RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances



ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

An experimental and computational study to resolve the composition of dolomitic lime.

J. Grant,^a G.L. Pesce,^b R.J. Ball, ^b M. Molinari,^a S.C.Parker^{a*}

Lowering the environmental impact, and moving away from a reliance on cement based binders, is a key challenge of the construction industry. Dolomitic lime binders are produced at lower temperatures than cement, readsorb released CO2 during strengthening, and are recognised for their superior permeability, flexibility and resilience. While dolomite consists of alternating layers of magnesium and calcium the distribution in dolomitic lime is not yet fully understood. Here we combine experimental and computational methods to confirm that dolomite phase separates into lime and periclase during thermal decomposition. Raman inactivity of decomposed dolomite agrees with XRD studies suggesting phase separation. Our results rule out the formation of mixed phase oxides and predict an upper bound for bulk and surface substitution defect concentrations. Transferred to study macroscopic models of lime mortars these findings indicate that the only pure phases need be considered and that for the construction industry superior artificial mortars should be obtained from mixing fine powders of pure magnesium and calcium hydroxide.

Introduction

Cement and concrete production accounts for 7% of the anthropogenic CO_2 production¹. The main contributions to this come from the fuel source and the CO_2 released during the production of cement. In contrast dolomitic lime binders are produced between 900 and 1250°C instead of 1450°C, and unlike cements set via carbonation. In addition they are more durable ²⁻⁴, more permeable to water ^{5, 6}, reducing damp problems, are self-healing ⁷, withstanding small movements without cracking, and have mild antiseptic properties against moulds. The reduced cost of production, carbon recapture during setting and longer lifetimes mean lime mortars have the potential to replace cement and impact CO_2 levels in the short, medium and long term. Dolomitic lime mortars therefore offer an alternative to our continued reliance on cement based materials in construction.

Historically lime is one of the oldest inorganic binders after clay and gypsum with finds in Turkey having been dated to about 12000BC⁸. Lime mortars were used by the Phoenicians, in Egypt and Greece, reaching a technological peak with the Romans. During the Renaissance, lime was widely used and new technical treatises were published with detailed descriptions of the production and use of lime. However in the 18th and 19th century English and French engineers and scientists such as

^{a.} Department of Chemistry, University of Bath, Bath, UK. E-mail:

S.C.Parker@bath.ac.uk

^{b.} Department of Architecture and Civil Engineering, University of Bath, Bath, UK.

ARTICLE

Smeaton and Vicat contributed to the development of the first scientific knowledge on lime and a new hydraulic binder, cement⁹. The practical properties of cement, in particular fast setting times meant that use and knowledge of lime mortars again went into decline.

Lime is typically produced by decomposing limestone, CaCO₃, into CaO releasing CO2 but is also obtained from dolomite CaMg(CO₃)₂ which was known historically to enhance the properties of resulting mortars¹⁰⁻¹² and has recently been rediscovered¹³⁻¹⁶. Under mechanical testing medieval mosaics in St Mark's Basilica in Venice, bonded with dolomitic lime showed superior strength and durability^{13, 17}. Other researchers have also identified beneficial properties including lower porosity¹⁸ and higher plasticity leading to better workability¹⁹. Before the mechanical and setting properties of the mortars can be studied we first need to identify the atomic structure of the initial mortar. Since the mortar is obtained from slaking calcinated dolomite it is reasonable to assume that the nature of the oxide mineral(s) will determine that of the mortar produced in thermal decomposition: if the oxides are phaseseparated, the hydroxides in the mortar will be as well. Therefore an understanding of the decomposition products and thermodynamics of pure and mixed phase oxides and substitution defects is required.

