

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

1	Development of an Eco-friendly Immunochromatographic Test
2	Strip and its application in detecting Hg <sup>2+</sup> without chelators
3	Suyan Wang <sup>1,a</sup> , Limin Wang <sup>1,b,*</sup> , Hongfu Chen <sup>b</sup> , Yulong Wang <sup>b</sup> , Jia Cai <sup>b</sup> , Mingming Yang <sup>b</sup> ,
4	Fengquan Liu <sup>a,*</sup>
5	<sup>a</sup> Institute of Plant Protection, Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Science; College of Plant
6	Protection (Key Laboratory of Integrated Management of Crop Diseases and Pests), Nanjing
7	Agricultural University, Nanjing, P.R.China
8	<sup>b</sup> College of Plant Protection (Key Laboratory of Integrated Management of Crop Diseases and
9	Pests), Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, P.R.China
10	* Corresponding Author. Email address: wlm@njau.edu.cn; fqliu20011@sina.com
11	<sup>1</sup> The first two authors contributed equally to this work.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

#### 23 Abstract

24	Here, a specific anti-Hg <sup>2+</sup> monoclonal antibody (mAb) was generated and an Eco-friendly
25	Immunochromatographic Test Strip (EFITS) based on a mAb-nanogold probe for rapid and
26	specific detection of $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$ in water was developed. In the method, the conjugation of Hg-MNA
27	(6-mercaptonicotinic acid) -BSA (bovine serum albumin) was synthesized as an immunogen, the
28	conjugation of MNA-OVA (ovalbumin) was synthesized and selected as a coating antigen. The
29	specific anti-Hg <sup>2+</sup> mAb from BALB/C female mice was screened based on a competitive
30	immunoassay. The coating antigen and goat anti-mouse IgG antibody were coated on a
31	nitrocellulose membrane (NC membrane) as a test line and a control line, respectively. The
32	anti-Hg <sup>2+</sup> mAb-nanogold probe was applied to the conjugate pad. Hg <sup>2+</sup> competes with OVA-MNA
33	to the mAb-nanogold probe causing a color change on the test line corresponding to the $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$
34	contentThus, we can distinguish the subtle differences through a strip reader. The resulting EFITS
35	is able to detect $Hg^{2+}$ with LOD of 0.4 ng·mL <sup>-1</sup> at 9 min by quantitative analysis. EFITS
36	demonstrated here is eco-friendly (without $Hg^{2+}$ on the strip), capable of rapid detection, and does
37	not require chelators.
38	Key words: Hg <sup>2+</sup> ; Eco-friendly; MNA-OVA; mAb-nanogold; Immunochromatographic test
39	strip
40	
41	1. Introduction
42	With the rapid development of industrialization, mercury ion, which is highly toxic and can
43	have adverse effect on human health, has been frequently detected in environment <sup>1-2</sup> . Researches

44 have shown that dietary exposure to mercury in drinking water or sea food can seriously affect the

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

45	function of immune system, cardiovascular system, kidneys, lungs, bones and nervous tissues in
46	mammals <sup>3-6</sup> . Minamata disease has alerted us the importance of monitoring mercury level in our
47	surrounding. Therefore, sensitive and rapid analytical methods for detecting Hg <sup>2+</sup> levels in water
48	samples are crucial for monitoring water quality <sup>7</sup> .
49	So far, traditional methods such as Atomic Fluorescence Spectroscopy <sup>8</sup> , Atomic Absorption
50	Spectroscopy 9-10, Inductively Coupled Plasma Spectroscopy-Mass Spectrometry 11-12, High
51	Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) and the HPLC-ICP-MS <sup>13-14</sup> coupling technique are
52	sensitive and accurate. However, these methods called for high dependence on laboratory
53	techniques requiring expensive and sophisticated instruments together with technical experts. All
54	these restrict their extended application in the routine detection of heavy metals. In this context,
55	lots of efforts had been done to develop novel, cheap, simple, portable and real time detection
56	methods to trace mercury.
56 57	methods to trace mercury. Immunochromatographic test strips had attracted much attention because of their rapid,
56 57 58	methods to trace mercury. Immunochromatographic test strips had attracted much attention because of their rapid, low-cost and convenient character <sup>15-18</sup> . With the integration of colloidal gold and
56 57 58 59	methods to trace mercury. Immunochromatographic test strips had attracted much attention because of their rapid, low-cost and convenient character <sup>15-18</sup> . With the integration of colloidal gold and antigen-antibody reaction, we can detect the target analytes through a visible color reaction. Thus,
56 57 58 59 60	methods to trace mercury. Immunochromatographic test strips had attracted much attention because of their rapid, low-cost and convenient character <sup>15-18</sup> . With the integration of colloidal gold and antigen-antibody reaction, we can detect the target analytes through a visible color reaction. Thus, the immunochromatographic test strips played a potential role in point of care assay to monitor
56 57 58 59 60 61	methods to trace mercury. Immunochromatographic test strips had attracted much attention because of their rapid, low-cost and convenient character <sup>15-18</sup> . With the integration of colloidal gold and antigen-antibody reaction, we can detect the target analytes through a visible color reaction. Thus, the immunochromatographic test strips played a potential role in point of care assay to monitor our environment and human health.
56 57 58 59 60 61 62	methods to trace mercury.Immunochromatographic test strips had attracted much attention because of their rapid,low-cost and convenient character <sup>15-18</sup> . With the integration of colloidal gold andantigen-antibody reaction, we can detect the target analytes through a visible color reaction. Thus,the immunochromatographic test strips played a potential role in point of care assay to monitorour environment and human health.To date, the developed immunochromatographic test strips for detecting heavy metals needed
56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63	methods to trace mercury.         Immunochromatographic test strips had attracted much attention because of their rapid,         low-cost and convenient character <sup>15-18</sup> . With the integration of colloidal gold and         antigen-antibody reaction, we can detect the target analytes through a visible color reaction. Thus,         the immunochromatographic test strips played a potential role in point of care assay to monitor         our environment and human health.         To date, the developed immunochromatographic test strips for detecting heavy metals needed         chelating agent to bind heavy metals and usually the strips contain heavy metals <sup>2, 19-22</sup> . In this
56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64	methods to trace mercury. Immunochromatographic test strips had attracted much attention because of their rapid, low-cost and convenient character <sup>15-18</sup> . With the integration of colloidal gold and antigen-antibody reaction, we can detect the target analytes through a visible color reaction. Thus, the immunochromatographic test strips played a potential role in point of care assay to monitor our environment and human health. To date, the developed immunochromatographic test strips for detecting heavy metals needed chelating agent to bind heavy metals and usually the strips contain heavy metals <sup>2</sup> , <sup>19-22</sup> . In this research, a monoclonal antibody (mAb) was produced by using the Hg-MNA-BSA as immunogen,
56 57 58 60 61 62 63 64 65	methods to trace mercury. Immunochromatographic test strips had attracted much attention because of their rapid, low-cost and convenient character <sup>15-18</sup> . With the integration of colloidal gold and antigen-antibody reaction, we can detect the target analytes through a visible color reaction. Thus, the immunochromatographic test strips played a potential role in point of care assay to monitor our environment and human health. To date, the developed immunochromatographic test strips for detecting heavy metals needed chelating agent to bind heavy metals and usually the strips contain heavy metals <sup>2, 19-22</sup> . In this research, a monoclonal antibody (mAb) was produced by using the Hg-MNA-BSA as immunogen, which can specifically recognize individual Hg <sup>2+</sup> without any chelating agent.

