RSC Advances

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/advances

Journal Name RSCPublishing

COMMUNICATION

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

In situ embedding SiO2 into the graphene oxide to generate 3D hierarchical porous graphene laminates for high performance lithium-sulfur batteries

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012 Wangliang Wu,^{ab} Chunying Wan,^{ab} Chuxin Wu,^{ab} and Lunhui Guan^{ab*}

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

3D hierarchical porous graphene laminates (GLs) with high surface area and porosity were synthesized through selfassembly of functionalized graphene oxide embedded with SiO² in situ. Thus, as a cathode material for Li-S batteries, the obtained GLs loading with 73 wt% sulfur, delivers a high discharge capacity of 800 mA h g−1 after 100 cycles at a current density of 0.2 C.

High energy density rechargeable batteries are receiving significant attention to address emerging energy needs in electric vehicles and large-scale renewable energy storage.^{1, 2} Lithium–sulfur batteries (Li–S), as one of the most promising candidates, have been under intense scrutiny in virtue of its high theoretical capacity (1675 mA h g^{-1}), high natural abundance, low cost and environmental friendliness.

However, the Li–S cell is greatly plagued with problems that have hindered its widespread practical application: i) low sulfur utilization due to the insulating nature of sulfur and its discharge products, ii) fast capacity fade and short cycle life due to severe polysulfide diffusion into electrolyte and then shuttle between cathode and anode and iii) damage of the mechanical integrity and the stability for the electrodes due to the huge volume change of active material during discharge/charge processes.³⁻⁵ To overcome the several persistent drawbacks, sulfur is always combined with a carbon matrix⁶⁻⁸ or conductive polymer^{9, 10} to enhance the electrical conductance of the electrodes and thereby improve the performance of the batteries.

Graphene, as one of the most fascinating carbon material owing to its unique two dimensional morphology, high specific surface area, superior electrical conductivity, and excellent mechanical property, is often used to reinforce the overall conductivity of the electrode for Li-S batteries.¹¹ Recently, some studies have been investigated to synthesize the graphene-sulfur composite.¹² In addition, Sandwich-like graphene-sulfur nanocomposites are also designed to enhance the performance of Li-S batteries.^{13, 14} However, the huge surface area and strong π - π interactions between graphene layers lead to their facile stacking.¹⁵ Hence, these sulfur-graphene

hybrids show poor cycling stability. To further improve the specific capacities and stabilities of the sulfur-graphene electrodes. Some researchers propose strategies that the sulfur nanopartices are coated with the graphene or GO to confine the sulfur and suppress the dissolution of polysulfides into electrolyte.^{16, 17} Nevertheless, the sulfur enveloped by the graphene or GO is still partially insulating and results in the electrode with low rate capability. Ding et al. report a method to synthesize a porous graphene for immobilizing the sulfur by chemically tailoring the graphene with KOH.¹⁸ Zhao et al. show an intrinsically unstacked double-layer template graphene with mesoporous via template-directed chemical vapour deposition, as a promising carbon material for Li-S batteries.¹⁵ As a consequence, the high capacity and excellent cycling retention can be realized. Thus, design a rational graphene-based porous carbon to combine the excellent properties of graphene with porous architectures is an efficient approach to improve the performance of Li-S batteries.¹⁹⁻²¹

Herein, we report novel 3D hierarchical porous graphene laminates (GLs) with high pore volume and large surface area as a carbon host material for sulfur cathode. The GLs composite with layer structure, not only possesses excellent external accessible surface area and high pore volume to confine the polysulfides in the cathodes during the discharge/charge processes, but also affords a 3D conductive network for ion/electron transportation. Whereupon, the GLs-S composite with 73 wt% sulfur content exhibits high

Fig. 1. Schematic illustration of the synthesis procedure of GLs composite.

Fig. 2. SEM images of (a, d) GO-SiO₂, (b, e) GLs and (c, f) GLs-S.

reversible capacity, stable cycling performance as well as excellent rate capabilities and cycling efficiency. At a current density of 0.2 C, it demonstrates a high discharge capacity of 800 mA h g^{-1} after 100 cycles. Even at a high rate of 1 C, it still remains a reversible capacity of 596 mA h g^{-1} after 200 cycles.

