

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

Adsorption characteristics and mechanism of sewage sludge-derived adsorbent for removing sulfonated methyl phenol resin in wastewater

Yucheng Liu,*,† Ju Chen,† Mingyan Chen,† Bo Zhang,† Danni Wu,† and Qixuan
Cheng†

⁶ +School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Southwest Petroleum University,
7 Chengdu 610500, China

8 ABSTRACT

Sulfonated methyl phenol resin (SMP) is one of most popular organic additives 9 in drilling fluid. It is difficult to treat drilling wastewater that contains SMP. Sewage 10 sludge-derived adsorbent (SSA) was prepared by pyrolysis and activation of sewage 11 sludge. Compared to other biochar and bentonitic adsorbents, the SSA possessed the 12 highest adsorption capacity for SMP, with a removal capacity of 39.41 mg g^{-1} . The 13 adsorption of SMP onto SSA was investigated by pH, ionic strength, SMP initial 14 15 concentration, contact time and temperature. The Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm models were used to describe the adsorption equilibrium. The Langmuir monolayer 16 adsorption capacity of SSA was estimated as 42.97 mg g^{-1} . The pseudo-first-order 17 kinetic, pseudo-second-order kinetic and intra-particle diffusion kinetic model were 18 employed to analyze the adsorption process of SMP onto SSA. The adsorption 19 activation energy (E_a) was 23.95 kJ mol⁻¹ at 25 - 40 °C, which implied the 20 physisorption was more significant in the SMP-SSA system. The adsorption 21 thermodynamics was evaluated, and the parameters such as the enthalpy (ΔH^0) was 22 14.41 kJ mol⁻¹ and entropy (ΔS^0) was 58.64 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹ at 100 mg L⁻¹ SMP. The results 23 24 indicated the SMP adsorption onto SSA was spontaneous and endothermic in nature. 25 The excellent adsorption capacity for SMP indicates that the SSA could be a new promising low cost adsorbent for removal of SMP pollutants in drilling wastewater. 26

27 KEY WORDS

28 Sewage sludge; Adsorption; Sludge adsorbent; Sulfonated methyl phenol resin

29 1. Introduction

Sewage sludge can be used as a potential precursor for the production of adsorbent materials due to its carbon properties.^{1,2} In recent years, considerable attention has been given to adsorbents derived from sewage sludge for the removal of pollutants. Some benefits include low potential cost and environmental sustainability. ³ The properties of the adsorbent and its affinity to different pollutants

are different due to the heterogeneous nature of raw sludges and the diversification 35 of preparation processes.^{1,2} Much research has been conducted on aqueous 36 adsorption,⁴ such as the uptake metals ions (Cu^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Cd^{2+} , Cr^{6+} , Hg^{2+}),⁵⁻¹⁰ the 37 removal of dye (methylene blue, acid yellow, alkaline black, acid red, naphthalene 38 dve),¹¹⁻¹⁶ the uptake of pharmaceutical products (tetracycline, antibiotics, 39 anticonvulsants),^{17,18} and the adsorption of phenol and benzene derivatives (phenol, 40 4-chlorophenol, benzoic acid, 4-hydroxylbenzoic acid).¹⁹⁻²¹ In addition, some authors 41 have studied the adsorption of organic materials (phosphates, chemical oxygen 42 demand (COD)) in wastewater onto sludge-derived adsorbents.^{22,23} However, there 43 have been few studies on the adsorption of large molecule organic pollutants on 44 45 sewage sludge-derived adsorbent.

46 Sulfonated methyl phenol resin (SMP) is one of most popular organic additives in drilling fluid used in wells deeper than 3000 m. Some beneficial properties include, 47 due to the good fluid loss, dispersion characters, and resistance to high temperature 48 (up to 200 °C).²⁴⁻²⁶ SMP is a type of polyelectrolytes that contains sulfonated methyl 49 $(-CH_2SO_3)$ in the molecular chain. The functional group enhances the hydrostability 50 and solubility of SMP, and stabilizes the drilling fluid as a high content of suspended 51 solid slurry.^{27,28} Because these functional groups are hydrophilic and 52 non-biodegradable, it is hard to remove SMP from wastewater by means of 53 coagulation and microbiological methods, both of which are commonly used 54 methods for wastewater treatment. According to Lv et al.,^{29,30} the adsorption method 55 was able to achieve a satisfactory result, but the adsorbent itself was expensive for 56 57 wastewater treatment. So finding a low cost adsorbent for treatment of drilling wastewater is significant. Unfortunately, few studies have reported concerning SMP 58 59 adsorption on sewage sludge-derived adsorbent, considering its potential low cost 60 and hierarchical porosity.

61 It is meaningful and valuable to research the removal of SMP by sewage sludge-derived adsorbent in aqueous solution. The sewage sludge-derived adsorbent 62 (SSA) was prepared by pyrolysis and activation of sewage sludge. SSA was then used 63 to adsorb SMP in aqueous solution. This work focuses on the adsorption 64 characteristics and mechanism of SMP onto SSA. We analyzed the influence of pH 65 value and ionic strength on adsorption. Thermodynamic parameters were calculated 66 to analyze the nature of adsorption. The kinetic and isotherm models for adsorption 67 68 were investigated to understand the adsorption mechanism of SMP onto SSA.

69 2. Materials and experiment methods

70 2.1 Experiment Materials

71 Dewatered sewage sludge used in this study was collected from Jinhai Municipal 72 Wastewater Treatment Plant in Chengdu, China, where wastewater treatment 73 undergoes an activated sludge process. Sulfonated methyl phenol resin (SMP, CAS 74 No.: 68201-32-1, Dry basis content: 88%), was purchased from Bazhou Sanyuan 75 Petroleum Additives Corp., Xinjiang, China (industrial grade). ZnCl₂, K₂Cr₂O₇, 76 $(NH_4)_2$ Fe $(SO_4)_2$ ·6H₂O, H₂SO₄, HCl and NaOH were purchased from Changzheng 77 Chemical Corp., Chengdu, China (analytical grade). All of the required solutions were 78 prepared with deionized water.

