RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

Graphical Abstract



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Palladium(0)-Catalyzed Direct C-H Hetero-Arylation of 2-Arylimadazo [1,2-*a*]pyridines with (*E*)-1-(5-Bromothiophen-2-yl)-3-arylprop-2-en-1-ones and Their Anticancer Activity

Mari Vellakkaran,^a Rajakka Lingayya,^{a,b} Bejjanki Naveen Kumar,^a Kommu Nagaiah *^{a,b} Y. Poornachandra,^c C. ⁵ Ganesh Kumar^c

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

An efficient palladium (0)-catalyzed direct hetero-arylation of imidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridines at the C-3 position of imidazole ring 10 has been described. All synthesized compounds 3a-3r were evaluated for their anticancer activity against a panel of four human cancer cell lines and among all the compounds, 3d, 3j, 3k, 3p and 3r showed promising activity at <10 μM.

Cancer is a multifaceted disease that represents one of the ¹⁵ leading causes of mortality in developed countries. The occurrence and humanity of cancer patients have become one of the very important issues discussed worldwide.¹ Unfortunately, development of resistance to chemotherapeutic agents is a common impediment in the treatment of different types of ²⁰ cancers. Among the different type of cancers, lung cancer,

- cervical cancer, breast cancer and prostate cancer are the most common cancers in humans, other than skin cancer, and the second leading cause of cancer death in men and women.² In the history of twenty years, there have been several improvements in
- ²⁵ the war against cancer. More and more anticancer drugs and vaccines have been developed. There are about 79 FDA approved anticancer drugs and vaccines since past twenty years. Among them, 39 synthetic anticancer drugs received FDA approval.³ Imidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridine derivatives have attracted considerable ³⁰ interest due to their diverse biological activities and therapeutic
- properties.⁴ For instance, this heterocyclic system has received



Fig.1 Pharmaceutically important [a] imidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridine core and [b] (*E*)-3-aryl-1-(thiophen-2-yl)prop-2-en-1-one core containing scaffolds

antiprotozoal,⁷ antiherpetic,⁸ anti-HIV,⁹ antiviral,¹⁰ and antiinflammatory¹¹ agents. Several imidazo[1,2-a]pyridines are already available in the market including zolimidine (an antiulcer drug), zolpidem (a hypnotic drug), and alpidem (a nonsedative 40 anxiolytic).¹² Similarly, chalcone derivatives occupy an elite place in the field of medicinal chemistry due to a wide range of biological activities exhibited by them; the heterocycle-derived chalcone derivatives have wide variety of pharmacological activities like antimalarial, anti-inflammatory, cytotoxic, ⁴⁵ anticancer, and antioxidant properties.¹³ Recently, the antitumor agents,¹⁴ antimicrobial agents,¹⁵ breast cancer inhibitors,¹⁶ were also reported. The pharmaceutically active imidazole and thiophene chalcone cores are depicted in Fig.1.¹⁷ The imidazopyridine core and analogues of chalcone derivative 50 possess many anti-infective properties including anticancer, antibacterial,¹⁸ antiviral,¹⁹ antiprotozoal,²⁰ MCHR₁ antagonist,²¹ and antihelmintic²² activities. In the past few years, much more interest has been made to the

³⁵ therapeutic importance acting as anticancer,⁵ antimicrobial,⁶

synthesis of 3-aryl/heteroarylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine derivatives 55 by transition-metal-catalyzed direct C-3 (hetero) arylation.²³⁻²⁴ This field has thus undergone rapid development. Although direct arylation of heterocycles has been successful, direct C3-arylation of free imidazo[1,2-a]pyridines still has opportunity for further development. The palladium(0)-catalyzed C-H arylation of 60 imidazo[1,2-a]pyridines at the C-3 position of imidazole ring with aryl/heteroaryl halides is one of the direct strategies to generate 3-aryl/hetero-arylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine derivatives.²³ In 2006, B. Raboin et al. developed 5 mol % of Pd(OAc)₂ and 10 mol % of PPh₃ for the C3-arylation of imidazo[1,2-a]pyridines^{23b} 65 and recently. Doucet and co-workers revealed a phosphine free Pd-catalyzed direct C-3 arylation of imidazo[1,2-a]-pyridines with aryl bromides at low catalyst loading.^{23c-d} However, aryl bromides were the only appropriate electrophiles. In 2014, Zhan et al. developed an efficient synthesis of 3-arylimidazo[1,2a] 70 pyridines through oxidative coupling reaction^{23k} and H. M. Lee et al. reported the Pd(0)-catalysed decarboxylative arylation of imidazo[1,2-a]pyridine-3-carboxylic acid with aryl halides.²³¹ The Suzuki coupling between 3-haloimidazo[1,2-a]pyridines and arylboronic acids is another direct strategies to generate 3-⁷⁵ arylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine derivatives.²⁴ Herein we describe, the

