# RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

*Accepted Manuscripts* are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

#### **Page 1 of 17 RSC Advances**

Submit to RSC Advances

## Situ Polymerized PEDOT/Fe3O4 Composite as Pt-free Counter Electrode for high efficient Dye Sensitized Solar Cells

Min Zheng, Jinghao Huo, Yongguang Tu, Jinbiao Jia, Jihuai Wu<sup>∗</sup>, Zhang Lan

Engineering Research Center of Environment-Friendly Functional Materials, Ministry of Education,

Institute of Materials Physical Chemistry, Huaqiao University, Quanzhou 362021, China

Abstract: 3,4-ethylenedioxy thiophene (EDOT) precursor solution doped by  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  was spin-casted onto fluorine doped tin oxide (FTO) glass and form poly(3,4-ethylenedioxy thiophene)  $(PEDOT)/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  hybrid films by situ polyreaction. The films are utilized as counter electrode of dye Sensitized Solar Cells (DSSCs). Photoelectric conversion efficiency (PCE) for DSSCs based on PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> varied with the different content of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in precursor solution. When the content of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  was 2mg/ml in precursor solution (PEDOT/  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ -2), reveals the best performance (8.69%). And, that of DSSC based Pt counter electrode is 8.35%. According surface micro topography and electrochemical analysis, large active areas ,consecutive electronic transmission channel and lower charge transfer resistance could be responsible for the high PCE.

#### **Introduce**:

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

Since M.Gratzel et.al made a breakthrough in  $1991<sup>1</sup>$ , dye sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) have attracted much attention due to their low cost, easy preparation, good performance and environmental benignity. The task of counter electrode is the reduction of the redox species used as catalyst and collecting electrons from external circuit. As we know, noble metal Pt reveals the optimal performance now and different kinds of CEs have been studied to replace Pt CEs, for example, Carbon materials<sup>2-4</sup>, conductive polymer materials<sup>5-8</sup>, transition metal sulfides and

<sup>∗</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 595 22693899; fax: +86 595 22692229.

E-mail address: jhwu@hqu.edu.cn (J. Wu).

diseleniums<sup>8-10</sup>, alloy metal<sup>11, 12</sup>. However, there remain some deficiencies to be overcome, such as complicated preparation, difficult large-area applications, unstability and so on. Hence, developing a CE which has low cost, a flexible procedure and high catalytic activity is significative  $13, 14$ .

 Poly (3, 4-ethylenedioxythiophene) (PEDOT) is one of the most widely investigated conducting polymer because of its attractive properties such as low band gap, remarkable environmental stability, high electrical conductivity and transparency<sup>15, 16</sup>. Moreover, Yohannes and Inganas<sup>17</sup> found this material has excellent catalytic activity for  $I^{3-}/I$  reduction and their study revealed the PEDOT could be as a CE potential material for DSSCs. However, many researchers showed that the pure PEDOT CE can not generated an satisfied cell efficiency compared with Pt-based DSSCs. So the modifications by incorporating with nanomaterials have been suggested. It was reported that PEDOT/carbon<sup>18-20</sup>, PEDOT/metal<sup>21, 22</sup>, PEDOT/metal oxide<sup>23, 24</sup>, PEDOT/metal carbides or Metal nitride composites<sup>25, 26</sup> researched as the counter electrode. Among them, the PEDOT/metal oxide showed a prominent performance. Maiaugree et al.<sup>23</sup> and Hu<sup>24</sup> and his coworkers explored PEDOT/TiO2 and PEDOT/ZnO as DSSCs counter electrodes and got photoelectric conversion efficiency (PCE) of 8.49% and 8.17%, respectively. While, little investigation has been conducted on PEDOT metal oxides as DSSC counter electrode catalysts. Fe3O4 has attracted attention because of its catalysis, high electronic conductivities, low cost and environmental benignity. Ma et al.<sup>27</sup> synthesized micron-sized Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> "flowers" and rosin carbon/Fe3O4 composite as the counter electrode and achieved 7.65% and 8.11% as values for PCE, respectively.