79 2.2 preparation of SSA

80 The collected sample was dried at 105 °C for 24 h, ground and sieved through a size of 50 - 70 mesh. It was then impregnated into 2.5 M ZnCl₂ solution at a ratio of 81 1:2.5 (dry sewage sludge (SS) : $ZnCl_2$ solution, wt : wt) and was stored for 24 h at 82 room temperature. Subsequently, the supernatant liquid was removed and the 83 84 impregnated SS was dried at 85 °C for 12 h. The sample was pyrolyzed in a box-type electronic heating furnace under a nitrogen atmosphere; it was heated at a ramp 85 rate of 10 °C min⁻¹ to reach 500 °C and then maintained for 60 min. After cooling 86 down to room temperature, the pyrolyzed product was ground, sieved into less than 87 100 mesh, washed three times with 3 M hydrochloric acid solution, and then rinsed 88 89 with deionized water until the pH was approximately 6 - 7. Finally, the product was 90 dried at 105 °C for 12 h. The wheat straw and the walnut shell were pyrolyzed at 600 91 °C for 2 h, then washed, ground and dried by the same way of SSA. The biochars 92 obtained were labeled as WRB (wheat straw biochar) and WEB (walnut shell 93 biochar).

94 2.3 Characterization of SMP

95 Prior to the use, the SMP sample was further purified by deionized water, filtered through a 0.45 μ m membrane, concentrated by reduced pressure distillation, 96 and desiccated in an oven. The pH of SMP solution was varied using 0.1 M HCl and 97 98 0.1 M NaOH solutions. The surface charge of SMP molecular was characterized by 99 the zeta potential in Zeta PALS 190 Plus (Brookhaven Instruments Corp., USA). Total 100 Organic Carbon (TOC) and Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) analyses were employed for quantitative detection of SMP in water. The TOC analysis was carried out by the 101 102 TOC-VCPH analyzer (SHIMADZU corp., Japan), and the COD analysis was performed according to the dichromate-based COD protocol described in prior work.³¹ 103

104 2.4 Characterization of SSA

105 The proximate analysis included moisture and ash contents were determined by ASTM D2867-09 (2014) and D2866-11 (2004).^{32,33} Ultimate analysis was carried out in 106 an Elementary Analyzer vario EL-III(Elementar Corp., Germany) to determine C, H, N 107 108 and S contents of the SS and SSA, and O content was calculated by difference. The SSA porous structure was determined by N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms at -196 109 110 °C, which was obtained in a QUADRASORB SI automatic surface area and pore 111 structure analyzer (Quanta Chrome Instrument Corp., USA). The functional groups of 112 SS and SSA were determined using the WQF-520 FIRT spectra (Beijing Ruili Analytical 113 Instrument corp., China). The energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) analysis of 114 SSA surface was carried out by a ZEISS EVO MA 15 scanning electron microscope 115 (Carl Zeiss corp., Germany). The Boehm titration was employed to quantitatively determine organic oxygen-containing functional groups on SSA surface.³⁴ 0.2 g of SSA 116 was placed into 25 mL of the 0.05 M base solution (NaHCO₃, Na₂CO₃, or NaOH). After 117 shaking for 24 h to reach equilibrium, the SSA was filtered to separate from solution. 118 119 Then, the excess base was determined by back titration with 0.05 M HCl solution. The pH of point of zero charge (pH_{pzc}) of SSA was determined by pH drift method.³⁵ 120 0.1 g of SSA was added into a 20 mL of solution with an initial pH ranged from 1 to 11. 121 After shaking for 24 h, the final pH was determined. When the final pH was equal to 122 the pH initial, the value was the pH_{pzc} of SSA. 123

124 2.5 Adsorption experiments

134

125 Adsorption experiments were performed as follows: 50 mL SMP solution and 126 0.25 g SSA were added into a 150 mL Erlenmeyer flask with a ground stopper. The 127 flask was placed in a water bath and mechanical shaker at a speed of 240 rpm. After 128 shaking for 50 h, the flask was taken out and the sample was filtered to separate the 129 adsorbent from solution. For each experiment, the blank tests was carried out with deionized water, and the initial concentration of SMP solution (C_0) was determined 130 after the shaker test without adsorbent. The residual SMP concentration (C_e) in 131 solution was obtained after deducting blank value. 132

133 The SMP adsorption capacity $(q_e, \text{ mg g}^{-1})$ was calculated as follows:

$$q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)}{m} \tag{1}$$

where, C_0 is the initial concentration of SMP solution (mg L⁻¹), C_e is the residual SMP concentration at equilibrium (mg L⁻¹), and m is the SSA dosage (g L⁻¹).

To study the effect of pH on SMP adsorption onto SSA, the experiments were performed at different pH levels (varying from 1.2 to 8.3), with an initial SMP

concentration of 300 mg L⁻¹ and an adsorbent dosage of 4 g L⁻¹. The influence of ionic 139 strength was investigated by increasing NaCl concentration from 0.01 to 0.1 g L^{-1} . At 140 141 different temperatures (10 °C, 25 °C and 40 °C), the adsorption experiments were 142 performed at predetermined time intervals. For adsorption isotherms, the experiments were performed at various temperatures (10 \degree C, 20 \degree C, 30 \degree C and 40 \degree C), 143 with different initial concentrations of SMP solution (50 - 500 mg L^{-1}). For each 144 145 experiment, the test was repeated three times, and the average value was reported. 146 The determined experiment results agreed to within 5% of the relative standard 147 deviation.

148 **3. Results and discussion**

149 3.1 SMP characterization

150 The SMP used was a mixture of resin synthetic products with different degrees 151 of polymerization, and the main molecular structure is presented in Fig. 1. The SMP molecular chain is formed by phenolic rings, which is linked with methylene via 152 ortho-positions. The para-positions are substituted by hydroxyl-methyls, and the 153 sulfonated methyls are linked on the benzene ring, which mainly occur on the head 154 of the molecular chain.^{26,36} Since SMP was synthesized in the basic environment, the 155 sulfonated methyl and phenolic hydroxyl were ionized with a pH of 8.64 at a 156 concentration of 300 mg L⁻¹. The SMP had the rigid aromatic ring, and formed 157 molecule aggregates by hydrophobic effect in water. Furthermore, the aggregates 158 159 surface formed a hydration layer by the hydrogen bonding formed between hydrophilic groups (sulfonated methyl, phenolic hydroxyl) and water molecules, and 160 caused a large hydrodynamic diameter (about 100 nm).³⁶ From DLVO theory, the 161 stability of colloid system depends on the repulsion and attraction force between 162 163 colloid particles. Zeta potential was related to the electrostatic interaction, the high absolute value of zeta potential (-39 mv, at SMP concentration of 300 mg L^{-1}) led to a 164 165 higher repulsion between SMP aggregates, the SMP solution was stable.



Fig. 1 Structure formula of SMP, n is an integer ranged from 0 to 7.



Fig. 2 The Zeta potential of SMP as a function of solution pH, SMP concentration: 300 mg L^{-1} .

