# Chemical Science

## Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemicalscience

## Journal Name

## **RSCPublishing**

### ARTICLE

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

## Metal influence on the iso- and hetero-selectivity of complexes of bipyrrolidine derived Salan ligands for the polymerisation of *rac*-lactide

Matthew D. Jones<sup>a,\*</sup> Lauren Brady,<sup>a</sup> Paul McKeown,<sup>a,b</sup> Antoine Buchard,<sup>a</sup> Pascal M. Schäfer,<sup>a</sup> Lynne H. Thomas,<sup>a</sup> Mary F. Mahon,<sup>c</sup> Timothy J. Woodman<sup>a</sup> and John P. Lowe<sup>a</sup>

In this paper we have prepared a series of Ti(IV), Hf(IV) and Al(III) complexes based on bipyrrolidine salan pro-ligands. The Hf(IV) complexes have all been characterised in the solidstate, the chiral ligands coordinate to Hf(IV) in an  $\alpha$ -cis manner whereas the *meso* ligand coordinates in a  $\beta$ -cis geometry. The Hf(IV) complexes are all active for the ROP of *rac*lactide in the melt, with the fluxional *meso* complex affording a strong isotactic bias  $P_m = 0.84$ . As expected Hf(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr)<sub>2</sub> polymerised L-LA faster than *rac*-LA ( $k_{app} = 5.9 \times 10^{-3}$  mins<sup>-1</sup> vs 3.8  $\times 10^{-3}$  mins<sup>-1</sup>). For Ti(IV) complexes atactic PLA was formed. The salan pro-ligands have also been complexed to Al(III), and the novel Al-Me and Al-O<sup>i</sup>Pr complexes were characterised in the solid and solution state. Al(1)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) was fluxional on the NMR timescale, whereas Al(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) was locked in solution with no exchange. Interestingly, the Al(III) complexes of 3H<sub>2</sub> produce PLA with a very strong heterotactic bias  $P_r$  upto 0.87, whereas atactic PLA is produced with 1H<sub>2</sub>. For Al(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) a linear relationship is observed with  $M_n$  and conversion. Experiments with the addition of an equivalent of *rac*-LA to the selective initiators have also been performed and are discussed.

#### Introduction

Over recent years there has been a concerted effort to find isoselective initiators for the ROP of *rac*-LA.<sup>1</sup> Isotactic PLA from *rac*-LA has an enhanced melting point over PLA produced from the ROP of enantiopure monomers.<sup>2</sup> This coupled with the desirable biodegradation and the fact that the monomer can be prepared from annually renewable resources has spurred research in this area.<sup>3</sup> The majority of iso-selective initiators are based on aluminium – for example early work of Feijen and Spassky employing chiral salen complexes.<sup>1i, 1j, 4</sup> However, in recent years yttrium and lanthanide complexes have also shown promise in this area.<sup>5</sup> Moreover, we have recently shown that a zirconium complex of a bipyrrolidine salan ligand is able to produce PLA with a strong isotactic bias ( $P_m = 0.84$ ).<sup>6</sup> In this paper we have expanded further on this study with Ti(IV), Hf(IV) complexes and Al(III) systems.

It is difficult to predict the resulting stereochemistry of the polymer that an initiator may produce. Al(III) complexes have a propensity to produce highly isotactic PLA.<sup>7</sup> For example, in 2004 Gibson prepared aluminium salan complexes based on an N,N'-dimethylethylendiamine with H-substituents on the phenoxide and this afforded moderate isotactic PLA ( $P_m =$ 

