RSC Advances




This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.





Graphical Abstract



Journal Name

ARTICLE

Hyaluronic acid-pentamidine bioconjugate as macrophage mediated drug targeting delivery system for the treatment of Leishmaniasis

Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

N. Micale, ^{a*} A. Piperno, ^b N. Mahfoudh, ^c U. Schurigt, ^d M. Schultheis, ^d P.G. Mineo, ^e T. Schirmeister, ^f A. Scala, ^b G. Grassi ^b

Leishmaniasis is still a seriuos public health problem worldwide, especially in the tropical areas where this infectious disease is endemic. The most severe form of the disease (i.e. visceral) can claim victims if left untreated and the few accessible drugs have several drawbacks including major side effects and parenteral administration. In this context, the investigation of new delivery modalities which might reduce the toxicity and increase the bioavailability of the drugs currently in the market represents a valid strategy to counter these problems. Herein we present the development of a macrophage mediated drug targeting delivey system by conjugating the anti-leishmanial drug pentamidine (Pent) with the biocompatible polymer hyaluronic acid (HA), the latter employed at the same time as delivery platform and targeting scaffold. Biological assays against *Leishmania major* amastigote-infected macrophages and primary bone marrow derived macrophages (BMDM) confirmed the validity of our strategy as the resulting bioconjugate HA-Pent increased both the potency and the selectivity index of the drug.

1.0 Introduction

Leishmaniasis is a vector-borne tropical disease caused by different species of obligate intra-macrophage protozoa of the genus *Leishmania* transmitted to mammalian hosts during a blood meal of infected female phlebotomine sandflies. This neglected disease is endemic in 88 countries and affects approximately 12 million people worldwide, with 350 million people considered at risk.¹

The clinical spectrum of Leishmaniasis ranges from a mild and usually self-resolving cutaneous form to a disfiguring mucocutaneous disease and even to a visceral form, which affects several internal organs and is lethal in the absence of chemotherapeutic treatment.²

At present, the development of an effective vaccine is far from being successful and drugs are the only available tool for

In the present work, Pent has been selected as a model drug in virtue of its biological and chemical features. In particular, it possesses a wide range of therapeutic properties including antimicrobial, ⁷ anti-inflammatory ⁸ and anti-cancer activities. ⁹ Pent has been used for more than 50 years in the therapy and

treatment and control of all Leishmaniasis forms.³ Pentavalent antimony compounds (i.e. sodium stibogluconate and meglumine antimoniate) represent the first-line of intervention. Second-line drugs, such as the polyene amphotericin B (AmB), the aromatic diamidine pentamidine (Pent) and the aminoglycoside paromomycin are important in combination therapy or in cases of antimony treatment failures.4 However, all these drugs have several drawbacks including irreversible toxic effects, high costs, length of treatment, need of adequate medical care, emergence of drug resistance and parenteral administration. As an example, the main factors limiting the widespread use of AmB are the high cost of the drug and the long hospitalization period which makes it difficult to manage the disease within endemic areas strongly linked with poverty and lack of health services. Therefore, several efforts have been made over the past few years to improve the therapeutic index and to reduce the toxicity of this drug by developing lipid-based formulations and other nano- or microstructured delivery systems. 5 In this regard, the World Health Organization has recommended the use of liposomal AmB against Leishmaniasis based on its high levels of efficacy and safety.

a. Department of Drug Sciences and health Products, University of Messina, Viale S.S. Annunziata, 98168 Messina, Italy. E-mail: nmicale@unime.it

b Department of Chemical Sciences, University of Messina, Viale F. Stagno D'Alcontres, 98166 Messina, Italy.

^c Department of Medicinal and Organic Chemistry, University of Granada, Faculty of Pharmacy, 18071 Granada, Spain.

d. Institute for Molecular Infection Biology, University of Würzburg, Josef-Schneider-Str. 2, Würzburg 97074, Germany.

^e Department of Chemical Sciences, University of Catania, Viale A. Donia 6, 95125 Catania, Italy.

f. Institute of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, University of Mainz, staudingerweg 5, D-55128 Mainz, Germany.