166 The solution pH affected the protonation and ionization of the groups, hence, it could change the zeta potential of the SMP molecule aggregates. As showed in Fig. 2, 167 when the pH decreased from 7 to 1, the zeta potential of the SMP aggregates 168 169 transformed from negative to positive. Increasing hydrogen ion diffused into the 170 stern layer of SMP colloid particles, and adsorbed selectively onto the ionized groups 171 of SMP. As a result, the zeta potential of SMP aggregates became reverse. Specially, when pH reduced approximately to 2.3, zeta potential reached 0, the isoelectric 172 173 point of the SMP molecule aggregates was observed. At the isoelectric point, the 174 electrostatic interaction was minimum, the SMP aggregates was liable to coagulation due to collision. 175



Fig. 3 Calibration curve of aqueous SMP by COD and TOC analysis.

The feasibility of utilizing Total Organic Carbon (TOC) and Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) analyses for the quantitative detection of SMP in aqueous samples

was investigated. SMP solutions containing 6.4 - 640 mg L⁻¹ were tested with TOC and COD, and the results are presented in Fig. 3. Both the Coefficient of determinations (R^2) of the two standard curves is 0.9999, which indicates that the TOC and COD measurements for SMP quantification were suitable and feasible.

182 3.2 SSA characterization

183 The proximate and ultimate analysis results of SS and SSA are presented in Table 184 1. The SS contained the carbon content of 33.82%, which implied that the sludge 185 could serve as a precursor to carbon. According to ultimate analysis, the SSA 186 contained more C, N and S contents, but had a decrease in the H and O contents. That was a result of the dehydration of the activating agent that influenced the 187 pyrolytic decomposition, and lower ash content was owing to the acid washing.³⁷ The 188 N, H, S and O contents were varied, which might be a result of the various functional 189 190 groups.

Table 1

Characterization of proximate and ultimate analysis for sewage sludge (SS) and sewage sludge-derived adsorbent (SSA)

Sample	Proximate Analysis (%)		Ultimate Ana	alysis (%)			
	Moisture	Ash	С	н	Ν	S	O ^a
SS	2.84	32.53	33.82	4.73	6.00	1.93	20.99
SSA	2.42	27.92	43.15	4.12	6.25	2.87	15.69

^a By difference, O = 100 - C - H - N - S - Ash.





191 The absorption bands and peaks on the FTIR spectrum were often used to 192 indicate the existence of functional groups on the adsorbent surface.³⁸ Fig. 4 shows

the FTIR spectrums of the SSA and SS with the similar peaks but different strength, 193 indicating the presence of similar functional groups on the surface.³⁹ The broad band 194 between 1000 and 1050 cm⁻¹ for the SS and SSA exhibited C-O stretching 195 absorption³ and the shoulder at 1050-1090 cm⁻¹ was assigned to the structure of 196 either Si–O–Si or Si–O–C groups.⁴⁰ It is clear that a stronger and broader band (at 197 3300-3550-cm⁻¹) could be ascribed to the O–H stretching of alcohols or the N–H 198 stretching absorption of the amines.⁴¹ The weak band appearing at the 615 - 790 199 cm^{-1} and 1515 - 1550 cm^{-1} regions, also confirmed the presence of $-\text{NH}_2$.⁴² The bands 200 appear at 3800 and 3754 cm⁻¹, indicating free OH groups in the carboxyl group.⁴³ 201 Another band was observed at 1390 and 1450 cm⁻¹ and was assigned to O-H 202 bending vibration in carbonates or carboxyl-carbonates.⁴⁴ The peak at 1616 cm⁻¹ was 203 observed in Fig. 4, which can be attributed to the C=O stretching absorption by the 204 carboxyl anion, and the C=C stretching conjugating with another C=C bond, a C=O 205 bond, or an aromatic nucleus.⁴¹ In fact, the C=C stretching absorption (at 206 approximately 1600 cm⁻¹) was frequently observed in the study of carbonaceous 207 materials.^{45,46} In conclusion, the main functional groups on the surface of the SSA are 208 -C=O, OH, -NH₂, C=C and Si-O-Si or Si-O-C. 209

Table 2

Porous structure of the sewage sludge-derived adsorbent (SSA)

$S_{BET}^{a}(m^{2}g^{-1})$	215.6	V _{Total} ^d (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	1.960
D _{meso} ^b (nm)	3.72	V_{Meso}^{e} (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	0.058
D _{micro} ^c (nm)	0.37	V _{Micro} ^f (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	0.013

^a BET surface area, by BET method.

^b Average mesopore diameter, by BJH method.

^c Average micropore diameter, by HK method.

^d Total pore volume at $P/P_0 = 0.98$.

^e Volume of mesopores, by BJH method.

^f Volume of micropores, by DR method.

Table 3

Contents of oxygen-containing	g functional	groups from	Boehm titration	and pH of	point of zer	o charge of SSA
		0				

Carboxyl (meq g ⁻¹)	0.720	Total acid (meq g^{-1})	1.285
Lactones (meq g ⁻¹)	0.303	Total base (meq g ⁻¹)	a
Phenolic hydroxyl (meq g ⁻¹)	0.262	pH _{pzc}	3.48

^a Not determined.

Table 2 showed that the SSA sample had a BET surface area of 215.6 $m^2 g^{-1}$, with 210 a low volume of micropores (0.013 cm³ g^{-1}), and a relatively high mesopores (0.058 211 $cm^3 g^{-1}$), which was owing to the fabricating-pore effect of $ZnCl_2$.²¹ Similarly, some 212 studies on SSA reported the degree of micropores was generally not high. 213 Considering the adsorbate molecular size,^{47,48} Yu and Zhong reported the relatively 214 high mesopores of SSA facilitated the adsorption large organic matter.⁴⁹ With the 215 pHpzc of 3.48 (Fig .S1), the acidic surface properties of SSA was caused by the 216 presence of acid oxygen-containing functional groups quantified by Boehm titration 217 in Table 3. The high carboxyl group content was determined of 0.720 meg g^{-1} , and 218 lactones and phenolic hydroxyl groups were 0.303 and 0.262 meg g⁻¹, respectively. 219 220 The pore structure and surface functional groups might give a special adsorption 221 capacity for the SSA in removing organic pollutants.



222 3.3 Effect of the solution pH and ionic strength

Fig. 5 Effect of the solution pH on SMP adsorption onto SSA at given conditions:

 C_0 : 300 mg L⁻¹, SSA dosage: 4 g L⁻¹, contact time: 50 h.