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

67	Hg <sup>2+</sup> from water. More importantly, the OVA-MNA (ovalbumin-6-mercaptonicotinic acid) was
68	used as coating antigen, which without any heavy metal, resulting in realizing an eco-friendly and
69	chelator-free strip for quantitative detection of $Hg^{2+}$ in water samples. The developed Eco-friendly
70	Immunochromatographic Test Strip enabeled a rapidand quantitative detection of $Hg^{2+}$ in 10 min.
71	The novelty of the developed EFITS is eco-friendly (without $Hg^{2+}$ on strip), chelator-free and fast
72	detection.
73	2. Materials and methods
74	2.1. Reagents and equipments
75	Gold nanoparticles (40 nm) were produced in the plant quarantine and applied immunology
76	laboratory of Nanjing Agricultural University (Nanjing, China). 6-mercaptonicotinic acid (MNA),
77	bovine serum albumin (BSA), ovalbumin (OVA), Tween-20, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO),
78	3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB), dimethyl formamide (DMF), N-hydroxysuccinimide
79	(NHS), N,N'-dicycohexylcarbodimide (DCC), Freund's complete/incomplete adjuvants and
80	polyethylene glycol (PEG1500) were purchased from Sigma chemical Co. (St. Louis, USA).
81	Ammonium carbonate, calcium chloride, manganese sulfate, lead sulfate, zinc sulfate, magnesium
82	sulfate, ferric chloride, methylmercury chloride (CH <sub>3</sub> HgCl), mercury (I) chloride (Hg <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> ) and
83	mercuric sulfate (HgSO <sub>4</sub> ) were purchased from Aladdin industrial corporation. Hypoxanthine
84	aminopterin thymidine (HAT), hypoxanthine thymidine (HT) and culture media Dulbecco's
85	Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) were provided by Gibco (USA). Horseradish peroxidase
86	labeled goat anti-mouse IgG conjugate (HRP-GaMIgG) was bought from Boster Biological
87	Technology Co., Ltd (Wuhan, China). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was provided by Hangzhou
88	"Sijiqing" company (Hangzhou, China). Ultra-pure deionized water was produced with a

- triple-distilled water system, and used to prepare all aqueous solutions. NC membranes, glass
- 90 fibers and absorbent pads were purchased from Millipore Corp (Billerica, MA, USA).
- 91 Bicinchoninic acid kit was purchased from sigma.
- 92 An XYZ3060 dispensing platform and CM4000 Guillotine Cutter (BioDot, Irvine, CA) were 93 used to prepare test strips. Samples were validated using an Agilent 1260 HPLC system (Agilent 94 Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). A membrane strip reader (TSR5000) was purchased from Jiening 95 Biotech Co. Ltd (Shanghai, China).SP2/0 cells were stored in the plant quarantine and applied 96 immunology laboratory of Nanjing Agricultural University (Nanjing, China), and BALB/c mice 97 were purchased from the Center of Comparative Medicine of Yangzhou University (Yangzhou, 98 China). All animals used in this study and animal experiments were approved by the Department 99 of Science and Technology of Jiangsu Province. The license number was SYXK (SU) 2010-0005.
- 100 2.2 Synthesis of MNA-protein conjugation

101 The MNA was conjugated to BSA or OVA by the DCC/NHS ester method according to the previous literature with a slight modification<sup>23, 24</sup>. Briefly, MNA (0.014 g), NHS (0.011 g), and 102 103 DCC (0.071 g) were dissolved in DMF (900  $\mu$ L) and the reaction was stirred overnight at room 104 temperature. After centrifugation of the solution at 13,400 rpm for 15 min, the supernatant was 105 dropwise added to 7 mL of 0.13 mol·L<sup>-1</sup> NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution containing 117 mg BSA or OVA and 106 kept stirring for 4 h at room temperature. Then, the resulting solution was centrifuged and the supernatant was dialyzed in 0.01 mol·L<sup>-1</sup> PBS at 4 °C for 2 days with five-times change of buffer. 107 108 The protein concentrations of the MNA-protein (BSA or OVA) conjugations were determined by 109 BCA kit.

110 The MNA-OVA solution was used as one of a potential coating antigen, and both the

111 MNA-BSA and MNA-OVA were used to preparation of Hg-MNA-protein conjugation.

#### 112 2.3. Preparation of Hg-MNA-Protein conjugation

113	CH <sub>3</sub> HgCl (0.07 mmol) was dissolved in 540 $\mu$ L of methanol containing 10 % of 1 mol·L <sup>-1</sup>
114	NaOH (v/v). The solution of $CH_3HgCl$ was added dropwise to MNA-Protein (BSA or OVA) while
115	stirring and the reaction was incubated overnight at room temperature. The solution was dialyzed
116	in 0.01 M $(NH_4)_2CO_3$ for 2 days with five-times change of buffer. The protein concentrations of
117	the Hg-MNA-protein (BSA or OVA) conjugations were determined by a Nanodrop 1000 UV-VIA.
118	The Hg-MNA-BSA was used as immunogen, Hg-MNA-OVA was used as the second potential
119	coating antigen.
120	2.4. Production of monoclonal antibody
121	Five BALB/C female mice of about 7 weeks old were immunized with the Hg-MNA-BSA
122	conjugation. The first dose consisted of 100 $\mu$ g of conjugation intraperitoneally injected as an
123	emulsion of PBS and Freund's complete adjuvant. The subsequent injections were emulsified in
124	Freund's incomplete adjuvant. The second booster immunization was given to each mouse at
125	3-week intervals after the initial immunization, the third immunization was given at 4-week
126	intervals and the following immunization was given at 8-week intervals. One week after the last
127	injection, the antisera were obtained from the tail vein of each mouse. The sera were tested for
128	antibody titers and for analyte (Hg <sup>2+</sup> ) recognition by indirect incompetitive/competitive ELISA.
129	The process of ELISA was performed as described previously <sup>24</sup> .
130	The mouse showing the highest serum immuno-reactivity was given a peritoneal cavity
131	injection of 100 $\mu$ g Hg-MNA-BSA in PBS at 1 week intervals. Three to four days after the last
132	injection, the donor mouse was sacrificed. SP2/0 murine myeloma cells were cultured in DMEM

