

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/



RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

Flexible Additive-Free CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂ Nanocomposites with Excellent Stability and Superior Rate Capability for Lithium Ion Batteries

Fengqi Lu, *^a Qiang Chen,^a Yibin Wang,^a Yonghao Wu,^a Pengcheng Wei^and Xiaojun Kuang^a

A novel material consisting of metal oxide-nitride (TiO_xN_y nanowires) and metal sulfide (SnS_2 nanosheets) is synthesized on a flexible carbon cloth substrate by two steps hydrothermal reaction. The as-synthesized carbon cloths/titanium oxynitride/tin disulfide ($CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS_2$) nanocomposites are tested directly as additive-free anode materials for lithium-ion batteries. The free-standing $CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS_2$ electrode displays an initial discharge capacity of 1082 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 1 C, with a coulombic efficiency of 74 %. The reversible capacity as high as 612 mAh g⁻¹ can still be maintained after 100 charging/discharging cycles. Moreover, this material shows outstanding rate property with a high reversible discharge capacity of 419 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 5 C, which is higher than the theory capacity of graphite. Also, a stable high discharge capacity of 676 mAh g⁻¹ can be attained when the current density is switched back to 1 C. The high electrochemical performance can be attributed to the high electrical conductivity of the TiO_xN_y facilitates the transport of electrons and lithium ions and the unique layer structure of SnS_2 .

1. Introduction

Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) bear with many superior properties, including high energy and power densities, long cycle life, and environmental benignity, and thus have been regarded as ideal power supplies for electric vehicles (EVs) and hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs).^{1, 2} To fulfill the increasing demands for HEVs and EVs practicable applications, LIBs with higher energy, larger powder and longer cycle lifespan are highly required. Unfortunately, commercially used graphite carbon has struggled to meet these requirements because of its low theoretical capacity (~372 mAh g⁻¹).³

To date, various materials have been developed as promising high performance anode electrodes of LIBs.⁴⁻⁸ Among of them, tin disulfides (SnS₂) has received considerable attention due to its high theoretical capacity.⁹⁻¹⁵ SnS₂ has a layered Cdl₂-type structure, in which tin atoms are sandwiched two layers of sulfur atoms. Recent studies have shown that nanostructured SnS₂-base materials revealed that remarkably improved electrochemical properties as anode material for LIBs compared to their bulk counterparts, which primarily owns to their unique morphology, consist of a finite lateral sized and its well-defined layered structure. For example, acetylene black incorporated porous 3-dimensional (3D) SnS₂ nanoflowers show high cyclability and rate capability and deliver an average reversible capacity as high as 525 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 400 mA h/g over 70 cycles.¹⁶ Preferential c-Axis orientation of ultrathin SnS₂ nanoplates on graphene can maintain a charge capacity of 704 mAh g^{-1} after 100 cycles at 0.6 C and deliver a charge capacity of 303 mAh g⁻¹ at 10 C.¹⁷ Lamellar sandwich SnS₂@PANI nanoplates have a high initial reversible capacity (968.7 mAh g $^{\text{-1}}$), excellent cyclability (730.8 mAh g $^{\text{-1}}$ after 80 cycles), and an extraordinary rate capability (356 mAh g^{-1} at the rate of 5A g^{-1}).¹⁸ Free-standing SnS₂@graphene nanocable network not only shows high specific capacity of 720 mAh g⁻¹ even after 350 cycles at a current density of 0.2 A g⁻¹, with over 93.5% capacity retention, but also exhibits a high-rate capability of 580 mAh g⁻¹ even at the current rate of 1 A g^{-1} .¹⁹ However, its major volume expansion during the charge-discharge process leads to a significant capacity loss and poor cycling stability, which greatly hinders its practical application in lithium-ion batteries. Thus, it is necessary to explore a useful method to improve the reversible capacity and lithium storage performance of SnS₂.

Carbon cloths (CC), a new kind of substrate, have been received large attention because their high conductivity, excellent mechanical flexibility and high strength. They have been utilized as substrate for the growth of electrode materials in order to serve as binder-free, enabling high capacity, good cycling stability and excellent rate performance for flexible LIBs.²⁰⁻²⁵ Also, titanium oxynitride (TiO_xN_y) has been reported to be a crucial factor in composite electrodes

Guangxi Ministry-Province Jointly-Constructed Cultivation Base for State Key Laboratory of Processing for Nonferrous Metal and Featured Materials, Guangxi Universities Key Laboratory of Non-ferrous Metal Oxide Electronic Functional Materials and Devices, College of Materials Science and Engineering, Guilin University of Technology, Guilin 541004 P. R. China. Email: lufengqi@glut.edu.cr; Tel: +86 773 5890706.

