Journal of Materials Chemistry A

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/materialsA

Electro-oxidation of ethylene glycol and glycerol at palladiumdecorated FeCo@Fe core-shell nanocatalysts for alkaline direct alcohol fuel cells: Functionalized MWCNT supports and impact on product selectivity

Omobosede O. Fashedemi¹, Hamish Miller², Andrea Marchionni², Francesco Vizza^{2,*} and Kenneth I. Ozoemena^{1,3,*}

¹ Department of Chemistry, University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0002, Pretoria, South Africa

² Istituto di Chimica dei Composti Organometallici, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (ICCOM-CNR), via Madonna del Piano 10, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy

³ Energy Materials, Materials Science and Manufacturing, Council for Scientific & Industrial Research (CSIR), Pretoria 0001, South Africa

Revised manuscript for J. Mater. Chem. A.(ID: TA-ART-01-2015-000076)

* Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed:

K.I. Ozoemena: Tel.:+27128413664; Fax: +27128412135; E-mail: <u>kozoemena@csir.co.za</u>. Francesco Vizza: Tel.:(+39) 0555225286 - 0555225239, Fax: (+39) 0555225203 E-mail: <u>francesco.vizza@iccom.cnr.it</u>

Abstract

Half-cell reactions and alkaline direct ethylene glycol and glycerol fuel cells (DEGFC and DGFC) have been studied on Pd-based ternary core-shell (FeCo@Fe@Pd) nanocatalyst using multi-walled carbon nanotubes bearing carboxylic (MWCNT-COOH) and sulfonic acid (MWCNT-SO₃H) as supporting platforms. The core-shell-shell nature of this nanocatalyst, obtained via the "microwave-induced top-down nanostructuring and decoration", was clearly proven from atomic resolution transmission electron microscopy (ARTEM). The functional groups of the MWCNTs show a huge impact on the physico-chemical properties of the FeCo@Fe@Pd nanocatalyst towards the electrocatalytic oxidation of EG and GLY in alkaline media. The FeCo@Fe@Pd on -COOH-treated MWCNTs showed the small particle size of ca. 7.4 nm, uniform loading on the of the catalyst on the support, large electrochemically-active surface area and enhanced electrocatalytic activity compared to the FeCo@Fe@Pd -SO₃H-bearing MWCNTs. on As а preliminary test, FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH was used for passive, air-breathing anion-exchange membrane based fuel cells (AEM-DEGFC and AEM-DGFC). The analysis of the exhaust products, established using NMR spectroscopy, revealed a high selectivity towards the complete oxidation of both EG and GLY under benign experimental conditions.

Journal of Materials Chemistry A Accepted Manuscript

Introduction

Alkaline direct alcohol fuel cells (ADAFCs) are very attractive renewable and clean energy devices for portable electronics, e-mobility and stationary applications. Ethylene glycol (EG) is a fairly new type of liquid feed in the development of fuel cells,^{1–3} but it is considered as the most viable alternative to methanol. EG has become very attractive because of its high theoretical charge capacity (4.8 Ah mL⁻¹), high boiling point, and high efficiency of electric power conversion.^{4–6} Interestingly, some recent studies have revealed that EG can be directly produced from catalytic conversion of biomass-related cellulose with high yields, which can provide new opportunities for sustainable widespread commercial applications of direct ethylene glycol fuel (DEGFCs).^{7,8}

Another important alternative alcohols to methanol and ethanol based fuel cells is glycerol (GLY) due to its low-cost, simple purification and storage, non-volatility and environment-friendliness, non-toxicity, bio-renewability, a charge capacity of 5.13 Ah mL⁻¹ and high volumetric energy density of 6.4 kWh $L^{-1.9,10}$ Unlike the bio-methanol and bio-ethanol, which are obtained from the fermentation process of biomass, the biomass-derived GLY is mostly obtained as a waste by-product in the production of biodiesel via a transesterification reaction.¹¹ Today, the realisation of low-cost alkaline direct glycerol fuel cells (DGFCs) are more promising than ever before as biodiesel-derived GLY can be obtained at a relatively lower market price compared to methanol and ethanol.

Platinum-based catalysts remain the state-of-the-art anodic materials for DEGFCs and DGFCs.^{6,12} But the use of Pt catalysts will limit the widespread commercialization of these fuel cell devices due to the inherent scarcity and high-cost of Pt. Palladium (Pd)-based catalysts are attracting major attention as the most viable materials for ADAFCs.¹³⁻¹⁶ The interest in Pd stems from its natural abundance and low-cost compared to Pt (Pd currently

sells at half the price of Pt),^{17–23} and enhanced electrochemical kinetics in alkaline media and ADAFCs than Pt catalysts.

Although both EG and GLY meet the criteria for efficient fuels (such as high boiling point for use at temperatures (T) > 100 °C at near-ambient pressure, commercially available and low-cost) they are still fraught with the problem of incomplete electro-oxidation to CO_2 (carbonates in alkaline media)^{6,24} due to the strong C–C bond that cannot be easily cleaved.²⁵ Therefore, in order to increase the efficiency of fuel utilization, active catalysts, having sufficient ability to break C-C bond in ethylene glycol, are strongly required. In fact, it is now consensual that glycerol suffers partial oxidation²⁶⁻²⁸ generating glyceraldehyde, glycerate, mesoxalate, dihydroxyacetone and hydroxypyruvate, among other substances, leading to loss of energetic efficiency. It is difficult for Pd to break the C-C bond,^{29,30} hence the need to dilute it with other metals (allovs) as co-catalysts^{31,32} to promote the scission of C-C bonds. The dilution of Pd with base metals has the dual advantage of further reducing the cost of catalysts and improving the electrocatalytic activities. Recently, we introduced Pdbased ternary core-shell with a bimetallic (FeCo) core supported on commercial Vulcan carbon XC-72 (i.e., FeCo@Fe@Pd/C) via "microwave-induced top-down nanostructuring and decoration" (MITNAD) strategy.³³⁻³⁵ The MITNAD strategy is simply the adoption of fast microwave irradiation for a one-step top-down nanosizing of large-sized soft magnetic FeCo@Fe/C core-shell material (0.21–1.5 microns) to sub-10 nm sized Pd-coated structure, FeCo@Fe@Pd/C. In the present work, we have interrogated the use of two differently chemically-functionalized multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) bearing mainly the -COOH or -SO₃H surface groups (abbreviated herein as MWCNT-COOH and MWCNT-SO₃H, respectively), as supporting platforms for the FeCo@Fe@Pd catalyst. We clearly prove that the functional groups have a huge impact on the physico-chemical properties of the FeCo@Fe@Pd catalyst towards the electrocatalytic oxidation of EG and GLY. Preliminary

tests for passive, air-breathing anion-exchange membrane based fuel cells (AEM-DEGFC and AEM-DGFC) with FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH revealed a high selectivity towards the complete oxidation of both EG and GLY under benign experimental conditions.