133	supplemented with 20% FBS. Splenocytes of selected mice were harvested aseptically. Cell fusion
134	and hybridoma selection procedures were performed essentially as described previously <sup>25</sup> . The
135	fusion cells were incubated at 37 °C with 5% CO <sub>2</sub> , and after 7 days, the supernatants were
136	screened by an indirect ELISA using Hg-MNA-OVA and OVA-MNA as coating antigen. The
137	supernatants which can recognize both coating antigens were detected for further indirect
138	competitive ELISA using $Hg^{2+}$ as competitor. The hybridomas whose supernatants recognized the
139	two coating antigens and could be inhibited by $\mathrm{Hg}^{2^+}$ were subcloned for three times using the
140	limiting dilution method <sup>25</sup> . Four stable antibody-producing clones were expanded and
141	cryopreserved in liquid nitrogen. Abundant antibodies were collected and subjected to purification
142	by ammonium sulfate precipitation. The unpurified mAb was stored at -20 °C in the presence of
143	50% glycerol. The purified mAb was stored at -20 °C.
144	2.5. Preparation of nanogold-mAb probe
144 145	<b>2.5. Preparation of nanogold-mAb probe</b> The nanogold-mAb probe was prepared according to the method <sup>26</sup> with a little change. In
144 145 146	2.5. Preparation of nanogold-mAb probe The nanogold-mAb probe was prepared according to the method $^{26}$ with a little change. In brief, the pH value of the colloidal solution was adjusted to 8.2 with 0.2 M K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> . 66 µL of 2.5
144 145 146 147	2.5. Preparation of nanogold-mAb probe The nanogold-mAb probe was prepared according to the method <sup>26</sup> with a little change. In brief, the pH value of the colloidal solution was adjusted to 8.2 with 0.2 M K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> . 66 $\mu$ L of 2.5 mg•mL <sup>-1</sup> solution were added into 10 mL adjusted colloidal solution with quick stir for 5 min and
144 145 146 147 148	2.5. Preparation of nanogold-mAb probe The nanogold-mAb probe was prepared according to the method <sup>26</sup> with a little change. In brief, the pH value of the colloidal solution was adjusted to 8.2 with 0.2 M K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> . 66 $\mu$ L of 2.5 mg•mL <sup>-1</sup> solution were added into 10 mL adjusted colloidal solution with quick stir for 5 min and kept for 1 h at room temperature. The amount of the mAb was 10% more than the optimal amount
144 145 146 147 148 149	2.5. Preparation of nanogold-mAb probe The nanogold-mAb probe was prepared according to the method <sup>26</sup> with a little change. In brief, the pH value of the colloidal solution was adjusted to 8.2 with 0.2 M K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> . 66 $\mu$ L of 2.5 mg•mL <sup>-1</sup> solution were added into 10 mL adjusted colloidal solution with quick stir for 5 min and kept for 1 h at room temperature. The amount of the mAb was 10% more than the optimal amount which was determined referring to the method <sup>2734</sup> . Afterwards, 1% BSA (final concentration) was
144 145 146 147 148 149 150	2.5. Preparation of nanogold-mAb probe The nanogold-mAb probe was prepared according to the method <sup>26</sup> with a little change. In brief, the pH value of the colloidal solution was adjusted to 8.2 with 0.2 M K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> . 66 μL of 2.5 mg•mL <sup>-1</sup> solution were added into 10 mL adjusted colloidal solution with quick stir for 5 min and kept for 1 h at room temperature. The amount of the mAb was 10% more than the optimal amount which was determined referring to the method <sup>2734</sup> . Afterwards, 1% BSA (final concentration) was added to stabilize the mixture with quick stir for 5 min. After one-hour standing at room
144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151	2.5. Preparation of nanogold-mAb probe The nanogold-mAb probe was prepared according to the method <sup>26</sup> with a little change. In brief, the pH value of the colloidal solution was adjusted to 8.2 with 0.2 M K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> . 66 µL of 2.5 mg•mL <sup>-1</sup> solution were added into 10 mL adjusted colloidal solution with quick stir for 5 min and kept for 1 h at room temperature. The amount of the mAb was 10% more than the optimal amount which was determined referring to the method <sup>2734</sup> . Afterwards, 1% BSA (final concentration) was added to stabilize the mixture with quick stir for 5 min. After one-hour standing at room temperature, the solution was centrifuged (10,000 rpm) at 4 °C for 25 min, and the supernatant
144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152	2.5. Preparation of nanogold-mAb probe The nanogold-mAb probe was prepared according to the method <sup>26</sup> with a little change. In brief, the pH value of the colloidal solution was adjusted to 8.2 with 0.2 M K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> . 66 µL of 2.5 mg•mL <sup>-1</sup> solution were added into 10 mL adjusted colloidal solution with quick stir for 5 min and kept for 1 h at room temperature. The amount of the mAb was 10% more than the optimal amount which was determined referring to the method <sup>2734</sup> . Afterwards, 1% BSA (final concentration) was added to stabilize the mixture with quick stir for 5 min. After one-hour standing at room temperature, the solution was centrifuged (10,000 rpm) at 4 °C for 25 min, and the supernatant was carefully sucked up. The bottom sediment was resuspended with 2 mL 2% BSA containing
144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151 152 153	2.5. Preparation of nanogold-mAb probe The nanogold-mAb probe was prepared according to the method <sup>26</sup> with a little change. In brief, the pH value of the colloidal solution was adjusted to 8.2 with 0.2 M K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> . 66 µL of 2.5 mg•mL <sup>-1</sup> solution were added into 10 mL adjusted colloidal solution with quick stir for 5 min and kept for 1 h at room temperature. The amount of the mAb was 10% more than the optimal amount which was determined referring to the method <sup>2734</sup> . Afterwards, 1% BSA (final concentration) was added to stabilize the mixture with quick stir for 5 min. After one-hour standing at room temperature, the solution was centrifuged (10,000 rpm) at 4 °C for 25 min, and the supernatant was carefully sucked up. The bottom sediment was resuspended with 2 mL 2% BSA containing 0.01 M sodium borate and centrifuged (10,000 rpm) at 4 °C for 25 min to remove the redundant

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

155	containing 2% BSA, 3% sucrose, 0.01 M sodium borate and 0.05% sodium azide. Finally, the
156	sediment was resuspended with 1 mL of the last washing solution and the prepared gold-mAb
157	solution was stored at 4 °C for future study in one month.
158	2.6. Preparation of the Immunochromatographic Test Strip
159	As shown in Figure 1, the Immunochromatographic Test Strip is made up of four parts
160	including a sample pad, a conjugation pad, a nitrocellulose membrane, and an absorbent pad <sup>26, 28</sup> .
161	The nanogold-mAb probe was dispensed by XYZ 3060 onto the dried, 2% BSA, 0.01 M sodium
162	borate blocked glass fiber pad and vacuumized at 37 °C for 30 min. The potential coating antigens
163	were diluted with 0.09% NaCl and dispensed at test (T) line, while 0.15 mg $\cdot$ mL <sup>-1</sup> goat anti-mouse
164	IgG was dispensed at control (C) line when the NC membrane was dried at 37 °C for 2 h. The
165	distance between the T and C line was about 5 mm. The NC membrane, conjugate pad, sample
166	pad and absorbent pad were pasted onto the PVC plate correctly which was then cut into
167	4-mm-wide strips using the programmable strip cutter CM4000. All strips were sealed in a plastic
168	bag with a pack of desiccant gel and stored at 4 °C.
169	2.7. Evaluation of developed Immunochromatographic Test Strip
170	2.7.1. Cross-reactivity of Immunochromatographic Test Strip
171	The specificity of the developed EFITS was determined as below, Fe (III), Ca (II), Mg (II),
172	Zn (II), Pb (II), Mn (II) Cd (II), Hg (I), Hg(II) MNA, MNA-Hg, CH <sub>3</sub> Hg <sup>+</sup> . The concentration of all
173	material was set as $1000 \text{ ng} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$ .
174	2.7.2. Accuracy of Immunochromatographic Test Strip
175	Water samples for validation of the strip include mineral water from local supermarket, tap
176	water from our lab, river water from Nanjing Agriculture University and lake water from Xuanwu

177	Lake (Nanjing, China). All water samples were centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 15 min and filtered
178	with a 0.22 $\mu$ m filter membrane.
179	2.7.3. Stability of Immunochromatographic Test Strip
180	The stability of the strips was evaluated by running the same batch strips at 1, 2, 4 and 8
181	weeks intervals. The strips were stored at 4 $^{\circ}$ C in sealed lucifugal bags. 0 and 1000 ng $\cdot$ mL <sup>-1</sup>
182	concentration of mercury ions were tested. The ratio of color indensity of test line and control line
183	(T/C) was tested.
184	3. Results and discussion
185	3.1. Principle of the Immunochromatographic Test Strip
186	The principle of the Immunochromatographic Test Strip was based on competitive
187	immunoreaction (Figure 1). The nanogold-mAb probe, which can bind to the coating antigen on
188	the test line, was used as the labeling material. During the lateral chromatography, the coating
189	antigen (immobilized on test line) acted as a competitive analogue of Hg <sup>2+</sup> , binding to the
190	nanogold-mAb. When a sample solution is applied to the sample pad, the liquid sample can flow
191	to the other end of the strip according to the capillary action. The nanogold-mAb immobilized on
192	conjugation zone flow together with sample fluid to reach the test line and control line. For
193	positive experiment, signal intensity of the test line (red line from nanogold) showed an inverse
194	proportional corresponding relationship with Hg <sup>2+</sup> content. The excess analyte and probe contained
195	in fluid fraction and continued flowing onto the control line and the absorbent pad. The probe
196	interacts with goat anti-mouse IgG immobilized on control line to form a red line. For negative
197	experiment, the probe can be fully binded to the coating antigen on test line and goat anti-mouse
198	IgG on control line with the same color intensity. The ratio of color intensity of the test line and

199 control line (T/C) was quantified at 9 min by using a test strip reader.

