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ABSTRACT: The present work aimed to evaluate the functional effectiveness and diffusion behavior of sodium lactate loaded chitosan/poly (L-lactic acid) (SL-CS/PLLA) film prepared by coating method as a novel active packaging, using Escherichia coli (\textit{E. coli}, 8099) as test bacterium. The hydrogen bonds formed between CS and PLLA improved the thermal stability and caused a decrease in crystalline of the composite film. The incorporation of PLLA increased the hydrophobicity of film and resulted in a decrease in water gain percentage at equilibrium with decreasing CS/PLLA ratio. The PLLA was valid in blocking visible light and invalid in blocking ultraviolet light through films, and the surface color of CS/PLLA films changed distinctively as compared to neat CS film. The decrease of CS/PLLA ratio caused a decrease in both water vapor permeability (WVP) and oxygen permeability (OP), which reached their minimum values at $1.95 \times 10^{-3}$ g m$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ kPa$^{-1}$ and $2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ cm$^2$ d$^{-1}$ kPa$^{-1}$ for CS/PLLA ratio at 1:1, respectively. The SL-CS/PLLA film displayed well controlled release and the initial diffusion of SL ($M_t/M_\infty < 2/3$) could be well described by Fickian diffusion equation. The thermodynamic parameters suggested that the diffusion of SL was endothermic and spontaneous, and the increase of temperature and PLLA content in film favored the diffusion of SL.
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1. Introduction

Antibacterial packaging has been widely investigated towards mildly preserved, fresh, tasty and convenient food products with extended shelf-life and controlled quality\textsuperscript{1}, owing to the efficiency in extending bacterial lag phase, slowing the growth rate of micro-organisms and maintaining food quality and safety during the transport and storage\textsuperscript{2, 3}. In particular, biopolymer-based antimicrobial films have been attracting much attention from the food industry with their potential application for a variety of foods\textsuperscript{4}.

Chitosan (CS) has showed great aptitude for its application in food preservation\textsuperscript{5-6}. Besides its good biodegradation, biocompatibility, nontoxicity and various bio-functionalities, CS also represented interesting properties such as excellent film forming capacity, gas and aroma barrier properties, which made it a suitable material for designing food packaging structures\textsuperscript{7}. Since the high sensitivity to moisture and low water barrier properties of neat CS film limited its broader application in antibacterial food packaging, it was required to associate CS with a more moisture-resistant polymer, while maintaining the overall biodegradability of the product\textsuperscript{8, 9}.

Poly(L-lactic acid) (PLLA), a biodegradable, nontoxic and biocompatible polymer\textsuperscript{9-11}, has been widely used in drug carriers for a sustained release\textsuperscript{12, 13}. PLLA was reported to be of sufficient water resistance\textsuperscript{9, 14} and seemed to suit our purpose as a hydrophobic component to modify CS.

A wide variety of antimicrobials have been incorporated into biopolymer-based films for food packaging as antibacterial entities such as nisin\textsuperscript{15, 16}, bacteriocins\textsuperscript{17, 18}, lysozyme\textsuperscript{19}, E-polylysine\textsuperscript{20, 21}, sorbic acid\textsuperscript{22, 23}, Na-alginate and κ-carrageenan\textsuperscript{24}, potassium sorbate and natamycin\textsuperscript{25, 26}, grape seed extract, malic acid and EDTA\textsuperscript{27}. As an important preservative, sodium lactate (SL) have attracted our attention in the present work as antibacterial entity, owing to the ability to control microbial growth, improve sensory attributes and extend the shelf life of various food systems.
including beef, salmon, and fish. Moreover, SL was widely available, economical and generally recognized-as-safe. However, little work has been done on the release of SL from biopolymer-based antimicrobial film. As the antimicrobial activity of film depended on the diffusion of antimicrobial entity, knowledge of diffusivity of the entity is very important in developing an antimicrobial food packaging system. As a supplement, thermodynamic parameters [enthalpy ($H^0$), entropy ($S^0$) and Gibbs free energy ($G^0$)] can also provide some important information regarding the inherent energetic changes associated with the diffusion.

