RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

RSC Advances

ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/



Ya-Cong He,^{ab} Cheng Peng,^{*ab} Xiao-Fang Xie,^{ab} Ming-Hua Chen,^c Xiao-Nian Li,^d Meng-Ting Li,^{ab} Qin-Mei Zhou,^{ab} Li Guo^{ab} and Liang Xiong^{*}

Penchinones A–D (1–4), two pairs of novel cis-trans lignan isomers with rearranged neolignane carbon skeletons, together with six known flavonoids (5-10), were isolated from the ethyl acetate-soluble portion of a hepatoprotective wat decoction of Penthorum chinense. Their structures were determined by extensive spectroscopic data analysis, ECD calculation, and single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Penchinones C and D (3 and 4) featured an unprecedented 7,3'-neolignane carbon skeleton. Penchinone A (1) exhibited protective activity against acetaminophen-induced damage to the HL7702 hepatocyte and selective cytotoxicity against the human cancer ovarian cell line, Hey, in vitro.

Some

biogenesis, and bioactivities of 1-4.

1 R₁ = H, R₂ = CH₃

= CH₃, R₂ = H

Ġн

ÔMe

2 R₁

k₁

6

5 R₁ = OH, R₂ = OH

R₁ = OH, R₂ = OMe

 $R_1 = OH$, $R_2 = OGIc$

Fig. 1 Structures of compounds 1-10.

8 R1 = OMe, R2 = OGIC

2,6'-cyclo-1,3'-neolignane,

neolignane, and 1',3:2,2':6,6'-tricyclo-1,3'-neolignane.²⁻⁴

exhibited

 R_2 HO

3

OMe

3 R₁ = H, R₂ = CH₃

9 R₁ = OH, R₂ = OH, R₃ = OH

10 R₁ = OH, R₂ = OMe, R₃ = OH

= CH₃, R₂ = H

8

protective

neolignane,

lignans.

Introduction

Lignans are a class of naturally occurring phenylpropanoid dimers that may play an important role in plant growth and plant defense against various biological pathogens and pests.¹ In addition to their biological functions in plants, lignans have significant pharmacological effects, including antibacterial, antiviral, antitumor, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, immunosuppressive, cardiovascular, and hepatoprotective activities.^{1–3} Although the molecular backbone consists only of two phenylpropane (C_6-C_3) units, lignans exhibit an enormous range of structural diversity because of the various linkage patterns of their C_6-C_3 units. Under IUPAC nomenclature, lignans are classified into three types: classical lignans, neolignans, and oxyneolignans. If the two C_6-C_3 units are linked by a direct carbon-carbon bond other than an 8-8' linkage, the compounds are defined as neolignans.⁴ In the past few decades, phytochemical investigations have led to the isolation of hundreds of neolignans of 21 subtypes: 8,3'-neolignane, 3,3'-neolignane, 8,1'neolignane, 1,3'-neolignane, 1,7'-neolignane, 8,7'-neolignane, 2,9'neolignane, 9,9'-neolignane, 8,9'-neolignane, 3,4'-neolignane, 4,4'neolignane, 5',7-cyclo-8,3'-neolignane, 1',7-cyclo-8,3'-neolignane, 6',9-cyclo-8,3'-neolignane, 7,9'-cyclo-8,1'-neolignane, 3',7-cyclo-8,1'-neolignane, 2,7'-cyclo-8,7'-neolignane, 7,8'-cyclo-8,7'-

1',7:2',8-dicyclo-8,3'-

activities

^{a.} State Key Laboratory Breeding Base of Systematic Research, Development and Utilization of Chinese Medicine Resources, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu 611137, China. E-mail: pengchengchengdu@126.com (C. Peng); E-mail: xiling0505@126.com (L. Xiong)

^{b.} School of Pharmacy, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu 611137, China

^{c.} Institute of Medicinal Biotechnology, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100050, China

^{d.} State Key Laboratory of Phytochemistry and Plant Resources in West China, Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Kunming 650201, China

⁺ Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: 1D, 2D NMR, and HRESIMS IR spectra for compounds 1-4, X-ray data for 2, and ECD calculation details for 3. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