200	3.2. The affinit	y analysis of mAb to MNA-OVA and individual Hg <sup>2*</sup>	+

- 201 2 potential coating antigens, MNA-OVA and Hg-MNA-OVA, were synthesized for
- 202 development of the Immunochromatographic Test Strip. The affinity analysis of mAb to coating
- antigens and individual  $Hg^{2+}$  was performed on the Immunochromatographic Test Strip (Figure 2).
- As illustrated in Figure 2, when the concentration of individual  $Hg^{2+}$  was 0 ng·mL<sup>-1</sup>, the intensity
- 205 demonstrated the affinity of nanogold-mAb to MNA-OVA and Hg-MNA-OVA. With the increase
- 206 of  $Hg^{2+}$ , the intensity decreased because individual  $Hg^{2+}$  is inhibiting the binding site of
- 207 nanogold-mAb so that the intensity of test line is decreasing.

From Figure 2, without  $Hg^{2+}$ , there was no significant difference in the affinity of

- 209 nanogold-mAb toward MNA-OVA and Hg-MNA-OVA. With the increasing of  $Hg^{2+}$ , individual
- 210 Hg<sup>2+</sup> showed stronger competition towards nanogold-mAb when competing with MNA-OVA than
- that with Hg-MNA-OVA. That means mAb has stronger affinity toward Hg-MNA-OVA than
- 212 MNA-OVA, in the meantime, it can be competed by individual  $Hg^{2+}$  without any chelator. More

importantly, the coating antigen MNA-OVA (in absence of  $Hg^{2+}$ ) can be used as coating antigen

- on the Immunochromatographic Test Strip, so the developed test strip for detection of  $Hg^{2+}$  was
- 215 called Eco-friendly Immunochromatographic Test Strip (EFITS).
- 216 **3.3.** Optimization of analytical parameters for the EFITS

213

Ionic strength (0-1.0 M), tween-20 (0.05%-0.4%) and pH values (5.5-9.0) were optimized to

- obtain the best sensitivity. The results were judged by naked eye. Ionic strength (0 M, 0.05 M,
- 219 0.15 M, 0.5 M and 1.0 M NaCl additionally added into 0.01M PBST, pH 7.4) was optimized to
- 220 obtain the best sensitivity. As shown in Table 1, with the ionic strength in working solution

221	increased, the sensitivity of the strip improved. However, along with the ionic strength reached
222	0.15 M, the sensitivity diminished as the ionic strength increased. So, ionic strength of 0.15 M was
223	chosen for future optimization. Extreme pH values may induce antibody structure changes thus
224	destroying paratope of antibody <sup>2927</sup> and obstructing the binding of antibody with antigen. In this
225	work, as we can see from Table 2, the sensitivity of the one-step strip improved with the pH value
226	of working solution increased. But it reached the highest point at pH value 7.0 and 8.0. However
227	color of T line was a little lighter in pH 8.0 than that of 7.0 while they maintained the same
228	sensitivity. Consulting convenience of detecting mercury, we chose pH 7.0 for further study. As
229	surfactant, moderate Tween-20 can block active group on NC membrane and nonspecific sites of
230	our coating antigen, lowering nonspecific adsorption on NC membrane and enhancing color of T
231	line. We found from Table 3 that with the increase of Tween-20 content, T line signal decreased.
232	That is to say proper increase of Tween-20 content would improve sensitivity. Yet, too much
233	Tween-20 weakened sensitivity. Thus, 0.2% Tween-20 was selected for working solution.
234	To sum up, the working solution of the developed method contained 0.15 M ionic strength
235	and 0.2% Tween-20 in 0.01 M PBS with pH 7.0.
236	3.4. The sensitivity of the developed EFITS
237	For this one-step strip assay, a series of mercury concentrations were dissolved in optimized
238	working solution. Each solution was added on sample pad (100 $\mu$ L per sample) and waited for 9
239	min. Water samples containing mercury standard concentrations ranging from 0 to 1000 $ng \cdot mL^{-1}$
240	were assayed by our developed strips. As shown in Figure 3, with mercury concentration increased,
241	the intensity of red color on T line reduced. With mercury concentration of 5 $ng \cdot mL^{-1}$ , the
242	intensity of red color on T line was greatly weaker than that at zero concentration.

243	For quantification of $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ on the test strip, mercury standard concentrations ranging from 0
244	$ng \cdot mL^{-1}$ to 1000 $ng \cdot mL^{-1}$ dissolved in optimized working solution were assayed by strips and the
245	results were scanned by a strip reader. The obtained detection curve is shown in Figure 4A. From
246	Figure 4A, we can observe that in the range of 0.5-500 $\text{ng}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$ , the diagram between B/B <sub>0</sub> and
247	logarithm of mercury concentration $(ng \cdot mL^{-1})$ was linear (Figure 4B). The regression equation
248	was obtained (y=-0.2656x+0.85, $R^2$ =0.9966). The IC <sub>50</sub> value was calculated as 20.8 ng·mL <sup>-1</sup> , and
249	the detection limit was $0.4 \text{ ng} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$ .
250	In this study, the detection limit was 0.4 ng $\cdot$ mL <sup>-1</sup> , which is lower than 2 ng $\cdot$ mL <sup>-1</sup>
251	recommended by United States Environmental Protection agency <sup>21</sup> and 6 ng·mL <sup>-1</sup> recommended
252	by World Health Organization <sup>30</sup> . This research provides a new view for detecting heavy metals
253	with monoclonal antibodies. On one hand, we can detect mercury without using any chelators
254	attributing to good quality of mAb. It could be owning to MNA, which contains a cyclic pyridine
255	ring and a sulfhydryl group. MNA bare the mercury ion outside which makes it more likely for
256	antibodies to recognize mercury ions. There is a possibility that unmask heavy metals from carrier
257	proteins would increase probability of generating antibodies toward specific heavy metals. On the
258	other hand, our developed one-step strip can be totally heavy metal-free and won't generate heavy
259	metal pollution to our surrounding. Still, we don't know the principle of how the mAb works
260	without using chelators. But it gives us confidence of the possibility of detecting single heavy
261	metals without using chelators.
262	3.5. Evaluation of the developed EFITS
263	3.5.1. Cross-reactivity of the test strip