The initial pH of the adsorption solution is a critical parameter.⁵⁰ The effect of 223 pH on the SMP adsorption onto SSA was studied over the pH range of 1.2 - 8.3, and 224 the result is shown in Fig. 5. The maximal removal percentage was observed at pH of 225 226 2.4. This can be explained by the effects of surface charge of the SSA and SMP. At pH 227 of 2.4, the zeta potential of SMP aggregates was negative in Fig. 2, the SSA surface 228 was protonated partly from Fig. S1. Thus, the electrostatic interaction between SSA and SMP was attraction. Moreover, the hydrogen bond was weakened due the 229 230 decrease of hydrogen acceptors in acid solution. As a result, the hydration layer on SMP aggregates surface can be broken, which conduced to SMP molecules access to 231 adsorption sites on SSA surface.⁵¹ Overly acidic or basic environment led to a 232

decrease of SMP removal percentage, it might be due to increasing repulsionbetween SMP aggregates.



Fig. 6 Effect of the ionic strength on SMP adsorption onto SSA at given conditions: C_0 : 300 mg L⁻¹, pH 2.4, SSA dosage: 5 g L⁻¹, contact time: 50 h.

235 The effect of the ionic strength on the removal of SMP was studied by increasing sodium chloride concentrations, and the results are presented in Fig. 6. As NaCl 236 concentration in solution increased from 0 to 0.8 g L⁻¹, a decrease of 9.0 % in the 237 removal rate of SMP was observed. Since then, as the NaCl increased, the removal 238 239 rate no longer reduced, which indicated the ionic strength had a negative effect on the SMP adsorption onto SSA, within a small range. The increasing Na⁺ can compress 240 the electrical double layer of SMP aggregates, which influenced the surface charge of 241 242 SMP aggregates. The electrostatic attraction between SMP and SSA was decreased due to the increasing ionic strength.^{52,53} Moreover, the result could be attributed to 243 the competition between SMP and background electrolyte. The adsorption behavior 244 contained the specific and non-specific adsorption, and the ionic strength could 245 influence the activity coefficients of adsorbates.⁵⁴ The Cl⁻¹ can form competition 246 adsorption with SMP on SSA surface adsorption sites, which reduced the SMP 247 248 adsorption onto SSA.

249 3.4 Adsorption kinetics of SMP adsorption onto SSA

To analyze the process of the SMP adsorption onto SSA, the kinetic experimental data were fitted to three models: pseudo-first-order kinetic model, pseudo-second-order kinetic model and intra-particle diffusion kinetic model.^{55,56}

253 Pseudo-first-order kinetic model

254 The equation of the Pseudo-first-order kinetic model expression is as follows:

255
$$\ln(q_1 - q_t) = \ln q_1 - k_1 t$$

(2)

where t is adsorption time (h), q_t is the adsorption quality of SMP on SSA at various time t (mg g⁻¹), q_1 is the adsorption quality of SMP on SSA at equilibrium (mg g⁻¹) for pseudo-first-order kinetic model, and k_1 is the pseudo-first-order rate constant (h⁻¹).



Fig. 7 Pseudo-first-order kinetic model for SMP adsorption onto SSA at 10 $^{\circ}$ C, 25 $^{\circ}$ C and 40 $^{\circ}$ C.

Fig. 7 shows the straight-line plots of $\ln (q_e - q_t)$ versus t for the pseudo-first-order model. The values of $q_{1,cal}$ and k_1 were calculated by finding the intercept and slope. The estimated values of $q_{1,cal}$ from the pseudo-first-order model and q_e values (from experiment) are summarized in Table 4.

From Table 4, there was a large gap of the equilibrium adsorption quantity between estimated $(q_{1,cal})$ and experimented (q_e) values. Considering the low value of R^2 (0.8367 - 0.9320), it is suggested that the kinetics of SMP adsorption on SSA is less likely fitting the pseudo-first-order kinetic model.

268 Pseudo-second-order kinetic mode

270

269 The pseudo-second-order kinetic model is presented as:

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{q_2^2 k_2} + \frac{t}{q_2}$$
(3)

where *t* is adsorption time (h), q_t is the adsorption quality of SMP on SSA at various time *t* (mg g⁻¹), q_2 is the adsorption quality of SMP on SSA at equilibrium for the pseudo-second-order kinetic model (mg g⁻¹), and k_2 is the pseudo-second-order rate constant (g mg⁻¹ h⁻¹).

In the same way, $q_{2,cal}$ and k_2 were calculated by the slope and intercept of the straight-line plots of t/q_t versus t for the pseudo-second-order model. These results are presented in Fig. 8 and Table 4.



Fig. 8 Pseudo-second-order kinetic model for SMP adsorption onto SSA at 10 °C,

25	°C	and	40	°C.
20	~	unu		<u> </u>

Table 4

Adsorption kinetic parameters at different temperatures for different models

$T(^{\circ}C)$ q (mg q^{-1})	Pseudo-first-o	order kinetio	model	Pseudo-second-order kinetic mode			
/(C)	$\eta(\mathbf{c}) = q_{e,} (\log g)$	$q_{1,cal}(\mathrm{mg~g}^{-1})$	$k_1(h^{-1})$	R ²	$q_{2,cal}(\text{mg g}^{-1})$	$k_2(g mg^{-1} h^{-1})$	R^2
10	27.40	19.20	0.1219	0.9069	28.55	0.01430	0.9996
25	32.56	16.77	0.1196	0.9320	33.44	0.01884	0.9998
40	34.26	13.64	0.1334	0.8367	34.75	0.02993	0.9996

The correlation coefficient values (R^2) were applied to determine the goodness 278 279 of fit between the kinetic models and the experimental data. From Fig. 7, Fig. 8, and 280 Table 4, it is clear that the pseudo-second-order kinetic model fit the experimental data very well. The R^2 of the pseudo-second-order kinetic model (0.9996 - 0.9998) 281 was higher than the first-order model (0.8367 - 0.9320) at different temperatures. 282 283 Moreover, estimates equilibrium adsorption quantity $(q_{2,cal})$ and experimental values (q_e) were similar at the corresponding temperature. Therefore, it may be concluded 284 that the pseudo-second-order model is better than the first-order model to explain 285 the adsorption behavior of SMP onto SSA. Hence, the SMP adsorption process is, not 286 287 only related to the concentration of the SMP concentration, but also the SSA dosage.56 288

289 Intra-particle diffusion kinetic model

291

290 The intra-particle diffusion kinetic model is given as:

$$q_t = k_i t^{0.5} + I \tag{4}$$

where t is adsorption time (h), q_t is the adsorption quality of SMP on SSA at

various time t (mg g⁻¹), k_i is the intra-particle diffusion rate constant (mg g⁻¹ h^{-0.5}), 293 and I is the intercept for the intra-particle diffusion kinetic model (mg g⁻¹). I and 294 k_i were calculated by the straight-line plots of q_t versus $t^{0.5}$ and are given in 295 296 Table 5.



