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Abstract

The present study is concerned with the batch adsorption of toxic lead (II) ions from aqueous solution by using activated carbon of *Luffa cylindrica* fibers doped chitosan (ACLFCS) biocomposite as an adsorbent. The adsorption experiments were conducted as a function of pH, agitation time, initial lead (II) ion concentration and adsorbent doses. The synthesized biosorbent was characterized by instrumental techniques like XRD, FTIR, SEM, BET surface area and BJH pore size distribution. XRD analysis revealed that the synthesized ACLFCS adsorbent exhibited broad diffraction peaks with amorphous structure. The FTIR study shown the various functionalities such as C=O, 3OH and –NH\textsubscript{2} responsible for lead (II) adsorption on ACLFCS biocomposite. The surface morphology of ACLFCS adsorbent possesses porous texture with round and elliptical shaped voids that can provide the adsorption sites to the adsorbate. The BJH pore size distribution analysis shown that the average pore diameter > 2 nm for all chitosan (CS), activated carbon of *Luffa cylindrica* (ACLF) and ACLFCS adsorbents, corresponds to the presence of mesoporous structure. The batch adsorption of Lead (II) ions has been carried out at room temperature where the optimum conditions for the maximum adsorption of lead (II) ions were attained at pH 5 with adsorbent dose of 0.1 g/L. The equilibrium adsorption isotherm data were fitted by the Langmuir and Freundlich models and Langmuir isotherm exhibited best fit with the experimental data. The maximum removal of lead (II) obtained 98% (experimental) and 112 mg/g (from Langmuir isotherm model). The adsorption kinetics was evaluated by pseudo first order, Pseudo second order and intraparticle diffusion models. The adsorption data well follows pseudo second order kinetic model. The high uptake of lead (II) ions using ACLFCS suggests an effective and low cost adsorbent for the treatment of water contaminated with lead (II) ions.
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1. Introduction

The presence of lead in water, air and soil environment even in traces has detrimental effects on plants and animals. The natural sources of lead are soil erosion, volcanic eruptions, sea sprays and bush fires. The various industries engaged in lead-acid batteries, paint, oil refining, metal plating, phosphate fertilizer, electronic, wood production, combustion of fossil fuel, mining activity, automobile emissions, sewage wastewater, etc. releases lead in wastewater. Lead toxicity causes serious dysfunction of liver, kidney, reproductive system and central nervous system, reduction in hemoglobin formation, mental retardation, infertility and abnormalities in pregnant women. Due to hazardous nature of lead (II), it directly or indirectly may cause anemia, headache, chills, diarrhea, encephalopathy, hepatitis, nephritic syndrome and even death. The World Health Organization (WHO) in 1995 proposed the safe total lead limit of 50 ppb in drinking water and was decreased to 10 ppb in 2010. The permissible limit of lead in drinking water as set by European Union (EU), United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and Guidelines for Canadian Drinking Water Quality is 10 ppb, 15 ppb and 10 ppb respectively. However, more recently an EPA document recommends a zero lead value in national primary drinking water standard. Various techniques extensively used for the abatement of lead from wastewaters are chemical precipitation, membrane filtration, reverse osmosis, electrochemical reduction, ion exchange and adsorption. Among the aforementioned technologies adsorption has been preferred due to its cheapness and efficacy of heavy metal removal even in trace level. Recently bioadsorbents and activated carbon obtained from agricultural by-products rich in cellulose, lignin, pectin and tannin which can serve as the adsorption sites for heavy metal ions are prominently used for wastewater treatment. The production of activated carbons from abundantly available agricultural wastes converts unwanted, additional agricultural waste to useful valuable adsorbents. The activated carbon derived from rubber tires for the removal of pesticides and chromium, fertilizer waste has been studied for the removal of contaminants from water. The adsorbents such as bagasse fly ash for the treatment of wastewater containing DDD, DDE, and phenol was also reported. Removal of hazardous dyes from wastewater by using bottom ash, and carbon nanotubes has been examined in the previous literature. The novel adsorbent viz. orange peels and Fe₂O₃ nanoparticles for cadmium removal, Duolite C-433 for Pb (II) ions removal also has been investigated as an effective adsorbents. Chitosan, a nitrogenous polysaccharide used as cationic biosorbent obtained in enormous amount. It is a heterogeneous polymer which is composed of 2-amino-2-deoxy-D-glucopyranose and residual 2-acetamido-2-deoxy-D-glucopyranose. Chitosan shows high affinity for metal ions due to the presence of amine (–NH₂) and hydroxyl (–OH) groups. Chitosan can bind with both anionic and cationic species. However, chitosan shows lower stability due to its hydrophilic nature and sensitivity to pH. It is soluble in most organic acids, non-porous and has a low specific surface area. In order to improve chemical and mechanical strength of chitosan; several attempts of physical and chemical modification were carried out. Various chitosan based adsorbent such as bromine pretreated chitosan
composite,\textsuperscript{20} chitosan clay composite,\textsuperscript{21} for lead (II) ions removal has been reported. Chitosan modified by granular activated carbon for adsorption of humic acid,\textsuperscript{22} Chitosan coated carbon for the heavy metal adsorption\textsuperscript{23} and the activated carbon/chitosan composite for simultaneous adsorption of aniline and Cr (VI) ion,\textsuperscript{24} has been investigated.