Herein, the composite film consisted PEDOT and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  nanoparticles was prepared with a simple in-situ polymerization and applied to the counter electrode for DSSCs. The concentration of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  can varied from 0mg/ (ml precursor solution) to 3mg/ (ml precursor solution) and the catalysis of the composite is different. The best PCE achieved 8.69% when the concentration of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was  $2mg/(ml)$  precursor solution), followed by DSSCs with Pt(8.38%).

#### **Experiment**

#### *2.1 Preparation of Fe3O4 Nanoparticles*

#### **Page 3 of 17 RSC Advances**

Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was synthesized with a wet chemical method based on hydrolysis of Fe<sup>3+</sup> and Fe<sup>2+</sup> salts in the presence of NaOH as the previous study<sup>28</sup>: FeCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (1M) dissolved in the NaOH·FeCl<sub>3</sub> (2M) aqueous solution. After stirring for 30min at room temperature, NaOH solution (2M) was added dropwise until the PH of the reaction mixture solution was adjusted to 11-12. Finally, black  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ precipitate was obtained and collected by a strong magnent. These particles were washed several times with distilled water and alcohol and dried at 80℃ in vacuum oven for 12h.

#### *2.2 Fabricate the PEDOT/Fe3O4 counter electrode*

Fe3O4 (0.1g/ml) was diffused in absolute ethyl alcohol by ultrasound equipment and mechanical agitation. EDOT (0.6g), Polyvinyl-pyrrolidone (PVP, 0.2g), pyridine(0.1ml) were dissolved in 10ml absolute ethyl alcohol, stirred for 10 mins. The solution was added dropwise into 10ml Iron (III) p-toluene-sulfonate (2g) ethanol solution with vigorously stirring. 0ml, 1ml, 2ml,3ml Fe3O4 suspension were added into 5ml the mixture solution respectively (corresponding to PEDOT,PEDOT/Fe3O4-1, PEDOT/Fe3O4-2 and PEDOT/Fe3O4-3) and all of the solutions were diluted to 10ml. Spin-coated 100ul diluted prepolymer onto the  $1.7 \times 1.7$ cm2 FTO glasses (sheet resistance  $14\Omega$  □-1, Nippon Glass Co. JP) on 500rpm for 2s by KW-4A spin processor, leaving a homogeneous prepolymer liquid films on the FTO glass, and let these films stand for 1 min . Then repeated three times and let them stand for half an hour in the air. Lastly, the films were washed with ethanol to colourless and dried at 80℃.

#### *3.3. Preparation of TiO2 photoanode and fabrication of DSSCs*

The TiO<sub>2</sub> blocking layer and the mesoporous TiO<sub>2</sub> electrode preparation are described in the literature<sup>29, 30</sup>. A dye was loaded on the film by immersing the TiO<sub>2</sub> film in a 0.3 mM dye N719 ethanol solution for 18~24 h. A dye-sensitized solar cell (DSSC) was assembled by the methods as described previously $30$ .

#### *2.4. Characterization*

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was performed by a Bruker D8 Advance X-ray diffractometer using Cu Ka radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5418$  Å), operating at 40 kV/20mA. The surface micro

#### RSC Advances **Page 4 of 17**

topography were observed by a field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, S-8000, HITACHI). The field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) photos were carried out on a JME-2100 transmission electron microscope operating at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis was observed on a monocrystalline silicon piece. All of electrochemical measurements were carried out with an electrochemical workstation (Zennium/IM6, Zahner, Germany). Photovoltaic parameters of DSSCs were recorded with KEITHLEY Model 2450 quick star guide under illumination by Newsport 91150V solar simulator  $(AM 1.5, 100 mW·cm<sup>-2</sup>).$ 

#### **3. Results**

#### *3.1. Compositions and morphology analysis*

Fig.1 shows the surface morphologies of PEDOT and PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> composite. In fig.1 (a), a relatively flat and smooth surface with crarizonae was observed. Fig .1(b)-(d) suggests that the composite films have rougher surface morphology. As we can see, when the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  content is 1mg/ml (fig .1(b)), the film is composed with 100-200nm lumps and some shot rodlike particles containing some tiny particles. Moreover, among these large particles, there are some Micropore-mesopore structure. With the increase of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ , the number of lump structures increases (fig.1 (c)). The particles in the film of PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-3 was smallest, and the large particles almost disappear. Meanwhile less porous can be observed (fig.1 (d)). Fig.1 (e) shows the section view SEM image of  $PEDOT / Fe_3O_4-2$  and one can see that the thinkness of the film is about 200nm.