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: XRD Spectra of CC@TiN, CC@TiOxNy@SnS₂, SnS₂ and CC@SnS₂, SEM images of the CC@SnS₂ and SnS₂, Raman Spectrum of CC@TiOxNy@SnS₂, CV profiles and the 1st, 2nd and 100th charging/discharging voltage curves of CC (e), SnS₂ nanosheets (f), CC@SnS₂ (g) and CC@TiN (h) electrode, coulombic efficiency curve of CC@TiOxNy@SnS₂ electrode, SEM images of the CC@TiOxNy@SnS₂ electrode, SEM images of the CC@TiOxNy@SnS₂ electrode after 100 cycles. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x.

ARTICLE

because they have the merit of high dispersion of the active material in a highly conductive matrix, which would curb the particles aggregation and dramatically boost the cycling performance of LIBs at high charge/discharge rates.²⁶⁻²⁹

Herein, we develop a facile strategy to design and fabricate a unique hierarchical hybrid structure of carbon cloths supported TiO_xN_y and SnS_2 (denoted as $CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS_2$) as flexible additive-free anode material for LIBs. With the compositional and structure advantages, the as-synthesized $CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS_2$ electrode display an initial discharge capacity of 1082 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 1 C, the reversible capacity as high as 612 mAh g⁻¹ can still be maintained after 100 charging/discharging cycles. Moreover, these materials show a high reversible discharge capacity of 419 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 5 C, a stable high discharge capacity of 676 mAh g⁻¹ can be attained when the current density is switched back to 1 C.

2. Experimental Method

2.1 Materials

Carbon cloth (flexible substrate), Titanium (IV) tetrachloride (TiCl₄, 99%), concentrated hydrochloric acid (HCl, AR), tetrabutyl titanate [Ti(OC₄H₉)₄, 98%], Tin chloride pentahydrate (SnCl₄·5H₂O, AR), L-cysteine (C₃H₇NO₂S, AR) and ethanol (C₂H₅OH, AR) were purchased from Chemical Reagents Factory and used without further purification.

2.2 Synthesis of CC@TiN

TiO₂ nanowires were grown on a carbon cloth by hydrothermal method according to the previous report.³⁰ In a typical procedure, a piece of carbon cloth with 2.5 cm \times 4 cm was cleaned with deionized water, ethanol, sequentially for 30 minutes in an ultrasonic bath, respectively, and then dried at room temperature. The cleaned carbon cloth was immersed in 0.2 M aqueous titanium (IV) tetrachloride solution for two minutes and allowed to be dried by blowing in the compressed air. And then the blown-dried carbon cloth was heated on a hotplate at about 320 °C for few minutes, which generated nanoparticles on the surface of the carbon cloths. This process was repeated about four times to ensure uniformity. 20 mL of concentrated hydrogen chloride acid was diluted in 20 mL deionized water and then mixed with 1.2 mL of tetrabutyl titanate under stirring on a magnetic stirrer until a clear solution was obtained. The carbon cloth was then immersed in the clear solution and transferred to Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave (50 mL). The sealed autoclave was heated in an electric oven at 160 °C for 330 minutes and cooled down to room temperature. The carbon cloths with TiO₂ samples was washed thoroughly with deionized water several times and dried in air. To obtain the TiN nanowires, the synthesized TiO₂ was calcined in NH₃ gas at a temperature of 700 $^{\circ}$ C at a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹ for 60 minutes.

2.3 Synthesis of CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂ nanocomposites

 ${\rm SnS}_2$ nanosheets were decorated on the TiN nanowires by hydrothermal method. A piece of carbon cloth covered uniformly with TiN nanowires was immersed in a solution of Tin(IV) chloride pentahydrate (SnCl₄·5H₂O, 0.14 g) and L-

cysteine (0.77 g), then transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave (50 mL), and hydrothermally treated in an air-flow electric oven at 160 °C for 240 minutes and cooled down to room temperature. A greenish-black $CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS_2$ film was collected, washed with distilled water and dried for subsequent experiments.