ARTICLE Journal Name

prophylaxis of African Trypanosomiasis and *Pneumocystis* carinii pneumonia in AIDS patients, as well as antimony resistant Leishmaniasis, ¹⁰ but its clinical use is limited by its toxicity, administration by injection and development of resistance.

On the basis of these considerations we focused our interest on the synthesis of a new Pent-bioconjugate using hyaluronic acid (HA) as delivery platform (Fig. 1), with the aim of increasing the activity of the drug and reducing its toxicity. To the best of our knowledge, the preparation of bioconjugates of Pent is to date an unexplored strategy to overcome these issues.

Chemically, Pent is a bifunctional and low-molecular weight compound that can be employed to obtain both mono-linked HA-Pent derivatives and HA-Pent cross-linked frameworks via amide bond formation. The two terminal amidine groups are the sole reactive moieties of the drug, allowing mild synthetic conditions without significant by-products. In addition, one of the two amidine groups could be further exploited for the conjugation with other compounds that might potentiate the activity of the drug and consequently reduce its dosage.

HA is a well-known biocompatible, biodegradable, bioresorbable, non-toxic and non-immunogenic polymer, 13 whose chemical versatility can be fruitfully exploited to build polymeric scaffolds able to entrap drugs with different chemophysical characteristics by means of enzyme-cleavable linkages or cross-linked networks. Additionally, HA emerges in many respects as the ideal substrate for the development of drug targeting delivery systems with sustained release properties for the treatment of macrophage-associated diseases such as Leishmaniasis. 12 In fact, macrophages play central roles in mediating a wide range of infectious and inflammatory diseases. 13 In particular, as Leishmania parasites are obligatory intracellular pathogens, macrophages are their primary resident cell: they phagocytose and permit parasite proliferation and they are also the major effector cells to eliminate infection. 14 Interestingly, HA is an attractive targeting ligand specifically recognized and internalized by macrophages that are known to express HA receptors for endocytosis (HARE/Stab2).15 Targeted drug delivery to the macrophages appears to be a useful proposition to improve therapeutic efficacy of an enclosed drug, ¹⁶ helping in localized delivery of the drug at the infected site.

On these bases, our unprecedented HA-Pent bioconjugate (Fig. 1) is proposed as drug targeting delivery system for the treatment of Leishmaniasis, exploiting the specific biological recognition of HA by the macrophage. The biological activity of the bioconjugate has been assessed by *in vitro* assays on *Leishmania major* amastigote-infected macrophages and primary macrophages.




Fig 1. Schematic illustration of HA-Pent bioconiugate.

2.0 Experimental

2.1. Materials and general methods

HA sodium salt from *Streptococcus equi* (high molecular weight), Dowex H⁺ 50W x 8-100 sulfonic resin, pentamidine isethionate salt, 4-methylmorpholine, (NMM), 2-chloro-4,6-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazine (CDMT) and organic solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich/Fluka. Dialysis membranes (20 kDa $M_{\rm W}$ cutoff) were purchased from Spectrum Labs. Materials and methods for the biological assays have been described before by the authors.¹⁷ AlamarBlue assays for investigation of antileishmanial activities against intracellular *Leishmania major* amastigotes and cytotoxicity against primary bone marrow derived macrophages (BMDM) were conducted as previously reported.¹⁷

2.2 Synthesis

2.2.1 Preparation of pentamidine free base (Pent)

In a beaker, 5 g of pentamidine isethionate was dissolved in 100 mL of distilled water under vigorous stirring. Sodium hydroxide was gradually added until the pH of the solution was adjusted to $^{\sim}$ 11. The solution was then refrigerated overnight, filtered off and washed repeatedly with deionized water to obtain pentamidine free base (Pent) as a white powder (2.62 g; yield 92%). The product was eventually dried in a vacuum chamber before use.

2.2.2 Preparation of HA-COOH

HA sodium salt 100 mg was dissolved in deionized water (5 mg/mL, pH=6.85) and mixed with Dowex H^{+} 50W x 8-100 sulfonic resin (100 mg). The resin was washed thoroughly with deionized water before use. The mixture was shaken at room temperature for 2 h (Entry 1) or 1h (Entry 2 and 3) to ensure the ion exchange and then filtered off using a filter paper. The

Journal Name ARTICLE

solution (pH=2.85) was freeze-dried to afford 76 mg of HA in protonated form (HA-COOH).

