# Journal of Materials Chemistry A

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/materialsA

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

## **ARTICLE TYPE**

### Preparation of graphene-based composite aerogel and the effects of carbon nanotubes on preserving porous structure of aerogel and improving its capacitor performance

Zhihua Ma<sup>*ab*</sup>, Xiaowei Zhao<sup>*ab*</sup>, Chunhong Gong<sup>*cd*</sup>, Jingwei Zhang \*<sup>*abc*</sup>, Jiwei Zhang<sup>*ab*</sup>, Xiufang Gu<sup>*ab*</sup>, Lei <sup>5</sup> Tong<sup>*ab*</sup>, Jingfang Zhou<sup>*c*</sup> and Zhijun Zhang \*<sup>*ab*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Ministry of Education for Special Functional Materials, Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, P.R. China. E-mail: jwzhang@henu.edu.cn and zhangzhijun@henu.edu.cn

<sup>b</sup> Collaborative Innovation Center of Nano Functional Materials and Applications, Henan Province, China

<sup>10</sup> <sup>c</sup> Ian Wark Research Institute, University of South Australia, Mawson Lakes, SA, 5095, Australia

<sup>d</sup> College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, P.R. China

## Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Graphene-based aerogel is a promising candidate to be used as a novel supercapacitor due to its unique <sup>15</sup> three dimensional interconnected porous structure and high specific surface area. However, the rolling press process is generally applied in the fabrication of a supercapacitor, in order to reduce contact resistance and upgrade specific volume capacitance. This process tends to deform or destroy the porous structure, and significantly hinder the obtained supercapacitor to achieve its expected performance. In order to improve the pressure resistance of graphene-based aerogel during rolling press process, carbon

<sup>20</sup> nanotube supported graphene-based composite aerogel (GCA/CNT) with a high specific surface area of  $811.5 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$  was fabricated using a facile two-step process. It was found that the incorporation of carbon nanotubes into graphene-based aerogel can effectively enhance its pressure resistance and significantly reduce the deformation of the porous structure, especially in the mesoporous region. Furthermore, the resulting GCA/CNT composite aerogel exhibited a remarkably improved electrical conductivity and

<sup>25</sup> subsequent superior rate performance due to the formation of graphen/carbon nanotube three dimensional conductive network.

#### 1. Introduction

There is an urgent demand for the development of inexpensive and environmental friendly energy storage devices to meet the

- <sup>30</sup> increasing requirements of consumer electronics, industrial power and other applications.<sup>1-4</sup> Supercapacitors,<sup>5-8</sup> also known as electrochemical capacitors or ultracapacitors, are remarkably attractive energy storage devices due to its high power capacitance, long cycle life and wide thermal operating range,<sup>9, 10</sup>
- <sup>35</sup> making them potential substitute for conventional storage devices.

Graphene is an outstanding candidate for supercapacitor electrode with a unique two dimensional (2D) structure.<sup>11, 12</sup> A single layer graphene, composed of sp<sup>2</sup>-bonded carbon, can potentially

- <sup>40</sup> combine the advantages of high specific surface area (SSA) and high conductivity. Many methods have been developed to synthesize graphene, in which "chemical exfoliation of graphite" holds the greatest probability for large scale production<sup>13</sup> due to its low cost and little requirement for equipment. However, the
- <sup>45</sup> as-prepared graphene sheets have a strong tendency to restack in the processes of reduction<sup>14</sup> and subsequent drying process<sup>15</sup> due

to strong  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction among single-layered graphene sheets and its high respect ratio, leading to a serious decrease of SSA and a subsequent poor electrochemical performance. For <sup>50</sup> example, Nguyen et al.<sup>14</sup> used hydrazine hydrate to reduce the oxygen groups on the graphene sheets and the obtained sample demonstrated lots of agglomeration of the graphene oxide sheets upon reduction. To overcome the undesirable restacking of graphene sheets and prepare the high SSA graphene-based <sup>55</sup> materials, many techniques have been developed, including thermal expansion,<sup>16-19</sup> soft or hard template method<sup>20-23</sup> and combination with spacer materials.<sup>24-28</sup>

Recently, three Dimensional (3D) graphene aerogels have drawn more and more attention due to their unique assemble process, <sup>60</sup> resulting in significant reduction of undesirable restacking and overlaps. Meanwhile, graphene aerogels demonstrate lots of other advantages, such as high SSA, excellent electrical conductivity and good mechanical property. Shi et al.<sup>29</sup> reported the use of graphene aerogel prepared by a facile hydrothermal assembly of <sup>65</sup> GO as a supercapacitor material. It exhibited a specific capacity of 175 F/g in an aqueous electrolyte, which is about 50 % higher than those of supercapacitors prepared using reduced GO agglomerate particles. In theory, high SSA results in high specific capacitance. Consequently, different additives, such as polymers<sup>30</sup> and reducing agents,<sup>31</sup> were used to assist the assembling process for the increase of SSA. Worsley et al.<sup>30</sup> s reported the preparation of graphene aerogel, employing