2.4 Synthesis of CC@SnS₂ and SnS₂

Tin(IV) chloride pentahydrate (SnCl₄·5H₂O, 0.14 g) and Lcysteine (0.77 g) were dissolved in water. A piece of clean carbon cloth was immersed into the solution, transferred into a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave. The sealed autoclave was heated in an electric oven at 160 °C for 240 minutes and cooled down to room temperature. A green carbon cloth covered with SnS₂ (CC@SnS₂) was collected, washed with water and dried in 60 °C. The preparation process of pristine SnS₂ nanosheets was similar to CC@SnS₂, except for adding carbon cloth.

2.5 Material Characterization

The phase, morphology, structure and composition of the assynthesized samples were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker, D8 ADVANCE, Cu Kα radiation), field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM, JSM-6330F), transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM2010-HR, 200 KV), X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS, ESCALab250, Thermo VG) and Raman spectrometer (FT-IR, Nicolet 330).

2.6 Electrochemical Measurement

The electrochemical properties of the samples were tested using CR2032-type coin cells. For pristine SnS₂ nanosheets, the working electrode was composed of active material, carbon black (Super-P-Li), and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) at a weight ratio of 80:10:10. The electrode slurry was coated onto Cu foil and then dried under vacuum at 100 °C over night. For other composition, the working electrodes were prepared by cutting the carbon cloth covered uniformly with samples into smaller square pieces with area of 0.64 cm². Both the carbon cloth with loading samples and bare carbon cloth were weighed in a high-precision analytical balance (Sartorius, max weight 5100 mg, d=0.001 mg). The mass loading of the active material was 1~2 mg cm⁻². The 2032 Coin cells were assembled in an argon-filled glove box [Mikrouna (China) Co., Ltd., the moisture and oxygen concentrations below 1.0 ppm] using a pure lithium foil as the counter and reference electrode, 1 M LiPF₆ in a mixture of ethylene carbonate/dimethyl carbonate (1:1, V/V) as electrolyte, and a microporous membrane (Celgard 2400) as the separator. The galvanostatic chargedischarge performances were tested at different current densities in the voltage range of 0.01-3 V using a Neware battery testing system. Cyclic voltammogram (CV) measurements and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were performed with an electrochemical workstation (CHI 760D) at room temperature.

3. Results and Discussion

 ${\rm TiO}_xN_y$ and ${\rm SnS}_2$ nanocomposites were grown on carbon cloth by two steps hydrothermal reaction. Firstly, TiN nanowires



Fig. 1 (a) SEM image of TiN nanowires. (b) Magnified SEM image of the TiN nanowires. (c) SEM image of CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂. (d) Magnified SEM image of the CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂.

were obtained by growing TiO₂ nanowires on a flexible carbon cloth substrate, followed by further thermal treatment in NH₃ atmosphere. X-ray diffraction (XRD) data confirmed that the TiN nanowires are cubic phase (Fig. S1). In Fig. 1a, SEM image shows that the surface of carbon cloth is uniformly covered with TiN nanowires. The SEM images with a high magnification (Fig. 1b) displays that the TiN nanowires have diameters in a typical range between 100 and 200 nm and lengths about 1.5µm. The as-prepared carbon cloth supported TiN nanowires were then immersed in a solution containing SnCl₄·5H₂O and Lcysteine, and hydrothermal reaction heated at 160 °C for 240 minutes to form the final products CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂. The XRD spectra of the CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂ nanocomposites (Fig. S2) shows that the reflections from TiO₂, TiN, SnS₂ and carbon textile can be clearly discerned, which match with the PDF patterns of JCPDS card no. 21-1272 (TiO₂), JCPDS card no. 65-0965 (TiN) and JCPDS card no. 23-0677 (SnS₂), justifying the formation of the CC@TiOxNy@SnS2 nanocomposites.SEM images collected from the CC@TiOxNv@SnS2 nanocomposites are shown in Fig. 1. In Fig. 1c, the surface of the carbon textile also becomes rough, when compared with the bare TiN nanowires (Fig. 1b). The higher magnification SEM image in Fig. 1d reveals that the SnS_2 nanosheets with lateral size of about 300-400 nm are uniformly coated on the TiN nanowires substrate.