2.2.3 Preparation of HA-Pent bioconiugates

Entry 1 (Table 1): HA-COOH (76 mg, 0.2 mmol carboxylic acid) was dissolved in 3 mL of deionized water in a 50 mL roundbottomed flask followed by the dropwise addition of 2 mL of acetonitrile while stirring. 33 μL (0.3 mmol) of NMM was added to the solution, causing the viscosity to increase temporarily. The solution (pH=7) was then cooled to 4 °C, and 18 mg (0.1 mmol) of CDMT was added and stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The solution was mixed with 34 mg (0.1 mmol) of Pent (which corresponds to a 1:1 ratio between HA carboxyl groups and Pent amidine groups) and stirred for 68 h at room temperature. The acetonitrile was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting mixture was filtered off to eliminate the unreacted Pent. Extensive cycles of dialysis (20 kDa M_w cutoff) against a mixture of ethanol and ultrapure water (3:1 \rightarrow 2:1 \rightarrow 1:1) and finally against ultrapure water were performed to purify the desired product which was recovered by freeze-drying and lyophilization as a cottony white solid and characterized by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The degree of substitution (DS $_{100}$; defined as the average number of Pent groups per 100 disaccharide repeating unit) was calculated by the ratio between the integral of one aromatic proton of Pent unit (doublets at 6.99 and 7.60 ppm) and the integral of one proton of HA acetyl group (1.90 ppm) and turned out to be 12.2.

Entry 2 (Table 1): The time of ion exchange with resin was reduced to 1 h. The ratio of HA-COOH/Pent was increased to 1:1.5 using 0.15 mmol (51 mg) of Pent and the reaction time was maintained to 68 h. $DS_{100} = 54.0$.

Entry 3 (Table 1): The time of ion exchange with resin was reduced to 1 h. The ratio of HA-COOH/Pent was maintained to 1:1.5 using 0.15 mmol (51 mg) of Pent and the reaction time was reduced to 20 h. $DS_{100} = 39.9$.

2.3. Characterization

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements were performed using a Varian 500 MHz spectrometer. HA-COOH and synthesized derivatives (HA-Pent1, HA-Pent2, HA-Pent3) were dissolved in $\rm D_2O$ to concentrations of approximately 10 mg/mL and the 1H NMR spectra were recorded with 128-256 scans. The reference signal from HDO was set to δ 4.22 ppm. The ^{13}C NMR spectrum was performed dissolving the HA-Pent3 in $\rm D_2O/DMSO\text{-}d_6$ (95/5) and the reference signal of DMSO-d $_6$ was set to δ 39.5 ppm. The Fourier Transform Infrared (FT-IR, Perkin Elmer Spectrum 100) spectra were collected, in Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR) configuration, from 4000 to 450 cm $^{-1}$.

HA-Pent3 IR: (cm⁻¹) 3200-3500, 1712, 1643, 1611, 1492, 1403, 1270, 1033. ¹H NMR, selected signals, (D₂O; ppm): δ 1.14-1.21 (m, 2H), 1.51-156 (m 2H), 1.72-180 (m, 2H), 1.90 (s, 3H, *N*-C(O)CH₃), 4.06 (t, 4H, J= 6.4 Hz), 4.30-4.36 (m, 1H, H-1 proton of HA), 4.41-4.44 (m, 1H, H-1 proton of HA), 6.99 (d, 4H, J= 8.8 Hz), 7.60 (d, 4H, J= 8.8 Hz), 8.32(bs, 1H, *N*-H). ¹³C NMR D₂O/DMSO-d₆ (95/5 ppm): δ 174.8,

173.9, 165.6, 163.1, 129.8, 119.4, 115.2, 103.1, 100.3, 82.7, 79.9, 76.3, 75.3. 73.5, 72.3, 68.3, 60.4, 50.1, 27.6, 22.4, 21.5. (ESI Fig.S2). Ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometry (UV—Vis) was used to quantify the amount of conjugated Pent, by dissolving a weighted amount of lyophilized bioconjugate (1 mg) in 2.5 mL of water. On the basis of optical absorbance data and molar extinction coefficient (ϵ = 28900 M $^{-1}$ cm $^{-1}$), ¹⁸ determined by calibration curve at a wavelength of 261 nm, a drug content of 16% was estimated using the following equation: Drug content = (drug weight in the conjugate/weight of the conjugate) × 100.