The synthetic strategy of GLs is illustrated in **Fig. 1**. The GLs composite was synthesized through self-assembly of functionalized GO embedded with $SiO₂$ in situ as a pore forming agent. Firstly, $GO-SiO₂$ nanosheets were fabricated by functionalized GO selfassembled with GO as the shape-directing agent and $SiO₂$ nanoparticles (NPs) as the mesopore guides. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) reveals that the $GO-SiO₂$ possesses a model sandwich-like structure in **Fig. 2(a, d)**. The SiO_2 NPs are homogeneously immobilized onto the surface of GO. In addition, Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) shows that the size of $SiO₂$ NPs synthesized in situ onto the GO is \sim 10 nm in Fig. 3(a, d). Then, GLs were created by a carbonization process followed by HF solution etching. As described in **Fig. 2(b, e)**, the GLs are constructed by GO nanosheets stacking. Moreover, the thin layer pore walls can be identified from TEM in **Fig. 3(b, e)**, revealing that the porous nanocages are comprised of rGO nanosheets. Finally, GLs-S composite was synthesized by a simple melt infiltration method. After sulfur infiltration, the resultant composite inherits the 3D porous graphene laminates morphology with no obvious structural change in Fig. $2(c, f)$ and $3(c, f)$. The distributing status of S is further investigated by the homogenous EDS mapping of GLs-S composite in **Fig. S1**.

Fig. 3. TEM images of (a, d) GO-SiO₂, (b, e) GLs and (c, f) GLs-S.

Fig. 4. (a) Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms and (b) pore

size distribution of GLs, GLs-S, rGO and rGO-S composites.

Nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms are used to investigate the porous structures of the GLs, GLs-S, rGO and rGO-S composites in **Fig. 4a**. The GLs exhibits combined characteristics of type II/IV, with a Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area of 771 m² g^{-1} and a total pore volume of 1.53 cm³ g^{-1} , which are higher than the values of 252 m^2 g⁻¹ and 1.12 cm³ g⁻¹ for rGO. The GLs composite possessing higher surface area and pore volume could facilitate to retain polysulfides from dissolving into the electrolyte and thus improve the cycle stability due to a strong physical adsorption of the porous structure for the active material and soluble polysulphides. After sulfur impregnation, the hysteresis region of the GLs-S (or rGO-S) almost disappears, corresponding to a systematic decrease of the BET surface area and the total pore volume of GLs– S (or rGO-S) composite, as summarized in **Table 1 (EIS)**. The tremendous changes in the surface area and porosity of the samples reconfirm that S is indeed dispersed in the pores of GLs (or rGO), which is consistent with the pore size distribution curves in **Fig. 4b**. Moreover, the GLs present more abundant mesopores than these of rGO, well matched with the TEM observation result in **Fig. 3(b, e)**.

The overall content of sulfur in GLs-S sample was measured to be as high as 73 wt% by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) in **Fig. 5a**. For comparison, the sulfur loading of rGO-S was just 68 wt%. The detailed structures are also checked by the XRD patterns of the GLs, GLs-S, rGO and rGO-S composites in **Fig. 5b**. The GLs and rGO exhibit a diffraction peak near 26 ° and 42 °, corresponding to the (002) and (100) peaks of graphene.²³ It is consistent with the detecting graphene sheet pore walls in **Fig. 3e**. Meanwhile some crystalline sulfur peaks with orthorhombic structure (JCPDS 08– 0247) can be observed from GLs-S and rGO-S composites, indicating the distribution of ultrafine sulfur in the composites.

Fig. 5. (a) TGA curves of GLs-S and rGO-S composites and (b) XRD patterns of GLs, GLs-S, rGO and rGO-S composites.

Fig. 6. (a) Typical voltage capacity profiles of GLs-S and (b) cycle

stability of GLs-S and rGO-S at 0.2 C.

The lithiation voltage curves of the GLs-S composite are exhibited in **Fig. 6a** at 0.2 C (1 C=1675 mA g^{-1}) between 1.9 V and 2.7 V. The curves demonstrate two main plateaus of typical sulfur cathode at 2.3 V and 2.1 V, which are attributed to the transformation of sulfur into long-chain polysulphides $(L_2S_n;$ where n is typically 4-8) and further conversion of short-chain polysulphides (Li_2S_2/Li_2S), respectively.¹⁰ The two step lithiation process is in correspondence well with redox peaks displayed in the CV curves in **Fig. S2**. **Fig. 6b** shows the cycling stabilities of the GLs-S composite. The GLs-S electrode exhibits the initial discharge capacity of 1158 mA h g^{-1} and retains a reversible capacity of 800 mA h g^{-1} at 0.2 C rate after 100 cycles, which is higher than the values for sulfur combined with porous carbons,²⁴⁻²⁶ hollow carbon spheres,^{27, 28} carbon nanofibers/nanotubes,^{29, 30} graphene/GO,^{31, 32} as well as graphene-based hybrid.³³⁻³⁵ In contrast, the rGO-S electrode suffers from rapid capacity fading during the cycles and only remains a discharge capacity of 629 mA h g^{-1} after 100 cycles, implying severe polysulfides dissolution. The significantly higher capacity and coulombic efficiency of GLs-S electrode compared with rGO-S reaffirm that the GLs with large electrochemically active surface area and high pore volume, provides the intimate contact with S to suppress the dissolution of the polysulphides and also affords a 3D conductive network for ion/electron transportation.