- resorcinol and formaldehyde as the modifier, and the obtained sample exhibited a specific surface area as high as 584 m<sup>2</sup>/g. More recently, edge-to-edge assembled graphene oxide aerogel with a SSA reaching 854 m<sup>2</sup>/g was prepared by Zhang's group.<sup>32</sup>
- <sup>10</sup> In a recently published paper, Stein et al.<sup>33</sup> prepared graphene aerogel using self-assembly of graphene oxide and resol having a SSA of 1019 m<sup>2</sup>/g. However, the specific capacitance was only 99 F/g at a current density of 100 mA/g. Compared with the largely increased SSA, the specific capacitance of the aerogel was
- <sup>15</sup> increased much less. The destruction of the 3D structure during high pressure treatment and poor electrical conductivity might impede the improvement of specific capacitance. As graphenebased aerogels normally have low mass density and hold a large volume, the high pressure treatment is necessary for preparing the
- <sup>20</sup> supercapacitor electrode in order to reduce the contact resistance and increase the specific volume capacitance, which is a crucial factor for practical application.<sup>34</sup> During the high pressure treatment, the 3D structure of graphene aerogel with lots of interconnected pores can be seriously destroyed and transformed
- <sup>25</sup> to a compact paper-like structure resulting in the decrease of both SSA and interconnected pore volume, which is confirmed by the SEM images and nitrogen adsorption/desorption test shown in this paper. Furthermore, many of the additives, used as separator or crosslink agent to induce the graphene assembling process,
- <sup>30</sup> such as polymer, have low electrical conductivity, resulting in a dramatic decrease of the conductivity of graphene-based aerogel. In this work, carbon nanotubes supported 3D graphene-based composite aerogel (GCA/CNT) was prepared by a facile two-step method. In the first step graphene/resol 3D aerogel (GPA) with
- <sup>35</sup> high SSA was prepared, using resol as modifier, which is essential in the fabrication of a supercapacitor. Carbon nanotubes was then deposited onto the surface of the pores in GPA, using a in situ CVD method, in order to alleviate the structure destruction during pressure treatment and impede the further staking of
- <sup>40</sup> graphene layers. Moreover, the added carbon nanotubes may increase the electric conductivity and improve the electrochemical performance by forming a unique three dimensional electrical conductive network. In addition to carbon nanotubes, the added carbon source in high temperature may heal <sup>45</sup> the defects of the pyrolyzed resol carbon and graphene sheets, <sup>35,36</sup>
- which further enhanced electrochemical performance of GCA/CNT.

#### 2. Experimental Section



<sup>50</sup> All chemicals used are analytical grade without any further purification. The strategy of the synthesis of GCA/CNT, as shown in Schematic1, mainly contains two steps: hydrothermal process and CVD process. In the hydrothermal process, the resol molecules coated on the surface of graphene oxide sheets and <sup>55</sup> then the composite carbon sheets underwent a condensation reaction, leading to the formation of 3D porous GPA aerogel.<sup>33</sup> In the following CVD process, carbon deposited onto the surface of aerogel walls, forming a unique 3D porous structure of GCA/CNT.

#### 60 2.1 Synthesis of GPA

GPA was prepared using a process described in the literature.<sup>33</sup> In a typical process, graphite oxide used in this work was prepared from natural flake graphite according to Hummers' method. A GO (1 mg/mL) aqueous colloid was prepared by 65 dispersion of GO in DI water. Then, a high power ultrasonic treatment was carried out to exfoliate the GO sheets. The pH value of GO aqueous colloid was adjusted to 3 using 0.1 M HCl. Consequently, a stable GO dispersion was formed, which can keep stable for weeks without any precipitation. Resol-resin (RF) <sup>70</sup> solution was prepared according to a literature procedure<sup>37</sup> and a solution containing 50 wt. % of resol was obtained. Then resol was added into GO colloid dispersion (60 mL) according to the mass ratio of 4:1 under stirring. After that, the mixture was transported to a Teflon-lined autoclave, followed by a 75 hydrothermal treatment at 180 oC for 24 h. GPA was obtained after freeze-drying of the resulting hydrogel.