264 The cross-reactivity of the one-step strip is an important parameter when assessing accuracy

265	of detecting Hg <sup>2+</sup> . The effects of common metal ions which may exist in water samples such as
266	$Mg^{2+}$ , $Ca^{2+}$ , $Zn^{2+}$ , $Pb^{2+}$ , $Fe^{3+}$ , $Cd^{2+}$ were tested on the developed one-step strip. Also $CH_3Hg^+$ , $Hg^+$ ,
267	MNA, MNA-Hg were tested on the strip to get more information about cross-reactivity. As shown
268	in Table. 5, $Hg^{2+}$ , MNA and MNA-Hg showed cross-reactivity with $Hg^{2+}$ while other compounds
269	didn't show obvious cross-reactivity. The results demonstrated that the developed one-step strip
270	was highly selective to $Hg^{2+}$ ion.
271	3.5.2. Analysis of the spiked water samples
272	The analysis of spiked water samples was another parameter used to confirm the accuracy of
273	the strip. The mineral water, tap water, lake water and river water were spiked with $\mathrm{Hg}^{2^+}$ and
274	detected in optimized working solution. As shown in Table 4, the average recoveries of spiked
275	water samples ranged from 82.0% to 105.8%. The outcome showed that the strip could determine
276	Hg <sup>2+</sup> accurately.
276 277	Hg <sup>2+</sup> accurately. 3.5.3. Stability of the test strip
276 277 278	Hg <sup>2+</sup> accurately. <b>3.5.3. Stability of the test strip</b> The test strips obtained from the same batch were stored in vacuum bag and preserved at
276 277 278 279	${ m Hg}^{2+}$ accurately. <b>3.5.3. Stability of the test strip</b> The test strips obtained from the same batch were stored in vacuum bag and preserved at 4 °C for 1, 2, 4 and 8 weeks. 1000 ng·mL <sup>-1</sup> Hg <sup>2+</sup> dissolved in optimized working solution and
276 277 278 279 280	Hg <sup>2+</sup> accurately. <b>3.5.3. Stability of the test strip</b> The test strips obtained from the same batch were stored in vacuum bag and preserved at 4 °C for 1, 2, 4 and 8 weeks. 1000 ng·mL <sup>-1</sup> Hg <sup>2+</sup> dissolved in optimized working solution and negative control (100 μL) were added on sample pad. Figure 6 showed no significant signal loss in
276 277 278 279 280 281	Hg <sup>2+</sup> accurately. <b>3.5.3. Stability of the test strip</b> The test strips obtained from the same batch were stored in vacuum bag and preserved at         4 °C for 1, 2, 4 and 8 weeks. 1000 ng·mL <sup>-1</sup> Hg <sup>2+</sup> dissolved in optimized working solution and         negative control (100 μL) were added on sample pad. Figure 6 showed no significant signal loss in         T line, which is to say the strips were stable for at least 8 weeks. The stability assay ensures the
276 277 278 279 280 281 282	Hg <sup>2+</sup> accurately. <b>3.5.3. Stability of the test strip</b> The test strips obtained from the same batch were stored in vacuum bag and preserved at         4 °C for 1, 2, 4 and 8 weeks. 1000 ng·mL <sup>-1</sup> Hg <sup>2+</sup> dissolved in optimized working solution and         negative control (100 μL) were added on sample pad. Figure 6 showed no significant signal loss in         T line, which is to say the strips were stable for at least 8 weeks. The stability assay ensures the         possibility of practical application.
276 277 278 279 280 281 282 282 283	Hg <sup>2+</sup> accurately. <b>J.5.3. Stability of the test strip</b> The test strips obtained from the same batch were stored in vacuum bag and preserved at         4 °C for 1, 2, 4 and 8 weeks. 1000 ng·mL <sup>-1</sup> Hg <sup>2+</sup> dissolved in optimized working solution and         negative control (100 μL) were added on sample pad. Figure 6 showed no significant signal loss in         T line, which is to say the strips were stable for at least 8 weeks. The stability assay ensures the         possibility of practical application. <b>4. Conclusion</b>
276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 283	Hg <sup>2+</sup> accurately.         J.5.3. Stability of the test strip         The test strips obtained from the same batch were stored in vacuum bag and preserved at         4 °C for 1, 2, 4 and 8 weeks. 1000 ng·mL <sup>-1</sup> Hg <sup>2+</sup> dissolved in optimized working solution and         negative control (100 μL) were added on sample pad. Figure 6 showed no significant signal loss in         T line, which is to say the strips were stable for at least 8 weeks. The stability assay ensures the         possibility of practical application.         A. Conclusion         In this work, an Eco-Friendly Immunochromatographic Test Strip (EFITS) and a
276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 283 284 285	Hg <sup>2+</sup> accurately. <b>J.5.3. Stability of the test strip</b> The test strips obtained from the same batch were stored in vacuum bag and preserved at         4 °C for 1, 2, 4 and 8 weeks. 1000 ng·mL <sup>-1</sup> Hg <sup>2+</sup> dissolved in optimized working solution and         negative control (100 µL) were added on sample pad. Figure 6 showed no significant signal loss in         T line, which is to say the strips were stable for at least 8 weeks. The stability assay ensures the         possibility of practical application. <b>L Conclusion</b> In this work, an Eco-Friendly Immunochromatographic Test Strip (EFITS) and a         chelator-free method to detect mercury ion were developed. The quantitative detection limit was

- 287 accuracy in application of detecting water samples containing mercury ion. Like most
- immunochromatographic test strips, the developed one-step strip enabled the detection with 9 min,
- and the quantified results could be obtained through a membrane strip reader.
- 290 Acknowledgements
- 291 The authors thank Dr. Pedro Laborda and Dr. Louis Conway for their comments.
- This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31401771),
- the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (2012AA101401-1,
- 294 2013AA065601) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20140685).
- 295 **References**:
- 296 1 P. Miretzky, A. F. Cirelli, J. Hazard. Mater. 2009, 167, 10-23
- 297 2 Y. Zhou, Y. S. Li, X. Y. Meng, Y. Y. Zhang, L. Yang, J. H. Zhang, X. R. Wang, S. Y. Lu, H. L.
- 298 Ren, Z. S. Liu, Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem. 2013, 183, 303-309
- 299 3 Z. Guo, G. Q. Chen, G. M. Zeng, Z. W. Li, A. W. Chen, M. Yan, L. Z. Liu, D. Y. Huang, RSC
- 300 *Advances.* 2014, 4, 59275-59283
- 301 4 Y. Liao, Q. Li, N. Wang, S. J. Shao, *Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem.* 2015, 215, 592-597
- 302 5 X. J. Zhan, T. Xi, P. Zhou, *Environ. Forensics.* 2013, 14, 103-108
- 303 6 M. Y. Zhu, Y. Wang, Y. Deng, L. Yao, S. B. Adeloju, D. D. Pan, F. Xue, Y. C. Wu, L. Zheng, W.
- 304 Chen, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2014, 61, 14-20
- 305 7 Y. H. Luo, L. L. Xu, A. H. Liang, A. P. Deng, Z. L. Jiang, *RSC Advances*, 2014, 4, 19234-19237
- 306 8 K. Leopold, M. Foulkes, P. J. Worsfold, Anal. Chem. 2009, 81, 3421-3428
- 307 9 C. Burrini, A. Cagnini, *Talanta*. 1997, 44, 1219-1223
- 308 10 L. P. Yu, *J. Agr. Food Chem.* 2005, 53, 9656-9662

- 309 11 B. M. W. Fong, T. S. Siu, J. S. K. Lee, S. Tam, J. Anal. Toxicol. 2007, 31, 281-287
- 310 12 J. L. Gómez-Ariza, F. Lorenzo, T. García-Barrera, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 2005, 382, 485-492
- 311 13 A. Dago, O. Gonzalez-Garcia, C. Arino, J. M. Diaz-Cruz, M. Esteban, J. Chromatogr. A. 2009,
- 312 1216, 6752–6757
- 313 14 J. L. Gomez-Ariza, D. Sanchez-Rodas, I. Giraldez, E. Morales, *Analyst.* 2000, 125, 401–407
- 314 15 Y. S. Li, Y. Zhou, S. Y. Lu, D. J. Guo, H. L. Ren, X. M. Meng, B. H. Zhi, C. Lin, Z. Wang, X. B.
- 315 Li, Z. S. Liu *Food Control.* 2012, 24, 72-77
- 316 16 S. H. Huang, Sensor. Actuat. B-Chem. 2007, 127, 335-340
- 317 17 Q. K. Fang, L. M. Wang, Q. Cheng, J. Cai, Y. L. Wang, M. M. Yang, X. D. Hua, F. Q. Liu,
- 318 Anal. Chim. Acta. 2015, 881, 82-89
- 319 18 C. R. Xing, L. Q. Liu, S. S. Song, M. Feng, H. Kuang, C. L. Xu, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2015,
- 320 66, 445-453
- 321 19 K. Abe, K. Nakamura, T. Arao, Y. Sakurai, A. Nakano, C. Suginuma, K. Tawarada, K. Sasaki, J.
- 322 Sci. Food Agri. 2011, 91, 1392-1397
- 323 20 A. M. Lopez\_Marzo, J. Pons, D. A. Blake, A. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2013, 47 190-198
- 324 21 Y. Zhou, X. L. Tian, Y. S. Li, Y. Y. Zhang, L. Yang, J. H. Zhang, X. R. Wang, S. Y. Lu, H. L.
- 325 Ren, Z. S. Liu, *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 2011, 30, 310-314
- 326 22 Y. Zhou, Y. Y. Zhang, F. G. Pan, Y. S. Li, S. Y. Lu, H. L. Ren, Q. F. Shen. Z. H. Li, J. H. Zhang,
- 327 Q. J. Chen, Z. S. Liu. *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2010, 25, 2534-2538
- 328 23 F. D. Cai, Q. Zhu, K. Zhao, A. P. Deng, J. G. Li, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2015, 49, 5013-5020
- 329 24 Y. Z. Wang, H. Yang, M. Pschenitza, R. Niessner, Y. Li, D. Knopp, A. P. Deng. Anal. Bioanal.
- 330 *Chem.* 2012, 43, 2519-2528