In addition, the cycling performance at a high current density of 1 C is also examined in **Fig. 7a**. After being discharged/charged at 0.2 C for the first two cycles, the cell shows a very good capacity retention, which maintains a reversible capacity of 596 mA h g^{-1} after 200 cycles. These results indicate that the design of such porous layer structure could indeed improve the performance of Li-S batteries. The rate capability of the GLs-S composite from 0.2 to 4 C is carried out in **Fig. 7b**. The discharge capacity of composite is stabilized around 1050 mA h g^{-1} at 0.2 C. Even at a high rate of 4 C, the composite also delivers a high capacity of 510 mA h g^{-1} . When

Fig. 7. (a) Cycle performance at a high current density of 1 C and (d)

rate capability of the GLs-S composite.

the rate is switched abruptly back from 4 to 0.2 C, the discharge capacity can be mostly recovered at 818 mA h g^{-1} after 70 cycles, indicating the good stability of the GLs-S structure. The excellent electrochemical performance can be understood through the following reasons: Firstly, the GLs structure consisting of two dimensional graphene offered superior 3D electrical conductive network to facilitate fast electronic/ionic transport and enhance reaction kinetics of sulfur, resulting good rate capabilities. Secondly, the GLs composite with mesopore nanocages and high surface area can effectively prevent lithium polysulfides from dissolving into electrolyte, resulting in stable cycling retention and high efficiency. Thirdly, the large pore volume of GLs can accommodate more sulfur to improve the energy density of batteries. Finally, the wrinkles in the GLs composite possess high stretch ability could buffer the strain generated from the volumetric changes of sulfur during the discharge/charge processes and therefore keep the structure stable.

Conclusions

In summary, we have successfully synthesized 3D hierarchical porous GLs through self-assembly of functionalized GO embedded with $SiO₂$ in situ as a pore forming agent. Due to the unique architecture of GLs with large pore volume and high surface area, the obtained GLs-S cathode exhibits outstanding electrochemical performance. It shows excellent cycling stability and retains a reversible capacity of 800 mA h g^{-1} at 0.2 C after 100 cycles and 596 mA h g^{-1} at 1C after 200 cycles. Even at a high rate of 4C, it still delivers a capacity of 510 mA h g^{-1} . The synthesis strategy is simple and facile, conceptually providing new opportunities for materials to design 3D porous architectures that can be extended to many different electrode materials.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the financial support provided by the National Key Project on Basic Research (Grant no. 2011CB935904), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 21171163, 91127020), Science and Technology Planning Project of Fujian Province (Grant No. 2014H2008) and NSF for Distinguished Young Scholars of Fujian Province (Grant no. 2013J06006).

Notes and references

a Key Laboratory of Design and Assembly of Functional Nanostructures, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, China Fax: +86- 591-63173550; Tel: +86-591-63173550; E-mail: guanlh@fjirsm.ac.cn b Fujian Key Laboratory of Nanomertials, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, China