#### 2.2 Preparation of GCA/CNT aerogel

In a typical process, GPA was immersed in Co(NO3)2 · 6H2O solution (8 mg/mL) for 24 h and then dried in a vacuum oven 80 at 60 oC. The sample was subsequently placed in a horizontal quartz tubular reactor, heated to 450 oC at 5 oC/min and kept for 4 h at 450 oC in H2/Ar (H2, 5 wt.%) atmosphere. After that, the temperature was raised to 550 oC and then ethanol (0.6 mL/min) was added as a carbon source for 45 mins in Ar atmosphere. 85 Finally the system was cooled down to room temperature in Ar atmosphere. After washing with HCl and water, CNT supported graphene-based composite aerogel was obtained and denoted as GCA/CNT. For comparison, GPA was reduced in H<sub>2</sub>/Ar (H<sub>2</sub>, 5 wt. %) atmosphere at 450 °C for 4 hrs and further in Ar 90 atmosphere at 550 °C for 45 mins. The obtained sample was denoted as GCA. To study the carbon-repair effect, GCA/C was prepared using the same process as that of GCA, apart from the addition of ethanol at 550 °C.

#### 2.3 Characterizations

<sup>95</sup> The morphology and structure of aerogels were characterized using scanning electron microscope (SEM, NOVA NANOSEM 450) and transmission electron microscope (TEM JEM-2010). Xray Diffraction (XRD, Bruker D8 Advance) was performed with Cu K<sub>a</sub> radiation ( $\lambda$ = 0.15418 nm). The Raman spectrum was <sup>100</sup> recorded on a confocal microscopic Raman spectrometer (RM-1000). The nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherms were measured using an automated surface area and pore size analyzer (SIMP-21) and the specific surface areas of the samples were calculated by the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method using <sup>105</sup> the adsorption data.

#### 2.4 Electrochemical measurements

Two-electrode configuration was used to evaluate the electrocapacitive performance. Stainless steel sheets with a diameter of 1.7 cm and Ni foam with a diameter of 1.6 cm were used as <sup>5</sup> current collectors. The active material was mixed with 5 wt % polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) binder and then pressed onto a piece of nickel foam dish under 10 MPa. After that, the dish was dried in a vacuum oven at 120 °C over night. The mass loading of the active material for each dish was about 12 mg. <sup>10</sup> Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were carried out from 0.1 Hz to 100 kHz at the open circuit potential. The cyclic voltammograms (CV) curve and galvanostatic charge-discharge performance (GDC) were tested on an Arbin test system employing KOH (6.0 mol/L) solution as <sup>15</sup> the electrolyte. Gravimetric capacitances were calculated

according to galvanostatic charge/discharge curves using the following formula<sup>38</sup>:

$$C = \frac{4I}{mdV/dt}$$

In the formula, I (A) is the charge/discharge current density (A); <sup>20</sup> m (g) refers to the total mass of both anode and cathode materials; and dV/dt can be calculated from the slope of the fitting straight line of the discharge curve.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Morphology and microstructure of aerogels



Fig.1 SEM images of GCA (a, c) and GCA/CNT (b, d); TEM images of GCA (e) and GCA/CNT (f).

The microstructures of GCA and GCA/CNT are observed by the SEM and TEM images. The as-prepared GCA exhibits a well-30 defined and interconnected 3D network microstructure with

uniformly dispersed hierarchical pores with a diameter ranging from nanometers to micrometers, as shown in Figure.1a. No carbon balls are seen, which is in consistent with the result observed before.33 As shown in Fig.1c, the aerogel walls, which 35 are made up of graphene sheets and pyrolyzed carbon, are very thin and curved along the surfaces of the assembled graphene sheets. Fig.1e shows a typical TEM image of GCA, which further confirms the wrinkles and curves along the surface. The SEM images of GCA/CNT are shown in Fig.1b and 1d, in which a 40 unique 3D interconnected porous graphene/carbon/carbon nanotubes structure is observed. The enlarged image of GCA/CNT (Fig.1d) shows that, the carbon nanotubes are highly curved and uniformly anchor on the surface of the pores with a length of 100-200 nm. The inner and outer diameter of CNTs are 45 about 9 and 18 nm (shown in Fig.S1c), respectively. In hydrothermal process, a 3D aerogel of GPA with abundant opening and interconnected pores is obtained, which facilitates the sufficient contact between the catalyst precursor solution and the inner surface of the aerogel, resulting in a uniform 50 distribution of the catalyst particles in aerogel. Besides, the open and interconnected porous structure provides adequate paths for the carbon source gas to reach the deep inside part of the aerogel. As a result, the full contact of catalyst and carbon source gas is realized, resulting in the uniform deposition of carbon nanotubes 55 in the following CVD process. No cobalt particles are observed, suggesting the complete remove of the catalyst after acid wash.



