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Water Splitting with Polyoxometalate-Treated Photoanodes: Enhancing Performance through Sensitizer Design

John Fielden,∗a,b,c Jordan M. Sumliner,a Nannan Han,a Yuri V. Geletii,a Xu Xiang,a,d Djamaladdin G. Musaev,*a Tianquan Lian,*a and Craig L. Hill,*a

Visible light driven water oxidation has been demonstrated at near-neutral pH using photoanodes based on nanoporous films of TiO2, polyoxometalate (POM) water oxidation catalyst [(RuO4(OH)2(H2O)4)(γ-SiW10O36)2]10− (I), and both known photosensitizer [Ru(bpy)3(H4dpbpy)]2+ (P2) and the novel crown ether functionalized dye [Ru(5-crownphen)2(H4dpbpy)] (H2). Both triads, containing catalyst 1, and catalyst-free dyads, produce O2 with high faradaic efficiencies (80 to 94%), but presence of catalyst enhances quantum yield by up to 190% (maximum 0.39%). New sensitizer H2 absorbs light more strongly than P2, and increases O2 quantum yields by up to 270%. TiO2−2 based photoelectrodes are also more stable to desorption of active species than TiO2−P2: losses of catalyst I are halved when pH > TiO2 point-of-zero charge (pzc), and losses of sensitizer reduced below the pzc (no catalyst is lost when pH < pzc). For the triads, quantum yields of O2 are higher at pH 5.8 than at pH 7.2, opposing the trend observed for I under homogeneous conditions. This is ascribed to lower stability of the dye oxidized states at higher pH, and less efficient electron transfer to TiO2, and is also consistent with the 4th I-to-dye electron transfer limiting performance rather than catalyst TOFmax. Transient absorption reveals that TiO2−2−I has similar 1st electron transfer dynamics to TiO2−P2−I, with rapid (ps timescale) formation of long-lived TiO2(e−)-2−I(h+) chargetparated states, and demonstrates that metallation of the crown ether groups (Na+/Mg2+) has little or no effect on electron transfer from I to 2. The most widely relevant findings of this study are therefore: (i) increased dye extinction coefficients and binding stability significantly improve performance in dye-sensitized water splitting systems; (ii) binding of POMs to electrode surfaces can be stabilized through use of recognition groups; (iii) the optimal homogeneous and TiO2-bound operating pHs of a catalyst may not be the same; and (iv) dye-sensitized TiO2 can oxidize water without a catalyst.

Introduction

Efficient water oxidation remains a key challenge in the development of systems for artificial photosynthesis. The last five years have witnessed dramatic progress in water oxidation catalyst (WOC) speed and stability,1,2 with leading catalysts now showing turnover frequencies (TOFs) comparable to that of the biological OEC.1r,2k Even so, efforts to develop complete devices for efficient solar fuel production are still in their infancy.3 Any such system is likely to be centred on a water-oxidizing photoelectrode that serves as a man-made analogue of nature’s light-driven water splitting agent, photosystem II.

Prior to development of the dye-sensitized solar cell (DSSC), dye sensitized TiO2 (ds-TiO2) was pioneered as a material for light-driven water oxidation.4 Many ruthenium-based dyes have, in their Ru3+ oxidation state, sufficient potential to oxidize water and thanks to two decades of research into DSSCs, efficient, mesoporous TiO2 photoelectrodes are easily accessible.5,6 Consequently, incorporation of WOCs into ds-TiO2 has become an important approach to water-oxidizing photoanodes.7 Although many of these devices are hampered by inefficient electron transfer (ET),7a,q,d or insufficient catalyst speed,7c single-wavelength quantum efficiencies as high as 14% were recently reported with a fast ruthenium polypyridyld-based catalyst.7 Successful engineering of practical devices will require not only fast stable WOCs, efficient stable sensitizers, and rapid, directional ET from the WOC to the oxidized
sensitizer, but also sensitizer and catalyst binding that resists aqueous buffers and electrolytes. Even so, there has been little work addressing the influence of sensitizer on performance: Meyer et al have developed strategies to mitigate dye desorption, and covalent linkages have been engineered between Ru tris-bipyridyls and water oxidation catalysts, but to our knowledge there are no systematic studies into the effect of light absorption and catalyst binding properties.

We, and other groups, are investigating the incorporation of polyoxometalate (POM) based WOCs into dye-sensitized TiO₂ and their deposition onto other electrode surfaces. These oxidatively stable, molecular all-inorganic WOCs can achieve efficient, fast homogeneous light driven water oxidation using [Ru(bpy)₃]³⁺ as photosensitizer, but turnover numbers (TONs) are limited by degradation of dye. As oxidative degradation of Ru-polypyridyls occurs primarily through attack of hydroxide and hydroxyl radicals on the oxidized, Ru³⁺ state, placing the dye and catalyst on a surface (e.g. TiO₂) can be expected to mitigate degradation. Close association on the surface should speed ET from catalyst-to-dye, reducing time spent by the dye in the vulnerable Ru³⁺ state, and also reduce exposure of the dye to nucleophilic species. Recently, we found that vs solution, ET from the catalyst [Ru₂O₂(OH)₄(H₂O)₄]⁷⁺→[Ru₂O₂(OH)₄(H₂O)₄]⁷⁻ (I) to the oxidized state of the [Ru(bpy)₅(H₂dpbpy)]²⁺ (P2) dye is dramatically accelerated on TiO₂ and SnO₂, and that long-lived charge separated states are generated. Furthermore, enhancement of visible-light photocurrents by I suggested water oxidation. However, this was not confirmed, and rapid losses of active species occurred under turnover conditions – an increasingly recognized problem in ds-TiO₂ based water splitting. POM-based catalysts present a particular challenge, as catalytically useful, transition metal substituted POMs such as I cannot be derivatized through the strategies used for other POM anions, and their terminal W=O groups are both poor hydrogen bond acceptors and very weak ligands. Consequently, binding predominantly depends on size and surface charge.

Fig. 1 Molecular structures of P2, isolated as its chloride salt, and 2, obtained in its doubly deprotonated, neutral form H₂2.