No significant absorption in the UV-Vis region has been observed for HA-COOH.

Dual detector SEC analysis was performed using a GPC System equipped with a Water 1525 binary HPLC pump and a Water 2410 refractive index detector (RI) (Waters corporation) coupled in series to a miniDAWN Treos (Wyatt Technology) light scattering detector equipped with a WyattQELS DLS Module. This procedure, with in-line refractive index and light scattering detectors, allows the determination of absolute M_W values.¹⁹ The analyses were performed using three Progel-TSK columns (Tosoh Bioscience LLC) connected in series (G3000 PWXL, G5000 PWXL and G6000 PWXL, with a separation range up to 50 MDa). The mobile phase was a saline water solution, 0.1 mol/L of NaNO₃ with 0.02% of NaN₃ (higher ionic strength shows similar results), at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. To calculate the average molecular masses, a refractive index increment (dn/dc) of 0.165 mg/mL was used. The samples of HA and of its derivatives were prepared with a final concentration of 2mg/mL in the eluant solvent. Acquired data were analyzed by means of ASTRA 6.0.1 software (Wyatt Technology).

2.4 Amastigote drug screening assay and determination of cytotoxicity against macrophages

HA-Pent3 as well HA-COOH and pentamidine isethionate were tested against intracellular amastigote of Leishmania major as recently described using the amastigote drug screening assay. 17 In brief a 1,801 kbp fragment of the firefly luciferase (LUC)-coding region was cut from pGL4.13 (Promega, Mannheim, Germany) by Ncol/Xbal and subsequently cloned into Leishmania expression vector pLEXSY-hyg2 (Jena Bioscience, Jena, Germany) with a marker gene for selection with hygromycin B (HYG) and cut with Ncol/Nhel. After linearization with Swal, the coding sequences for LUC and HYG were integrated into the 18S rRNA locus of nuclear DNA of L. major. The virulence of LUC-transgenic L. major was maintained by passage in BALB/c mice. Promastigotes were grown in blood agar cultures at 27°C, 5% CO2, 95% humidity and 50 µg/ml hygromycin B. Bone marrow derived macrophages (BMDM) generated as previously described using L929 supernatants 17 were seeded (2 \times 10 5 cells per mL, final culture volume: 200 µL per well) into a white 96-well plate (Greiner Bio-One, Frickenhausen, Germany), and incubated for 4 h at 37°C to promote adhesion. LUC-transgenic promastigotes of L. major were harvested and re-suspended in ARTICLE Journal Name

RPMI-1640 medium, and finally added to each well. The infection rate of macrophages with LUC-transgenic L. major promastigote was adjusted to 1:15 (3 × 10⁶ promastigotes per mL). These cocultures were incubated for 24 h at 37°C, 5% CO₂, and 95% humidity to ensure infection and differentiation to amastigotes. After washing twice with RPMI-1640 medium, infected macrophages were incubated in the absence or presence of increasing concentrations of test samples (0.8 µM to 100 μM) for further 24 h at 37°C in duplicate wells (final culture volume: 200 μL per well). Then, 25 μL BriteliteTM (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) a lysis buffer containing luciferin was added in each well and luminescence was measured with a VictorTM X Light 2030 luminometer (PerkinElmer, Fremont, USA). The intensity of light emission after cell lysis is proportional to the number of intracellular amastigotes in macrophages. The luminescence was reduced after treatment with a leishmanicidal compound compared to the controls without compound (growth control). HA-Pent3 was dissolved in water before testing. HA-COOH and pentamidine isethionate were used as reference compounds and were also dissolved in water. The half-maximal inhibitory concentrations (IC₅₀ values) were calculated by linear interpolation (decreasing concentrations of the samples: 100 μ M, 20 μ M, 4 μ M, 0.8 μ M) as previously reported²⁰ and are presented as mean values of two independent experiments against the parasite and primary macrophages.