ARTICLE

Results and discussion

Penchinone A (1) exhibited IR absorptions characteristic of hydroxyl (3317 cm^{-1}) , carbonyl (1652 and 1614 cm⁻¹), aromatic ring and olefinic bond (1580, 1503, and 1433 cm⁻¹) functionalities. The molecular formula of 1 was $C_{19}H_{18}O_6$, with 11 degrees of unsaturation, as indicated by HRESIMS $(m/z \ 365.1002 \ [M + Na]^{+}$, calcd 365.1001). The ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** exhibited resonances attributable to a 1,2,3,4-tetrasubstituted phenyl [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.27 (d, J = 8.4 Hz) and 6.72 (d, J = 8.4 Hz)], a 1,2,4,5-tetrasubstituted phenyl [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 8.05 (s) and 6.68 (s)], a *trans*-propenyl [δ_{H} 6.72 (dq, J = 15.6, 1.2 Hz), 5.50 (dq, J = 15.6, 6.6 Hz), and 1.94 (dd, J = 6.6, 1.2 Hz)], and an aromatic acetyl group ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.50), together with an aromatic methoxy ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.88) (Table 1). The ¹³C NMR and DEPT spectra of **1** revealed 19 carbon resonances assignable to the above protonated units and 10 quaternary carbons, including two ketone carbonyl ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 203.8 and 199.0) and eight aromatic quaternary carbons (four of which are oxygenated).

To determine the structure of 1, a comprehensive analysis of the 2D NMR data was conducted. In the ${}^{1}H{}^{-1}H$ gCOSY spectrum of 1, the homonuclear coupling correlations between H-5/H-6 and H-7'/H-8'/H₃-9' verified the presence of both the 1,2,3,4tetrasubstituted phenyl and the propenyl (Fig. 2). The connection of the two phenyls via the carbonyl C-7 could be deduced from the key HMBC correlations of H-6 and H-5' with C-7, together with the weak four-bond W-type correlations of H-5 and H-2' with C-7. The acetyl group was assigned to C-3', based on the HMBC correlations from H-2' to C-8, H_3 -9 to C-8/C-3', and H-5' to C-3'. Meanwhile, the HMBC cross peaks of H-7' with C-2'/C-6'/C-9' and H-8' with C-1' revealed that the trans-propenyl was located at C-1'. Furthermore, the HMBC correlations of H-5 with C-1/C-3/C-4, H-6 with C-2/C-4, OMe with C-3, H-2' with C-4'/C-6'/C-7', and H-5' with C-1'/C-3', together with their chemical shifts and molecular formula, indicated that the methoxyl and three hydroxyl groups were substituted at C-3, C-2, C-4, and C-6', respectively. Thus, the structure of 1 was established.

The spectroscopic data of penchinone B (2) indicated that it was an analog of **1** with the same molecular formula: $C_{19}H_{18}O_6$. A comparison of the NMR data of **2** and **1** revealed a *cis*-propenyl in **2**, replacing the *trans*-propenyl unit in **1** (Table 1). This was confirmed by 2D NMR data analysis. Since some weak four- and five-bond correlations could also be found in the HMBC spectrum, the structure of **2** was further confirmed using single-crystal X-ray crystallographic analysis. An ORTEP drawing, with the atom numbering indicated, is shown in Fig. 3.

Penchinone C (3) also exhibited spectroscopic resonances for a 1,2,3,4-tetrasubstituted phenyl, a 1,2,4,5-tetrasubstituted phenyl, a *trans*-propenyl, and an acetyl, similar to those of **1**. However, the ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and DEPT spectra revealed that an obviously deshielded isolated methine ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.69, $\delta_{\rm C}$ 54.2) in **3** replaced the ketone carbonyl in **1**. The HRESIMS data for **3** indicated that it had a molecular formula of C₁₉H₁₈O₅. These data suggested that **3** was a lignane with two C₆-C₃ units, which was confirmed by the 2D NMR experiments. In the HMBC spectrum, correlations from H-5 to C-1/C-3/C-4, H-6 to C-2/C-4/C-7, and OMe to C-3, verified the presence of the 1,2,3,4-tetrasubstituted phenyl group with a methoxy unit at C-3 and two oxygenated substituents at C-2 and C-4. The HMBC correlations of H-2' with C-4'/C-6'/C-7', H-5' with C-



Fig. 2 The key ${}^{1}H-{}^{1}H$ COSY and HMBC correlations of 1.