To clarify the element of the composite, FTIR experiments and XRD were carried out. Fig.1 (g) reveals the XRD pattern of the final  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ , which is in good agreement with  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  (JCPDS #75-0449). In Fig.1(g), the 2 $\theta$  diffraction peaks at 30.36°, 35.8°, 43.5°, 57.5° and 63.15°, which is assigned to the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> planes of (220), (311), (400), (511), and (440), respectively.

Fig.1 (f) shows the FTIR spectroscopy of EDOT (A) and PEDOT (B). Characteristic peaks of EDOT are mainly observed at 1750cm<sup>-1</sup>-500cm<sup>-1</sup> in curve. Two strong and sharp absorption bands at 1522cm-1and 1486 cm-1 arise from asymmetrical stretching vibration and symmetrical stretching vibration of C=C in the thiophene ring, respectively. The peaks at  $1365 \text{cm}^{-1}$ , 930cm<sup>-1</sup> and 892cm<sup>-1</sup> respectively originate from the C-C stretching modes, C-S stretching vibration and unsaturated hydrocarbon keys in the thiophene ring. From curve (B) intensity of

#### **Page 5 of 17 RSC Advances**

absorption characteristic bands from PEDOT decrease and the peak position shift. In the thiophene ring, the bands due to the asymmetrical stretching vibration of C=C, the stretching modes of C-C and the stretching vibration of C-S shift to near the wavenumbers of 1515cm<sup>-1</sup>,1332 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 981 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Meanwhile, the peak of unsaturated hydrocarbon keys disappear, suggesting that polymerization pattern of EDOT is  $\alpha$ -α'.<sup>15</sup>

#### Here is Fig.1

#### *3.2 Photovoltaic performance of DSSCs*

#### Here is Fig.2

The performance for the DSSCs based on different counter electrodes was measured under one illumination (AM 1.5 G, 100mWcm<sup>-2</sup>)and PCE and fill factor are calculated according to the following equations $31$ :

$$
PCE = \frac{\text{ImaxVmax}}{\text{Pin}} \times 100\% = \frac{\text{IscVocFF}}{\text{Pin}} \times 100\% \text{ (1)}
$$
  
FF = 
$$
\frac{\text{ImaxVmax}}{\text{IscVoc}}
$$
 (2)

Where  $J_{SC}$  is short-circuit current density,  $J_{max}$  is current density at maximum power output, and  $V_{\text{OC}}$  is open-circuit voltage,  $V_{\text{max}}$  is the voltage at maximum power output. Here,  $P_{\text{in}}$  is the luminous flux which shined on the DSSCs.

In order to determine the optimal thickness of the counter, the films were prepared by spin coating

 $PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> - 2$  precursor solution from one to four times and the J-V curves are shown in fig. 2 (a) and the results are shown in Table 1. The thinner counter electrode film revealed a bad performance and as the thickness increased, the performance of counter electrode became better. However, when the number of spin-coating was over there times, the PCE of DSSC decreased. In our opinion, as precursor solution kept on the FTO was skimpy, the polymerization product (PEDOT) was also skimpy. AS a result, the film was imperfect and the catalytic properties was not satisfactory. But, too much precursor solution kept on the FTO brought a thick electrode film and the electronic transmission distance in the counter electrode became longer. In a word, spin-coating the precursor solution for there times and a about 200nm thick film  $(Fig.1(e))$  was appropriate.

