

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

# Binuclear Nickel Carbonyls with the Small Bite Chelating Diphosphine Ligands Methylaminobis(difluorophosphine) and Methylenebis(dimethylphosphine): Formation of Ni=Ni Double Bonds in Preference to Ligand Cleavage

Ling Liu, <sup>a,b</sup> Xiuhui Zhang, \*<sup>a,b</sup> Zesheng Li, \*<sup>a,b</sup> Qianshu Li, <sup>b,c</sup> and R. Bruce King\*<sup>c,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Cluster Science, Ministry of Education of China, School of Chemistry, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China

<sup>b</sup> State Key Laboratory of Explosion Science and Technology, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China

<sup>c</sup> MOE Key Laboratory of Theoretical Chemistry of Environment, Center for Computational Quantum Chemistry, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510006, China

<sup>d</sup> Department of Chemistry and Center for Computational Chemistry, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30606, USA

# Abstract

The structures and thermochemistry of the triads of binuclear nickel carbonyl complexes (bid)Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>n</sub> (n = 6, 5, 4) and (bid)<sub>2</sub>Ni(CO)<sub>n</sub> (n = 4, 3, 2) of the small-bite bidentate chelating diphosphines  $CH_3N(PF_2)_2$  and  $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$  have been investigated using density functional theory. The lowest-energy structures of the carbonyl-richest (bid)Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub> and (bid)<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub> structures have long Ni<sup>...</sup>Ni distances indicating the lack of direct nickel bonds. Similarly, the lowest energy structures of the intermediate (bid)Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>5</sub> and (bid)<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>3</sub> systems have Ni–Ni distances of ~2.7 Å and intact diphosphine ligands. Furthermore, the lowest energy structures of the carbonyl-poorest (bid)Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub> and (bid)<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>2</sub> systems have shorter Ni=Ni distances of ~2.5 Å suggesting formal double bonds and retain the intact diphosphine ligands. This contrasts with the previously studied binuclear iron carbonyls [CH<sub>3</sub>N(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub> and  $[CH_3N(PF_2)_2]_2Fe_2(CO)_4$  for which ligand cleavage to separate  $CH_3NPF_2$  and  $PF_2$  units rather than Fe=Fe double bond formation occurs in the lowest energy structures. The experimental [(Me<sub>2</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub> structure with the boat form of the NiPCPNiPCP eight-membered lies ~0.5 kcal/mol in energy below the higher energy isomer with the chair form of the NiPCPNiPCP ring at the M06-L/TZP level of theory.

\*E-mail: <u>zhangxiuhui@bit.edu.cn</u> (X. Zhang), <u>zeshengli@bit.edu.cn</u> (Z. Li) and <u>rbking@chem.uga.edu</u> (R. B. King); tel.: 1-706-542-1901 (R. B. King)

# **1. Introduction**

Transition metal complexes of small bite bidentate phosphines of the general type  $Z(PX_2)_2$  (Z = one atom bridging group; X = alkyl, aryl, or halogen) are of interest in forming four-membered chelate rings with a single metal atom or five-membered chelate rings with an M–M unit (Figure 1). Since five-membered chelate rings are generally more favorable than four-membered chelate rings, such small bite bidentate phosphines are of particular interest in stabilizing metal-metal bonded binuclear complexes. In a search for small bite bidentate phosphines that are also strong back-bonding ligands like carbon monoxide and PF<sub>3</sub>, methylaminobis(difluorophosphine), MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, is of particular interest<sup>1</sup> because of its facile synthesis from methylamine, phosphorus trichloride, and antimony trifluoride<sup>2</sup> and its resemblance to the strong back-bonding ligand PF<sub>3</sub>. Despite the electron releasing properties of the bridging methylamino group, MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> is still a sufficiently strong back-bonding ligand to form the white volatile air-stable zerovalent metal derivatives [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub>M (M = Cr, Mo, W) containing four-membered carbon-free NP<sub>2</sub>M chelate rings analogous to the corresponding hexacarbonyls M(CO)<sub>6</sub>.<sup>3,4</sup>



**Figure 1.** Chelating of small bite bidentate diphosphines to single metals and to binuclear  $M_2$  units. For the chelate small bite bidentate diphosphines discussed in this paper X = F and Z = MeN for  $MeN(PF_2)_2$  and X = Me and  $Z = CH_2$  for  $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$ .

In addition to forming the mononuclear  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]_3M$  (M = Cr, Mo, W) complexes containing four-membered NP<sub>2</sub>M chelate rings, the MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> ligand also forms binuclear metal carbonyl complexes with five-membered NP<sub>2</sub>M<sub>2</sub> chelate rings (Figure 1). For example, reaction of Fe<sub>3</sub>(CO)<sub>12</sub> with excess CH<sub>3</sub>N(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in boiling tetrahydrofuran initially gives the yellow diiron hexacarbonyl derivative  $[CH_3N(PF_2)_2]_2Fe_2(CO)_6$ , shown by X-ray crystallography to have a structure consisting of two square pyramidal P<sub>2</sub>Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> units linked by the two ligands without an iron-iron bond (Figure 2).<sup>5</sup> This structure can be considered as two five-coordinate L<sub>2</sub>Fe(CO)<sub>3</sub> units related to the wellknown Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> and linked by the MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> ligand leading to an eight-membered FePNPFePNP ring. This product was relatively unstable evolving carbon monoxide in

solution to give the diiron pentacarbonyl derivative  $[CH_3N(PF_2)_2]_2Fe_2(CO)_4(\mu$ -CO), which could also be obtained directly by reaction of  $CH_3N(PF_2)_2$  with  $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$  under more forcing conditions. This product is related to the well-known  $Fe_2(CO)_9$  by replacing four carbonyl groups with two bridging  $MeN(PF_2)_2$  ligands forming a pair of fused five-membered NP<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub> chelate rings sharing the Fe–Fe edge.



**Figure 2**. Binuclear  $[CH_3N(PF_2)_2]_2Fe_2(CO)_n$  derivatives that have been synthesized and structurally characterized by X-ray crystallography.