In this study, our focus moves from ET dynamics, to quantifying photoelectrochemical water oxidation by I at ds-TiO₂ photoanodes based both on P2, and new, crown ether decorated dye [Ru(5-crownphen)₂(H₂dpbpy)] (H₂2, Fig. 1) under visible light. While both systems show high (80 – 90%) faradaic efficiency, H₂2 increases maximum quantum yields almost 4x, while also dramatically reducing the loss of I when pH > TiO₂ pzc. This provides the first clear demonstration that major performance gains can be achieved through sensitizer design, that non-covalent recognition groups can stabilize binding of POMs at surfaces, and also facilitates an in-depth understanding that may guide further studies of POM-based photoelectrodes. In addition, we quantify water oxidation by catalyst-free ds-TiO₂ for the first time, finding a high faradaic efficiency, and low but appreciable quantum yield for this under-recognized phenomenon.

Results and Discussion

Sensitizer design, synthesis and structure

The design of H₂2 was inspired by previous reports of 5-crown phenanthroline derivatized Ru-polypyridyl sensitizers and rhenium carbonyls. The Ru-based systems bind alkali and alkaline earth metals, but retain very similar photophysical and redox properties to undervatized [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺, [Ru(bpy)₃(phen)]²⁺ and [Ru(bpy)(phen)]²⁺, while [(5-crown-phen)Re(CO)₅Cl] has shown an ability to associate with [PW₁₂O₃₆]⁷⁻ in solid state and solution. Therefore, 5-crown-phen appeared an obvious way to increase the strength of sensitizer–catalyst interactions, while retaining similar photophysical and redox properties to P2. In addition, phenanthroline ligands increase extinction coefficients compared to bipyrindines.

5-crown-phen is easily accessed from phenanthroline, using established methods. Subsequently, H₂2 was synthesized in moderate yields starting from this ligand and Ru(DMSO)₅Cl₂, using methods described in the ESI (Scheme S1). H₂2’s molecular structure and purity has been confirmed by ¹H-NMR, ESIMS, elemental analysis and X-ray crystallography. A thermal ellipsoid plot of its crystal structure (space group Pnma) is displayed in Fig. 2 (excluding crystallographically located water and acetone); selected bond lengths and angles can be found in Table S1 (ESI). The coordination geometry of the pseudo-octahedral ruthenium atom is very similar to that observed in [Ru(phen)₃(bpy)]²⁺, with distortion resulting from the small bite angles (ca. 80°) of the ligands. Notably, the presence of –PO₃H groups, crown ethers and water molecules...
leads to a 3D hydrogen bonded network based on chains of Et₂2 molecules linked via –PO₃H (Fig. S1, ESI).

Electronic spectroscopy, cyclic Voltammetry and DFT calculations: the effect of cations on 2

The new sensitizer H₂2 has a similar UV-vis spectrum to P₂ in water (Fig. 3), but with higher extinction coefficients for both ligand centred and MLCT transitions. This is consistent with typical observations for phenanthroline, vs bipyrindine complexes and results from the larger π-conjugated system. The aqueous electrochemistry of the two sensitizers is also very similar, with H₂₂ showing a pseudo-reversible Ru⁴⁺/Ru²⁺ couple at +1.35 V vs Ag/AgCl. Ligand reductions, however are obscured by the onset of proton reduction in aqueous media.

Table 1 UV-visible and fluorescence data for H₂₂ and [Et₂₂][PF₆]₂ at 298 K. Concentrations ca. 2 × 10⁻⁴ M.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds/Solvent</th>
<th>Salt added</th>
<th>λₓₓₓ/nm (ε/10⁴ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>λₓₓₓ (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₂₂/H₂O</td>
<td>no salt</td>
<td>206 (74.9), 220 (71.4), 243 (60.9), 271 (78.0), 457 (19.1)</td>
<td>594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Et₂₂][PF₆]₂/MeCN</td>
<td>no salt</td>
<td>207 (75.5), 245 (71.2), 272 (79.9), 380 (13.7), 449 (16.8)</td>
<td>635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01 M NaClO₄</td>
<td>207 (75.5), 245 (70.2), 272 (76.3), 381 (13.7), 449 (16.9)</td>
<td>636</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01 M Mg(ClO₄)₂</td>
<td>206 (84.0), 246 (75.5), 272 (91.7), 379 (14.5), 449 (16.4)</td>
<td>642</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01 M NBu₄PF₆</td>
<td>207 (77.3), 246 (78.2), 272 (98.5), 380 (13.8), 449 (16.9)</td>
<td>635</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alkali and alkaline earth metal cations bind strongly to mono-5-crown-phen and 5-crown-dppz ruthenium complexes in MeCN, but have only small effects on the spectral and electrochemical properties. While H₂₂ is insoluble in organic media, study of the esterified precursor [Et₂₂][PF₆]₂ has produced results (Table 1, Fig. S2) consistent with this literature. Cation binding has only a minimal influence on the photophysical properties of the bis-5-crown-phen system [Et₂₂]²⁺, with almost no change seen in the MLCT absorption, and a 1 nm red shift in MLCT emission, upon addition of 500 equivalents of Na⁺. A more significant change (ca. 5% fall) is observed in the intensity of the 272 nm ligand centred absorption band. Addition of the same concentration of non-binding NBu₄PF₆ had no effect on MLCT emission and led to a 23% increase in the intensity of the 272 nm band, indicating that Na⁺ binding and not a just simple increase in ionic strength is responsible for the change. Greater changes are seen when Mg²⁺ is added: a slight broadening and flattening of the MLCT absorption, a 7 nm red shift in MLCT emission, and a 14% increase in the intensity of the 272 nm band. Even so, for both cations, these results imply that the energy of the MLCT excited state barely changes upon metallation.