0.68).1c However, when this was changed to a Cl-substituted phenolate heterotactic PLA was produced,  $P_r$  of 0.88. However, with the N,N'-dibenzylethylendiamine version with Hsubstituents highly isotactic PLA ( $P_m = 0.79$ ) was observed.<sup>1c</sup> We have shown that with Al(III) salalen complexes the tacticity can be changed from moderate heterotacticity to moderate isotacticity by altering the substituent on the amine nitrogen.<sup>11</sup> In 2012 Williams prepared a series of yttrium phosphasalen initiators and it was observed that on varying the backbone either isotactic or heterotactic PLA could be produced.<sup>5a</sup> Kol and Okuda have, with a series of ONSO ligands complexed to Zr(IV), shown that the selectivity can be dependent upon the fluxionality of the complex, with rigid ligands forming isotactic PLA and fluxional catalysts heterotactic PLA.<sup>8</sup> However, it has recently been reported that with phenylene ONSO ligands the tacticity was determined by the substituents on the phenolate and not fluxionality.9 There are limited examples in the literature of ligands which when complexed to different metals afford different tacticities. Ma has shown a switch in stereoselectivity with a series of ONN derived salan ligands complexed to either zinc or magnesium.<sup>10</sup> With magnesium a hexa-coordinated active site is postulated and heterotactic PLA is formed, however, for zinc complexes a penta-coordinate

active site is observed and isotactic PLA is isolated. Recently, Williams has prepared a series of phosphasalen complexes based on La(III) (covalent radius 1.87 Å) and Lu(III) (covalent radius 2.07 Å), with the larger La(III) affording heterotactic PLA and the smaller Lu(III) isotactic PLA.<sup>5b</sup>

#### **Results and discussion**

#### **Ligands and Complex Preparation**

The ligands were prepared by a modified Mannich reaction as detailed elsewhere.<sup>6, 11</sup> The complexes in this study were prepared as shown in scheme 1:



Scheme 1: Ligands and complexes used in this study.

The Hf(IV) complexes, figure 1, are analogues to the previously reported zirconium complexes.<sup>6</sup> As before the meso ligand is coordinated in a β-cis geometry manner and the chiral species as the  $\alpha$ -cis isomers.<sup>6, 12</sup> Solution state NMR spectroscopic measurements are in agreement with the solid state structures being maintained in solution. For the enantiopure complexes the ligands are "locked" in position as indicated by discrete doublets for the methylene CH<sub>2</sub> moieties and there are no exchange peaks observed in the NOESY/EXSY spectrum at 298 K (CDCl<sub>3</sub>). However, from NOESY/EXSY experiments on Hf(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr)<sub>2</sub>, there is evidence of ligand exchange on the NMR timescale. There are exchanges processes not only of the aliphatic back bone but also in the aromatic region of the spectrum, for instance the resonance at 6.51 ppm interchanges with that at 6.67 ppm. Furthermore, DOSY NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) was performed on the complex further suggesting one species in solution with a diffusion coefficient of  $7.8 \times 10^{-10}$  m<sup>2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> being observed, this equates to a hydrodynamic radii of ca. 5.1 Å. The meso-3H<sub>2</sub> ligand was also reacted with Ti(O<sup>i</sup>Pr)<sub>4</sub> to form Ti(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr)<sub>2</sub> which was recrystallised in hexane. As expected the  $\beta$ -cis geometry was observed in the solid-state. Solution state NMR spectroscopy confirmed this was the solution structure with two distinct isopropoxide methine resonances.



Figure 1: Solid state structure of Hf(3)(OiPr)<sub>2</sub>. Ellipsoids are shown at the 30 % probability level, hydrogen and disordered moieties have been removed for clarity.