Fig. 3 ORTEP drawing for 2.

1'/C-3'/C-4'/C-6', H-8' with C-1'/C-9', and H₃-9' with C-7'/C-8' combined with the relevant ¹³C NMR data and the ¹H-¹H COSY correlations of H-7'/H-8'/H₃-9', indicated that the trans-propeny. and two oxygenated substituents were attached to C-1', C-4', and C-6' of the 1,2,4,5-tetrasubstituted phenyl, respectively. The connection of the two phenyls via C-1-C-7-C-3' bonds was revealed by the HMBC correlations from H-7 to C-1, C-2, C-6, C-2', C-3', and C-4'. The acetyl group was further linked to C-7 by HMBC correlations from H-7 to C-8/C-9, and H₃-9 to C-7/C-8. In accordance with the molecular formula and the degrees of unsaturation of 3, the remaining four oxygenated substituents (C-2, C-4, C-4', and C-6' could be conjectured to comprise an oxygen bridge connecting C-2 with C-4', and two hydroxyls at C-4 and C-6'.8 This was confirmed by their chemical shifts [$\delta_{\rm C}$ 146.1 (C-2), 151.9 (C-4), 151.2 (C-4'), and 156.4 (C-6')], even though no long-range HMBC evidence for the linkage was provided. Thus, compound 3 was determined to have the following structure: (7'E)-4,6'-dihydroxy-3-methoxy-2,4'-epoxy-7,3'-neolignan-7'-ene-8-one.

The absolute configuration of C-7 in **3** was determined via an ECD (electronic circular dichroism) calculation using the time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) method.^{9,10} As shown in Fig. 4, the theoretically calculated ECD spectra of (R)-**3** was in agreement. with the experimental ECD spectra of **3**, revealing the 7R configuration of **3**.



Fig. 4 The experimental ECD spectra of **3** (blue) and the calculated ECD spectra of (*R*)-**3** (red) and (*S*)-**3** (black).

ARTICLE

No.	1		2		3		4	
	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{ m C}$	$\delta_{ m H}$	$\delta_{\rm C}$
1		115.3		115.5		112.2		112.2
2		158.6		158.3		146.1		146.1
3		136.1		136.1		137.3		137.3
4		158.7		158.5		151.9		151.9
5	6.27 d (8.4)	109.0	6.29 d (9.0)	108.9	6.61 d (8.4)	112.5	6.62 d (8.4)	112.5
6	6.72 d (8.4)	130.0	6.74 d (9.0)	129.9	6.76 d (8.4)	124.5	6.76 d (8.4)	124.5
7		203.8		203.8	4.69 s	54.2	4.70 s	54.1
8		199.0		198.7		208.7		208.6
9	2.50 s	26.8	2.48 s	26.8	1.88 s	25.4	1.90 s	25.5
1′		127.4		126.6		123.4		122.4
2'	8.05 s	130.7	7.92 s	134.2	7.13 s	127.4	7.01 s	130.9
3'		128.8		128.1		110.8		110.1
4'		140.8		141.3		151.2		151.3
5'	6.68 s	116.1	6.74 s	115.8	6.63 s	104.3	6.67 s	104.2
6'		159.9		160.4		156.4		157.1
7'	6.72 dq (15.6, 1.2)	126.0	6.54 dq (11.4, 1.8)	125.0	6.56 dq (15.6)	125.8	6.44 brd (12.0, 1.8)	125.6
8'	5.50 dq (15.6, 6.6)	129.2	6.96 dq (11.4, 7.2)	129.5	5.17 dq (15.6, 6.6)	126.4	6.77 dq (12.0, 6.6)	127.1
9′	1.94 dd (6.6, 1.2)	19.1	1.90 dd (7.2, 1.8)	15.0	1.86 dd (6.6, 1.2)	19.0	1.80 dd (6.6, 1.8)	14.8
OMe	3.88 s	60.8	3.89 s	60.9	3.92 s	61.6	3.93 s	61.7
^a NMR assignm	data (δ) were measured ents were based on ¹ H- ¹	at 600 MH H gCOSY, g	z for ¹ H and at 150 MHz HSQC, and gHMBC exp	z for ¹³ C in eriments.	CD ₃ OD. Proton coupling	constants (.	<i>I</i>) in Hz are given in parer	theses. The