Experimental

Materials

Multi-walled carbon nanotubes (\geq 95% as MWCNT, O.D × I.D × length, 7-15 nm × 3-6 nm × 0.5 – 200 µm), absolute ethanol, ethylene glycol (extra pure), glycerol (extra pure), acetic anhydride (ACS reagent grade), Nafion perfluorinated ion-exchange resin (5 wt% in mixture of lower aliphatic alcohols), iron (II) chloride tetrahydrate, (FeCl₂.4H₂O), cobalt (II) chloride hexahydrate (CoCl₂.6H₂O), and palladium (II) chloride (PdCl₂) and polyvinylpyrolidone (PVP) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Pure nitrogen was supplied by Air Liquide (Pty) Ltd (South Africa). Ultrapure water (resistivity: 18.2 M Ω cm) was obtained from a Milli-Q water system (Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA, USA). All other common analytical grade reagents were used as received from the suppliers without further purification.

The as-received MWCNTs were purified and subjected surface-functionalized (mainly –COOH surface groups) using the conventional acid-treatment strategy.³⁶ Briefly, the as-received MWCNTs were first treated with 2.6 M HNO₃ by refluxing for 24 h, following by treatment with concentrated H₂SO₄ / HNO₃ mixture (3:1 ratio) for 24 h, and subsequently stirring for about 30 min in a H₂SO₄ / H₂O₂ mixture (4:1 ratio) at 70 ° C. The acid-functionalised MWCNT was washed with copious amount of ultrapure water, and finally oven-dried at 110 °C to obtain a solid black product (abbreviated herein as MWCNT-COOH). IR [(KBr) ν_{max} /cm⁻¹]: 3428 (OH)str, 1710 and 1572 (C=O)str, 1210 (OH)bend, 1048 (C=O)str. The MWCNT-COOH was sulfonated using literature-established method.^{37,38} Briefly, 120 mg of MWCNT-COOH was mixed with 20 mL H₂SO₄ and 300 mL of acetic

anhydride and stirred at 70 °C for 2 h, and allowed to cool to room temperature under continuous stirring. The material was repeatedly washed with ultrapure water and oven-dried at 70 °C to yield a black solid product (abbreviated herein as MWCNT-SO₃H). IR [(KBr) $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$]: 2969, 2848 (C-H)str, 1466 and 1377 (O=S=O)str.

Preparation of FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT nanocatalysts

The Pd-based core-shell nanocatalysts were prepared following our recently reported procedure that used Vulcan XC-72 carbon support.³³⁻³⁵ In the present study, MWCNT-COOH and MWCNT-SO₃H are used as supports rather than the Vulcan XC-72. First, FeCo@Fe/MWCNT-COOH and FeCo@Fe/MWCNT-SO₃H core-shell nanoalloys were obtained by a simple reduction method via hydrogenation. Briefly, a mixture of FeCl₂.4H₂O (0.5302 mg, 2.67 mmol) and CoCl₂.6H₂O (0.3650 mg, 1.53 mmol) were dissolved in 20 mL of deionised water containing 0.15 g of MWCNT-COOH or MWCNT-SO₃H. The suspension was ultrasonicated for about 30 min, and then heated under continuous magnetic stirring until the solvent was completely evaporated to give smooth, thick slurry. The slurry was ovendried at 60 °C, and then ground in an agate mortar to give a fine dark and free-flowing powder. The FeCo/MWCNT-COOH or FeCo/MWCNT-SO₃H powder was heated in a tube furnace at 300 °C under flowing H₂/Ar for 2 h, and then annealed at 500 °C for 2 h and then cooled to room temperature under Ar atmosphere to give the core-shell alloy structure (FeCo@Fe/MWCNT-COOH or FeCo@Fe/MWCNT-SO₃H).

The Pd-decorated core-shell structures were obtained by mixing 0.20 g of FeCo@Fe/MWCNT-COOH or FeCo@Fe/MWCNT-SO₃H with 0.35 g (2 mmol) of PdCl₂ salt in ethylene glycol solution containing polyvinylpyrolidone and subjecting it to a rapid microwave irradiation (Anton Parr Synthos 3000 microwave reactor) at 500 W, 80 bars, and

Journal of Materials Chemistry A

~198°C for 15 min. The solid product was obtained by centrifugation by repeatedly washed with acetone and deionised water to remove traces of ethylene glycol and other impurities, and then dried at 100 °C. Based on the HRTEM (or ARTEM) results, the final Pd-based product is abbreviated as FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH or FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H. For comparison, Pd/MWCNT-COOH or Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H nanoparticles of same Pd loading as the core-shell were also synthesized using the same microwave procedure.

Physical characterizations

Powder XRD analysis was performed with PANalytical X'Pert powder diffractometer with Co K α radiation. SEM images were obtained with a field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, Zeiss-Leo DSM982). TEM images were taken on a JEOL JEM 2000EX microscope at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV, while atomic resolution TEM images were acquired from JEOL ARM200F equipped with energy dispersive x-ray (EDX) spectrometer and highest level high-angle annular dark field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) resolution of 78 pm with a STEM Cs corrector incorporated as standard. The morphology and elemental composition of the materials were analysed using an FEI Helios NanoLab 650 scanning electron microscope (SEM) and a Jeol JEM-ARM200F atomic resolution transmission electron microscope (ARTEM). Samples for ARTEM analyses were prepared by dispersing the nanoparticles in methanol, sonicating for about 2 min in order to ensure adequate dispersion of the nanostructures. A 300 mesh Cu grid, coated with a lacy carbon film was carefully dipped into each suspension of nanoparticles. This was done to avoid and over agglomeration of the nanoparticles on the grids. The metal contents of the nanocatalysts were determined using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) with an Intrepid Iris instrument (Thermo Elemental). Each sample (50 mg) was treated in a microwave heated digestion bomb in sealed PTFE vessels with

concentrated HNO₃ (2.0 mL) and 98% H₂SO₄ (2 mL). The heating program comprised some preheating steps and a final 10 min digestion step at 220 °C. The resulting residual solutions were diluted with water to constant volume. The solutions were then analysed for the metal content. Raman spectroscopy data were collected using a Jobin–Yvon Horiba TX 6400 micro-Raman spectrometer equipped with a triple monochromatic system to eliminate contributions from Rayleigh scattering. The samples were analysed with a 514-nm argon excitation laser (1.5 mW laser power on the sample to avoid thermal effects), using a 509 objective with acquisition time of 120 s. Fourier transmission infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) analyses were carried out using a Bomem (Hartmann & Braun), model MB-102 spectrophotometer. X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) was performed with an Al monochromatic K α source (1486.6 eV) using the XPS Peak 4.1 program and a Shirley function to subtract the background.