A limitation in the ability to synthesize MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> complexes is the susceptibility one of its P-N bonds to undergo cleavage to give separate PF<sub>2</sub> and MeNPF<sub>2</sub> units that each can bridge the pair of central metal atoms. This process occurs particularly when reactions of MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> with metal carbonyls are carried out under forcing conditions in an attempt to replace all or almost all of the carbonyl groups with  $MeN(PF_2)_2$  ligands. Thus the product  $CH_3N(PF_2)_2]_4Fe_2(CO)$  obtained by photolysis of  $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$  with excess  $MeN(PF_2)_2$  is not a maximally substituted  $Fe_2(CO)_9$  derivative but instead  $(CH_3N=PF_2)[\mu-CH_3N(PF_2)_2]_3Fe_2(\mu-PF_2)(CO)$  in which one of the  $CH_3N(PF_2)_2$  ligands has undergone phosphorus-nitrogen bond cleavage to form separate CH<sub>3</sub>NPF<sub>2</sub> and PF<sub>2</sub> units (Figure 3).<sup>6</sup> Similarly, the photolysis of  $(\eta^5-C_5H_5)_2Fe_2(CO)_2(\mu-CO)_2$  with excess CH<sub>3</sub>N(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> leads to complete substitution of the four carbonyl groups with two CH<sub>3</sub>N(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> ligands, similar to the Cr(CO)<sub>6</sub>/CH<sub>3</sub>N(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> photolysis noted above. However, the product is shown by X-ray crystallography to be  $[\mu$ -CH<sub>3</sub>N(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]( $\mu$ -CH<sub>3</sub>NPF<sub>2</sub>)( $\mu$ -PF<sub>2</sub>)Fe<sub>2</sub>( $\eta$ <sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in which the two  $\eta$ <sup>5</sup>-C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>Fe units are bridged by three different groups, namely an intact CH<sub>3</sub>N(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> ligand, a CH<sub>3</sub>NPF<sub>2</sub> unit, and a  $PF_2$  unit (Figure 3).<sup>7</sup> The bridging  $CH_3NPF_2 + PF_2$  combination donates a total of six electrons to the dimetal system rather than only four electrons from an intact CH<sub>3</sub>N(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> unit and is thus likely to arise in unsaturated metal complexes. Theoretical work on  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]_2Fe_2(CO)_n$  derivatives (n = 6, 5, 4) predicts the experimental structures (Figure 2) for n = 6 and 5 but an Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub>( $\mu$ -CH<sub>3</sub>NPF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -PF<sub>2</sub>) structure with separate bridging PF<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>3</sub>N(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> units.<sup>8</sup>



**Figure 3.** Examples of metal complexes in which an  $MeN(PF_2)_2$  ligand has split into separate MeNPF<sub>2</sub> and PF<sub>2</sub> units.

The other small bite chelating bidentate diphosphine of interest is methylenebis(dimethylphosphine)  $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$ , in which each phosphorus atom is bonded only to carbon atoms. This small bite bidentate diphosphine is the opposite of MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in being a strongly basic ligand with limited back-bonding ability. The small size and high basicity of  $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$  lead to metal carbonyl complexes having a relatively electronrich and sterically unencumbered central metal atom. This is most obviously reflected in an increased air sensitivity of metal carbonyl complexes of  $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$ .<sup>9</sup>

Homoleptic binuclear nickel carbonyls  $Ni_2(CO)_n$  has never been synthesised, although they have been studied by density functional theory.<sup>10</sup> However, small bite bidentate diphosphine ligands are able to stabilize binuclear nickel carbonyls.<sup>11</sup> Thus the reaction of Ni(CO)<sub>4</sub> with the small bite biphosphine (Me<sub>2</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> has been reported to yield [(Me<sub>2</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub> with two (Me<sub>2</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> molecules serving as bridging Ni(CO)<sub>2</sub> groups forming an eight-membered Ni<sub>2</sub>P<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> ring<sup>12</sup> (Figure 4) related to the [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub> structure in Figure 2. The reaction of [C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub>NiCO]<sub>2</sub> with (Me<sub>2</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> in boiling tetrahydrofuran results in displacement of the cyclopentadienyl rather than carbonyl groups to give yellow [(Me<sub>2</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>2</sub>.<sup>9</sup> A related [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>3</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>2</sub> complex of methylaminobis(difluorophosphine) has also been synthesized.<sup>4</sup>

The present paper explores the chemistry of binuclear nickel carbonyl complexes of MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and (Me<sub>2</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> using density functional theory (DFT). This research has included the metal complex triads [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>n</sub> (n = 6, 5, 4), [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>n</sub> (n = 4, 3, 2), [(Me<sub>2</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>]Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>n</sub> (n = 6, 5, 4), and

 $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 4, 3, 2). The stoichiometries of these triads were chosen to cover possible structures without a nickel-nickel bond and with nickel-nickel bonds if the ligands remain intact and the nickel atoms have the favored 18-electron configuration.<sup>13,14,15,16,17</sup> In contrast to the previous study<sup>8</sup> on binuclear CH<sub>3</sub>N(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> iron carbonyl complexes, nickel-nickel bonds in all the low-energy structures were found in preference to phosphorus-nitrogen or phosphorus-carbon bond cleavage of the ligands.



**Figure 4.** Experimentally known binuclear nickel carbonyl complexes of the small bite chelating diphosphines  $MeN(PF_2)_2$  and  $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$ .