The main scope of this communication is to study the modification of chitosan (CS) by doping it with activated carbon of \textit{Luffa cylindrica} fibers (ACLF) to achieve activated carbon of \textit{Luffa cylindrica} doped chitosan (ACLFCS) biocomposite and further to utilize it for the adsorption of lead (II) ions from water. The \textit{Luffa cylindrica} is mainly a lignocellulosic material composed of cellulose (60\%), hemicelluloses (30\%) and lignin (10\%) by weight\textsuperscript{25} belongs to Cucurbitaceous family. It is abundantly available as an agricultural residue in many developing countries like India, Korea, China, Central America and Japan. Consequently these disposed, unconventional and enormously available \textit{Luffa cylindrica} fibers can be transformed into an activated carbon which is a carbonaceous material that possesses highly developed porosity, large surface area, relatively high mechanical strength and the presence of different functional groups on its surface. The transformation of agricultural residue into an activated carbon ultimately provides a way to reduce its environmental burden or hazards. The cationic nature of CS and the anionic nature of ACLF produced a stable, granular ACLFCS biocomposite due to two opposite charged interactions. The purpose of doping of CS with ACLF is to explore the expected synergistic effects as achieved through incorporation of certain functionalities in the resultant biocomposite that are responsible for adsorption of lead (II) ions.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no literature reported on the removal of lead (II) ions from water using ACLFCS. In fact, the ability of ACLFCS to adsorb other heavy metal ions was also not reported. This gave way for more adsorption studies to be conducted using ACLFCS and to find out whether the new surface chemistry of modified chitosan have an impact on lead (II) removal from water. The present investigation aims to explore the characterization of ACLFCS using FTIR, SEM, XRD, BET analysis and accordingly used for batch adsorption of lead (II) ions from water at various parameters viz. pH, agitation time, adsorbent dosage and initial lead (II) ion concentration. The Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms were used to evaluate the equilibrium adsorption data. The adsorption rates were also determined based on the pseudo first order, Pseudo second order kinetic and intraparticle diffusion models.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Synthesis of ACLFCS biocomposite

The \textit{Luffa cylindrica} fruit was collected from the field of Maharashtra, India. The covering of \textit{Luffa cylindrica} was peeled off and the exposed fibers/wood inside was washed several times with distilled water to remove dirt and dust particles. The woods were sun dried for 2 days and was cut into 1-2 cm pieces. The pieces were then oven dried at 80°C for 3-4 hours. Pyrolysis of \textit{Luffa cylindrica} fibers was carried out in a modified muffle furnace. During pyrolysis, nitrogen at a flow rate of 100 ml/min was
used as purge gas. The furnace temperature was increased at a rate of 10°C/min from room temperature to 700-800 °C and was kept at this temperature for 1 hr. The sample was allowed to cool and was grounded to obtain fine powdered activated carbon. The CS (degree of deacetylation > 90 %) dissolved in 3% acetic acid heated at 40-50 °C blended with ACLF in 1:1 ratio. The mixture was stirred on magnetic stirrer at 800 rpm at room temperature for 6 hours and then dropped in 50% aqueous ammonia. Finally the mixture was filtered, washed several times with distilled water and dried in oven at 80°C. The prepared adsorbent ACLFCS was grounded to fine powder and used for the various adsorption experiments.

2.2 Characterization of ACLFCS

Characterizations of ACLFCS were carried out to understand the mechanisms of lead adsorption. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy was recorded in the range of 450–4000 cm⁻¹ to study the functional groups and the surface chemistry of the adsorbent using Perkin-Elmer spectrum one FTIR model, USA. The FTIR spectrum of sample was obtained by KBr pressed pellet method where a homogeneous mixture of sample with KBr in ratio of 1:50 was made. The surface morphology of synthesized biocomposite was studied by using Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), (Zeiss Sigma, Germany) at an accelerating voltage of 15 kV. The samples were mounted on carbon tapes and supported on metallic disc. SEM photographs were taken at different magnification in the range of 20X to 5000X. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were taken with Rigaku MiniFlex 2 Goniometer using Cu Ka radiation source operating under a voltage of 30 kV and a current of 15 mA. The X-ray diffraction patterns were collected with a scan rate of 5 °C/min. Brunauer–Emmet–Teller (BET) surface area of the sample and Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) pore size distribution was determined by nitrogen adsorption–desorption method at 77 K using Micromeritics ASAP 2020 V3.04 H analyzer (USA). During analysis, the sample was degassed at 100 °C in vacuum and helium was used as a carrier gas.

The elemental analysis (C/H/O/N/S) of samples (3 mg) was studied using an elemental analyzer model (Vario EL Cube) at 230V. For each analysis, the standard sulfanilic acid was first analyzed for checking the experimental error within ± 1%.

2.3 Analysis of lead (II) ions

The concentration of lead (II) ions during batch adsorption experiments was determined by using Atomic absorption spectrophotometer (SensAA GBC scientific equipment) with lead hollow cathode lamps and air acetylene mixture as an oxidant at wavelength of 283.3 nm. Deuterium background correction was used and spectral slit width was 1.3 nm. The amount of light absorbed by the test lead (II) ions solutions was compared to the amount of light absorbed by a set of standards lead (II) solutions of known concentration. A digital pH meter (Hanna instrument) was used for pH
measurement of lead (II) ion solutions. pH meter was standardized using buffer solution of pH 4 and pH 9 (Fisher Scientific, India). Rotary Shaker (Remi Make, India) at 200 rpm was used for agitating the samples during batch adsorption experiments. Three replicates were used for each adsorption experiments and average values for lead (II) ions concentration are reported.