The J-V curves of DSSCs based on different counter electrodes are shown in Fig.2 (b) and photovoltaic parameters are listed in Table 2. It is seen that the  $V_{OC}$ , J<sub>SC</sub>, FF, and PCE are 0,740V,

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript**

16.3 mA.cm−2, 0.615 and 7.40 %, respectively, for DSSCs with situ-Polymerized PEDOT. When the pure  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  was used as CE, the DSSC showed a poor photovoltaic performance (PCE=4.02%). Interestingly, when  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  was added in CEs as additive, the photovoltaic performance varied obviously.  $V_{OC}$  of DSSCs based PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> changed slightly, but the value of J<sub>SC</sub> and FF are dependent on the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  content in the composite CEs. Both  $J<sub>SC</sub>$  and FF went to the maximum value in the DSSCs with PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-2, as a result, the PCE of the DSSCS with PEDOT/ Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-2 is optimal (8.69%). Compared with Pt CEs (PCE=8.35%), PEDOT/  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ -2 also revealed good performance: an equal FF and a larger  $J_{SC}$  brought a slightly higher PCE value. Several reason could be under consideration including active area, catalytic activity and film conductivity for CEs. To analyze these character, Cyclic Voltammetry (CV), electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) and Tafel polarization measurements were conducted.

Here is Table 1

Here is Table 2

#### *3.3 Electrochemical measurement*

To examine the reduction reaction of  $I_3^-$  on the CEs, the cyclic voltammogram (CV) tests were carried out. The cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of samples was measured in a three-electrode electrochemical cell with an electrochemical workstation (CHI 660C, Shanghai Chenhua Co., Ltd, China) by using a CE as working electrode, a platinum wire electrode as counter electrode, a saturated Ag/AgCl electrode as reference electrode. Fig. 3(a) shows the CVs for PEDOT, PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Pt and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> electrodes at a scan rate of 50 mV⋅s<sup>-1</sup>, and two pairs of redox peaks  $(OX_1$  and  $RED_1, OX_2$  and  $RED_2$ ) can be observed in all of spectrograms, which demonstrates that all kinds electrodes can reduce  $I_3$  to  $I$  in order to ensure the dye's renewability.

The reduction reactions on cathodic electrode and the oxidation reactions on anode can be assigned as follows<sup>32</sup>:



OX<sub>2</sub> at anode:  $2I_3^-$ -----→  $3I_2 + 2e^-$ (4)

RED<sub>1</sub> at cathode:  $I_3^- + 2e^-$  -----→ 3I<sup>-</sup> (5)

$$
RED_2 \text{ at cathode: } 3I_2 + 2e^- \cdots \rightarrow 2I_3^-
$$

(6)



Usually, the first pair of redox peaks attracts our more attention: the absolute value of cathodic reduction peak current density ( $|I_{RED1}|$ ) is associated with the catalytic activity velocity and peak-to-peak separation (E<sub>PP</sub>) reflects the catalytic activity of the redox reaction<sup>3</sup>. From Fig. 3(a), the PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-2 CE has the largest cathodic peak current (0.999mA⋅cm<sup>-2</sup>), compared with PEDOT CE (0.906 mA⋅cm<sup>-2</sup>), Pt CE (0.802mA⋅cm<sup>-2</sup>), PEDOT/ Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-1 (0.936 mA⋅cm<sup>-2</sup>) and PEDOT/ Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-3(0.804 mA⋅cm<sup>-2</sup>). This means a faster redox reaction rate for  $I_3^-$  reduction on the PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-2 CE. Meanwhile, the Epp values decrease in the order of PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-2 (0.361V)  $<$  PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-3 (0.396V)  $<$  PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-1 (0.415V)  $<$  PEDOT (0.433V)  $\leq$ Pt (0.558V)  $\leq$ Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>  $(0.719V)$ . As mentioned above, the CE based PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-2 is optimal and hybrid CEs has the larger  $|I_{\text{RED1}}|$  and smaller Epp than the CE based pure material, illustrating that the moderate addition of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  can improve catalytic activity velocity and catalytic activity of the redox reaction.