This journal is $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]

palladium(0)-catalyzed direct C-H hetero-arylation at C-3 position of imidazole ring of 2-arylimidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridine with (*E*)-1-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-3-arylprop-2-en-1-one (heteroaryl) as starting materials and their cytotoxic evaluation against four s human cancer lines (A549, MCF7, HeLa and DU145).

Table 1 Optimization of the reaction conditions a



Entry	Pd Source	Ligand	Base	Solvent	Yield of $3a(\%)^b$
1	$Pd(OAc)_2$	TPP ^c	K ₂ CO ₃	DMF	73
2	$Pd(OAc)_2$	TPP	K ₂ CO ₃	Toluene	78
3	$Pd(OAc)_2$	TPP	K_2CO_3	DMSO	81
4	$Pd(OAc)_2$	TPP	K_2CO_3	DCE	71
5	$Pd(OAc)_2$	TPP	K_2CO_3	DMA	84
6	Pd(OAc) ₂	$P(Cy)_3^c$	K ₂ CO ₃	DMA	97
7	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$P(Cy)_3$	t-BuOK	DMA	94
8	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$P(Cy)_3$	Cs_2CO_3	DMA	93
9	$Pd(TFA)_2$	$P(Cy)_3$	K_2CO_3	DMA	79
10	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	TPP	K_2CO_3	DMA	82
11	Pd ₂ (dba) ₃	TPP	K_2CO_3	DMA	88
12	PdCl ₂ (dppp) ^c	TPP	K_2CO_3	DMA	69
13	$Pd(OAc)_2$	DPPP ^c	K_2CO_3	DMA	72
14	$Pd(OAc)_2$	X-Phos ^c	K_2CO_3	DMA	68
15	$Pd(OAc)_2$	TPTP ^c	K_2CO_3	DMA	79

^{*a*} Unless specified, the reaction was carried out with **1a** (0.5 mmol), **2a** (0.6 mmol), Pd (0.025 equiv.), ligand (0.05 equiv.), base (2.0 equiv.) under N₂ atm. at 100 °C in a solvent (4.0 mL) for 18.0 h. ^{*b*} Isolated yield (average of two runs). [°] TPP = Triphenyl phosphine, $P(Cy)_3 =$ Tricyclohexcyl phosphine, DPPP = 1,3-Bis(diphenylphosphino)propane, X-Phos = 2-Dicyclohexylphosphino-2',4',6'-triisopropylbiphenyl, TPTP = 15 Tri(*p*-tolyl)phosphine, PdCl₂(dppp) = (1,3-Bis(diphenylphosphino)propane)palladium(II)chloride.

At the outset of our study, the required starting materials, 2arylimidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridine, and (*E*)-1-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-3phenylprop-2-en-1-one derivatives were synthesized according to ²⁰ literature procedure.²⁵ The direct cross-coupling between 2arylimidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridine (**1a**) with (*E*)-1-(5-bromothiophen-2yl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one (**2a**) as a model substrate (Table 1). Initially, the reaction was performed with 2 mol% Pd(OAc)₂, TPP (3 mol%) and potassium carbonate (2 equiv.) with DMF as a

- ²⁵ solvent the reaction gave moderate yield (entry 1). By changing the solvent like toluene, DMSO, DCE, and DMA, the reaction gave moderate to good yields (entries 2-5). The effect of ligand addition was also noted, good to excellent yields was observed by replacing TPP with PCy₃ (entry 6). The addition of different
- ³⁰ bases did not affect the outcome of the reaction yields (entries 7 and 8). The reaction product yields did not increase with the change of Pd(OAc)₂ with different palladium sources like Pd(TFA)₂, Pd(PPh₃)₄, Pd₂(dba)₃ and PdCl₂(dppp) (entries 9-12). The reaction outcome did not improve by the addition of different
- 35 phosphine ligands like Dppp, X-Phos and TPTP (entries 13-15).



Scheme 1 Synthetic scope of (*E*)-1-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-3-arylprop-2en-1-one derivatives ^{*a*}.