Electrochemical measurements

Electrochemical experiments were carried out at room temperature with a conventional threeelectrode configuration using an Autolab potentiostat PGSTAT 100 (Eco Chemie, Utrecht, Netherlands) driven by the General Purpose Electrochemical Systems data processing software (GPES software version 4.9). The working electrode was a modified glassy carbon disk electrode (GCE, Bioanalytical systems, diameter = 3.0 mm). A Pt rod and Ag|AgCl (3 M KCl) were used as a counter and reference electrode, respectively. All solutions were deaerated by bubbling pure nitrogen prior to each electrochemical experiment. Before each measurement the GCE was polished to a mirror finish using Alumina slurry (nanopowder Aldrich) and then cleaned by ultrasonic stirring in ethanol and acetone for 3 min, respectively. To prepare the catalyst ink, 10.0 mg of the catalyst were dispersed in 10.0 ml of ethanol containing 1 mL of 5% Nafion solution (DuPont). The mixture was ultrasonicated for about 3 min to make a uniform ink. The GCE was modified by dropping 6 μ L of the catalyst ink on its surface and allowing it to dry in an oven at about 60°C. The Pd loading was estimated by weight and was about 1.3 µg for each electrode. Every electrochemical experiment on the oxidation of glycerol and ethylene glycol in 1.0 M KOH was performed after degassing with high purity nitrogen. The membrane electrode assemblies (MEAs) for the passive monoplanar cells were carried out to provide some preliminary insights into the real-time fuel cell performance for anion-exchange membrane direct ethylene glycol fuel cell (AEM-DEGFC) and direct glycerol fuel cell (AEM-DGFC) using a commercial Tokuyama A-201 AEM and cathodes containing a proprietary Fe–Co/C electrocatalyst on carbon cloth.³⁹ The cell hardware has been described previously.⁴⁰ The membrane was conditioned in a saturated KOH solution for 1 min before assembling the MEA. The volume of the anode compartment was ca. 25 mL for actual 10.5 mL of fuel solution (5 wt. % Alcohol and 2M KOH). The anode electrode was constructed with a 5.13 cm^2 nickel foam plate, onto which the appropriate amount of dense catalytic ink was deposited. This was prepared by introducing the catalyst (100 mg) into a 5 mL polyethylene container together with water (50 mg). The metal loading on each electrode was determined by weighing the amount of ink deposited (~5 mg cm⁻²). The MEA was obtained by mechanically pressing anode, cathode, and membrane. For a reliable evaluation of the oxidation products, the anode compartment was sealed under a nitrogen atmosphere, whereas the cathode was exposed to either air or oxygen with no significant variation of the cell performance. The operating temperatures were in the range of 15 – 18 °C. This was evaluated using an ARBIN BT-2000 5A fourchannel instrument. The fuel oxidation products were qualitatively and quantitatively determined by means of ${}^{13}C \{{}^{1}H\}$ NMR spectroscopy using appropriate calibration curves. Galvanostatic experiments were carried out at room temperature on the passive cells where the anode compartment was filled with 10.5 ml of 5 wt.% EG or G in 2 M KOH solution

(8.47 and 5.70 mmol, respectively). After a conditioning time of 1 h at the OCV, the circuit of the DEGFC or DGFC was closed and a constant current of 102 mA (20 mA cm⁻²) was allowed to flow until the cell voltage reached zero. The solution was not refuelled during the experiment.

Results and discussion

Spectroscopy and microscopic characterization

The main aim of the study is to use literature-established techniques to functionalise MWCNTs with –COOH or –SO₃H and subsequently use them as support for the Pd-based core-shell catalysts for ADAFCs. FTIR data (see Supporting Information, Fig. SI) confirmed the successful functionalization of the MWCNTs a with -COOH or -SO₃H functional groups. The MWCNT-COOH showed intense band at 3428 cm⁻¹ due to the stretching vibrational modes of the O-H group, and 1719 and 1587 cm^{-1} due to the C=O stretching vibrations from carboxylic and carbonyl groups. Interestingly, upon sulphonation of the MWCNT-COOH, the intense bands due to the OH (3428 cm⁻¹) and C=O (1719 and 1587 cm⁻¹) groups disappeared leading to two strong bands at 1467 and 1380 cm⁻¹ which are the characteristic absorption bands of organic sulfonate groups; the O=S=O asymmetric and O=S=O symmetric stretching motions respectively. The Raman spectra (see Supporting Information, Fig. S2) confirmed the degree of functionalization of the pristine MWCNTs with the -COOH or $-SO_3H$. The vales of the intensity ratios (I_D/I_G) of the D-band of the MWCNTs (sp³ C) and grahitic band (sp² C) for the MWCNT-COOH, MWCNT-SO₃H and pristine MWCNTs were calculated as 0.90±0.14, 0.88±0.12 and 0.72±0.10, respectively, indicating that the degree of functionalization of MWCNT-COOH and MWCNT-SO₃H is essentially the same.

Next, it was necessary to establish the core-shell nature of the precursor material (FeCo@Fe) and its palladium-decorated core-shell material (FeCo@Fe@Pd), and to do this

we used atomic resolution TEM. **Fig. 1** shows typical high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF)- and bright-field (BF)-STEM images simultaneously acquired for the FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH to get complete information of the nanostructures. It is common knowledge that in the HAADF-STEM mode, the metal particle with high atomic numbers appears as bright contrast, while those with low atomic numbers appear as dark contrast.^{41,42} The HAADF-STEM image shows brighter contrast in the shell and darker contrast in the core, which is a clear indication that the core contains lighter elements (Fe and Co) than the shell. In the BF-STEM image, however, the particles with heavy atoms should appear as dark contrast since they are the heaviest scatterers in this system. Our BF-STEM image clearly corroborates the HAADF-STEM image. From the STEM images, there are some free Pd nanoparticles that co-exist with the core-shell nanoparticles. Also, during the acquisition of the STEM data, we observed the co-existence of oxides of palladium (Pd_xO_y) and iron (Fe_xO_y).