Fig. 3 (b) and Fig. 3 (c) investigated the relationships between the peak current density and the square root of the scan rate for  $PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> - 2$  CE. According the two cyclic voltammeter, with increasing of scan rate, the cathodic peaks and anodic peaks current densities of  $PEDOT/Fe_3O_4-2$ CEs gradually and regularly shifted to the negative and positive directions, respectively. Meanwhile, the current density versus the (scan rate)<sup>1/2</sup> plots is almost linear, revealing that the redox reaction on PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-2 CEs is a diffusion limitation reaction, and no specific interaction between  $\Gamma/I_3^$ redox couple and PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-2 CE.<sup>33</sup>

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were also exploited to analyze the symmetrical cell. A 50 µm Surlyn film was used to separate the films and to seal the cells and an acetonitrile electrolyte containing  $0.05$  M  $I_2$ ,  $0.1$  M LiI,  $0.6$  M tetrabutyl ammonium iodide and  $0.5$ M TBP was injected into cells and a 50 µm Surlyn film was used to separate the two films and to seal the cells. The Nyquist curves of cells with PEDOT, PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> are presented in Fig.3 (d) and the detailed EIS fitting parameters, obtained from the equivalent circuit in Fig.3 (e), are displayed in Table 3.

Here is Table 3

Typically, there two semicircles in the frequency range of  $0.1$ -100KHz. The high frequency intercept of the left semicircle symbolizes the serial resistance  $(R<sub>S</sub>)$  of symmetrical cells. One can see from table.3, the variation of Rs is negligible ( $10.7$ - $12.7\Omega$ ) for all cells due to the same FTO substrate in cells. The charge transfer resistance  $(R<sub>CT</sub>)$  at the CE/electrolyte interface and the electrical double-layer capacitor (CPE) are also represented in the left semicircle. In this study, the  $R_{CT}$  value for the PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> decreased with adding Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> just 2mg/ml in the precursor solution, but increased remarkably beyond that concentration. However, the change of the CPE has a reverse trend and is more strongly influenced by the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> content. The Nernst diffusion impedance  $(Z_N)$ of the triiodide/iodide couple in the electrolyte can be observed from the right semicircle in the low-frequency range arises from and it has a similar variation tendency with  $R<sub>CT</sub>$ . Compared with Pt CE, the R<sub>CT</sub> and Z<sub>N</sub> of PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-2 are 2.22 $\Omega$ ⋅cm<sup>2</sup> and 0.822 $\Omega$ ⋅cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively and these value are comparable to the value of Pt (R<sub>CT</sub> = 1.15Ω⋅cm<sup>2</sup> and Z<sub>N</sub> = 1.13Ω⋅cm<sup>2</sup>). Furthermore, the values of 1/2CPE for PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-2 and Pt are 53.0 $\mu$ F⋅cm<sup>2</sup> and 1.20 $\mu$ F⋅cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively.

#### Here is Fig.4

Tafel polarization measurements ,which is another mean to aid investigation the interfacial charge-transfer properties of the redox couple in the electrolyte on the CEs, were carried out by using two identical electrodes in an acetonitrile electrolyte containing  $0.05$  M  $I_2$ ,  $0.1$  M LiI,  $0.6$  M tetrabutyl ammonium iodide and 0.5 M TBP. Fig.4 shows the Tafel polarization curves of PEDOT, PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-2, PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-1, PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-3, Pt, and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> CEs.

A typical Tafel curve covers there zones: diffusion zone, tafel zone and potential zone.  $J_0$  and J<sub>lim</sub> can be obtained as the intercept of the extrapolated linear region of the curve when the over-potential is zero and in the curve at high potential (horizontal Part), respectively<sup>34</sup>. From the Fig.4, The slopes of the cathodic and anodic branches of the plots in the Tafel zone for the Pt CE are highest followed by  $PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> - 2$ . In the corresponding slopes, the slopes of Tafel curve for composite had no evident changes. According to Fig.4, the  $J_0$  decreased in the order of  $\text{PEDOT/Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ -2 >Pt >  $\text{PEDOT/Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ -1>  $\text{PEDOT}$  >  $\text{PEDOT/Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ -3>Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. So the PEDOT/Fe3O4-2 electrode gives an excellent  $J_{lim}$  and  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  shows the lowest value of  $J_{lim}$ . PEDOT/Fe3O4-1, Pt, PDOT and PEDOT/Fe3O4-3 have medium values.