Fig. 9 Intra-particle diffusion kinetic model for SMP adsorption onto SSA at 10 $^{\circ}$ C, 25 $^{\circ}$ C and 40 $^{\circ}$ C.

Table 5

Intra-particle diffusion kinetic model parameters at different temperatures

	The shar	p increase sta	ige	The gradu	ial increase st	age	The equ	uilibrium stag	e
<i>T</i> ([°] C)	<i>k</i> _{i,1}	<i>I</i> ₁	p ²	<i>k</i> _{i,2}	<i>I</i> ₁	p ²	<i>k</i> _{i,3}	<i>I</i> 1	P ²
	(mg g ⁻¹ h ^{-0.5})	(mg g ⁻¹)	М	(mg g ⁻¹ h ^{-0.5})	(mg g ⁻¹)	n	(mg g ⁻¹ h ^{-0.5})	(mg g ⁻¹)	~
10	8.829	-0.1445	0.9975	2.061	16.12	0.9839	0.7609	22.04	0.9141
25	8.919	5.427	0.9778	1.839	22.66	0.9633	0.6040	28.34	0.9706
40	6.007	16.13	0.9007	1.517	25.96	0.9968	0.4787	31.00	0.8932

297 Fig. 9 shows the intra-particle diffusion plots contained three segments: the sharp increase stage, the gradual increase stage and the equilibrium stage. In the 298 299 sharp increase stage, the amount of adsorption of SMP onto SSA increased rapidly, within the first 6 h. This was owing to the instantaneous or the external surface 300 adsorption.¹⁹ The gradual increase stage (6.0 - 23 h) was attributed to intra-particle 301 diffusion, and the adsorption increased gradually over time. The equilibrium stage 302 303 was after 23 h, where adsorption reached equilibrium and intra-particle diffusion 304 slowed down. The straight lines of the gradual increase and equilibrium stages no 305 passed through the origin, which suggests that intra-particle and film diffusion were

306 occurring simultaneously in the adsorption process.⁵⁰

307 3.5 Adsorption isotherm model

The equilibrium adsorption isotherm is one of the most important data to interpret the mechanism of the adsorption systems.⁵⁷ In this study, the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm equations were used to describe the mechanism of SMP loading on the SSA in solution at different temperatures.

The Langmuir isotherm model is based on the theory of molecular motion and the derived monolayer adsorption assumption, which proposes that the adsorption sites are distributed homogeneously on the surface of the adsorbent.⁵⁰ The Langmuir isotherm model is one of the most frequently employed models because of its simplicity and fits very well with experimental data. The equation is expressed as:

317
$$q_e = \frac{q_m C_e b}{1 + b C_e} \tag{5}$$

where C_e is the residual SMP concentration at equilibrium (mg L⁻¹), q_e is the adsorption quality of SMP on SSA at equilibrium (mg g⁻¹), q_m is the monolayer adsorption capacity of SMP on SSA estimated by Langmuir model (mg g⁻¹), and *b* is the Langmuir constant (L mg⁻¹).

The Freundlich isotherm equation is an empirical exponential equation and is valid for adsorption of heterogeneous surfaces.⁵⁷ The model assumes that the adsorption capacity is relevant to the adsorbate concentration at equilibrium.⁵⁰ The equation of Freundlich isotherm model is represented as:

$$q_e = K_F C_e^{1/n} \tag{6}$$

where C_e is the residual SMP concentration at equilibrium (mg L⁻¹), q_e is the adsorption quality of SMP on SSA at equilibrium (mg g⁻¹), K_F is the Freundlich constant (mg g⁻¹(mg L⁻¹)^{-1/n}), and n is the heterogeneity factor of the Freundlich isotherm model. When 1/n value is in the range from 0.1 to 1, the adsorption process is favorable.⁵⁸



Fig. 10 Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms for SMP adsorption onto SSA, SSA dosage: 5 g L^{-1} , pH 2.4, contact tome 50 h, temperature: 10 °C, 20 °C, 30 °C, 40 °C.

Table 6

Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm constants for SMP adsorption onto SSA at 10 °C, 20 °C, 30 °C and 40 °C

T (=C)		Langmuir isotherm			Freundlich isotherm			
7 (°C) -	R ²	$q_m (\mathrm{mg g}^{-1})$	<i>b</i> (L mg ⁻¹)	R^2	$K_{F}(\text{mg g}^{-1} (\text{L mg}^{-1})^{1/n})$	n		
10	0.9960	39.31	0.02257	0.9654	5.484	3.034		
20	0.9980	41.03	0.02349	0.9672	5.558	2.963		
30	0.9972	42.58	0.02786	0.9489	6.603	3.143		
40	0.9969	42.97	0.03284	0.9513	7.379	3.283		

332 Fig. 10 presents the Langmuir and Freundlich adsorption isotherms of SMP adsorption onto SSA at 10 °C, 20 °C, 30 °C and 40 °C, respectively, and the parameters 333 were calculated and are summarized in Table 6. The correlation coefficients (R^2) of 334 Langmuir isotherms (0.9960 - 0.9980) were higher than Freundlich isotherms (0.9513 335 - 0.9672). This suggests that Langmuir isotherm model has a higher fitting degree 336 337 with experimental data. The Langmuir model explained experimental data better than the Freundlich model. It is concluded that the adsorption SMP onto SSA 338 conformed to monolayer adsorption. In the Langmuir model, the constant (b) was 339 340 defined as the ratio of the rate constant between adsorption and desorption rate 341 constants and was related to the adsorption capacity. As the temperature increased from 10 $^{\circ}$ C to 40 $^{\circ}$ C, the value *b* respectively increased from 0.02257 to 0.03284 L 342 343 mg⁻¹. Similar to b, the monolayer adsorption capacity (q_m) increased with increasing temperature. The q_m value reached a maximum (42.97 mg g⁻¹) at 40 °C, implying 344

that the adsorption sites for SMP increased with an increase of temperature. The results also suggest that the adsorption SMP onto SSA was favorable and endothermic.⁵⁸ The thermodynamic parameters will be discussed in detail in the following section.

