct electrochemical reversible systems.

While all of the derivative molecules are significantly smaller than DBBB (> 50% lower molecular weight) and of similar molecular structure, their electrochemical performances are quite different. As the smallest molecule in this study, MDB displayed poor chemical reversibility, likely due to the insufficient stabilization effect of the single methyl group (Figure 1 in the Supporting Information). For the dual methyl substituted compounds, the electrochemical behavior is strongly associated with the methyl group locations on the benzene ring. For example, regardless of the presence of two methyl groups on the benzene ring, 26DDB still exhibits an irreversible behavior based on the cyclic voltammetry results (Figure S2 in the Supporting Information). However, when the two methyl groups were introduced on 2,3- or 2,5- positions, the cyclic voltammograms of the 23DDB and 25DDB molecules show well defined symmetric reduction and oxidation features comparable to DBBB (Figure 2). Furthermore, the location of the methyl groups appears to have a minor impact on the redox potential as while the redox potential of 25DDB is similar to that of DBBB, a slight positive shift was observed for 23DDB. Cyclic voltammetry results indicate that the modifications on the bulky moieties of DBBB, such as removing ether chains and replacing the tert-butyl groups with methyl groups, do present a possible pathway to construct chemically reversible molecules with reduced molecular weight but comparable electrochemical response. The gravimetric capacity of 23DDB and 25DDB (161 mAh/g) is more than twice that of DBBB (79 mAh/g) due to the decreased molecular weight (166 g/mol vs. 338 g/mol). These materials appear to approach the minimal substitution requirements necessary to stabilize 1,4-dimethoxybenzene based structures and thus the upper capacity limit for this family of single electron transfer molecules.
Quantitative analysis of cyclic voltammograms over a range of scan rates are used to determine electrochemical and mass transfer parameters for DBBB, 23DDB, and 25DDB. The cyclic voltammograms and corresponding Randles-Sevick plots can be found in the Supporting Information (Figure S3). The results of these analyses are summarized in Table 1. For comparison, the characteristics of ferrocene, a well-studied standard reference compound for non-aqueous systems, are reported in the same electrolyte. As described above, the half-wave potentials \( E_{1/2} \) are the peak separation. All molecules demonstrated similar redox reversibility parameters for DBBB, 23DDB, and 25DDB. The cyclic voltammograms of 2,5-di-tert-butyl-1,4-bis(2-methoxyethoxy)benzene (DBBB), 2,5-dimethyl-1,4-dimethoxybenzene (25DDB), 2,3-dimethyl-1,4-dimethoxybenzene (23DDB). All electrolytes consisted of 10 mM active species in 0.5 M LiTFSI / PC. The working, reference, and counter electrodes are glassy carbon electrode, fritted lithium metal, and unfritted lithium metal, respectively. The scan rate is 0.02 V/s.
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Figure 2. Cyclic voltammograms of 2,5-di-tert-butyl-1,4-bis(2-methoxyethoxy)benzene (DBBB), 2,5-dimethyl-1,4-dimethoxybenzene (25DDB), 2,3-dimethyl-1,4-dimethoxybenzene (23DDB). All electrolytes consisted of 10 mM active species in 0.5 M LiTFSI / PC. The working, reference, and counter electrodes are glassy carbon electrode, fritted lithium metal, and unfritted lithium metal, respectively. The scan rate is 0.02 V/s.
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Figure 3. Representative charge (solid circle) / discharge (open circle) cycles of DBBB (red), 25DDB (blue), and 23DDB (green) at 0.5 C from 0 to 50% state of charge in a bulk electrolysis cell. All electrolytes consisted of 1 mM

Table 1. A summary of electrochemical properties obtained from cyclic voltammetry of DBBB, 25DDB, 23DDB, and ferrocene. The experimental conditions are identical as those in Figure 2. \( E_{1/2} \) is the half-wave potential. \( E_{pa} - E_{pc} \) is the peak separation. \( D_{red} \) and \( D_{ox} \) are diffusion coefficients of the reduced and oxidized species, respectively. \( Q_{red} / Q_{ox} \) is the charge ratio of the reduction process to the oxidation process. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>( E_{1/2} ) V</th>
<th>( E_{pa} - E_{pc} ) V</th>
<th>( D_{red} ) ( \times 10^{-6} ) cm(^2)/s</th>
<th>( D_{ox} ) ( \times 10^{-6} ) cm(^2)/s</th>
<th>( Q_{red}/Q_{ox} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DBBB</td>
<td>3.985 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.062 ± 0.001</td>
<td>1.241 ± 0.040</td>
<td>1.202 ± 0.037</td>
<td>0.977 ± 0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25DDB</td>
<td>3.983 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.061 ± 0.001</td>
<td>2.427 ± 0.170</td>
<td>2.385 ± 0.170</td>
<td>0.975 ± 0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23DDB</td>
<td>4.039 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.062 ± 0.001</td>
<td>2.243 ± 0.033</td>
<td>2.156 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.964 ± 0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrocene</td>
<td>3.299 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.060 ± 0.001</td>
<td>2.283 ± 0.030</td>
<td>2.291 ± 0.029</td>
<td>0.999 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to increased gravimetric capacity and diffusivity, 23DDB and 25DDB demonstrate higher solubility than DBBB. The room temperature solubility of DBBB in 0.5 M LiTFSI / PC is ca. 0.3 M, while in the same electrolyte the solubility of 23DDB and 25DDB are 2.0 M and 0.6 M, respectively. As discussed earlier, this enhanced solubility can be partially attributed to the absence of bulky non-polar tert-butyl groups. However, the dramatic increase in 23DDB solubility may be due to its asymmetric structure which increases the intramolecular dipole moments resulting in greater intermolecular interactions between the active species and the polar solvent (PC). We note that the solubility of the redox molecules in their charged state is equally important for successful flow battery operation. Detailed quantification of solubility as a function of state-of-charge and various electrolyte solutions will be the subject of future work.
Building on the CV results, 23DDB, 25DDB, and DBBB are further evaluated by galvanostatically cycling in a bulk electrolysis cell which enables characterization of redox species stability over multiple charge and discharge cycles. An electrolyte comprised of 1 mM active species in 0.5 M LiTFSI / PC was cycled at charge / discharge current of 0.402 mA (0.5 C rate) between 0 and 50% SOC for 100 cycles. Note that the theoretical capacity of DBBB, 23DDB, and 25DDB are 79.2 mAh/g, 161.4 mAh/g and 161.4 mAh/g, thus 50% SOC for each are 39.6 mAh/g, 80.7 mAh/g, and 80.7 mAh/g (Figure 3). The upper and lower cut-off voltages were set at ca. 150 mV above and below the redox potential established by cyclic voltammetry. Representative voltage profiles for all three compounds for the first 10 cycles and the last 10 cycles are shown in Figure 4. Due to the low currents and high stirring rate, iR contributions were minimal leading to high voltage efficiencies (~99%) for all three compounds. In the first 10 cycles, the charge / discharge behavior of all compounds is similar although the voltage profiles of 23DDB start to evolve (steeper slopes) from the 8th cycle. All compounds reach the 50% SOC before the upper cut-off voltages. For the last 10 cycles, the voltage profiles have significantly changed with all compounds reaching the upper and lower cut-off voltages. Furthermore, while the behavior of DBBB and 25DDB are similar, significant resistance (ca. 0.1 V) appeared in 23DDB voltage profiles resulting in shortened charge / discharge curves (Figure 4f).