Recent studies suggest that although the exact decomposition process of dolomite depends on the heating rate and conditions, it appears to decompose into phase-separated calcium and magnesium rich minerals ^{20, 21}. Where decomposition appears to proceed in two stages, MgO first appears suggesting that it decomposes and migrates leaving CaCO₃ to decompose on longer timescales. One of the principle methods used to determine the decomposition products has been X-Ray diffraction. While CaO, and/or Ca(OH)₂ and MgO have been identified in studies, researchers have not considered the potential properties of mixed phase oxides or defects in their analytic studies. There have been a number of theoretical studies of binary Ca-Mg systems of carbonate minerals. A number of these have investigated disordered mixed phase forms such as calcium-rich dolomite²² and found them to be thermodynamically unstable, suggesting their existence is kinetic in origin²²⁻²⁵. In contrast dolomite is found to be stable in agreement with experiment, and Raman spectra have also been reproduced²⁶. In the case of mixed phase oxides there is experimental evidence that these exist at high temperature²⁷ and as a result of molecular beam epitaxy²⁸⁻³⁰. Some theoretical work investigating thermodynamic stability has been reported at high pressure and temperature³¹ and using the local density approximation(LDA)³².

In this study we directly investigate the composition of dolomitic lime, confirming the degree of phase-separation and defect concentration following decomposition. This is essential to determining the relative properties of pure lime and dolomitic lime mortars. First, we compare experimental studies of carbonate minerals with simulation to validate the computational approach. We then consider the predicted properties of mixed-phase and minerals containing substitution defects in order to determine the constitution of decomposed dolomite.

Methodology

Samples and experiment

A reference sample of calcite was obtained from Jachymov, Czech Republic, magnesite was obtained from Brumado, Brazil. The dolomite reference was sourced from Bruch and der Mur, Austria while the decomposition sample was from a quarry near the city of Genoa, Italy. This stone has been used for production of lime mortars and plasters since the Middle Ages ^{14, 15}. Samples were prepared by first crushing the stone with a hammer and then, the fragments obtained were finely powdered with a ceramic mortar and pestle. The resulting powder was sieved and particles between 60 and 125µm were used for the decomposition process and the analyses.

All samples were decomposed using a thermo-balance SETARAM for TG/DTA analysis model TGA 92_1750 with a 1600°C module. Tests were carried out on samples of 26.1±0.1mg using a dynamic regime, with a heating rate of 5°C/min and a cooling rate of 20°C/min. All tests were stopped at a temperature of about 80°C in order to minimize water condensation on the sample particles and, thus, prevent carbonation while the samples were moved to a different laboratory for the subsequent analyses. During the decompositions, air gas was fluxed inside the furnace (internal pressure 150kPa) in order to optimize the heat distribution and to promote the CO₂ removal from the crucible.

Raman spectra were acquired using a Renishaw inVia Raman Microscope. The system was equipped with lasers operating at wavelengths of 582 and 785 nm. The analyses were performed by focusing the laser with objective magnification 50x. Laser power was reduced to 50% whereas the acquisition time was set at 3s for each of the 3 accumulations acquired. Each spectrum was taken over the wavenumber range 100–3200cm⁻¹. Prior to the analysis, the spectrometer was calibrated using a monocrystalline silicon standard specimen. Peak fitting and deconvolution of Raman spectra was performed using Renishaw WiRe 4.0 software.

Models and computation

Our calculations have been performed VASP at the DFT level of description³³⁻³⁶ using PBE exchange-correlation functionals^{37, 38} with a correction for van der Waals(vdW) forces, optB86b-vdW^{39,40}. We studied single unit cells of carbonates, oxides (and elements) and 2*2*2 supercells of oxides using 4×4×1, 4×4×4 and 2×2×2 k-point meshes respectively, maintaining similar k-point densities. For the majority of the systems studied a plane wave cut off of 500eV was sufficient though graphite required this to be increased to 800eV to ensure convergence and stability of the optimised structure. Gases were studied with a single molecule in a 10 Å cubic box. Convergence for each system was ensured using electronic convergence criteria of 10⁻⁸eV and ionic forces of $10^{-4}eV/Å$, allowing atoms and lattice to relax. From the minimised structures vibrational frequencies

ARTICLE

were obtained using finite displacements⁴¹. Finally the Raman activity was obtained by calculating the polarizability for the vibrational modes^{42, 43}.