Initially the proligands 1H<sub>2</sub> and 3H<sub>2</sub> were reacted with AlMe<sub>3</sub> to generate Al(1 or 3)Me.<sup>7c</sup> The solid-state structures have been determined for Al(1 or 3)Me and are shown in figure 2.7<sup>c</sup> The tau value for Al(1)Me is 0.97 indicating a strong preference for the *R*,*R*-ligand to form a trigonal bipyramidal structure, this is exemplified by the O(1)-Al(1)-N(2) and O(2)-Al(1)-C(1) angles of 168.66(6)° and 122.09(8)° respectively.<sup>13</sup> There is a clear difference between Al(1)Me and A(3)Me with the bipyrrolidine rings of the meso ligand forming a "bowl" shape around the metal centre, this is observed in the space filling model (see supporting information). For Al(3)Me there are three crystallographically independent aluminium centres, with tau values of 0.37, 0.28 and 0.76 indicating there is no clear preference for either square pyramidal or trigonal bipyramidal in this case. This is exemplified by the O(1)-Al(1)-N(2) and O(2)-Al(1)-C(1) angles of 154.81(6)° and 113.08(6)° for one of and the Al(**3**)Me moieties *cf*. 136.55(6)/105.95(8)° 162.79(6)/119.09(7)° for the analogous angles in the other crystallographic unique Al(III) centres. The solution state <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (298 K, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>5</sub>CD<sub>3</sub>) for Al(3)Me showed two aromatic resonances and two sharp doublets for the methylene Ar-CH<sub>2</sub>-N moieties. Furthermore, six aromatic, four CH<sub>2</sub> and one CH resonance where observed in the <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR, indicating that both aryl rings and pyrrolidine rings are chemically equivalent. This is in contrast to the solution state NMR spectrum of Al(1)(Me) was very broad and fluxional at 298 K. However, at 233 K the spectrum sharpened and four aromatic resonances where observed in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, in the <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} spectrum eight CH<sub>2</sub>, two CH and four Ar-CH<sub>3</sub> resonances where observed indicating that, once coordinated, each half of the ligand are no longer equivalent.

Journal Name





Figure 2: Solid state structures for the aluminium complexes, ellipsoids are shown at the 30% probability level, all hydrogen atoms and disorder have been removed for clarity. Top left: Al(1)Me, Top right: Al(3)Me, bottom left Al(1)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) bottom right Al(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr).

To generate species that are capable of acting as ROP initiators in the melt the Al-Me complexes were reacted with HO<sup>i</sup>Pr to afford discrete alkoxide species.<sup>14</sup> Al(1)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) crystallises in the orthorhombic space group  $P2_12_12_1$ . The aluminium centre is in a pseudo trigonal bipyramidal motif with a tau value of 0.70.  $Al(3)(O^{i}Pr)$  crystallises in the cubic space group Pa-3 and the aluminium centre is in a pseudo trigonal bipyramidal motif with a tau value of 0.64. The solution state behaviour mirrors that of the alkyl complexes. At 298 K (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) Al(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) the solution state <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum consisted of two aryl resonances and discrete resonances for the Ar-CH2-N moieties. Cooling to 233 K (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) or heating to 353 K (C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>5</sub>CD<sub>3</sub>) had no effect on the spectrum. Furthermore, there were no exchange peaks observed in the NOESY/EXSY spectrum. However, resonances for Al(1)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) at 298 K (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) where broad, upon cooling to 253 K these sharpened significantly, as with Al(1)Me, both halves of the ligand are not equivalent once coordinated. NOESY/EXSY at 253 K and 298 K show the presence of exchange peaks. Furthermore, DOSY NMR (CDCl3) was performed on Al(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) suggesting one major species in solution with a diffusion coefficient of  $7.6 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$  being observed. DFT studies were also in agreement with the isolated structure being the most stable form, see ESI. It should also be noted that there is the possibility of one phenoxide arm orienting itself towards or away from isopropoxide, see ESI. The difference between the two is small ( $\Delta G = 2.4 \text{ kcalmol}^{-1}$ ) but adds further to the complexity of the system. The complex Al(4)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) was also prepared, its solid state structure is similar to Al(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) and its NMR is consistent with the desired structure being dominant in solution. However, it must be noted that it was difficult to fully convert Al(4)Me into the isopropoxide analogue; even with heating and five equivalents of isopropanol, ca. 5% of the Al(4)Me complex was coisolated. This was not the case with the Me-substituted ligand and presumably reflects the steric difference between the two systems.