The structure of the as-synthesized CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂ can be seen clearly in the TEM image in Fig. 2a. The selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns demonstrate that the CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂ nanocomposites are polycrystalline in nature (Fig. 2a inset). Well-resolved lattice fringes of 0.31 nm corresponding to the (100) planes of the hexagonal SnS₂ (JCPDS card no. 23-0677) are observed in the nanocomposites (Fig. 2b and 2c), consistent with the layered structure of the SnS₂. Additionally, energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) elemental mapping collected from the part of Fig. 2a show that the Sn and S signals are strongly detected, while the Ti, O, N signals are detected to be sparingly distributed in the nano-



Fig. 2 (a) TEM image of CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂, inset image is the SAED pattern. (b) HRTEM and (c) Magnified HRTEM of a single nanosheet. (d - i) EDS elemental mapping images characterized at the same position of the individual elements in the nanosheets.

sheets (Fig. 2d-i). The EDS data reveal that the $TiO_xN_y@SnS_2$ nanocomposites have the Ti:O:N:Sn:S ratio of 10:15:9:41:25.

For comparison, carbon cloth covered with SnS₂ nanosheets (CC@SnS₂) was also prepared according to the preparation of CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂ without the absence of TiN nanowires. All of the XRD peaks can be assigned to layered structure SnS₂ and carbon cloth (Fig. S3) and SEM image certified that the carbon cloth covered by uniform SnS₂ nanosheets (Fig. S4). Also, the pristine SnS₂ samples were synthesized without carbon cloth. The XRD pattern can be assigned to layered structure SnS₂ without other impurity phase (Fig. S3). The SEM image (Fig. S5) illustrates that the pristine SnS₂ has uniform nanosheets morphology.

The full Raman spectra of the $CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS_2$ nanocomposites are shown in Fig. S6. The enlarge spectrum of the high wavelength region displayed in Fig. 3a shows Raman shift of 146, 441 and 638 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the characteristic Raman peaks of TiO_xN_y ,³¹ while the one at 314 cm⁻¹ is assigned to SnS_2 Raman peaks.^{32, 33} This further confirms the formation of the $CT@TiO_xN_y@SnS_2$ nanocomposites. Fig. 3b shows the enlarge Raman spectra of the low Raman shift region, which corresponds to the carbon bonding region. The D and G peaks of the carbon cloth was distorted and some new peaks emanates around 1400-1500 cm⁻¹, which are attributed to the C=N stretching bond, resulted from the reaction of reduced N and carbon cloth.^{34, 35} Such C=N bond has been proven to be have high electrical conducti-



Fig. 3 Enlarged Raman Spectrum of CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂: (a) from 100 - 800 cm⁻¹ Raman shift, (b) from 1200 - 1800 cm⁻¹ Raman shift.

ARTICLE

RSC Advances

ARTICLE

vity, which may enhance the electrical conductivity of the fabricated $CT@TiO_xN_v@SnS_2$ nanocomposites. 36

Furthermore, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) studies were carried out to determine the change of surface chemical composition and oxidation state of the CC@TiOxNv@SnS2 nanocomposites. To get the insight study about the oxidation states, the XPS experiment was also performed for the TiN for comparison. Fig. 4a shows the XPS survey spectra of the TiN nanowires and the $CC@TiO_xN_v@SnS_2$ nanocomposites. The XPS spectra of the CC@TiOxNy@SnS2 nanocomposites consists of mainly the Sn, S, Ti, O, N and C oxidation states; while the no trace of Sn and S peaks can be observed in the TiN spectra. The Ti 2p core-level spectra of the two samples can be seen in Fig. 4b. Multiple peaks are evolved in the Ti 2p spectra of the TiN, which were assigned to be Ti-N, Ti-O-N and Ti-O,³⁷ but the Ti-N peak was absent in the Ti 2p spectra of the CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂ nanocomposites, confirming the formation of the oxynitride.^{38, 39} Similar phenomenon can also be observed in the N 1s core-level spectra (Fig. 4c). The N 1s spectrum of the TiN presents a doublet at around 396 and 397 eV, corresponding to the Ti-N and Ti-O-N peaks, respectively; while the Ti-N peak is also not present in the CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂ nanocomposites, which also affirms that the oxynitride was formed.40, 41 These results reveal that the surfaces of the CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂ nanocomposites are composed of Ti-O-N and Ti-O chemical states. An additional peak at binding energy of about 164.5 was observed in the S 2p peaks of the $CC@TiO_xN_v@SnS_2$ nanocomposites, which is quite different from the common S 2p spectra reported for SnS_2 in other literatures.^{42, 43} This additional peak can be attributed to sulfoxyanion from the sulfite, suggesting the nanocomposite surface is functionalized by some sulfoxyl groups, which have been also reported to possess good electrical conductivity.⁴⁴

Next, we investigate the electrochemical properties of the $CC@TiO_xN_v@SnS_2$ nanocomposites as anode material for LIBs.