2.4 Lead (II) ions adsorption experiments

Batch adsorption experiments were carried out to study the effect of various operating parameters viz. pH, adsorbent dosage, initial metal ion concentration and agitation time on the adsorption rate. All chemicals used were of analytical reagent grade. The standard solution of 1000 mg/L of lead (II) was prepared by dissolving lead (II) nitrate (Merck, India) and experimental solutions of the required mg/L concentration were prepared from standard lead (II) nitrate solution by successive dilutions with double distilled water. All adsorption experiments were carried out at room temperature (27±1°C) in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks containing 100 ml of test solution agitated on rotary shaker at 200 rpm. The effect of pH on the percentage removal of lead (II) was studied at varying pH range of 2–8 by using known volume of 35 mg/L lead (II) solutions. The required pH of the solutions was adjusted by adding 0.1 N HNO₃ or 0.1 N NaOH using digital pH meter. The specified dose of adsorbent was added to lead (II) solution and agitated at room temperature at 200 rpm for a specified period of time. The supernatant liquids obtained by filtration through Whatman filter paper-41 were analyzed for residual lead (II) ions concentration by using (AAS) Atomic absorption spectrophotometer.

For further adsorption experiments of the lead (II) solutions the optimum pH value 5 was fixed. The effect of adsorbent dosages (0.01 to 0.15 g/l) on the equilibrium adsorption of lead (II) was studied by keeping other parameters constant. Similarly the effect of varying contact time in range of 2 to 20 minutes for different lead (II) ions concentration of 35 to 115 mg/L at room temperature (27±1°C) was studied to evaluate the adsorption efficiency. The reaction mixture agitated on rotary shaker at 200 rpm and supernatant liquid was filtered and analyzed by AAS for residual lead (II) ions concentration.

The percentage removal of lead (II) was calculated using equation (1)

\[
\% \text{ removal} = \frac{C_o - C_e}{C_o} \times 100
\]  

(1)

Adsorption capacities were calculated using equation (2) and (3) respectively.

\[
q_e = \frac{C_o - C_e}{m} \times V
\]  

(2)

\[
q_t = \frac{C_o - C_t}{m} \times V
\]  

(3)
Where $q_e$ (mg g$^{-1}$) and $q_t$ (mg g$^{-1}$) were the amounts of lead (II) ions adsorbed at equilibrium and at time $t$ respectively. $C_0$ (mg L$^{-1}$) and $C_e$ (mg L$^{-1}$) were the initial and equilibrium lead (II) ions concentration. $C_t$ (mg L$^{-1}$) was the residual lead (II) ions concentration at time $t$. $V$ (L) represents volume of solution and $m$ (g) for mass of adsorbent.

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Physicochemical characterization of ACLFCS biocomposite

##### 3.1.1. FTIR analysis

FTIR spectroscopy is used to determine the presence and absence of particular functional groups. Table 1 enlists the functional groups and Fig. 1 shows the infrared spectra of CS, ACLF and ACLFCS before and after lead (II) adsorption.

In ACLFCS biocomposite, the characteristics absorption band of CS at 2876 cm$^{-1}$, 1667 cm$^{-1}$ and 1077 cm$^{-1}$ corresponds to stretching vibration of C=O in carbonyl, NH bending vibration of NH$_2$ group and C-O-C stretch, was shifted to the 2883 cm$^{-1}$, 1696 cm$^{-1}$ and 1071 cm$^{-1}$ respectively. Similarly the characteristics absorption band of ACLF at 2977 cm$^{-1}$, 1524 cm$^{-1}$ and 1287 cm$^{-1}$ for aromatic C-H stretch, aromatic C=C stretch and phenolic C-O stretch shifted in ACLFCS to the wavenumber at 2993 cm$^{-1}$, 1531 cm$^{-1}$ and 1299 cm$^{-1}$ respectively. FTIR study revealed the physical and chemical interaction between CS and ACLF due to which the peaks of both CS and ACLF was observed in ACLFCS biocomposite.$^{26}$ ACLFCS biocomposite also revealed the corresponding changes in characteristics absorption peaks as compared to pure CS and ACLF. The shift in absorption peaks in ACLFCS indicates the mixing and doping of ACLF with CS.

The spectral investigation of lead loaded ACLFCS showed either decrease or disappearance of peak intensity might involve in lead (II) adsorption.$^{27}$ FTIR spectrums of lead-loaded ACLFCS composite shows some shift in wavenumbers. For instance the bands at 2883 cm$^{-1}$, 1531 cm$^{-1}$, 1299 cm$^{-1}$, and 1071 cm$^{-1}$ shifted to 2889 cm$^{-1}$, 1518 cm$^{-1}$, 1293 cm$^{-1}$ and 1074 cm$^{-1}$ respectively suggested the involvement of C=O functionalities responsible for lead (II) adsorption on ACLFCS biocomposite. Similarly the absorption bands at 3760 cm$^{-1}$ and 1696 cm$^{-1}$ corresponds to –OH stretching vibrations and –NH bending vibrations of –NH$_2$ group has disappeared suggested the participation of –OH and –NH$_2$ for lead (II) adsorption. Thus the FTIR study revealed the various functionalities such as C=O, –OH and –NH$_2$ liable for lead (II) adsorption on ACLFCS biocomposite.
Fig. 1  FTIR of (A) CS (B) ACLF (C) ACLFCS before lead (II) adsorption and (D) ACLFCS after lead (II) adsorption.