Table 1 Polymerisation data for *rac*-LA with initiators  $Ti(3)(O^{i}Pr)_{2}$  and  $Hf(1-3)(O^{i}Pr)_{2}$ 

Initiator	Time (h)	Conv. (%) <sup><i>d</i></sup>	$M_n^{e}$	PDI <sup>e</sup>	$P_{\mathrm{m}}{}^{f}$
$Hf(1)(O^{i}Pr)_{2}^{a}$	1	57	33700	1.09	0.71
$Hf(2)(O^{i}Pr)_{2}^{a}$	1	55	33250	1.07	0.71
$Hf(3)(O^{i}Pr)_{2}^{a}$	0.17	92	33000	1.27	0.68
$Ti(3)(O^{i}Pr)_{2}^{a}$	24	48	15700	1.06	0.50
$Hf(1)(O^{i}Pr)_{2}^{b}$	4	10	-	-	-
$Hf(2)(O^{i}Pr)_{2}^{b}$	4	10	-	-	-
$Hf(3)(O^{i}Pr)_{2}^{b}$	4	91	16200	1.12	0.80
$Hf(3)(O^{i}Pr)_{2}^{c}$	4	87	14100	1.06	0.84

<sup>*a*</sup> Conditions: [M]/[I] = 300, 130 °C, solvent free. <sup>*b*</sup> Conditions: [M]/[I] = 100, toluene, T = 70 °C <sup>*c*</sup> T = 50 °C. <sup>*d*</sup> as determined *via* <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. <sup>*e*</sup> Determined from GPC (in THF) referenced to polystyrene. <sup>*f*</sup> *P*<sub>*m*</sub> is the probability of isotactic enchainment, calculated from the <sup>1</sup>H homonuclear decoupled NMR spectra.

In this study we have simply used recrystallised monomer to mimic more industrially relevant conditions. The results for the solution and melt ROP of *rac*-LA, with the Hf(IV) and Ti(IV) complexes are shown in Table 1. As observed for the Zr(IV) complexes isotactic PLA was produced, with Hf(IV). Analysis of the microstructure showed a small contribution from the *sis* tetrad and the *sii*, *iis* and *isi* are approximately 1:1:1 indicating that a chain end control mechanism is operative which would lead to a stereoblock structure to the PLA.<sup>1f, 5a</sup> DSC analysis of the PLA produced from Hf(**3**)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr)<sub>2</sub> in solution showed a melting point of 181 °C (Table 1 entry 8) and 173 °C (Table 1 entry 7) indicating some crystallinity. Whereas, the PLA produced with Hf(**1**/**2**)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr)<sub>2</sub> ( $P_m = 0.71$ ) from bulk polymerisation had no defined melting point. The Ti(**3**)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr)<sub>2</sub> complex produced atactic PLA and was significantly slower.



Figure 3: Semi-logarithmic plots of the polymerisation of L-LA and *rac*-LA ([LA]<sub>0</sub> = 0.56 mol dm<sup>-3</sup>) [LA]:[Init] 100:1 init = Hf (3)(OiPr)<sub>2</sub>. CDCl<sub>3</sub> 298 K.

Hf(**3**)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr)<sub>2</sub> was significantly faster than the chiral initiators, which is exemplified by the polymerisation tests at 70 °C. The kinetics for L-LA and *rac*-LA polymerisation were investigated with Hf(**3**)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr)<sub>2</sub>, Figure 3. There is a first order dependency on the monomer, upto a conversion of 90 %, and L-LA is faster than *rac*-LA. MALDI-ToF analysis on the PLA formed from solution (50 °C) shows a major polymer series with a repeat unit of 144 gmol<sup>-1</sup> and a minor series with a 72 gmol<sup>-1</sup> difference, indicating a small degree of transesterification. This also demonstrated the presence of an O<sup>i</sup>Pr end group as

expected from the classical coordination insertion mechanism. The mechanism for the polymerisation is presumably similar to that of the zirconium complex, which we have previously postulated is a ligand mediated chain end control mechanism with complementary chirality being maintained by virtue of the complex fluxionality. This is a "self-correcting" method to produce isotactic PLA. If the wrong isomer inserts then the initiator "switches" from  $\Delta \Leftrightarrow \Lambda$ , Figure 4.