Fig. 4. XPS Characterization of the TiN nanowires and $CC@TiO_xN_y@SNS_2$ nanocomposites: (a) XPS survey spectrum. (b) Ti 2p core-level spectrum. (c) N 1s core-level spectrum. (d) S 2p core-level spectrum.



Fig. 5 (a) CV curves of CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂ electrode showing the 1st, 2nd and 3rd cycles between 0.01 and 3.0 V at a scanning rate of 0.1 mV/s. (b) The 1st, 2nd and 100th charge-discharge voltage curves of CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂ at a current density of 1 C, 1 C = 645 mA g⁻¹. (c) Cyclic performance of CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂, CC@TiN, CC@SnS₂ and SnS₂ electrode at a current density of 1 C. (d) Rate capability of CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂, CC@TiN, CC@SnS₂ and SnS₂ electrode at SnS₂ electrode at various current rates from 1 to 20 C.

Figure 5a shows the 1st-3rd cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves of the CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂ nanocomposites between 0.01 and 3.0 V at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹. Four reduction peaks can be observed in the 1st CV curve. The peak at 1.86 V is ascribed to the lithium intercalation of the SnS₂ layer without phase decomposition.¹⁸ Two peaks at 1.24 and 0.66 V are attributed to the decomposition of SnS₂ and the formation of Li₂S and Li-Sn alloys.¹⁸ In the subsequent cycles, the reduction peak at 1.24 V can still be observed, and that of 0.66 V shifts to about 0.92 V, which is attributed to form the solid electrolyte interface (SEI) layer. In addition, the redox peaks obtained at 1.66 and 2.07 V are ascribed to the processes of Li⁺ insertion and extraction in TiO₂,⁸ which is also observed to be highly reversible in subsequent cycles. The CV cures of pristine SnS₂ nanosheets electrode are similar to the CC@TiOxNy@SnS2 nanocomposites expect for the redox peaks obtained at 1.66 and 2.07 V (Fig. S7). Also, the CV cures of CC, CC@SnS₂, and CC@TiN were showed in Fig. S7.

Fig. 5b shows the 1^{st} , 2^{nd} and 100^{th} cycle discharge-charge voltage-capacity curves of the CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂ electrode at current density of 1 C. The 1st, 2nd and 100th charge-discharge voltage-capacity curves of CC, SnS₂ nanosheets, CC@SnS₂ and CC@TiN electrode are showed in Fig. S7. From Fig. 5b, it can be seen that four discharge plateaus (ca. 1.97, 1.75, 1.29 and 0.9 V) are apparent at the 1st cycle, which consistent with the results of CV. The initial discharge and charge capacities are 1082 and 800 mAh g^{-1} , corresponding to a coulombic efficiency of 74%, which is considered quite high compared to some SnS₂-based and transition-metal-oxide-based electrodes.⁴⁵⁻⁴⁷ The first cycle irreversible capacity loss could be attributed to the formation of solid electrolyte interface (SEI) layer. Compared to the total capacity of CC@TiN@SnS2 electrode, the capacity contribution from the carbon cloth substrate is quite less, even can be ignored (Fig. S7e). The mass loading of the TiO_vN_v@SnS₂ on carbon cloth is 1.6 mg cm⁻², the weight