Initial configurations were obtained from experimental structures for the known minerals and are compared with the DFT optimised structures in Table 1. These show good agreement for both carbonates and oxides, all within 1.5% of experimental values.

Table 1. Comparison of experimental and optimised lattice parameters for carbonate and oxide minerals. Lime and periclase are cubic so only a single value is given, while one in plane and the out of plane values are quoted for the trigonal carbonates.

| Mineral | | Simulation (Å) | Experimental (Å) |
|-----------|---|----------------|-----------------------|
| Lime | а | 4.79 4.81 | |
| Periclase | а | 4.23 | 4.212844 |
| Calcite | а | 5.03 | 4.9896 |
| | С | 16.80 | 17.0610 ⁴⁵ |
| Magnesite | а | 4.67 | 4.6328 |
| | с | 14.94 | 15.0129 ⁴⁵ |
| Dolomite | а | 4.84 | 4.812 |
| | С | 15.85 | 16.020 ⁴⁵ |

We have considered three potential mixed phase configurations which are illustrated in Figure 1 and compared with the mixed phase layered structure of dolomite. Dolomite is composed of alternating layers of calcium and magnesium; our study of mixed phase oxides considers alternating bilayers Figure 1b) and monolayers Figure 1c) in the {100} plane, alternating monolayers Figure 1d) in the {111} plane. Figures were generated with VESTA⁴⁶. To complete the study we consider low concentration defects to approximate infinite dilution required for estimating the phase diagram with supercells containing 32 formula units of CaO replacing one Ca with Mg, and vice versa (supercells of pure minerals were also simulated allow for direct comparison of thermodynamic to measurement).



Figure 1. Unit cells for a) dolomite and b-d) three candidate mixed phase oxides. Orange - magnesium, grey - calcium, green - carbon and red - oxygen. Dolomite is a layered structure, hexagonal in plane. Pure oxides are cubic: in b) full unit cells each oxide are layered, c) a single unit cell has single layers of each metal cation. In d) the unit cell is reoriented such that the metal ions and oxygen are in separate layers {111} with alternating layers of magnesium and calcium.

Results

Before applying computational methods to determine the properties of mixed phase oxide structures it is useful to validate the computational method. To this end Figure 2 compares Raman spectra obtained for three carbonate minerals, calcite, magnesite (MgCO₃) and dolomite, with simulated spectra. The calculated Raman intensities were fitted with Gaussians proportionate to the calculated activity. These predicted intensities are indicative; exact intensities and linewidths highly specific to the lasers and experimental conditions and require higher order calculations, for our current purposes the frequency and estimated activity suffice.



Figure 2. Comparison of experimental (full lines) and simulated (dashed lines, offset) for carbonate minerals, calcite, dolomite and magnesite. Below 600cm⁻¹ there is excellent agreement between the simulated and experimental spectra. At higher frequencies a shift is observed but the order of peaks seen for the different minerals is reproduced.

Figure 2 shows that there is excellent agreement between the simulated and experimental spectra. Typically the location of peaks in spectra are not exactly reproduced. For instance in Raman studies systematic shifts are often seen⁴³ due to error in the length and strength of bonds. This depends upon the functional used and while 'vibrational scaling factors' have been identified and routinely used, no correction has been applied in the data presented. Improvement in agreement can also be made by applying higher level methods, such as hybrid DFT/Hartree-Fock⁴⁷. These have the further advantage that they can improve the accuracy of thermochemical quantities⁴⁸ but at a much increased computational cost. In spite of slight offsets the number, relative separation and order of peaks are consistent with experimental spectra. Data is not shown for lime and periclase because these minerals are not Raman active in either experiment or simulation.

