

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

1	Mode of Action of Recombinant Hypoxanthine-Guanine Phosphoribosyltransferase from
2	Mycobacterium tuberculosis
3	
4	Paulo C. Patta <sup>a,b</sup> , Leonardo K. B. Martinelli <sup>a</sup> , Mariane Rotta <sup>a,c</sup> , Bruno L. Abbadi <sup>a,b</sup> , Diogenes S.
5	Santos <sup>a,b,*</sup> , Luiz A. Basso <sup>a,b,*</sup>
6	
7	<sup>a</sup> Centro de Pesquisas em Biologia Molecular e Funcional (CPBMF), Instituto Nacional de
8	Ciência e Tecnologia em Tuberculose (INCT-TB), Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio
9	Grande do Sul (PUCRS), 6681/92-A Av. Ipiranga, 90619-900, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil.
10	Fax/Tel: +55-51-33203629
11	<sup>b</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia Celular e Molecular, Pontificia Universidade Católica
12	do Rio Grande do Sul (PUCRS), 6681/12- Av. Ipiranga, 90619-900, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil.
13	Fax/Tel: +55-51-33203912
14	<sup>c</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Medicina e Ciências da Saúde, Pontificia Universidade
15	Católica do Rio Grande do Sul (PUCRS), 6690/30- Av. Ipiranga, 90610-000, Porto Alegre, RS,
16	Brazil. Fax/Tel: +55-51-33203318
17	
18	*Corresponding authors: Luiz A. Basso or Diogenes S. Santos
19	Av. Ipiranga 6681 – Tecnopuc – Prédio 92A, ZIP CODE 90619-900, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil.
20	Phone/Fax: +55 51 33203629; E-mail addresses: luiz.basso@pucrs.br or diogenes@pucrs.br.
21	
22	Running title: Mode of action of <i>M. tuberculosis</i> HGPRT
23	

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

# 24 Summary

25

26	Tuberculosis (TB) is the second most important cause of mortality worldwide due to a single
27	infectious agent, Mycobacterium tuberculosis. A better understanding of purine salvage pathway
28	can unveil details of the biology of <i>M. tuberculosis</i> that might be used to develop new strategies
29	to combat this pathogen. Hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (HGPRT) is an
30	enzyme from the purine phosphoribosyltransferase (PRTase) family and catalyzes the conversion
31	of hypoxanthine or guanine and 5-phospho- $\alpha$ -D-ribose 1-diphosphate (PRPP) to, respectively,
32	inosine 5'-monophosphate (IMP) or guanosine 5'-monophosphate (GMP), and pyrophosphate
33	(PPi). Gel filtration chromatography has shown that recombinant <i>M. tuberculosis</i> HGPRT
34	(MtHGPRT) is homodimeric. A sequential compulsory ordered enzyme mechanism with PRPP
35	as the substrate that binds to free MtHGPRT enzyme and PPi as the first product to dissociate is
36	proposed based on kinetic data and thermodynamics of ligand binding from isothermal titration
37	calorimetry (ITC) results. ITC data have also provided thermodynamic signatures of non-
38	covalent interactions for PRPP, IMP and GMP binding to free MtHGPRT. Thermodynamic
39	activation parameters ( $E_a$ , $\Delta G^{\#}$ , $\Delta S^{\#}$ , $\Delta H^{\#}$ ) for <i>Mt</i> HGPRT-catalyzed chemical reaction, pre-
40	steady-state kinetics, solvent kinetic isotope effects, equilibrium constants and pH-rate profiles
41	are also presented. Pre-steady-state analysis reveals that there is an initial rapid phase (burst)
42	followed by a slower phase, suggesting that product release is rate limiting. The data here
43	described provide a better understanding of the mode of action of <i>Mt</i> HGPRT.
44	

*Keywords*: HGPRT; Type I PRTases; Salvage Pathway; *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*; Isothermal
Titration Calorimetry; Enzyme Kinetics.

# 48 Introduction

50	Mycobacterium tuberculosis is the major etiological agent of human tuberculosis (TB) and is
51	believed to infect one-third of the world's population. This bacteria was responsible for 8.6
52	million new TB cases in 2012, which resulted in 1.3 million deaths worldwide. <sup>1</sup> According to the
53	World Health Organization (WHO), TB is the second most important cause of mortality
54	worldwide due to a single infectious agent. <sup>1</sup> Despite the effective short-course chemotherapy, the
55	increasing global burden of TB has been associated with co-infection with human
56	immunodeficiency virus (HIV) <sup>1,2</sup> , emergence of multi, extensively <sup>3</sup> and recently, totally drug
57	resistant strains of <i>M. tuberculosis</i> . <sup>4</sup>
58	TB is an ancient human disease <sup>5</sup> , but little is known about the nutritional adaptability of
59	<i>M. tuberculosis</i> in the progression of TB infection. <sup>6,7</sup> It is still not clear whether <i>M. tuberculosis</i>
60	recycles complex nutrient molecules from the human host using salvage pathways or relies on
61	synthesis of essential molecules from passive diffusible precursors via de novo synthesis
62	pathways. Purine and pyrimidine salvage pathways in <i>M. tuberculosis</i> remain an incompletely
63	explored possibility for drug development as purine and pyrimidine biosynthesis are essential
64	steps for the cell, supplying building blocks for DNA and RNA synthesis, among other
65	biological roles. <sup>8</sup> Accordingly, elucidation of biochemical properties of the enzymes involved in
66	purine and pyrimidine salvage pathways should contribute to a better understanding of the
67	biology of <i>M. tuberculosis</i> , and, hopefully, to the design of analogs that may selectively inhibit
68	<i>M. tuberculosis</i> replication and survival. <sup>9</sup>
69	The purine phosphoribosyltransferases (PRTases) form a family of enzymes that transfer
70	5-phosphoribosyl from 5-phospho-α-D-ribose 1-diphosphate (PRPP; α-D-5-