percentage of the TiO_xN_y in CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂ is 44 % and SnS₂ is 56 %. Thus, the theoretical capacity of CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂ can be calculated as follows: $C_{\text{theoretical}} = C (TiO_xN_y) \times (\% \text{ mass of})$ TiO_xN_y + C (SnS₂) × (% mass of SnS₂) = 335 × 44 % + 645 × 56 % = 508.6 mAh g^{-1} . From the second cycle onward, the cycle performance at a current density of 1 C is shown in Fig. 5c. The CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂ electrode exhibits excellent capacity retention even prolonging cycle numbers. Apart from the first cycle with a large irreversible capacity, the following up cycles own a coulombic efficiency of about 98% (Fig. S8), a reversible capacity as high as 612 mAh g⁻¹ can still be maintained after 100 charging/discharging cycles, which is higher than its theoretical capacity (508.6 mAh g⁻¹). Such capacity is remarkably compared to the previous reports in cycling stability of SnS₂ based electrodes.^{16, 18, 19, 48} This capacity is about 1.5 times higher than the theoretical capacity of graphite (372 mAh g^{-1}) and even compared to the theoretical reversible capacity of SnS_2 (645 mAh g⁻¹). For comparison, the cycling performance of CC@TiN, CC@SnS₂ and pristine SnS_2 nanosheets, are also showed in Fig. 5c. Under the same test conditions, the CC@TiN, CC@SnS₂ and pristine SnS₂ nanosheets exhibit much faster capacity fading, after 100 charging/discharging cycles, the discharge capacity are 301, 480 and 270 mAh g^{-1} , respectively. The pristine SnS₂ nanosheets electrode shows the worst cycle performance. Interestingly, the discharge capacity of the CC@TiN@SnS₂ electrode is higher than the addition of individual CC@TiN, $CC@SnS_2$ and SnS_2 electrode, which is even closed to the theoretical capacity of SnS₂ (645 mAh g⁻¹). After 100 charging/discharging cycles, SEM image of the CC@TiN@SnS₂ electrode studies reveals that the SnS₂ nanosheets still firmly adheres to the surface of the TiOxNy nanowires (Fig. S9), indicating that the CC@TiOxNy@SnS2 nanocomposites exhibit excellent chemical stability.

Considering the rate capacity is an important factor for the output powder. The rate capacity of the CC@TiN@SnS₂, CC@TiN, CC@SnS₂ and SnS₂ electrode were carried out by charging/discharging at current density ranging from 1 C to 20 C. As shown in Fig. 5d, the average discharge capacities of CC@TiN@SnS₂ electrode are maintained at 685, 601, 498, 417, 351, 284 and 219 mAh g^{-1} from 1 C to 20 C, respectively. When the current density is switched back to 1 C, a stable high discharge capacity of 676 mAh g⁻¹ can be attained. By contract, the discharge capacities of CC@TiN, CC@SnS₂ and SnS₂ electrode drop dramatically with increasing the current density. When compared with CC@SnS₂ and SnS₂ electrode, the CC@TiN@SnS₂ electrode shows higher reversible capacity and better cycling stability. These results clearly demonstrate that the TiO_xN_y play an important role in improving the rate performance of SnS₂.

The attractive electrochemical performance of the $CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS_2$ electrode might be attributed to the unique structural features in several aspects. First, the flexible carbon cloths substrate, which provides strong mechanical support, acts as a conducting material and current collector. Second, the high electrical conductivity of the TiO_xN_y facilitates the transport of electrons and lithium ions. The link of SnS_2 nano-



Fig. 6 Nyquist plots of the fresh battery and after 100 charging/discharging cycles of $CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS_2$ electrode at a current density of 1 C.

sheets and the current collector provides intimate adhesion, ensuring that the active material does not come off from the current collector, and also stimulate electrons transfer between SnS_2 nanosheets and the substrate. Third, the large surface area of the 2D SnS_2 nanosheets with layered structure enhances rapid diffusion of lithium ions. Moreover, the SnS_2 nanosheets anchor on the TiO_xN_y nanowires can allow the electrolyte to penetrate entirely, holding each SnS_2 nanosheet not only surrounded by the electrolyte solution but also contact with the carbon cloth current collector. This is a key feature to high rate capacity. Thus, the above characteristics work together, giving the electrode excellent lithium storage properties.

To deeply understand the electrochemical performances, the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was conducted at room temperature. Fig. 6 shows the Nyquist plots of the fresh and after 100 cycles CC@TiOxNy@SnS2 electrode. Each plot consists of a semicircle in the mediumhigh frequency region, which is ascribed to the charge transfer or electrochemical reaction resistance, and a sloped line in the low frequency region, which is related to the diffusion of lithium ion. The Nyquist plots exhibit that the diameter of the semicircle for after 100 cycles electrode in the medium-high frequency region is smaller than the fresh electrode, indicating lower charge transfer impedances for after 100 cycles electrode. This phenomenon has been commonly observed for metal oxide LIBs electrodes,⁴⁹ and herein could be due to the reduction of the nanocomposite into their corresponding metals during the irreversible reactions.