The activity of HA-Pent3 was compared with the free drug activity, by normalizing for the drug content. Cytotoxicities of HA-Pent3, Pent and HA-COOH were tested against uninfected primary BMDM as described above.¹⁷

3.0 Results and discussion

3.1 Chemistry

The conjugation of Pent with HA has been achieved by the "triazine-activated amidation" method, a highly versatile synthetic strategy that allows the regiospecific introduction of a wide range of functionalities without any unwanted side reactions,²¹ under relatively mild conditions (room temperature; neutral pH in aqueous media).²² The strategy entails the use of CDMT as an alternative reagent for coupling amines to HA carboxyl groups. Briefly, HA sodium salt was first converted into HA protonated form by using the appropriate ion-exchange resin. Then, NMM and CDMT were added to a solution of HA to activate the free carboxylic groups. The activation proceeds according to the proposed synthetic route depicted in Scheme 1 and involves the formation of an intermediate triazine-activated ester.²³ Finally, pentamidine [as free base (Pent)] was added to the mixture at 1:1 and 1:1.5 molar ratios (Entry 1 and Entry 2-3, respectively) with respect to HA carboxyl groups to effect the amide bond formation. Extensive dialysis of the solution and lyophilization afforded the desired amide-modified hyaluronan. Each amidation reaction was performed in a mixture of water and acetonitrile (3:2) which enables the solubilisation of both HA and other organic reagents. The data reported in Table 1 indicate that the molar ratio HA carboxyl groups/Pent (i.e. Entry 1 vs Entry

2) and the reaction time (i.e. Entry 3 vs Entry 2) are key factors to increase the DS_{100} . The most notable DS_{100} was achieved by using 1.5 equivalents of Pent with a reaction time of 68 h; under these conditions, we obtained HA-Pent2 bioconjugate with 54.0 of DS₁₀₀ (Entry 2, Table 1). Although HA-Pent2 shows the highest degree of drug loading, its low solubility in water (mainly in solution with high ionic strength) prevented the use of it for our biological application. We supposed that the coupling reaction between HA-COOH and our bifunctional drug proceeded towards the formation of a multi-branched (and therefore poorly water-soluble) complex. Thus, to limit the formation of multi-branched structures the reaction time was reduced to 20 h maintaining the 1:1.5 HA carboxyl groups/Pent molar ratio (Table 1, Entry 3). Under these experimental conditions we obtained a bioconjugate (i.e. HA-Pent3) with a good drug loading (although lower than HA-Pent2) and a proper solubility in water. On these bases, HA-Pent3 was advanced to biological screening.

The structure of HA-Pent3 was supported by spectral and analytical data; in particular the FT-IR spectrum shows the broad, strong band of O-H stretching at 3200-3500 cm⁻¹, several bands associated with C=O and C=NH stretching (1712, 1643 and 1611 cm⁻¹) and the strong band of C-O stretching at 1033 cm⁻¹ (ESI, Fig. S1).

The detection of the doublets of Pent aromatic protons at 6.99 ppm and 7.60 ppm in the 1 H NMR spectrum (Fig. 2) indicated the successful achievement of the coupling reaction and their integral values are congruent with the coupling efficiency. Therefore, the ${\rm DS}_{100}$ was determined by comparing integrated signals of aromatic protons of Pent with the corresponding methyl signal of the *N*-acetylglucosamine unit of HA (singlet at 1.90 ppm).

Scheme 1. Synthesis of HA-Pent bioconjugates.

Table 1: Reaction conditions of HA-Pent synthesis, degree of substitution, theoretical molecular weight of polysaccharide and drug loading.

Journal Name ARTICLE

Sample	Reaction	DS ₁₀₀ ^b	M _{Wt} ^c	^d Drug Loading
	Conditions		(g/mol)	Loading
HA-Pent1	Molar ratio ^a 1:1,	12.2	32750	9.9
	68 h (Entry 1)			
HA-Pent2	Molar ratio ^a 1:1.5	54.0	43300	33.2
	68 h, (Entry 2)			
HA-Pent3	Molar ratio ^a 1:1.5	39.9	39800	26.7
	20 h, (Entry 3)			

^a HA carboxylic group/Pent molar ratio

 $[^]d$ Loading of Pent in the bioconjugates at the corresponding DS $_{100}$ (% w/w) calculated considering the formation of a mono-linked derivative




Fig. 2 ¹H NMR spectra of HA-Pent derivatives recorded in D₂O.