Fig.2 XRD patterns of GO, GPA and GCA/CNT (a); Raman spectra of GCA and GCA/CNT (b).

XRD patterns of GO, GPA and GCA/CNT are shown in Fig.2a. A <sup>60</sup> sharp diffraction peak is observed for GO around 10.5°, corresponding to the (002) reflections with a d-spacing value of 0.84 nm, which is attributed to the insertion of the oxide functional groups. For GPA, the peak around 10.5° disappears in the XRD pattern and no obvious peak is observed from 10° to 65 26°, indicating the well aliened graphene sheets with little overlaps. For GCA/CNT, a broad peak around 26.6° is observed, corresponding to the (002) reflections with a d-spacing value of 0.34 nm. The emergence of a broad peak at 26.6° is attributed to the deposition of carbon nanotubes<sup>39</sup> on the surface, along with the increased graphitic degree of the resol pyrolyzed carbon around the catalyst particles during the CVD process.

The Raman spectra of GCA and GCA/CNT are shown in Fig.2b. Two primary peaks around 1590  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (G band) and 1325  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ 

- <sup>5</sup> (D band) are observed for both of them, reflecting the  $E_{2g}$  phonon of sp<sup>2</sup>-bonded carbon atoms and the defects in the graphene layers respectively. As is well known, the intensity ratios of the D to G bands reflect the disorder degree of the carbonaceous materials. Comparing the  $I_D/I_G$  values between GCA and
- $_{\rm 10}$  GCA/CNT, a decrease from 1.02 to 0.90 is obtained, indicating a remarkably improved content of the sp^2 hybridized carbon. The much lower  $I_D/I_G$  value of GCA/CNT indicates that the combined carbon nanotubes hold a sp^2 hybridized dominated carbon structure and possess few defects.
- 15 3.2 Morphology and structure changes under high pressure treatment



Fig.3 SEM images of pGCA (a, b) and pGCA/CNT (c, d).

As the obtained graphene-based aerogels are superlight with low mass density and large volume, the high pressure treatment is <sup>20</sup> necessary for preparing supercapacitor electrode in order to reduce contact resistance and upgrade specific volume capacitance, which is crucial for practical application. To study the change of the structure during high pressure treatment, GCA and GCA/CNT (12mg) were pressed onto a piece of Ni foam

- <sup>25</sup> under 10 Mpa and then scraped off for further study, respectively. The obtained samples of GCA and GCA/CNT were denoted as pGCA and pGCA/CNT, respectively. As shown in Fig.3a, a compact paper-like structure is observed for pGCA, exhibiting a reduced volume, which benefits for high specific volume <sup>30</sup> capacitance. During the pressure treatment, the 3D porous
- structure of GCA is seriously destroyed and deformed to a newly formed compact paper-like structure, composed of numbers of layers of graphene for each piece. Moreover, the open and interconnected pores structure in GCA is also destroyed during
- <sup>35</sup> the pressure treatment and only the pores on the outside surface exist, as shown in Fig.3b. For pGCA/CNT, the 3D porous structure is also pressed into thick pieces, as shown in Fig.3c, however, the stacked graphene sheets are much looser (Fig.3d), with an enlarged layer distance between graphene sheets. The
- <sup>40</sup> loose state of pGCA/CNT indicates that the uniformly incorporated CNTs alleviate the structure destruction of pGCA/CNT and impede the further stacking of graphene layers,

leading to an enlarged layer space. Consequently, a unique Hellenic-type structure is obtained with carbon nanotubes support <sup>45</sup> between the graphene layers.

The nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms and pore size distribution (inset) of GCA, pGCA, GCA/CNT and pGCA/CNT are shown in Fig.4. The isotherms show type IV curves for all the four samples. The H3 type hysteresis loop at medium and high <sup>50</sup> pressure range indicates slit-like pores distributed in the samples. The BET specific surface area of GCA is calculated to be 891.2 m<sup>2</sup>/g, laying a good foundation for the preparation of high SSA GCA/CNT aerogel. For pGCA, the curve decreases clearly at

- high pressure range (Fig.4a), indicating the destruction of the <sup>55</sup> pores structure. The SSA value for pGCA is calculated to be 794.7 m<sup>2</sup>/g, which is decreased by 10.8 % compared with the one for GCA. The decrease of SSA is due to the destruction of the 3D porous structure and the stacking of the graphene sheets induced by high pressure treatment, which is confirmed by the SEM
- <sup>60</sup> images shown in Fig.3. When it comes to pGCA/CNT, the decrease of curve in the high pressure range (Fig.4a) is much less, indicating a better retention of the pore structure. The SSA of GCA/CNT is calculated to be  $811.5 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ , a slightly lower than that of GCA, which might be attributed to the incorporation of the
- <sup>65</sup> low SSA material CNT. After the pressure treatment, the SSA value for pGCA/CNT is reduced to 780.2 m<sup>2</sup>/g. It's quite remarkable that the reduction percentage is only 3.9%, much less than that from GCA to pGCA. This indicates that the combined CNTs significantly impede the further stacking of graphene <sup>70</sup> layers and result in a higher SSA retention during the pressure treatment.