Table 1 NMR spectroscopic data of $1-4^a$

Penchinone D (4) has the same molecular formula as that of 3, as indicated by the HRESIMS analysis. The 1D NMR data (Table 1) were similar to those of 3, with the exception of the substitution of the resonances for the trans-propenyl moiety in 3 by those attributable to a *cis*-propenyl unit in **4** [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.44 (dd, J = 12.0, 1.8 Hz), 5.77 (dq, J = 12.0, 6.6 Hz), and 1.80 (dd, J = 6.6, 1.8 Hz); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 125.6 (C-7'), 127.1 (C-8'), and 14.8 (C-9')]. The 2D NMR data and $[\alpha]_{D}$ value of **4** confirmed that it was 7'Z-isomer of 3.

The biosynthetic precursors of 1-4 were proposed to be penthorin A (11) and B (12), as the two compounds were previously isolated from the same extract of P. chinense.⁷ In addition, their analogs have been detected in this species by other researchers.⁸ As shown in Scheme 1, penthorin A and B are enolized first, after which two possible biosynthetic pathways (routes I and II) are postulated for 1/2 and 3/4, respectively. In route I, the oxidation of the enol intermediate would open the 7,8-bond, liberating a carboxyl group at C-1 and an acetyl group at C-3'. Then, an enzymecatalyzed reduction reaction occurs to produce an aldehyde intermediate. Finally, we determined that the key biochemical reaction would be a free radical reaction combined with a proton transfer, which reconstructs a C-7/C-4' carbon bond to produce 1/2. As indicated in route II, the protonation of 7-OH in the enol unit and the loss of H₂O generate a carbocation center at C-7. Subsequently, an enzymatic rearrangement could lead to the migration of the 8,3'bond to C-7. Then, the carbocation center created at C-8 would be neutralized by H₂O, with the subsequent loss of a proton forming an enol intermediate and producing 3/4.

The six known compounds were identified by comparison of spectroscopic data with those reported in the literatures as pinocembrin (5),¹¹ pinostrobin (6),¹² pinocembrin-7-O-*B*-Dglucopyranoside (7),¹³ 5-methoxy-pinocembrin-7-O- β -D-glucoside (**8**),¹⁴ (*E*)-3-phenyl-1-(2,4,6-trihydroxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one (**9**),¹⁵ and pinostrobin chalcone (10).¹⁶





ARTICLE

Based on the linking position of the two C_6 - C_3 units, the reported neolignans were divided into 21 carbon skeletons (Fig. 5). The majority of the neolignans were 8,3'-neolignanes, 8,1'-neolignanes, and 3,3'-neolignanes, especially 4',7-epoxy-8,3'-neolignanes (benzofurans).³ In recent years, more than one hundred 3',7-cyclo-8,1'-neolignanes, 5',7-cyclo-8,3'-neolignanes, and 7,8'-cyclo-8,7'-neolignanes have been also detected in several families, including the Lauraceae,^{17,18} Piperaceae,^{19,20} Magnoliaceae,²¹ and Bignoniaceae.²² However, other types of neolignanes are comparatively rare, according to the literature of the past few decades.²³⁻²⁷ This study discovered two unprecedented rearranged neolignane carbon skeletons from the plant kingdom.

Since new compounds 1-4 were isolated from the hepatoprotective water decoction of P. chinense, they were evaluated further to determine their protective activities against acetaminophen (AP)-induced damage to HL7702 hepatocytes in vitro, with the exception of compound 4, because the available sample quantity was too small to conduct the analysis. Compounds 2 and 3 exhibited weak activity, while a significant increase in the viability of HL7702 cells examined via a MTT colorimetric assay showed that 1 provided effective protection against AP-induced damage (Fig. 6). Furthermore, Image-iT[®] DEAD Green[™] viability stain and Hoechst 33342 staining were used to demonstrate that 1 could protect damaged HL7702 cells, using a high-content screening (HCS) experiment (Fig. 7). The survival rate of acetaminophentreated HL7702 cells rose from 35.7% in the model group to 91.0% and 86.4% in two test groups containing 1 at 10 μ M and 1 μ M, respectively. Interestingly, in the assay of cytotoxic activity against human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line HePG-2, lung cancer cell lines A549 and NCI-H1975, breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7, and ovarian cancer cell line Hey, compound 1 also exhibited selective cytotoxicity against Hey with IC₅₀ value of 13.7 μ g/mL



Fig. 5 The reported carbon skeletons of neolignanes.