Table 1 Surface Functional Groups of CS, ACLF and ACLFCS before and after lead (II) adsorption.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavenumber (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3660</td>
<td>Hydroxyl (O-H stretch)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3073</td>
<td>Hydroxyl (O-H stretch)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2977</td>
<td>Aromatic C-H stretch</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2876</td>
<td>stretching vibrations of C=O</td>
<td>Xie et al. ²⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in carbonyl</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>Aromatic C-H out-of-plane</td>
<td>Konaganti et al. ²⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bending vibrations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1667</td>
<td>NH bending vibration of NH₂</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of chitosan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1.2 BET and elemental Analysis

$\text{N}_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherms and BJH based pore size distributions of CS, ACLF and ACLFCS are shown in Fig. 2 (A) and (B) respectively and detailed data of BET surface areas and PSD is represented in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sorbents</th>
<th>BET ($\text{m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$V_{\text{total}}$ ($\text{cm}^3\text{g}^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$V_{\text{micro}}$ ($\text{cm}^3\text{g}^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$V_{\text{meso}}$ ($\text{cm}^3\text{g}^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Mean pore diameter (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.582 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>4 x 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>5.82 x 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>52.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACLF</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>0.2076</td>
<td>0.1861</td>
<td>0.0215</td>
<td>29.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACLFCS</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>0.1117</td>
<td>0.0989</td>
<td>0.0128</td>
<td>32.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where $V_{\text{total}}$: total pore volume, $V_{\text{micro}}$: micropore volume, $V_{\text{meso}}$: mesopore volume of CS, ACLF and ACLFCS.

Fig. 2 (A) Nitrogen adsorption v desorption isotherm (B) BJH based pore size distribution of CS, ACLF and ACLFCS biocomposite.
The surface area of synthesized ACLFCS adsorbent was higher with respect to pure CS and lower with respect to ACLF. The decreased surface area of ACLFCS may be due to the blockage of internal porosity of ACLF by CS and successful blending between them. The surface area of the adsorbent is one of the physical parameter for adsorption phenomenon. The adsorptive capacity of adsorbent increases with increasing surface area for pure physisorption process. In present study the physisorption of ACLFCS for lead (II) ions removal is limited and mainly the chemisorption mechanism occurred. In ACLFCS biocomposite even though the surface area decreased but it incorporated the functional groups like –NH₂, -OH and C=O that were responsible for lead (II) adsorption. These related functionalities are cleared from FTIR study.

The N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms for CS is of type II according to IUPAC classification with a very narrow hysteresis loop indicative of mesoporous materials. In contrast, ACLF demonstrate typical Type IV isotherm and displays a broad H₂ hysteresis loop in the range of 0.4–1.0 P/Po which is a characteristics of ordered mesoporous materials. The ACLFCS biocomposite has a combined isotherm of two individual components viz. CS and ACLF along with intermediate value of specific surface area and pore volume to that of CS and ACLF. In ACLFCS there is a hysteresis loop at a relative pressure above 0.4, indicative of mesoporosity. The appearance of the intermediate mesoporosity in the biocomposite may arise from interspaces between CS and ACLF with different framework structures. The average pore diameter values (pore diameter > 2 nm) as shown in table 2, corresponds to the presence of mesoporous structure for all CS, ACLF and ACLFCS adsorbents. Due to good BET surface area, the prepared biocomposite is reasonably worthy for lead (II) adsorption.

**Table 3** Proximate and Ultimate analysis of ACLFCS adsorbent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ultimate analysis (%)</th>
<th>Proximate analysis (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sorbent</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACLFCS</td>
<td>50.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The burnt carbonaceous portion leaves the residue called ash which is not required and considered as an impurity in activated carbon. As the ash content is low and found to be 4.3% which is in range of most ash content of agricultural waste. The prepared biocomposite resembles a good adsorbent. The density of carbonaceous adsorbent plays a vital role in adsorption. The carbon with higher bulk density will be more efficient in removal of contaminants. According to Itodo et al., (2008) bulk density has impact on adsorbate-retention level by any adsorbent. The higher density carbons hold more adsorbate per unit volume. It is verified that there is a linear relationship between porosity and bulk density prior to the adsorption. Higher porosity carbon was apparently denser. It is the porosity that capitulate the surface areas for the adsorbate to adsorb. In this research work the average bulk density of ACLFCS was found 0.69 g ml⁻¹.
3.1.3. SEM analysis