The overall objective of the present study was to evaluate the functional effectiveness and diffusion behavior of sodium lactate loaded chitosan/poly(L-lactic acid) (SL-CS/PLLA) film. The structure and thermal stability, water sorption, color and transparency, water vapor permeability (WVP) and oxygen permeability (OP) of CS/PLLA films as well as the antimicrobial activity of SL-CS/PLLA films were assessed. More attentions were focused on the diffusion of SL from the film by kinetics and thermodynamics towards different CS/PLLA ratios. In the experimental, a representative Gram-negative bacterium Escherichia coli (E. coli, 8099) was used as test bacterium.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

Chitosan (CS, Mw 300 kDa, DD 95%, viscosity 100 m Pa.s) was purchased from Zhejiang Aoxing Biochemical Co., Ltd. (Zhejiang, China). Poly(L-lactic acid) (PLLA, Mw 35 kDa) was prepared in our laboratory. Sodium lactate (SL) was supplied from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Escherichia coli (E. coli, 8099) were provided by China Center of Industrial Culture Collection (Beijing, China). All the other chemical reagents were of analytical grade and available from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China).
2.2 Sample preparation

CS solution (4 wt%) was prepared by dissolving CS into acetic acid (2.0%, v/v), meanwhile, required PLLA was dissolved into chloroform/ethanol mixture (1:1, v/v) to prepare 10 wt% PLLA solution. The dissolving process was performed at room temperature. Then, serials of CS/PLLA blend solutions with different CS/PLLA ratio (3:1, 2:1, 1:1, 1:2 and 1:3, w/w) were prepared by blending the two polymer solutions. Correspondingly, the films prepared were called CS/PLLA=3/1, CS/PLLA=2/1, CS/PLLA=1/1, CS/PLLA=1/2 and CS/PLLA=1/3, respectively. All the films were preformed on an AFA-III automatic film applicator (Hefei Kejing Material Technology Co., Ltd, China). The homogeneous CS/PLLA blend solution was coated onto a substrate polyethylene (PE) film. After drying at 35 °C for 72 h, the CS/PLLA films were peeled from the substrate film and vacuum dried at 60 °C for 24 h in order to remove the residues of chloroform, ethanol, water and acetic acid.

SL-CS/PLLA films were prepared on the AFA-III automatic film applicator and the parameters were the same as that of CS/PLLA films. Required SL (2, 4, 6, 8 and 10%, w/w, based on the weight of CS/PLLA) was added into the CS/PLLA solutions and stirred continuously at room temperature for 4 h before coating on the substrate film.

2.3 Structure and thermal stability

For PLLA powder, neat CS and CS/PLLA=1/1 films, X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was measured with a D/max-β rotating diffractomete (Rigaku, Japan), using CuKα (λ=0.15418 nm). A scan rate of 0.05°/s was applied to record the pattern in the 2θ range of 5-60°. Thermal stability of neat CS and CS/PLLA=1/1 films were assessed using a TGA 209 thermogravimetric analyzer (Netzsch, Germany). The samples were heated from room temperature to 600 °C at a constant
heating rate of 10 °C /min under nitrogen flow at rate of 50 ml/min.

2.4 Water sorption test

The hydrophilic or hydrophobic nature of neat CS and CS/PLLA films were evaluated by determining water sorption according to a modified method as described in the articles. Three randomly selected samples (2 cm × 2 cm) with of thickness of 100 ± 5 µm from each type of film were first desiccated overnight (containing silica gel), and weighed to determine their dry mass. The weighed films were placed in beakers containing 100 ml of distilled water. Each beaker was covered with parafilm and stored at 25 °C. The water sorption were evaluated by periodically measuring the weight increment of samples with respect to dry films by a digital balance (accuracy = 0.0001g), after gently bottling the surface with a tissue, until equilibrium was reached. The water gain (WG) was calculated by the following equation:

\[
WG(\%) = \frac{m_{\text{wet}} - m_{\text{dry}}}{m_{\text{dry}}} \times 100\%
\]

where \( m_{\text{wet}} \) and \( m_{\text{dry}} \) are the weight of wet and dry film, respectively.

2.5 Color and transparency

The surface color of film was measured with a Chroma meter (Konica Minolta, CR-300, Tokyo, Japan). Each film was placed on a white color plate (L=97.63, a=0.53, b=2.27) as a standard background for measuring color \(^{36,37}\) and the parameters [L (lightness), a (red/green) and b (yellow/blue)] were determined by taking an average of six readings from each film. Total color difference (\( \Delta E \)) was calculated as follows:

\[
\Delta E = \left( (\Delta L)^2 + (\Delta a)^2 + (\Delta b)^2 \right)^{0.5}
\]

where \( \Delta L \), \( \Delta a \) and \( \Delta b \) are the difference between color value of standard color plate and film.

Optical property of the film was tested by measuring the transparency of films. Each film was
cut into a rectangular block (1 cm × 5 cm in width and length) and directly mounted between two spectrophotometer magnetic cells. Transparency of film was determined by measuring percent transmittance at 280 nm (T_{280}) and 660 nm (T_{660}) using a UV-vis spectrophotometer (754PC, Shanghai Jinghua Technology Instruments Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China).