#### .2. Theoretical Methods

Electron correlation effects were considered by using density functional theory (DFT) methods, which have been suggested as a practical and effective computational tool, especially for organometallic compounds. <sup>18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25</sup> Thus, in the present study, the computations were carried out by two selected DFT methods implemented in the Gaussian 09 program package<sup>26</sup>, namely B3LYP, BP86, and M06-L. The B3LYP method is the hybrid HF-DFT method using a combination of the three-parameter Becke functional (B3) with the Lee-Yang-Parr (LYP) generalized gradient correlation functional.<sup>27,28</sup> The BP86 method combines Becke's 1988 exchange functional (B) <sup>29</sup> with Perdew's 1986 gradient corrected correlation functional (P86).<sup>30</sup> The local density functional, M06-L<sup>31,32</sup>, is designed to capture the main dependence of the exchange-correlation energy on local spin density, spin density gradient, and spin kinetic energy density. It is parametrized to satisfy the uniform-electron-gas limit and to have good performance for both main-group chemistry and transition metal chemistry.

The calculations were performed using the double- $\zeta$  plus polarization (DZP) basis sets. The DZP basis sets used for carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, fluorine, and phosphorus atoms add one set of pure spherical harmonic d functions with orbital exponents  $\alpha_d(C) =$ 0.75,  $\alpha_d(N) = 0.80$ ,  $\alpha_d(O) = 0.85$ ,  $\alpha_d(F) = 1.0$ , and  $\alpha_d(P) = 0.6$  to the standard HuzinagaDunning contracted DZ sets<sup>33,34</sup> and are designated (9s5p1d/4s2p1d) for the carbon, nitrogen, oxygen and fluorine atoms and (11s7p1d/6s4p1d) for the phosphorus atom. For hydrogen, a set of p polarization functions  $\alpha_p(H) = 0.75$  is added to the Huzinaga-Dunning DZ set. The loosely contracted DZP basis set for nickel is the Wachter's primitive set<sup>35</sup> augmented by two sets of p functions and a set of d functions contracted according to Hood, Pitzer, and Schaefer designated (14s11p6d/10s8p3d).<sup>36</sup>

The geometries of all structures were fully optimized using the DZP B3LYP and DZP BP86 methods. The fine grid (75 radial shells, 302 angular points)<sup>37</sup> was the default for evaluating integrals numerically, and the tight (10<sup>-8</sup> hartree) designation was the default for the self-consistent field (SCF) energy convergence. The finer (120, 974) integration grid<sup>37</sup> was used only to re-examining the small imaginary vibrational frequencies.

In order to test the computational accuracy of the above two DFT methods, we initially optimized the experimentally known compound<sup>12</sup> [(Me<sub>2</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub> at the B3LYP/DZP and BP86/DZP levels of theory. The lowest-energy optimized structure was different from the experimental structure. In order to resolve this discrepancy, we reoptimized the low-energy singlet structures in the present paper employing a new local density functional of M06-L with triple- $\zeta$  valence quality plus polarization<sup>38</sup> (TZP) basis sets using the empirical dispersion correction by Grimme's DFT-D3 method.<sup>39</sup> The most stable singlet structure of [(Me<sub>2</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub> predicted by M06-L/TZP is similar to the experimental structure. In addition, the results predicted by the three functionals are generally in agreement. Therefore, only the M06-L/TZP results for the structure geometries and relative energies are discussed in the text. However, the BP86/DZP method appears to be more reliable for the prediction of v(CO) frequencies, probably coincidentally.<sup>40,41</sup> The results of the B3LYP/DZP and BP86/DZP methods are presented in the Supporting Information. In addition, the energies of all of the triplet structures were found to be higher than those of the isomeric singlet structures. Therefore the triplet structures are not discussed in this paper but are presented in the Supporting Information.

Each structure in this paper is designated as **PF-XY-EN** or **PMe-XY-EN**, where PF and PMe refer to the ligands  $MeN(PF_2)_2$  and  $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$ , respectively; **X** refers to the number of ligands; **Y** refers to the number of carbonyl groups; **E** refers to the order of relative energies, and **N** indicates the spin state multiplicity (**S** = singlet and **T** = triplet) for the triads  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 6, 5, 4),  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 4, 3, 2),  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 6, 5, 4), and  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 4, 3, 2). Thus, the global minimum of  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]Ni_2(CO)_6$  is designated as **PF-16-1S** and that of  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_4$  as **PMe-24-1S**.

# 3. Results and Discussion

# 3.1 Structures with one MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> ligand

3.1.1  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]Ni_2(CO)_6$ . The structure **PF-16-1S** is predicted to be the lowest energy [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub> structure (Figure 5). In **PF-16-1S** the two Ni(CO)<sub>3</sub> groups are arranged in syn positions relative to the central MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> ligand. A slightly higher energy singlet [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub> structure **PF-16-2S**, lying 0.8 kcal/mol in energy above PF-16-1S, is similar to PF-16-1S except for a anti rather than syn arrangement of the two Ni(CO)<sub>3</sub> groups relative to the central MeN( $PF_2$ )<sub>2</sub> ligand. The singlet [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub> structure **PF-16-3S**, lying 2.3 kcal/mol above **PF-16-1S**, is similar to **PF-16-1S** and **PF-16-2S** but with a still different arrangement of the Ni(CO)<sub>3</sub> groups relative to the MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> ligand. All three  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]Ni_2(CO)_6$  structures have long Ni<sup>...</sup>Ni distances indicating the lack of direct nickel-nickel bonds. Nevertheless, each nickel atom in each of the three [MeN(PF2)2]Ni2(CO)6 structures has the favored 18-electron configuration. The predicted v(CO) frequencies from 2163 to 2085 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the three [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub> structures by the M06-L/TZP method are higher than the experimentally observed range of 2090 to 1985 cm<sup>-1</sup> and indicate exclusively terminal CO groups in these structures.<sup>5,9</sup> The v(CO) frequencies from 2069 to 2006 cm<sup>-1</sup> predicted by the BP86/DZP method for the [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub> structures are closer to the experimental values in accord with expectation.



**PF-16-3S** (C<sub>1</sub>,2.3)

**Figure 5.** The three singlet  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]Ni_2(CO)_6$  structures. The numbers for bond distances (Å) and the relative energies are shown in parentheses were obtained by the M06-L method. The data in all of the other figures in the present paper have the same arrangement.

