

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

1	Functional, rheological and sensory properties of probiotic milk chocolate produced
2	in a ball mill
3	
4	
5	Danica B. Zarić ¹ , Maja Lj. Bulatović ^{2,*} , Marica B. Rakin ² , Tanja Ž. Krunić ³ , Ivana S.
6	Lončarević ⁴ , Biljana S. Pajin ⁴
7	
8	
0	IIIIS Tahna avaatta da a Rasaarah Davalanmant Cantar Patainiaki drum 22, 11000 Palarada
9 10	Sarbia
10	Serbia.
11	² Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade, Karnegijeva 4, 11000 Belgrade,
12	Serbia.
13	³ Innovation centre Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade, Karnegijeva 4,
14	11000 Belgrade, Serbia
15	⁴ Faculty of Technology, University of Novi Sad, Bulevar cara Lazara 1, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia.
16	
17	
18	*Corresponding author: Maja Lj. Bulatović, e-mail address: mbulatovic@tmf.bg.ac.rs
19	Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, University of Belgrade,
20	Department of Biochemical Engineering and Biotechnology,
21	Address: Karnegijeva 4, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia
22	Phone: +381113303775
23	Fax: +381113370387
24	
25	
26	

27 Abstract

The aim of this study was to investigate the survival of probiotics (*Lactobacillus acidophilus* NCFM, *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* HN001 and *Bifidobacterium lactis* HN019) in milk chocolate masses prepared at temperatures 35 °C and 40 °C. The influence of probiotics and preparation temperature on rheology, particle size distribution and sensory properties of chocolates, was examined during the 6 months of storage at 20 ± 2 °C.

33 Inoculation temperature of 40 °C significantly improves the rheological and 34 sensory properties of probiotic chocolate, as well as surviving of L. acidophilus NCFM 35 and L. rhamnosus HN001 strains. After 6 months of storage, the survival of these strains 36 was above 90%, with viable cell count of about 8.1 log (CFU/g). Inoculation temperature 37 of 40 °C provides higher scores of overall sensory quality (4.52-4.68), higher quality 38 category (excellent), lower maximal viscosity (for 1.2 Pa·s) of chocolates, than 39 temperature 35 °C. Compared to the chocolate without probiotics, those inoculated at 40 40 °C achieved less increase in volume weighted mean diameter distribution (average 0.8%) 41 than chocolates inoculated at 35 °C.

Based on the results reported in this paper, seeding of the probiotics in industrial conditions can be done in the mixing tank (at 40 °C) before the phase of chocolate shaping. Addition of probiotics at this stage facilitates the manufacturing process, improves the overall quality of chocolate and preserves the probiotics as key component of this type of product.

47

48 Key words: milk chocolate; ball mill; probiotics; sensory analysis; rheological
49 properties.

51 Food products containing probiotics are one of the largest markets of functional 52 foods, and the most accessible are dairy products. Probiotics are usually lactic acid 53 bacteria naturally present in food. Development of the food industry and various hygienic 54 treatments used during food processing, leads to significantly reduced contact of humans with microorganisms, which can be one of the reasons of a growing number of allergies.¹ 55 56 Expanding knowledge about nutrition increased the demand for healthy food, and 57 introduced probiotics as desirable food components. There are nearly 20 known bacterial 58 species, which beneficially affect the balance of more than 400 different microorganisms that naturally inhabit the human digestive system.² Various types of probiotic bacteria 59 include *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* as the most used species.³ Many of these have 60 already been successfully included in the production of fermented dairy products, but 61 62 their use in confectionery industry is still a challenge. Numerous studies conducted in this 63 area lead to the discovery of new probiotic strains. Recently, a few new strains, identified 64 Lactobacilus acidophilus NCFM, Lactobacillus rhamnosus HN001 as and 65 Bifidobacterium lactis HN019, were characterized to have immune-modulating and antiinfection properties as well as being contributors to the overall bowel health.⁴⁻⁶ These 66 strains do not degrade gastric mucin in vitro⁷, nor do they express toxic or pathogenic 67 effects on humans.6, 8-10 68

Applying probiotics to confectionery products may offer a good alternative to dairy products. Beside the necessary recommended dose of probiotics of at least 10⁶-10⁷ CFU per gram¹¹, the viability of probiotics during storage of confectionery products is a special issue that needs to be dealt with considering the sensitivity of these

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

73 microorganisms to aerobic conditions. As these products are often exposed to oxygen, 74 researchers have so far studied survivability of probiotic strains during storage of confectionery products, especially chocolate, at various temperatures. Nebesnv et al.¹² 75 76 examined the viability of *Lactobacillus casei* and *Lactobacillus paracasei* strains in dark 77 chocolate with isomalt and aspartame as sweeteners. After 12 months storage of chocolate at various temperatures, strains survival was 89-94% at 4 °C, 80-87% at 18 °C 78 and 60-67% at 30 °C. Based on the findings reported by Aragon-Alegro et al.¹³, during 79 80 the short storage period of 28 days, as well as the influence of prebiotic inulin, increase in 81 viable cell count of Lactobacillus paracasei strain incorporated in chocolate mousse 82 could be achieved.