4. Conclusions

In summary, the novel material $CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS_2$ nanocomposites were synthesized by two step hydrothermal method. Investigation on the lithium storage performance indicates that the $CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS_2$ electrode displays an initial discharge capacity of 1082 mAh g⁻¹ at a current density of 1 C, with a coulombic efficiency of 74 %. The reversible capacity as high as 612 mAh g⁻¹ can still be maintained after 100 cycles charging/discharging. Even at a high current density of 5 C, the electrode shows a high reversible discharge capacity

ARTICLE

of 419 mAh g⁻¹. The excellent electrochemical performances suggest that $CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS_2$ can be feasible alternative electrode materials for the next generation lithium ion batteries. Also, this approach can also be extended for the development of other diverse inorganic electrode materials.

Acknowledgement

This work is supported by Guangxi University of science and technology research projects (KY2015ZD051), Guilin University of Technology research start-up funds (002401003418) and Guilin University of Technology National College Students' Innivation Training Project (201410596003).

References

- 1 K. Wang and X. Li and J. Chen, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 527-545.
- 2 M. S. Whittingham, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 4271-4302.
- 3 J. M. Tarascon and M. Armand, *Nature*, 2001, **414**, 359-367.
- 4 F. Lu, Q. Wu, X. Yang, L. Chen, J. Cai, C. Liang, M. Wu and P. Shen, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 9768-9774.
- 5 Y. Zhang, Z. Liu, H. Zhao and Y. Du, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 1440-1444.
- 6 C. Jo, Y. Kim, J. Hwang, J. Shim, J. Chun, and J. Lee, Chem. Mater., 2014, **26**, 3508-3514.
- 7 L. Fan, S. Chi, L. Wang, W. Song, M. He, and L. Gu, *ChemElectroChem* 2015, **2**, 421-426.
- 8 W. Li, F. Wang, Y. Liu, J. Wang, J. Yang, L. Zhang, A. A. Elzatahry, D. Al-Dahyan, Y. Xia, and D. Zhao, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 2186–2193.
- 9 Y. Huang, C. Ling, X. Chen, D. Zhou and S. Wang, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 32505-32510.
- 10 Y. Liu, H. Kang, L. Jiao, C. Chen, K. Cao, Y. Wang and H. Yuan, Nanoscale, 2015, **7**, 1325-1332.
- 11 J. Ma, D. Lei, X. Duan, Q. Li, T. Wang, A. Cao, Y. Mao and W. Zheng, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 3615-3617
- 12 L. Wang, L. Zhuo, Y. Yu, F. Zhao, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **112**, 439-447.
- 13 M.-S. Balogun, W. Qiu, J. Jian, Y. Huang, Y. Luo, H. Yang, C. Liang, X. Lu, and Y. Tong, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 23205-23215.
- 14 H. Zhong, G. Yang, H. Song, Q. Liao, H. Cui, P. Shen, and C.-X. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, **116**, 9319-9326.
- 15 Y. Du, Z. Yin, X. Rui, Z. Zeng, X.-J. Wu, J. Liu, Y. Zhu, J. Zhu, X. Huang, Q. Yan and H. Zhang, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 1456-1459.
- 16 M. He, L.-X. Yuan and Y.-H. Huang, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 3374-3383.
- 17 S. Y. Liu, X. Lu, J. Xie, G. Cao, T. Zhu, and X. Zhao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2013, 5, 1588-1595.
- 18 G. Wang, J. Peng, L. Zhang, J. Zhang, B. Dai, M. Y. Zhu, L. Xia and F. Yu, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 3659-3666.
- 19 D. Kong, H. He, Q. Song, B. Wang, Q.-H. Yang and L. Zhi, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 23372-23376.
- 20 M.-S. Balogun, M. Yu, C. Li, T. Zhai, Y. Liu, X. Lu and Y. Tong, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 10825-10829.
- 21 X. Wang, X. Lu, B. Liu, D. Chen, Y. Tong and G. Shen, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 4763-4782.
- 22 G. Zhou, F. Li and H.-M. Cheng, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, **7**, 1307-1338.
- 23 Y. Hu and X. Sun, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 10712-10738.
- 24 H. Gwon, J. Hong, H. Kim, D.-H. Seo, S. Jeon and K. Kang, Energy Environ. Sci., 2014, 7, 538-551.
- 25 L. Shen, Q. Che, H. Li and X. Zhang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2014, 24, 2630-2637.