In order to confirm the adsorption of lead (II) ions onto ACLFCS biocomposite and for better insight regarding the alteration of the surface morphology after metal ions adsorption, a microscopic SEM analysis was applied. The SEM image of pure CS is shown in Fig. 3(A). The SEM images of ACLFCS before lead (II) adsorption are shown in Fig. 3 (B) and (C) while ACLFCS after lead (II) adsorption are represented in Fig. 3 (D) and (E). The surface morphology of CS appeared to possess uneven texture, bumpiness and porous cavities. ACLFCS before lead (II) adsorption as in Fig. 3 (B-C) possesses porous texture with round and elliptical shaped voids that can provide the adsorption sites to the adsorbate and consequently represents different surface morphology than with pure CS. The surface morphology of ACLFCS after lead (II) adsorption as depicted in Fig. 3 (D) shows the accumulation of new shiny white clumps on the adsorbed surface similarly Fig. 3 (E) revealed the appearance of reduced pore size due to the coverage of smooth, whitish layer on the adsorbent. The SEM images of lead (II) loaded adsorbent found to be exhausted which was not seen before metal loading. It followed that the adsorbent cavities occupied / filled by lead (II) ions after adsorption.
3.1.4. XRD

XRD pattern of pure CS, ACLF and ACLFCS biocomposite before and after lead (II) adsorption, are shown in Fig. 4 (A-D). X ray diffraction pattern of CS as shown in Fig.4(A) exhibited broad diffraction peak at 2\(\theta\) = 20° with d- spacing of 4.2 Å is characteristics of semi crystalline chitosan. The peaks are broadened due to the amorphous nature of CS polymer. Several researchers reported activated carbon as an amorphous carbon.\(^{33-35}\) The prepared ACLF as depicted in Fig. 4 (B) shows amorphous structure. There are two broad peaks observed near 2\(\theta\) = 24° and 42° that are common in activated carbon and are assigned to (002) and (10) reflections. In ACLFCS biocomposite before lead (II) adsorption as shown in Fig. 4 (C), the XRD pattern shows both the peaks at 2\(\theta\) = 19.28° corresponding to CS and a small hump at 2\(\theta\) = 42° a characteristics of ACLF which was completely absent in pure CS. The above result shows that the doping and incorporation of ACLF with CS was effective to achieve ACLFCS biosorbent. The XRD pattern of lead loaded adsorbent Fig. 4 (D) identified lead minerals on the surface of ACLFCS as hydrocerrusite – \(\text{Pb}_3\ (\text{CO}_3\)\(\)_2\ (\text{OH}\)\_2\). The precipitation of hydrocerrucite on ACLFCS might be attributed to the contribution of specific surface functional groups.\(^{36}\) The XRD pattern of lead loaded adsorbent was identified by JCPDS file no. 13-0131. XRD analysis of lead adsorbed ACLFCS shown typical peaks at 2\(\theta\) = 19.94°, 19.98°, 24.76°, 27.22°, 34.18°, 35.94°, 40.48°, 42.36°, 44.02°, 49.02°, 53.96°, 57.9° and 75.76° corresponds to (101), (012), (104), (015), (110), (113), (202), (024), (205), (119), (122), (114) and (312) Bragg reflections respectively. Thus the presence of lead peaks in lead loaded ACLFCS shows the adsorption of lead (II) ions on the adsorbent surface.
Fig. 4 XRD of (A) CS (B) ACLF (C) ACLFCS before lead (II) adsorption and (D) ACLFCS after lead (II) adsorption.

3.2 Effect of pH

The pH of solution is most essential factor affecting solution chemistry, chemical speciation of metal ion and degree of ionization of functional groups on the adsorbent surface. The chemical reactions such as hydrolysis, complexation, redox and precipitation are intensely influenced by pH.\textsuperscript{37} The effect of pH on lead (II) adsorption is shown in Fig. 5. The results showed that the percentage uptake of lead (II) increased significantly as the pH increased from 2 to 5 and attains equilibrium up to pH 6 whereas the decreased lead (II) adsorption is observed at increased pH range of 6-8. Similarly adsorption negatively correlated with acidic medium. The main species of lead (II) is Pb$^{2+}$ under weakly acidic condition i.e at pH < 6. At pH 6, Pb$^{2+}$ and Pb (OH)$^+$ are in equal concentration. At increasing alkaline conditions viz. pH range of 7-9 lead forms lead hydroxides such as Pb (OH)$^+$ that eventually precipitates as Pb (OH)$_2$ at pH > 9 and Pb (OH)$_3$$^-$ at pH > 11. At lower pH value i.e. at pH < 5, the adsorption was repressed possibly as a result of increased H$_3$O$^+$ ion concentration that competes with positively charged metal ion for the adsorption sites on adsorbent. Accordingly, the adsorption of lead (II) on ACLFCS at pH < 5 could be resulted from the cation exchange reactions. As the pH increased, i.e at pH 5 to 6, the high adsorption of lead (II) occurs which can be attributed to the increased negative charge density on the adsorbent that weakens the competition between H$_3$O$^+$ and lead (II) ions for the adsorbent surface. Thus the improved adsorption of lead (II) ions at pH 5-6 governs by the electrostatic interaction. The declined adsorption amount at higher pH (>6) is probably due to the metal hydrolysis and precipitation of lead (II) as lead hydroxides. Therefore the adsorption process is a combination of ion exchange and precipitation. In this research work, the
optimum pH 5 was recorded for lead (II) removal and hence the further adsorption experiments were carried out at this pH value. The region where there is a sharp increase in adsorption capacity from low to high pH can be called pH adsorption edge.\(^{38}\) In this study, the pH adsorption edge was 2–5. 