Under the optimized reaction conditions (Table 1, entry 6), the various (E)-1-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one derivatives were examined with 2-arylimidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridine derivatives and results to this regard are summarized in Scheme 1 ⁴⁵ and 2. It was observed that (E)-1-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one with electron-donating groups (methyl, methoxy) and electron withdrawing group (cyano) were well tolerated and gave the corresponding cross coupling product with good to excellent yields (Scheme 1, entries **3a-3g**). The halogen ⁵⁰ bearing (E)-1-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one derivatives was well tolerated and the corresponding coupling product was obtained in excellent yields (entries **3h** and **3i**). The deactivated fused ring system bearing (E)-1-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-3-(naphthalen-1-yl)prop-2-en-1-one derivative also underwent ⁵⁵ smooth coupling **(3j)**. The electron donating and electron

30

withdrawing group bearing 2-arylimidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridine derivatives underwent smooth coupling with electron donating and electron withdrawing group bearing (*E*)-1-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one derivatives (Scheme 2, 3k-3r).



Scheme 2 Synthetic scope of 2-arylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridine derivatives ^a.

Based on the previous reports a plausible mechanism is ¹⁰ illustrated in scheme 3.²³ The first step, which involves the activation of Pd(OAc)₂ using tricyclohexcyl phosphine (PCy₃) to form the active Pd(0) complex (**A**). The Pd(0) complex (**A**) undergoes the oxidative addition of (*E*)-1-(5-bromothiophen-2yl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one (**I**) to form complex (**B**). The ¹⁵ complex **B** undergoes electrophilic attack with 2-phenylimidazo [1,2-*a*]pyridine (**II**) to get complex **C**.^{23j,p} In complex **C**, the C-H hydrogen (at C3 position) was abstracted by base to get complex **D**. The complex **D** undergoes the reductive elimination to give the desired product (**III**) and Pd(0) complex (**A**) and initiating the ²⁰ new catalytic cycle.

The *in vitro* cytotoxic activity of synthesized compounds **3a-3r** were evaluated using standard MTT assay²⁶ against four human cancer cell lines, lung cancer (A549), breast cancer (MCF7), cervical cancer (HeLa), and prostate cancer (DU145) cell lines,

²⁵ respectively. The *in vitro* cytotoxic activity was expressed as IC_{50} (μ M) values and doxorubicin was used as a positive control. The results to this regard are depicted in Table 2.



Scheme 3 Proposed mechanism for Pd(0)-catalyzed C-H Heteroarylation.

Table 2 Anticancer activity of compounds 3a-3r against four human
cancer cell lines

	<u>IC₅₀ values in (μM)</u> A549 ^a MCF7 ^a HeLa ^a DU145 ^a					
Compound	A549 ^a	MCF7 ^a	HeLa ^a	DU145 ^a		
3a	78.6 ± 0.42	58.8 ± 0.48	71.4 ± 0.54	66.9 ± 0.33		
3b	31.2 ± 0.33	22.8 ± 0.14	21.3 ± 0.22	28.9 ± 0.29		
3c	b	-	_	_		
3d	8.4 ± 0.24	6.4 ± 0.12	5.2 ± 0.16	7.9 ± 0.18		
3e	205.0 ± 0.42	-	_	112.1 ± 0.58		
3f	-	-	-	_		
3g	32.9 ± 0.48	33.3 ± 0.28	41.1 ± 0.24	32.9 ± 0.36		
3h	26.5 ± 0.13	26.4 ± 0.18	29.9 ± 0.28	31.2 ± 0.32		
3i	16.9 ± 0.14	15.8 ± 0.16	15.4 ± 0.22	14.2 ± 0.24		
3j	11.8 ± 0.21	9.8 ± 0.12	10.8 ± 0.36	11.2 ± 0.22		
3k	9.0 ± 0.16	7.2 ± 0.24	$\textbf{8.8} \pm \textbf{0.12}$	$\textbf{8.1} \pm \textbf{0.22}$		
31	_	-	_	_		
3m	17.9 ± 0.16	15.9 ± 0.26	18.2 ± 0.24	19.1 ± 0.22		
3n	14.3 ± 0.32	11.4 ± 0.14	12.5 ± 0.16	18.4 ± 0.18		
30	50.8 ± 0.46	48.9 ± 0.26	49.2 ± 0.33	30.8 ± 0.26		
3р	11.2 ± 0.33	9.7 ± 0.32	10.4 ± 0.15	$\textbf{9.8} \pm \textbf{0.22}$		
3q	24.3 ± 0.12	22.3 ± 0.11	19.8 ± 0.24	18.4 ± 0.32		
3r	6.5 ± 0.11	$\textbf{5.4} \pm \textbf{0.22}$	5.1 ± 0.16	6.1 ± 0.08		
Dox ^c	0.7 ± 0.09	0.8 ± 0.08	0.7 ± 0.11	0.6 ± 0.09		

³⁵ ^a A549 = Lung cancer (CCL-185); MCF7 = Breast cancer (HTB-22); DU145 = Prostate cancer (HTB-81); HeLa = Cervical cancer (CCL-2). ^b-= No activity. ^c Dox = Doxorubicine.