The enthalpies of formation for the carbonate and oxide structures considered are given in Table 2. The minimised energies can be improved by including contributions to the free energy from vibrations identified in the finite displacement calculations using the harmonic approximation. Each mode contributes H_{i_r} to the enthalpy of formation ΔH_{f_r}

$$H_i = \frac{h\nu_i}{2} + h\nu_i \left(\exp\left(\frac{h\nu_i}{k_B T}\right) - 1 \right)^{-1}$$

where *h* is Planck's constant, v_i is the frequency of the mode, *T* the temperature and *k* Boltzmann's constant. Calculations were performed for the elements in order to estimate formation energies for the mineral, in the case of gases, oxygen and hydrogen contribution from rotations (k_BT) and translations

ARTICLE

 $(3/2 \ k_BT)$ as well as work on the atmosphere (k_BT) . The calculated enthalpies of formation show good agreement with experimental values, with all being within 6%. The formation enthalpies and Raman spectra validates the model for the systems.

The energy cost of the formation for the mixed phase, E_{mix} , relative to the pure mineral phases, is given by

$$E_{\rm mix} = \Delta H_{\rm mix} - n_{\rm A} \Delta H_{\rm A} - n_{\rm B} \Delta H_{\rm B}$$

where E_{mix} is the calculated energy, A and B are the pure minerals, ΔH_{mix} is the enthalpy of formation of the mixed phase or mixture, n_A is the number of formula units of A in the mixture (in the case of mixed phases $n_A=n_B=0.5$) and ΔH_A is the enthalpy of a single formula unit of A. All values are for whole unit cells with carbonate minerals having 6 formula units per hexagonal cell and the oxides typically 4 (the exception is b) which has 8 formula units, the energy has been scaled for this system to allow easier comparison with the other mixed phase oxides). The calculations predict that dolomite is stable with respect to calcite and magnesite. The calculated formation energy for the dolomite unit cell is -2.8kJ/mol compared with the experimental value of -5.75±0.25kJ/mol⁴⁹ at 85°C. While the discrepancy appears large assuming error ~5meV per atom in our calculations, corresponds to 2.5kJ/mol for the carbonate minerals. Conversely the pure oxide minerals are significantly favoured, over all of the proposed mixed phase configurations.

Table 2. Comparison of calculated and experimental enthalpy of formation for pure and mixed phase carbonates and oxides. * Note that the experimental enthalpy quoted for dolomite is the enthalpy of mixing from calcite and magnesite.

| Model | | Calculated | Experimental | E _{mix} |
|------------|------------|--|-----------------------|------------------|
| (Figure 1) | Mineral | ral $\Delta H_{\rm f}$ (kJ/mol) $\Delta H_{\rm f}$ (kJ/mol | | (kJ/mol) |
| | Calcite | -1159.4 | -1207.6 ⁵⁰ | - |
| | Magnesite | -1046.9 | -1095.8 ⁵⁰ | - |
| а | Dolomite | -1105.9 | -5.74* ⁴⁹ | -2.8 |
| | Lime (CaO) | -630.7 | -634.9 ⁵⁰ | - |
| | Periclase | -572.5 | -601.6 ⁵⁰ | - |
| | | | | |
| b | bi-{001} | -574.2 | - | 23.1 |
| С | mono-{001} | -566.2 | - | 31.1 |
| d | mono-{111} | -577.9 | - | 19.4 |

The Raman spectrum for the mixed phase oxides shown in Figure 3 provides further evidence that these mixed phases are not present in the experimental samples of decomposed dolomite. Two of the proposed structures are found to be Raman active in simulation having signals that are of the order of the predicted peak at ~200cm⁻¹ for dolomite which is seen in experiment. In contrast the decomposed dolomite was not Raman active consistent with the lack of activity in pure bulk lime⁵¹ and periclase due to the inversion symmetry of the cubic crystal⁵². While structures b) and d) break the inversion symmetry c) like the pure oxides is not Raman active, but has the highest predicted mixing energy of the candidate structures. This is consistent with physical intuition where we expect strain between different mineral layers the concentration of which is maximised in c).