(Fig. 5 Effect of pH on lead (II) adsorption, please see electronic supplementary information ESI 1).

![Fig. 5 Effect of pH on lead (II) adsorption.](image)

3.3 Effect of dose of adsorbent

The effect of adsorbent dosage at room temperature (27 ± 1°C) on lead (II) adsorption is shown in Fig. 6. The result showed that the percentage removal of lead (II) increases with increase in adsorbent dosage (0.01–0.1 g/l) with adsorption capacity of 81 to 98% at optimum pH 5. It was observed that lead (II) uptake was rapidly increased with increasing adsorbent dosage from 0.01 to 0.1 g/L and further no substantial uptake of lead (II) ions occurred at further increased dose of adsorbent. This fact is expected because as the adsorbent doses increases, the number of adsorbent particles and consequently the adsorption sites on the adsorbent surface increases, allowing the easier penetration of lead (II) ions. The further increase of adsorbent doses has no significant effect on the percentage lead (II) removal as system reaches the equilibrium. The maximum adsorption occurred at dosage of 0.1 g/L. Thus optimum adsorbent dosage of 0.1 g/L is justified for economical purposes.

(Fig. 6 Effect of adsorbent doses on lead (II) adsorption, please see electronic supplementary information ESI 2)
3.4 Effect of Contact time on different lead (II) ions concentration

Adsorption of lead (II) ions as a function of contact time on varying lead (II) ions concentration from 35 mg/L to 115 mg/L at optimum pH 5 is shown in Fig. 7. The plot showed that the rate of lead (II) ions adsorption consisted of two phases; an initial rapid phase and a second slower equilibrium phase of adsorption. The result indicated that the uptake of lead (II) ions increases with time from 2 to 15 minutes with maximum 98 % (for 35 mg/L) to 86 % (for 115 mg/L) lead (II) removal efficiency and thereafter, the rate of adsorption become slower near the equilibrium. It is found that the time required to attain equilibrium is relatively short as compared to others reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{39} The results confirmed that with a fixed dose of adsorbent at increasing lead (II) ion concentration, the amount adsorbed increased but the adsorption percentage decreased. Initially, the fast removal of lead (II) ions is obvious as large numbers of unoccupied adsorbent surface sites are available for the adsorption. By the progress of time equilibrium adsorption achieved may be due to the accumulation of lead (II) ions on the vacant sites caused limited mass transfer of the adsorbate from the bulk liquid to the external surface of the adsorbent.\textsuperscript{21} From Fig. (7) it is also evident that the percentage removal of lead (II) decreases with increase in initial lead (II) ions concentration. It can be explained by the fact that, at high lead (II) ions concentration, the available apparent external adsorbent sites are already occupied which prevents the diffusion of lead (II) ions on adsorbent surface.
Fig. 7 Effect of contact time on lead (II) ions adsorption at different initial concentrations.

3.5 Regeneration studies

The percentage desorption of lead (II) ions is depicted in Fig. 8. In present work, five adsorption-desorption cycles were carried out with 35 mg/L lead (II) ions concentration. 0.01 g of lead loaded ACLFCS adsorbent was washed with distilled water, air dried and placed in contact with 0.1M HCl as desorption agent in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks. Desorption experiments were carried out at pH < 3. The flasks were agitated at 200 rpm at 27 °C for 1 hr. to ensure the equilibrium. 0.1 M HCl found to be better desorbing agent at pH 2 due to high amount of H$^+$ ions in the solution. This results in exchange of ions where H$^+$ takes the place of lead (II) ions in solution. To estimate the process of lead (II) desorption, the lead (II) ions concentration present in 0.1 M HCl were analyzed using Atomic absorption spectrophotometer. The percentage desorption of lead (II) was calculated using equation (4).

$$\% \text{ desorption} = \frac{C_{\text{Des}} - C_{\text{Des}}}{C_{\text{Ads}}} X 100 \quad (4)$$

Where $C_{\text{Ads}}$ and $C_{\text{Des}}$ are the concentration of lead (II) ions adsorbed and desorbed (mg L$^{-1}$) respectively. The results shows that the percentage recoveries of metal ions decreased by 28 % at the end of fifth adsorption desorption cycle due to saturation of adsorbent binding sites.
Fig. 8 Adsorption-Desorption cycles of lead (II) ions concentration using ACLFCS biocomposite.

3.6 Adsorption kinetics

To study the mechanism and kinetics of lead adsorption, characteristics adsorption constants were determined using pseudo first order, pseudo second order and an intraparticle diffusion models. A rate equation for the pseudo first order is generally expressed as follows:

\[
\log (q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - \frac{K_1}{2.303} t
\]

(5)

Where \( q_e \) and \( q_t \) are the sorption capacities at equilibrium and at time \( t \) respectively (mg g\(^{-1}\)) and \( K_1 \) is the rate constant of pseudo first order sorption (min\(^{-1}\)). Fig. 9 (a) shows the Lagergren pseudo first order kinetic plot for the adsorption of lead (II) ions onto ACLFCS. The pseudo first order rate constant can be obtained from the slope of plot of \( \log (q_e - q_t) \) against time; \( t \). The kinetic parameters were summarized in Table 4. From Lagergren’s model the lower linear correlation coefficient (R\(^2\)) and much higher calculated \( q_e \) value than observed experimental value does not represent a good fit with the adsorption experimental data.