Here is Fig.5

#### **4 Discussion:**

SEM images, Fig.1, shows that small  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  content result in large lumps and some micropore-mesopore structure. The lumps size decreased as the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  content increased. This is probably because the small amount  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  can be totally covered with PEDOT and bonded to lumps. The space between these large lumps can form into the micropore-mesopore structure. Meanwhile, plenty PEDOT can prevent  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  particles from connecting to one another and the electronic transmission channel is more consecutive. However, when the  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  content increase, there is not enough PEDOT to bond them or cover them completely. As a result, some areas for  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  to  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  connect or some areas are exposed, as proposed in Fig.5. More active area and more consecutive electronic transmission channel are answerable to the large Jsc and PCE of DSSC with PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-2. This is consist with the result of electrochemical testing. According to CV, the CE based  $PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ -2 is optimal with a largest  $|I_{RED1}|$  and smallest Epp, which suggests a best catalytic activity velocity and catalytic activity of the redox reaction.<sup>23</sup>

For the reduction of triiodide,  $R_{CT}$  is related to the catalytic activity of different CEs. The smaller the value of  $R_{CT}$  is, the better the catalytic activity of CE is. And CPE depends on the surface area of CE: a larger value of CPE means larger surface area of CE. When the concentration of  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  in the precursor solution was 2mg/ml, the CE had the smallest  $R_{CT}$  and the largest CPE. So, PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-2 also has the best performance and the parameter of  $PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> - 2$  can be comparable to the parameter of Pt. What's more, FF is sensitive to the internal resistance in a DSSC, including both the Rs and the  $R_{CT}$ . The smaller the resistances is, the larger the value of FF is. According to the EIS, the FF of the DSSCs based PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-2 and the DSSCs based Pt are larger than that of other CEs, which mainly depends on the lower value of  $R_{CT}$ .

In Tafel curve, there are two important parameters related to the catalytic activity of the catalysts: the exchange current densities  $(J_0)$  and the limit diffusion current density  $(J<sub>lim</sub>)<sup>35</sup>$ .

$$
J_0 = \frac{RT}{nFR_{CT}} \quad (7)
$$

$$
D = \frac{J_{\text{lim}}L}{2nFC} \quad (8)
$$

In the tafel zone, larger slopes of the cathodic and anodic branches of the plots means that the electrode can trigger the reduction of  $I_3$  to  $I$  more effectively.  $J_0$  is relate to electrocatalytic activity.  $R_{CT}$  can be estimated as Eq (7) and the result trend is in good accordance with the lower charge-transfer resistance  $R_{CT}$  measured by EIS.  $J_{\text{lim}}$  is determined by the diffusion of the  $\Gamma/I_3^-$  redox couple in the electrolyte. Based on Eq  $(8)$ , large J<sub>lim</sub> reveals the large diffusion coefficient in the diffusion zone. Thus, the highest diffusion coefficient (D) value is achieved by  $PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ -2 electrode, and this proves that  $PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> - 2$  electrode holds a fast diffusion velocity of the redox couple in the electrolyte and the investigation from the Tafel polarization and EIS data are well consistent.

#### **5 Conclusion:**

The Situ polymerized PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> Composite was explored as a Pt free CE for DSSCs. This hybrid material showed excellent performance and highest power conversion efficiency (8.69%) was revealed by the DSSC with PEDOT/Fe3O4-2. In the same condition, the PCE of Pt DSSC is 8.35%. As shown by CV, EIS and Tafel respectively, the improvement of PCE should be due to the enhancement of the active area and the lower charge-transfer resistance of the film.