2.6 Water vapor and oxygen permeability

Water vapor permeability (WVP) data of the film specimens were measured using a modified method as described by Limpan et al. The specimens, sealed on beakers, containing silica gel (0% RH) were placed in incubator containing distilled water. The chamber of incubator was provided with a psychrometer for relative humidity, and the temperature of incubator was maintained at 30 °C. The moisture absorbed was estimated by weighing the beakers at 3 h intervals during 3 days. WVP (g m^{-1} s^{-1} Pa^{-1}) was determined as follows:

\[ WVP = \frac{(w \times x)}{(A \times t \times \Delta P)} \]  

where \( w \) is the weight gain of beaker (g), \( x \) is the film thickness (m), \( A \) is the area of exposed film (m²), \( t \) is the time of weight gain (s), and \( \Delta P \) is the water vapor partial pressure difference (Pa) across the two sides of film calculated on the basis of relative humidity.

Oxygen transmission rate (OTR, according to ASTM D1434) of film was determined at 23 °C and 0% RH on a N500 gas permeameter (Guangzhou Biaoji packaging equipment Co., Ltd Guangzhou, China). Oxygen permeability (OP) was calculated from OTR (cm³ m⁻² d⁻¹ kPa⁻¹) as follows:

\[ OP = OTR \times \text{thickness} \]  

The thickness and open testing area of each sample were approximately 100 µm and 50 cm² in three parallel measurements, respectively. Film thickness was measured with a hand-held
micrometer (BC Ames Co., Waltham, MA, USA).

2.7 Antibacterial activity assay

*E. coli* bacteria were grown aerobically in Luria-Broth (LB) for 24 h on a shaker platform (SHZ-82, Changzhou Guohua Electric Appliance Co., Ltd., Jiangsu, China) at 200 rpm and 37 °C. 10⁸ colony forming units (CFUs) of *E. coli* were monitored by counting the viable cells after appropriate dilution on Nutrient Agar (NA), respectively, which number of per ml was equivalent to 0.1 optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀), and then it was diluted to 100 ml nutrient broth freshly prepared. Afterwards, the specimen was added to 1 ml diluted culture medium at an initial value of OD₆₀₀. The growth of bacteria was monitored by a spectrophotometer (UV-754PC, Shanghai Jinghua Technology Instruments Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). The specimen without additional SL was used as a control. The inhibition efficiency of each SL-CS/PLLA film was adopted as Eq.(5)

\[
\text{Inhibition efficiency} = \left( \frac{OD_{s600}^{C} - OD_{s600}^{F}}{OD_{s600}^{C}} \right) \times 100\%
\]

where \(OD_{s600}^{C}\) and \(OD_{s600}^{F}\) were the OD₆₀₀ values of culture medium for the control and SL-CS/PLLA films at 24 h, respectively. The incubation for each flask was performed on the shaker platform (160 rpm, 37 °C) and the experiment was repeated three times for each contents.

2.8 Diffusion test

Films were cut into squares (5 cm×5 cm) and the film thickness was measured with the hand-held micrometer. Afterwards, the film was covered with aluminum foil tape on one side and immersed in an Erlenmeyer flask containing 100 ml distilled water. The flasks were shaken continuously on the shaker platform (100 rpm, 25°C) and achieved diffusion equilibration. The absorbance at 206 nm was measured with the spectrophotometer to determine the concentrations of SL diffused in the solution at different time and diffusion equilibration.
The cumulative release percentages of SL from films were calculated as following:

\[
Cumulative \ release = \left( \frac{M_t}{M_0} \right) \times 100\% \tag{6}
\]

where \(M_t(\mu g)\) is the SL diffused at time \(t\), \(M_0(\mu g)\) is the total trapped or entrapped SL.

The pH values of diffusion solutions were adjusted to be at pH 6.5±0.1 by adding a thimbleful of 0.01 M HCl or 0.01 M NaOH solution. According to our experimental data, a pH approximately 6.5 was representative.