STEREOBLOCK ISOTACTIC PLA

Figure 4: Proposed mechanism to illustrate the stereocontrolled nature of the polymerisation using the  $\rm Hf(3)(O^{i}Pr)_{2}$  initiator.^6

When Hf(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr)<sub>2</sub> is reacted with 1 equivalent of *rac*-LA a complex mixture is observed (see <sup>1</sup>H NMR supporting information). However, the aromatic resonances are in a roughly 2:1:1 relationship which is indicative of the Hf(IV) centre remaining in a six coordinate octahedral  $\beta$ -cis geometry (as seen in the parent initiator). DOSY NMR spectroscopic analysis gave a diffusion coefficient of 6.4 × 10<sup>-10</sup> m<sup>2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>. As expected this is reduced from the parent initiator due to the increased resistance caused by the ring opened lactide moiety. There appeared to be only one species in solution, which was presumably Hf(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr)(OC(HMe)C(O)OC(HMe)C(O)O<sup>i</sup>Pr). NOESY/EXSY NMR shows a similar exchange pattern as for the parent initiator.

It is well known in the literature that aluminium complexes have a strong tendency to produce isotactic PLA.<sup>1c, 4a</sup> However, heterotactic PLA was produced with Al(3)(OiPr) and atactic with Al(1)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr), both in solution and in the melt, Table 2. The aluminium alkyl complexes required the addition of benzyl alcohol as co-initiator to form active species. PLA exhibiting a narrow dispersity was formed, with the meso complex affording a strong heterotactic bias,  $P_r = 0.87$ . Analysis of the PLA prepared with Al(3)Me with the addition of HOCH<sub>2</sub>Ph via MALDI-ToF MS indicated the presence of the H- and -OCH<sub>2</sub>Ph end groups as expected. Furthermore, the major series has a repeat unit of 144 gmol<sup>-1</sup> (a minor series with 72 gmol<sup>-1</sup> is detected) indicating also little inter-molecular transesterification is occurring. However, at low mass (ca. 2000Da) a minor series of cyclic PLA (up to 16 LA units) with

a repeat unit of 72 gmol<sup>-1</sup> implying a degree of intra-molecular transesterification was occurring.

Table 2 Polymerisation data for rac-LA with initiators Al(1/3/4)Me/(O <sup>i</sup> Pr)									
Initiator	Time (h)	Temp/°C	Conv. (%) <sup><i>d</i></sup>	$M_n^{e}$	PDI <sup>e</sup>	$P_{\rm r}^{f}$			
Al(1)Me <sup>a</sup>	120	80	86	29150	1.08	0.49			
Al(3)Me <sup>a</sup>	120	80	87	21550	1.05	0.87			
Al(1)(O <sup>i</sup> Pr) <sup>b</sup>	24	130	37	14700	1.07	0.50			
Al(3)(O <sup>i</sup> Pr) <sup>b</sup>	24	130	82	59650	1.25	0.69			
Al(4)(O <sup>i</sup> Pr) <sup>b</sup>	48	130	75	45500	1.09	0.50			
Al(3)(O <sup>i</sup> Pr) <sup>c</sup>	168	70	72	18850	1.05	0.87			
Al(3)(O <sup>i</sup> Pr) <sup>c</sup>	120	80	72	16279	1.07	0.82			
Al(3)(O <sup>i</sup> Pr) <sup>c</sup>	90	90	71	17900	1.10	0.79			
Al(3)(O <sup>i</sup> Pr) <sup>c</sup>	90	100	69	17150	1.11	0.76			

<sup>*a*</sup> Conditions: [M]:[I]:[BnOH] = 100:1:1, toluene. <sup>*b*</sup> Conditions: [M]/[I] = 300, solvent free. <sup>*c*</sup> [M]:[I] 100:1, toluene. <sup>*d*</sup> as determined *via* <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy. <sup>*e*</sup> Determined from GPC (in THF) referenced to polystyrene. <sup>*f*</sup>  $P_r$  is the probability of heterotactic enchainment, calculated from the <sup>1</sup>H homonuclear decoupled NMR spectra.