#### **Reference:**

1. Brian O'Regan , M. G. *Nature Photonics* **1991**, 737-740.

#### **Page 11 of 17 RSC Advances**

- 2. Liu, B.; Li, H. Y.; Die, L.; Zhang, X. H.; Fan, Z.; Chen, J. H. *Journal of Power Sources* **2009,** 186, (1), 62-66.
- 3. Lee, B.; Buchholz, D. B.; Chang, R. P. H. *Energy & Environmental Science* **2012,** 5, (5), 6941.
- 4. Wang, H.; Hu, Y. H. *Energy & Environmental Science* **2012,** 5, (8), 8182.
- 5. Li, Z.; Ye, B.; Hu, X.; Ma, X.; Zhang, X.; Deng, Y. *Electrochemistry Communications* **2009,** 11, (9), 1768-1771.
- 6. Sun, H. C.; Luo, Y. H.; Zhang, Y. D.; Li, D. M.; Yu, Z. X.; Li, K. X.; Meng, Q. B. *J Phys Chem C* **2010,** 114, (26), 11673-11679.
- 7. Wu, J.; Li, Q.; Fan, L.; Lan, Z.; Li, P.; Lin, J.; Hao, S. *Journal of Power Sources* **2008,** 181, (1), 172-176.
- 8. Zhu, C.; Min, H.; Xu, F.; Chen, J.; Dong, H.; Tong, L.; Zhu, Y.; Sun, L. *RSC Adv.* **2015,** 5, (104), 85822-85830.
- 9. Gong, F.; Wang, H.; Xu, X.; Zhou, G.; Wang, Z. S. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2012,** 134, (26), 10953-8.
- 10. Ma, X.; Yue, G.; Wu, J.; Lan, Z.; Lin, J.-Y. *RSC Adv.* **2015,** 5, (54), 43639-43647.
- 11. Chen, X.; Tang, Q.; He, B.; Lin, L.; Yu, L. *Angewandte Chemie* **2014,** 53, (40), 799-803.
- 12. He, B.; Meng, X.; Tang, Q. *ACS applied materials & interfaces* **2014,** 6, (7), 4812.
- 13. Thomas, S.; Deepak, T. G.; Anjusree, G. S.; Arun, T. A.; Nair, S. V.; Nair, A. S. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **2014,** 2, (13), 4474.
- 14. Arjunan, T. V.; Senthil, T. S. *Materials Technology: Advanced Performance Materials* **2013,** 28, (1/2), 9-14.
- 15. C. Kvarnström, H. N., S. Blomquist, H.J. Ahonen, J. Kankare, A. Ivaska, N.S. Sariciftci. *Synthetic Metals* **1999,** 101, (1-3), 66.
- 16. Damlin, P.; Kvarnström, C.; Ivaska, A. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry* **2004,** 570, (1), 113-122.
- 17. Yohannes T, I. O. *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells* **1998,** 57, 193.
- 18. Hong, W.; Xu, Y.; Lu, G.; Li, C.; Shi, G. *Electrochemistry Communications* **2008,** 10, (10), 1555-1558.
- 19. Sekkarapatti Ramasamy, M.; Nikolakapoulou, A.; Raptis, D.; Dracopoulos, V.; Paterakis, G.; Lianos, P. *Electrochimica Acta* **2015,** 173, 276-281.
- 20. Xiao, Y.; Lin, J.-Y.; Tai, S.-Y.; Chou, S.-W.; Yue, G.; Wu, J. *Journal of Materials Chemistry* **2012,** 22, (37), 19919.
- 21. Koussi-Daoud, S.; Schaming, D.; Martin, P.; Lacroix, J.-C. *Electrochimica Acta* **2014,** 125, 601-605.
- 22. Xiao, Y.; Wu, J.; Yue, G.; Lin, J.; Huang, M.; Lan, Z.; Fan, L. *Electrochimica Acta* **2012,** 85, 432-437.
- 23. Maiaugree, W.; Pimanpang, S.; Towannang, M.; Saekow, S.; Jarernboon, W.; Amornkitbamrung, V. *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids* **2012,** 358, (17), 2489-2495.
- 24. Wang, H.; Wei, W.; Hu, Y. H. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **2013,** 1, (22), 6622.
- 25. Yeh, M.-H.; Lin, L.-Y.; Li, Y.-Y.; Chang, J.; Chen, P.-W.; Lee, C.-P.; Ho, K.-C. *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics* **2012,** 51, 10NE01.
- 26. Wei, W.; Wang, H.; Hu, Y. H. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **2013,** 1, (45), 14350.
- 27. Wang, L.; Shi, Y.; Zhang, H.; Bai, X.; Wang, Y.; Ma, T. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **2014,** 2, (37), 15279.
- 28. Kiran Kisan Kokate, S. E. B., Sachin.A. Kulkarni. *INTERnational Journal of Innovative Technology and Research* **2015,** 3, (2).
- 29. Que, L.; Lan, Z.; Wu, W.; Wu, J.; Lin, J.; Huang, M. *Journal of Power Sources* **2014,** 268, 670-676.
- 30. Zheng, M.; Huo, J.; Tu, Y.; Wu, J.; Hu, L.; Dai, S. *Electrochimica Acta* **2015,** 173, 252-259.
- 31. Wu, J.; Tang, Z.; Huang, Y.; Huang, M.; Yu, H.; Lin, J. *Journal of Power Sources* **2014,** 257, 84-89.
- 32. Bi, E.; Chen, H.; Yang, X.; Peng, W.; Grätzel, M.; Han, L. *Energy & Environmental Science* **2014,** 7, (8), 2637.
- 33. Tang, Z**;** Wu, J.; Zheng, M.; Tang,Q; Liu,Q; Lin,J ; Wang,J. *RSC Adv.***2012,** 2, 4062–4064.
- 34. Yang, X. H.; Guo, J. W.; Yang, S.; Hou, Y.; Zhang, B.; Yang, H. G. *Journal of Materials Chemistry A* **2014,** 2, (3), 614.
- 35. Gong, F.; Xu, X.; Li, Z.; Zhou, G.; Wang, Z. S. *Chemical communications* **2013,** 49, (14), 1437-1446.