Fig.4 N<sub>2</sub> adsorption/desorption isotherm and pore size distribution (inset) of GCA and pGCA (a); N<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherm and pore size distribution (inset) of GCA/CNT and pGCA/CNT (b).

<sup>75</sup> The pore size distribution is a very important factor to explain the structure changes during the pressure treatment. As shown in Fig.4a (inset), an obviously reduced value in the range of medium (20-60 nm) to large (60-180 nm) diameters for pGCA is observed, compared with the curve of GCA, indicating the <sup>80</sup> destruction of the open and connected mesopores and

macropores. As is known, the macropores and mesopores not only provide hierarchical paths for ions diffusion but also shorten the ions diffusion length, resulting in the improvement of the electrochemical performance.<sup>40</sup> On the contrary, the destruction

- s of the open and interconnected pores can significantly impede the diffusion of ions and prevent electrolyte from contact with inside surface, resulting in the reduction of electrolyte-accessible surface area. The change of pore size distribution from GCA/CNT to pGCA/CNT was also analyzed and shown in Fig.4b
- <sup>10</sup> (inset). A negligible value decrease of the pores with a diameter of 20 - 60 nm is observed, suggesting that the destruction of pores structure is effectively alleviated. During the pressure treatment, the uniformly distributed carbon nanotubes in GCA/CNT act as separation materials and support between graphene layers,
- <sup>15</sup> preventing the layers from further stacking. As a result, the open and interconnected pores are remained, which is favourable for its electrochemical performance.



**Fig.5** A schematic diagram of the transformation mechanisms from GCA and GCA/CNT to pGCA and pGCA/CNT.

- <sup>20</sup> Under the above observations, we proposed the transformation mechanisms of pGCA and pGCA/CNT, as shown in Fig.5, to explain the change of the structure. When GCA is pressed under 10 Mpa, the 3D structure with a lot of connected pores is significantly destroyed and the graphene walls of GCA are <sup>25</sup> pressed together forming a compact paper-like structure with only
- pores on the outmost surface existing. When GCA/CNT is pressed, the uniformly combined CNTs take an effect as separation and supporting materials preventing graphene layers from further stacking. As a result, an incompact CNTs supported
- <sup>30</sup> layer structure is obtained with an enlarged layer distance and remaining of numbers of open and interconnected mesopores. There are two advantages when GCA/CNT is used as a supercapacitor material: (i) it alleviates the structure destruction during pressure treatment and impedes the further stacking of
- <sup>35</sup> graphene layers, resulting in the formation of an incompact layer structure with an enlarged layer space. As a result the decrease of SSA during pressure treatment is minimized and a large portion of the open and interconnected mesopores survives, facilitating fast diffusion of ions and molecules. Moreover, the reserved
- <sup>40</sup> pores provide an opportunity for the electrolyte to contact the inside surface, increasing the electrolyte-accessible surface area; ( ii) it significantly improves the electrical conductivity.<sup>41</sup> After the pressure treatment, the carbon nanotubes, significantly improve the total electrical conductivity due to the formation of a <sup>45</sup> 3D electrical conductive nework. As a result, excellent

electrochemical performance of GCA/CNT can be achieved.

3.3 Electrochemical performance



**Fig.6** Cyclic voltammetry curves measured at scan rate of 5 mV/s (a) and 50 mV/s (b) for GCA, GCA/C and GCA/CNT; Nyquist plots for GCA, 50 GCA/C and GCA/CNT (c).