349 3.6 Thermodynamic analyses

364

374

In this study, to investigate the impact of temperature on the thermodynamic characteristics of SMP adsorption onto SSA, kinetic experiments and isothermal experiments data were analyzed. The results from kinetic experiments are summarized in Table 4. The conclusion was that the pseudo-second-order model was reasonably applied in the SMP-SSA system. As the temperature increased, the adsorption rate constant (k) increased. The effects of temperature on k can be represented by the Arrhenius equation:⁵⁹

 $k = Ae^{-\frac{E_a}{RT}}$ (7)

where E_a is the adsorption activation energy in the SMP-SSA system (kJ mol⁻¹), Ris the gas constant (8.31 J (mol K)⁻¹), T is the solution temperature (K), A is the pre-exponential factor, and k is the rate constant, in this case, pseudo-second-order rate constant. The adsorption activation energy of the SMP-SSA system was determined by the k values and the corresponding temperatures, using the equation as follows:

 $\ln\frac{k_1}{k_2} = \frac{E_a(T_1 - T_2)}{RT_1 T_2} \tag{8}$

The adsorption activation energy (E_a) values were calculated. The E_a value was 23.95 kJ mol⁻¹ at 25 - 40 °C, but 12.90 kJ mol⁻¹ at 10 - 25 °C. Higher temperatures resulted in a larger E_a value, implied the SMP adsorption onto SSA was endothermic in nature. According to Nollet et al.,⁵⁹ the low E_a (5 - 40 kJ mol⁻¹) was characteristic of physisorption. Therefore, the SMP adsorption onto SSA was a result of physical adsorption.

Thermodynamic parameters of adsorption such as the Gibb's free energy (ΔG^0), enthalpy (ΔH^0) and entropy (ΔS^0) changes were calculated from the isothermal experiment data using the Eqs (9) and (10):⁵⁰

$$\Delta G^0 = \Delta H^0 - T \Delta S^0 \tag{9}$$

$$\ln \frac{q_e m}{c_e} = \frac{\Delta S^0}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^0}{R T}$$
(10)

where *m* is the SSA dosage (g L⁻¹), C_e is the residual SMP concentration at equilibrium (mg L⁻¹), q_e is the adsorption quality of SMP on SSA at equilibrium (mg g⁻¹), *R* is the gas constant (8.31 J (mol K)⁻¹), and *T* is the solution temperature (K). Eq (10) indicates that a graph of $\ln q_e m/C_e$ vs 1/T would yield a straight line, and the values of ΔH^0 and ΔS^0 can be estimated from the slope and intercept. The Enthalpy determination curves are presented in Fig. 11, and the values of ΔG^0 , ΔH^0 and ΔS^0 are listed in Table 7.



Fig. 11 Enthalpy determination curves for SMP adsorption onto SSA at different initial SMP concentrations.

Table 7

Thermodynamic parameters for SMP adsorption onto SSA at different initial SMP concentrations and temperatures

Initial concentration	ΔH^0	ΔS^{0}		ΔG ⁰ (J	mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)	
(mg L^{-1})	(kJ mol⁻¹)	(J mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)	283 K	293 K	303 K	313 K
100	14.41	58.64	-2.19	-2.77	-3.36	-3.94
200	12.48	47.71	-1.02	-1.50	-1.98	-2.45
300	7.132	25.21	-0.0024	-0.25	-0.51	-0.76

According to Kara *et al.*,⁶⁰ the ΔH^0 value of physisorption was less than 40 kJ 383 mol⁻¹. From Table 7, the ΔH^0 values were positive (7.132 - 14.41 kJ mol⁻¹) and were 384 higher at a lower SMP initial concentration. This suggests the adsorption of SMP onto 385 386 SSA was a physisorption process and was endothermic in nature. Moreover, the low ΔH^0 values implied a loose bonding between the SMP molecule aggregates and the 387 SSA surface.⁶¹ The value of ΔS^0 was positive (25.21 - 58.64 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹), suggesting 388 that the degree freedom increased in the SMP-SSA system. The negative values of 389 ΔG^0 support the fact that the adsorption of SMP onto SSA was spontaneous. 390 Moreover, the negative ΔG^0 value was higher at lower SMP initial concentration 391

and higher temperature, indicating that the adsorption was more spontaneous. When the temperature increased from 10 °C to 40 °C, ΔG^0 became a higher negative value. At the same time, the monolayer adsorption capacity increased from 39.31 mg g⁻¹ to 42.97 mg g⁻¹. The results further support that physisorption was more significant in the SMP-SSA system, which is in accordance with the conclusion from E_a .

398 3.7 Adsorption performance comparison

399 The adsorption capacities of SSA for removal of SMP have been compared with 400 other adsorbents reported in literature, and the result was listed in Table 8. The SMP 401 adsorption capacity was reported in the form of maximum adsorption capacities in experiments. At the same adsorption conditions, the adsorption amounts of WEB 402 and WRB were 7.39 and 15.43 mg g⁻¹, far below SSA of 39.41 mg g⁻¹. It indicated that 403 the SMP adsorption capacity was highly dependent on the raw materials of activated 404 405 carbon, which might be related to the pore structure and surface functional groups of adsorbent. Yan studied the SMP adsorption onto the bentonitic clay, found the 406 407 SMP adsorption capacity on bentonitic clay was highly depend on ionic strength. After added 15% NaCl, Yan found that the adsorption capacity increased from 8.0 to 408 28.9 mg g⁻¹.⁶² Similarly, Eren *et al.* suggested that salt ions forced dye molecules to 409 aggregate on the modified sepiolite surface.⁶³ The SMP adsorption capacity of the 410 bentonitic clay was a relatively high value, which might be contributed to the special 411 412 structure of mineral material. According to Grant and King, the presence of metals on 413 the adsorbent surface can promote the surface polymerization that phenolic compounds produce polymeric compounds by oxidative coupling reactions on the 414 carbon's surface.⁶⁴ 415

Table 8

Adsorbents	Experimental condition	SMP adsorption (mg g ⁻¹)	Refs.	
WEB	pH=2.4, T=40 $^{\circ}$ C, C(SMP)=500 mg L ⁻¹ , Adsorbent	7.20	This work	
	dosage=5.0 g L ⁻¹ , C(NaCl)=0 g L ⁻¹	7.39		
	pH=2.4, T=40 $^{\circ}$ C, C(SMP)=500 mg L ⁻¹ , Adsorbent	45.42		
WRB	dosage=5.0 g L ⁻¹ , C(NaCl)=0 g L ⁻¹	15.43		
55 A	pH=2.4, T=40 $^{\circ}$ C, C(SMP)=500 mg L ⁻¹ , Adsorbent	20.44	This work	
SSA	dosage=5.0 g L ⁻¹ , C(NaCl)=0 g L ⁻¹	39.41	I NIS WORK	
	pH=8.0, T=25 °C, C(SMP)=16670 mg L ⁻¹ ,	0.0	62	
Bentonitic clay	Adsorbent dosage=40 g L^{-1} , C(NaCl)=0 g L^{-1}	8.0	62	