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

- 331 25 J. C. Howard, G. W. Butcher, G. Galfre, C. Milstein. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1979: 54-60
- 332 26 L. M. Wang, J. Cai, Y. L. Wang, Q. K. Fang, S. Y. Wang, Q. Cheng, D. Du, Y. H. Lin, F. Q. Liu,
- 333 *Microchim. Acta.* 2014, 181, 1565-1572
- 334 27 X. D. Hua, G. L. Qian, J. F. Yang, B. S. Hu, J. Q. Fan, N. Qin, G. Li, Y. Y. Wang, F. Q. Liu.
- 335 Biosens. Bioelectron., 2010, 26, 189-194
- 336 28 X. Liu, J. J. Xiang, Y. Tang , X. L. Zhang, Q. Q. Fu, J. H. Zou, Y. H. Lin, Anal. Chim. Acta.
- 337 2012, 745, 99-105
- 338 29 R. Reverberi, L. Reverberi, *Blood. Transfusion*. 2007, 5 (4): 227
- 339 30 H. He, F. Wu, M. J. Xu, S. G. Yang, C. Sun, Y. H. Yang, *Anal. Methods.* 2011, 3, 1859-1864

340

- 341
- 342
- 343
- 344
- 345
- 346

- 348
- 349
- 350
- 351

353	Figure Legends:
354	Figure 1: The schematic diagram of an eco-friendly immunochromatographic test strip (EFITS)
355	and a competitive immunoreaction on the EFITS
356	Figure 2: The affinity of nanogold-mAb to potential coating antigen (MNA-OVA and
357	Hg-MNA-OVA) and $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ on the test strip. When the concentration of $\text{Hg}^{2+}$ is 0 ng·mL-1, the
358	intensity means the affinity of mAb to coating antigens. While the increase of concentration of
359	$\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$ , the intensity is decrease because of the competitive reaction of $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$ with coating antigen for
360	the limited binding sites of mAb (n=3).
361	Figure 3: Determination of $Hg^{2+}$ on the eco-friendly immunochromatographic test strip. The
362	concentrations of $Hg^{2+}$ were 1000, 500, 250, 100, 50, 25, 10, 5, 2, 0 ng·mL <sup>-1</sup> , respectively. CL:
363	control line; TL: test line.
364	Figure. 4. A: Standard inhibition curve of Hg <sup>2+</sup> in developed EFITS. The curve was obtained using
365	the relationship between the values of signal intensity of the test line and the concentration of $Hg^{2+}$ .
366	B: The calibration curve from "A". $B/B_0$ is binding ratio of antibody/antigen on the test strip.
367	Figure 5: Stability of the EFITS by running the same batch strips after the storage of 1 (a), 2 (b), 4
368	(c), 8 (d) weeks at 4 $\square$ at 0 and 1000 ng·mL <sup>-1</sup> of Hg <sup>2+</sup> .
369	Table Legends:
370	Table 1 Effect of ionic strength of working solution on the EFITS assay (n=3)
371	Table 2 Effect of pH values of working solution on the EFITS assay (n=3)
372	Table 3 Effect of Tween-20 of working solution on the EFITS assay (n=3)
373	Table 4 Recovery studies of real water sample spiked with $Hg^{2+}$ by the developed EFITS (n=4)
374	Table 5 Cross-reactivity of the monoclonal antibody with metal ions and related compounds
375	
376	
570	
377	
378	
379	
0,0	

a Sample pad Conjugate pad T line Nitrocellulose membrane Ab pad legative sample b Positive sample c X AuNPs labled anti-Hg<sup>2+</sup> antibody \* Hg<sup>2+</sup> OVA-MNA goat anti-mouse antibody 382 383 Figure 1: The schematic diagram of an eco-friendly immunochromatographic test strip (EFITS) and a 384 competitive immunoreaction on the EFITS 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396







Figure 4. A: Standard inhibition curve of Hg<sup>2+</sup> in developed EFITS. The curve was obtained using the
relationship between the values of signal intensity of the test line and the concentration of Hg<sup>2+</sup>. B: The
calibration curve from "A". B/B0 is binding ratio of (T/C)<sub>mercury sample</sub>/(T/C)<sub>no mercury</sub> on the test strip.





reader.





432

427

428

433

#### **RSC Advances**

Ionic	strength of	Merc	ury(II) star	ndard conce	ntration (n	$g \cdot mL^{-1}$ )
worki	ing solution	0	50	250	500	1000
0 M	Test line	7	6	5	4	2
NaCI	Control line	7	7	7	7	7
0.05M	Test line	7	6	5	4	2
NaCI	Control line	7	7	7	7	7

Test line

	NaCI	Control line	7	7
	0.5 M	Test line	7	5
	NaCI	Control line	7	7
	1.0 M	Test line	7	6
	NaCI	Control line	7	7
436	7+++: Red	line appeared.		
437	6++±: Red	line appeared but v	was weakei	r than +++.
438	5++: Red li	ne appeared but w	as weaker t	than ++±.
439	4+±: Red li	ne appeared but w	as weaker t	than++.
440	3+: Red line	e appeared but was	s weaker th	an +±.
441	2±: Red line	e appeared but was	s weaker th	an +.
442	1-: Red line	e did not appear.		
443				
444				
445				
446				
447				
448				
449				
450				
451				
452				
453				
454				
455				
456				
457				
458				
459				
460				
461				
462				
463				
464				
465				