It is reported that a carbon rich atmosphere might repair the defects of carbon based materials and improve their electrical conductivity.<sup>35, 36</sup> The active sample GCA/C was prepared and the electrochemical performance of GCA, GCA/C and GCA/CNT 55 were characterized by CV test to understand the electrochemical behaviour changes of GCA/CNT. It is observed that all the three electrodes exhibit quasi-rectangular curves at low scan rates of 5 mV/s (Fig.6a), showing an ideal double layer capacitive behaviour. Compared the CV curves at high scan rate (Fig.6b), 60 the curves of GCA and GCA/C are dramatically distorted. Notably, the curve of GCA/C is slightly less distorted due to the carbon repair with pyrolyzed ethanol. However, the improvement is not significant, which may be attributed to the low temperature resulting in a low graphitic degree of the deposited carbon 65 without catalyst. On the other hand, the curves of GCA/CNT show a better quasi-rectangular shape suggesting an improved electrical conductivity due to the formation of 3D electrical conductive network. Meanwhile, the rescued interconnected mesopores provide diffusion paths, shortening diffusion length 70 and facilitating fast diffusion. The specific capacitance of GCA/CNT is significantly improved with an enlarged area of CV curve. The combination of CNTs with GCA effectively impedes

the stacking of graphene layers during pressure treatment and dramatically enlarges the space between the graphene layers, leading to a higher exposed surface area. Furthermore, the remained interconnected pores in pGCA/CNT facilitate the s diffusion of electrolyte ions into deep inside of the active material

- achieving higher electrolyte-accessible surface area. In order to fully understand the supercapacitor behaviour, impedance of the samples were measured in the frequency range of 100 kHz - 0.1 Hz at open circuit potential with an AC
- <sup>10</sup> perturbation of 5 mV (Fig.6c). The straight line at low frequency is the result of Warburg resistance showing the rate of ion diffusion/transport in the electrolyte to the electrode surface. The curve of GCA/CNT at low frequency region is more parallel to the imaginary part, indicating lower diffusion resistance of ions in
- <sup>15</sup> the active material. The intersection of the curves at X-axis represents the internal resistance or ESR of the electrodes, which are found to be 0.48, 0.41 and 0.15  $\Omega$  for GCA, GCA/C and GCA/CNT, respectively. Furthermore, the semicircle diameter of GCA/C is smaller than GCA, indicating an increased electrical
- <sup>20</sup> conductivity by carbon repair process. GCA/CNT holds the smallest semicircle diameter, suggesting a significant improvement of the electric conductivity, which is attributed to the incorporation of the high conductive CNT material, resulting in the formation of a 3D conductive network.
- <sup>25</sup> The galvanostatic charge-discharge curves of GCA, GCA/C and GCA/CNT at 0.5 and 5 A/g are shown in Fig.7. It is noticed that the shape of each charge-discharge curve exhibits a good linear shape and a typical triangle symmetrical distribution (Fig.7a), indicating the typical double layer capacitive behaviour. A
- <sup>30</sup> dramatic voltage drop, corresponding to  $I_R$ , is observed for GCA and GCA/C at 0.5 A/g. When the current density reaches 5A/g (Fig.7b), rapid voltage drops are found for all the three samples, but the voltage drop of GCA/CNT is the least, which further confirms the improvement of electrical conductivity. A
- <sup>35</sup> significant improvement of specific capacitance from GCA (116.6F/g) to GCA/CNT (212.7F/g) is obtained at a current density of 0.5 A/g. Although, GCA holds the largest SSA, the poor electrical conductivity and serious destruction of its 3D porous structure impedes it from its full electrochemical
- <sup>40</sup> performance. For electrical double layer capacitors, the electrochemical performance of material are greatly depended on three factors: electrolyte-accessible surface area, electrical conductivity and proper porous structure. For GCA/CNT, the unique carbon nanotubes supported structure significantly
- <sup>45</sup> alleviates the destruction of its porous structure and improves the electrical conductivity by forming 3D conductive network, resulting in a significantly improved electrochemical performance.

The rate performances of GCA, GCA/C and GCA/CNT (Fig.7c)

- <sup>50</sup> are further summarized by varying the current density in GDC test from 0.1 to 10 A/g. It is observed that the specific capacitance of GCA/CNT is much higher than those of GCA and GCA/C at same current density and the maximum capacitance of 230.6 F/g is obtained at a current density of 0.1 A/g for GCA/CNT.
- <sup>55</sup> Moreover, the capacitance retentions from 0.1 to 10A/g are calculated to be 20.8 %, 36.9 % and 79.6% for GCA, GCA/C and GCA/CNT, respectively. The dramatically enhanced capacitance retention of GCA/CNT is attributed to the incorporation of

carbon nanotubes which not only significantly improves the 60 electrical conductivity, but also prevents the graphene layers from further retaking and keeps a large number of interconnected pores, providing more effective specific surface area and shortening diffusion lengths.



**Fig.7** Galvanostatic charge-discharge curves of GCA, GCA/C and <sup>65</sup> GCA/CNT measured at current density of 0.5 A/g (a) and 5 A/g (b); Relationship of the specific capacitance with respect to the discharge specific currents for GCA, GCA/C and GCA/CNT (c).