Fig. 1: (A) High-angle annular dark-field (HAADF)- and (B) bright-field (BF)-STEM images simultaneously acquired for the FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH.

Electron energy loss spectral (EELS) images of core at different MWCNT supports (see *Supporting Information, Fig. S3*) show clear contrasts between the inner FeCo alloy and the segregated Fe/Fe_xO_y shell around the edges of the core-shell, irrespective of the type of MWCNTs used as support. Since the materials were synthesised under inert atmosphere, and the HRTEM experiments performed in ultrahigh vacuum, the most plausible source of the metal oxides could be during transportation or sample-handling. XPS data (see *Supporting Information, Fig. S4*) proves the existence of the PdO species. In fact, the presence of the PdO species is not surprising as Pd is well known to easily oxidize to PdO in air. The presence of the oxides is an advantage for the electrocatalysis.^{38,43,44} The intense dark portions of the HAADF-STEM (Fig. 1A, red arrow) or the intense bright portions of the BF-STEM images (Fig. 1B, red arrow) showed that few of the nanosized FeCo@Fe were not completely decorated with the Pd nanoskin. Further experiments are necessary to interrogate this observation with a view to rectifying and optimising the MITNAD strategy process.

Fig. 2 compares the FESEM images of the precursor core-shell materials, FeCo@Fe/MWCNT-COOH (Fig. 2A) and FeCo@Fe/MWCNT-SO₃H (Fig. 2C) and their corresponding Pd-decorated catalysts FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH (Fig. 2B) and FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H (Fig. 2D), respectively. The precursor materials, irrespective of the type of MWCNT support used, are large particle sizes (between 140 and 600 nm) while the Pd-decorated counterparts are sub-10 nm in sizes, with the Pd skin or thickness being *ca*. 3 nm. We observed the same phenomenon with Vulcan XC-72 support,³³ meaning that the microwave-induced top-down nanostructuring of FeCo@Fe and simultaneous decoration with Pd (MITNAD strategy) occurs irrespective of the carbon support. However, it is interesting to observe in this present work that Pd catalyst prepared using the same microwave strategy, Pd/MWCNT-COOH and Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H (Fig. 3), gave large-sized Pd catalysts (up to \geq 200 nm). This result suggests that the formation of the sub-10 nm

Journal of Materials Chemistry A

FeCo@Fe@Pd via our microwave-assisted solvothermal process must have been made possible by the magnetic nature of the FeCo@Fe core-shell alloy. In other words, the interaction of the magnetic FeCo@Fe with the microwave irradiation (magneto-microwave interaction) led to the immediate breakdown (nano-sizing) of the FeCo@Fe that only permitted the formation of a thin-skin rather than a thick-skin Pd coverage of the FeCo@Fe core-shell.



Fig. 2: FESEM images of (A) FeCo@Fe/MWCNT-COOH, (B) FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH, (C) FeCo@Fe/MWCNT-SO₃H and (D) FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H.



Fig. 3: FESEM images of (A) Pd/MWCNT-COOH and (B) Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H.

Another important observation of the FESEM images is that the MWCNT-COOH support (weak acidic character) allowed for a more uniform dispersion and higher loading of the FeCo@Fe@Pd nanocatalyts compared to the MWCNT-SO₃H support (strong acidic character). It is probable that the Pd reduction was not fully performed at the MWCNTs with the highest acidic character (-SO₃H). This perhaps should not be totally surprising considering that a similar observation has been reported by Kim and Park⁴⁵ for Pt catalysts where carbon black treated with acid showed poor catalyst loading compared to the base-treated or neutral carbon blacks.

Fig. 4 compares the powder XRD patterns of the four Pd-based catalysts; FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH, FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H, Pd/MWCNT-COOH and Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H. They can be indexed to the face centred cubic (fcc) structure (SP-group Fm-3m, JCPDS card: 03-065-6174). They displayed diffraction peaks at 20 (hkl) values of 46.9° (111), 54.7° (200), 81.1° (220), 99.4° (311) and 105.7° (222) corresponding to a typical face centred structure of Pd. The shifts in the diffraction peaks to the higher 20 positions of the two core-shell nanocatalysts are indicative of lattice contraction upon introduction of the FeCo@Fe alloy in the Pd lattice. From the Vergard's law, our calculation indicates the Pd

lattice contracted from 3.8898 Å in Pd to 3.8735 and 3.8767 Å for FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH and FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H, respectively. This lattice strain effect has already been observed on Pd alloys with Pd-rich surface incorporated with other atoms.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ The intense peaks of the Pd/MWCNT-COOH and Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H indicate high crystallinity and large-sized particles. Interestingly, the full width of half maximum (FWHM) of the diffraction peaks of the catalysts are broader when they are supported on the MWCNT-COOH than when they are on the MWCNT-SO₃H support, meaning that the particle sizes of the Pd and FeCo@Fe@Pd are smaller on MWCNT-COOH than on the MWCNT-SO₃H support. This was further confirmed from the Scherrer equation, using the Gaussian fitted (220)peak, where the core-shell particle sizes decreased as follows: > $FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-SO_3H (10.8 nm) > FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH (7.4 nm).$



Fig. 4: XRD patterns of FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H, FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH, Pd/MWCNT-COOH and Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H. Inset shows the expanded portion of the Pd (220) diffraction peaks.

Electrocatalytic oxidation of ethylene glycol and glycerol

Fig. 5 shows the cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of the catalysts including the precursor FeCo@Fe obtained in a nitrogen-saturated 1.0 M KOH solution at a scan rate of 50 mV s⁻¹. The CVs of the four Pd-based electrodes gave the expected signature peaks of the Pd in alkaline electrolytes. On the other hands, the two electrodes without Pd (i.e., the precursor FeCo@Fe) did not show any detectable peaks in the potential window. The four Pd-based catalysts exhibit a common Pd surface reduction peak at *ca.* -0.35 V vs Ag|AgCl (for Pd/

MWCNT-COOH and Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H) and -0.38 V vs Ag|AgCl (for FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H and FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH). Using the established method of determining the electrochemically-active surface area (EASA) from the PdO reduction peak⁴⁹ with our Pd loading on the GCE of 1.37 μ g (*ca.* 20 μ g cm⁻²), the EASA values were estimated as 74.63, 42.26, 8.10, and 9.98 m² g⁻¹ for the FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH, FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H, Pd/MWCNT-COOH, and Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H, respectively.