2.9 Statistical analysis

Each experiment was repeated three times. Statistical analysis was performed using the unpaired Student’s t-test, and the results were expressed as the means ± standard deviation (SD). A value of \(p<0.05\) was considered to be statistically significant.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Structure and thermal stability

The interactions between CS and PLLA in CS/PLLA films have been confirmed to be intermolecular hydrogen bonds from FTIR by our previous work\textsuperscript{16}, and the structure scheme was proposed as shown in Fig. 1A. Similar scheme was presented by Chen et al\textsuperscript{39}. In order to investigate the crystalline of CS/PLLA film, XRD measurements were performed towards PLLA powder, CS film and representative CS/PLLA=1/1 film. As could be seen from Figure 1B, main peaks of PLLA at \(2\theta = 15.1, 17.0, 19.3\) and \(22.5^\circ\) indicated the crystalline structure of PLLA\textsuperscript{40, 41}, meanwhile, peaks of CS film around \(2\theta=8.3, 11.2\) and \(18.1^\circ\) were corresponded to an amorphous structure of CS\textsuperscript{4, 42, 43}. In the XRD profile of CSP/PLLA film, the characteristic peaks of PLLA were not observed, at the same time, peaks around \(2\theta = 11.2\) and \(18.1^\circ\) for CS film were sharply weakened and that around \(2\theta=8.3\) disappeared. This is likely to be that the intermolecular
hydrogen bonds formed among PLLA carbonyls and CS amino groups (Fig. 1A) suppressed the 
crystallization of film matrix.\textsuperscript{44} TGA were performed to evaluate the thermal stability of CS/PLLA film with respect to CS 
film. The TGA curves were similar in shape and composed of three distinguishing weight loss 
stages (Fig.2.). For CS film, weight loss 8.4\% between 40-246 °C was mainly corresponded to 
the evaporation of absorbed and bound water, the residue of chloroform, ethanol and acetic acid 
as well\textsuperscript{45-48}, weight loss 71.6\% between 246-319 °C was associated with the chemical 
dehydration of the inner molecule due to hydroxyl condensation and cleavage of C–O and C–C 
linkages, weight loss 19.5\% between 430-530 °C was probably due to the cleavages of O–N and 
O–O linkages, and beyond 530 °C only the residue char remained. CS/PLLA film exhibited 
better thermal stability as compared to CS film, weight loss 10.69% at 40-315 °C, weight loss 
62.4% at 315-361 °C, weight loss 21.3% at 437-566 °C and beyond 566 °C more residues 
remained owing to the incorporation of PLLA. The TGA data showed that the initial 
decomposition temperature for CS/PLLA films was higher than that for CS films by 69 °C in 
degree. The reason was that the strong interaction of hydrogen bonds formed between CS and 
PLLA delayed the decomposition process.

3.2 Water sorption

Water sorption was an important factor for predicting the stability and quality changes of 
food product during packaging and storage\textsuperscript{34, 49}. The water sorption profiles for films were 
illustrated in Fig.3A. As could be seen from this figure, all the curves showed a rapid water 
sorption in the first few minutes. The CS/PLLA=1/3 film absorbed almost 500 % water for 15min 
while in the case of the neat CS film the uptake content could go up to 3000\% of weight gain,
after then, the two samples could not be weighted due to dissolution and degradation of the film matrix. It is noteworthy that the incorporation of PLLA increased the hydrophobicity of film and caused a decrease in water gain percentage at equilibrium with decreasing CS/PLLA ratio. The digital photograph of each film absorbing water for 15 min was shown in Fig.3B. Corresponding to water gain curves, the neat CS [Fig.3B (a)] and CS/PLLA=1/3 [Fig.3B (f)] films displayed an easily dissolvable and biodegradable appearance, while the rest films became increasingly curly [Fig.3B (b-e)] associated with the enhancement of hydrophobicity caused by the increase of PLLA.

3.3 Color and transparency

Surface color was an important parameter of food packaging films since it was closely related to the general appearance and consumer acceptance. Surface color parameters of films were summarized in Table 1. Apparently, neat CS film was transparent with deep greenish yellow tint, which was indicated by higher lightness (higher Hunter L) value, lower green (lower Hunter a) value, higher yellowness (higher Hunter b) value, and consequently higher total color difference value (ΔE). The ΔE value of neat CS film was 11.25, which was in good agreement with the reported value of 11.2. Moreover, the CS/PLLA films (except CS/PLLA=1/3) were less transparent with slight yellowish tint. The L-values decreased slightly and a-values decreased significantly (p<0.05), while b-values increased significantly (p<0.05) by the incorporation of PLLA into CS matrix. Therefore, the values of ΔE calculated by Eq.(2) increased profoundly (p<0.05). The transmission of ultraviolet and visible light was very important to preserve and protect products until they reach the consumer as well as to get an attractive transparent package. Consequently, it was necessary to determine the influence of the neat CS and CS/PLLA films on
the UV and visible transmission (Table 1). It was interesting to note that $T_{280}$ values for the CS/PLLA films (except CS/PLLA=1/3) were decreased slightly ($p>0.05$) as compared to neat CS film, but $T_{660}$ values were decreased profoundly ($p<0.05$). This result indicated that the PLLA was valid in blocking visible light and invalid in blocking ultraviolet light through the films. Whereas, the result data of CS/PLLA=1/3 film was distinctively different from the other CS/PLLA films in surface color and transparency, which was mainly attributed to phase separation between CS and PLLA.