**Fig.8** Average specific capacitance versus cycle number for GCA, GCA/C, and GCA/CNT at a current density of 2 A/g.

<sup>70</sup> The electrochemical stabilities of different samples are further investigated by a continuous cycle test at a current density of 2 A/g. As shown in Fig.8, a negligible capacitance loss for GCA/CNT is obtained after 2000 cycles, indicating a favourable electrochemical stability and that should be attributed to the good 75 structural stability, which endows GCA/CNT with great potential application.

#### 4. Conclusions

In summary, carbon nanotubes supported graphene-based composite aerogel with high specific surface area (811.5 m<sup>2</sup>/g) <sup>5</sup> was prepared by a facile method. The presence of carbon nanotubes in the aerogel significantly alleviates the destruction of the 3D interconnected porous structure and facilitates the formation of a 3D conductive network during high pressure treatment for the preparation of supercapacitor electrode,

- <sup>10</sup> resulting in a remarkably improved electrochemical performance. The supercapacitor prepared using GCA/CNT exhibits a specific capacitance of 230.6 F/g at 0.1 A/g in 6 M KOH solution. The present work provides us a new method to fabricate graphene layered materials with controlled layer distance simply by
- <sup>15</sup> adjusting the size of intercalated material. The resulting unique 3D porous material can be expected to find many other applications in the fields of for example, Li ions batteries and hydrogen storage.

#### Acknowledgment

- <sup>20</sup> The financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants 20971037 and 21271063 and 21441004), Innovation Scientists and Technicians Troop Construction Projects of Henan Province (134100510005), Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team
- <sup>25</sup> in University (No. PCS IRT1126) and Australian Research Council (ARC) Discovery Project (DE120101788) has been greatly acknowledged.

#### Notes and references

- 1 J. A. Rogers, T. Someya and Y. G. Huang, *Science*, 2010, **327**, 1603-1607.
- 2 J. M. Tarascon and M. Armand, Nature, 2001, 414, 359-367.
- 3 L. Nyholm, G. Nyström, A. Mihranyan and M. Strømme, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, **23**, 3751-3769.
- 4 X. Feng, B. D. Yang, Y. M. Liu, Y. Wang, C. Dagdeviren, Z. J. Liu,
  A. Carlson, J. Y. Li, Y. G. Huang and J. A. Rogers, *ACS Nano*, 2011,
  5, 3326-3332.
- 5 J. Yan, E. Khoo, A. Sumboja and P. S. Lee, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 4247-4255.
- 6 M. K. Liu, W. W. Tjiu, J. S. Pan, C. Zhang, W. Gao and T. X. Liu, 40 *Nanoscale*, 2014, 6, 4233.
- 7 Y. Yang, L. Li, G. D. Ruan, H. L. Fei, C. S. Xiang, X. J. Fan and J. M. Tour, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 9622-9628.
- 8 J. Lin, C. G. Zhang, Z. Yan, Y. Zhu, Z. W. Peng, R. H. Hauge, D. Natelson and J. M. Tour, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, 13, 72-78.
- 45 9 G. P. Wang, L. Zhang and J. J. Zhang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 797-828.
  - 10 Y. Zhu, S. Murali, M. D. Stoller, K. J. Ganesh, W. Cai, P. J. Ferreira, A. Pirkle, R. M. Wallace, K. A. Cychosz, M. Thommes, D. Su, E. A. Stach and R. S. Ruoff, *Science*, 2011, 332, 1537-1541.
- 50 11 F. Liu, S. Y. Song, D. F. Xue and H. J. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.a*, 2012, 24, 1089-1094.
  - 12 L. Peng, X. Peng, B. Liu, C. Wu, Y. Xie and G. Yu, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, 13, 2151-2157.
- 13 X. B. Fan, W. C. Peng, Y. Li, X. Y. Li, S. L. Wang, G. L. Zhang and F. B. Zhang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 4490-4493.
- 14 S. Stankovich, D. A. Dikin, R. D. Piner, K. A. Kohlhaas, A. Kleinhammes, Y. Y. Jia, Y. Wu, S. T. Nguyen and R. S. Ruoff, *Carbon*, 2007, **45**, 1558-1565.
- 15 Y. Si and E. T. Samulski, Chem. Mater., 2008, 20, 6792-6797.