3.1.2 [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>5</sub>.Two singlet energetically low-lying [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>5</sub> structures were found (Figure 6). The lowest lying [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>5</sub> structure is the singlet **PF-15-1S**, having an Ni–Ni distance of 2.632 Å, corresponding to a formal single bond thereby giving each nickel atom the favored 18-electron configuration. This Ni–Ni bond in **PF-15-1S** is bridged by a single CO group, predicted by the M06-L/TZP method to exhibit a v(CO) frequency of 1971 cm<sup>-1</sup>. This bridging v(CO) frequency in **PF-15-1S** is significantly lower than the terminal v(CO) frequencies in **PF-15-1S**, ranging from 2148 to 2093 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The other singlet [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>5</sub> structure **PF-15-2S** lies 1.1 kcal/mol in energy above **PF-15-1S**. Structure **PF-15-2S** is similar to **PF-15-1S** except for the approximate right angle rather than a straight angle between the bridging CO group and the face formed by the Ni–Ni bond and the bridging MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> ligand.



Figure 6. The two singlet [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>5</sub> structures.

3.1.3  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]Ni_2(CO)_4.$ Two singlet structures were optimized for [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub> (Figure 7). The lowest energy [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub> structure, **PF-14-1S**, has an intact bridging MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> ligand and a Ni=Ni distance of 2.361 Å. The Ni=Ni distance in **PF-14-1S** is ~0.27 Å shorter than the Ni–Ni single bond distance in the  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]Ni_2(CO)_5$  structures discussed above and thus suggests a formal double bond in PF-14-1S. Such a Ni=Ni double bond gives each nickel atom in PF-14-1S the favored 18-electron configuration. Two of the CO groups in PF-14-1S are bridging CO groups exhibiting a relatively low v(CO) frequency of 2002 cm<sup>-1</sup> (M06-L/TZP) or 1884 cm<sup>-1</sup> (BP86/DZP).

The singlet  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]Ni_2(CO)_4$  structure **PF-14-2S** lies at the relatively high energy of 14.2 kcal/mol above **PF-14-1S**. The MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> ligand in **PF-14-1S** has broken into seperate PF<sub>2</sub> and MeNPF<sub>2</sub> units by P-N bond rupture. The Ni–Ni distance of 2.602 Å in **PF-14-2S** is similar to that in the  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]Ni_2(CO)_5$  structures **PF-15-1S** and **PF-15-2S** (Figure 6) and thus suggests the formal single bond required to give each nickel atom the favored 18-electron configuration in a  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]Ni_2(CO)_4$  structure in which the MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> ligand has ruptured into separate MeNPF<sub>2</sub> and PF<sub>2</sub> units.



Figure 7. The two singlet [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub> structures.

# 3.2 Structures with two MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> ligands

3.2.1 [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub>. Two singlet [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub> structures were found (Figure 8). The lowest energy structure **PF-24-1S** has  $C_{2\nu}$  symmetry with two intact MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> ligands bridging the pair of nickel atoms. The long Ni<sup>...</sup>Ni distance of 4.046 Å in **PF-24-1S** clearly indicates the absence of a direct nickel-nickel bond thereby giving each nickel atom the favored 18-electron configuration. The predicted  $\nu$ (CO) frequencies from 2141 to 2084 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicate exclusively terminal CO groups in **PF-24-1S**. One of the two terminal CO groups on each nickel atom in **PF-24-1S** is oriented towards the same side of the eight-membered NiPNPNiPNP ring corresponding to a *syn* stereoisomer. A slightly higher energy singlet [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub> structure **PF-24-2S**, lying 1.5 kcal/mol above **PF-24-1S**, is the *anti* stereoisomer of **PF-24-1S** with terminal CO groups on opposite sides of the eight-membered ring.



Figure 8. The two singlet [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub> structures.

3.2.2 [ $MeN(PF_2)_2$ ]<sub>2</sub> $Ni_2(CO)_3$ . Two singlet [MeN(PF\_2)\_2]\_2Ni\_2(CO)\_3 structures were found (Figure 9). In the lowest energy structure **PF-23-1S** the nickel atoms form an isosceles triangle with the bridging carbonyl carbon. The predicted Ni–Ni distance in **PF-23-1S** of 2.574 Å can be interpreted as a formal single bond thereby giving each nickel atom the

favored 18-electron configuration. The bridging carbonyl group in **PF-23-1S** has a predicted infrared v(CO) frequency of 1958 cm<sup>-1</sup> (M06-L/TZP) or 1882 cm<sup>-1</sup> (BP86/DZP).

The next singlet  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_3$  structure **PF-23-2S**, lying 8.0 kcal/mol in energy above **PF-23-1S**, can be derived from **PF-24-1S** by removal of one of the terminal CO groups (Figure 9). The Ni $\rightarrow$ Ni distance of 2.590 Å in **PF-23-2S** can be interpreted as a formal dative single bond from the nickel atom bearing two CO groups to the nickel atom bearing only a single CO group. This gives each nickel atom the favored 18-electron configuration. The predicted v(CO) frequencies from 2094 to 2132 cm<sup>-1</sup> (M06-L/TZP) in **PF-23-2S** indicate exclusively terminal CO groups.



**Figure 9.** The two singlet [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>3</sub> structures.

3.2.3 [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>2</sub>. Two singlet [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>2</sub> structures were found (Figure 10). The predicted Ni=Ni distance of 2.393 Å in the lowest energy structure **PF-22-1S** is ~0.2 Å shorter than the Ni–Ni single bond distances in the [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>5</sub> (Figure 6) and [MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>3</sub> (Figure 9) structures thereby suggesting a formal double bond in **PF-22-1S**. One of the two CO groups in **PF-22-1S** is a bridging CO group exhibiting a relatively low v(CO) frequency of 1959 cm<sup>-1</sup> (M06-L/TZP). The combination of two intact bridging MeN(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> ligands and a Ni=Ni double bond gives each nickel atom in **PF-22-1S** the favored 18-electron configuration.