3.2 Determination of $M_{\rm w}$ by SEC/MALLS

HA is susceptible to degradation through different mechanisms due to the labile nature of its glycoside bonds.²⁴ In our case these fragmentations may occur principally during the ionexchange with the resin and secondly during the various synthetic steps. Therefore, the average M_W of HA-COOH and its derivatives were measured by SEC-MALLS. The refractive index trace of starting HA-COOH, obtained from HA at high Mw commercially available, shows a distribution with an average M_w of about 30.0 kDa and a dispersity of 1.03. (Table 2). Instead, HA-Pent1, HA-Pent2 and HA-Pent3 peak elution volumes reveal a volume decrease (see Fig. 3) indicating an increase of both hydrodynamic volume and molecular mass. HA-Pent1, obtained by the standard coupling procedure (2 h of treatment with ionic-exchange resin and one equivalent of Pent), showed an increased polydispersity (from 1.03 to 1.48) together with an increased average M_W with respect to HA-COOH. Moreover, its GPC profile showed the presence of different oligomers with low M_{W} (Fig. 3). The optimization of the reaction conditions (1 h of treatment of HA with ionicexchange resin and an increased amount of basic reagent Pent as for HA-Pent2 and HA-Pent3) avoided the oligomers formation (Fig. 3). A lower dispersity (i.e. 1.27; HA-Pent3) was obtained by reducing the reaction time from 68 h to 20 h. The molecular mass values obtained from SEC/MALLS are reported in Table 2 for all the samples. These data are in accordance

with the qualitative evaluation deduced from the refractive index traces. However, for all HA-Pent derivatives the increase of the molar mass is much higher than expected. Probably, this is due to the bifunctional amidine moiety of Pent that, in addition to the intramolecular reactions (forming internal loop), can react also with others HA-COOH's chains (intermolecular reactions) leading to of multi-branched structures. Because of this, in the case of HA-Pent2, sample with the highest drug loading, the macromolecular species turned out to be only partially water-soluble and exhibited a lower Mw value with respect to HA-Pent1 derivative (case with only about 10% of Pent loading, Table 1). This outcome suggests a lower ability of HA-Pent2 to form network structures with respect to HA-Pent1. However, this deduction is misleading because the increase of Pent leads also to an increase of the bioconjugate branching degree with the consequent formation of an insoluble multi-branched and/or cross-linked polymer fraction. Conversely, the different Mw values observed for HA-Pent2 and HA-Pent3 (both having the same HA/Pent molar ratio) are due to the different reaction times, higher for HA-Pent2 (68 h) than HA-Pent3 (20 h).

The differences between theoretical Mw_t and Mn values of bioconjugates suggest that the coupling reaction proceeded towards the formation of linear/branched and/or partly cross-linked bioconjugates in the case of HA-Pent3 (39800 vs 73100) and towards the formation of multi-branched or cross-linked derivatives in the cases of HA-Pent1 and HA-Pent2. Thus, the cross-linking in the coupling reaction depends mainly on DS_{100} and reaction time parameters.




Fig. 3. GPC normalized trace of HA and HA-Pent bioconjugates.

Table 2: Mw by SEC/MALLS and dispersity.

Sample	M _{Wt} ^a (g/mol)	Mn⁵	M _W ^c	Đ ^d
HA-COOH	-	29750	30560	1.03
HA-Pent1 (entry 1)	32750	190800	283400	1.48
HA-Pent2* (entry 2)	43300	149100	196900	1.32
HA-Pent3 (entry 3)	39800	73100	89000	1.27

^a Theoretical molecular weight at the corresponding DS₁₀₀

^b Number of Pent groups per 100 disaccharide repeating unit

 $^{^{\}rm c}$ Theoretical molecular weight at the corresponding ${\rm DS}_{\rm 100}$