Summary of adsorption of SMP by similar adsorbents

Bentonitic clay	pH=8.0, T=25 °C, C(SMP)=16670 mg L^{-1} , Adsorbent dosage=40 g L^{-1} , C(NaCl)=150 g L^{-1}	28.9	62
Table 9			

The EDS analysis of SSA surface inorganic substance

	Inorganic substance of SSA surface							
SSA surface element	Si	Al	Fe	Cl	К	Ca	Zn	Mg
Percentage in total(%)	8.57	2.96	2.02	1.33	1.03	0.53	0.48	0.36
Standard substance	SiO ₂	AI_2O_3	Fe_2O_3	NaCl	KCI	CaSiO ₃	ZnO	MgO
Percentage in ash ^a (%)	62.93	20.02	8.26	2.29	7.06	5.52	2.14	2.15

^a Ash content was calculated from SSA (27.92%), and standard substance content was calculated from SSA surface.

416 From results of EDS analysis, apart from the basic elements (C, H, O, N and S), other elements was listed in Table 9. It confirmed that the SiO₂ was the main 417 substance of SSA ash, with a percentage of 62.93%. It is noteworthy that the SSA 418 419 contained a variety of metal elements (Al, Fe, K, Ca, Zn and Mg) on surface, which 420 could form the active adsorption sites on SSA surface. Thus, the high inorganic 421 mineral content of sewage sludge might be advantageous for SMP adsorption onto 422 SSA. Eren et al. reported that the metal oxide influenced significantly the adsorption capacity of the bentonite in basic dye solution by ion exchange mechanism.^{53,65} 423 Compared to other adsorbates, the SSA possessed an excellent adsorption 424 performance for the SMP, with a removal capacity of 39.41 mg g⁻¹. These results 425 indicate that the SSA could be a new promising low cost adsorbent for removal of 426 427 SMP in drilling wastewater.

428 4. Conclusion

429 In this study, a new adsorption performance of SSA was investigated for the removal SMP from aqueous solutions. The solution pH played a dramatic effect on 430 431 the SMP adsorption onto SSA, and the maximum adsorption capacity of SMP onto 432 SSA was obtained at pH of 2.4. Increasing electrolyte strength caused a small 433 decrease in the adsorption capacity of SMP. The process of SMP adsorption onto SSA 434 followed the pseudo-second-order kinetic model, and the intra-particle diffusion and 435 film diffusion occurred simultaneously in the adsorption from intra-particle diffusion kinetic model. The adsorption was rightly described by Langmuir isotherm model, 436 the monolayer adsorption capacity was estimated as 42.97 mg g⁻¹. Thermodynamic 437 parameters were calculated, and the results indicated the adsorption was 438 spontaneous and endothermic in nature. At 25 - 40 °C, the adsorption activation 439

energy (E_a) of 23.95 kJ mol⁻¹ supported that the physisorption was more significant 440 441 in the SMP-SSA system. Compared to other biochar and bentonitic adsorbents, SSA 442 showed the highest adsorption capacity for SMP. These results indicated that the SSA 443 could be a new promising low cost adsorbent for removal of SMP pollutants in 444 drilling wastewater. 445 ■ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 446 This study gained the financial support from National Natural Science Foundation 447 of China (NO. 51104126), SWPU Pollution Control of Oil & Gas Fields Science & 448 Technology Innovation Youth Team (No. 2013XJZT003), and Graduate Innovation Foundation of Southwest Petroleum University (No. CXJJ2015014). 449 450 ■ REFERNCES 451 1 A. Ros, M. A. Montes-Moran, E. Fuente, D. M. Nevskaia and M. J. Martin, Environ. 452 Sci. Technol., 2006, 40, 302-309. 2 E. D. Revellame, R. Hernandez, W. French, W. E. Holmes, T. J. Benson, P. J. Pham, A. 453 454 Forks and R. Callahan II, RSC. Adv., 2012, 2, 2015-2031. 455 3 T. Boualem, A. Debab, A. M. De Yuso and M.T. Izquierdo, J. Environ. Manage., 2014, 456 140, 145-151. 457 4 K.M. Smith, G. D. Fowler, S. Pullket and N. J. D. Graham, Water Res., 2009, 43, 2569-2594. 458 459 5 J. Bouzid, Z. Elouear, M. Ksibi, A. Feki and A. Montiel, J. Hazard. Mater., 2008, 152, 460 838-845. 6 M. Seredych and T. J. Bandosz, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2006, 302, 379-388. 461 7 G. Gasco, A. Mendez and J. M. Gasco, Desalination., 2005, 180, 245-251. 462 463 8 Y. F. Jia and K. M. Thomas, *Langmuir.*, 2000, 16, 1114-1122. 9 C. Gan, Y. Liu, X. Tan, S. Wang, G. Zeng, B. Zheng, T. Li, Z. Jiang and W. Liu, RSC. Adv., 464 465 2015, 5, 35107-35115. 10 M. Otero, F. Rozada, A. Moran, L. F. Calvo and A. I. Garcia, Desalination., 2009, 239, 466 46-57. 467 468 11 M. Otero, F. Rozada, L.F. Calvo, A. I. Garcia and A. Moran, Biochem. Eng. J., 2003, 469 15, 59-68. 470 12 Z. Wu, Y. Xiong, G. Guan, L. Kong and S. Tian, RSC. Adv., 2014, 4, 55256-55262. 471 13 C. Jindarom, V. Meeyoo, B. Kitiyanan, T. Rirksomboon and P. Rangsunvigit, Chem. 472 Eng. J., 2007, 133, 239-246. 14 X. Fan and X. Zhang, Mater. Lett., 2008, 62, 1704-1706. 473 474 15 M. Seredych and T. J. Bandosz, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2007, 46, 1786-1793. 475 16 L. Gu, N. Zhu, H. Guo, S. Huang, Z. Lou and H. Yuan, J. Hazard. Mater., 2013,