The relatively high energy  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_2$  structure **PF-22-2S**, lying 22.0 kcal/mol above **PF-22-1S**, is similar to **PF-22-1S** except for a different angle of the terminal CO group relative to the Ni=Ni double bond.

# 3.3 Structures with one (Me<sub>2</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> ligand

3.3.1  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_6$ . Three singlet  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_6$  structures were found within ~5 kcal/mol of energy indicating a fluxional system. The lowest energy  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_6$  structure **PMe-16-1S** has the two Ni(CO)<sub>3</sub> groups in *anti* positions relative to the central  $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$  ligand (Figure 11). A slightly higher energy singlet  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_6$  structure **PMe16-2S**, lying 0.7 kcal/mol above **PMe-16-1S**, is similar to **PMe-16-1S** except for a *syn* rather than an *anti* arrangement of the two Ni(CO)<sub>3</sub> groups relative to the central  $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$  ligand. This leads to a shorter, but still long non-bonding Ni<sup>...</sup>Ni distance of 4.599 Å in **PMe-16-2S**, clearly indicating the lack of a direct nickel-nickel bond. The remaining low-energy  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_6$ structure **PMe-16-3S**, lying 4.3 kcal/mol above **PMe-16-1S**, is also a *syn* stereoisomer similar to **PMe16-2S** but with a different orientation of the  $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$  ligand relative to the Ni(CO)<sub>3</sub> units. The predicted *v*(CO) frequencies in the three  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_6$ structures range from 2052 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 2130 cm<sup>-1</sup> (M06-L/TZP) indicating exclusively terminal CO groups. Each nickel atom in each of the three  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_6$ 



PMe-16-3S (C<sub>2</sub>,4.4)

Figure 11. The three singlet  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_6$  structures. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity in the structures of the  $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$  nickel carbonyl complexes.

3.3.2  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_5$ . Two singlet  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_5$  structures were optimized (Figure 12). The lowest energy  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_5$  structure is the singlet

**PMe-15-1S**, having a Ni–Ni distance of 2.607 Å, corresponding to a formal single bond thereby giving each nickel atom the favored 18-electron configuration. This Ni–Ni bond is bridged by both a single CO group and the  $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$  ligand. The bridging v(CO) frequencies in **PMe-15-1S** of 1883 cm<sup>-1</sup> (M06-L/TZP) are significantly lower than the terminal v(CO) frequencies, which range from 2034 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 2112 cm<sup>-1 1</sup> (M06-L/TZP) in **PMe-15-1S** in accord with expectation.

The  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_5$  structure **PMe-15-1S** lies a very large 38.5 kcal/mol below the next lowest energy isomer **PMe-15-2S** and thus appears to be a very favorable structure. The  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_5$  structure **PMe-15-2S** has one of the P–C bonds in  $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$  cleaved to form separate Me\_2P and Me\_2PCH\_2 units. Each of these units bridges the pair of nickel atoms thereby donating a total of three electrons each to the central Ni<sub>2</sub> unit. Thus the combined Me\_2P + Me\_2PCH\_2 units obtained from cleaving a P-C bond in a  $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$  ligand donate a total of six electrons to the Ni<sub>2</sub> unit. The long Ni<sup>...</sup>Ni distance of 3.889 Å in **PMe-15-2S** clearly indicates the lack of a direct nickelnickel interaction. However, in **PMe-15-2S** both nickel atoms have the favored 18-electron configuration.

PMe-15-1S ( $C_s$ , 0.0) PMe-15-2S ( $C_1$ , 38.5)

Figure 12. The two singlet  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_5$  structures.

3.3.3  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_4$ . Two singlet  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_4$  structures were found (Figure 13). The lowest energy structure **PMe-14-1S** has two symmetrical bridging CO groups and a short Ni=Ni distance of 2.375 Å similar to the Ni=Ni distances in the corresponding  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_4$  structures interpreted as formal double bonds. This gives each nickel atom in **PMe-14-1S** the favored 18-electron configuration.

The  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_4$  structure **PMe-14-1S** lies 21.9 kcal/mol below the next lowest energy  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_4$  structure **PMe-14-2S** and thus appears to be a highly favorable structure. Structure **PMe-14-2S** has separate bridging Me\_2P and Me\_2PCH\_2 groups derived from the  $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$  ligand and can be derived from the  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_4$  structure **PMe-15-2S** (Figure 12) by simple removal of a terminal CO group (Figure 13). The Ni–Ni distance of 2.684 Å in **PMe-14-2S** suggests the formal single bond required to give each nickel atom the favored 18-electron configuration.



PMe-14-1S (C<sub>1</sub>,0.0) PMe-14-2S (C<sub>1</sub>,21.9)

Figure 13. The two singlet  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_4$  structures.

# 3.4 Structures with two (Me<sub>2</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> ligands

3.4.1 [ $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$ ]<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub>. Two low-energy [ $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$ ]<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub> structures were found (Figure 14). In the lowest-energy structure **PMe-24-1S** each (Me<sub>2</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> ligand remains intact and bridges the central Ni<sub>2</sub> unit to form an eight-membered NiPCPNiPCP ring adopting the boat conformation leading to a structure exhibiting C<sub>2</sub> symmetry. The long Ni<sup>...</sup>Ni distance in **PMe-24-1S** of 4.386 Å indicates the absence of a direct nickelnickel bond thereby giving each nickel atom the favored 18-electron configuration. The experimental [ $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$ ]<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>4</sub> structure<sup>12</sup> is similar to **PMe-24-1S** with an essentially identical Ni<sup>...</sup>Ni non-bonding distance of 4.39 Å.

The second  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_4$  structure **PMe-24-2S**, lying only 0.5 kcal/mol in energy above **PMe-24-1S**, is similar to **PMe-24-1S** except for a chair rather than a boat conformation of the eight-membered NiPCPNiPCP ring. This leads to a structure with  $C_{2h}$  rather than  $C_2$  symmetry (Figure 14). The nickel coordination geometry in **PMe-24-2S** is approximately tetrahedral with P-Ni-P angles of 108.1°. The Ni<sup>...</sup>Ni nonbonding distance of 4.654 Å in **PMe-24-2S** is a significantly longer than that in **PMe-24-1S**.