As in solution the Al(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) initiator produced heterotactic PLA, whereas the chiral complex afforded atactic polymer under melt conditions (table 2 entries 3 and 4). Furthermore, Al(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) was far more active in the melt than Al(1)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) and displayed a linear relationship between conversion and  $M_n$ , indicative of a well-controlled polymerisation, Figure 5. When L-LA was polymerised with Al(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) under melt conditions a conversion of 47% ( $M_n = 27450 \text{ gmol}^{-1} M_w/M_n = 1.19, 24 \text{ h}$ ) was achieved which is significantly lower than that obtained with *rac*-LA, as expected from a heteroselective initiator. A melt test with Al(4)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) afforded atactic PLA with a high conversion of steric bulk and the *meso* chirality are key in the production of heterotactic PLA in this case.



Figure 5: Plot of  $M_n$  and PDI vs conversion for the melt polymerisation of *rac*-LA initiated with Al(**3**)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr).

In solution there is a strong preference for heterotactic PLA with  $P_r$  upto 0.87. MALDI-ToF analysis of the PLA prepared at 70 °C (Table 2 entry 6) showed a major series with the H- and - O<sup>i</sup>Pr endgroups and a repeat unit of 144 gmol<sup>-1</sup> (with a minor series of 72 gmol<sup>-1</sup>), again a small amount of cyclic PLA was observed. Analysis of the PLA produced with Al(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) at 80 °C after 48 hrs afforded a  $P_r$  value of 0.85 and conversion of 25 %, indicating a strong heterotacticity from initiation. Al(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) was also trialled for the ROP of *rac*- $\beta$ -butyrolactone, after 8 hrs at 80 °C at 300:1 in the absence of

**Chemical Science Accepted Manuscri** 

solvent, a conversion of 78% was achieved ( $M_n = 28,400 \text{ gmol}^{-1}$ <sup>1</sup>, PDI = 1.03) unfortunately the polymer produced was atactic. When Al(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) is reacted with 1 equivalent of rac-LA at 353K (on the NMR scale ca. 20 mgs of initiator), there are significant changes in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (see ESI). Four resonances (1:1:1:1) are observed for the aryl protons, a series of 1H doublets for the backbone, two clear quartets for the opened lactide and there is a slight downfield shift in the isopropoxide methine proton. There was little change in the <sup>27</sup>Al NMR with a resonance at ca. 44 ppm being observed at 353K. When a further 3 equivalents were added there was still no change in the <sup>27</sup>Al NMR at 353K implying that the aluminium centre remains 5 coordinate as the polymer chain is growing.<sup>15</sup> Upon cooling back to 298K the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum was sharp and showed analogous features as the 353K spectrum, but no signal could be observed in the <sup>27</sup>Al NMR spectrum. From analysis of the <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum two carbonyl resonances were observed at 170.4 and 180.8 ppm respectively. This compares to the signal at 180.7 previously observed for a five coordinate Schiff base Al-lactate complex.<sup>15</sup> To probe the coordination of the active species further Al(3)Mewas reacted with (S)-methyl-lactate. The <sup>27</sup>Al NMR had a broad resonance at 42.4 ppm (cf. 46.5 for Al(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) which is indicative of a five coordinate Al(III) centre.<sup>15</sup> We have also probed the complexes formed by the reaction of Al(3)Me with (S)-methyl-lactate via DFT calculations, which supports the notion of the five coordinated intermediate being favoured. However, it was clear that there were more than one species present in solution, this is exemplified by the presence of two CH<sub>3</sub> resonances for the methoxy and methyl groups of the lactate (at room temperature). This may be due to the presence of various stereoisomers, as well as the different possible orientations of the phenoxide arms being present. DOSY NMR gave a diffusion constant of  $8.3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2\text{s}^{-1}$  (cf.  $7.4 \times 10^{-10}$ m<sup>2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> for Al(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr)) and the NOESY NMR showed no exchange processes. These gives a hydrodynamic radii for Al(3)(O<sup>i</sup>Pr) of ca. 5.3 Å (NB the solid-state diameter is  $\approx 12$  Å) and for the lactate complex the radii is ca. 5 Å both indicating monomeric species in solution.<sup>16</sup> Al(1)Me was also treated with 1 equivalent of (S)-methyl-lactate at room temperature a broad spectrum was obtained, cooling to 233 K sharp signals were observed. The <sup>27</sup>Al NMR spectrum has a resonance at 42.2 ppm, which again implies a five coordinate Al(III) centre. In an attempt to rationalise the heterotacticity the Gibbs free energies of the different possibilities for the Al(3)-O<sup>i</sup>Pr complexes with growing PLA chains were calculated. Again these showed that the Al(III) centre is 5 coordinate, it is interesting to note that the isomer with the PLA chain growing alongside the phenoxide arm (see ESI) is more stable and there is a weak van der Waal interaction between the chain and the ortho substituent. However, given the complexities of this system future work will aim to rationalise the observed stereochemisty of the PLA produced. Very recently Kol and co-workers have shown that a racemic version of the 2,2'-bipyrrolidine (Cl substituted) ligand produced heterotactic PLA, the mechanism proposed was based on polymeryl exchange between the different isomers