ARTICLE Journal Name

3.3 Biological Evaluation

To validate our drug targeting strategy, HA-Pent3 was employed for the biological tests. In the assay against the intracellular amastigote form of the parasite, HA-Pent3 turned out to be more potent than the reference compound (HA-Pent3, IC $_{50}$ = 2.7 μ M vs pentamidine isethionate, IC $_{50}$ = 4.5 μ M; Table 2), indicating an efficient internalization of the bioconjugate in the infected macrophages. At the same time HA-Pent3 showed less cytotoxicity than the standard in the assay against primary macrophages (HA-Pent3, IC $_{50}$ = 61.8 μ M vs pentamidine isethionate, IC $_{50}$ = 45.2 μ M; Table 2). HA did not show any antileishmanial or cytotoxic effect on primary macrophages. Overall, by conjugating Pent with HA, the selectivity index (SI) of the drug was raised over three-fold (Table 3).

Table 3: Antileishmanial activity (after 24 h of incubation) and cytotoxicity (after 48 h of incubation) of HA-Pent3 in comparison with HA-COOH and pentamidine isethionate (reference compound).

Compound	IC ₅₀ [μM] ^a	IC ₅₀ [μM] ^b	SI
Pentamidine isethionate	4.5	45.2	10.1
HA-COOH	> 100	> 100	-
HA-Pent3	2.7	61.8	23.3

^aAmastigotes (*L. major*); ^DBMDM

Conclusions

In this work, we reported a straightforward synthetic method to obtain a drug targeting delivery system by using Pent and HA as drug and targeting delivery platform, respectively. The resulting HA-Pent bioconjugate turned out to be effective in the biological assay against L. major amastigote-infected macrophages by increasing nearly two-fold the potency of the drug with no significant cytotoxicity effects towards primary macrophages, showing a potential for the treatment of Leishmaniasis. These results suggest that the drug can be successfully internalized in the macrophages by means of HA receptors and released within the intracellular environment proposing HA as an appealing tool for the development of drug targeting delivery systems for the treatment of macrophage-associate diseases. On the other hand, since Pent is biologically and chemically versatile, our HA-Pent bioconjugate may be exploited for the development of complex/combined systems, i.e. by conjugation with other drugs that may produce synergistic therapeutic effects and/or further reduce the toxicity.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by MIUR [project PRIN 20109Z2XRJ_010]. TS, MS and US gratefully acknowledge financial support by the DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, SFB 630).