 PMe-24-1S ( $C_2$ ,0.0)
 PMe-24-2S ( $C_{2h}$ ,0.5)

 Figure 14. The two singlet  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_4$  structures.

3.4.2  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_3$ . Only one low-energy singlet structure was found for  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_3$  (Figure 15) at the M06-L/TZP level. This structure **PMe-23-1S** 

has a Ni–Ni distance of 2.621 Å, corresponding to a formal single bond. This Ni–Ni bond is bridged by a single CO group, predicted by the M06-L method to exhibit a v(CO) frequency of 1877 cm<sup>-1</sup>. This bridging v(CO) frequency is significantly lower than the terminal v(CO) frequencies of 2027 and 2041 cm<sup>-1</sup>.



PMe-23-1S (C<sub>1</sub>,0.0)

Figure 15. The single [(Me<sub>2</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>3</sub> structure.

3.4.3  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_2$ . Three singlet  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_2$  structures were found (Figure 16). The lowest energy  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_2$  structure **PMe-22-1S** may be derived from the  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_2$  structure **PMe-23-1S** by removal of a terminal CO group. The Ni=Ni distance of 2.490 Å in **PMe-22-1S** is ~0.131 Å shorter than the formal Ni–Ni single bond in **PMe-23-1S** and thus can be interpreted as the formal double bond required to give each nickel atom the favored 18-electron configuration. The bridging CO group in **PMe-22-1S** exhibits the expected low v(CO)frequency of 1862 cm<sup>-1</sup> (M06-L/TZP) whereas the terminal CO group exhibits a significantly higher v(CO) frequency of 2026 cm<sup>-1</sup> in accord with expectation. The  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_2$  structure **PMe-22-1S** except that the terminal CO group in **PMe-22-1S** becomes a semibridging CO group.



A higher energy singlet  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_2$  structure **PMe-22-2S**, lying 3.5 kcal/mol above **PMe-22-1S**, has exclusively terminal CO groups (Figure 16). The

Ni–Ni distance of 2.602 Å in **PMe-22-2S** suggests a formal single bond giving one nickel atom an 18-electron configuration and the other nickel atom a 16-electron configuration.

#### 3.5 Thermochemistry

The reaction energies listed in Tables 1 and 2 are based on the lowest energy singlet state structures. The dissociation energies for the loss of one CO group from the carbonyl richest complexes of the triads, namely **PF-16-1S**, **PF-24-1S**, **PMe-16-1S**, and **PMe-24-1S**, range from 13.5 kcal/mol to 25.5 kcal/mol. This process of dissociation converts one of the remaining terminal CO groups to a bridging CO group and introduces a formal Ni–Ni single bond of length ~2.7 Å. Thus the formation of the Ni-Ni single bond compensates for the two electrons lost upon removal of the CO group.

**Table 1.** Dissociation energies (in kcal/mol) for the successive removal of carbonyl groups from binuclear nickel carbonyl derivatives  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 6, 5),  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 4, 3),  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 6, 5) and  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 4, 3) at the M06-L/TZP level.

Process	M06-L
$MeN(PF_2)_2Ni_2(CO)_6 (PF-16-1S) \rightarrow MeN(PF_2)_2Ni_2(CO)_5 (PF-15-1S) + CO$	18.5
$MeN(PF_2)_2Ni_2(CO)_5(\textbf{PF-15-1S}) \rightarrow MeN(PF_2)_2Ni_2(CO)_4(\textbf{PF-14-1S}) + CO$	28.5
$[MeN(PF_2)_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_4(PF-24-1S) \rightarrow [MeN(PF_2)_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_3(PF-23-1S) + CO$	13.5
$[MeN(PF_2)_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_3(PF-23-1S) \rightarrow [MeN(PF_2)_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_2(PF-22-1S) + CO$	35.4
$[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_6 (PMe-16-1S) \rightarrow [(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_5 (PMe-15-1S) + CO$	22.1
$[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_5(\textbf{PMe-15-18}) \rightarrow [(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_4(\textbf{PMe-14-18}) + CO$	25.4
$[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_4 (PMe-24-1S) \rightarrow [(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_3 (PMe-23-1S) + CO$	25.5
$[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_3 (PMe-23-1S) \rightarrow [(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_2 (PMe-22-1S) + CO$	38.9

The corresponding successive dissociation energies for the loss of one terminal CO group from the intermediate complexes of each triad, namely **PF-15-1S**, **PF-23-1S**, **PMe-15-1S**, and **PMe-23-1S**, range from 25.4 kcal/mol to 38.9 kcal/mol. The CO dissociation energies of **PF-23-1S** and **PMe-23-1S** are very high (35.4 kcal/mol and 38.9 kcal/mol). For comparison, the reported CO dissociation energies are  $37 \pm 2$ ,  $41 \pm 2$ , and  $25 \pm 2$  kcal/mol for Cr(CO)<sub>6</sub>, Fe(CO)<sub>5</sub> and Ni(CO)<sub>4</sub>, respectively.<sup>42</sup> The high dissociation energies reflect the strength of the metal-carbonyl bonds. During this process of CO dissociation a Ni=Ni double bond is formed with intact ligands bridging the pair of

nickel atoms. This is different from the iron carbonyl complexes  $[CH_3N(PF_2)_2]Fe_2(CO)_n$ (n = 7, 6)  $[CH_3N(PF_2)_2]_2Fe_2(CO)_n$  (n = 5, 4) where P–N bond rupture of one of the bridging  $CH_3N(PF_2)_2$  ligands is energetically preferred over shortening of the iron-iron distances to give formal Fe=Fe double bonds.<sup>8</sup> The bridging CO groups become more asymmetrical in all the complexes except for **PMe-14-1S**.