Table 2 Electrochemical data for [Et₂₂][PF₆]₂ and [H₂₂]. Potentials are internally referenced to Fc/Fc⁺ = 0.46 V vs Ag/AgCl.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound Electrolyte</th>
<th>Salt added</th>
<th>L/L⁺, E∥/E∥, (ΔEₓ, mV)</th>
<th>Ru²⁺, E∥/E∥ (ΔEₓ, mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Et₂₂/0.1 M NaClO₄</td>
<td>no salt</td>
<td>-0.96 (105), -1.39 (81), -1.58 (95)</td>
<td>1.46 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et₂₂/0.05 M NaClO₄</td>
<td>0.05 M</td>
<td>-0.94 (113), -1.32 (93), -1.51 (105)</td>
<td>1.46 (95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et₂₂/0.05 M Mg(ClO₄)₂</td>
<td>0.05 M</td>
<td>-0.891 (130), -1.501</td>
<td>1.46 (116)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂₂/0.1 M HClO₄, MeCN</td>
<td>no salt</td>
<td>Obscured by proton reduction</td>
<td>1.35 (82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂₂/0.05 M HClO₄, MeCN</td>
<td>no salt</td>
<td>Obscured by proton reduction</td>
<td>1.34 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂/0.1 M HClO₄, MeCN/H₂O</td>
<td>no salt</td>
<td>Obscured by proton reduction</td>
<td>1.35 (82)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cyclic voltammetry (Table 2, Fig. S3) echoes the electronic spectra, by showing no change in the Ru²⁺/³⁺ electrode potential upon addition of 75 equivalents of Na⁺ or Mg²⁺, but some effects on the ligand-based reductions. In the presence of Na⁺, there are positive shifts of 20, 70 and 70 mV in E∥ for the 1st, 2nd and 3rd reductions. Addition of Mg²⁺ produces a 70 mV positive shift in the 1st reduction, while the 2nd and 3rd waves merge into an irreversible process with an Epc midway between the 2nd and 3rd reduction of cation free Et₂₂.

Table 3 Calculated (B3LYP/Lanl2dz + 6-31G(d)) energy gaps (ΔE/eV) in P₂, H₂₂, and H₂₂-Na₂. The lowest LUMO levels are highlighted in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>ΔE_LUMO</th>
<th>ΔE_LUMO/HOMO-RU-LUMO⁺¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ru(bpy)₃(dmbpy)⁺²⁺</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>3.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(5-crown-phen)₃(dpbbpy)⁺⁺] (H₂₂)</td>
<td>2.051</td>
<td>3.367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(Na-5-crown-phen)₃(dpbbpy)⁺⁺] (H₂₂-Na₂)</td>
<td>2.111</td>
<td>3.503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ru(Mg-5-crown-phen)₃(dpbbpy)⁺⁺] (H₂₂-Mg₂⁺)</td>
<td>2.190</td>
<td>3.510</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Separation between ground state singlet and triplet excited state. *Separation between ruthenium based HOMO and LUMO of relevant ligand.

Electronic structure calculations (DFT, B3LYP/[Lanl2dz(Ru) + 6-31G(d)] (P, N, C, O, H)) conducted on H₂₂ also indicate that changes upon addition of Na⁺ and Mg²⁺ are small (Table 3). In both cases, the cation lowers the energy of the 5-crown-phen based LUMO+1 level (by ca. 0.14 eV), while raising the energy of the dpbbpy based LUMO (ca. 0.06 eV for Na⁺, 0.115 eV for Mg²⁺). However, the lowest energy LUMO orbitals remain on the dpbbpy ligand, favouring...
electronic spectroscopy, cyclic voltammetry and DFT calculations show that Et2/2H2 and their Na+/Mg2+ complexes have similar electronic structures to P2 in solution. This indicates a high degree of electronic isolation between the Ru-centre and metalla-crown, so that ground and excited state potentials of the 2 manifold relevant to electron injection into TiO2, and oxidation of catalyst 1, change only minimally upon metallation. The new sensitizer can therefore be expected to show similar behaviour to P2 on the TiO2 surface.

Film Assembly and Characterization
TiO2 films were sensitized with P2 and 2 (H2 is assumed to deprotonate on the TiO2 surface) in acidic conditions,7 and where required TiO2-2 was metallated by soaking in solutions of NaClO4 or MgClO4. For photoelectrochemical (PEC) measurements, the TiO2-dye films were treated with toluene solutions of hydrophobic THpAs3H3[p][1] salt, as deposition of THpA+ (tetrabutylammonium) at the electrode surface helps stabilize binding of 1 in aqueous buffers. For ultrafast spectroscopic studies, 1 was deposited from its tetrabutylammonium, TBA4H3[p][1], as this gave better transparency without introducing undesired metal cations.

In our previous work,71 loadings of 1 were seen to depend upon the point-of-zero charge (pzc), and hence the surface positive charge, of the metal oxides TiO2, SnO2 and ZrO2. Charge introduced the dye appeared to have less influence. This is confirmed in our current study. Loadings of catalyst used for PEC experiments, estimated by UV-vis (ESI, Fig. S4/Table S2), are typically in the range of 10 to 14 nmol cm−2 with no clear dependence on the loading or metallation (charge) of dye (which varies between TiO2 batches). Ratios of dye-to-catalyst are lower for 2 simply because loadings of 2 are generally lower, due to its greater size. Although assembly conditions (e.g. soaking time) were not strictly controlled, the results indicate that the charge of the dye is not a key factor in determining the loading of 1. Films assembled under controlled conditions for desorption experiments confirm that the dye has at most a marginal influence (vide infra). For ultrafast photophysical measurements, we found that much higher catalyst loadings could be achieved with extended soaking times and higher concentration soaking solutions (Table S3).

Transient Absorption Spectroscopy (TAS)
Infra-Red Transient Spectroscopy. The photophysics of dye-sensitized semiconducting metal oxides are well-studied,17 but investigation of systems incorporating WOCs7a,d-h,19 and other catalysts18 has started only recently. Among this work, we recently demonstrated that 1 accelerates the recovery of oxidized P2 on TiO2 and SnO2, showing conclusively that this is due to the desired catalyst-to-sensitizer electron transfer.71 Here, we use 2 to investigate the effect of the nature of the dye (size, charge) and catalyst loading on this ET.