Notes and references

- J. Alvar, I. D. Vélez, C. Bern, M. Herrero, P. Desjeux, J. Cano, J. Jannin and M. den Boer, PLoS One, 2012, 7, e35671.
- C. Posch, J. Walochnik, A. Gschnait, H. Feichtinger and K. Rappersberger, *Hautarzt* 2012, 63, 947.
- 3 J. N. Sangshetti, F. A. Kalam Khan, A. A. Kulkarni, R. Arote and R. H. Patil, RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 32376.
- 4 K. Seifert, Open Med. Chem. J., 2011, 5, 31.
- (a) Z. L. Yang, X. R. Li, K. W. Yang and Y. Liu, J. Biomed. Mater. Res. - Part A, 2008, 85, 539; (b) K. Shao, R. Huang, J. Li, L. Han, L. Ye, J. Lou and C. Jiang, J. Control. Release, 2010, 147, 118; (c) R. F. Carvalho, I. F. Ribeiro, A. L. Miranda-Vilela, J. Souza-Filho, O. P. Martins, C. S. D. Oliveira, A. C. Tedesco, Z. G. Lacava, Z. N. Báo and R. N. Simpaio, Exp. Parasitol., 2013, 135, 217; (d) A. E. Silva, G. Barratt, M. Cheron and E. S. T. Egito, Int. J. Pharm., 2013, 454, 641; (e) R. Kumar, G. C. Sahoo, K. Pandey, V. N. R. Das and P. Das P, Drug Deliv., 2015, 22, 383; (f) P. K. Gupta, A. K. Jaiswal, V. Kumar, A. Verma, P. Dwivedi, A. Dube and P. R. Mishra, Mol. Pharm., 2014, **11**, 951; (g) T. G. Ribeiro, J. R. Franca, L. L. Fuscaldi, M. L. Santos, M. C. Duarte, P. S. Lage, V. T. Martins, L. E. Costa, S. O. A. Fernandes, V. N. Cardoso, R. O. Castilho, M. Soto, C. A. P. Tavares, A. A. G. Faraco, E. A. F. Coelho and M. A. Chávez-Fumagalli, Int. J. Nanomedicine, 2014, 9, 5341.
- 6 World Health Organization, World Health Organ. Tech. Rep. Ser., 2010, 949, 22.
- 7 (a) M. F. Minnick, L. D. Hicks, J. M. Battisti and R. Raghavan, Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents, 2010, 36, 380; (b) P. Harris, C. Engler and R. Norton, Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents, 2011, 38, 547; (c) X. Wang, Z. Fiorini, C. Smith, Y. Zhang, J. Li, L. R. Watkins and H. Yin, PLoS One, 2012, 7, e47703.
- 8 G. Esposito, E. Capoccia, G. Sarnelli, C. Scuderi, C. Cirillo, R. Cuomo and L. Steardo, J. Neuroinflammation 2012, 9, 277.
- 9 (a) M. K. Pathak, D. Dhawan, D. J. Lindner, E. C. Borden, C. Farver and T. Yi, Mol. Cancer Ther., 2002, 1, 1255; (b) J. Smith, B. J. Stewart, S. Glaysher, K. Peregrin, L. A. Knight, D. J. Weber and I. A. Cree, Anticancer Drugs, 2010, 21, 181.
- A. Mukherjee, P. K. Padmanabham, M. H. Sahani, M. P. Barrett and R. Madhubala, *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.*, 2006, 145. 1.
- 11 (a) B. Chen, R. J. Miller and P. K. Dhal, J. Biomed. Nanotechnol., 2014, 10, 4; (b) A. Mero and M. Campisi, Polymers, 2014, 6, 346.
- 12 A.-F. Tăbăran and C. Catoi, Biotech. Mol. Biol. NanoMed., 2014, 2, 17.
- 13 M. Kamat, K. Boubbou, D. C. Zhu, T. Lansdell, X. Lu, W. Li and X. Huang, *Bioconjug. Chem.*, 2010, **21**, 2128.
- 14 D. Liu and J. E. Uzonna, Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol., 2012, 2, 83.
- 15 (a) T. Fernandes Stefanello, A. Szarpak-Jankowska, F. Appaix, B. Louage, L. Hamard, B. G. De Geest, B. van der Sanden, C. V. Nakamura and R. Auzély-Velty, *Acta Biomater.*, 2014, 10, 4750; (b) S. Y. Park, M. Y. Jung, H. J. Kim, S. J. Lee, S. Y. Kim, B. H. Lee, T. H. Kwon, R. W. Park and I. S. Kim, *Cell Death Diff.*, 2008, 15, 192.
- 16 N. K. Jain, V. Mishra and N. K. Mehra, Expert Opin. Drug Deliv., 2013, 10, 353.

^b Number average molecular weight

^c Weight average molecular weight

^d dispersity

^{*} analysis performed on the soluble fraction

Journal Name ARTICLE

- 17 G. Bringmann, K. Thomale, S. Bischof, C. Schneider, M. Schultheis, T. Schwarz, H. Moll and U. Schurigt, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 2013, **57**, 3003.
- 18 N. N. Degtyareva, B. D. Wallace, A. R. Bryant, K. M. Loo, J. T. Petty. *Biophys. J.*, 2007, **92**, 959.
- 19 M. H. Ong, K. Jumel, P. F. Tokarczuk, J. M. V. Blanshard and S. E. Harding. *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1994, **260**, 99.
- 20 W. Huber and J. C. Koella, *Acta Trop.*, 1993, **55**, 257.
- 21 Z. J. Kamiński, Tetrahedron Lett., 1985, 26, 2901.
- 22 K. Bergman, C. Elvingson, J. Hilborn, G. Svensk and T. Bowden, *Biomacromolecules*, 2007, **8**, 2190.
- 23 H. L. Rayle and L. Fellmeth, Org. Process Res. Dev., 1999, 3, 172.
- 24 G. Huerta-Angeles, D. Šmejkalová, D. Chladkova, T. Ehlova, R. Buffa and V. Velebny. Carbohydr. Polym., 2011, 84, 1293.