83 Chocolate is a complex rheological system. It can be described as a suspension 84 consisting of nonfat particles (sugar, cocoa solids and milk powder particles) dispersed in cocoa butter as a continuous fat phase.¹⁴ The chocolate mass is a non-Newtonian fluid. 85 86 defined by plastic flow, characterized by yield stress necessary to suppress inner 87 resistance so that the chocolate mass can start flowing and also represents the inner resistance of the system in further flow.¹⁵ In addition, the chocolate mass belongs to 88 pseudoplastic materials, showing thixotropic and rheopectic properties.^{16,17} Increasing 89 90 shear rate leads to a gradual destruction of the chocolate mass suspension structure i.e. 91 bond breaking in crystal packing. Rheological properties of chocolate such as Casson 92 plastic viscosity, shear stress and yield stress depend on the content of water and fat in 93 the chocolate mass, concentration and structure of emulsifiers, particle size distribution 94 and their type and concentration, temperature, conching time, tempering conditions and thixotropy.¹⁸⁻²⁰ 95

96 The composition of chocolate has a significant influence on its rheology. Study conducted by Afoakwa et al.²¹ proved that the increase of an average particle size results 97 98 in decrease of Casson plastic viscosity, shear stress, yield stress and apparent viscosity. 99 This reduction is more obvious in lower fat contents, while it is not registered in fat 100 contents of 30% and more. In addition, Schantz and Rohm²² determined the effects of 101 varied mixtures of lecithin and polyglycerol polyricinoleate (PGPR) on the flow 102 parameters of melted chocolate in order to obtain the optimum emulsifier blend. They 103 found that the PGPR : lecithin ratio in dark chocolate should be 50:50, while in milk chocolate it should be 25:75. The study of Sokmen and Gunes²³ reported that maltitol 104 105 increases yield stress, isomalt increases plastic viscosity while xylitol increases flow index. Farzanmehr and Abbasi²⁴ evaluated the effects of sugar substitutes on rheological 106 107 properties of prebiotic milk chocolate. They showed that sugar substitutes in chocolate 108 recipes lead to reduced hardness and increased moisture. Beside the above mentioned, 109 probiotics are additional ingredients that significantly affects the properties of chocolate. According to the literature reports^{25,26}, incorporation of lyophilised probiotics increases 110 111 the rheological parameters of chocolate and negatively influences its flow properties. In 112 addition, due to the grinding stage, friction, and high temperatures that can affect the 113 viability, probiotic bacteria can not be added during composing the milk chocolate - at the beginning of the industrial process. Therefore, the achievement of satisfactory 114 115 rheology without probiotics damage, demands the incorporation of probiotic bacteria at 116 the process stage that allows full homogenization of the chocolate mass. Due to the above 117 mentioned, seeding of bacteria in industrial conditions could be done in the mixing tank

(where the temperature is 40 °C) before the phase of chocolate shaping or in the tempering machine where the chocolate mass is mixed at 35 °C.

120 Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine the surviving of probiotic strains 121 Lactobacillus acidophilus NCFM, Lactobacillus rhamnosus HN001 and Bifidobacterium 122 *lactis* HN019, inoculated in milk chocolate at temperatures 35 and 40 °C, after 6 months 123 of storage at 20 ± 2 °C. The impact of probiotics on particle size distribution, rheological 124 and sensory properties of milk chocolate was also examined through a comparative 125 review of milk chocolate with and without probiotics. Comparison the qualitative 126 parameters of probiotic milk chocolates, when the probiotics were seeded at 35 °C and 40 127 °C, and determination the exact stage of production to carry out the probiotics 128 inoculation, provides important information on the production of probiotic chocolate with 129 improved quality.

- 130 **2. Material and methods**
- 131 **2.1 Material**
- 132 2.1.1 Milk chocolate mass

Raw materials used in the production of chocolate mass were: sugar 41.5%
(Crvenka AD, Serbia), dairy milk powder 25.4% (fat 25%, protein 28%) (Imlek, Serbia),
cocoa butter 18.9% (Theobroma, The Netherlands), cocoa mass 10.4% (Cargill, Ghana),
skimmed milk powder (fat 1.7%, protein 35%) (Imlek, Serbia), lecithin 0.5%
(Soyaprotein AD, Serbia) and flavoring 0.06% (Etol, Slovenia). The milk chocolate mass
was 1.1 ± 0.06% moisture.

139 2.1.2 Probiotic microorganisms

140 Concentrated and freeze-dried probiotic strains Lactobacillus acidophilus NCFM (Howaru[®] Dophilus), *Bifidobacterium lactis* HN019 (Howaru[®] Bifido) and *Lactobacillus* 141 rhamnosus HN001 (HOWARU[®] Rhamnosus) were obtained from Danisco, (Madison, 142 143 WI, USA). The lyophilized strains were inoculated in the proportions 2.5 DCU/kg, 2.5 DCU/kg (respectively), 144 DCU/kg and 5.0 according to the manufacturer recommendations, to obtain a functional level of probiotics (at least 10^{6} - 10^{7} CFU/g). 145

146 **2.2 Methods**

147 2.2.1 Production of milk chocolate mass

148 The chocolate mass was produced in the laboratory ball mill with a homogenizer 149 (capacity 5 kg). The raw materials were measured and simultaneously dosed into the 150 homogenizer (except 10% of cocoa butter, which is dosed 10 min before taking out the mass from the ball mill) and mixed for 20 min at a temperature of 50 °C and mixer 151 152 rotation speed of 50 rpm. The homogenous mass was then transferred into the ball mill 153 (ball diameter 9.1 mm; ball mass 30 kg; mixer rotation speed 50 rpm; mill inner diameter 154 0.250 m; height 0.31 m.; volume of space provided for the balls and 5 kg of chocolate mass is 0.0152 m³). Applied refining time in the mill was 90 min. 155

In order to avoid exposure of probiotic bacteria to high temperatures and harmful effects of mechanical shear during milling in the ball mill, probiotics were introduced into the chocolate mass after milling, i.e. before the pre-crystallization and molding phases, when the temperature was reduced. The probiotics were added at temperatures 35 and 40 °C, in the concentrations recommended by the manufacturer. The temperature of the chocolate mass with probiotics was sustained in the mixer in a water bath for 15 min, wixing with a minimal number of rotations of the blender.