Figure 3. Comparison of simulated Raman spectra for proposed mixed oxide structures and dolomite. The peak at ~200cm⁻¹ in the dolomite spectra is observed in experiment and since the spectra for the proposed mixed phase oxides have predicted Raman signals of a similar order we would expect the structures to be identifiable experimentally.

While we can be confident that phase-separation has occurred, we still expect some level of defect concentration as a result of entropic considerations. As a result, in the separated minerals, we would still expect disorder to result in some Raman activity due to the symmetry breaking from mixing metal ions. In order to estimate the concentration of defects we need to consider both the defect energy and entropy contributions to the free energy and their temperature dependence.

Table 3. Simulation energies or pure minerals and defects 2*2*2 supercells of CaO, lime and MgO, Periclase ignoring contributions from entropy of mixing. Defects replace one calcium ion with a magnesium, and vice versa.

| Mineral | n(CaO) | n(MgO) | A(300K) (eV) | ΔE _{def} (300K) (eV) |
|------------------|--------|--------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| Lime | 32 | 0 | -361.48 | - |
| Periclase | 0 | 32 | -313.96 | - |
| Lime+defect | 31 | 1 | -359.34 | 0.66 |
| Periclase+defect | 1 | 31 | -314.53 | 0.91 |

The simulation energies are the free energies at 300K, both pure and defect calculations use supercells to avoid differences in the number of degrees of freedom and the impact this has on vibrational contributions to the free energy. Since contributions from vibrational modes are included using the harmonic approximation we take no account of volume change either from thermal expansion or the introduction of the defect and use the Helmholtz free energy. The contribution of each vibrational mode, A_{i} , is given by,

$$A_i = \frac{h\nu_i}{2} + k_{\rm B}T\ln(1 - \exp(-h\nu_i/k_{\rm B}T)).$$

The defect formation, or alternatively solution, energies ΔE_{def} , given in Table 3, are calculated similarly to the enthalpy of mixing of the mixed phases except that the free energy and number of formula units in the simulation cell are the relevant

quantities. Values are quoted in eV to distinguish from the enthalpies of formation calculated previously and to highlight that these energies are estimates of low concentration defect energies that are independent of concentration. The free energy of solution at 300K of calcium in periclase, 0.91eV is more than magnesium in lime, 0.66eV, due to the larger size of the calcium ion and therefore the greater strain associated with its substitution. As well as vibrational contributions to the Helmholtz energy we can consider the free energy difference between pure and defect systems due to entropy introduced by the defect. This can be estimated by an ideal, configurational, entropy of mixing per formula unit, ΔS_{id} given by

$$\Delta S_{\rm id} = -k_B [x \ln(x) + (1-x) \ln(1-x)],$$

where *x* is the defect fraction per unit formula e.g. Mg_xCa_(1-x)O, and k_B , the Boltzmann constant in appropriate units. If the estimated free energy difference $\Delta A = \Delta E_{def} - T\Delta S_{id}$ is greater than 0 the system will phase separate, otherwise the defect concentration will be stabilised. We can therefore estimate the temperature at which particular defect concentrations are stabilised using

$$T_{\rm bin}(x) = \frac{x \Delta E_{\rm def}(T)}{\Delta S_{\rm id}}$$

where $T_{\text{bin}}(x)$ is the temperature at which the phase boundary, the binodal, is located, where the defect energy has been normalised by the defect fraction to account for the defect being spread over 1/x formula units. Figure 4 illustrates the estimated bulk phase diagram up to 2% defect concentration for the two systems considered. At these concentration we expect the defect free energy difference and entropy of mixing to be reasonable approximations. As the concentration is increased the mixing term breaks down because ordered structures have a lower mixing entropy, while the energy per defect is typically lowered when defects are adjacent. This is seen in the ordered mixed energies of the mixed-phase oxide structures, where the formation energies given Table 2 are typically smaller than the defect energies (since there are four formula units per unit cell there are two 'defects').