Electron injection from 2 into TiO2, with and without 1, has been studied by time-resolved mid-IR transient absorption (pump 515 nm, probe 5000 nm). Measurements on TiO2-2, by comparison with a TiO2-N3 reference, indicate that near 100% of photons absorbed by 2 result in electron injection to the metal oxide (Fig. 4a).17b However, like other phosphonate binding dyes, 2’s injection kinetics are substantially slower than those of carboxylate based N3 – injection from excited 2 occurs over ca. 100 ps, instead of completing within 2 ps as for N3. This is considered a consequence of weak electronic communication across the tetrahedral phosphorus center,17 giving similar biphasic injection kinetics to P2,71 with an ultrafast component due to injection from the 1MLCT excited state of the dye and a slower component arising from the 3MLCT. In the presence of 1, electron injection to TiO2 still occurs in high yield from the 1MLCT state of 2 (Fig. 4b), but the slower 3MLCT component is significantly suppressed. This indicates that ET from excited 2 to TiO2 is still the main quenching pathway when 1 is present, but as with P2, presence of the POM appears to introduce a competing deactivation mechanism for the 3MLCT.

Fig. 4 Mid-IR comparison of the kinetics of electron injection in (a) TiO2-2 and TiO2-N3, and; (b) TiO2-2-1 and TiO2-2-SiW6O18. Data have been scaled to the absorbance of the supporting dyad film.

Treatment of a TiO2/2 photoelectrode with the colourless, less charged POM [SiW12O40]6− (SiW12) confirms that the competing quenching pathway is electron transfer to 1, rather than energy transfer or an effect of its high (10−) negative charge on the TiO2 conduction band edge potential. This is because SiW12 produces a similar decrease in injection to TiO2 from the 1MLCT excited state (Fig. 4b), but SiW12 has no absorption bands overlapping with emission from 2, precluding energy transfer and suggesting there must be electron transfer to this species. Interestingly, this occurs even though the W-based LUMO of SiW12 is significantly higher in energy than the first accessible Ru-based reduction of 1. Similar quenching of the 3MLCT excited state of Ru(bpy)32+ by electron transfer to 1 has been observed in solution.19

Visible Transient Spectroscopy. Transient visible absorption measurements were performed on TiO2-2-1 and TiO2-2-M1-1 films (M = Na+ or Mg2+), to follow electron transfer from catalyst to oxidized sensitizer. As electrons are rapidly injected into the TiO2 conduction band, the ground state bleach observed at around 455 nm largely reflects the amount of oxidized 2. Just as for the TiO2-P2 based system,71 addition of 1 significantly speeds the recovery of the 2 ground state bleach (Fig. 5a). Absorbance is around 65% recovered within 1 ns, and completely recovered within 100 ns, showing that
electron transfer from catalyst to dye is rapid in this system. However, the half-lifetime for the ET process (ca. 220 ps) is slower than for TiO$_2$-P2-1 (127 ps),$^7$ a potential result of 2’s increased steric bulk impeding interaction between 1 and the Ru-centre. Comparison with TiO$_2$-2-SiW$_{12}$ confirms that the accelerated bleach recovery is a result of ET from 1 as SiW$_{12}$, which can quench excited 2 by accepting electrons but cannot transfer electrons to oxidized 2, has only a small effect on the bleach recovery rate.

In summary, TAS shows that the electron transfer dynamics of TiO$_2$-2-1 are similar to TiO$_2$-P2-1, and that metallation of 2 does not affect 1-to-2 ET, but pH does. It also confirms that high loadings of 1 speed the recovery of the oxidized sensitizer, and that the main inefficiency is initial ET to 1 instead of TiO$_2$, which reduces the injection yield from the dye 3MLCT state.

**Photoelectrochemistry and Oxygen Evolution**

The positive charge on 2 can be increased by metallation, by 2$^+$ by adding Na$^+$, and by 4$^+$ with Mg$^{2+}$. Strong electronic interactions are observed between ion paired Ru(bpy)$_2$$^{2+}$ and POMs in solution, so we speculated that increased charge in 2-Na$_2$ and 2-Mg$_2$ might strengthen such interactions and enhance ET from 1 to oxidized 2. However, measurements on TiO$_2$-2-1, TiO$_2$-2-Na$_2$-1 and TiO$_2$-2-Mg$_2$-1 at a controlled dye-to-catalyst ratio show that this is not the case (Fig. 5b), as the kinetics of bleach recovery for the three systems are superimposable. This implies that once catalyst and dye are closely associated on the film, increasing charge on the dye does not significantly affect donor-acceptor coupling between the two species. It is also consistent with the observation that the crown and Ru-centre are electronically isolated from one another (vide supra), so that strengthened interaction between 5-crown-phen and 1 does not feed through to the Ru-centre. However, metatllation, combined with increased soaking times and catalyst concentration has helped access triads with very high loadings of 1 (Table S3, Fig. S5a, ESI), confirming that bleach recovery is faster when more electron donor 1 is present.$^{3a}$ This effect saturates once there are around 1.5 catalyst molecules (1) per sensitizer (2).

Lastly, we studied the effect of pH. Compound 1 has the widest pH range of any known POM WOC (active even at pH 1),$^{2b}$ but is typically faster at pH > 6.$^{2c}$ Rinsing the films in different pH solutions before measurement (Fig. S5b), shows that at pH 1.1 bleach recovery is significantly slower than at pH 2.3 or 3.1. This suggests that increased protonation of 1 disfavours ET. However, the minimal change between pH 3.1 and 6.5 implies that either the TiO$_2$ film buffers the system, or factors other than the first ET are responsible for the increased speed of 1 at higher pH. This is consistent with our established mechanism for water oxidation by 1.$^{2c,d}$

![Fig. 5](image1.png)

**Fig. 5** (a) Ground state bleach recovery kinetics of TiO$_2$-2 (black), TiO$_2$-2-SiW$_{12}$O$_{40}$ (red) and TiO$_2$-2-1. (b) Ground state bleach recovery kinetics of TiO$_2$-2-1(black), TiO$_2$-2-Na$_2$-1 (red) and TiO$_2$-2-Mg$_2$-1 (green).
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**Fig. 6** Photoelectrochemical generator-collector measurements (chronoamperometry) of TiO$_2$-P2 (red) and TiO$_2$-2 (dark blue) at pH 5.8 and pH 7.2. Solid lines are the photoanode current; dashed lines, collector current; collector efficiency ca. 60%. Applied bias 0 mV vs Ag/AgCl, illuminated with a 455 nm LED (33 mW cm$^{-2}$). Photocurrent densities are reported in Table 4.