- 60 16 M. J. McAllister, J. L. Li, D. H. Adamson, H. C. Schniepp, A. A. Abdala, J. Liu, M. Herrera Alonso, D. L. Milius, R. Car, R. K. Prud'Homme and I. A. Aksay, *Chem. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 4396-4404.
  - 17 J. F. Shen, Y. Z. Hu, M. Shi, X. Lu, C. Qin, C. Li and M. X. Ye, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, 21, 3514-3520.
- 65 18 S. R. Wang, M. Tambraparni, J. J. Qiu, J. Tipton and D. Dean, *Macromolecules*, 2009, 42, 5251-5255.
  - 19 S. Wakeland, R. Martinez, J. K. Grey and C. C. Luhrs, *Carbon*, 2010, 48, 3463-3470.
- 20 M. Y. Yan, F. C. Wang, C. H. Han, X. Y. Ma, X. Xu, Q. Y. An, L. Xu, C. J. Niu, Y. L. Zhao, X. C. Tian, P. Hu, H. G. Wu and L. Q. Mai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 18176-18182.
- Y. Gu, H. Wu, Z. G. Xiong, W. Al Abdulla and X. S. Zhao, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 2, 451-459.
- 22 H. Wang, L. Y. Shi, T. T. Yan, J. P. Zhang, Q. D. Zhong and D. S. Zhang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 4739-4750.
- 23 H. J. Wang, X. X. Sun, Z. H. Liu and Z. B. Lei, *Nanoscale*, 2014, 6, 6577.
- 24 H. R. Byon, B. M. Gallant, S. W. Lee and Y. Shao-Horn, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2013, 23, 1037-1045.
- 80 25 K. Zhang, L. L. Zhang, X. S. Zhao and J. S. Wu, Chem. Mater., 2010, 22, 1392-1401.
- 26 C. Zhou, Y. W. Zhang, Y. Y. Li and J. P. Liu, *Nano Lett*, 2013, 13, 2078-2085.
- 27 L. L. Peng, X. Peng, B. R. Liu, C. Z. Wu, Y. Xie and G. H. Yu, *Nano* 85 *Lett*, 2013, **13**, 2151-2157.
- 28 M. Q. Zhao, Q. Zhang, J. Q. Huang, G. L. Tian, T. C. Chen, W. Z. Qian and F. Wei, *Carbon*, 2013, **54**, 403-411.
- 29 Y. X. Xu, K. X. Sheng, C. Li and G. Q. Shi, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 4324-4330.
- <sup>90</sup> 30 M. A. Worsley, P. J. Pauzauskie, T. Y. Olson, J. Biener, J. H. Satcher and T. F. Baumann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 14067-14069.
- 31 X. T. Zhang, Z. Y. Sui, B. Xu, S. F. Yue, Y. J. Luo, W. C. Zhan and B. Liu, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, 21, 6494.
- 32 H. Huang, P. W. Chen, X. T. Zhang, Y. Lu and W. C. Zhan, *Small*, 5 2013, **9**, 1397-1404.
- 33 Y. Q. Qian, I. M. Ismail and A. Stein, Carbon, 2014, 68, 221-231.
- 34 X. Yang, C. Cheng, Y. Wang, L. Qiu and D. Li, Science, 2013, 341, 534-537.
- V. L Pez, R. S. Sundaram, C. G Mez-Navarro, D. Olea, M. Burghard,
  J. G Mez-Herrero, F. L. Zamora and K. Kern, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, 21, 4683-4686.
  - 36 K. C. Cao, Y. Tian, Y. Z. Zhang, X. D. Yang, C. Y. Bai, Y. Luo, X. S. Zhao, L. J. Ma and S. J. Li, *Nanoscale*, 2014, 6, 13518-13526.
- Y. Meng, D. Gu, F. Q. Zhang, Y. F. Shi, L. Cheng, D. Feng, Z. X.
  Wu, Z. X. Chen, Y. Wan, A. Stein and D. Y. Zhao, *Chem. Mater.*, 2006, 18, 4447-4464.
  - 38 Q. De Yang and S. Hang, J. Power Sources, 1998, 74, 99-107.
  - 39 Z. J. Fan, J. Yan, T. Wei, G. Q. Ning, L. J. Zhi, J. C. Liu, D. X. Cao, G. L. Wang and F. Wei, *ACS Nano*, 2011, **5**, 2787-2794.
- 110 40 D. S. Su and R. Schlögl, *ChemSusChem*, 2010, **3**, 136-168.
  - 41 Z. Y. Sui, Q. H. Meng, X. T. Zhang, R. Ma and B. Cao, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 8767-8771.





Carbon nanotube supported 3D graphene-based composite aerogel was prepared by a facile method. The incorporated carbon nanotubes significantly alleviate the destruction of the interconnected porous structure and form a 3D conductive network during high pressure treatment, resulting in a remarkably improved electrochemical performance.