Fig. 5: Comparative cyclic voltammograms of FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH, $FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-SO_3H$,Pd/MWCNT-COOH, $Pd/MWCNT-SO_3H$,FeCo@Fe/MWCNT-COOH and $FeCo@Fe/MWCNT-SO_3H$ in nitrogen-saturated 1 M KOH $Scan rate = 50 mVs^{-1}$.

Fig. 6A&B compare the CVs of the catalysts including the precursor FeCo@Fe obtained in 1.0 M KOH solution containing 0.5 M EG (Fig. 6 A) or 0.5 M GLY (Fig. 6 B) at a scan rate of 50 mVs⁻¹. As expected, the precursor FeCo@Fe materials did not give any detectable peaks for the alcohol oxidation in the selected potential window, thus proving that the observed catalysis was due to the presence of Pd.



Fig. 6: Cyclic voltammetric evolutions of the oxidation of (A) 0.5 M EG and (B) 0.5 M GLY in 1 M KOH using the various catalyst platforms. Scan rate = 50 mVs^{-1} .

Scan rate studies for the EG and GLY (up to 800 mV s⁻¹, not shown) showed linear relationships between peak current density versus square root of the scan rate, confirming diffusion-controlled electrocatalytic processes for the catalysts. The CV parameters for the electrocatalytic performance for each catalysts are summarised in Table 1. The ratio of the forward anodic peak to the backward peak current density (J_f/J_h) relates to the ability of the catalyst to tolerate carbonaceous species,^{47,50,51} thus it should generally be a higher value for the best-performing catalyst. The onset potential (E_{onset}) and peak potential for the forward reaction (E_{peak}) describe the ease at which electro-oxidation occurs at the catalyst, thus they should be less positive for the best-performing electrocatalysts. Despite the large literature on this argument, we believe that the ratio between the forward peak and the backward should be only related with the upper inverted potential. Indeed, at high potential, are formed surface palladium oxides that are inactive for the electrooxidation of alcohols. In our opinion the ratio is not only related with the tolerance ability towards carbonaceous species.^{30,52} The backward scan peak can be associated to the oxidation of freshly chemisorbed EG or GLY. In fact, previous CV studies of ethanol oxidation on Pd have shown that the decrease in current at high voltages is mainly due to the formation of a PdO layer, which blocks the adsorption of the substrate.⁵² The electrocatalytic activity is restored in the negative-going sweep once the potential reaches the value at which PdO is reduced to Pd. On the other hand, one cannot rule out that a contribution to the current decrease may be due to mass transfer phenomena as well as to the instantaneous shortage of OH⁻ groups in the thin layer. In fact, adsorbed hydroxyl species are required to release the carboxylic acid upon coupling with Pd-acyl species.^{30,53}

For the EG oxidation, FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH showed high current density, which is approximately twice that of the FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H. In addition, the FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH gave an E_{onset} of -0.58 V which is lower than that of FeCo@Fe@MWCNT/SO₃H at 0.52 V. This value is *ca*. 140 mV earlier than the E_{onset} value

of Pd/MWCNT-COOH (-0.44 V) and ca. 150 mV earlier than that of the Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H (-0.43 V). FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH gave a current response of 17.80 mAcm⁻² current density to EG oxidation, which is ca. 2 times higher than that of both FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H (9.67 mA cm⁻²) and Pd/MWCNT-COOH (8.53 mA cm⁻²). It is also 3.3 times higher than that of Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H with 5.37 mA cm⁻². For the GLY, the E_{onset} of GLY is situated at -0.57 V for FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH which is earlier than -0.54 V at FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H, -0.512 V at Pd/MWCNT-COOH and -0.468 V at Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H. Both FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH and FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT- SO_3H exhibited high oxidation peak current density of about 11.00 mA cm⁻² which is 1.3 times higher than Pd/MWCNT-COOH (8.03 mA cm⁻²) and 2.7 times higher than Pd/MWCNT-SO₃H. The J_{f}/J_{b} values for the four catalysts are above 2 for EG but above 3 for the GLY. This indicates excellent tolerance of the catalysts to the presence of the carbonaceous products from alcohol oxidation. In every case, the Pd core-shell catalysts exhibit better oxidative electrocatalytic properties compared to the monometallic Pd catalysts. In general, the CV data (Table 1) clearly indicate that the catalysts on the MWCNT-COOH supports exhibit the best catalytic activity for both EG and GLY compared those on the MWCNT-SO₃H supports. These results are consistent with the inherent properties of the MWCNT-COOH support, which include the more efficient and uniform dispersion of the catalysts (Pd and FeCo@Fe@Pd), smaller nanoparticulate sizes, and higher EASA.

Table 1: Comparison of voltammetric data of Pd-based catalysts in this work, All potentials obtained at 50 mVs⁻¹ and measured versus Ag|AgCl (3 M KCl), Pd loading of *ca.* 20 μ g cm⁻². Each experiment was run five times.

Pd-based catalyst	Alcohol solution	EASA / m ² g ¹	E _{onset} / V	E _{peak} /V	$J_{ m f}/J_{ m b}$
FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH	0.5 M EG / 1.0 M KOH	74.63±3.61	-0.58±0.03	-0.16±0.01	2.05±0.10
FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-SO ₃ H		42.26±2.10	-0.52±0.03	-0.17±0.01	3.01±0.14
Pd/MWCNT-COOH		8.10±0.38	-0.44±0.02	-0.16±0.01	2.50±0.13
Pd/MWCNT-SO ₃ H		9.98±0.41	-0.43±0.02	-0.16±0.01	2.60±0.13
FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH	0.5 M (GLY)	74.63±3.61	-0.57±0.03	-0.13±0.01	3.05±0.15
FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-SO ₃ H	/ 1.0 M KOH	42.26±2.10	-0.54±0.03	-0.16±0.01	4.09±0.20
Pd/MWCNT-COOH		8.10±0.38	0.51±0.03	-0.14±0.01	3.36±0.17
Pd/MWCNT-SO ₃ H		9.98±0.41	0.47±0.02	0.14±0.01	3.96±0.20