Fig. 7 Nuclear DNA staining of the HL7702 cells, where Hoechst 33342 stained live and dead cells blue, Image-iT[®] DEAD GreenTM viability stain stained dead cells green.

Experimental

General experimental produces

Optical rotations were measured using a Perkin-Elmer 341 plus. CD spectra were recorded on a JASCO J-815 CD spectrometer. IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet 5700 FT-IR microscope instrument. NMR spectra were obtained using a Bruker-AVIIIHD-600 spectrometer with the solvent peaks used as the references. HRESIMS spectra were measured using a Waters Synapt G2 HDMS. Column chromatography (CC) was performed using silica gel (200-300 mesh, Yantai Institute of Chemical Technology, Yantai, China), MCI gel CHP 20P (75–150 μm, Mitsubishi Chemical, Co., Japan), and Sephadex LH-20 (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech AB, Uppsala, Sweden). HPLC separation was performed using an instrument equipped with a Cometro 6000LDS pump, a Cometro 6000PVW UV/VIS detector, and an Ultimate $(250 \times 10 \text{ mm}^2)$ preparative column packed with C18 (5 μ m). TLC was performed using gla s precoated silica gel GF254 plates (Qingdao Marine Chemic.) Inc., Qingdao, China).

Plant material

Journal Name

P. chinense was collected in July of 2012 from the culture field in Guling, Sichuan Province, China. Plant identity was verified by Prof. Min Li (Chengdu University of TCM, Sichuan, China). A voucher specimen (SGHC-20120725) was deposited at the School of Pharmacy, Chengdu University of TCM, Chengdu, China.

Extraction and isolation

The air-dried plant material (16 kg) was decocted with H₂O (130 L; 3×1 h). The aqueous extracts were combined and evaporated under reduced pressure to yield a dark-brown residue (1.8 kg), which was suspended in H_2O (2.0 L) and then successively partitioned with EtOAc (8 × 2.0 L) and n-BuOH (8 × 2.0 L). The EtOAc extract (470 g) was chromatographed over a D-101 macroporous adsorbent resin column. Successive elution of the column with 30% EtOH, 50% EtOH, 75% EtOH, and 95% EtOH (15 L each) yielded four portions. The third portion (65 g) eluted by 75% EtOH was subjected to silica gel CC using a gradient elution of increasing MeOH (0-100%) in CH_2Cl_2 to give 11 fractions ($F_1 - F_{11}$). F_3 was separated over the Sephadex LH-20 column eluted with petroleum ether-CHCl₃-MeOH (5:5:1) to afford five subfractions ($F_{3-1} - F_{3-5}$). The subfraction F₃₋₃ was subjected to silica gel CC using a gradient elution of increasing MeOH (0–100%) in CH_2Cl_2 to give six parts $(F_{3-3-1} - F_{3-3-6})$. Further purification of F_{3-3-3} with preparative TLC (CHCl₃–MeOH, 30:1) followed by reversed-phase semipreparative HPLC (68% MeOH in H₂O) yielded 3 (2.7 mg) and 4 (0.7 mg). F_{3-3-4} was further separated on the preparative TLC (CHCl₃-MeOH, 40:1) and reversed-phase semipreparative HPLC (80% MeOH in H_2O) to afford **1** (7.5mg) and **2** (11.8 mg).

Physical-chemical properties of 1-4

Penchinone A (1). Pale yellow powder; UV (MeOH) $λ_{max}$ (logε) 214 (4.05), 252 (4.23), 289 (4.11) nm; IR (KBr) v_{max} 3318, 2941, 1652, 1614, 1581, 1503, 1433, 1359, 1301, 1159, 1091, 1043, 969, 814, 794 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (MeOH- d_4 , 600 MHz) data and ¹³C NMR (MeOH- d_4 , 150 MHz) data, see Table 1. (+)-HR-ESIMS m/z 365.1002 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₁₉H₁₈O₆Na, 365.1001).