The cytotoxicity results revealed that (*E*)-3-aryl-1-(5-(2-⁴⁰ arylimidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridin-3-yl)thiophen-2-yl)prop-2-en-1-one derivatives **3d, 3k, 3p** and **3r** bearing methoxy, methyl substituted (*E*)-1-(5-bromothiophen-2-yl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1one derivatives with methyl, nitro substituted 2-arylimidazo[1,2*a*]pyridine derivatives showed most promising inhibition (IC₅₀ ⁴⁵ <10 μ M) against all four human cancer cell lines (A549, MCF7, HeLa and DU145) with as compared to the standard doxorubicin. Whereas, the (*E*)-3-aryl-1-(5-(2-arylimidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridin-3yl)thiophen-2-yl)prop-2-en-1-one derivatives **3i, 3j, 3m** and **3n** bearing naphtyl and methoxy substituted (*E*)-1-(5bromothiophen-2-yl)-3-phenylprop-2-en-1-one derivatives with phenyl and methyl substituted 2-arylimidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridine derivatives exhibited good inhibition (IC₅₀ <20 μ M) against all four human cancer cell lines with as compared to the standard s doxorubicin.

The cytotoxicity of the lead compounds (**3d**, **3j**. **3k**, **3p** and **3r**) was also evaluated against IMR-90 (normal human lung cell line, ATCC no. CCL-186). The selectivity index (SI) = IC₅₀ of pure compound in a normal cell line / IC₅₀ of the same pure compound in a normal cell line is the compound in a matrix IC_{50} of the same pure compound to

¹⁰ in cancer cell line, where IC_{50} is the concentration required to ²⁰

inhibit 50% of the cell population was also calculated and has been included in Table 3. High SI value (>2) of a compound gives a selective toxicity towards cancer cells, while the compound with SI value <2 is considered to give general toxicity ¹⁵ in which it also can cause cytotoxicity in normal cells.²⁷ We have compared our results of the lead compounds along with doxorubicin with literature data and the results to this regard are presented in Table 4.²⁸⁻³²

Table 3 Cytotoxicity results of the lead compounds and their calculated selectivity index (SI) values

IC_{50} values in (μ M)									
Compound	A549	SI a	MCF7	SI	HeLa	SI	DU145	SI	IMR-90 ^a
3d	8.4 ± 0.24	9.5	6.4 ± 0.12	12.5	5.2 ± 0.16	15.4	7.9 ± 0.18	10.2	80.2 ± 0.24
3ј	11.8 ± 0.21	7.5	9.8 ± 0.12	9.1	10.8 ± 0.36	8.2	11.2 ± 0.22	7.9	88.9 ± 0.42
3k	9.0 ± 0.16	9.0	7.2 ± 0.24	11.3	8.8 ± 0.12	9.2	8.1 ± 0.22	10.0	81.2 ± 0.32
3р	11.2 ± 0.33	8.5	9.7 ± 0.32	9.8	10.4 ± 0.15	9.2	9.8 ± 0.22	9.7	95.2 ± 0.33
3r	6.5 ± 0.11	11.8	5.4 ± 0.22	14.2	5.1 ± 0.16	15.0	6.1 ± 0.08	12.6	76.9 ± 0.28
Doxorubicin	0.7 ± 0.09	37.7	0.8 ± 0.08	33.0	0.7 ± 0.11	37.7	0.6 ± 0.09	44.0	26.4 ± 0.12

 $_{25}$ ^{*a*} Selectivity index (SI) = IC₅₀ of pure compound in a normal cell line / IC₅₀ of the same pure compound in cancer cell line, where IC₅₀ is the concentration required to inhibit 50% of the cell population; IMR-90 (normal human lung cell line, ATCC no. CCL-186).