Ho presented a pseudo second order rate law expression, which demonstrated how the rate depended on the adsorption equilibrium capacity but not the concentration of the adsorbate.\(^{41} \) The integrated linear form of pseudo second order rate expression is as follows:

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{K_2q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}
\]

(6)

Where \( t \) is the contact time (min), \( q_e \) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) and \( q_t \) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) are the amounts of solute adsorbed at equilibrium and at any time, \( t \) respectively. Fig. 9 (b) shows the pseudo second order kinetic plot for adsorption of lead (II) ions onto ACLFCS. Equilibrium adsorption capacity (\( q_e \)) and the pseudo second order rate constants \( K_2 \) were obtained from the slope and intercept of the plots of \( t/q_t \) against \( t \). The calculated parameters are given in Table 4. The Results presented in table clearly shows that the coefficient of determination for pseudo second order equation (R\(^2\)= 0.99) is higher than pseudo first
order. Similarly calculated $q_e$ value from pseudo second order is closer and in good agreement with the experimental value suggested that the adsorption phenomenon of lead (II) by ACLFCS follows the pseudo second order kinetics. This indicated that the rate determining step of this adsorption system may be chemisorptions involving valence forces through sharing or exchange of electrons between adsorbent and adsorbate.42

The intraparticle diffusion model developed by Weber and Morris, McKay and Poots. The linear form of equation for intra-particle diffusion is as follows:

$$q_t = K_i t^{0.5} + C \quad (7)$$

where $q_t$ is the amount of adsorbate adsorbed on the surface of the adsorbent at that particular time $t$ (mg g$^{-1}$), $t$ is the time of adsorption (min), $k_i$ is the intra-particle diffusion rate constant (mg g$^{-1}$ min$^{-0.5}$) and $C$ is the intercept which represents the value of the thickness of the boundary layer.43 The intraparticle diffusion plot of $q_t$ versus square root of time ($t^{0.5}$) is shown in Fig. 9 (c). From figure, it is followed that the intraparticle diffusion plot is not linear over the whole range of time; however it exhibits multi linearity revealing the existence of different adsorption stages of mass transport. The multi linearity can be attributed to the faster mass transfer through the boundary layer diffusion, intra-particle diffusion state which is highly involved in rate control of this mechanism and final slow equilibrium stage. According to this model, the plot should be linear and if these lines pass through the origin then intraparticle diffusion is the rate-limiting step.44 When the plots do not pass through the origin, it indicates some degree of boundary layer control and further shows that the intra-particle diffusion is not the only rate-limiting step but also other kinetic models may control the rate of adsorption, all of which may be operating simultaneously. The intraparticle diffusion $K_i$ value was obtained from the slope of plot of $q_t$ versus $t^{0.5}$. The correlation coefficients ($R^2 = 0.90$) for the intraparticle diffusion model at $(27 \pm 1^\circ C)$ and it was observed that the straight line does not pass through the origin indicates that the intraparticle diffusion was the part of adsorption but not the only rate-controlling step.

![Graph](image-url)
Fig. 9 (a) Plot of pseudo first order kinetic (b) Plot of pseudo second order kinetic (c) Plot of intraparticle diffusion model.

Table 4 Pseudo first order, pseudo second order and intraparticle diffusion rate constants for lead (II) ions onto ACLFCS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal</th>
<th>Pseudo - first-order</th>
<th>Pseudo-second-order</th>
<th>Intraparticle diffusion model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt; (min&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>q&lt;sub&gt;e&lt;/sub&gt; (mg g&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</td>
<td>R&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lead (II)</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>5.636</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.7 Adsorption isotherm

Adsorption isotherm represents the relationship between the amounts of adsorbate adsorbed per unit mass of adsorbent and the concentration of adsorbate in the equilibrium solution at a given temperature. Adsorption isotherm provides information on adsorption mechanisms, surface properties and affinity of an adsorbent towards heavy metal ions. The mechanism of adsorption of lead (II) by ACLFCS was studied by fitting the experimental data to most widely used Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption isotherms.

Freundlich isotherm is an empirical equation used to describe multilayer adsorption (heterogeneous system). The linear form of Freundlich isotherm is expressed as below:

\[
\ln q_e = \ln K_f + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e \quad (8)
\]

Where K<sub>f</sub> and n are the Freundlich isotherm constants indicating adsorption capacity and adsorption intensity respectively. q<sub>e</sub> (mg g<sup>-1</sup>) is the observed lead (II) adsorption capacities and C<sub>e</sub> (mg L<sup>-1</sup>) is the equilibrium concentration. The Freundlich plots of log C<sub>e</sub> against log q<sub>e</sub> gives a straight line of slope 1/n and intercept K<sub>f</sub> as shown in Fig. 10 (a). The Freundlich adsorption parameters i.e.
maximum adsorption capacities \((K_f)\), adsorption intensity \((n)\) and correlation coefficient \((R^2)\) are given in Table 5. The values of \(K_f\) and \(1/n\) were found to be 41.39 mg/g and 0.29 respectively. Since the value of \(1/n\) is less than 1, it indicates a favorable adsorption but the low correlation coefficient \(R^2 = 0.95\) indicates no better fit and applicability of Freundlich model for the adsorption of lead (II) ions on ACLFCS.