- 476 246-247, 145-153.
- 477 17 J. Rivera-Utrilla, C. V. Gomez-Pacheco, M. Sanchez-Polo, J. J. Lopez-Penalver and R.
- 478 Ocampo-Perez, J. Environ. Manage., 2013, 131, 16-24.
- 479 18 R. Ding, P. Zhang, M. Seredych and T. J. Bandosz, Water Res., 2012, 46, 4081-4090.
- 480 19 G. Yang, H. Chen, H. Qin and Y. Feng, *App. Surf. Sci.*, 2014, 293, 299-305.
- 20 V. M. Monsalvo, A. F. Mohedano and J. J. Rodriguez, *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.*, 2012,
 90, 1807-1814.
- 483 21 L. Kong, Y. Xiong, L. Sun, S. Tian, X. Xu and C. Zhao, J. Hazard. Mater., 2014, 274,
- 484 205-211.
- 485 22 L. Yu and Q. Zhong, J. Hazard. Mater., 2006, 137, 359-366.
- 486 23 Z. Pan, J. Tian, G. Xu, J. Li and G. Li, *Water Res.*, 2011, 45, 819-827.
- 24 H. Zhang, R. Lv and S. Guo, *The Chinese Journal of Process Engineering.*, 2007, 7,
 718-722.
- 25 M. Li, Y. Guo, H. He, M. Lin, B. Peng and J. Guo, *Journal of China University of Petroleum.*, 2010, 34, 145-149.
- 26 Z. Li, K. Liu, X. Cheng, K. Mei, F. Xiong and X. Guo, J. Adhes. Sci. Technol., 2015, 29,
 1002-1013.
- 493 27 J. X. Guo, Y. J. Cui and J. J. Cao, *Pet. Sci.* 2013, 10, 106-111.
- 494 28 Y. Zhuang, Z. Zhu, H. Chao and B. Yang, J. Appl. Polym. Sci., 1995, 55, 1063-1067.
- 29 R. Lv and W. Jiang, *The Chinese Journal of Environmental Engineering.*, 2002, 20,
 3-5.
- 497 30 R. Lv, B. Mu, H. Zhang and S. Guo, *Pet. Sci.*, 2008, 5, 275-279.
- 498 31 A.P.H.A, A.W.W.A, W.E.F., Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and
- 499 Wastewater, 20th ed., American Public Health Association, Washington, DC, 1998.
- 500 32 ASTM D2867-09: Standard Test Methods for Moisture in Activated Carbon.
- 33 ASTM D2866-11: Standard test method for total ash content of activated carbon.
- 502 34 H. P. Boehm, *Carbon.*, 2002, 40, 145–149.
- 35 L. Leng, X. Yuan, H. Huang, J. Shao, H. Wang, X. Chen and G. Zeng, *App. Surf. Sci.*,
 2015, 346, 223-231.
- 505 36 L. Sun, M. Li, M. Lin, B. Peng and J. Guo, J. Disp. Sci. Tech., 2009, 30, 605-608.
- 37 A. Ros, M. A. Lillo-Rodenas, C. Canals-Batle, E. Fuente, M. A. Montes-Moran, M. J.
- 507 Martin and A. Linares-Solano, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2007, 41, 4375-4381.
- 508 38 Z. Pan, J. Tian, G. Xu, J. Lin and G. Li, *Water Res.*, 2011, 45, 819-827.
- 509 39 Q. B. Wen, C. T. Li, Z. H. Cai, W. Zhang, H. L. Gao, L. J. Chen, G. M. Zeng, X. Shu and
- 510 Y. P. Zhao, *Biores. Technol.*, 2011, 102, 942-947.

- 511 40 O. Duggan and S. J. Allen, *Wat. Sci. Tech.*, 1997, 35, 21-27.
- 512 41 M.-J. Jung, K.-H. Ahn, Y. Lee, K.-P. Kim, J.-S. Rhee, J.-T. park and K.-J. Paeng,
- 513 *Microchem. J.*, 2001, 70, 123-131.
- 514 42 J. Zhang and P. Zheng, *RSC. Adv.*, 2015, 5, 17768-17774.
- 515 43 S. B. Choi and Y. S. Yun, J. Hazard. Mater., 2006, 138, 378-383.
- 516 44 W. Shen, Z. Li and Y. Liu, *Recent Pat. Chem. Eng.*, 2008, 1, 27-40.
- 517 45 M. S. Akhter, J. R. Keifer, A. R. Chughtai and D. M. Smith, *Carbon.*, 1985, 23, 589-591.
- 46 B. J. Meldrum and C. H. Rochester, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans., 1990, 86,
 861-865.
- 47 E. Raymundo-Pinero, D. Cazorla-Amoros and C. S. M. De Lecea, *Carbon.*, 2000, 38,
- 522 335-344.
- 523 48 J. Przepiorski, J. Hazard. Mater., 2006, 135, 453-456.
- 524 49 L. Yu and Q. Zhong, J. Hazard. Mater., 2006, 137, 359-366.
- 525 50 B. K. Nandi, A. Goswami and M. K. Purkait, J. Hazard. Mater., 2009, 161, 387-395.
- 526 51 X. Liu and N. Pinto, *Carbon.*, 1997, 35, 1387-1397.
- 527 52 E. Eren and H. Gumus, *Desalination.*, 2011, 273, 276-284.
- 528 53 E. Eren, J. Hazard. Mater., 2009, 162, 1355-1363.
- 529 54 M. Alkan, O. Demirbas, S. Celickcapa and M. Dogan, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2004, B116,
- 530 135-145.
- 55 C. Dwivedi, S. K. Pathak, M. Kumar, S. C. Tripathi and P. N. Bajaj, *RSC. Adv.*, 2013, 3,
 22102-22110.
- 533 56 Y. S. Ho and G. Mckay, *Proc. Biochem.*, 1991, 34, 451-465.
- 534 57 K. Lin, J. Pan, Y. Chen, R. Cheng and X. Xu, J. Hazard. Mater., 2009, 161, 231-240.
- 535 58 C. H. Wu, J. Hazard. Mater., 2007, 144, 93-100.
- 536 59 H. Nollet, M. Roels, P. Lutgen, P. Van der Meeren and W. Verstraete, Chemosphere.,
- 537 2003, 53, 655-665.
- 538 60 M. Kara, H. Yuzer, E. Sabah and M. Celik, *Water Res.*, 2003, 37, 224-232.
- 539 61 I. Vazquez, J. Rodriguez-Iglesias, E. Maranon, L. Castrillon and M. Alvarez, J. Hazard.
- 540 *Mater.*, 2007, 147, 395-400.
- 62 J. Yan, Journal of Southwest Petroleum University Institute., 1982, 1, 26-36.
- 542 63 E. Eren, O. Cubuk, H. Ciftci, B. Eren and B. Caglar, *Desalination.*, 2010, 252, 88-96.
- 543 64 T. Grant and C. King, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 1990, 29, 264-271.
- 544 65 E. Eren and B. Afsin, *Dyes Pigments.*, 2007, 73, 162-167.