The predicted phase diagram is present in Figure 4 showing the low concentration temperature dependence. In Figure 4 (inset) concentrations are shown for temperatures up to 2650K, above which liquid phases become important which cannot be captured by the present model. At standard conditions solid solutions are expected to be negligible. At temperatures up to 1500K relevant to lime production solid solutions of 1% Mg in CaO and 3% are predicted, however unless the product is annealed rapidly equilibration at lower temperature will result in lower concentrations. At high temperature good agreement is observed between the predicted and experimental phase diagram for Mg in CaO. Experiment suggests solid solutions by mass of 17% at 2650K and 10% at 2300K, while we predict 19% and 12% respectively. These discrepancies could be due to a degree of equilibration during cooling, in both cases the experimental concentrations correspond to ~100K lower than predicted, though this would be limited as the samples were annealed in water. At lower temperature the predicted phase diagram deviates significantly from experiment, but these samples were annealed in air which would allow longer for equilibration to occur during cooling.

While the predicted solid solutions for Ca in MgO are consistently lower they exceed the concentrations observed in experiment particularly at high temperature. That the discrepancy cannot be interpreted by equilibration during cooling alone suggests that the strain introduced due to the larger calcium ions is not captured as accurately as magnesium in lime. In both cases we note that the predictions are extremely sensitive to the calculated defect energies. As an illustration, an error of 50meV, less than 10% of the free energy of solid solution corresponds to less than 1meV per atom in the simulation cells studied. For Mg in CaO at 2000K this equates to a 30% difference in the predicted concentration or equivalently a 150K shift in the location of the binodal.



Figure 4. Estimated phase diagram for low concentration solid solution as a function of x for Ca_(1,x)Mg_xO and Mg_(1,x)Ca_xO (Inset: Up to 25% defect concentration). Below the line phase-separation is favoured while above the lines the defect concentration will be stable.

Simulated Raman spectra for the defect supercells are presented in Figure 5, in comparison with that obtained for dolomite. The signals are typically comparable with the peak at ~800cm⁻¹ for dolomite which is not observed experimentally. The defect calculations show that at bulk concentrations of <3% the Raman signal is unlikely to seen experimentally consistent with the decomposed dolomite not being Raman active. While this concentration is greater than that predicted from the phase diagram it is useful to note that Raman is sensitive to the surface where defect concentration might differ substantially from the bulk. Previous studies have found enhanced defect concentration at surfaces where the strain introduced by the defect is reduced ⁵³. In the case of dolomite the experiment and simulation together suggest that any enhanced concentrations at surfaces must be at or below 3%.



Figure 5. Comparison of simulated Raman for dolomite, and supercells of MgO(CaO), containing a single Ca(Mg) defect. The spectra for the defect calulations are at a level that we would not expect to identify in experimental spectra. Since the simulated concentration defect corresponds to 3% stable bulk defect concentrations are predicted to be unobservable in Raman studies.

Conclusions

We have analysed samples of dolomite supported by simulations of possible mixed-phase structures using a number of techniques to show that dolomite phase separates during decomposition. By applying computational and theoretical techniques we have also been able to show that any substitution defects are also likely to be of low bulk concentration. This result is of key importance in the development of both understanding the nature of dolomitic lime and the decomposition process. It will aid the production of new materials that are as practical as modern cements while retaining the beneficial properties of lime and dolomitic lime mortars.

Our calculations also predict that surface concentrations of defects are at most ~3%, since our current results predict these would not have detectable Raman signals. This is consistent with the decomposed dolomitic lime which was not Raman active. Enhanced defect concentrations at the surfaces are particularly important as these could have a disproportionate affect, since the surface structure will determine the rate of reactions. Therefore we would expect defects present to strongly influence the rate of hardening and strength of the resulting materials. As such, our results suggest it is the behaviour of pure minerals that determine the properties of lime mortars.



Figure 6. Illustration of the microstructure of dolomitic lime. Calcium rich particles are shaded yellow, magnesium, red, morphologies are nominal but indicative of nanoparticles of hydroxylated minerals. Sintering between minerals is coloured differently to represent the different properties between different particle types.