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

71 phosphoribosylpyrophosphate; α-D-ribosyl diphosphate 5-phosphate) to a nitrogen-containing 72 nucleophile (such as the imidazole N-9 of a purine base) to form the corresponding β-substituted ribose 5-phosphate (such as purine nucleotides).<sup>10</sup> Despite the lack of clear sequence homology 73 among the PRTases, these enzymes show tertiary and quaternary structure conservation.<sup>11</sup> A 74 75 PPRP binding motif of 12 amino acids, which is also found in PRPP synthetases, is conserved among the PRTases involved in nucleotide synthesis or salvage pathways.<sup>12</sup> This is the main 76 77 unifying characteristic of type I PRTases. The type I purine PRTase-catalyzed reactions have 78 been found to follow a sequential ordered bi-bi mechanism, in which PRPP binds to the free enzyme followed by the purine base, and ordered PPi and nucleotide products release.<sup>13-16</sup> 79 80 Another characteristic feature among the type I PRTase structures is a long flexible loop closely 81 associated with the active site, known as "the catalytic loop". In most of the determined structures of type I PRTase, the loop is usually highly disordered.<sup>10</sup> This PRTase family is of 82 83 significant interest in both human genetic diseases and parasitic pathologies, such as Lesch-Nyhan syndrome and Chagas' disease.<sup>15-17</sup> One of the enzymes that belong to this family is the 84 85 hypoxanthine–guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (HGPRT; EC 2.4.2.8) of purine salvage 86 pathway. HGPRTs are found in most microorganisms and mammals and their reaction involves 87 the ribophosphorylation in one step of purine nucleobases (hypoxanthine and guanine) and their analogues to their respective nucleoside 5'-monophosphate and pyrophosphate.<sup>18</sup> HGPRT 88 catalyzes the Mg<sup>2+</sup>-dependent reversible transfer of the 5-phosphoribosyl group from PRPP to 89 90 the N9 of guanine (Gua) (GPRT reaction) or hypoxanthine (Hx) (HPRT reaction) to form the 91 corresponding ribonucleotides guanosine 5'-monophosphate (GMP) and inosine 5'monophosphate (IMP), releasing PPi.<sup>18</sup> Investigation of purine salvage pathway enzymes of M. 92 93 tuberculosis, including HGPRT (*Mt*HGPRT), might reveal insightful data on the complex

94	balance that exists between the bacillus and the host. <sup>18</sup> Recently, the first crystal structure of
95	MtHGPRT has been reported. <sup>19</sup> These authors have also described acyclic nucleoside
96	phosphonate (ANP) compounds that inhibit MtHGPRT enzyme activity, and phosphoroamidates
97	of ANPs that inhibit the growth of <i>M. tuberculosis</i> . <sup>19</sup> Incidentally, Patel and colleagues have
98	employed computational strategies to design a series of fullerene-quinazolinones conjugates
99	based on homology models of <i>Mt</i> HGPRT. <sup>20</sup> These compounds were synthesized and showed
100	antibacterial activity against <i>M. tuberculosis</i> . <sup>20</sup> Accordingly, these efforts suggest that <i>Mt</i> HGPRT
101	is a potential target for the development of chemotherapeutic agents to treat TB. However, the
102	rational-based drug design must, preferably, rely on structural and functional data. Accordingly,
103	efforts to elucidate the mode of action of <i>Mt</i> HGPRT appear to be warranted to improve our
104	understanding of purine metabolism in this human pathogen, and allowing a function-based
105	approach to also be used for <i>Mt</i> HGPRT inhibitor design efforts.
106	The present work describes the mode of action of recombinant MtHGPRT. True steady-
107	state kinetic parameters and isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) data indicate that MtHGPRT
108	follows a sequential ordered mechanism. Gel filtration data suggest a homodimeric quarternary
109	structure for <i>Mt</i> HGPRT. Thermodynamic activation parameters ( $E_a$ , $\Delta G^{\#}$ , $\Delta S^{\#}$ , $\Delta H^{\#}$ ) for
110	MtHGPRT-catalyzed chemical reaction, solvent kinetic isotope effects, equilibrium constant
111	$(K_{eq})$ determination, solvent kinetics isotope effects, transient kinetics measurements and pH-rate
112	profiles results are also presented. The absolute requirement of divalent magnesium ion for
113	catalysis, the sequential kinetic mechanism, the presence of PRPP binding motif, the
114	homodimeric assembly in solution indicate that MtHGPRT belongs to type I PRTases family of
115	enzymes. It is hoped that the results presented here contributes to a better understanding of
116	MtHGPRT mode of action, and may also be useful to chemical biologists interested in designing

- 117 loss-of-function (inhibitors) or gain-of-function (activators) chemical compounds to reveal the
- 118 biological role of *Mt*HGPRT in the context of whole *M. tuberculosis* cells.

# 120 Experimental

122	Materials. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, USA), unless
123	otherwise specified. The low molecular weight (LMW) and high molecular weight (HMW) Gel
124	Filtration Calibration Kits were purchased from GE Healthcare. All kinetic data analyses were
125	carried out using SigmaPlot 10.0 (Systac Software, Inc., Melbourne, USA). Data are presented as
126	Mean ± Standard Deviation unless stated otherwise. ITC data analysis was evaluated utilizing the
127	Origin 7 SR4 software (Microcal, Inc.). All experiments were performed at 25 °C using 50 mM
128	2-amino-2-hydroxymethyl-propane-1,3-diol (Tris)-HCl pH 7.4 containing 12 mM MgCl <sub>2</sub> (buffer
129	A), in duplicates, unless otherwise specified.
130	
131	Overexpression and Purification. The recombinant <i>Mt</i> HGPRT was overexpressed and purified
132	to homogeneity as previously described. <sup>18</sup>
133	
134	Oligomeric State Determination. The oligomeric state of homogenous <i>Mt</i> HGPRT in solution
135	was determined by size exclusion liquid chromatography on a HighLoad 10/30 Superdex-200
136	column (GE Healthcare). The column was pre equilibrated and the sample (100 $\mu$ L) was
137	isocratically eluted with 1 column volume (CV) of 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5 containing 200 mM
138	NaCl at a flow rate of 0.4 mL min <sup>-1</sup> . Protein elution was monitored at 215, 254, and 280 nm. The

- 139 LMW and HMW Gel Filtration Calibration Kits were used as described by the manufacturer to
- 140 prepare the calibration curve. The elution volumes  $(V_e)$  of protein standards were used to
- 141 calculate their corresponding partition coefficients ( $K_{AV}$ ) according to Eq. 1. Blue dextran 2000
- 142 (GE Healthcare) was used to determine the void volume ( $V_0$ ) and  $V_t$  is the total bead volume of

143the column. The  $K_{AV}$  value for each protein was plotted *versus* the logarithm of their144corresponding molecular masses, giving a linear relationship. A volume of 100 µL (100 µM) of145MtHGPRT was loaded on the gel filtration column to obtain V<sub>e</sub>. The partition coefficient ( $K_{AV}$ )146of the recombinant MtHPRT was calculated by data fitting to Eq. 1 and its molecular mass147derived from the linear relationship.