Table 4 Doxorubicin was positive controls: a comparison

Compound	Compound IC ₅₀ values in (µM)						
Tested	Tested A549 MCF7		HeLa DU1		Ref.		
Doxo	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.6	Present study		
3d	8.4	6.4	5.2	7.9	22		
3ј	11.8	9.8	10.8	11.2	**		
3k	9.0	7.2	8.8	8.1	"		
3p	11.2	9.7	10.4	9.8	"		
3r	6.5	5.4	5.1	6.1	"		
Doxo	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.8	28		
5h	5.2	9.8	11.1	12.3	**		
Doxo	0.7	-	0.71	-	29		
7b	4.5	-	7.0	-	"		
9b	1.0	-	2.0	-	"		
Doxo	1.21	1.05	0.45	-	30		
5b	-	0.58	-	-	>>		
Doxo	-	0.75	1.17	-	31		
19	-	3.6	36.6	-	"		
Doxo	3.4	-	6.0	-	32		
4j	8.9	-	6.5	-	"		

30

Conclusions

In conclusion, a new and efficient palladium-catalyzed direct hetero-arylation at the C-3 position of imidazole ring of 2arylimidazo[1,2-*a*]pyridines has been described. This mild ³⁵ condition allowed the tolerance of the wide range of functionalities. The cytotoxicity results revealed that (*E*)-3-aryl-1-(5-(2-arylimidazo[1,2-a]pyridin-3-yl)thiophen-2-yl)prop-2-en-1-one, **3d**, **3k**, **3p** and **3r**, showed promising inhibitory activity against all four human cell lines whereas the compounds **3i**, **3j**, **3m** and **3m** derivatives arbibited coad ishibition accounts the

⁴⁰ **3m** and **3n** derivatives exhibited good inhibition against all the four human cancer cell lines (A549, MCF7, HeLa and DU145). Further, scope and SAR studies are under progress.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Director, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical ⁴⁵ Technology for the generous support. CSIR, New Delhi for funding through the program TREAT XII FYP (BSC-0116). V. M, R. L, B. N. K, and Y. P thank CSIR, New Delhi for the Research Fellowship.

Notes and references

⁵⁰ ^a Organic and Biomolecular Chemistry Division, CSIR - Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Uppal Road, Hyderabad 500 007, India. Email: nagaiah@iict.res.in; Phone: +914027191659; Fax:+914027191659.

^b Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), CSIR - Indian 55 Institute of Chemical Technology, Hyderabad, India.

- ^cMedicinal Chemistry and Pharmacology Division, CSIR-Indian Institute of Chemical Technology, Uppal Road, Hyderabad 500 007, India.
- † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any 60 supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
 - (a) A. Ring and M. Dowsett, Endocr. Relat. Cancer, 2004, 11, 643; 1 (b) E. Chalas, J. P. Costantino and D. L. Wickerham, Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 2005, 192, 1230; (c) A. Nadkar, C. Pungaliya, K. Drake, E. Zajac, S. S. Singhal and S. Awasthi, Expert Opin. Drug Metab. Toxicol. 2006, 2, 753; (d) M. G. Kris, V. A. Miller and G. Riely, J. Clin. Cancer Res. 2011, 17, 1616; (e) L. R. Yates and P. J. Campbell, Nat. Rev. Genet., 2012, 13, 795; (f) S. S Donna and D. K. Robert, Rev. 2009, 109, 2859; WHO Chem. (g) Website: http://www.who.int/cancer/en and Cancer Trends Progress Report 2011/2012 Update http://progressreport.cancer.gov.
 - (a) A. Jemal, F. Bray, M. M. Center, J. Ferlay, E. Ward, and D. C. A. Forman, *Cancer J Clin.* 2011, 61, 69; (b) L. A. Carey, *Clin Breast Cancer.* 2010, 10, 188; (c) J. M. Pezzuto, *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 1997, 53, 121; (d) G. M. Cooper, The Cancer Book, Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston, MA 1993; (e) National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) (2004) Referral Guidelines for Suspected Cancer http://www.nice.org.uk/CG027.