Penchinone B (2). Pale yellow crystals (MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (logε) 215 (4.14), 243 (4.21), 288 (4.17) nm; IR (KBr) v_{max} 3295, 2974, 2936, 1653, 1602, 1568, 1504, 1427, 1362, 1278, 1161, 1092, 1044, 971, 812, 792, 757 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (MeOH- d_4 , 600 MHz) data and ¹³C NMR (MeOH- d_4 , 150 MHz) data, see Table 1. (+)-HR-ESIMS m/z 365.1003 [M + Na]⁺ (calcd for C₁₉H₁₈O₆Na, 365.1001).

Penchinone C (3). Colorless gum; $[α]^{20}_{D}$ +22.7 (c 0.12, MeOH); UV (MeOH) $λ_{max}$ (logε) 224 (4.33), 268 (3.96), 308 (3.53) nm; CD (MeCN) 244 (Δε -1.46), 290 (Δε +0.64) nm; IR (KBr) v_{max} 3364, 2927, 2853, 1706, 1600, 1580, 1497, 1460, 1314, 1262, 1183, 1108, 1072, 802 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (MeOH- d_4 , 600 MHz) data and ¹³C NMR (MeOH- d_4 , 150 MHz) data, see Table 1. (–)-HR-ESIMS m/z 325.1075 [M – H]⁻ (calcd for C₁₉H₁₇O₅, 325.1076).

Penchinone D (4). Colorless gum; $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ +14.9 (c 0.04, MeOH); UV (MeOH) λ_{max} (logε) 222 (4.32), 259 (3.98), 301

(3.55) nm; ¹H NMR (MeOH- d_4 , 600 MHz) data and ¹³C NMR (MeOH- d_4 , 150 MHz) data, see Table 1. (–)-HR-ESIMS m_i 325.1073 [M – H]⁻ (calcd for C₁₉H₁₇O₅, 325.1076).

X-ray crystallography of compound 2

 $C_{19}H_{20}O_7$, M = 360.35, orthorhombic, a = 9.9508(3) Å, b = 19.0262(6) Å, c = 19.3662(6) Å, $\alpha = 90.00^\circ$, $\beta = 90.00^\circ$, $\gamma = 90.00^\circ$, V = 3666.5(2) Å³, T = 100(2) K, space group *Pbca*, Z = 8, μ (CuK α) = 0.840 mm⁻¹, 19511 reflections measured, 3394 independent reflections ($R_{int} = 0.0362$). The final R_1 values were 0.0389 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$). The final $wR(F^2)$ values were 0.0999 ($I > 2\sigma(I)$). The final R_1 values were 0.0389 (all data). The final $wR(F^2)$ values were 0.0999 (all data). The final $wR(F^2)$ values of fit on F^2 was 1.123.

The data were collected using a Bruker APEX DUC diffractometer with Cu K $_{\alpha}$ radiation. The crystal structures were solved by direct methods using the SHELXS-97 program and refined anisotropically by least-squares method using tl SHELXL-97 refinement package.²⁸ CCDC 1414938 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data be obtained free can of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

ECD calculation of compound 3

Conformational analysis of the S-enantiomer was carried out via Monte Carlo searching with the MMFF94 molecular mechanics force field using the Spartan 10 software.²⁹ The lowest-energy conformers having relative energies within 2 kcal/mol (Electronic Supplementary Information, Fig. S32 and Table S8) were re-optimized using DFT at the B3LYP/6-31+G (d, p) level in vacuum with the Gaussian 09 program.³⁰ TL B3LYP/6-31G+(d, p) harmonic vibrational frequencies were further calculated to confirm their stability. The energies, oscillator strengths, and rotational strengths of the electronic excitations were calculated using the TDDFT methodology at the B3LYP/6-311++G (2d, 2p) level in vacuum. Then, the ECD spectra were simulated by the Gausssum 2.25 program³¹ (σ = 0.3 eV). The final ECD spectra of (S)-3 was obtained according to the Boltzmann distribution theory and their relative Gibbs free energy (ΔG).

Hepatoprotective activity assay

Cell culture. HL7702 cells were obtained from the Cell Bank of Shanghai Institute of Chinese Academy of Sciences and maintained in DMEM medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% FBS (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). All cells were cultured in a humidified atmosphere with a 5% Coincubator at 37 °C.