0.15 M

of working solution         0         50         250         500         10           pH 5.5         Test line         7         6         5         4         2           pH 6.0         Test line         7         6         5         4         2           pH 6.0         Test line         7         6         5         4         2           pH 6.0         Test line         7         7         7         7         7           pH 7.0         Test line         7         7         7         7         7           pH 7.0         Test line         5         5         3         2         1           pH 8.0         Test line         5         5         5         5         5           pH 9.0         Test line         5         5         5         5         5           pH 9.0         Test line         5         5         5         5         5         5           pH 9.0         Test line appeared.         5         5         5         5         5         5           7+++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.         5++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.         3+: Red line appeared but was weaker	of working solution         0         50         250         500         10           pH 5.5         Test line         7         6         5         4         2           pH 6.0         Test line         7         6         5         4         2           pH 6.0         Test line         7         6         5         4         2           pH 7.0         Test line         7         7         7         7         7           pH 7.0         Test line         7         7         7         7         7           pH 8.0         Test line         5         5         3         2         1           pH 9.0         Test line         5         5         5         5         5           pH 9.0         Test line         5         5         5         5         5           pH 9.0         Test line         5         5         5         5         5         5           pH 9.0         Test line appeared.         5         5         5         5         5         5           7+++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.         5         5         5         5         5	of working solution         0         50         250         500         10 $pH 5.5$ Test line         7         6         5         4         2 $pH 6.0$ Test line         7         6         5         4         2 $pH 6.0$ Test line         7         6         5         4         2 $pH 7.0$ Test line         7         7         7         7         7 $pH 7.0$ Test line         5         5         3         2         2 $pH 8.0$ Test line         5         5         5         5         5         5 $pH 9.0$ Test line         5         5         5         5         5         5 $pH 9.0$ Test line         5         5         5         5         5         5 $7+++:$ Red line appeared.         6+++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.         5++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.         5++: Red line appeared but was weaker than ++.         2+: Red line appeared but was weaker than ++.         1-: Red line did not appear.         1-: Red line did not appear.	of working solution         0         50         250         500         10           pH 5.5         Test line         7         6         5         4         5           pH 6.0         Test line         7         6         5         4         5           pH 6.0         Test line         7         6         5         4         5           pH 7.0         Test line         7         7         7         7         7           pH 7.0         Test line         5         5         3         2         5           pH 8.0         Test line         5         5         5         5         5         5           pH 9.0         Test line         5         5         5         5         5         5           pH 9.0         Test line         5		pH values	Merc	cury(II) sta	ndard conce	entration(ng	g·mL <sup>-1</sup> )
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	pH 5.5       Test line       7       6       5       4       2         pH 6.0       Test line       7       6       5       4       2         pH 6.0       Test line       7       7       7       7       7         pH 6.0       Test line       7       7       7       7       7       7         pH 7.0       Test line       7       7       7       7       7       7         pH 8.0       Test line       5       5       3       2       1         Control line       5       5       5       5       5       5         pH 9.0       Test line       5       5       5       5       5         pH 9.0       Test line       5       5       5       5       5         pH 9.0       Test line       5       5       5       5       5       5         7+++: Red line appeared.       6+++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.       5++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.       5++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.       2+: Red line appeared but was weaker than ++.       1-: Red line did not appear.	pH 5.5       Test line       7       6       5       4       7         pH 6.0       Test line       7       6       5       4       7         pH 6.0       Test line       7       7       7       7         pH 7.0       Test line       7       5       3       2         pH 7.0       Test line       7       7       7         pH 8.0       Test line       5       5       3       2         pH 9.0       Test line       5       5       5       5         pH 9.0       Test line       5       5       5       5       5         pH 9.0       Test line       5       5       5       5       5       5         pH 9.0       Test line appeared.       5 </th <th><math display="block">\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc</math></th> <th>of worl</th> <th>king solution</th> <th>0</th> <th>50</th> <th>250</th> <th>500</th> <th>100</th>	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	of worl	king solution	0	50	250	500	100
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} pH 5.5 & Control line & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ pH 6.0 & Test line & 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 2 \\ \hline Control line & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ pH 7.0 & Test line & 7 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 2 \\ \hline Control line & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & $	11.7.7	Test line	7	6	5	4	2
$ \begin{array}{c} {} pH \ 6.0 & {$Test line $\ 7 $\ 6 $\ 5 $\ 4 $\ 2 $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $	$ pH 6.0 \frac{Test line}{Control line} 7 6 5 4 2 2 1 \\ Test line 7 5 3 2 1 \\ Control line 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 \\ pH 7.0 \frac{Test line}{Control line} 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 \\ pH 8.0 \frac{Test line}{Control line} 5 5 3 2 1 \\ Test line 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 \\ pH 9.0 \frac{Test line}{Control line} 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 \\ 7+++: Red line appeared. \\ 5+++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++. \\ 5++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++. \\ 5++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++. \\ 3+: Red line appeared but was weaker than ++. \\ 3+: Red line appeared but was weaker than ++. \\ 1-: Red line din ot appear. \\ $	$ pH 6.0 \frac{Test line}{Control line} 7 6 5 4 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0$	$      _{pH 6.0}  \frac{\text{Test line}}{\text{Control line}} \begin{array}{c} 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 2 \\ \hline \\ \text{Control line} & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ \hline \\ \text{pH 7.0}  \frac{\text{Test line}}{\text{Control line}} \begin{array}{c} 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ \hline \\ \text{Test line} & 5 & 5 & 3 & 2 \\ \hline \\ \text{Control line} & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \\ \text{pH 9.0}  \frac{\text{Test line}}{\text{Control line}} \begin{array}{c} 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \\ \text{Test line} & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \\ \text{Control line} & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \\ \text{Control line} & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \\ \text{Test line} & \text{appeared.} \end{array} $	рН 5.5	Control line	7	7	7	7	7
$\begin{array}{c cccc} pH \ 0.0 & Control line & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ pH \ 7.0 & Test line & 7 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ Control line & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ pH \ 8.0 & Test line & 5 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ Control line & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ pH \ 9.0 & Test line & 5 & 5 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ Control line & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline 7+++: Red line appeared. \\ \hline 6++\pm: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++. \\ \hline 5++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++. \\ \hline 3+: Red line appeared but was weaker than ++. \\ \hline 2\pm: Red line appeared but was weaker than +. \\ \hline 1: Red line did not appear. \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{pH 6.0} \\ \text{Control line} \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ $	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{pH 6.0} \\ \text{PH 6.0} \\ \hline \text{Control line} \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} \text{pH 6.0} \\ \text{First line} \\ \text{First line} \\ \text{Test line} \\ $		Test line	7	6	5	4	2
$ pH 7.0  \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	pH 7.0 Test line 7 5 3 2 Control line 7 7 7 7 7 pH 8.0 Test line 5 5 3 2 pH 9.0 Test line 5 5 4 2 pH 9.0 Test line 5 5 4 2 Control line 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	$ pH 7.0  \frac{\text{Test line}}{\text{Control line}} \begin{array}{c} 7 & 5 & 3 & 2 \\ \hline \text{Control line} & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ pH 8.0  \frac{\text{Test line}}{\text{Control line}} \begin{array}{c} 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \text{PH 9.0} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \frac{\text{Test line}}{\text{Control line}} \begin{array}{c} 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \end{array} \end{array}$	рн 6.0	Control line	7	7	7	7	7
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} pH 7.0 & Control line & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ pH 8.0 & Test line & 5 & 5 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ \hline Control line & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ pH 9.0 & Test line & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline Test line & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline 7+++: Red line appeared. \\ \hline 6++\pm: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++. \\ 5++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++. \\ \hline 5++: Red line appeared but was weaker than ++. \\ \hline 3+: Red line appeared but was weaker than ++. \\ \hline 2\pm: Red line appeared but was weaker than +. \\ \hline 1-: Red line did not appear. \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{pH 7.0} & \text{Control line} & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ \text{pH 8.0} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Test line} & 5 & 5 & 3 & 2 \\ \hline \text{Control line} & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \text{pH 9.0} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Test line} & 5 & 5 & 4 & 2 \\ \hline \text{Control line} & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \text{Control line} & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \text{7+++:} & \text{Red line appeared.} \\ \hline \text{6++\pm:} & \text{Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.} \\ \hline \text{5++:} & \text{Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.} \\ \hline \text{5++:} & \text{Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.} \\ \hline \text{3+:} & \text{Red line appeared but was weaker than ++.} \\ \hline \text{3+:} & \text{Red line appeared but was weaker than ++.} \\ \hline \text{1-:} & \text{Red line did not appear.} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{pH 7.0} & \text{Control line} & 7 & 7 & 7 & 7 \\ \text{pH 8.0} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Test line} & 5 & 5 & 3 & 2 \\ \hline \text{Control line} & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \text{pH 9.0} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Test line} & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \text{Control line} & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \text{Control line} & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \text{7+++: Red line appeared.} \\ \hline \text{6++\pm: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.} \\ \hline \text{5++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.} \\ \hline \text{5++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.} \\ \hline \text{3+: Red line appeared but was weaker than ++.} \\ \hline \text{3+: Red line appeared but was weaker than ++.} \\ \hline \text{1-: Red line did not appear.} \end{array}$		Test line	7	5	3	2	1
$pH 8.0 \frac{\text{Test line}}{\text{Control line}} 5 5 3 2 1 \frac{1}{\text{Control line}} 5 5 5 5 5 5 \frac{1}{5} 5 \frac{1}{5} 5 \frac{1}{5} 5 \frac{1}{5} \frac{1}{5} 5 \frac{1}{5} $	$ pH 8.0 \frac{Test line}{Control line} 5 5 5 3 2 1 1 \\ Control line 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5$	$ pH 8.0  \frac{\text{Test line}}{\text{Control line}} 5 5 5 3 2 \\ pH 9.0  \frac{\text{Test line}}{\text{Control line}} 5 5 4 2 \\ \frac{\text{Test line}}{\text{Control line}} 5 5 5 5 5 \\ \frac{1}{5} 5 5 5 5 5 \\ \frac{1}{5} 5 5 5 5 5 \\ \frac{1}{5} 5 5 5 5 5 5 \\ \frac{1}{5} 5 5 5 5 \\ \frac{1}{5} 5 5 5 5 \\ \frac{1}{5} 5 5 5 \\ \frac{1}{5} 5 5 5 \\ \frac{1}{5} 5 \\ $	$ pH 8.0  \frac{Test line}{Control line} 5 5 5 3 2 2 \\ pH 9.0  \frac{Test line}{Control line} 5 5 4 2 \\ Test line 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5$	рн 7.0	Control line	7	7	7	7	7
$\begin{array}{c} \text{PH 8.0} \\ \text{Control line} & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \text{PH 9.0} & \frac{\text{Test line}}{\text{Control line}} & 5 & 5 & 4 & 2 & 1 \\ \hline \text{Control line} & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \text{7+++:} \text{ Red line appeared.} \\ \hline \text{6++\pm:} \text{ Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.} \\ \hline \text{5++:} \text{ Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.} \\ \hline \text{3+:} \text{ Red line appeared but was weaker than ++.} \\ \hline \text{3+:} \text{ Red line appeared but was weaker than ++.} \\ \hline \text{2\pm:} \text{ Red line appeared but was weaker than +.} \\ \hline \text{1-:} \text{ Red line did not appear.} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{PH 8.0} \\ \text{PH 9.0} \\ \hline \text{Test line} \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \\ 5 \\ \hline \text{Control line} \\ 5 \\ \hline \text{S} \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} \text{pH 8.0} \\ \text{Control line} & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \text{pH 9.0} & \hline \text{Test line} & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \text{Control line} & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 \\ \hline \text{7+++:} \ \text{Red line appeared.} \\ \hline \text{6++\pm:} \ \text{Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.} \\ \hline \text{5++:} \ \text{Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.} \\ \hline \text{3+:} \ \text{Red line appeared but was weaker than ++}. \\ \hline \text{3+:} \ \text{Red line appeared but was weaker than ++}. \\ \hline \text{2\pm:} \ \text{Red line appeared but was weaker than ++.} \\ \hline \text{1-:} \ \text{Red line did not appear.} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{pH 8.0} \\ \text{Control line} \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$		Test line	5	5	3	2	1
pH 9.0Test line55421Control line5555557+++: Red line appeared.6++±: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.5++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.4+±: Red line appeared but was weaker than ++.3+: Red line appeared but was weaker than +.2±: Red line appeared but was weaker than +.1-: Red line did not appear.	pH 9.0Test line55421Control line5555557+++: Red line appeared.6++±: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.5++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.3+: Red line appeared but was weaker than +±.2±: Red line appeared but was weaker than +.1-: Red line did not appear.	$ pH 9.0 \frac{\text{Test line}}{\text{Control line}} 5 5 4 2 \\ \hline \text{Control line} 5 5 5 5 5 \\ \hline \text{S} 5 5 5 \\ \hline \text{S} 5 5 5 \\ \hline \text{S} 5 5 \\ \hline \text{S} 5 5 \\ \hline \text{S} 5 \\ \hline $	$pH 9.0 \frac{\text{Test line}}{\text{Control line}} 5 5 4 2$ $7+++: \text{Red line appeared.}$ $6+++: \text{Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.}$ $5++: \text{Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.}$ $3+: \text{Red line appeared but was weaker than ++.}$ $2+: \text{Red line appeared but was weaker than +.}$ $1-: \text{Red line did not appear.}$	рН 8.0	Control line	5	5	5	5	5
pH 9.0       Control line       5	pH 9.0       Control line       5	pH 9.0       Control line       5       5       5       5         7+++: Red line appeared.         6++±: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.         5++: Red line appeared but was weaker than ++±.         4+±: Red line appeared but was weaker than ++.         3+: Red line appeared but was weaker than +±.         2±: Red line appeared but was weaker than +.         1-: Red line did not appear.	pH 9.0       Control line       5	11.0.0	Test line	5	5	4	2	1
<ul> <li>7+++: Red line appeared.</li> <li>6++±: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.</li> <li>5++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.</li> <li>4+±: Red line appeared but was weaker than ++.</li> <li>3+: Red line appeared but was weaker than +.</li> <li>2±: Red line appeared but was weaker than +.</li> <li>1-: Red line did not appear.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7+++: Red line appeared.</li> <li>6++±: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.</li> <li>5++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.</li> <li>3+: Red line appeared but was weaker than +±.</li> <li>2±: Red line appeared but was weaker than +.</li> <li>1-: Red line did not appear.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7+++: Red line appeared.</li> <li>6++±: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.</li> <li>5++: Red line appeared but was weaker than ++.</li> <li>3+: Red line appeared but was weaker than +±.</li> <li>2±: Red line appeared but was weaker than +.</li> <li>1-: Red line did not appear.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>7+++: Red line appeared.</li> <li>6++±: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.</li> <li>5++: Red line appeared but was weaker than +++.</li> <li>3+: Red line appeared but was weaker than +±.</li> <li>2±: Red line appeared but was weaker than +.</li> <li>1-: Red line did not appear.</li> </ul>	рН 9.0	Control line	5	5	5	5	5