- 3 (a) G. M. Cragg, D. J. Newman, and K. M. Snader, J. Nat. Prod. 2003, 66, 1022; (b) Food and Drug Administration (FDA): http://www.fda.gov.
- (a) M. Andaloussi, E. Moreau, N. Masurier, J. Lacroix, R. C. 4 Gaudreault, J. M. Chezal, A. E. Laghdach, D. Canitrot, E. Debiton, J. C. Teulade and O. Chavignon, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2008, 43, 2505; (b) T. H. Al-Tel, R. A. Al-Qawasmeh and R. Zaarour, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2011, 46, 1874; (c) K. S. Gudmundsson, B. A. Johns, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2007, 17, 2735; (d) K. S. Gudmundsson, S. D. Boggs, J. D. Catalano, A. Svolto, A. Spaltenstein, M. Thomson, P. Wheelan and S. Jenkinson, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2009, 19, 6399; (e) A. Gueiffier, S. Mavel, M. Lhassani, A. Elhakmaoui, R. Snoeck, G. Andrei, O. Chavignon, J. C. Teulade, M. Witvrouw, J. Balzarini, E. D. Clercq and J. P. Chapat, J. Med. Chem., 1998, 41, 5108; (f) A. T. Baviskar, C. Madaan, R. Preet, P. Mohapatra, V. Jain, A. Agarwal, S. K. Guchhait, C. N. Kundu, U. C. Banerjee and P. V. Bharatam, J. Med. Chem., 2011, 54, 5013; (g) K. C. Rupert, J. R. Henry, J. H. Dodd, S. A. Wadsworth, D. E. Cavender, G. C. Olini, B. Fahmy and J. J. Siekierka, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2003, 13, 347; (h) C. Enguehard, F. Fauvelle, J. Debouzy, A. Peinnequin, I. Thery, V. Dabouis and A. Gueiffier, Eur. J. Pharm. Sci., 2005, 24, 219; (i) K. S. Gudmundsson, B. A. Johns, Org. Lett., 2003, 5, 1369; (j) C. Hamdouchi, B. Zhong, J. Mendoza, E. Collins, C. Jaramillo, J. Diego, D. Robertson, C. D. Spencer, B. D. Anderson, S. A. Watkins, F. Zhang, H. B. Brooks, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2005, 15, 1943; (k) G. Puerstinger, J. Paeshuyse, E. Declercq, J. Neyts, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2007, 17, 390; (I) C. Enguehard-Gueiffier, A. Gueiffier, Mini-Rev. Med. Chem., 2007, 7, 888.
- 5 (a) A. Gueiffier, S. Mavel, M. Lhassani, A. Elhakmaoui, R. Snoeck, G. Andrei, O. Chavignon, J. C. Teulade, M. Witvrouw, J. Balzarini, E. D. Clercq, and J. P. Chapat, *J. Med. Chem.* 1998, **41**, 5108; (b) M. A. Martinez-Urbina, A. Zentella, M. A. Vilchis-Reyes, N. L. Guzma, O. Vargas and A. M. T. Ramirez, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2010, **45**, 1211.
- 6 T. H. Al-Tel, R. A, Al-Qawasmeh and R. Zaarour, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, 46, 1874.
- 7 M. Andaloussi, E. Moreau, N. Masurier, J. Lacroix, R. C. Gaudreault, J. M. Chezal, A. E. Laghdach, D. Canitrot, E. Debiton, J. C. Teulade, and O. Chavignon, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2008, 43, 2505.
- 8 K. S. Gudmundsson and B. A. Johns, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2007, **17**, 2735.
- 9 K. S. Gudmundsson, S. D. Boggs, J. G. Catalano, A. Svolto, A. Spaltenstein, M. Thomson, P. Wheelan and S. Jenkinson, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2009, **19**, 6399.
- 10 A. T. Baviskar, C. Madaan, R. Preet, P. Mohapatra, V. Jain, A. Agarwal, S. K. Guchhait, C. N. Kundu, U. C. Banerjee and P. V. Bharatam, J. Med. Chem., 2011, 54, 5013.
- 11 K. C. Rupert, J. R. Henry, J. H. Dodd, S. A. Wadsworth, D. E. Cavender, G. C. Olini, B. Fahmy and J. J. Siekierka, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2003, **13**, 347.
- (a) W. Martindale, *The Extra Pharmacopocia* 29th Ed., J. E. F. Reynolds, Ed. The Pharmaceutical Press, London, 1989, p 712, 1630;
 (b) K. A. Wafford, P. J. Whitting and J. A. Kemp, *Mol. Pharmocol.*,1993, 43, 240; *Chem. Abstr.*,1996, 118, 77084; (c) F. C. Hally, D. Graham, S. Arbilla and S. Z. Langer, *Eur. J. Pharmocol. Mol. Pharmacol. Sect.*, 1993, 246, 283; (d) K. J. Holm and K. L. Goa, *Drugs*, 2000, 59, 865; (e) B. Musch, P. L. Morselli and P. Priore *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.*, 1988, 29, 803; (f) D. Belohlavek, P. Malfertheiner, *Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl.*, 1979, 54, 44.
- (a) R. Li, G. L. Kenyon, F. E. Cohen, X. Chen, B. Gong, J. N. Dominguez, E. Davidson, G. Kurzban, R. E. Miller and E. O. Nuzman, J. Med. Chem., 1995, **38**, 5031; (b) J. F. Ballesteros, M. J. Sanz, A. Ubeda, M. A. Miranda, S. Iborra, M. Paya and M. J. J. Alcaraz, J. Med. Chem., 1995, **38**, 2794; (c) C. C. Yit and N. P. Das, Cancer Lett., 1994, **82**, 65; (d) A. T. Dinkova-Kostova, C. Abeygunawardana and P. Talalay, J. Med. Chem., 1998, **41**, 5287; (e) L. Actis-Goretta, J. I. Ottaviani, C. L. Keen, C. G. Fraga, *FEBS Lett.*, 2003, 555; (f) S. J. Won, C. T. Liu, L. T. Tsao, J. R. Weng, H. H. Ko, J. P. Wang, C. N. Lin, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2005, **40**, 103; (g) G.