148

149 
$$K_{AV} = \frac{V_e - V_o}{V_t - V_o}$$
 Eq. (1)

150

151 Steady-state Kinetics. Recombinant *Mt*HGPRT enzyme activity was measured by a continuous 152 spectrophotometric assay measuring the linear increase in absorbance as a function of IMP or 153 GMP formation in quartz cuvettes (1 cm). The experiments were performed in a UV-visible 154 Shimadzu spectrophotometer UV2550 equipped with a temperature-controlled cuvette holder. 155 The kinetic properties of *Mt*HGPRT for Hx, Gua, and PRPP were spectrophotometrically 156 determined using the difference in molar absorptivity between the nucleotide monophosphate 157 and the free base as described for *Homo sapiens* HGPRT ( $\Delta \varepsilon = 1900 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  at 245 nM for IMP conversion from Hx; and  $\Delta \epsilon = 5900 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  at 257.5 nm for GMP conversion from Gua).<sup>15</sup> 158 159 Initial steady-state rates were calculated from the linear portion of the reaction curve under 160 experimental conditions in which less than 5% of the substrate was consumed. True steady-state 161 kinetics parameters were determined from initial velocity measurements for HPRT reaction 162 varying concentrations of Hx ( $10 - 150 \mu$ M) at varied-fixed PRPP concentrations (200 - 4000163 µM). For GPRT reaction, initial velocity measurements were determined varying concentrations 164 of Gua  $(10 - 120 \,\mu\text{M})$  at varied-fixed PRPP concentrations  $(200 - 3600 \,\mu\text{M})$ . All reactions 165 started with addition of recombinant MtHGPRT, and all measurements were performed at least

in duplicates. Hyperbolic saturation curves of initial rate data at single concentration of the fixed substrate and varying concentrations of the other were fitted to the Michaelis-Menten equation<sup>21</sup> (Eq. 2), in which v is the initial velocity, V is the apparent maximum initial velocity, A is the varying substrate concentration and  $K_m$  represents the apparent Michaelis-Menten constant.

171 
$$v = \frac{VA}{K_m + A}$$
Eq. (2)

172

173 The  $k_{cat}$  values were calculated from **Eq. 3**, in which  $[E]_t$  corresponds to the total concentration 174 of enzyme subunits.

175

176 
$$k_{cat} = \frac{V}{[E]_t}$$
 Eq. (3)

177

Data from initial velocity measurements showing a pattern of lines intersecting to the left of the *y*-axis in the double-reciprocal plots (or Lineweaver–Burk plot) were fitted to Eq. 4, which
describes a sequential substrate binding and ternary complex formation.

181

182 
$$v = \frac{VAB}{K_{ia}K_b + K_aB + K_bA + AB}$$
 Eq. (4)

183

184 For **Eq. 4**, *v* is the initial velocity, *V* is the true maximum initial velocity, *A* and *B* are the

185 concentrations of the substrates,  $K_a$  and  $K_b$  are their respective Michaelis constants, and  $K_{ia}$  is the

186 dissociation constant for enzyme-substrate *A* binary complex formation.

187

188	Isothermal Titration Calorimetry. ITC experiments were carried out using an $iTC_{200}$
189	Microcalorimeter (Microcal, Inc., Pittsburgh, USA). The instrument reference cell (200 $\mu$ L) was
190	loaded with Milli-Q water in all experiments and sample cell (200 $\mu$ L) was filled with either 100
191	$\mu$ M or 80 $\mu$ M of recombinant <i>Mt</i> HGPRT. The injection syringe (39.7 $\mu$ L) was filled with
192	substrates or products at different concentrations: Hx (7 mM), PRPP (10 mM), IMP (5 mM),
193	GMP (2.5 mM) and PPi (1 mM), and the ligand isotherms were measured by direct titration
194	(ligand into macromolecule). The same buffer preparation was used to dissolve all ligands. The
195	stirring speed was 500 RPM at 25 °C with constant pressure for all ITC experiments. The
196	binding reaction started with one injection of 0.5 $\mu$ L of ligand to prevent artifacts, followed by
197	19 injections of 2.0 $\mu$ L each at 300 s intervals. Control titrations (ligand into buffer) were
198	performed in order to subtract the heats of dilution and mixing for each experiment prior to data
199	analysis. The heat variation was monitored inside the cell allowing determination of binding
200	enthalpy of the process ( $\Delta H$ ) and the equilibrium association constant ( $K_a$ ). The Gibbs free
201	energy ( $\Delta G$ ) and the entropy ( $\Delta S$ ) of binding were calculated using the relationship described in
202	<b>Eq. 5</b> , in which <i>R</i> is the gas constant (8.324 J K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> or 1.987 cal K <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> ), and <i>T</i> is the
203	temperature in Kelvin (T = $^{\circ}$ C + 273.15).