matching, the chirality of the polymer to the chirality at the metal.<sup>7c</sup> In this case there are inactive and active diastereomers, which become awoken by polymer exchanges.<sup>7c</sup> It is assumed that the same mechanism is in operation with the *meso* version of the ligand in this case.

#### Acknowledgements

We wish to thank the EPSRC (EP/G03768X/1), the EPSRC UK National Service for Computational Chemistry Software (chem752), Corbion for lactide and support of the CDT at Bath and the University of Bath for funding. We also thank the EPSRC National Mass spectrometry service in Swansea for MALDI-ToF data.

#### Conclusions

A series of salan complexes based on a bipyrrolidine backbone have been prepared and characterised in solution and solid state. There is a switch in selectivity from highly isotactic for hafnium initiators to heterotactic aluminium initiators. It has previously been seen with Lu(III) and La(III) that there is a switch in selectivity with the smaller atomic radii metal forming isotactic PLA.<sup>5b</sup> In this case the opposite is observed with the larger Hf(IV) producing isotactic PLA and the smaller Al(III) heterotactic PLA. Moreover, Ma has shown that the tacticity is dependent upon coordination number, which may also account for the differences observed in this study. This illustrates the rich and diverse chemistry still to be explored and understood for the ROP of *rac*-LA. The *meso*-**3**H<sub>2</sub> is unique in the literature as either isotactic, heterotactic or atactic PLA can be prepared by simply changing the metal centre.

#### Notes and references

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath BA2 7AY, UK. Fax: +44 (0) 1225 386231 Tel: +44 (0) 1225 384908; Email: <u>mj205@bath.ac.uk</u>

<sup>b</sup> Doctoral Training Centre in Sustainable Chemical Technologies, University of Bath, Bath BA2 7AY, UK.

<sup>c</sup> Bath Chemical Crystallography Unit, Department of Chemistry, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath. BA2 7AY, U.K.

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: full experimental data and crystal data in the .cif format. See DOI: 10.1039/c000000x/

1. (a) S. Abbina and G. Du, Acs Macro Letters, 2014, 3, 689-692; (b) A. J. Chmura, M. G. Davidson, M. D. Jones, M. D. Lunn, M. F. Mahon, A. F. Johnson, P. Khunkamchoo, S. L. Roberts and S. S. F. Wong, Macromolecules, 2006, 39, 7250-7257; (c) P. Hormnirun, E. L. Marshall, V. C. Gibson, A. J. P. White and D. J. Williams, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 2688-2689; (d) Z. Mou, B. Liu, M. Wang, H. Xie, P. Li, L. Li, S. Li and D. Cui, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 11411-11414; (e) N. Nomura, R. Ishii, Y. Yamamoto and T. Kondo, Chem. Eur. J., 2007, 13, 4433-4451; (f) T. M. Ovitt and G. W. Coates, J. Polym. Sci., Part A-Polym. Chem., 2000, 38, 4686-4692; (g) T. M. Ovitt and G. W. Coates, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 1316-1326; (h) A. Pilone, K. Press, I. Goldberg, M. Kol, M. Mazzeo and M. Lamberti, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 2940-2943; (i) Z. Y. Zhong, P. J. Dijkstra and J. Feijen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 2002, 41, 4510-4513; (j) Z. Y. Zhong, P. J. Dijkstra and J. Feijen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 11291-11298; (k) E. L. Whitelaw, M. D. Jones and M. F.