The Langmuir model assumes that the monolayer adsorption occurs at finite numbers of homogeneous sites on the adsorbent.\(^{46}\) It is also noteworthy that the shape of the isotherm obtained from Langmuir plot can provide some information on adsorbate-adsorbent interaction. The linear form of Langmuir equation can be expressed as follows:

\[
\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{1}{q_m b} + \frac{C_e}{q_m} \tag{9}
\]

Where \(q_e\) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) and \(q_m\) (mg g\(^{-1}\)) are the observed and maximum lead (II) adsorption capacities, \(C_e\) (mg L\(^{-1}\)) is the equilibrium concentration of lead (II) in solution and \(b\) (L mg\(^{-1}\)) is the equilibrium constant related to energy of adsorption. The Langmuir plot of \(C_e\) against \(C_e/q_e\) is shown in Fig. 10 (b). The values of \(q_m\) and \(b\) are obtained from the slope \(1/q_m\) and intercept \(1/(q_m b)\) of the plot of \(C_e/q_e\) against \(C_e\). The Langmuir parameters \(R^2\), \(b\) and \(q_m\) are shown in Table 5. The Langmuir isotherm model also provides a dimensionless constant separation factor \(R_L\) expressed as \(R_L = 1/(1 + b.C_o)\).\(^{47}\) Where \(b\) is the Langmuir constant and \(C_o\) is the highest initial lead (II) ion concentration (mg L\(^{-1}\)). From Fig.10 (b) the maximum adsorption capacity \((q_m)\) and Langmuir constant \((b)\) were found to be 112 mg g\(^{-1}\) and 0.50 L mg\(^{-1}\) respectively. The correlation coefficient \(R^2 = 0.98\) was closer to unity, shows that the adsorption data better fitted to the Langmuir plot. The value of \(R_L\) for 35 mg L\(^{-1}\) to 115 mg L\(^{-1}\) lead (II) ion concentration was in the range of 0.0540-0.0170 and this specifies the favorable adsorption onto ACLFCS under the optimized experimental conditions. Further the plot of \(C_e\) versus \(q_e\) as shown in Fig. 10 (c) obtained is “H” type isotherm in Giles classification system.\(^{48}\) The H-type isotherm usually indicates chemical adsorption (chemisorptions) and reflects a relatively high affinity or strong interaction between adsorbate and the adsorbent.
**Fig. 10** a) Freundlich adsorption isotherm plot b) Langmuir adsorption isotherm plot c) Plot of $C_e$ vs. $q_e$.

**Table 5** Langmuir and Freundlich parameters for the adsorption of lead (II) ions on ACLFCS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equilibrium model</th>
<th>Langmuir constants</th>
<th>Freundlich constants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td>$q_m$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$b$ (L mg$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Values</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The comparative equilibrium adsorption capacity of lead (II) ions on previously reported adsorbents is given in Table 6. ACLFCS has a much higher value of adsorption capacity compared to other adsorbents. The results revealed ACLFCS as promising adsorbent for mitigation of lead (II) from aqueous solution.

**Table 6** Adsorption capacities of lead (II) ions by various adsorbents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>$q_m$ (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bromine pretreated chitosan</td>
<td>1.755</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Dongre et al.$^{20}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross linked chitosan clay beads</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Tirtom et al.$^{21}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epichlorohydrin cross linked chitosan beads</td>
<td>39.42</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Gyananath and Balhal$^{49}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td>47.393</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Asandei et al.$^{50}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated carbon of coffee residue</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Boudrahema et al.$^{51}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodate doped chitosan composite</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Gedam and Dongre$^{52}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano silversol-coated activated carbon</td>
<td>23.81</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Senthil kumar et al.$^{53}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activated carbon of <em>Spartina alterniflora</em></td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.8-5.6</td>
<td>Li and Wang.$^{4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date stone activated carbon</td>
<td>19.64</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mounia et al.$^{54}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heartwood charcoal of Areca catechu</td>
<td>2.198</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Haloi et al.$^{55}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamarind wood activated carbon</td>
<td>43.85</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>Acharya et al.$^{56}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion

The present investigation shows that ACLFCS is an effective adsorbent for the mitigation of lead (II) ions from aqueous solution. The removal of lead (II) using ACLFCS biocomposite was pH dependent and maximum 98-99% lead (II) removal occurred at pH 5 in contact time of 15 minutes at an optimum dose of 0.1 g/L suggested reasonable and cost-effective adsorption technique. The adsorption experimental data were fitted to Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models. Experimental results are in good agreement with Langmuir adsorption isotherm model with maximum monolayer adsorption capacity of 112 mg/g. The kinetic study demonstrates that sorption process obeyed pseudo second order kinetic model suggested that both adsorbate and adsorbent are involved in rate-determining step. The FTIR study revealed the functional groups –OH, -NH₂ and C=O were mainly concerned with the adsorption of lead (II) ions onto ACLFCS. The BET surface area of ACLFCS after modification decreases signifies that the physisorption of lead (II) ions is limited and the adsorptive mechanisms will mainly be the chemisorptions. The cost of lead (II) removal using ACLFCS is expected to be quiet low as the raw materials of synthesized adsorbent are easily available in large amount conveys us the new consideration for the increasing pressure of worldwide environmental pollution.
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