204

$$\Delta G = -RT \ln K_a = \Delta H - T\Delta S \qquad \text{Eq. (5)}$$

206

207 Pre-Steady State Kinetics. Pre-steady-state kinetic measurements of the reaction catalyzed by
 208 *Mt*HGPRT were performed to assess whether or not product release is part of the rate-limiting
 209 step. The measurements were carried out using an Applied Photophysics SX.18MV-R stopped-

210

#### **RSC Advances**

flow spectrofluorimeter on absorbance mode. The increase in absorbance was monitored at 245

211 nm for HPRT reaction and 257.5 nm for the GPRT reaction for a period of 2 seconds with 400 212 points (1 mm slit width = 4.65 nm spectral band) and an optical path of 2 mm. The experimental 213 conditions were 10 µM MtHGPRT, 12 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 125 µM Hx and 7 mM PRPP or 50 µM Gua 214 and 3.25 mM PRPP (mixing chamber concentrations). The control experiments were performed 215 as the experimental conditions above in the absence of the enzyme. The dead time of the 216 stopped-flow equipment is 1.37 ms. The pre-steady-state time course of the reaction was fitted to 217 Eq. 6 that accounts for a burst in product formation, in which  $A_{obs}$  is the (increasing) value of 218 observed absorbance due to product formation at any time t,  $v_0$  is the steady-state rate,  $\pi$  is the 219 burst (rapid increase) in product formation, and k is the first-order rate constant for the rapid phase.<sup>22</sup> 220

221

222 
$$A_{obs} = v_0 t + \pi (1 - e^{-kt})$$
 Eq. (6)

223

224 **Energy of Activation.** In order to access the energy of activation  $(E_a)$  of *Mt*HGPRT for the 225 HPRT reaction, initial velocities were measured in the presence of saturating concentrations of 226 Hx (150 µM) and PRPP (4000 µM). For GPRT reaction the concentrations were 120 µM for Gua 227 and 3600 µM for PRPP. The measurements were carried out at temperatures ranging from 15 °C 228 to 35 °C (from 288.15 to 308.15 K). MtHGPRT was incubated for several minutes at all 229 temperatures tested and assayed under standard conditions (buffer A) to ensure enzyme stability. The  $E_a$  was calculated from the slope  $(E_a/R)$  of the Arrhenius plot fitting the data to Eq. 7, in 230 which R is the gas constant (8.314 J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) and the constant A represents the product of the 231 collision frequency (Z) and a steric factor (p) based on the collision theory of enzyme kinetics.<sup>23</sup> 232

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

Here, it is assumed a simplistic view to explain a complex phenomenon and that *A* is

234 independent of temperature.

235

236 
$$\ln k_{cat} = \ln A - \left(\frac{E_a}{R}\right) \frac{1}{T}$$
 Eq. (7)

237

The enthalpy ( $\Delta H^{\#}$ ), entropy ( $\Delta S^{\#}$ ), and Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G^{\#}$ ) of activation were estimated using **Eqs. 8, 9 and 10** derived from the transition state theory of enzymatic reactions:<sup>23</sup>

240

$$\Delta H^{\#} = E_a - RT$$
 Eq. (8)

242

243 
$$\Delta G^{\#} = RT \left( \ln \frac{k_B}{h} + \ln T - \ln k_{cat} \right)$$
 Eq. (9)

244

245 and

246

247 
$$\Delta S^{\#} = \frac{\Delta H^{\#} - \Delta G^{\#}}{T}$$
 Eq. (10)

248

Energy values are in kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, with  $k_{cat}$  in s<sup>-1</sup>, to conform to the units of the Boltzmann (1.3805 × 10<sup>-23</sup> J K<sup>-1</sup>) and Planck (6.6256 × 10<sup>-34</sup> J s<sup>-1</sup>) constants, and *R* is as for **Eq. 5**. Errors on  $\Delta G^{\#}$  were calculated using **Eq. 11**.<sup>23</sup>

253 
$$(\Delta G)_{Err} = \frac{RT(k_{cat})_{Err}}{k_{cat}}$$
 Eq. (11)

254

255 Solvent Kinetic Isotope Effects (SKIE) and Proton Inventory. Solvent kinetic isotope effects 256 were determined by measuring initial velocities for HPRT reaction using a saturating level of one 257 substrate (Hx = 120  $\mu$ M; PRPP = 4 mM) and varying concentrations of the other (Hx: 10 - 120 258 µM; PRPP: 0.32 - 4 mM) in either H<sub>2</sub>O or 90% D<sub>2</sub>O. For GPRT reaction initial velocities 259 measurements were assayed at saturating concentration of one substrate (Gua =  $50 \mu$ M; PRPP = 260 4 mM) and varying concentrations of the other (PRPP: 0.32 - 4 mM; Gua: 10 - 50 µM) in either 261 H<sub>2</sub>O or 90% D<sub>2</sub>O. Furthermore, the reactions were performed in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, and in 262 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5. The proton inventory was determined using saturating concentrations 263 of both substrates (4000 µM PRPP and 120 µM Hx, 3000 µM PRPP and Gua 50 µM) at different 264 mole fractions of D<sub>2</sub>O (0-90%) in 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4 and 50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5. Data 265 were fitted to Eq. 12, which assumes isotope effects on both V/K and V. In this equation,  $E_{V/K}$ 266 and  $E_V$  are the isotope effects minus 1 on V/K and V, respectively, and  $F_i$  is the fraction of isotopic label in substrate A.<sup>24</sup> 267

268

269 
$$v = \frac{VA}{K(1 + F_i E_{V/K}) + A(1 + F_i E_V)}$$
 Eq. (12)

270

271 **Determination of Equilibrium Constant.** To determine whether or not *Mt*HGPRT-catalyzed 272 chemical reactions are favorable processes, the equilibrium constants ( $K_{eq}$ ) were identified at the 273 point of equilibrium between substrates (Hx or Gua, and PRPP) and products (IMP or GMP, and 274 PPi) for, respectively, HPRT and GPRT reactions. The  $K_{eq}$  was measured by fixing the ratio of

275	[IMP/Hx] or [GMP/Gua] at 1 and varying the ratio of [PPi/PRPP]. For HPRT reaction, the range
276	of [PPi/PRPP] was from 0.0033 to 0.02 (PRPP: 1000 - 6000 $\mu$ M; PPi = 20 $\mu$ M). For GPRT
277	reaction, the range of [PPi/PRPP] was from 0.0066 to 0.025 (PRPP: 800 - 3000 $\mu$ M; PPi = 20
278	$\mu$ M). Specific activities ( <i>y</i> -axis) were plotted against the ratios ( <i>x</i> -axis) and fitted to a linear
279	equation. The point at which the curve crosses the abscissa is equal to $K_{eq}$ (no net enzyme-
280	catalyzed chemical reaction). The values for the standard Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ) for
281	MtHGPRT-catalyzed chemical reactions were calculated from Eq. 13, using the experimentally
282	determined $K_{eq}$ values, the gas constant (R) and absolute temperature in Kelvin (T).
283	