Achanta, A. Modzelewsk, L. Feng, S. R. Khan, P. Huang, *Mol. Pharmacol.*, 2006. **70**, 426; (h) A. D. Vasconcelos, V. F. Campos, F. Nedel, F. K. Seixas, O. A. Dellagostin, K. R. Smith, C. M. P. Pereira, F. M. Stefanello, T. Collares and A. Gatto Barschak, *Cell Biochem. Funct.*, 2013, **31**, 289.

- 14 N. Dalip Kumar, M. Kumar, K. Akamatsu, E. Kusaka, H. Harada, and T. Ito, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2010, 20, 3916.
- 15 N. Zeba Siddiqui, T. N. Mohammed Musthafa, A. Ahmad and U. Asad Khan, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2011, 21, 2860.
- 16 K. Juvale, F. S. Veronika Pape and M. Wiese, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2012, 20, 346.
- 17 B. E. Tomczuk, C. R. Taylor, L. M. Moses, D. B. Sutherland, Y. S. Lo, D. N. Johnson, W. B. Kinnier and B. F. Kilpatrick, J. Med. Chem., 1991, 34, 2993.
- 18 (a) H. Ertepinarl, Y. Gök, O. Geban, S. Özden, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 1995, 30, 171; (b) L. Gui-Bai, Q. Xiaoxia, F. Dennis, F. Michael, M. B. Christine, G. Anne, S. L. Penny, A. L. Paul, S. M. Andrew, C. G. Tamas McKnigh, W. Matthew, K. A. McNulty, F. S. Joseph, S. Samantha, M. John, L. Shuliang, T. Donald, B. Tesfaye and F. Dennis, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2007, 17, 3558;(c) P. Groebke, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2010, 45, 12, 5848; (d) U. Shrikanth, R. Habaraya, S. Aamir and A.Vasudeva and A. Adhikari, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2013, 23, 1502.
- 19 (a) H. Chafiq, E. Jesds, A. V. Juan, J. V. Juan, A. B. Julio and A. H. Beverly, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 1999, 1391; (b) S. G. Kristjan and A. J. Brian, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2007, **17**, 2735.
- 20 B. Tesfaye, F. Dennis, F. Michael, L. Gui-Bai, Q. Xiaoxia, S. Andrew D. Richard, L. Shuliang, W. Matthew, T. Tamas, M. S. Dennis, F. Dennis, S. L. Penny, A. L. Paul, M. B. Christine and G. Anne, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2006, 16, 2479.
- 21 M. H. Fisher and A. Lusi, J. Med. Chem., 1972, 15, 982.
- 22 H. Kishino, M. Moriya, S. Sakuraba, T. Sakamoto, H. Takahashi, T. Suzuki, R. Moriya, M. Ito, H. Iwaasa, N. Takenaga and Akane, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2009, **19**, 4589.
- 23 (a) J. Koubachi, S. El Kazzouli, M. Bousmina, and G. Guillaumet, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2014, 5119; (b) J. Koubachi, S. E. Kazzouli, S. Berteina-Raboin, A. Mouaddib, G. Guillaumet, Synlett 2006, 3237; (c) H.-Y. Fu, L. Chen and H. Doucet, J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 4473; (d) J. Laroche, K. Beydoun, V. Guerchais and H. Doucet, Catal. Sci. Technol. 2013, 3, 2072; (e) H. Y. Fu, L. Zhao, C. Bruneau and H. Doucet, Synlett 2012, 23, 2077; (f) F. Belkessam, F. Derridj, L. Zhao, A. Elias, M. Aidene, S. Djebbar and H. Doucet, Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 4888; (g) S. Kona, R. R. Suresh, M. Chakravarty and V. N. R. Chava, J. Chem. 2013, Article ID 305934, 7 pages DOI: http://dx.org/10.1155/ 2013/305934; (h) R. A. Martin, A. Chartoine, A. M. Z. Slawin and S. P. Nolan, Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2012, 8, 1637; (i) P.-V. Kumar, W.-S. Lin, J.-S. Shen, D. Nandi and H. M. Lee, Organometallics 2011, 30, 5160; (j) H. Cao, Y. Lin, H. Zhan, Z. Du, X. Lin, Q.-M. Liang and H. Zhang, RSC Adv. 2012, 2, 5972; (k) S. Wang, W. Liu, J. Cen, J. Liao, J. Huang, H. Zhan, Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 1589; (1) D. Nandi, Y.-M. Jhou, J.-Y. Lee, B.-C. Kuo, C.-Y. Liu, P.-W. Huang, H. M. Lee, J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 9384; (m) R. R. Singhaus, R. C. Bernotas, R. Steffan, E. Matelan, E. Quinet, P. Nambi, I. Feingold, C. Huselton, A. Wilhelmsson, A. Goos-Nilsson and J. Wrobel, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2010, 20, 521; (n) J. Lee, J. Chung, S.-M. Byun, B. M. Kim and C. Lee, Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 5660. (o) P. Y. Choy, K. C. Luk, Y. Wu, C. M. So, L. Wang, and F. Y. Kwong, J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 1457; (p) W. Li, D. P. Nelson, M. S. Jensen, R. S. Hoerrner, G. J. Javadi, D. Cai, and R. D. Larsen, Org. Lett, 2013, 5, 4835.
- (a) C. Enguehard, J.-L. Renou, V. Collot, M. Hervet, S. Rault and A. Gueiffier, J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 6572; (b) S. Goodacre, L. J. Street, D. J. Hallett, S. Kelly, W. P. Blackaby, R. Lewis, R. M. McKernan, J. Stanley, D. Reynolds, B. Sohal, S. Cook, A. Pike, K. A. Wafford, G. Marshall, J. L. Castro and J. R. Atack, J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 35; (c) S. El Kazzouli, S. Berteina-Raboin, A. Mouaddib and G. Guillaumet, Lett. Org. Chem. 2012, 118; (d) M.-A. Bazin, S. Marhahour, A. Tonnerre and P. Marchand, Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 5378.