Mahon, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 7176-7181; (I) E. L. Whitelaw, G. Loraine, M. F. Mahon and M. D. Jones, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 11469-11473.

- M. J. Stanford and A. P. Dove, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 486-494.
- (a) R. Auras, B. Harte and S. Selke, *Macromol. Biosci.*, 2004, 4, 835-864;
  (b) O. Dechy-Cabaret, B. Martin-Vaca and D. Bourissou, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, 104, 6147-6176;
  (c) R. E. Drumright, P. R. Gruber and D. E. Henton, *Adv. Mater.*, 2000, 12, 1841-1846;
  (d) B. J. O'Keefe, M. A. Hillmyer and W. B. Tolman, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.*, 2001, 2215-2224;
  (e) J. C. Wu, T. L. Yu, C. T. Chen and C. C. Lin, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2006, 250, 602-626.
- (a) N. Spassky, M. Wisniewski, C. Pluta and A. LeBorgne, Macromol. Chem. Phys., 1996, 197, 2627-2637; (b) M. Wisniewski, A. LeBorgne and N. Spassky, Macromol. Chem. Phys., 1997, 198, 1227-1238.
- (a) C. Bakewell, T. P. A. Cao, N. Long, X. F. Le Goff, A. Auffrant and C. K. Williams, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, 134, 20577-20580; (b) C. Bakewell, A. J. P. White, N. J. Long and C. K. Williams, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2014, 53, 9226-9230; (c) P. L. Arnold, J. C. Buffet, R. P. Blaudeck, S. Sujecki, A. J. Blake and C. Wilson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2008, 47, 6033-6036.
- M. D. Jones, S. L. Hancock, P. McKeown, P. M. Schafer, A. Buchard, L. H. Thomas, M. F. Mahon and J. P. Lowe, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 15967-15970.
- (a) A. Alaaeddine, C. M. Thomas, T. Roisnel and J. F. Carpentier, *Organometallics*, 2009, 28, 1469-1475; (b) M. Bouyahyi, T. Roisnel and J. F. Carpentier, *Organometallics*, 2012, 31, 1458-1466; (c) K. Press, I. Goldberg and M. Kol, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2015, DOI: 10.1002/anie.201503111.
- A. Stopper, J. Okuda and M. Kol, *Macromolecules*, 2012, 45, 698-704.
- A. Stopper, K. Press, J. Okuda, I. Goldberg and M. Kol, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, 53, 9140-9150.
- H. Wang, Y. Yang and H. Ma, *Macromolecules*, 2014, 47, 7750-7764.
- 11. M. Miller and E. Y. Tshuva, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2014, 2014, 1485-1491.
- 12. E. Sergeeva, J. Kopilov, I. Goldberg and M. Kol, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 3053-3055.
- D. A. Atwood, A. R. Hutchison and Y. Z. Zhang, in *Group 13 Chemistry Iii: Industrial Applications*, eds. H. W. Roesky and D. A. Atwood, Springer-Verlag Berlin, Berlin, Editon edn., 2003, vol. 105, pp. 167-201.
- S. L. Hancock, M. D. Jones, C. J. Langridge and M. F. Mahon, New J. Chem., 2012, 36, 1891-1896.
- Z. Tang, X. Pang, J. Sun, H. Du and X. Chen, J. Polym. Sci., Part A-Polym. Chem., 2006, 44, 4932-4938.
- R. Evans, Z. Deng, A. K. Rogerson, A. S. McLachlan, J. J. Richards, M. Nilsson and G. A. Morris, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2013, 52, 3199-3202.