3.4 Water vapor and oxygen permeability

Water vapor permeability (WVP) is defined as the ease of moisture for penetrating and passing through a material. As it could be seen from Fig.4A, the WVP of films decreased with the decrease of CS/PLLA ratio and reached the minimum value $1.95 \times 10^{-3}$ g m$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ kPa$^{-1}$ for CS/PLLA=1/1. The reasons may be attributed to the high crosslink effects from the intermolecular hydrogen bonds between CS and PLLA molecules, which makes the structure of film become more compact. Subsequently, the WVP of films increased once more (from CS/PLLA=1/1 to CS/PLLA=1/2) owing to the higher hydrophobicity of PLLA as compared to CS. Nevertheless, the WVP of CS/PLLA=1/3 was slightly lower than that of CS/PLLA=1/2 film owing to the phase separation.

Oxygen permeability (OP) of food packaging is generally considered since it is related to the development of off-flavors, off-odors and nutritional loss associated with oxidation in foodstuffs. As could be seen from Fig.4A, the OP of films significantly decreased with the decrease of CS/PLLA ratio and reached the minimum value $2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ cm$^{2}$ d$^{-1}$ kPa$^{-1}$ for CS/PLLA=1/1, and then increased seriously again. The reason was also associated with crosslink effects formed by
intermolecular hydrogen bonds between CS and PLLA molecules. Based on WVP and OP results, an appropriate CS/PLLA ratio at 1:1 and above was used to prepare SL-CS/PLLA antimicrobial films.

3.5 Antimicrobial activity evaluation

Fig. 4B depicted the effects of SL contents on inhibition efficiency of SL-CS/PLLA films towards *E. coli* after incubation at 37 °C for 24 h. It was found that the inhibition efficiency of the films increased sharply with SL contents below 6%, and then increased slightly before reaching a plateau. The releasing dosage of SL into bacterial suspension increased in quantity with an increase of the SL content in SL-CS/PLLA films, hence the growth of *E. coli* was inhibited effectively and the inhibition efficiency increased correspondingly. While SL content reached 6%, the inhibition efficiency was beyond 95%, after then, the increase of SL content had no slight effect on the enhancement of antimicrobial activity against *E. coli*.

3.6 Diffusion kinetics

3.6.1 Release of SL

According to WVP and OP results, the cumulative release percentages of SL from SL-CS/PLLA films (CS/PLLA ratios at 3:1, 2:1 and 1:1) were calculated according to Eq. (6) and plotted versus time as shown in Fig. 5A. Each release curve showed a similar initial burst release phenomenon, and reached a plateau after a significantly increase in cumulative release percentage, suggesting a good controlled release behavior for SL-CS/PLLA film. In addition, the cumulative release percentage at diffusion equilibrium increased with decreasing CS/PLLA ratio. The initial burst release was attributed to the diffusion of SL on or near the surface of film under the diffusion driving force by SL content. Afterwards, a gradual increase in the cumulative release was
associated with the diffusion of SL being trapped into the inner core of the matrix, which would take longer time to be released owing to the longer diffusion pathway. PLLA is linear hydrophobic aliphatic polyester, while CS is a linear hydrophilic polysaccharide. SL had the better affinity towards CS as compared to PLLA owing to stronger electrostatic interaction between the positive charged CS at low acidic medium and negative charged lactate ions from hydrolyzed SL. Therefore, the cumulative percentage of SL at equilibrium increased with the decrease of CS/PVA ratio.