- 25 (a) D. J. Zhu, J. X. Chen, M. C. Liu, J. C. Ding, H. Y. Wu, J. Braz. Chem. Soc., 2009, 20, 482; (b) Go, Liu, Wilairat, J. Med. Chem., 2001, 44, 4443; (c) B. Ramesh, and B. Someswara Rao, E-Journal of Chemistry, 2010, 7, 433; (d) K. S. Gudmundsson and B. A. Johns, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2007, 17, 2735; (e) A. K. Bagdi, S. Santra, K. Monir and A. Hajra, Chem. Comm., 2015, 51, 1555.
- 26 T. Mosmann, J. Immunol. Methods., 1983, 65, 55.
- 27 R. B. Badisa, S. F. Darling-Reed, P. Joseph, J. S. Cooperwood, L. M. Latinwo and C. B. Goodman, *Anticancer Res.* 2009, 29, 2993.
- 28 S. Madabhushi, K. K. M. Reddy, V. S. Vangipuram, S. Kurva,Y. Poornachandra and C. Ganesh Kumar, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2014, 24, 4822.
- 29 J.S. Biradar and B.S. Sasidhar, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2011, 46, 6112.
- 30 L. Nagarapu, S.Vanaparthi, R. Bantu, C. Ganesh Kumar, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2013, 69, 817.
- 31 E. A. Djurendi, J. J. Ajdukovi, M. N. Saka, J. J. Csanádi, V. V. Koji, G. M. Bogdanovi and K. M. Penov Gasi, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2012, 54, 784.
- 32 S. Koppireddi, D. R. Kumari Chilaka, S. Avula, J. R. Komsani, S. Kotamraju, R.Radla, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2014, **24**, 5428.