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

Twee	en-20 (v/v)	Merc	ury(II) star	ndard conce	entration (ng	g·mL <sup>-1</sup> )
of wor	king solution	0	50	250	500	1000
0.059/	Test line	7	6	5	4	2
0.05%	Control line	7	7	7	7	7
0.10/	Test line	7	6	5	3	2
0.1%	Control line	7	7	7	7	7
0.20/	Test line	7	5	3	2	1
0.2%	Control line	7	7	7	7	7
0.49/	Test line	5	5	4	3	1
0.4%	Control line	5	5	5	5	5
7+++: Red	line appeared.					
6++±: Red	line appeared but w	as weaker	r than +++.			
5++: Red li	ne appeared but wa	s weaker	than ++ $\pm$ .			
4+±: Red li	ne appeared but wa	s weaker 1	than++.			
3+: Red lin	e appeared but was	weaker th	nan +±.			
2±: Red lin	e appeared but was	weaker th	nan +.			
1-: Red line	e did not appear.					

33	]	Table 4 Recovery stud	lies of real water sam	ple spiked with
34		Hg <sup>2+</sup> by th	e developed EFITS (r	1=4)
	Samples	Theoretical	Measure	Mean recovery (%)+ SD
	Samples	$(ng \cdot mL^{-1})$	$(ng \cdot mL^{-1})$	Weall recovery ( 70)± 5D
		400	400.0	100.0±10.3
	Mineral water	200	204.7	102.4±10.3
		100	104.2	104.2±8.6
		400	407.8	102.0±7.2
	Tap water	200	202.1	101.0±4.6
		100	105.8	105.8±3.5
		200	203.6	101.8±4.29
	Lake water	100	81.9	82.0±3.0
		50	44.3	88.7±1.7
		200	191.5	95.8±4.8
	River water	100	96.2	96.2±7.2
		50	46.5	93.1±3.6
36 37				
37				
38				
20				
10				
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
5				

551						
552	Table 5. Cross-reactivity of the monoclonal antibody					
553	with met	al ions and related co	mpounds			
554	Metal ions and related compounds	$IC_{50} (ng \bullet mL^{-1})$	CR (%)			
555	$\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$	20.8	100			
	$\mathrm{Hg}^+$	>1000	< 0.1			
	$CH_3Hg^+$	>1000	< 0.1			
	MNA- Hg	77	27			
	MNA	112	18.6			
	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	>1000	<0.1			
	$\mathrm{Cd}^{2^+}$	>1000	< 0.1			
	$Zn^{2+}$	>1000	< 0.1			
	$Mg^{2+}$	>1000	<0.1			
	$Pd^{2+}$	>1000	< 0.1			
	Fe <sup>2+</sup>	>1000	< 0.1			
	Mn <sup>2+</sup>	>1000	<0.1			

# **Table of contents**



The schematic diagram of an eco-friendly immunochromatographic test strip (EFITS)

and a competitive immunoreaction on the EFITS