163 After the probiotics addition the pre-crystallization process was carried out. Pre-164 crystallization of the chocolate mass was performed in the laboratory precrystallizer, a modified Brabender farinograph.^{27,28} The process of pre-crystallization was controlled 165 166 indirectly by the changes of the mass resistance during mixing, which was registered on a 167 force/time diagram - the thermorheogram. Torque value is a criterion for the viscous 168 behavior of the chocolate mass and is dependent on the crystallization extent of the mass 169 in question. The pre-crystallization temperature of 28 °C was applied. The pre-170 crystallized mass was then molded, cooled and removed from the forms. The final 171 products were packed in aluminium foil and marked in blank paper / cardboard, and then 172 stored at 20 ± 2 °C.

The chemical composition of the final milk chocolate tablets, determined using standard AOACC methods²⁹, was as follows: $9.41 \pm 0.10\%$ protein, $30.85 \pm 0.07\%$ fat, $53.82 \pm 0.17\%$ sugar and $1.1 \pm 0.05\%$ moisture. Symbols of the milk chocolate samples prepared in this study are listed in Table 1.

177 2.2.2 The distribution of particle size (Mastersizer)

Influence of milling time on particle size distribution in milk chocolate samples was determined by Mastersizer 2000 (Malvern Instruments, England) laser diffraction particle size analyzer equipped with a Hydro 2000 μ P dispersion unit. Molten milk chocolate samples were dispersed in sunflower oil at ambient temperature (20 ± 2 °C) and added until adequate obscuration was obtained (10-20%). The results were quantified as volume-based particle size distribution, using Mastersizer 2000 Software.

184 2.2.3 Rheological properties of the chocolate mass

185 Rheological properties were determined in the rotation viscometer RheoStress 186 (600 HP, Haake, Germany), according to the IOCCC method³⁰, at the temperature of $40 \pm$ 187 0.1 °C. Flow curves were determined using the method of the hysteresis loop within the 188 shear rate interval of 1-60 1/s. Shear rate was increased from 1 to 60 s⁻¹ in the period of 189 240 s, then maintained at the maximum speed of 60 s⁻¹ for 60 s, and the decreasing of 190 shear rate from 60 to 1 s⁻¹ also lasted for 240 s.

191 2.2.4 Sensory analyses

192 Acceptance testing

193 Sensory analysis of probiotic chocolates was conducted after 180 days of storage according to the method describe by Hemsworth et al.³¹, with slight modifications. Sixty 194 195 untrained panellists (35 being women and 25 men, age between 25 and 55) from the 196 faculty, including teachers, students and staff were randomly selected and invited to 197 participate in the sensory evaluation of probiotic chocolates. The participants were asked 198 to assess the appearance, structure, chewing, taste and odour of the seven different 199 chocolates: A35, B35, R35, A40, B40, R40 and control sample without probiotics 200 marked as 0. Each questionnaire consists of four questions: name, age, sex and 201 appearance, structure, chewing, taste and odour for seven consumed products.

The samples were presented monadically at 20 ± 2 °C, in individual packs coded with 3-digit numbers, serving 20 g of samples to each panellist. The participants were given seven samples at a time at room temperature (20 ± 2 °C), a pencil, a questionnaire and a glass of cold water to rinse their mouths between samples. They have been asked to mark an value which best represents how much they liked or disliked each of seven samples with respect to appearance, structure, chewing, taste and odour, using a 5-point

scale ranging from 1 = dislike it very much to 5 = like. The sensory analysis was consisted of 420 questionnaires distributed into 7 sessions (7 samples). The obtained scores of these parameters were multiplied by a defined coefficient of importance (Pajin 2009), and the category of quality was defined based on the total number of points.

212 *Quantitative descriptive analysis (QDA)*

213 Detailed sensory analysis of probiotic chocolates was done by conducting 214 quantitative descriptive analysis (QDA) with a trained sensory panel according to the method describe by De Pelsmaeker et al.³², with slight modifications. The panel consisted 215 216 of 15 assessors (8 being women and 7 men, age between 25 and 55) selected from a pool 217 of the 60 possible candidates which were included in acceptance testing. Panellists were 218 selected based on their abilities to identify and describe differences in chocolates and 219 their recognizing the presence of different ingredients. They participated in a 3 month 220 training period when the sensory descriptors including texture quality parameters 221 (hardness, brittleness, dryness, stickiness and toughness), as well as melting parameters 222 (melting point, melt rate, cooling, meltability) were chosen, defined and measured. The 223 panellists were trained over a period of 15 h to perform quantitative descriptive analysis.

During the QDA test each panellist received the seven chocolate samples (20 g) at a time, in individual packs coded with 3-digit numbers, in random order, a pencil, a questionnaire and a glass of cold water to rinse their mouths between samples. The samples were presented monadically at 20 ± 2 °C. Each questionnaire consists of questions: name, age, sex as well as hardness, brittleness, dryness, stickiness, toughness, melting point, melt rate, cooling, meltability for seven consumed products. The panellists have been asked to mark an value which best represents the tested quality parameter for

_	
1	
	\mathbf{O}
÷	_
	O.
	10
	σ
2	
1	Ο
	Ä
	U
1	
	1
	¥.
	C)
	X
	U
_	
	n
	D
	~
	U
	>
	O
ſ	
2	
	2
	רי רי

231 each of seven samples, on the 5-point scale ranging from 1 = low to 5 = high. The ODA 232 analysis was consisted of 105 questionnaires distributed into 7 sessions (7 samples). 233 Prior to serving in both analyses (acceptance testing and QDA) all samples were 234 subjected to counts of yeasts, molds and coliforms to evaluate the hygienic and sanitary 235 conditions of the products. 236 All experiments were performed in compliance with the Serbian Law on Food 237 Safety ("Official Gazette RS", No 41/09) and Guidelines of Regulation on General and 238 Special Requirements for Food Hygiene in Any Phase of Production, Processing and 239 Distribution ("Official Gazette RS", No 72/10) of Serbian Ministry of Agriculture. The 240 protocol was reviewed and approved by an Accredited Microbiological Laboratory 241 Faculty of Technology, University of Novi Sad. The informed consent was obtained from

243 manufacturer Danisco, (Madison, WI, USA).