**Catalyst-free “dyads”.** Dye-sensitized TiO$_2$ and SnO$_2$ were first developed as materials for light-driven water oxidation in the late 1970s.$^4$ O$_2$ was not quantified, but strong arguments were presented that the observed steady-state photocurrents must be due to oxidation of water.$^{4c-d}$ the charge passed far exceeded surface coverage of dye, and [Ru(bpy)$_2$]$^{3+}$ had been seen to oxidize water without a catalyst under basic conditions.$^{12a}$ In our previous work with TiO$_2$-P2 (pH 5.8) similar steady-state photocurrents were observed, which more than doubled in the presence of catalyst 1. However, whether 1 was present or not, fluorescence-based O$_2$ probes failed to produce signals significantly above the background noise. Given the probe sensitivity and volume of electrolyte, this inability to confirm O$_2$ formation was entirely consistent with the charge passed during the measurements. By using a pseudo-Clark generator/collector set up, based upon a bipotentiostat and a platinitized FTO (Pt@FTO) collector electrode,$^{21}$ we can now confirm that water is oxidized photoelectrochemically with high (80 – 94%) faradaic efficiency ($\eta$), even in the absence of catalyst.
Photocurrent transients for TiO$_2$-P$_2$ and TiO$_2$-2 films are shown in Fig. 6. Upon illumination, both films (at pH 5.8 and 7.2) show an initial spike in generator current, resulting from electron injection to TiO$_2$. An instantaneous response occurs at the collector, before generator and collector currents decline towards a steady state. Photocurrent density and $\eta_F$ are higher at pH 7.2 (Table 4), where water oxidation is more thermodynamically favourable, and TiO$_2$-2 films show far greater photocurrents than TiO$_2$-P$_2$ (by 290% at pH 5.8, 190% at pH 7.2). Detector currents increase almost in line with photocurrents, so $\eta_F$ remains high for 2, and similar increases are seen in water oxidation internal quantum efficiency (IQE).

Thus, appreciable detector currents require presence of water, and do not result from Ru-dyes or organic buffer. Furthermore, the lack of response from plain FTO indicates that the detector currents do not result from H$_2$O$_2$ or other easily reduced species. Therefore, the photoelectrodes must be producing O$_2$, by a mechanism beyond the scope of this study – the weak (0.3 $\mu$A) response from bare TiO$_2$ photoanodes (Fig. S10b) confirms that direct bandgap excitation cannot be primarily responsible. Catalysis by small Ru impurities cannot be excluded, however low levels of O$_2$ production are consistently observed from catalyst-free [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$ in homogeneous work, and previous failure to detect O$_2$ from TiO$_2$-P$_2$ may be due to use of Nafion coatings. Nafion (used to segregate O$_2$ and H$_2$) has low permeability to O$_2$, and O$_2$ adheres strongly to TiO$_2$ surfaces.

Despite the earlier literature, we were surprised that catalyst-free ds-TiO$_2$ oxidizes water. The low rate of O$_2$ production has made GC detection impractical, but it is difficult to envisage a source of the detector current other than reduction of O$_2$, based upon the following lines of evidence:

(1) There is no steady-state detector response if aqueous buffers are replaced by an acetonitrile electrolyte (Fig. S6).
(2) Steady state detector responses are tiny (< 0.1 $\mu$A) if Pt@FTO is replaced with plain FTO glass (Fig. S7).
(3) Plain FTO glass responds strongly to injection of H$_2$O$_2$, but very weakly to air (Fig. S8).
(4) Pt@FTO responds strongly to air (Fig. S9).
(5) Photocurrents and faradaic efficiencies for the inorganic, and lutidine-based buffers are broadly similar.
(6) Irradiating solution-based Ru-polypyridyl dyes (Fig. S10a) produces no detector response.

Catalyst-treated “triads”. When catalyst (and Na$^+$ for 2) is added to make TiO$_2$-P$_2$-1 and TiO$_2$-2Na$_2$-1, photocurrent density increases by up to 180% (Fig. 7 and 8), and new dye 2 enhances photocurrents by up to 290% vs P$_2$. Faradaic efficiencies remain >80% in all cases, so large increases are seen in IQE (Table 4). The biggest catalyst-driven increase in IQE (193%) occurs in TiO$_2$-2 based systems at pH 5.8, and the smallest (45%) in TiO$_2$-2 at pH 7.2 – consequently the advantage for 2 vs P$_2$ (143%) is significantly smaller at pH 7.2. Photocurrent enhancement is even seen when used dyads (which lose >30% of their absorbance in a PEC run) are treated with 1, eliminating film variability as a source of the increase. The results indicate that adding 1, a known WOC, increases the rate of O$_2$ production by ds-TiO$_2$ films. In addition, higher dye extinction coefficients significantly boost activity, and are thus
likely to be a good strategy to get the best out of faster WOCs. Interestingly, as we report internal QE this cannot simply result from more light absorption by the electrode. Instead, a higher Ru$^{3+}$:Ru$^{2+}$ ratio (increased probability of excitation for each dye molecule) must increase the potential felt by the catalyst.

In extended experiments (Fig. S11 to S13) photocurrents fall below 1 $\mu$A ($1.7 \mu$A cm$^{-2}$) within ca. 3 h for TiO$_2$-P2-1 at pH 5.8, 4 h for TiO$_2$-2NaF-1 at pH 5.8, and 5 h for TiO$_2$-P2-1 at pH 7.2. For TiO$_2$-2NaF-1 and TiO$_2$-P2-1 at pH 7.2, the photocurrent is still above 1.5 $\mu$A ($2.5 \mu$A cm$^{-2}$) after 5 h. Stronger long term performance in the pH 7.2 buffer may be due to reduced dye desorption, however it is difficult to comment on the competing effects of stronger catalyst binding by 2, and its reduced oxidative stability vs P2 (vide infra). TiO$_2$-2NaF-1 at pH 5.8 passed most charge, due to its high performance early in the experiment, with 0.056 C equating to 124 nmol of O$_2$ assuming initial $\eta$ is maintained. This corresponds to TONs of 3 for dye 2, and 4 for catalyst 1 after 4 hours (based on a 0.6 cm$^2$ active area). At pH 7.2, a similar photoelectrode passed 0.053 C after 5 h (110 nmol O$_2$), but due to lower loadings, TON$_{Dye}$ = 3 and TON$_{Cat}$ = 22. With P2 based photoelectrodes, less than half this total charge was passed, at similar loadings of dye and catalyst. As Pt@FTO detectors degrade noticeably with use, needing cleaning after each short run, we cannot confirm the quantity of O$_2$ produced. However, using a detector does show qualitatively that O$_2$ is still being produced after 5h (Fig. S13).