In Figure 6 we give an illustration of the focus of current computational efforts to explain the properties of lime mortars. In dolomite calcium and magnesium rich particles will comprise the initial mortar (in calcic lime only calcium rich, portlandite particles, should be present). The strength and properties of sintering between particles will depend upon the atomic level carbonation, and the quality of the mineral deposited between the different particle types. The rate of carbonation will be determined by the delivery of carbon dioxide through the particulate system, and the removal of water. At the coarsest level the macroscopic properties will result from the interactions between phase-separated particles and qualities such as their elastic behaviour under strain. Further we predict that beneficial material properties for construction will be obtained by mixing current (calcium rich) lime stock with finely ground magnesium hydroxide powders.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) for financial support through project EP/K025597/1. Access to ARCHER was provided via our membership of the Materials Chemistry Consortium, which is funded by EPSRC (EP/L000202). All data supporting this study are openly available from the University of Bath data archive at http://doi.org/10.15125/BATH-00170.

References

- 1. M. B. Ali, R. Saidur and M. S. Hossain, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 2011, **15**, 2252-2261.
- G. C. Allen, et al., Hydraulic Lime Mortar for Stone, Brick and Block Masonry, Donhead Publishing Ltd, Shaftesbury, Dorset, 2003.
- 3. I. Brocklebank, *Building limes in conservation*, Donhead Publishing, 2012.
- J. J. Hughes, Valek, J., Mortars in historic buildings: A review of the scientific and conservation literature, Historic Scotland, 2003.
- 5. A. Forster, Heriot-Watt University, 2002.

Journal Name

Page 6 of 8

36.

- Journal Name
- 6. J. Lanas and J. I. Alvarez-Galindo, *Cement and Concrete* 34. *Research*, 2003, **33**, 1867-1876.
- D. Jaroenratanapirom, Sahamitmongkol, R., J. Met. Mater. 35. Min., 2011, 21, 9-17.
- 8. K. Kind-Barkauskas, Kauheen, B., Polonyi, S., Brandt, J., *Concrete Construction Manual*, Birkhauser, Basel, Switzerland, 2002.
- 9. P. C. Hewlett, *Lea's chemistry of cement and concrete*, 4th edn., Oxford : Elsevier Butterworth-Heinmann 2004.
- 10. G. Misuraca, Bolidi, M.A., Aurelio, M.,, L'arte moderna di fabbricare: trattato pratico ad uso degli ingegneri, costruttori, capimastri e studenti, Milano, 1990.
- 11. T. Mannoni, Fornaci da calce in provincia di Varese, Storia, conservazione e recupero, Varese, 1995.
- 12. T. Mannoni, *Scienza e beni culturali*, Venezia, 2002.
- 13. G. C. Allen, *The Journal of the Building Lime Forum*, 2008, **15**, 8-14.
- 14. D. T. Beruto, R. Vecchiattini and M. Giordani, *Thermochimica Acta*, 2003, **404**, 25-33.
- 15. D. T. Beruto, R. Vecchiattini and M. Giordani, *Thermochimica Acta*, 2003, **405**, 183-194.
- 16. R. Vecchiattini, University of Genoa, 2002.
- 17. R. Bertoncello, Biscontin, G., Croce E., Milanese L., Saragoni, L., Zendri, E., *Proceedings of the international conference Scienza e Beni Culturali*, 2002, **XVIII**.
- 18. A. Arizzi and G. Cultrone, *Cement and Concrete Research*, 2012, **42**, 818-826.
- 19. A. Arizzi, Hendrickx, R., Cultrone, G., ven Balen, K., *Mater. Constr.*, 2012, **62**.
- 20. C. Rodriguez-Navarro, K. Kudlacz and E. Ruiz-Agudo, American Mineralogist, 2012, **97**, 38-51.
- 21. S. Gunasekaran and G. Anbalagan, *Bull Mater Sci*, 2007, **30**, 339-344.
- 22. L. Chai, A. Navrotsky and R. J. Reeder, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 1995, **59**, 939-944.
- 23. B. P. Burton and A. Van de Walle, *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*, 2003, **30**, 88-97.
- 24. A. Zucchini, M. Prencipe, P. Comodi and F. Frondini, *Calphad*, 2012, **38**, 177-184.
- V. L. Vinograd, B. P. Burton, J. D. Gale, N. L. Allan and B. Winkler, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 2007, **71**, 974-983.
- 26. J. Sun, Z. Wu, H. Cheng, Z. Zhang and R. L. Frost, Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2014, **117**, 158-162.
- R. C. Doman, J. B. Barr, R. N. McNally and A. M. Alper, Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 1963, 46, 313-316.
- 28. E. S. Hellman and E. H. Hartford, *Applied Physics Letters*, 1994, **64**, 1341-1343.
- 29. J. Nishii, A. Ohtomo, M. Ikeda, Y. Yamada, K. Ohtani, H. Ohno and M. Kawasaki, *Applied Surface Science*, 2006, **252**, 2507-2511.
- M. Hlad, L. Voss, B. P. Gila, C. R. Abernathy, S. J. Pearton and F. Ren, *Applied Surface Science*, 2006, 252, 8010-8014.
- 31. A. Srivastava, M. Chauhan, R. K. Singh and R. Padegaonker, *physica status solidi (b)*, 2011, **248**, 1901-1907.
- 32. R. Miloua, Miloua, F., Kebbab, Z., Benramdane, N., *ISJAEE*, 2008, **6**.
- G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Physical Review B*, 1996, 54, 11169-11186.