MTT colorimetric assay. Cell viability was determined by MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazoliu n bromide] method. HL7702 cells were seeded in 96-well culture plates at a density of 1×10^4 cells with 100 μ L culture mediu . per well and cultured for 12 h in 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Then, the cultured cells were exposed to 35 mM acetaminophen for

Journal Name

hours. After fresh medium (100 μ L) containing test sample was added, the cells were cultured for 24h. Then, the medium was changed into a fresh one containing 5 mg/mL MTT. After 4 h incubation at 37 °C, the medium was aspirated and the cells lysed by addition of 150 μ L DMSO. The optical density (OD) value of the formazan solution was measured on a microplate reader at 570 nm.

Image-iT[®] DEAD Green[™] viability stain and Hoechst 33342 staining. Hoechst 33342 staining was used to visualize nuclear DNA in live and dead cells. And the Image-iT[®] DEAD Green[™] viability stain could form highly fluorescent and stable dyenucleic acid complexes in dead cells. At the end of the treatment of acetaminophen and compounds, remove the medium containing test sample and add fresh blank medium. Then, add Image-iT[®] DEAD Green[™] viability stain to each well and incubate the plate under normal cell culture condition for 30 min. After the medium was removed, add 100 μL of counterstain/fixation solution (6 μ L of Hoechst 33342 and 3 mL of 16% paraformaldehyde in 9 mL PBS) and incubated for 15 min at room temperature. The counterstain/fixation solution was removed and the wells were washed with PBS. After adding PBS to each well, the cells were observed under a microscope (ImagExpress micro XLS).

Cytotoxic activity assay

ARTICLE

The cytotoxic effects were determined by a MTT colorimetric assay in human hepatocellular carcinoma cell line HePG-2, lung cancer cell lines A549 and NCI-H1975, breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7, and ovarian cancer cell line Hey. Each cell suspension of 1×10^4 cells/mL in DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum (v/v) was seeded in 96-well plates and cultured for 24 h in 5% CO₂ at 37 °C. After fresh medium (100 μ L) containing test sample was added, the cells were cultured for 72 h. Then, the medium was changed into a fresh one containing 5 mg/mL MTT. After 4 h incubation at 37 °C, the supernatant was removed and 150 μ L of DMSO was added to dissolve formazan crystals. The optical density (OD) value of the formazan solution was measured on a microplate reader at 490 nm. Each assay was replicated three times. The effect of the compounds on tumor cells viability was calculated and expressed by IC₅₀ of each cell line.

Conclusions

Although lignan molecule is small and contains only two C_6-C_3 units, many subtypes of classical lignans, neolignans, and oxyneolignans have been found in stems, bark, leaves, seeds, fruits, roots, and rhizomes of plants. In this study, two other of neolignans were obtained from subtypes а hepatoprotective water decoction of P. chinense. We suggested that the unprecedented neolignane skeletons were likely derived from 8,3'-neolignanes. Significantly, the cooccurrence of these unusual neolignans (1-4) in the genus Penthorum, whose taxonomy is still in dispute, may constitute evidence that Penthorum should be considered a distinct family in the APG system. In addition, only compound 1

displayed hepatoprotective activity against acetaminopheninduced damage and selective cytotoxicity against Hey cance cell. These findings prompt us to pay more attentions to these unusual types of neolignans in *Penthorum* and their structureactivity relationships in future.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Sichuan Science and Technology Support Program (Grant No. 2014SZ0134) is acknowledged.