242

244 2.2.5. Viability of probiotic bacteria

The amount of 1 g of investigated chocolate samples was dissolved in 9 mL of sodium chloride solution (0.85%, w/v) at 40 °C, and mixed uniformly. Subsequent serial dilutions were prepared and viable cell count was determined using pour plate technique on MRS agar.³³ Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 48 h in anaerobic conditions. Probiotic bacteria were enumerated as colony forming units per gram of chocolate and expressed as log (CFU/g). Viability tests were performed after 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150 and 180 days of storage at 20 ± 2 °C.

all subjects. All starter cultures are commercial cultures which safety is confirmed by

252 2.2.6 Statistical analysis

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

All experiments were performed in triplicate. Mean values were analyzed using one-way ANOVA. The Tukey post hoc test was performed for means comparison (OriginPro 8, Origin Lab Co., Northampton, USA). Differences were considered as significant at P < 0.05.

257 **3. Results and Discussion**

258 **3.1 Viability of probiotic bacteria**

Probiotic microorganisms are the most sensitive factor in the process of probiotic chocolate production³⁴. Their viability is the crucial parameter related to achieving and maintaining the functional properties of probiotic chocolate. Changes in viable cell count of probiotic bacteria in chocolate samples, prepared at different temperatures, during storage at 20 ± 2 °C, are presented in Fig. 1.

As indicated in Fig. 1, initial viable cell count of probiotic bacteria ranged from 264 7.0 to 7.97 log (CFU/g). It was at a satisfactory level ($\geq 10^6$ CFU/g) recommended for 265 266 probiotic products in all chocolate samples. Gradual decrease of viable cell count was 267 observed in both chocolate samples cultured with strain *B. lactis* HN019, regardless the 268 processing temperature, during the whole storage period. This behavior could be 269 explained by the fact that this strain is highly sensitive to oxygen exposure. The mixing 270 phase (which is characterized by the presence of high oxygen level) applied during the 271 chocolate production could be the reason of its poor viability. It could be concluded that 272 strain B. lactis HN019 is capable to survive in chocolate, at a desirable level, for no 273 longer than 60 days of storage at 20 ± 2 °C. Observed results are slightly lower than those reported in literature²⁵ concerning the viability of *B. lactis* HN019 strain, probably due to 274 275 the lower initial cell count. Based on these findings, it could be concluded that initial cell

count is a crucial parameter for achieving functionality of probiotic chocolate culturedwith the high sensitive *B. lactis* HN019 strain.

278 On the other hand, viable cell count in samples cultured with strains L. rhamnosus and L. acidophilus remained at a satisfactory level, even greater than recommended ($\geq 10^6$ 279 280 CFU/g), during the whole storage period. Viable cell count gradually increases during the 281 90 days of storage, reaching the maximal viable cell count of about 8.85 log CFU/g in 282 samples A40 and R40. The obtained results are consistent with those reported in literature²⁵ concerning the viability of *L. acidophilus* NCFM, where the viable cell count 283 284 remains at the same level of about 8.6 log CFU/g during the whole storage period. It is interesting to note that viable cell count reported in literature²⁵ gradually decreases during 285 286 the 90 days in contrast to the result obtained in the present study. It could be explained by 287 the fact that initial viable cell count reported in our study was not at its maximal level, 288 which allowed the subsequent growth of L. rhamnosus and L. acidophilus strains.

Processing temperature has significant influence on viable cell count in samples A40 and R40. Compared to the other samples, after 90 days of storage, samples A40 and R40 have significantly (P < 0.05) greater viable cell count. The same behavior was observed in these samples after 120 days of storage. Also, comparing results related to cell growth in production treatment carried out at temperature 30-32 °C reported in literature²⁵, it could be said that temperature of 40 °C had significantly positive influence on viability of *L. acidophilus* strain, probably by improving the strain activity.

Based on the observed results, it could be concluded that chocolate cultured with *L. rhamnosus* and *L. acidophilus* strains at 40 °C, exhibits high functional quality, and these strains express a great potential for use in production of probiotic chocolate.

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

299 **3.2** The distribution of particle size (Mastersizer)

300 Laser diffraction was applied to measure the particle size distribution (PSD) of the 301 milk chocolate products. Optimization of PSD in chocolate requires consideration of 302 palate sensitivity. For example, chocolates milled to a particle size range of 18-25 μ m, 303 will have a smoother mouth-feel and texture as compared to a chocolate with a particle 304 size 30 µm or above, which will be perceived "coarse or gritty" in the mouth. EU 305 chocolate has been described as having a fineness of 15-22 µm making their target consumers more used to a smother mouth-feel.¹⁴ PSD parameters obtained for milk 306 307 chocolates produced in the present study are shown in Fig. 2 and Table 2.

308 The shape of the histograms (Fig. 2) is in accordance with literature data.²¹ Due to 309 the presence of probiotics, which complicate the structure, two expressive peaks of about 310 7 μ m and 13 μ m have been seen in all chocolate with probiotics compared to milk 311 chocolate without probiotics. Chocolate R35 and B35 have pronounced peaks in relation 312 to the chocolate mass R40 and B40 due to easier mixing in of probiotics at higher 313 inoculation temperatures. Inoculation temperature has greater influence on the shape of 314 the histogram, than the type of probiotic cultures.