#### **5.** Conclusion

The nickel carbonyl complexes of the strong back-bonding fluorophosphine ligand  $CH_3N(PF_2)_2$  can be grouped into the two triads  $[CH_3N(PF_2)_2]Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 6, 5, 4) and  $[CH_3N(PF_2)_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 4, 3, 2) depending on the  $CH_3N(PF_2)_2/Ni$  ratio. In the lowest energy structures in both of these triads, all of the  $CH_3N(PF_2)_2$  ligands bridge the Ni<sub>2</sub> unit rather than chelate to a single nickel atom to form a four-membered NiPNP chelate ring. The lowest energy carbonyl-richest structure of each triad has a long Ni<sup>...</sup>Ni distance indicating the lack of a direct nickel-nickel bond. The lowest energy structure of the intermediate member of each triad has a Ni–Ni distance of ~2.7 Å suggestive of a formal single bond. The lowest energy structure of the carbonyl-poorest member of each triad has a shorter Ni=Ni distance of ~2.5 Å suggestive of a formal double bond. All of the lowest energy structures have intact  $CH_3N(PF_2)_2$  ligands and the favored 18-electron configuration for each nickel atom.

These two triads of CH<sub>3</sub>N(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> nickel carbonyl complexes can be compared with analogous triads of CH<sub>3</sub>N(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> iron carbonyl complexes having one more CO group per metal atom in order to retain the favored 18-electron configuration of the metal atoms. In this way the [CH<sub>3</sub>N(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>n</sub> (n = 6, 5, 4) and [CH<sub>3</sub>N(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>n</sub> (n = 4, 3, 2) triads are the analogues of the [CH<sub>3</sub>N(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>n</sub> (n = 8, 7, 6) and [CH<sub>3</sub>N(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>n</sub> (n = 6, 5, 4) triads. The lowest energy structures for the carbonyl-richest and intermediate members of the CH<sub>3</sub>N(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> iron carbonyl triads have the same patterns as those for the corresponding nickel carbonyl derivatives, i. e., nonbondng Fe<sup>...</sup>Fe distances for the carbonyl-richest system and formal Fe–Fe single bonds of length ~2.7 Å for the intermediate members. However, in the lowest energy structures of the carbonyl-poorest members of the CH<sub>3</sub>N(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> iron carbonyl triads, phosphorusnitrogen bond cleavage occurs to give separate CH<sub>3</sub>NPF<sub>2</sub> and PF<sub>2</sub> bridging groups which donate a total of six electrons to the central Fe<sub>2</sub> unit. Such structures retain the ~2.7 Å Fe–Fe single bond distances of the intermediate members of each CH<sub>3</sub>N(PF<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> triad, which is sufficient to give each iron atom the favored 18-electron configuration.

The highly basic small bite bidentate ligand (Me<sub>2</sub>P)<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub> was also included in this theoretical study of binuclear nickel carbonyl complexes for comparison with the strong

back-bonding  $CH_3N(PF_2)_2$  ligand. The preferred types of (bid) $Ni_2(CO)_n$  structures were found to be similar for both ligands despite the major differences in their basicity and back-bonding. Thus  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_n$  and  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_n$  structures in which cleavage of a ligand P–C bond occurs to form separate bridging  $Me_2PCH_2$  and  $Me_2P$  are high-energy structures relative to isomeric structures with intact  $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$ ligands.

The single experimentally realized compound discussed in this paper is  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_4$ , first reported<sup>9</sup> as the monomer " $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni(CO)_2$ " but shown by Pörschke and co-workers<sup>12</sup> by X-ray crystallography to be the dimer. The experimental structure for  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_4$  is very similar to **PMe-24-1S** with a boat conformation for the NiPCPNiPCP eight-membered ring. This structure lies less than ~0.6 kcal/mol in energy below the similar higher energy structure **PMe-24-2S**  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_4$  with a chair conformation for the eight-membered ring.

**Acknowledgments.** The authors are indebted to the Chinese National Natural Science Foundation (20903010, 21373025), the Beijing Municipal Natural Science Foundation (2132035), Beijing Higher Education Young Elite Teacher Project (YETP1226), Opening Project of State Key Laboratory of Explosion Science of Technology (Beijing Institute of Technology) (ZDKT12-03), and the U.S. National Science Foundation (Grant CHE-1057466) for support of this research.

**Supporting Information Available.** Tables S1 to S4: The v(CO) vibrational frequencies  $(cm^{-1})$  for the  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 6, 5, 4),  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 4, 3, 2),  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 6, 5, 4), and  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 4, 3, 2) singlet structures at the M06-L/TZP level. Tables S5 to S8: Infrared active v(CO) vibrational (cm<sup>-1</sup>) predicted frequencies for the singlet and triplet sturctures of  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 6, 5, 4),  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 4, 3, 2),  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 6, 5, 4), and  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 4, 3, 2) singlet and triplet structures at BP86/DZP and B3LYP/DZP levels. Tables S9 to S20: Total energies (E, in hartree), relative energies ( $\Delta E$ , in kcal/mol), numbers of imaginary vibrational frequencies (Nimg) at the M06-L/TZP level for the singlet structures of  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 6, 5, 4),  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 4, 3, 2),  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 6, 5, 4), and  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 4, 3, 2). Tables S21 to S33: Total energies (E, in hartree), relative energies ( $\Delta E$ , in kcal/mol), spin square values  $\langle S^2 \rangle$ , number of imaginary vibrational frequencies (Nimg) at the B3LYP/DZP and BP86/ DZP levels of the theory for the singlet and triplet structures of  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 6, 5, 4),  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 4, 3, 2),  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 6, 5, 4), and  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 4, 3, 2). Figure S1: The one triplet structure found for  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2)]Ni_2(CO)_4$  at the M06-L/TZP level of theory. Figures S2 to S13: Optimized singlet and triplet structures for  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 6, 5, 4),  $[MeN(PF_2)_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 4, 3, 2),  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 6, 5, 4), and  $[(Me_2P)_2CH_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 4, 3, 2) by the B3LYP and BP86 methods. Table S34: Theoretical Cartesian coordinates (in Å) for the singlet structures at the M06-L/TZP level. Table S35: Theoretical cartesian coordinates (in Å) for the singlet and triplet structures at BP86/DZP level; Complete Gaussian 09 reference (Reference 26)