Notes and references

- M. Saleem, H. J. Kim, M. S. Ali and Y. S. Lee, *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2005, **22**, 696–716.
- 2 J. Y. Pan, S. L. Chen, M. H. Yang, J. Wu, J. Sinkkonen and K. Zou, Nat. Prod. Rep. 2009, 26, 1251–1292.
- 3 J. G. Shi, *Chemistry of Lignans*, Chemical Industry Press Beijing, 2010, pp 223–325.
- 4 G. P. Moss, Pure Appl. Chem. 2000, 72, 1493–1523.
- 5 M. Wang, X. Wu, Y. Jiang and D. Y. Zhang, Food Drug 201, 15, 202–205.
- 6 Q. Lu, M. H. Jiang, J. G. Jiang, R. F. Zhang and M. W. Zhang, J. Agric. Food Chem. 2012, 60, 11097–11103.
- 7 Y. C. He, Y. Zou, C. Peng, J. L. Liu, C. J. He, L. Guo, X. F. Xie and L. Xiong, *Fitoterapia* 2015, **100**, 7–10.
- 8 T. Zhang, Y. M. Chen and G. L. Zhang, J. Integr. Plant Biol. 2007, 49, 1611–1614.
- 9 F. Zhang, J. S. Wang, Y. C. Gu and L. Y. Kong, J. Nat. Prod. 2012, 75, 538–546.
- 10 J. K. Woo, C. K. Kim, S. H. Kim, H. Kim, D. C. Oh, K. B. Oh and J. Shin, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2826–2829.
- 11 M. P. Yuldashev, Chem. Nat. Compd. 1998, 34, 508-509.
- 12 Y. Kong, Y. J. Fu, Y. G. Zu, F. R. Chang, Y. H. Chen, X. L. Liu, J. Stelten and H. M. Schiebel, *Food Chem.* 2010, **121**, 1150–1155.
- 13 C. W. Li and C. B. Cui, *Molecules* 2014, **19**, 21363–21377.
- 14 M. Wang, Y. Jiang, H. L. Liu, X. Q. Chen, X. Wu and D. Y. Zhang, Nat. Prod. Res. 2014, 28, 70–73.
- 15 C. H. Ng, K. Rullah, M. F. F. M. Aluwi, F. Abas, K. W. Lam, I. S. Ismail, R. Narayanaswamy, F. Jamaludin and K. Shaari, *Molecules* 2014, **19**, 11645–11659.
- 16 X. D. Cao, Z. S. Ding, F. S. Jiang, X. H. Ding, J. Z. Chen, S. H. Chen and G. Y. Lv, Nat. Prod. Res. 2012, 26, 2089–2094.
- 17 M. O. M. Marques, M. C. C. P. Gomes, M. Yoshida and O. R. Gottlieb, *Phytochemistry* 1992, **31**, 275–277.
- 18 V. S. Prakash Chaturvedula, S. M. Hecht, Z. Gao, S. H. Jones, X. Feng and D. G. I. Kingston, J. Nat. Prod. 2004, 67, 964–967.
- 19 S. X. Zhang, K. Chen, X. J. Liu, D. C. Zhang, T. W. Tao-Wiedmann, S. L. Leu, A. T. McPhail and K. H. Lee, *J. Nat. Prod.* 1995, **58**, 540–547.
- 20 F. P. Lee, Y. C. Chen, J. J. Chen, I. L. Tsai and I. S. Chen, *Helv.* Chim. Acta 2004, 87, 463–468.
- 21 M. Kuroyanagi, K. Yoshida, A. Yamamoto and M. Miwa, Chem Pharm. Bull. 2000, 48, 832–837.
- 22 Y. M. Chi, F. Hashimoto, W. M. Yan and T. Nohar Phytochemistry 1997, 46, 763–769.
- 23 T. lida and K. Ito, Phytochemistry 1983, 22, 763-766.
- 24 L. M. X. Lopes, M. Yoshida and O. R. Gottlieb, *Phytochemistry* 1984, **23**, 2021–2024.
- 25 R. Takeda, J. Hasegawa and M. Shinozaki, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1990, **31**, 4159–4162.
- 26 H. Morita, E. Kishi, K. Takeya and H. Itokawa, *Phytochemist* v 1992, **31**, 3993–3995.

Journal Name

- 27 B. N. Su, Y. Takaishi and T. Kusumi, *Tetrahedron* 1999, **55**, 14571–14586.
- 28 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst. 2008, A64, 112-122.
- 29 Spartan 10, Wavefunction, Inc.: Irvine, CA.
- 30 *Gaussian 09*, Revision C.01, Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford CT, 2010. A full list of authors can be found in the Electronic Supplementary Information.
- 31 Gausssum 2.25: N. M. O'Boyle, A. L. Tenderholt and K. M. Langner, J. Comput. Chem. 2008, **29**, 839–845.

ARTICLE