285

286 pH-rate Profiles. Prior to performing the pH-rate profiles, the recombinant enzyme stability was 287 assessed over a wide pH range (4.5 - 10.5) by incubation for 2 min at 25 °C in 100 mM 2-(N-288 Morpholino)ethanesulphonic Acid (MES)/N-2-Hydroxiethylpiperazine-N-2ethanesulphonic Acid 289 (HEPES)/N-2-(N-Cyclohexylamino)ethanesulfonic Acid (CHES) buffer mixture, and then monitoring its activity in buffer A.<sup>24</sup> The dependence of steady-state kinetic parameters on pH 290 291 was determined by measuring initial velocities in the presence of varying concentrations of one 292 substrate and saturating level of the other, in 100 mM MES/HEPES/CHES buffer, over the 293 following pH range: for HPRT reaction,  $5.5 - 10 (10 - 120 \mu M varying concentrations of Hx and$ 294 fixed concentration of PRPP at 4 mM, and 0.4 - 7 mM varying PRPP and fixed concentration of 295 Hx at 120  $\mu$ M); and for GPRT reaction, 5 – 5.5 (5 – 70  $\mu$ M varying concentrations of Gua and 296 fixed concentration of PRPP at 4 mM, and 0.65 - 4 mM varying PRPP and fixed concentration 297 of Gua at 70  $\mu$ M) and 6 – 10 (5 – 35  $\mu$ M varying concentrations of Gua and fixed concentration

of PRPP at 3 mM, and 0.32 - 3 mM varying PRPP and fixed concentration of Gua at 35  $\mu$ M).

All measurements were performed at least in duplicates.

The pH-rate profile was generated by plotting logarithm value of  $k_{cat}$  or  $k_{cat}/K_m$  of the substrates versus the pH values (5.5 to 10) and data were fitted to **Eq. 14**, in which y is the apparent kinetic parameter (log $k_{cat}$  or log $k_{cat}/K_m$ ), C is the pH-independent plateau value of y, H is the hydrogen ion concentration, and  $K_a$  is the apparent acid dissociation constant for the ionizing group.

305

306 
$$\log y = \log \left( \frac{C}{1 + \frac{H}{K_a}} \right)$$
 Eq. (14)

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

## 308 **Result and Discussion**

310 **Oligomeric State Determination**. In order to determine the oligomeric state of the enzyme, 311 MtHGPRT (100 uM) was loaded on a Superdex 200 HR 10/30 size exclusion column. The 312 protein elution profile and data fitting to Eq. 1 yielded an apparent molecular mass value of 46 313 kDa. As the MtHGPRT subunit molecular mass value is 22.251 kDa, the gel filtration result 314 suggests that *Mt*HGPRT is a homodimer in solution, which suggests that this enzyme belongs to type I PRTases as enzymes of this family are homodimers.<sup>25</sup> It has recently been reported that 315 *Mt*HGPRT enzyme in complex with GMP, PPi and  $Mg^{2+}$  crystallized as a tetramer.<sup>19</sup> This result 316 317 is in disagreement with both the dimeric state of *Mt*HGPRT in solution described here and other type I PRTases, which are homodimers in solution.<sup>25</sup> Interestingly, the crystal structure of 318 319 *Mt*HGPRT in complex with an aza-acvclic bisphosphonate containing a guanine base crystallized as a dimer.<sup>19</sup> A mechanism has been put forward in which interactions between 320 321 Tyr93 in the mobile loop (residues 90 - 106) of one subunit and Arg141 and C-terminal amino acids of the mobile loop of an adjacent subunit of MtHGPRT have to be broken for catalysis.<sup>19</sup> 322 323 Disruption of these interactions would result in the mobile loop closing over the MtHGPRT active site for catalysis as proposed for other 6-oxopurine PRTases.<sup>19</sup> Interestingly, this proposal 324 325 would imply that there would be two forms of MtHGPRT in solution: an inactive tetrameric form 326 and an active dimeric one. Whether or not there is an equilibrium between two forms of 327 MtHGPRT in solution will have to await for further evidence. Notwithstanding, the results here reported show that recombinant *Mt*HGPRT is an active dimer in solution. 328 329

330 **Steady-state Kinetics.** The initial velocity experiments allowed the calculation of the true 331 steady-state kinetics parameters and a proposal of the enzyme mechanism. The double-reciprocal 332 plots showed a pattern of lines intersecting to the left of the *y*-axis (Fig. 1), which is consistent 333 with a sequential mechanism for the forward reaction. The data were thus fitted to Eq. 4, 334 yielding the true macroscopic steady-state kinetic constants for the forward reaction of 335 MtHGPRT (Table 1). Divalent metal ion activation of substrate PRPP has already been demonstrated as essential for PRTase catalyzed reactions<sup>26-28</sup> in which the dimagnesium 336 337 Mg<sub>2</sub>:PRPP complex (and not PRPP alone) was proved to be the true substrate for HGPRT reaction.<sup>28</sup> No activity could be detected when Mg<sup>2+</sup> was omitted from the reaction mixture for 338 339 the MtHGPRT (data not shown). These results are in agreement with MtHGPRT belonging to 340 type I PRTases, for which there is an absolute requirement of divalent magnesium ion for catalysis.25 341 342 The steady-state kinetics constants for *Mt*HGPRT (**Table 1**) showed an approximately 54-fold larger value for  $K_m$  of PRPP as compared to Hx, and 65-fold as compared to Gua. Higher 343 overall K<sub>m</sub> values for PRPP compared to Hx or Gua have also been reported for Homo sapiens 344 (HsHGPRT) (68-fold for Hx; 18-fold for Gua)<sup>15</sup> and Plasmodium falciparum (PfHGPRT) (27-345

fold for Hx; 53-fold for Gua).<sup>29</sup> *Mt*HGPRT showed a  $K_m$  2.6-fold lower for Gua as compared to

347 Hx. However, the  $k_{cat}/K_m$  value was 1.8-fold larger for the HPRT reaction as compared to the

348 GPRT reaction. As  $k_{cat}/K_m$  determines the specificity for competing substrates, *Mt*HGPRT

349 appears to be more efficient at using Hx than Gua as co-substrate. Interestingly, HsHGPRT has a

- 350  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$  value of 1.3 x10<sup>7</sup>M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> for HPRT reaction and 3.7 x10<sup>6</sup> M<sup>-1</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> for GPRT reaction,<sup>15</sup>
- 351 indicating a higher efficiency at using both substrates when compared to MtHGPRT. As for

352	<i>Mt</i> HGPRT, the apparent second-order rate constant value for <i>Hs</i> HGPRT-catalyzed
353	phosphorybosyl transfer to Hx is larger than to Gua.