Given that all the chocolate had the same composition, milling time, concentration of emulsifiers and the same precrystallization temperature, it could be said that difference in particle size distribution is due to the presence of certain probiotics and the temperature at which they were inoculated.

As shown in Table 2, the volume weighted mean diameter (D) is the lowest in
chocolate without probiotics, 13.26 μm and in chocolate with probiotics it ranges from
13.9-16.17 μm. Chocolate A40 has the lowest increase of the volume weighted mean

diameter distribution of 4.8% and the chocolate R40 the highest (21.9%) compared with
chocolate without probiotics. On average, chocolates from series 40 have a 15.3% larger
volume weighted mean diameter distribution, compared to chocolate without probiotics.
The average increase in chocolates A35, B35 and R35 is of 16.1%. The lowest increase
was in chocolate B35 (14.12 µm), and the highest in R35 (16.08 µm).

327 Parameter d (0.5) (Table 2) for chocolate without probiotics is 9.06 μ m, meaning 328 that 50% of the volume distribution of samples are smaller than particular d (0.5) value. 329 The value of parameter d (0.5) in the chocolates A40, B40 and R40 is in the range of 9.26 330 to 10.68 µm and the average increase in relation to chocolate without probiotics is 331 10.66%. In chocolate series 35 the value of parameter d (0.5) ranges from 9.66 to 11.01 332 μ m and the average increase compared to chocolate without probiotics is 15.12%. The 333 value of parameter d (0.9) in the chocolates A40, B40 and R40 is in the range of 32.27 to 334 37.27 μ m and the average increase compared to chocolate without probiotics is 5.0 μ m. 335 In chocolates A35, B35 and R35 the value of parameter d (0.9) ranges from 32.33 to 336 $37.23 \ \mu\text{m}$ and the average increase compared to chocolate without probiotics was $5.1 \ \mu\text{m}$. 337 The differences in particle size distribution are minimal in all chocolates, but it was 338 noticed that inoculation temperature has greater influence on particle size distribution 339 than the used probiotic culture.

340

3.3 Rheological properties of the chocolate mass

Rheological parameters such as Casson viscosity and Casson yield value of chocolate masses are of key importance for the manufacturing technology. In industrial processes, these quantities should be as low as possible to decrease resistance during unit processes like mixing or pumping.¹⁴

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

same way.

Fig. 3 shows the thixotropic loops of the milk chocolate samples. All samples of chocolate with and without probiotics have similar yield value curves. Surfaces of thixotropic loops are larger in all samples of chocolate with probiotics, which indicates a greater complexity and lower homogeneity of the system¹⁷ in relation to the chocolate without probiotics. It is assumed that the technique of probiotics mixing is the reason. In addition, the surfaces of thixotropic loops are larger in samples in with probiotics inoculated at 35 °C compared to the samples with probiotics inoculated at 40 °C. Based on this finding, it could be said that it is much easier to carry out the incorporation and homogenization of probiotics at temperature of 40 °C. This significantly facilitates the inoculation of probiotics to the chocolate mass in industrial conditions, because the chocolate mass, before shaping and tempering, is in a container with a mixer at 40 °C. It is also interesting to note that in chocolate samples R35 and A35 there is no statistically significant difference (P < 0.05) in the thixotropic loop, indicating that strains L. rhamnosus and L. acidophilus inoculated at 35 °C, affect the chocolate rheology in the Table 3 shows the rheological parameters determined by static measurements.

360 According to published data²¹ yield stress and viscosity by Casson decrease with 361 362 increasing average particle size, which is in line in the series of probiotic chocolates in 363 which the probiotic cultures were inoculated at 40 °C. In comparison to the chocolate 364 sample without probiotics, chocolate samples A40, B40 and R40 have lower viscosity 365 and yield stress. The range of viscosity in this series of chocolate samples is from 2.25 to 366 2.49 Pa·s, and the yield stress from 19.93-23.71 Pa. It is interesting to note that smallest 367 particle size of the chocolate sample A40 (Table 2) lead to the highest viscosity and yield

368 stress (Table 3) of the sample, while the largest particle size of the chocolate sample R40 369 (Table 2) lead to the lowest viscosity and yield stress (Table 3) of sample. In addition, the 370 effect of particle size is much more pronounced on yield stress (an increase of 15.94%) than on viscosity (an increase of 9.63%), which is consistent with literature data.²¹ 371 372 Chocolate samples A35, B35 and R35 have lower yield stress, and higher 373 viscosity compared to the chocolate without probiotics. The range of viscosity is 3.36-374 3.69 Pa·s, and yield stress from 15.68-19.93 Pa. The highest yield stress and viscosity 375 were observed in chocolate sample A35, and the lowest in sample B35 (Table 3). 376 However, viscosity of samples with L. acidophilus and B. lactis inoculated at 35 or 40 °C 377 was lower than viscosity of samples inoculated at 30-32 °C reported in the literature²⁵. 378 Based on the observed results, it could be said that temperature increasing positively 379 affects rheological parameters of probiotic chocolate and leads to the flow properties 380 improvement. On the other hand, the lowest volume weighted mean diameter (Table 2) 381 was observed in chocolate sample B35. In contrast to the samples prepared at 40 °C, 382 expected dependence, smallest diameter - largest rheological values, has not been 383 achieved and the reasons should be sought in the manner of incorporation of probiotics at 384 temperature 35 °C.