- 26 Y. Qiu, K. Yan, S. Yang, L. Jin, H. Deng and W. Li, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 6515-6526.
- 27 J. Liu, S. Tang, Y. Lu, G. Cai, S. Liang, W. Wang and X. Chen, Energy Environ. Sci., 2013, 6, 2691-2697.
- 28 D. Zhou, H. Wu, Z. Wei and B.-H. Han, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, 15, 16898-16906.
- 29 X.-J. Wang, F. Krumeich, M. Wörle, R. Nesper, L. Jantsky and H. Fjellvåg, *Chem. Euro. J.*, 2012, **18**, 5970-5978.
- 30 X. Lu, M. Yu, G. Wang, T. Zhai, S. Xie, Y. Ling, Y. Tong and Y. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 267-272.
- B. Subramanian, C. V. Muraleedharan, R. Ananthakumar and M. Jayachandran, *Surf. Coat. Tech.*, 2011, **205**, 5014-5020.
- 32 J.-G. Kang, G.-H. Lee, K.-S. Park, S.-O. Kim, S. Lee, D.-W. Kim and J.-G. Park, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, 22, 9330-9337.
- 33 P. Chen, Y. Su, H. Liu and Y. Wang, ACS Appl. Mater. Inter., 2013, 5, 12073-12082.
- 34 A. C. Ferrari, S. E. Rodil and J. Robertson, *Diam. Relat. Mater.*, 2003, **12**, 905-910.
- 35 P.-N. Wang, N. Xu, Z.-F. Ying, X.-T. Ying, Z.-P. Liu and W.-D. Yang, *Thin Solid Films*, 2001, **382**, 34-38.
- 36 M. Belmonte, S. M. Vega-Díaz, A. Morelos-Gómez, P. Miranzo, M. I. Osendi and M. Terrones, *J. Euro. Ceram. Soc.*, 2014, **34**, 1097-1104.
- 37 X. Lu, G. Wang, T. Zhai, M. Yu, S. Xie, Y. Ling, C. Liang, Y. Tong and Y. Li, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 5376-5381.
- 38 J.-G. Kim, D. Shi, K.-J. Kong, Y.-U. Heo, J. H. Kim, M. R. Jo, Y.C. Lee, Y.-M. Kang and S. X. Dou, ACS Appl. Mater. Inter., 2013, 5, 691-696.
- 39 Y. Qiu, K. Yan, S. Yang, L. Jin, H. Deng and W. Li, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 6515-6526.
- 40 Z. Peng, D. Jia, J. Tang, Y. Wang, Y. Wang, L. Zhang and G. Zheng, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 10904-10909.
- 41 A. Glaser, S. Surnev, F. P. Netzer, N. Fateh, G. A. Fontalvo and C. Mitterer, *Surf. Sci.*, 2007, **601**, 1153-1159.
- 42 T. Zhai, X. Lu, Y. Ling, M. Yu, G. Wang, T. Liu, C. Liang, Y. Tong and Y. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 5869-5875.
- 43 Z. Zhang, C. Shao, X. Li, Y. Sun, M. Zhang, J. Mu, P. Zhang, Z. Guo and Y. Liu, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 606-618.
- 44 W. Jiao, N. Li, L. Wang, L. Wen, F. Li, G. Liu and H.-M. Cheng, Chem. Commun., 2013, **49**, 3461-3463.
- 45 B. Luo, Y. Fang, B. Wang, J. Zhou, H. Song and L. Zhi, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, 5, 5226-5230.
- 46 L. Mei, C. Xu, T. Yang, J. Ma, L. Chen, Q. Li and T. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 8658-8664.
- 47 J. Li, P. Wu, F. Lou, P. Zhang, Y. Tang, Y. Zhou and T. Lu, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2013, **111**, 862-868.
- 48 L. Ji, H. L. Xin, T. R. Kuykendall, S.-L. Wu, H. Zheng, M. Rao, E. J. Cairns, V. Battaglia and Y. Zhang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, 14, 6981-6986.
- 49 J. Luo, J. Liu, Z. Zeng, C. F. Ng, L. Ma, H. Zhang, J. Lin, Z. Shen and H. J. Fan, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 6136-6143.

6 | *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **00**, 1-6

Graphical Abstract

Free-standing CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂ nanocomposites were synthesized via two steps hydrothermal process. The flexible additive-free CC@TiO_xN_y@SnS₂ electrode possesses high lithium storage capacity, outstanding cycling stability and excellent rate performance.