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- 1. P. G. Bruce, S. A. Freunberger, L. J. Hardwick and J. M. Tarascon, *Nat. Mater.*, 2012, **11**, 19-29.
- 2. G. Zhou, L. Li, C. Ma, S. Wang, Y. Shi, N. Koratkar, W. Ren, F. Li and H.-M. Cheng, *Nano Energy*, 2015, **11**, 356-365.
- 3. X. Ji and L. F. Nazar, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**, 9821.
- 4. S. H. Chung and A. Manthiram, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 7352-7357.
- 5. Z. Wei Seh, W. Li, J. J. Cha, G. Zheng, Y. Yang, M. T. McDowell, P. C. Hsu and Y. Cui, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, **4**, 1331.
- 6. X. Ji, K. T. Lee and L. F. Nazar, *Nat. Mater.*, 2009, **8**, 500-506.
- 7. H. Chen, C. Wang, W. Dong, W. Lu, Z. Du and L. Chen, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 798-802.
- 8. W. Zhou, C. Wang, Q. Zhang, H. D. Abruña, Y. He, J. Wang, S. X. Mao and X. Xiao, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2015, 1401752.
- 9. L. P. Felix B. Dias, Jakobert B.J. Veldhuis, *J. Power Sources*, 2002, **88**, 169-191.
- 10. W. Zhou, Y. Yu, H. Chen, F. J. DiSalvo and H. D. Abruna, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 16736-16743.
- 11. H. Kim, H.-D. Lim, J. Kim and K. Kang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 33- 47.
- 12. J.-Z. Wang, L. Lu, M. Choucair, J. A. Stride, X. Xu and H.-K. Liu, *J. Power Sources*, 2011, **196**, 7030-7034.
- 13. Y. Cao, X. Li, I. A. Aksay, J. Lemmon, Z. Nie, Z. Yang and J. Liu, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 7660-7665.
- 14. B. Wang, K. Li, D. Su, H. Ahn and G. Wang, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2012, **7**, 1637-1643.
- 15. M. Q. Zhao, Q. Zhang, J. Q. Huang, G. L. Tian, J. Q. Nie, H. J. Peng and F. Wei, *Nat. commun*, 2014, **5**, 3410.
- 16. J. Rong, M. Ge, X. Fang and C. Zhou, *Nano Lett.*, 2014, **14**, 473-479.
- 17. S. Evers and L. F. Nazar, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 1233-1235.
- 18. B. Ding, C. Yuan, L. Shen, G. Xu, P. Nie, Q. Lai and X. Zhang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 1096-1101.
- 19. Xi Yang, Long Zhang, Fan Zhang, Yi Huang and Y. Chen, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 5208-5215.
- 20. X. a. Chen, Z. Xiao, X. Ning, Z. Liu, Z. Yang, C. Zou, S. Wang, X. Chen, Y. Chen and S. Huang, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2014, **4**, 1301988.
- 21. Z. Peng, W. Fang, H. Zhao, J. Fang, H. Cheng, T. N. L. Doan, J. Xu and P. Chen, *J. Power Sources*, 2015, **282**, 70-78.
- 22. C. Wan, W. Wu, C. Wu, J. Xu and L. Guan, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 5102- 5106.
- 23. M. S. Park, J. S. Yu, K. J. Kim, G. Jeong, J. H. Kim, Y. N. Jo, U. Hwang, S. Kang, T. Woo and Y. J. Kim, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **14**, 6796-6804.
- 24. B. Zhang, X. Qin, G. R. Li and X. P. Gao, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2010, **3**, 1531.
- 25. X. Li, Y. Cao, W. Qi, L. V. Saraf, J. Xiao, Z. Nie, J. Mietek, J.-G. Zhang, B. Schwenzer and J. Liu, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 16603.
- 26. H. Sohn, M. L. Gordin, T. Xu, S. Chen, D. Lv, J. Song, A. Manivannan and D. Wang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 7596-7606.
- 27. Chaofeng Zhang, Hao Bin Wu, Changzhou Yuan, Zaiping Guo and X. W. D. Lou, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* , 2012, 9592 –9595.
- 28. Y. Qu, Z. Zhang, X. Wang, Y. Lai, Y. Liu and J. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 14306.
- 29. G. Zhou, D.-W. Wang, F. Li, P.-X. Hou, L. Yin, C. Liu, G. Q. Lu, I. R. Gentle and H.-M. Cheng, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, **5**, 8901.
- 30. J.-Q. Huang, Q. Zhang, S.-M. Zhang, X.-F. Liu, W. Zhu, W.-Z. Qian and F. Wei, *Carbon*, 2013, **58**, 99-106.
- 31. H. Wang, Y. Yang, Y. Liang, J. T. Robinson, Y. Li, A. Jackson, Y. Cui and H. Dai, *Nano Lett.*, 2011, **11**, 2644-2647.
- 32. C. Xu, Y. Wu, X. Zhao, X. Wang, G. Du, J. Zhang and J. Tu, *J. Power Sources*, 2015, **275**, 22-25.
- 33. S. Lu, Y. Cheng, X. Wu and J. Liu, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 2485-2489.
- 34. Meng-Qiang Zhao, Xiao-Fei Liu, Qiang Zhang, Gui-Li Tian, Jia-Qi Huang, Wancheng Zhu and F. Wei, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 10759-10769.
- 35. X. Huang, B. Sun, K. Li, S. Chen and G. Wang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 13484.

3D hierarchical porous graphene laminates through self-assembly of functionalized graphene oxide embedded with $SiO₂$ in situ as a carbon host material for sulfur cathode, exhibits high reversible capacity, stable cycling performance as well as excellent rate capabilities and cycling efficiency.