3.6.2 Estimation of diffusivity of SL

On the basis of Fig. 5A, \( M_t/M_\infty \) of the amount of SL diffused at time \( t \) \((M_t)\) and at equilibrium \((M_\infty)\) was calculated and plotted as a function of time (Fig. 5B). The diffusion coefficients (D) were calculated from the following solutions from Fick’s second law assuming that the dispersion of SL in film was uniform and the concentration of SL in the aqueous medium was zero; the diffusion of SL was regarded as one-dimensional diffusion (As mentioned in experimental, the specimen was covered with aluminum foil tape on one side) and a non-steady state phenomenon of non-concentration-dependent diffusion.

\[
\frac{M_t}{M_\infty} = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{8}{(2n+1)^2} \pi^2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{D(2n+1)^2 \pi^2 t}{h^2} \right\} \tag{7}
\]

where \( h \) (m) is the thickness of SL-CS/PLLA film measured with the hand-held micrometer. In cases where \( M_t/M_\infty < 2/3 \) the following equation was applied:

\[
\frac{M_t}{M_\infty} = 4 \left( \frac{Dt}{\pi h^2} \right)^{1/2} = kt^{1/2} \tag{8}
\]

where \( k \) \((1/s^{1/2})\) is slope of the linear regression of \( M_t/M_\infty \) versus \( t^{1/2} \). Consequently, the diffusivity could be counted by the following equation:
\[ D = \left( \frac{kh}{4} \right)^2 \pi \]  

As could be seen from Fig. 5B, \( M_t/M_\infty \) depended on film composition and decreased with increasing CS/PLLA ratio at given time owing to the better affinity of SL towards CS as compared to PLLA. Moreover, a similar shape was presented in each curve by increasing sharply before reaching a plateau. The inset of Fig. 5B showed a strong linearity with respect to \( t^{1/2} \) predicted by Eq. (8) for the initial portion of the curve \( (M_t/M_\infty < 2/3) \), correspondingly, the D value of each film was calculated by Eq. (9) and listed in Table 2. Similarly, the D values at 5 and 45°C were obtained and summarized in Table 2. The higher correlation coefficient (\( R^2 > 0.998 \)) indicated that Fickian diffusion was valid to described the initial diffusion \( (M_t/M_\infty < 2/3) \) of SL. As expected, the higher affinity of SL towards CS resulted in an increase in D value with decreasing CS/PLLA ratio at each given temperature, and a higher temperature caused an increase in D value for the same film.

Temperature dependence of diffusion coefficient (D) is described by the logarithmic transform of Arrhenius activation energy equation \(^{32, 56, 61} \)

\[ \ln D = \ln D_0 - \frac{E_a}{RT} \]  

where \( D_0 (m^2/s) \) is a constant, \( E_a (J/mol) \) is activation energy of the diffusivity of SL, \( R (J/mol K) \) is universal gas constant and \( T (K) \) is absolute temperature. The Arrhenius plots (Fig. 6A) were derived from the equation of Eq. (10) based on Table 2, which allowed us to calculate the corresponding \( E_a \) in Table 3. The high correlation coefficient values \( (R^2 > 0.999) \) for each film indicated that Arrhenius activation model was valid to describe the temperature dependence of D for SL. The decreasing CS/PLLA ratio resulted in a decrease in \( E_a \) values, suggesting the less sensitive of diffusivity towards temperature change\(^{32} \). In addition, the lower \( E_a \) value predicted a
weaker interaction between SL and CS/PLLA matrix, since less work in the form of energy was needed to overcome the energetic barrier. As mentioned previously, SL had better affinity towards CS as compared to PLLA. Hence, the decrease of CS/PLLA ratio resulted in a decrease in \( E_a \) value at same temperature.

### 3.7 Diffusion thermodynamics

A distribution coefficient \((K_d)\) associated with the total entrapped SL \((M_0)\) in film and the amount of SL diffused at equilibrium \((M_\infty)\) was adapted:

\[
K_d = \frac{M_\infty}{M_0 - M_\infty} \cdot \frac{m}{V}
\]  

where \( V \) (ml) was the volume of solution and \( m \) (g) was the weight of each specimen. The enthalpy change \((\Delta H^0)\) and entropy change \((\Delta S^0)\) for the diffusion of SL from film were calculated by the slope and intercept of the plot of \( \ln K_d \) versus \( 1/T \) (Fig. 6B) based on temperature-dependent distribution coefficient equation:

\[
\ln K_d = \frac{\Delta S^0}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^0}{RT}
\]  

where \( R \) (8.314 J·mol\(^{-1}\)·K\(^{-1}\)) was the ideal gas constant, and \( T \) (K) was the temperature in Kelvin. Meanwhile, the corresponding Gibbs free energy \((\Delta G^0)\) was calculated by a general expression:

\[
\Delta G^0 = \Delta H^0 - T\Delta S^0
\]  

The calculated diffusion thermodynamic parameters were listed in Table 4. The positive \(\Delta H^0\) suggested the endothermic diffusion, because kinetic energy was needed for the diffusion of entrapped SL through CS/PLLA matrix. The positive \(\Delta S^0\) might be associated with the affinity and dispersion change of SL in the films. Noteworthily, the value of \(\Delta G^0\) was negative and decreased with increasing temperature, indicating that the diffusion of SL in CS/PLLA matrix was spontaneous and the spontaneity was improved by increasing temperature. Moreover, the decrease
in CS/PLLA ratio caused a decrease in ∆G° values. This information confirmed that the increase
of PLLA in film favored the diffusion of SL owing to the lower affinity towards PLLA as
compared to CS.