### **Figure and Table Captions**

Fig.1. SEM of PEDOT (a) SEM of PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-1(b) SEM of PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-2 (c) SEM of  $PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> - 3$  (d) (The bar is 100nm in the photographs) (e)section view SEM image of PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-2 (f) FTIR of EDOT and PEDOT (g) XRD of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.



![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript**

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

Fig.2 (a) Photocurrent-voltage curves of the DSSCs with different thinkness counter electrodes. (**b**) Photocurrent-voltage curves of the DSSCs based on different counter electrodes.

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

**Fig.3** (a) CVs for the Pt, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, PEDOT, PEDOT / Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-1, PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-2, PEDOT / Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-3 at the scan rate of 50 mV⋅s<sup>-1</sup>, (b) CVs for the PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-2 with different scan rates (from inner to outer: 0.025, 0.050, 0.075, 0.100, and 0.125  $V·s^{-1}$ ), (c) The redox peak current versus square root of scan rate.(d) EIS measurements of the dummy cell fabricated with two identical PEDOT, PEDOT / Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-1, PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-2, PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-3, Pt, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and equivalent circuit model for  $I/I_3^-$  reaction (the scatter plots is obtained from experimental measurement and the line is the simulate the resultant spectra and the inset is the complete EIS of the dummy cell fabricated with two identical  $Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  (e) equivalent circuit model for  $\Gamma/I^{3-}$  reaction.

![](_page_14_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Fig.4.** Tafel curves of the symmetrical dummy cells fabricated with two identical electrodes

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

Fig.5 Schematic proposing PEDOT/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particle surfaces.

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Table1** Photovoltaic parameters of the DSSCs with different thinkness counter electrodes.

![](_page_16_Picture_102.jpeg)

**Table2** Photovoltaic parameters of the DSSCs with different counter electrodes.

![](_page_16_Picture_103.jpeg)

	Test area	$R_{S}$	$R_{CT}$	1/2Cd1	$Z_N$
Electrodes	(cm <sup>2</sup> )	$(\Omega$ ·cm <sup>2</sup> )	$(\Omega$ ·cm <sup>2</sup> )	$(\mu \text{F} \cdot \text{cm}^2)$	$(\Omega$ ·cm <sup>2</sup> )
<b>PEDOT</b>	0.342	11.2	6.96	3.75	1.60
$PEDOT/Fe3O4-1$	0.350	12.6	5.27	11.8	0.960
$PEDOT/Fe3O4-2$	0.349	12.7	2.22	53.0	0.822
PEDOT/Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -3	0.359	10.7	10.8	3.64	1.63
Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	0.432	11.1	799	1.84	1668
Pt	0.349	12.14	1.16	1.20	1.13

**Table 3** Electrochemical parameters for various counter electrodes