Stability is another important factor for the potential application of a catalyst in fuel cells. The stability of the four catalysts was studied using a conventional chronoamperometric technique. Fig. 7 compares the chronoamperometric evolutions in KOH solutions of EG (Fig. 7A) and GLY (Fig. 7B) at a fixed potential of 0.16 V vs Ag|AgCl. After the initial current decay, probably due to the deactivation of the Pd catalytic sites arising from chemisorbed carbonaceous species during alcohol oxidation,⁵⁴ the chronoamperograms gradually attained a pseudo-steady state. As seen in the current densities for both EG and GLY, FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH exhibited the highest initial current and the slowest decay rate amongst the catalysts. The FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH also maintained the highest oxidation current density at the pseudo-steady state, thus giving the best electrocatalytic activity stability. addition, the pseudo-steady state and In current for the FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH was higher for the EG compared to that for the GLY.



Fig. 7: Chronoamperometric curves of the various catalysts in (A) 0.5 M EG/1.0 M KOH and (B) 0.5 M GLY/1 M KOH at a fixed potential of 0.16 V vs Ag|AgCl (3M KCl).

Real-time alkaline direct alcohol fuel cell performance

In view of the excellent performance of the MWCNT-COOH support for the Pd and FeCo@Fe@Pd nanoelectrocatalysts, the real-time fuel cell performance for alkaline direct EG and GLY fuel cells (DEGFC and DGFC) was investigated using the anion-exchange membrane (AEM) Tokuyama A-201. The AEM-DEGFC and AEM-DGFC oxidation processes were investigated using passive oxygen-breathing direct alcohol fuel cells as described in the experimental section. Fig. 8 shows the galvanostatic curves for the AEM-DEGFC (Fig. 8A) and AEM-DGFC (Fig. 8B). For a reliable evaluation of the oxidation products, the anode compartment was sealed under a nitrogen atmosphere, while the cathode was exposed to air or oxygen with no significant variation in the cell performance. The fuel oxidation products were qualitatively and quantitatively determined by ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectroscopy using appropriate calibration curves. Galvanostatic experiments were carried out at room temperature on the passive cells where the anode compartment was filled with 5 wt.% of EG or GLY in 2 M KOH solution. After a conditioning time of 1 h at the OCV, the circuit of the cells was closed and a constant current of 102 mA (20 mA cm⁻²) was allowed to flow until the cell voltage reached zero. The solution was not refuelled during the experiment since the aim was simply to establish the oxidation products and their selectivity.



Fig. 8: Galvanostatic tests for passive AEM-DEGFC (A) and AEM-DGFC (B) with FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH and Pd/MWCNT-COOH anodes and fuelled with 2 M KOH and 5 wt. % EG or GLY ($T_{cell} = 15 - 18$ °C) at constant current of 20 mA cm⁻².

The oxidation products of the two polyhydric alcohols were established using the ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectroscopy. **Fig. 9** shows typical ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectra of the oxidation products of glycerol, (also see *Supporting Information, Fig. S5* for the ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectra for the oxidation of ethylene glycol).



Fig. 9: NMR spectra of the oxidation products of glycerol at (A) FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH and (B) Pd/MWCNT-COOH, Insets are the expanded portions of the main product peaks.

Fig. 10 represents the distribution of the exhaust products for the oxidation of EG (Fig. 10A) and GLY (Fig. 10B) at FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH and Pd/MWCNT-COOH. The products of the oxidation of EG were the same using both FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH and Pd/MWCNT-COOH catalysts as anode catalysts. However, the selectivity of each oxidation product is different. For the DEGFC (Fig. 10A), the FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH delivered 102 mA current for 8.7 h (Fig. 8A) yielding carbonate (67%), formate (2%), oxalate (4%) and glycolate (28%) with a fuel conversion of 65 % and released energy of 551 J. On the other hand, with the Pd/MWCNT-COOH as the anode catalyst for the DEGFC, the same of amount of current (102 mA) was delivered for 4.62 h yielding carbonate (26%). formate (4%), oxalate (5%), and glycolate (65%) with a conversion of 29 % and cell energy of 67 J. These reaction products have also been observed by other authors for the oxidation of EG in alkaline media^{25,55,56} who attributed them to the tolerance of the catalysts to poisoning as well as the ability of the catalysts to facilitate the C-C bond cleavage. The two catalysts used in this AEM-DEGFC study have a high tolerance to the presence of poisonous carbonaceous products like formates. Thus, we can infer that the ability of the FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH to efficiently break the C-C bonds of the EG to the carbonates is due to the enhanced electronic properties of the Pd surface layer arising from the lattice strain caused by the FeCo@Fe alloy. Although the Pd/MWCNT-COOH catalyst was not as selective in the complete oxidation of EG, its reaction for EG oxidation resulted in a high production of glycolate (a very useful product for a broad range of industrial applications from pharmaceuticals to the textiles) under mild conditions. Notably, no traces of either glyoxaldehyde or hemicetal were detected in any stage of the galvanostatic experiments.



Fig. 10: Distribution of the exhaust products for (A) EG and (B) GLY oxidation at FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH and Pd/MWCNT-COOH. 5% Alcohol / 2M KOH.

28

Journal of Materials Chemistry A

Based on both literature data,⁵⁷ and observed oxidation products, a two-way reaction path for the EG electrooxidation has been proposed (**Scheme 1**). Both FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH and Pd/MWCNT-COOH electrocatalysts showed a preference towards the oxidation of one hydroxyl group of EG (path a), whereas a small amount of oxalate seems to be produced mainly by the direct oxidation of EG (path b) rather from the further oxidation of the glycolate (path d).³⁰ While the Pd/MWCNT-COOH showed a weak ability towards the C-C bond, the FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH seems very efficient in the further oxidation of EG producing formate and a large amount of carbonate (route c).