**Analysis of the triad photocurrent data.** Due to relatively high detector backgrounds, small detector currents, and film variability, detailed interpretation of $\eta$ and photocurrent must be treated with caution. Even so, it is notable that for both dyes, gains in IQE (Table 4) upon addition of catalyst are much smaller at pH 7.2 than at pH 5.8, and that for TiO$_2$-2NaF-1 IQE is almost doubled at pH 5.8 compared to pH 7.2. This is despite pH 7.2 being a better operating pH for 1 in homogeneous catalysis, and a more favourable pH for water oxidation. Three factors likely cause this behaviour: (i) higher pH raises the TiO$_2$ conduction band, reducing efficiency by increasing the likelihood of initial ET to catalyst 1; (ii) Ru polypyridyls are more vulnerable to degradation at high pH, and 1 can catalyse dye degradation as well as water oxidation; and (iii) binding of 1 is weaker at high pH (vide infra).

Evidence for (i) is seen in the smaller injection spikes observed at pH 7.2, while the more pronounced pH effect for 2 is consistent with stopped-flow observation of much faster self-degradation for this dye (vide infra, Fig. 9). Higher $\eta$ for P2 also supports the hypothesis that 2-based photoanodes are more vulnerable to oxidative degradation – however the difference is small, suggesting dye degradation is not primarily an electrochemically mediated process. In any case, the stabilization of 2 provided by the TiO$_2$ surface is remarkable given the negative results obtained with H$_2$O and related dyes in homogeneous water oxidation (despite perfectly adequate redox potentials, Table 2, and excited state lifetimes, Fig. S14).

Photocurrents and IQEs found here are lower than for some comparable systems with other catalysts. Brief analysis suggests the bottleneck is likely the 4th electron transfer from catalyst to dye: TAS measurements indicate a fast 1$^a$ ET, but give no information on later, less thermodynamically favourable ET events. Using 0.25 s$^{-1}$ as a conservative estimate of 1’s TOF (measured at ~0.1 to 0.82 s$^{-1}$) and loadings of ~10 nmol cm$^{-2}$, steady state photocurrents of ~5 x 10$^{-4}$ A might be expected – over ten times higher than those observed. In other words, 1 is operating well below its maximum TOF. The Ru$^{2+/3+}$ potentials of P2 and 2 are very close to those of [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+/3+}$ and the driving force for the fourth ET from 1 to [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+}$ is small. Therefore, homogeneous systems need large [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$^{2+/3+}$ ratios (200:1) and generation of high Ru$^{3+}$:Ru$^{2+}$ ratios to work efficiently. In our photoelectrodes, smaller excesses of sensitizer and a slow 4th ET prevent 1 from attaining its maximum TOF, leading to photo-bleaching and reduced light absorption – the situation is improved by 2, which due to higher $\varepsilon$ attains higher Ru$^{3+}$:Ru$^{2+}$ ratios (vide supra), favouring the 4$^{th}$ ET. Further improvement may therefore come through (i) catalysts with lower overpotential or (ii) sensitizers with higher oxidation potentials and extinction coefficients.

**Stability of the Sensitizers and Photoelectrodes**

Two processes – desorption of active species and oxidative damage to the sensitizers – cause the loss of activity seen in TiO$_2$-dye-1 photoanodes. On short timescales, desorption seems to be more important: in our previous work similar losses of absorption (at 455 nm) occurred over 20 minutes.

---

**Table 4** Photocurrents and faradaic efficiencies ($\eta$) for Water Oxidizing Photoanodes based on P2, 2 and water oxidation catalyst 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Photoanode</th>
<th>pH 5.8 Na$_2$SiF$_6$/NaHCO$_3$</th>
<th>pH 7.2 Lutidine/HClO$_4$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\eta$, %</td>
<td>IQE, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$-P2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$-P2-1</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$-2</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>19.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$-2NaF-1</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>54.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$NaClO$_4$ added so [Na$^+$] = 200 mM. $^b$At end of first 60s transient, average of at least two films. $^c$Faradaic efficiency ($\eta$), average of 5 to 10 transients (2 films). $^d$Internal quantum efficiency (IQE) for water oxidation, taking into account $\eta$. $^e$IQE gain on addition of 1. $^f$IQE gain on replacing P2 with 2.
whether films were subjected to photoelectrochemical conditions, or left in ambient light (pH 5.8 Na$_2$SiF$_6$/NaHCO$_3$). Other groups have found that illumination under unbuffered aqueous conditions promotes desorption of dye but does not cause noticeable photochemical degradation.\(^a\) Even so, a vital consideration for any sensitizer in light driven water oxidation is the stability of its oxidized (Ru$^{II}$) state towards self-decomposition under turnover conditions. Oxidized Ru-polypyridyls are vulnerable, as nucleophilic attack of species such as H$_2$O, [OH]$^-$ and [OOH]$^-$ on the electron deficient pyridyl ring produces O-substituted species with oxidation potentials too low to continue driving water oxidation.\(^b\) Therefore, dye stability limits TONs for POM WOCs in homogeneous light-driven water oxidation.\(^c\) Below, we describe the loss of I, P2 and 2 from the photoelectrodes at pH 5.8 and 7.2, and compare the oxidative stability of the two dyes, giving insight into the photoelectrochemical performance of the two photoanode systems.