Figure 4. Cycling profiles of DBBB (red), 25DDB (blue), and 23DDB (green) at 0.5 C from 0-50% state of charge for 1st to 10th cycle (a, c, e) and 91st to 100th cycle (b, d, f) in a bulk electrolysis cell. All electrolytes consisted of 1 mM active species in 0.5 M LiTFSI / PC and a total solution volume of 30 mL.

The charge / discharge capacities and the corresponding coulombic efficiency plots for DBBB, 23DDB, and 25DDB are shown in Figures 5a and 5b, respectively. Figure 5a shows the charge and discharge capacity of each compound over 100 cycles. The capacity decay from the theoretical 50% SOC over time reflects the degradation of radical intermediates. Specifically, under these experimental conditions, 50% DBBB was converted to non-rechargeable species after 75 ± 4 cycles whereas it took 48 ± 4 and 15 ± 1 cycles to irreversibly consume 50% 25DDB and 23DDB, respectively. DBBB shows the best capacity retention, which can be explained by the finely-tuned molecular structure (discussed above). Compared to DBBB, the 25DDB does not show as stable performance but still outperforms its asymmetric isomer 23DDB. This observation is consistent with previous studies, which showed the symmetric molecular structures tend to exhibit higher electrochemical stability as compared to their asymmetric counterparts.\textsuperscript{11, 12} The less stable performance of 23DDB is consistent with the abnormal voltage profiles observed in Figure 4f, and it is believed that the significant resistance is related the decomposition of 23DDB during cycling. However, a more rigorous evaluation of the stability of 23DDB is required for conclusive assessments. Detailed studies of the stability of a series of substituted alkoxybenzene-based redox active compounds and the corresponding degradation pathways via coupled electrochemical and electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) methods is on-going and will be reported in due course.

Figure 5b shows the coulombic efficiencies (CE) of the DBBB, 23DDB and 25DDB prior to the start of charge capacity decay. Note that the first cycle efficiency of all three compounds is significant lower than subsequent cycles. This is likely due to the initial interfacial reactions that are typical to thermodynamically unstable systems and are comparable to the solid-electrolyte interphase (SEI) formation in Li-ion batteries.\textsuperscript{10, 13} Note that the frit separating the lithium metal counter electrode chamber and the working electrode chamber is not ion-selective thus some species crossover is expected. However, this is expected to have a similar impact on all compounds tested. After the first cycle, the average CEs of DBBB and 25DDB are > 90% with DBBB being slightly higher, whereas the 23DDB is significantly lower at ca. 75-80%. These observations are in line with the capacity retention curves as well as with the CV data shown in Table 1.

In sum, we report on the development and electrochemical performance of a series of substituted 1,4-dimethoxybenzene derivatives. In particular, our goal was to improve the gravimetric capacities of the redox active molecules without sacrificing performance and while imparting other favorable properties. Two promising derivatives, 25DDB and 23DDB, have been developed by reducing the substituent groups of DBBB, such as the tert-butyl groups and ether chains. As a result both molecules not only demonstrated desirable electrochemical and physical characteristics, but achieve these as about half the molecular weight of the parent compound, resulting in a marked enhancement in gravimetric...
capacity (161 mAh/g). Further, given the small size of these molecules, additive strategies may be employed to enhance the long-term cycle stability while maintaining high capacities. Consequently, both 23DDB and 25DDB are promising materials for non-aqueous RFBs. Future studies will focus on translating these tailored molecules into high concentration redox electrolytes and evaluation of performance and durability of non-aqueous flow cells based on these chemistries.

Figure 5. (a) Charge capacity profiles for DBBB (red), 25DDB (blue), and 23DDB (green) with respect to the cycle number based on the data from Figure 4. (b) Coulombic efficiencies of the plateaued region on the corresponding capacity profile. Data shown represents the average of two independent experiments for each compound.
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