Scheme 1: Proposed reaction pathway for EG electro-oxidation on FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH and Pd/MWCNT-COOH

The oxidation of GLY gave a plethora of oxidation products as shown in Fig. 10B based NMR exhaust product distribution analysis The on the (Fig. 9). FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH delivered 102 mA current for 4.68 h yielding carbonate (73%), formate (3%), oxalate (7%), glycerate (3%), glycolate (7%), tartonate (7%) with a fuel conversion of 16 % and released energy of 265 J. However, with the Pd/MWCNT-COOH as the anode catalyst, the same of amount of current (102 mA) was delivered for 3.32 h yielding carbonate (47%), oxalate (9%), tartonate (15%), glycerate (14%) and glycolate (14%) with a conversion of 15 % and released energy of 82 J. No traces of secondary alcohol oxidation, such as dihydroxyacetone, hydroxypyruvate or mesoxalate were observed (Scheme 2). GLY is first oxidized to glyceraldehyde, which in turn is quickly oxidized to glycerate. Glycerate is further oxidized to tartronate (path c) and, by cleavage of C-C bond, into glycolate and formate (path d). The latter species leads to carbonate while the glycolate is oxidized to oxalate (path f). GLY is also oxidized directly to tartronate (path b). CO₂ is prevalently a byproduct of the oxidation of either glycerate or glycolate (path d and e).⁵⁷ However, the vields significant of oxidation of GLY amounts carbonate. Furthermore, FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH showed a higher released energy than Pd/MWCNT-COOH (about three times).



Scheme 2: Proposed reaction pathway for GLY electro-oxidation on FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH and Pd / MWCNT-COOH.

Journal of Materials Chemistry A

The experimental data clearly proves that both Pd-based catalysts on MWCNT supports, notably the FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH, are able to dissociate GLY into fragments containing a single carbon at a high percentage under mild experimental conditions. The generation of carbonates as the main oxidation products suggests the unique ability of the catalysts to facilitate complete electro-oxidation of glycerol with an improved Faradaic efficiency. This result is very unique considering that quite a number of recently reported Pd-based catalysts (such as Pd/C⁵⁸, PdNi/C⁵⁸, PdAu/C⁵⁸, PdRh/C³¹, PdAu/C and PdNi⁵⁹ and PdPtBi/C⁶⁰) did not show any selectivity towards the complete oxidation of GLY.

Conclusions

This work studied the behaviour of a Pd-based ternary core-shell nanoparticles (FeCo@Fe@Pd) supported on MWCNT-COOH and MWCNT-SO₃H for the electrocatalytic oxidation of EG and GLY in alkaline media. We clearly proved that the surface functional groups (mainly -COOH and -SO₃H) on the MWCNT support played a critical role on the physico-chemical properties of the FeCo@Fe@Pd nanocatalyst towards the electrocatalytic oxidation of EG and GLY. The FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCN-COOH was characterized by smaller nanoparticles, more uniform loading on the support, large electrochemically-active surface area and enhanced electrocatalytic activity compared to its FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT–SO₃H counterparts. When the FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH was used for a preliminary study in passive air-breathing anion-exchange membrane based fuel cells (AEM-DEGFC and AEM-DGFC), the exhaust products revealed high levels of selectivity towards the complete oxidation of EG and GLY under benign experimental conditions. The high catalytic performance of the FeCo@Fe@Pd/MWCNT-COOH has been interpreted as the results of its improved electronic properties coupled with the high affinity of its -COOH surface with the

catalyst species. The study has indeed opened doors for future developments of these Pdbased nanocatalysts, such as the exploration of more nanocarbon platforms, optimization of the catalyst system for improved oxidation and selectivity of alcohols, and application in active ADAFCs for enhanced power and energy densities.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the CSIR, the University of Pretoria as well as the South Africa's Department of Science and Technology (DST) and National Research Foundation (NRF) under the *"Nanotechnology Flagship Programme"* (supercapacitors and fuel cell project, Grant No: 69849). OOF thanks the DST/NRF for doctoral bursary under this project.

Notes and References

- 1) D. Morales-Acosta, L.G. Arriaga, L. Alvarez-Contreras, S.F. Luna, F.J.R. Varela, *Electrochem. commun.* **2009**, *11*, 1414–1417.
- 2) R. Chetty, K. Scott, J. Appl. Electrochem. 2007, 37, 1077–1084.
- 3) V. Livshits, M. Philosoph, E. Peled, J. Power Sources 2008, 178, 687–691.
- 4) D. Kaplan, L. Burstein, Y. Rosenberg, E. Peled, J. Power Sources 2011, 196, 8286-8292.
- 5) D. Kaplan, M. Alon, L. Burstein, Y. Rosenberg, E. Peled, J. Power Sources 2011, 196, 1078–1083.
- 6) A. Serov, C. Kwak, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 2010, 97, 1–12.
- N. Ji, T. Zhang, M. Zheng, A. Wang, H. Wang, X. Wang, J.G. Chen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2008, 47, 8510–3.
- M.-Y. Zheng, A.-Q. Wang, N. Ji, J.-F. Pang, X.-D. Wang, T. Zhang, ChemSusChem 2010, 3, 63–6.
- 9) L. Xin, Z. Zhang, Z. Wang, W. Li, ChemCatChem 2012, 4, 1105–1114.
- 10) Z. Zhang, L. Xin, W. Li, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 2012, 119-120, 40-48.