4. Conclusions

The diffusion behavior and functional effectiveness were evaluated towards a novel
SL-CS/PLLA antibacterial film. The strong interaction of hydrogen bonds formed between CS and
PLLA improved the thermal stability and caused a decrease in crystalline of the composite film.
The incorporation of PLLA increased the hydrophobicity of film and resulted in a decrease in
water gain percentage at equilibrium with decreasing CS/PLLA ratio. The PLLA was valid in
blocking visible light and invalid in blocking ultraviolet light through the films, and the surface
color of CS/PLLA films changed distinctively as compared to neat CS film. The decrease of
CS/PLLA ratio caused a decrease in both WVP and OP, which reached their minimum values for
CS/PLLA ratio at 1:1, respectively. The SL-CS/PLLA film displayed well controlled release of SL
and the initial diffusion of SL (Mt/M∞<2/3) from film could be well described by Fickian diffusion
equation. The thermodynamic parameters suggested that the diffusion of SL was endothermic and
spontaneous, and the increase temperature and PLLA in film favored the diffusion of SL. These
results suggested a potential application as a new active film in controlled release and
antimicrobial activity against E. coli towards food packaging.
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Table and figure captions

Table captions

**Table 1** Color and transparency of neat CS and CS/PLLA composite films.

**Table 2** Diffusivity of SL films with different CS/PLLA ratios (T=298.15 K, pH=6.5 ± 0.1, SL 6 wt %)

**Table 3** Activation energy of SL from films with different CS/PLLA ratios (pH=6.5 ± 0.1, SL 6 wt %)

**Table 4** Thermodynamic parameters for SL from films with different CS/PLLA ratios (pH=6.5 ± 0.1, SL 6 wt %)

Figure captions

**Fig. 1.** (A) Proposed structure of CS/PLLA.; (B) XRD profiles of PLLA powder, CS film and CS/PLLA=1/1 film.

**Fig. 2.** TGA curves of neat CS and CS/PLLA=1/1 films.

**Fig. 3.** (A) Water sorption profiles of neat CS and CS/PLLA films (25 °C, pH=7). The data (mean±SD) are results from three independent experiments; (B) Digital photographs of films absorbing water for 15 min: (a) represented neat CS film, (b-f) represented CS/PLLA=3/1, 2/1, 1/1, 1/2 and 1/3, respectively.

**Fig. 4.** (A) Effects of CS/PLLA ratios on WVP and OP and (B) Effects of SL content on inhibition efficiency of the films against *E. coli* (CS/PLLA=1:1, propagated 24 h, 37 °C). The data (mean±SD) are results from three independent experiments.

**Fig. 5.** (A) The cumulative release of SL from films (T=25 °C, pH=6.5 ± 0.1, SL 6 wt %); (B) $M_t/M_\infty$ versus time, inset: linear regression of $M_t/M_\infty$ versus square root of time (T=25 °C, pH=6.5 ± 0.1, SL 6 wt %). The data (mean±SD) are results from three independent experiments.

**Fig. 6.** (A) Effects of temperature on diffusion coefficient (CS/PLLA=1:1, pH=6.5 ± 0.1, SL 6 wt%) and (B) Liner plots of $\ln K_d$ versus $1/T$ for CS/PLLA films (pH=6.5 ± 0.1, SL 6 wt%). The data (mean±SD) are results from three independent experiments.
Table 1 Color and transparency of neat CS and CS/PLLA composite films.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Films</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>ΔE</th>
<th>T_{280nm} (%)</th>
<th>T_{660nm} (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neat CS</td>
<td>93.6±0.10&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-2.6±0.13&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>12.5±0.14&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.25±0.12&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>93.20±0.51&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>84.53±1.78&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>97.6±0.32&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.56±0.26&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.33±0.06&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.09±0.00&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>85.40±0.72&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.32±0.43&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>96.74±0.2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-0.85±0.27&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.04±0.15&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.22±0.04&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>81.73±1.43&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.02±0.34&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>96.47±0.2&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-1.66±0.52&lt;sup&gt;ac&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.92±0.16&lt;sup&gt;ad&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.10±0.15&lt;sup&gt;ac&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>81.70±2.01&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.80±0.45&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>94.0±0.15&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-2.20±0.03&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.15±0.08&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.29±0.23&lt;sup&gt;ad&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>81.00±1.26&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.27±0.08&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:3</td>
<td>97.4±0.52&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.52±0.22&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-2.35±0.11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.74±0.14&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>84.63±2.07&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>20.80±0.13&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data with the same superscript letter in the same column indicate that they are not statistically different (p > 0.05). The data (mean±SD) are results from six independent experiments.