354 The double reciprocal patterns of lines intersecting to the left of the *v*-axis (Fig. 1) 355 suggest that the reaction catalyzed by *Mt*HGPRT obeys a sequential (either random or ordered) 356 kinetic mechanism, which leads to the formation of a ternary complex capable of undergoing catalysis.<sup>24</sup> Sequential mechanisms have been suggested as one of the features shared by type I 357 PRTases.<sup>25,27,30-32</sup> The pattern of lines intersecting to the left of the *y*-axis rules out ping-pong 358 359 (parallel lines), steady-state random (that gives non-linear reciprocal plots), and rapid-360 equilibrium ordered (one of the family of lines should cross at a single value on the y-axis) 361 mechanisms. However, the double-reciprocal plots alone cannot distinguish between rapid-362 equilibrium random and steady-state compulsory ordered bi bi mechanisms. Accordingly, ITC 363 studies were performed to distinguish between these enzyme mechanisms.

364

365 **Isothermal Titration Calorimetry.** As double reciprocal plots suggested a sequential kinetic 366 mechanism, substrate(s) and product(s) binding processes were assayed by ITC at 25°C to 367 ascertain the order, if any, of chemical compound addition. The measure of heat taken up or 368 released upon binding of a ligand provides the binding enthalpy ( $\Delta H$ ) of the process, an estimate 369 for the stoichiometry of the interaction (n) and the equilibrium binding constant ( $K_a$ ). These 370 values allow the Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G$ ) and the entropy ( $\Delta S$ ) of the process to be calculated, as 371 well as the dissociation constant at equilibrium  $(K_d)$  from reciprocal of  $K_a$ . The ITC data for 372 binding of ligands to MtHGPRT are summarized in Table 2. These binding assays showed that 373 PRPP, IMP and GMP can bind to free *Mt*HGPRT (Fig. 2 A, B, C). ITC data for these 374 compounds were fitted to one set of sites model. No significant heat change upon titration of Hx

and PPi to *Mt*HGPRT was detected under the experimental conditions for ITC measurements(data not shown).

377 Formation of *Mt*HGPRT:IMP and *Mt*HGPRT:GMP binary complexes (Fig. 2 B, C) were 378 detected by ITC measurements and generated exothermic profiles (heat released to the system). 379 On the other hand, formation of *Mt*HGPRT:PRPP binary complex (Fig. 2 A) was characterized 380 by an endothermic profile (heat taken up from the system). No binding of either Hx or PPi to free 381 *Mt*HGPRT was detected (data not shown). Fitting the ITC data for PRPP, IMP and GMP binding 382 to free *Mt*HGPRT to one set of sites binding model yielded *n* values (number of active sites) of 383 1.81 sites *per* monomer for GMP, 12 for PRPP and fixed as 1 for IMP. This value indicates the 384 number of molecules bound to each enzyme active site with equal affinity. The value of 12 to 385 stoichiometry (n) of the PRPP binding to the free MtHGPRT might be related to the instability 386 and purity of the compound (75% according to the supplier). It should be pointed out that 387 binding of Gua could not be evaluated by ITC studies due to limited solubility in aqueous 388 solutions. Incidentally, attempts were made to measure whether or not Gua binds to free 389 *Mt*HGPRT enzyme using protein fluorescence spectroscopy; however, no reliable data could be 390 obtained due to high inner filter effects (data not shown). Accordingly, here it is assumed that the 391 lack of binding of Hx to free enzyme serves as a surrogate to Gua (no binding to free 392 *Mt*HGPRT), and thus the same kinetic mechanism is likely followed by both HPRT and GPRT 393 reactions. The ITC measurements provided dissociation constants values ( $K_d$ ) for IMP (130  $\mu$ M) 394 and GMP (2.1 µM) (Table 2). A larger value for IMP as compared to GMP has also been observed for *Hs*HGPRT.<sup>15</sup> 395

These ITC results and double-reciprocal plots suggest a sequential compulsory ordered
 mechanism for *Mt*HGPRT, in which PRPP binds to free enzyme followed by Hx or Gua binding;

and PPi is the first product to dissociate followed by the respective monophosphate nucleoside

398

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

399 (IMP or GMP) release, leading to the regeneration of free enzyme (Fig. 3). A sequential mechanism has been proposed for *Hs*HGPRT,<sup>15</sup> in which PRPP binds first and release of the 400 401 nucleotide as the last step of the reaction. Sequential mechanisms have also been suggested for others type I PRTases.<sup>27,33</sup> 402 403 The ITC results showed significant heat changes upon ligand (PRPP, IMP, or GMP) 404 binding to free *Mt*HGPRT enzyme (Fig. 2), thereby providing thermodynamic signatures of non-405 covalent interactions to each binding process. Observed enthalpies arise largely as a result of 406 changes in interatomic interactions (e.g., hydrogen bonds and/or van der Waals interactions), in 407 which the sign indicates whether there is a net favourable (negative  $\Delta H$ ) or unfavourable 408 (positive  $\Delta H$ ) redistribution of the network of interactions between the reacting species (including solvent).<sup>34</sup> Hydrophobic interactions are related to the relative degrees of disorder in 409 410 the free and bound systems and thus these interactions are reflected in the entropy change. The 411 release of "bound" water molecules from a surface to the bulk solvent is usually a source of 412 favourable entropy (positive  $\Delta S$ ). A reduction in conformational states in either ligand or protein 413 upon binary complex formation is entropically unfavourable (negative  $\Delta S$ ) because this 414 molecular recognition process limits the external rotational and translational freedom of both 415 partners (for instance, structuring regions of the protein adjacent to the bound ligand and loss of conformational freedom of free ligand).<sup>34</sup> The negative  $\Delta H$  values for IMP and GMP binding 416 417 (Table 2) indicate that these processes are accompanied by favourable redistribution of H-bonds 418 and/or van der Waals interactions. The negative  $\Delta S$  values for these binding processes are likely 419 coupled to a decrease in conformational states upon binary complex formation. Accordingly, 420 IMP or GMP dissociation from the binary complex to yield free *Mt*HPRT enzyme is likely to be