385 **3.4 Sensory analysis**

During the storage of probiotic milk chocolate the basic problem that can occur is post - acidification that leads to impaired sensory properties, as well as cell death by which the product may lose its probiotic property. With post - acidification, there was a sour taste and odour due to the emerging of lactic acid.³⁵ Due to the possibility that probiotic bacteria disrupt the crystallization of cocoa butter and the possible influence of

U

their granulation on textural properties (chewiness, graininess and meltability of chocolate), the texture of chocolate with probiotics, during this experiment, has thoroughly been assessed. To examine the sensory quality of probiotic chocolate samples after 180 days of storage, the acceptance testing and the quantitative descriptive analysis (QDA) methods were used. Comparative illustration of sensory scores of chocolate samples, obtained in the acceptance testing was shown in Table 4.

397 According to the obtained scores, overall sensory quality of all chocolate samples 398 with probiotics is in the domain of excellent or very good after 180 days of storage at 20 399 \pm 2 °C (Table 4). In relation to the chocolate without probiotics, the chocolates with 400 probiotics inoculated at 35 °C have lower scores and are even in the lower quality 401 category (VG). The chocolate samples with probiotics inoculated at 40 °C had 402 significantly (P < 0.05) higher scores than the chocolate without probiotics. Chocolate 403 samples, with probiotics inoculated at 40 °C are not statistically significant different (P >404 0.05) in relation to the chocolate without probiotics for surface properties and odour.

405 Rating taste and odour, as the most important sensory quality parameters, is of 406 particular importance, because a major change was expected in these sensory parameters. 407 Values for odour for all chocolate samples deviated in the range of 0.91 to 0.97, actually 408 only 6.18%, while the taste changed within the range of 1.60 to 1.72, or only 6.97%. The 409 greatest variation was in chewing, it was 41.17%, then 25% for chocolate breaking and 410 finally chocolate surface appearance 13.04%. It is interesting that the auditors gave very 411 low marks to samples A35 and R35 for chewing and breaking, and these are actually the 412 chocolate samples that had the highest viscosities by Casson.

The rheology confirmed what the sensory examiners concluded by analysis. Low scores for chocolates A35 and R35 for breaking and chewing affected the overall rating and category. In general overall assessment, the chocolates with probiotics inoculated at 35 °C, achieved the lower grades (average by 6.86%), compared to chocolate without probiotics. The overall rating of chocolates with probiotics inoculated at 40 °C was higher on average by 2.14%, compared to the chocolate without probiotics.

Within the QDA method, the sensory examiners analyzed: hardness, brittleness,
dryness, stickiness, toughness, density, melting point, melt rate, cooling, meltability in all
chocolate samples, and obtained results are shown in Fig. 4.

It is obvious that regardless of the probiotic strain, the chocolates from the series 35 (blue circle) had lower sensory evaluations for all parameters. Among all samples, chocolate B35 had the lowest parameters for: dryness, stickiness, as well as toughness, melting point, melt rate, cooling, meltability. On the other hand, chocolate R40 had top grades for the parameters: hardness, brittleness, melting point melt rate, cooling, meltability, while the chocolate A40 for dryness and density, and chocolate without probiotics for stickiness and toughness.

Based on the observed results it could be said that the quality parameters are strain and temperature dependant. Temperature 40 °C leads to the preparation of chocolates with higher values of quality parameters than temperature 35 °C.

432 **4.** Conclusions

Based on the findings reported in this paper, it can be concluded that chocolate
mass can be successfully enriched with probiotic strains *Lactobacillus acidophilus*NCFM, *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* HN001 and *Bifidobacterium lactis* HN019.

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

RSC Advances

Chocolate samples prepared with *L. acidophilus* NCFM and *L. rhamnosus* HN001
strains at 40 °C, achieved top grades for the hardness, brittleness, melting point, melt rate,
cooling, meltability, dryness, density, survivability and these strains should be selected
for high quality probiotic chocolate production rather than *B. lactis* HN019.

440 The inoculation temperature of 40 °C significantly improves the rheological and 441 sensory properties of probiotic chocolate, as well as the surviving of L. acidophilus 442 NCFM and L. rhamnosus HN001 strains during the storage. After 6 months, the survival 443 of these strains was above 90% with viable cell count of about 8.1 log (CFU/g). 444 Chocolates with probiotics inoculated at 40 °C have significantly higher scores of overall 445 sensory quality and are in the higher quality category (4.52-4.68, excellent) than 446 chocolates inoculated at 35 °C (3.94-4.15, very good). In addition, compared to the 447 chocolate without probiotics, those inoculated at 40 °C achieved less increase in volume 448 weighted mean diameter distribution (average 0.8%) than chocolates inoculated at 35 °C. 449 Chocolates inoculated with probiotics at 40 °C achieved lower maximal viscosity (for 1.2 450 Pa·s) than chocolates inoculated at 35 °C, which considerably facilitates further phase of 451 chocolate processing.

Based on the presented results, seeding of the probiotics in industrial conditions can be done in the mixing tank (at 40 °C) before the phase of chocolate shaping. Addition of probiotics at this stage of industrial production facilitates the manufacturing process, improves the overall quality of chocolate and preserves the probiotics as key component of this type of product.