**Sensitizer and Catalyst Desorption Studies.** P2 binds TiO$_2$ better below pH 5.\(^{25}\) Therefore, pH > 5 buffers provide a driving force for dye desorption from TiO$_2$-P2 and TiO$_2$-2. WOC 1 should also bind stronger at low pH: below the point-of-zero charge (pzc) of TiO$_2$ there is an electrostatic attraction between the positively charged surface and the ten negative charge of 1. UV-vis monitored experiments have enabled us to investigate desorption of 1 and the sensitizers from TiO$_2$ films in our PEC conditions (pH 5.8 Na$_2$SiF$_6$/NaHCO$_3$ and pH 7.2 lutidine). While both the sensitizers and 1 have strong absorptions at ca. 455 nm, the differing pH dependencies of ε for the two species (for 1 ε$_{455}$ is ca. 10,000 at pH > 5, but 52,000 at pH 1)\(^b\) allow their contributions to be distinguished by acidification once desorbed into the buffer (ESI, Fig. S15 to S18). In all experiments, the desorbed species in the soaking buffer solutions, plus the pH driven change in ε for 1 remaining on the TiO$_2$ surface, account for 70 to 95% of the observed absorbance loss of the films. The results, summarized in Table 6, demonstrate that, depending on pH, 2 mitigates loss of catalyst or dye. The evolution of absorbance loss over time (ESI, Fig. S19) shows that in all cases losses are rapid initially, but are slowing by the end of the one hour experiment.

The films in Table 6 were assembled using constant soaking times and concentrations of 1, so it is clear that the surface properties of TiO$_2$ are more important for catalyst loading than the charge of the dye. Metallating 2 only weakly influences the amount of catalyst bound during film assembly. This fits with previous findings that metal oxide pzc (TiO$_2$, SnO$_2$ or ZrO$_2$) critically influences I loading in P2-based triads.\(^{71}\) Upon soaking at pH 5.8, neither TiO$_2$-P2-1 nor TiO$_2$-2-1 leaches catalyst, but significant loss occurs at pH 7.2, which is reasonable given the pzc of TiO$_2$ (ca. 6) and the hydrophobicity of the THPA(1) salt. Importantly, these catalyst losses are cut dramatically (by 50%) for TiO$_2$-2Na$_2$-1 vs TiO$_2$-P2-1, showing that the crown derivative stabilizes binding of 1 to TiO$_2$ when pH > pzc. Interestingly, however, metallating with Mg$^{2+}$ instead of Na$^+$ destabilizes the photoelectrodes – most likely by increasing the aqueous solubility of the dye – while the result for un-metallated 2 is similar (within likely experimental errors) to that of 2Na$_2$. This suggests that the strengthened catalyst binding of 2 is as much a result of hydrophobic surface interactions that exclude water and buffer, as electrostatics.

Surprisingly, losses of the dyes do not follow the expected pH dependence and are much more severe at pH 5.8. We surmise that carbonate from the buffer competes with phosphonate for the TiO$_2$ surface, whilst lutidine does not. However, less 2 is lost than P2 (53% vs 69%), presumably because it associates more strongly with the catalyst that remains on the surface. Total % losses of absorbance from the 2 and P2 photoanodes are similar though, which is explained by a lower dye contribution to the TiO$_2$-P2-1 absorbance due to P2’s lower ε, and a higher loading of 1. Thus, it appears that introducing a simple recognition group can help significantly in stabilizing the binding of polyoxometalates to ds-TiO$_2$.

**Stopped-Flow Stability Measurements on H$_2$ and P2.** Stopped-flow UV-vis measurements were carried out on
oxidized 2 and P2 at pH 1, by mixing with Ce⁴⁺ in H₂SO₄. The results (Fig. 9) indicate that 2 is substantially less stable than P2 in its oxidized state, even at pH 1 having a half-life of only 100 s. Such stability differences increase with increasing pH. Indeed, in homogeneous catalysis, both H₂ and its tris-5-crown-phen analogue are incapable of driving water oxidation by 1 at pH 7.2. We therefore conclude that the weaker performance of TiO₂-2Na₂-1 at pH 7.2, compared to pH 5.8, results partly from increased susceptibility of this dye to oxidative destruction. In fact, that TiO₂-2 based photoanodes are able to oxidize water at all indicates that location on the TiO₂ surface has a remarkable stabilising effect on the dye.

Fig. 9 UV-vis stopped flow experiment showing the self-decomposition of oxidized P2 (red) and 2 (blue) at pH 1. Monitored at 670 nm (P2), 674 nm (2).

Conclusions

We have confirmed that ds-TiO₂ treated with POM WOCs is able to oxidize water, at neutral pH and below. This is the first time that visible light-driven water oxidation has been demonstrated with a surface-immobilized POM species. Performance is higher at pH 5.8 than at pH 7.2, opposing the homogeneous trend: this is ascribed to dye stability, catalyst binding and electron transfer efficiency. It is also consistent with photoanode performance being limited by the 4th electron transfer rather than catalyst TOF_max, and indicates that optimum conditions for immobilized WOCs may vary from homogeneous use. We have also found that ds-TiO₂ itself oxidizes water, with high faradaic efficiency but substantially lower quantum efficiency than the catalysed systems. This suggests that care should be taken to run catalyst-free controls in related work, particularly if photocurrents are low (< 10 μA).

This study also shows that increasing the extinction coefficient of the dye, across the range of 400 to 550 nm, is a very effective way to increase water oxidation photocurrents and quantum yields, and incorporating a simple supramolecular recognition motif (crown ether) stabilizes binding of POM WOCs at pH > TiO₂ pzc. However, it also indicates that oxidative stability of the dye under water oxidation conditions is a key consideration, and modifications which can reduce the stability of the dye to nucleophic attack are best avoided. Adequate loadings and stable binding of the POM WOC can be achieved with unmodified dyes provided the operating pH remains below the pzc of the metal oxide substrate. It therefore appears that there are three profitable future directions for this work: (i) development of fast POM WOCs that operate efficiently below pH 6, with lower overpotential; (ii) producing strongly absorbing dyes, with high binding and oxidative stability and more positive redox potentials; and (iii) testing metal oxides with high points of zero charge, such as ZnO.

Lastly, we have shown that lutidine is a good, oxidation resistant organic buffer for near-neutral conditions and better tolerated by ds-TiO₂ than potentially surface-binding inorganic anions such as phosphate, borate and carbonate.