421	accompanied by an increase in conformational states including the flexible loop involved in
422	binding of nitrogenous base, which is a characteristic feature of type I PRTases. <sup>25</sup> The ITC data
423	also show that GMP binds 68-fold more strongly than IMP, probably due to additional
424	interactions made by the exocyclic amino group of GMP and <i>Mt</i> HGPRT. <sup>15</sup> The thermodynamic
425	analysis of binding of PRPP gives a $K_d$ of 48 $\mu$ M, with favourable entropic contribution and
426	unfavorable binding enthalpy (Table 2), indicating that this binding event is likely accompanied
427	by release of water molecules to bulk solvent and unfavorable redistribution of the hydrogen
428	bond network and/or van der Waals interactions between the reacting species. <sup>34</sup>
429	The Gibbs free energy values for PRPP, GMP and IMP binding to <i>Mt</i> HGPRT ( <b>Table 2</b> )
430	show that these processes are favourable (negative value for $\Delta G$ ). As indicated in Eq. 5, $\Delta G$
431	consists of enthalpic and entropic contributions, and the results given in Table 2 are yet
432	additional examples of entropy-enthalpy compensation often observed in biomolecular
433	interactions. <sup>35</sup> If the ligand displays a significant enthalpic contribution, sometimes this
434	contribution is offset by a large entropic compensation, which happens when we compare the
435	Gibbs free energy of binding of substrate and products to <i>Mt</i> HGPRT. For GMP and IMP
436	binding, favorable formation of hydrogen bond and/or van der Waals interactions are
437	accompanied by a likely decrease in conformational states of enzyme and ligand species, thus
438	compensating all gain in the enthalpy. On the other hand, for PRPP the inverse happens: the
439	unfavorable redistribution of the hydrogen bonds is compensated by favorable entropic
440	contribution (e.g., release of "bound" water molecules to solvent), compensating the penalty in
441	enthalpy. <sup>35</sup>

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

**Energy of Activation.** The energy of activation for the enzyme-catalyzed chemical reaction was assessed by measuring the dependence of  $k_{cat}$  on temperature for Hx and Gua (**Fig. 4**). These data were fitted to **Eq. 7**. The results presented in **Table 3** are derived from data fitting to Equations 7-11. These results provide the energy of activation ( $E_a$ ), as well as the transition state enthalpy ( $\Delta H^{\#}$ ), entropy ( $\Delta S^{\#}$ ) and Gibbs free energy ( $\Delta G^{\#}$ ).

448 The linearity of the Arrhenius plot (Fig. 4) suggests that there is no change in the ratelimiting step over the temperature range utilized in the assay for HPRT and GPRT reactions.<sup>21</sup> 449 450 The  $E_a$  values for Hx and Gua, representing the minimum amount of energy necessary to initiate 451 the *Mt*HGPRT-catalyzed chemical reaction, were similar (**Table 3**). The values of free activation energy ( $\Delta G^{\#}$ ) represent the energy barrier required for reactions to occur. The  $\Delta G^{\#}$  values can 452 453 also be regarded as the variation of the Gibbs energy between the enzyme:substrate(s) activated complex and enzyme:substrate(s) complex in the ground state. No differences in  $\Delta G^{\#}$  values 454 455 were observed for the substrates studied here, suggesting a similar overall free activation energy 456 for HPRT and GPRT reactions. The constant A of Eq. 7 corresponds to the product of collision 457 frequency (Z) and the probability or steric factor (p) from the collision theory of reaction rates. From the absolute rate theory,  $A=pZ=(k_BT/h)e^{\Delta S\#/R}$ . Accordingly, this equation enables 458 459 interpretation of the probability factor in terms of entropy of activation. The negative values for the entropy of activation ( $\Delta S^{\#}$ ) for HPRT and GPRT reactions (**Table 3**) suggests that these 460 461 reactions proceed slower than predicted by the collision theory and that the entropy value for the 462 enzyme:substrate(s) activated complex is lower than the one for enzyme:substrate(s) in the 463 ground state (there is loss of degrees of freedom on going from the ground state to activated 464 state).

466 **Pre-steady State Kinetics.** To determine whether product release contributes to the rate limiting 467 step, pre-steady-state analysis of the reaction catalyzed by *Mt*HGPRT was performed. Fitting the 468 pre-steady-state data to Eq. 6, which describes an initial rapid phase followed by a slower linear phase, yielded a value of 1249 ( $\pm$  40) s<sup>-1</sup> for the first-order rate constant and a value of 0.0027 ( $\pm$ 469  $1 \times 10^{-5}$ ) s<sup>-1</sup> for the steady-state rate of HPRT reaction (Fig. 5A), and a value of  $1024 (\pm 32)$  s<sup>-1</sup> for 470 the first-order rate constant and a value of 0.0030 ( $\pm$  7x10<sup>-5</sup>) s<sup>-1</sup> for the steady-state rate of GPRT 471 472 reaction (Fig. 5B). The first-order constant values for the rapid phase for both reactions are 473 larger than the steady-state rate, suggesting fast formation of products in the *Mt*HGPRT active 474 site followed by a slow rate-limiting step. The rates for the initial rapid phase are likely faster 475 than measured by the stopped-flow experiment as the absorbance of control experiments indicate 476 that part of the signal was not detected. Hence, the burst observed in the initial phase represents a 477 fraction of the stopped-flow signal as the remaining was lost in the dead-time of the equipment. 478 The observable fraction of the curve depends on the relationship between the reaction rate and 479 the dead-time, in which the observed change  $(x_{obs})$ , total change  $(x_{tot})$ , the dead-time  $(t_d)$  and the rate constant (k) can be described by the following equation:  $kt_d = \ln(x_{tot}/x_{obs})$ .<sup>22</sup> This analysis 480 indicates that the rate for the initial rapid phase is at least 1930s<sup>-1</sup> for HPRT and 1890 s<sup>-1</sup> for 481 482 GPRT reactions. These results are in good agreement with stopped-flow data fitting to Eq. 6  $(1249 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ and } 1024 \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ for HPRT and GPRT reactions respectively}).$ 483