457 Acknowledgement

458	This work was supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological
459	Development (Project numbers: TR 31014 and TR 31017).
460	
461	
462	
463	
464	
465	
466	
467	
468	References
469	1. J. Bader, M. K. Popović and U. Stahl, in Encyclopaedia of Life Support Systems
470	(EOLSS), ed. H W Doelle, S Rokem, M Berovic, Eolss Publishers, Oxford, 1st edn,
471	2012, pp 2-3.
472	2. V. Gupta and R. Garg, Indian J. Med. Microbiol., 2009, 27, 202-209.
473	3. M. C. Collado, in Handbook of Probiotics and Prebiotics, ed. Y.K. Lee, S. Salminen,
474	Wiley-Interscience Inc, New York, 2nd edn, 2008, pp 257-376.
475	4. H. S. Gill, Int. Dairy J., 1998, 8, 535-544.
476	5. J. Prasad, H. S. Gill, J. Smart and P. Gopal, Int. Dairy J., 1999, 8, 993-1002.
477	6. Q. Shu, H. Lin, K. J. Rutherfurd, S. G. Fenwick, J. Prasad, P. K. Gopal and H. S. Gill,
478	Microbiol. Immunol., 2000, 44, 213-222.
479	7. J. S. Zhou, P. K. Gopal and H. S. Gill, Int. J. Food Microbiol., 2000c, 63, 81-89.

- 480 8. K. Arunachalam, H. S. Gill and and R. K. Chandra, *Eur. J. of Clin. Nutr.*, 2000, 54,
 481 263-267.
- 482 9. J. S. Zhou, Q. Shu, K. J. Rutherfurd, J. Prasad, P. K. Gopal and H. S. Gill, Food Chem.
- 483 *Toxicol.*, 2000a, 38, 153-161.
- 484 10. J. S. Zhou, Q. Shu, K. J. Rutherfurd, J. Prasad, M. J. Birtles, P. K. Gopal and H. S.
- 485 Gill, Int. J. Food Microbiol., 2000b, 56, 87-96.
- 486 11. A. Hernandez-Mendoza, V. J. Robles, J. O. Angulo, J. De La Cruz and H. S. Garcia,
- 487 Food. Technol. Biotech., 2007, 45, 27-31.
- 488 12. E. Nebesny, D. Żyżelewicz, I. Motyl and Z. Libudzisz, Eur. Food Res. Technol.,
- 489 2007, 225, 33-42.
- 490 13. L. C. Aragon-Alegro, J. H. Alarcon-Alegro, H. R. Cardarelli, M. C. Chiu and S. M. I.
- 491 Saad, *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.*, 2007, 40, 669–675.
- 492 14. S. T. Beckett, *Science of Chocolate*, RSC Publishing, Cambridge, 2008.
- 493 15. O. Solstad, Manuf. Conf., 1983, 63, 41-46.
- 494 16. W. E. Pieper, *Manuf. Conf.*, 1986, 66,117-120.
- 495 17. T. G. Mezger, *The Rheology Handbook*, Vincentz Verlag, Hannover, 2002.
- 496 18. J. L. Briggs, Proceeding of 3rd International Symposium on Food Rheology and
- 497 Structure (ISFRS), Zurich, Switzerland, February, 2003.
- 498 19. B. Schantz, L. Linke and H. Rohm, Proceeding of 3rd International Symposium on
- 499 Food Rheology and Structure (ISFRS), Zurich, Switzerland, February, 2003.
- 500 20. S. Bolliger, B. Breitschuh, M. Stranzinger, T. Wagner and E. J. Windhab, J. Food
- 501 Eng., 1998, 35, 281-297.

- 502 21. E. O. Afoakwa, A. Paterson and M. Fower, *Eur. Food. Res. Technol.*, 2008, 226,
 503 1259–1268.
- 504 22. B. Schantz and H. Rohm, *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.*, 2005, 38, 41-45.
- 505 23. A. Sokmen and G. Gunes, *LWT-Food. Sci. Technol.*, 2006, 39, 1053-1058.
- 506 24. H. Farzanmehr and S. Abbasi, J. Texture Stud., 2009, 40, 536-553.
- 507 25. J. Laličić-Petronijević, J. Popov-Raljić, D. Obradović, Z. Radulović, D. Paunović, M.
- 508 Petrušić and L. Pezo, J. Funct. Foods, 2015, 15, 541-550.
- 509 26. D. Żyżelewicz, E. Nebesny, I. Motyl and Z. Libudzisz, Czech J. Food Sci., 2010, 28,
- 510 392-406.
- 511 27. B. Pajin, *Analysis for confectionery products*, Faculty of Technology, Novi Sad,
 512 2009.
- 513 28. O. Jovanovic and B. Pajin B, Food. Sci. Tech., 2004, 15,128-136.
- 514 29. AOAC, Official Methods of Analysis, 17th ed., Maryland, USA: Association of
- 515 Official Analytical Chemists (2000).
- 516 30. IOCCC (2000). Viscosity of cocoa and chocolate products. Analytical Method 46-
- 517 2000., International Office of Cocoa, Chocolate and Confectionary, Geneva.
- 518 31. J. Hemsworth, S. Hekmat and G. Reid, *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg.*, 2011, 12, 79-84.
- 519 32. S. De Pelsmaeker, X. Gellynck, C. Delbaere, N. Declercq and K. Dewettinck, Food
- 520 Qual. Prefer., 2015, 41, 20–29.
- 521 33. Lj. Vrbaški and S. Markov, *Practicum of microbiology*, Prometej, Novi Sad, 1993.
- 522 34. J. Laličić-Petronijević, PhD thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Belgrade,
 523 (2012).

524	35. M. Pescuma, E. M. Hébert, F. Mozzi and G. Font de Valdez, Int. J. Food Microbiol.,
525	2010, 141, 73-81.
526	
527	
528	
529	
530	
531	
532	
533	
534	
535	
536	Figure captions:
537	
538	Fig. 1 Changes in viable cell count of probiotic bacteria in chocolate samples prepared at
539	different temperatures during storage at 20 ± 2 °C. Symbols: \blacksquare <i>L. acidophilus</i> NCFM, at
540	35 °C, ● L. acidophilus NCFM at 40 °C, ▲ L. rhamnosus HN001 at 35 °C, \checkmark L.
541	<i>rhamnosus</i> HN001 at 40 °C, <i>◄ B. lactis</i> HN019 at 35 °C and <i>▶ B. lactis</i> HN019 at 40 °C.
542	
543	Fig. 2 Histograms of the particle size distribution of milk chocolate without probiotics (-
544	- 0), with a) <i>L. acidophilus</i> NCFM inoculated at 35 °C (— A35) and 40 °C (— A40);
545	b) B.lactis HN019 inoculated at 35 °C (B35) and 40 °C (B40); c) L. rhamnosus
546	HN001 inoculated at 35 °C (R35) and 40 °C (R40).