- G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Physical Review B*, 1993, **47**, 558-561.
- G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Physical Review B*, 1994, **49**, 14251-14269.
- G. Kresse and D. Joubert, *Physical Review B*, 1999, **59**, 1758-1775.
- 37. J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Physical Review Letters*, 1996, **77**, 3865-3868.
- J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Physical Review Letters*, 1997, **78**, 1396-1396.
- 39. J. Klimeš, D. R. Bowler and A. Michaelides, *Physical Review B*, 2011, **83**, 195131.
- J. Klimeš, D. R. Bowler and A. Michaelides, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter, 2010, 22, 022201.
- 41. X. Wu, D. Vanderbilt and D. R. Hamann, *Physical Review B*, 2005, **72**, 035105.
- A. Fonari and S. Stauffer, vasp_raman.py, https://github.com/raman-sc/VASP/, 2013.
- 43. D. Porezag and M. R. Pederson, *Physical Review B*, 1996, 54, 7830-7836.
- 44. D. K. Smith and H. R. Leider, *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, 1968, **1**, 246-249.
- H. M. Effenberger, K.; Zemann, J., Zeitschrift fuer Kristallographie, 1981, 156, 223-243.
- 46. K. Momma and F. Izumi, *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, 2011, **44**, 1272-1276.
- L. Valenzano, Y. Noël, R. Orlando, C. M. Zicovich-Wilson, M. Ferrero and R. Dovesi, *Theoretical Chemistry Accounts*, 2007, **117**, 991-1000.
- B. M. Wong, D. Lacina, I. M. B. Nielsen, J. Graetz and M. D. Allendorf, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2011, **115**, 7778-7786.
- 49. A. Navrotsky, Capobianco, C., *American Mineralogist*, 1987, **72**.
- 50. *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics,* Accessed 12th November, 2015.
- 51. T. Schmid and P. Dariz, *Journal of Raman Spectroscopy*, 2015, **46**, 141-146.
- 52. R. G. Schlecht and H. K. Böckelmann, *Physical Review Letters*, 1973, **31**, 930-932.
- 53. J. A. Purton, S. C. Parker and N. L. Allan, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 2013, **15**, 6219-6225.

TOC Image:



TOC Text:

Dolomite phase separates during calcination suggesting enhanced properties of its mortars might be artificially produced by mixing fine powdered hydroxides.