Table 2 Diffusivity of SL films with different CS/PLLA ratios (T=298.15 K, pH=6.5 ± 0.1, SL 6 wt %)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CS/PLLA ratio</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>D(×10&lt;sup&gt;-14&lt;/sup&gt;m&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;/s)</th>
<th>R&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.33±0.043</td>
<td>0.99852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18.01±0.50</td>
<td>0.99986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>81.47±2.04</td>
<td>0.99923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.58±0.28</td>
<td>0.99878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25.51±1.05</td>
<td>0.99976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>93.03±2.88</td>
<td>0.99925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.66±0.22</td>
<td>0.99868</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30.03±1.06</td>
<td>0.99984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>102.23±2.28</td>
<td>0.99857</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>D was calculated using Eq.(9). The data (mean±SD) are results from three independent experiments. <sup>b</sup>Given correlation coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>) was the largest one among replications (n=3).
Table 3 Activation energy of SL from films with different CS/PLLA ratios (pH=6.5 ± 0.1, SL 6 wt %)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CS/PLLA ratio</th>
<th>$E_a$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$R^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>57.76±2.03</td>
<td>0.99982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>50.85±1.18</td>
<td>0.99986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>46.79±1.92</td>
<td>0.99956</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ $E_a$ was calculated using Eq.(10). The data (mean±SD) are results from three independent experiments. $^b$ Given correlation coefficient ($R^2$) was the largest one among replications (n=3)

Table 4 Thermodynamic parameters for SL from films with different CS/PLLA ratios (pH=6.5 ± 0.1, SL 6 wt%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CS/PLLA ratio</th>
<th>$\Delta H^0$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta S^0$ (J/(mol·K))</th>
<th>$\Delta G^0$ (kJ/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>278.15K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>16.36±0.40</td>
<td>68.64±1.92</td>
<td>-2.7±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>16.10±0.21</td>
<td>72.32±1.30</td>
<td>-4.02±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>14.69±0.31</td>
<td>72.97±1.53</td>
<td>-5.61±0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ $\Delta S^0$ and $\Delta H^0$ were calculated using Eq.(12). $^b$ $\Delta G^0$ were calculated using Eq.(13). The data (mean±SD) are results from three independent experiments
Fig. 1. (A) Proposed structure of CS/PLLA.; (B) XRD profiles of PLLA powder, CS film and CS/PLLA=1/1 film.
Fig. 2. TGA curves of neat CS and CS/PLLA=1/1 films.
Fig. 3. (A) Water sorption profiles of neat CS and CS/PLLA films (25°C, pH=7). The data (mean±SD) are results from three independent experiments; (B) Digital photographs of films absorbing water for 15 min: (a) represented neat CS film, (b-f) represented CS/PLLA=3/1, 2/1, 1/1, 1/2 and 1/3, respectively.
Fig. 4. (A) Effects of CS/PLLA ratios on WVP and OP and (B) Effects of SL content on inhibition efficiency of the films against *E. coli* (CS/PLLA=1:1, propagated 24 h, 37 °C). The data (mean ± SD) are results from three independent experiments.
Fig. 5. (A) The cumulative release of SL from films (T=25 °C, pH=6.5 ± 0.1, SL 6 wt %); (B) $M_t/M_\infty$ versus time, inset: linear regression of $M_t/M_\infty$ versus square root of time (T=25 °C, pH=6.5 ± 0.1, SL 6 wt%). The data (mean ± SD) are results from three independent experiments.
Fig. 6. (A) Effects of temperature on diffusion coefficient (CS/PLLA=1:1, pH=6.5 ± 0.1, SL 6 wt%) and (B) Liner plots of ln$K_d$ versus $1/T$ for CS/PLLA films (pH=6.5 ± 0.1, SL 6 wt%). The data (mean ± SD) are results from three independent experiments.
Functional effectiveness and diffusion behavior of sodium lactate loaded chitosan/poly (L-lactic acid) film prepared by coating method as an active packaging.