547	
548	Fig. 3 Flow curves of the chocolate samples
549	
550	Fig. 4 Comparative illustration of sensory grades of parameters: hardness, brittleness,
551	dryness, stickiness, toughness, density, melting point, melt rate, cooling and meltability,
552	for chocolate samples inoculated with: a) Lactobacillus acidophillus NCFM; b) Lactobacillus
553	rhamnosus HN001; c) Bifidobacterium lactis HN019; and control sample marked as 0.
554	
555	
556	
557	
558	
559	List of tables:
560	
561	Table 1. Symbols of the milk chocolate samples

Symbol of the milk chocolate	Probiotic	Inoculation temperature (°C)	
A 35	Lactobacillus acidophillus NCFM	35	
B 35	Bifidobacterium lactis HN019	35	
R 35	Lactobacillus rhamnosus HN001	35	
0	Control (without probiotics)	/	
A 40	Lactobacillus acidophillus NCFM	40	
B 40	Bifidobacterium lactis HN019	40	
R 40	Lactobacillus rhamnosus HN001	40	

562

563

- - - - - - - - - - -	T-LL 7 Destint size distribution (DCD) remember of the sheep late second of $(1, 2)$
7//	Table 2. Particle size distribution (PSD) parameters of the chocolate samples (iim)
011	Tuble 201 united bize ubbilo uton (1 52) purumeters of the ended unipies (µm)

	A 35	B 35	R 35	0	A 40	B 40	R 40
d (0 1) ^a	2.6 ±	2.71 ±	$2.82 \pm$	$2.7 \pm$	$2.65 \pm$	$2.85 \pm$	2.51 ±
u (0.1)	0.02	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.05	0.02
d (0 5) ^a	$10.62 \pm$	$9.66 \pm$	$11.01 \pm$	$9.06 \pm$	$9.26 \pm$	$10.68 \pm$	$10.12 \pm$
u (0.3)	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02	0.04	0.02	0.04
d (0 0) ^a	$37.23 \pm$	$32.33 \pm$	$36.76 \pm$	$30.35 \pm$	$32.27 \pm$	$36.51 \pm$	$37.27 \pm$
u (0.9)	0.33	0.50	0.25	0.10	0.29	0.47	0.32
D b	$15.99 \pm$	$14.12 \pm$	$16.08 \pm$	$13.26 \pm$	$13.9 \pm$	$15.82 \pm$	$16.17 \pm$
D	0.48	0.43	0.28	0.23	0.33	0.40	0.23

 a d (0.1), d (0.5), d (0.9) respectively represent 10%, 50%, and 90% of all particles with this size. b D - volume weighted mean diameter.

579

Table 3. Rheological parameters of the chocolate samples determined by static	
measurements	

Samples	Thixotropic curve area (Pa/s)	Casson yield stress (Pa)	Casson viscosity (Pa·s)
A35	3248 ^a	19.93 ^b	3.69 ^a
B35	2476 ^b	15.68 ^c	3.36 ^d
R35	3268 ^a	18.99 ^d	3.59 ^a
0	2776 ^c	23.62 ^a	3.16 ^e
A40	1751 ^d	23.71 ^a	2.49^{b}
B40	1289 ^e	21.08 ^e	2.35 ^{bc}
R40	1089^{f}	19.93 ^f	2.25 ^c

599 Values are means of three determinations (n=3)

Values in the same column with the same superscript are not statistically different (P > 0.05)

605			
606			
607			
608			
609			
610			
611			
612			
613			
614			
615			

Quality factor	Coefficient of importance	A 35	B 35	R 35	0	A 40	B 40	R 40
Surface properties	0.10	0.40 ^{ab}	0.41 ^{ac}	0.41 ^{bc}	0.45 ^{deg}	0.46^{dfh}	0.46 ^{efi}	0.46 ^{ghi}
Break	0.15	0.48^{a}	0.52 ^e	0.48^{a}	0.64^{bc}	0.68^{f}	0.62^{bd}	0.64 ^{cd}
Chewing	0.20	0.54 ^c	0.70^{d}	0.57 ^e	0.82^{a}	0.85 ^b	0.82^{a}	0.85 ^b
Odour	0.20	0.91 ^{ab}	0.92^{acf}	0.93 ^{bcdgi}	0.95 ^{dehj}	0.97 ^{ek}	0.94^{fghl}	0.95 ^{ijkl}
Taste	0.35	1.61 ^{ab}	1.60 ^{ac}	1.62 ^{bc}	1.65 ^e	1.72 ^d	1.68 ^f	1.72 ^d
The sum of points		3.94	4.15	4.01	4.51	4.68	4.52	4.62
Quality category		VG	VG	VG	Е	Е	Е	Е

617 618 Values are means of three determinations (n=3)

Values in the same row with the same superscript are not statistically different (P > 0.05)

619 Quality category: E- excellent (4.5-5.0), VG - very good (3.5-4.5), G - good (2.5-3.5), NO (< 2.5) - unsatisfactory



279x215mm (300 x 300 DPI)



158x219mm (150 x 150 DPI)



254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)



254x74mm (150 x 150 DPI)



254x190mm (150 x 150 DPI)