- 11) J.V. Gerpen, Fuel Process. Technol. 2005, 86, 1097–1107.
- 12) T.J Schmidt, H.A. Gasteiger, R.J. Behm, J. Electrochem. Soc. 1999, 146, 1296-1304.
- 13) V. Mazmuder, M. Chi, M.N. Mankin, Y. Liu, O. Metin, D.A. Sun, Nano Lett., 2012, 12, 1102–1106.
- 14) Y. W. Lee, M. Kim, Y. Kim, S.W. Kang, J.H. Lee; S.W. Han, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2010, 114, 7689–7693.
- 15) C. Zhu, S. Guo, S. Dong, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 14851-14855.
- 16) R.M. Modibedi, K.I. Ozoemena, M.K. Mathe, Palladium-Based Nanocatalysts for Alcohol Electro-oxidation in Alkaline Media In: Electrocatalysis in Fuel Cells, Lecture Notes in Energy 9, (Edited by Minhua Shao), Springer-Verlag, London, 2013, Chapter 6, pp 129-156.
- 17) S. Rousseau, C. Coutanceau, C. Lamy, J. P 2006, 158, 18-24.
- 18) Z.-P. Sun, X.-G. Zhang, R.-L. Liu, Y.-Y Liang, H.-L. Li, J. Power Sources 2008, 185, 801–806.
- 19) P. K. Shen, C. Xu, *Electrochem. commun.* 2006, *8*, 184–188.
- 20) Y. Wang, T.S. Nguyen, X. Liu, X. Wang, X. J. Power Sources 2010, 195, 2619–2622.
- 21) R.K. Pandey, V. Lakshminarayanan, J. Phys. Chem. C 2009, 113, 21596–21603.
- 22) S. Cherevko, X. Xing, C.-H. Chung, Electrochim. Acta 2011, 56, 5771–5775.
- 23) F. Ksar, L. Ramos, B. Keita, L. Nadjo, P. Beaunier, H. Remita, H. Chem. Mater. 2009, 21, 3677–3683.
- 24) K. Ishiyama, F. Kosaka, I. Shimada, Y. Oshima, J. Otomo, J. Power Sources 2013, 225, 141–149.
- 25) K. Miyazaki, T. Matsumiya, T. Abe, H. Kurata, T. Fukutsuka, K. Kojima, Z. Ogumi, *Electrochim. Acta* 2011, 56, 7610–7614.
- 26) P.S. Fernández, M.E. Martins, G.A. Camara, *Electrochim. Acta* 2012, 66, 180–187.
- 27) J.F. Gomes, F.B.C. Paula, L.H.S. Gasparotto, *Electrochim. Acta* 2012, 76, 88–93.
- 28) D.Z. Jeffery, G.A. Camara, Electrochem. commun. 2010, 12, 1129–1132.
- 29) C. Bianchini, P.K. Shen, Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 4183-206.
- 30) V. Bambagioni, M. Bevilacqua, C. Bianchini, J. Filippi, A. Marchionni, F. Vizza, L.Q. Wang, P.K. Shen, *Fuel Cells* 2010, 10, 582–590.

- 31) Ferreira Jr., R. S.; Janete Giz, M.; Camara, G. A. J. Electroanal. Chem. 2013, 697, 15-20.
- 32) L. An, T.S. Zhao, S.Y. Shen, Q.X. Wu, R. Chen, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2010, 35, 4329– 4335.
- 33) O.O. Fashedemi, B. Jules, K.I. Ozoemena, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 2034-2036.
- 34) O.O. Fashedemi, K.I. Ozoemena, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2013, 15, 20982-20991
- 35) O.O. Fashedemi, K.I. Ozoemena, *Electrochim. Acta* 2014, 128, 279-286.
- 36) J.A. Liu, G. Rinzler, H. Dai, J.H. Hanfer, R.K. Bradley, P.J. Boul, A. Lu, T. Iverson, K. Shelimov, C.B. Huffman, F.R. Macias, Y.S. Shon, T.R. Lee, D.T. Colbert, *Science* 1998, 280, 1253.
- 37) Z. Sun, X. Zhang, Y. Liang, H. Li, J. Power Sources 2009, 191, 366-370.
- 38) T. Ramulifho, K.I. Ozoemena, R.M. Modibedi, C.J. Jafta, M.K. Mathe, *Electrochim. Acta* 2012, 59, 310-320
- 39) V. Bambagioni, C. Bianchini, J. Filippi, A. Lavacchi, W. Oberhauser, A. Marchionni, S. Moneti, F. Vizza, R. Psaro, V. Dal Santo, A. Gallo, S. Recchia, L. Sordelli J. Power Sources 2011, 196, 2519–2529.
- 40) V. Bambagioni, C. Bianchini, A. Marchionni, J. Filippi, F. Vizza, J. Teddy, P. Serp, M. Zhiani, J. Power Sources 2009, 190, 241–251.
- 41) D. Wang, H.L. Xin, Y. Yu, H. Wang, E. Rus, D.A. Muller, H.D. Abruna, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 17664–17666
- 42) V. Mazumder, M. Chi, K.L. More, S. Sun, S.; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 7848-7849.
- 43) T. Ramulifho, K.I. Ozoemena, R.M. Modibedi, C.J. Jafta, M.K. Mathe, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **2013**, *692*, 26–30.
- 44) Y. Wang, X.P. Gao, Z.W. Lu, W.K. Hu, Z. Zhou, J.Q. Qu, P.W. Shen, *Electrochim. Acta*, **2005**, 50, 2187-2191
- 45) S. Kim, S.-J. Park, J. Power Sources 2006, 159, 42-45.
- 46) R. Li, H. Mao, J. Zhang, T. Huang, A. Yu, J. Power Sources 2013, 241, 660–667.
- 47) X. Yang, Q. Yang, J. Xu, C.-S. Lee, J. Mater. Chem. 2012, 22, 8057.
- 48) Y. Suo, L. Zhuang, J. Lu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2007, 46, 2862–2864.
- 49) D.A.J. Rand, R. Woods, J. Electroanal. Chem. 1971, 31, 29-38.
- 50) B. Wu, B. Wang, C. Deng, Y. Gao, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 2011, 103, 163–168.

- 51) Y.-W. Lee, A.-R. Ko, S.-B. Han, H.-S. Kim, K.-W. Park, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2011, 13, 5569–5572.
- 52) Z.X. Liang, T.S. Zhao, J.B. Xu, L.D. Zhu, *Electrochim. Acta* 2009, 54, 2203–2208.
- 53) C. Bianchini, V. Bambagioni, J. Filippi, A. Marchionni, F. Vizza, P. Bert, A. Tampucci, *Electrochem. Commun.* 2009, 11, 1077–1080.
- 54) Y. Jiang, Y. Lu, F. Li, T. Wu, L. Niu, L. W. Chen, Electrochem. commun. 2012, 19, 21-24.
- 55) R. B. de Lima, V. Paganin, T. Iwasita, W. Vielstich, *Electrochim. Acta* 2003, 49, 85–91.
- 56) L. Wang, H. Meng, P.K. Shen, C. Bianchini, F. Vizza, Z. Wei, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *13*, 2667–73.
- 57) A. Marchionni, M. Bevilacqua, C. Bianchini, Y.-X. Chen, J. Filippi, P. Fornasiero, A. Lavacchi, H. Miller, L. Wang, F. Vizza, *ChemSusChem* **2013**, *6*, 518–528.
- 58) A. Villa, M. Plebani, M. Schiavoni, C. Milone, E. Piperopoulos, S. Galvagno, L. Prati, *Catal. Today* 2012, 186, 76–82.
- 59) M. Simões, S. Baranton, C. Coutanceau, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 2010, 93, 354–362.
- 60) M. Simões, S. Baranton, C. Coutanceau, Appl. Catal. B Environ. 2011, 110, 40-49.