# **Literature References**

- 1 R. B. King, Acc. Chem. Res., 1980, 13, 243-248.
- 2 J. F. Nixon, J. Chem. Soc. A., 1968, 2689-2692.
- 3 R. B. King, J, Gimeno, J. Chem. Commun., 1977, 142-143.
- 4 R. B. King, J, Gimeno, J. Inorg. Chem., 1978, 17, 9, 2390-2400.
- 5 M. G. Newton, R. B. King, M. Chang, J. Gimeno, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 8, 2802-2803.
- 6 M. G. Newton, R. B. King, M. Chang, J. Gimeno, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 1, 326-327.
- 7 M. G. Newton, R. B. King, M. Chang, J. Gimeno, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 10, 1632-1633.
- 8 G. Li, L. Zhou, X. Zhai, Q.-S. Li, Y. Xie, R. B. King, H. F. Schaefer, New J. Chem., 2013, 37, 10, 3294-3302.
- 9 R. B. King, K. S. Raghuveer, Inorg. Chem., 1984, 16, 23, 2482-2491.
- 10 S. I. Ignatyev, H. F. Schaefer, R. B. King, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, **122**, 9, 1989-1994.
- 11 R. J. Puddephatt, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1983, 12, 2, 99-127.
- 12 K. R. Porschke, Y. H. Tsay, C. Krüger, Inorg. Chem., 1986, 25, 12, 2097-2099.
- 13 I. Langmuir, Science, 1921, 54, 1386, 59-67.
- 14 D. M. Bose, Z. Phys., 1926, 219.
- 15 F. Reiff, Z. Anorg. Allgem. Chem., 1931, 202, 375.
- 16 N. V. Sidgwick, R. W. Bailey, Proc. Roy. Soc. London, 1934, A144, 521.
- 17 P. Pyykkö, J.Organometal. Chem., 2006, 691, 4336-4340.
- 18 M. Brynda, L. Gagliardi, P. O. Widmark, P. P. Power, B. O. Roos, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 3804–3807.
- 19 N. Sieffert, M.Bühl, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 8056-8070.
- 20 P. Schyman, W. Lai, H. Chen, Y. Wang, S. Shaik, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 20, 7977–7984.

- 21 R. D. Adams, W. C. Pearl, Y. O. Wong, Q. Zhang, M. B. Hall, J. R. Walensky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, **133**, 33, 12994–12997.
- 22 R. Lonsdale, J. Olah, A. J. Mulholland, J. N. Harvey, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 39, 15464–15474.
- 23 L. Crawford, D. J. Cole-Hamilton, E. Drent, M. Bühl, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 43, 13923-13926.
- 24 H. Zhekova, M. Krykunov, J. Autschbach, T. Ziegler, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2014, 10, 8, 3299–3307.
- 25 M. Bühl, H. Kabrede, J. Chem. Theor. Comput., 2006, 2, 5, 1282-1290.
- 26 M. J. Frisch, *et al.*, *Gaussian 09, Revision D.01*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2009 (see supporting information for details).
- 27 A. D. Becke, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 98, 7, 5648-5652.
- 28 C. Lee, W. Yang, R. G. Parr, Phys. Rev. B, 1988, 37, 2, 785-789.
- 29 A. D. Becke, Phys. Rev. A, 1988, 38, 6, 3098-3100.
- 30 J. P. Perdew, Phys. Rev. B, 1986, 33, 12, 8822-8824.
- 31 D. G. Truhlar, Y. Zhao, Theor. Chem. Acc., 2008, 120, 1-3, 215-241.
- 32 Y. Zhao, D. G. Truhlar, J. Chem. Phys., 2006, 125, 19, 194101.
- 33 T. H. Dunning, J. Chem. Phys., 1970, 53, 7, 2823-&.
- 34 S. Huzinaga, J. Chem. Phys., 1965, 42, 4, 1293-&.
- 35 A. J. H. Wachters, J. Chem. Phys., 1970, 3, 52, 1033-&.
- 36 D. M. Hood, R. M. Pitzer, H. F. Schaefer, J. Chem. Phys., 1979, 71, 2, 705-712.
- 37 B. N. Papas, H. F. Schaefer, J. Mol. Struct. (THEOCHEM), 2006, 768, 1-3, 175-181.
- 38 P. L. Barbieri, P. A. Fantin, and F. E. Jorge, Mol. Phys. 2006, 104, 18, 2945-2954.
- 39 S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich, H. J. Krieg, J. Chem. Phys., 2010, 132, 154104.
- 40 V. Jonas, W. Thiel, J. Phys. Chem., 1995, 102, 21, 8474-8484.
- 41 I. Silaghi-Dumitrescu, T. E. Bitterwolf, R. B. King, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, **128**, 16, 5342-5343.
- 42 A. W. Ehlers, G. Frenking, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 1, 423-426.

# **Graphical Abstract**

Binuclear Nickel Carbonyls with the Small Bite Chelating Diphosphine Ligands Methylaminobis(difluorophosphine) and Methylenebis(dimethylphosphine): Formation of Ni=Ni Double Bonds in Preference to Ligand Cleavage

Ling Liu, Xiuhui Zhang,\* Zesheng Li,\* Qian-shu Li, and R. Bruce King\* The structures and thermochemistry of  $(bid)Ni_2(CO)_n$  (n = 6, 5, 4) and  $(bid)_2Ni(CO)_n$  (n = 4, 3, 2) (bid =  $CH_3N(PF_2)_2$  and  $(Me_2P)_2CH_2$ ) have been investigated using density functional theory. Species with formal Ni=Ni double bonds are energetically favored over isomeric species with cleaved ligands in contrast to related iron carbonyl complexes.

 $[MeN(PF_2)_2]_2Ni_2(CO)_n (n = 4, 3, 2)$