Experimental

General

Full details of materials, synthetic procedures, and instruments and methods used for primary structural characterization are available in the ESI. UV-vis spectra were obtained using Agilent 8453 and Cary 60 spectrophotometers. Fluorescence spectra were acquired on a Perkin Elmer LS 55 fluorimeter. Electrochemical data (cyclic voltammetry) were obtained using BASi CV-50W and Autolab PGSTAT302N potentiostats in a three electrode configuration with Ag/AgCl reference, glassy carbon working and platinum counter electrodes. The electrolyte was 0.1 M HClO₄ in MeCN/H₂O (for H-2), or 0.1 M NBu₄PF₆ (for [Et₂][PF₆]₂). UV-visible stopped flow measurements were performed at 25°C using a Hi-Tech KinetAsyst Stopped Flow SF-61SX2 equipped with a tungsten lamp and diode array detector (400-700 nm). The oxidant, (NH₄)₆Ce(SO₄)₃•2H₂O was dissolved in 0.1 M H₂SO₄ (0.4 M in LiClO₄) and the sensitizer was dissolved in 0.1 M H₂SO₄ alone.

Film preparation and characterization

Transparent TiO₂ films were prepared from colloidal suspensions by the doctor-blade technique, using Scotch tape to control area and thickness. The films were calcined at 400°C for 90 minutes, soaked in acidic solutions of P2 or H₂ (0.2 mM in 0.1M HClO₄ap) for 24 hours, and soaked 24 hours in 0.1 M HClO₄ap to remove free or weakly-adsorbed dye molecules, before rinsing with water and drying in air. Metallation of TiO₂-2 was achieved by soaking for 30 minutes in 0.1 M solutions of NaClO₄ or Mg(ClO₄)₂ in acetonitrile. TiO₂-2-1 and TiO₂-2M₂-1 triads for ultrafast spectroscopy were prepared by soaking the dye-sensitized films in acetone solutions of TBA:H₃[1] (0.15 to 1 mM) for 10 to 30 minutes, rinsing with acetone and air drying. For photoelectrochemistry, triads were instead prepared by soaking TiO₂-P2 and TiO₂-2Na₂ films in a 0.28 mM toluene solution of hydrophobic TpHAp₃H₃[1] for 5 minutes, rinsing with toluene and air drying. Dye-to-I ratios were estimated from UV-vis spectra (Fig. S4) by using the extinction coefficients measured for H₂ (19100 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 455 nm) and P2 (11700 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) to quantify the dye, and the estimated extinction coefficient of 32000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 455 nm for 1 on the TiO₂ surface.⁷

Computational Details

This work has been commissioned by the Department of Chemistry, University of Oxford. The authors thank the EPSRC for funding (EP/G037050/1).
Geometries and energetics of $P_2$, $H_2$, $H_2$-$Na_2$ and $H_2$-$Mg_2$ were calculated at their lower-lying electronic states, in aqueous solution with no geometry constraints. Vibrational analyses were performed to ensure that all converged structures are true minima. In these calculations we used the spin-unrestricted DFT method (the hybrid B3LYP functional) in conjunction with the lanl2dz basis set with the associated Hay-Wadt ECPs for Ru atoms, and the 6-31G(d) basis sets for all other atoms. Solvent effects were incorporated at the polarizable continuum model (PCM). All calculations were carried out with the Gaussian 09 software package.

**Laser Photophysical Measurements**

For ultrafast measurements, the femtosecond transient absorption spectrometer is based on a Ti:sapphire laser (coherent Legend, 800 nm, 150 fs, 3 mJ/pulse, 1 kHz repetition rate) and Helios spectrometer (Ultrafast Systems LLC). To probe the IR, a Clark IR optical parametric amplifier was used, generating two tunable near-IR pulses in the 1.1 to 2.5 μm range (signal and idler, respectively). Nanosecond to microsecond measurements were carried out in an EOS spectrometer (Ultrafast Systems LLC), with pump pulses generated from the above laser. Full details are in the ESI.

**Dye and catalyst desorption experiments**

$TiO_2$-$P_2$, $TiO_2$-$2$ and $TiO_2$-$2M_2$-$1$ films (ca. 1.4 cm²) were immersed in 3 mL of buffer solution. The films were removed after 2 seconds, and then 1, 5, 15, 30 and 60 minutes, and UV-vis spectra measured to assess the extent of desorption. UV-vis spectra of the soaking solutions were measured at the same intervals. At 60 minutes, the soaking solutions were acidified to ca. pH 1 by addition of a drop of concentrated $H_2SO_4$. As the 455 nm extinction coefficients of both dyes fall at low pH, while that of 1 dramatically increases, the change in absorbance can be used to assess relative contribution of the two species. See the ESI for further details (Fig. S15 to S18).

**Photoelectrochemical measurements and Oxygen Detection**

Photoelectrochemical measurements (chronoamperometry) were obtained in a two compartment electrochemical cell, with a flat fronted working compartment for the photoanode, under a constant stream of Ar gas. The potentiostat was an Autolab PGSTAT302N with bipotentiotast module, in four electrode configuration (WE1, WE2, reference and counter), and the light source a 455 nm LED (Osram) at 20 mW power output focused onto ca. 0.6 cm² with a fused silica lens. We used the generator/collector methods of Mallouk et al., with a platinized FTO detector film held ca. 1 mm from the working surface of the back-side illuminated photoanode, the sides of the assembly were sealed with wax and the bottom left open for ingress of buffer. The photoanode (generator) was set to an applied bias of 0 V vs Ag/AgCl, and the detector to -0.5 V (pH 5.8) or -0.584 V (pH 7.2), and the photoanode exposed to the light for several 60 s transients. At pH 5.8 we used 38 mM Na$_2$SiF$_6$, adjusted to pH 5.8 using NaHCO$_3$ (final concentration ca. 80 mM), with NaClO$_4$ added for a total Na$^+$ concentration of 200 mM. At pH 7.2, we used 50 mM lutidine, adjusted to pH 7.2 with HClO$_4$, and 200 mM NaClO$_4$ supporting electrolyte. The collector efficiency was determined to be ca. 60% in both buffers, by calibrating with a platinised FTO generator film set to +1.1 or +1.2 V (depending on pH) vs Ag/AgCl. For further details, including photographs and calibration methods, see the ESI (Fig. S20 and S21).
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