The value of 0.0027 s<sup>-1</sup> for change in absorbance at 245 nm for the linear phase in the pre-steady-state experiment for the HGPRT reaction corresponds to approximately 0.71 s<sup>-1</sup> (using  $\Delta \varepsilon = 1900 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , optical path of 2 mm, and *Mt*HGPRT concentration of 10 µM). The value of 0.0030 s<sup>-1</sup> for the GPRT reaction corresponds to approximately 0.25 s<sup>-1</sup> ( $\Delta \varepsilon = 5900 \text{ M}^{-1}$ cm<sup>-1</sup> at 257.5 nm, optical path of 2 mm, and *Mt*HGPRT concentration of 10 µM). These values

489 are in reasonable good agreement with the  $k_{cat}$  values determined by initial velocity

490 measurements in steady-state kinetics ( $0.89 \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $0.19 \text{ s}^{-1}$  for, respectively, HPRT and GPRT 491 reactions).

492 The observation of burst in product formation in the time course of the transient phase 493 suggests rapid formation and build-up of products in the *Mt*HGPRT active sites.<sup>22</sup> If a burst is 494 observed during the transient phase, and the concentration of IMP or GMP produced is 495 approximately equal to free initial *Mt*HGPRT subunit concentration, it would indicate that the 496 chemical step of the reaction is much faster than the release of product(s) (PPi, IMP or GMP). 497 The size of the burst phases for HPRT and GPRT reactions are 40 µM and 10 µM of product 498 formed before being released from the active sites of MtHGPRT to solution (Fig. 5). As the 499 concentration of enzyme used in the experiment was 10 µM, it can be concluded that release of 500 product(s) and/or any step linked to it (e.g., enzyme conformational changes) contribute to the 501 rate-limiting step in the mode of action of *Mt*HGPRT. A burst in IMP and GMP product formation has also been observed for human HGPRT in rapid quench experiments.<sup>15</sup> which 502 503 prompted the authors to propose that phosphoribosyl transfer is fast and that release of the nucleotide product (IMP or GMP) limits the overall rate for the forward reaction.<sup>15</sup> 504

505

Solvent Kinetics Isotope Effects (SKIE) and Proton Inventory. To evaluate the contribution of proton transfer from the solvent to the rate of phosphorybosyl transfer of *Mt*HGPRT-catalyzed reaction, SKIE were determined by data fitting to Eq. 12, yielding the results given in Table 4. Isotope effects on *V* report on events following the ternary complex formation capable of undergoing catalysis (fully loaded enzyme), which include the chemical steps, possible enzyme conformational changes, and product release (leading to regeneration of free enzyme). Solvent

512 isotope effects on V/K report on the contribution of the proton transfer in steps in the reaction 513 mechanism from binding of the isotopically labeled chemical compound (solvent) to the first 514 irreversible step, usually considered to be the release of the first product (that is, all rate constants from reactant binding until the first irreversible step).<sup>36</sup> Accordingly, to evaluate the 515 516 contribution, if any, of proton transfer from solvent to a rate-limiting step, measurements of 517 solvent isotope effects on V and V/K were carried out. As rule of thumb, deuterium accumulates 518 where binding is tighter (that is, fractionation factor is larger than one). Transition state proton 519 contributes the reciprocal of its respective fractionation factor to the solvent isotope effect, 520 whereas the contribution of a reactant state proton to the solvent isotope effect is equal to its fractionation factor.<sup>37</sup> Although isotope effects measurements are to be carried out at pH values 521 522 in which V and V/K values are not dependent on pH, we deemed appropriate to determine SKIE 523 and proton inventory at pH values of 7.4 and 8.5. The former would more closely mimic the pH 524 value found in the context of whole cell, and the latter to provide data for solvent isotope effects 525 at a pH value in which the steady-state kinetic parameters are independent of pH (as will be 526 shown below in the pH-rate profiles).

527 For the experiments performed at pH 7.4 (Table 4), the SKIE parameters for HPRT reaction  $({}^{D20}V_{PRPP}, {}^{D20}V/K_{PRPP}, {}^{D20}V_{Hx}, {}^{D20}V/K_{Hx})$  suggest modest, if any, participation of the 528 proton solvent in catalysis and binding. At pH 8.5 (Table 4), the SKIE results show the similar 529 effects for  ${}^{D2O}V_{PRPP}$ ,  ${}^{D2O}V/K_{PRPP}$ , and  ${}^{D2O}V_{Hx}$ , whereas  ${}^{D2O}V/K_{Hx}$  is inverse. The SKIE for GPRT 530 reaction  $({}^{D20}V/K_{PRPP}, {}^{D20}V_{Gua}, {}^{D20}V/K_{Gua})$  also suggest modest, if any, participation of proton 531 532 transfer from the solvent in catalysis and binding at pH 7.4 (Table 4). At pH 8.5, the SKIE for GPRT reaction show similar effects (as compared to pH 7.4) for  $^{D2O}V/K_{PRPP}$ ,  $^{D2O}V_{Gua}$ , and 533  $^{D2O}V/K_{Gua}$  (Table 4). However, there is an inverse effect for  $^{D2O}V_{PRPP}$  at pH 7.4 (0.71 ± 0.04) that 534

**RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** 

535 is negligible at pH 8.5 (1.04  $\pm$  0.04) for the GPRT reaction. Before trying to interpret these results, 536 it should be pointed out that there have been reported inverse isotope effects or V or V/K of unknown origin.<sup>38,39</sup> The expression of deuterium kinetic isotope effect on V includes the 537 538 intrinsic isotope effect, commitment factors (forward and reverse) and equilibrium isotope effect.<sup>37</sup> The inverse effect on  $^{D2O}V_{PRPP}$  at pH 7.4 suggests that deuterium accumulates (tighter 539 540 binding) in a transition state from ternary complex to the first irreversible step as transition state 541 protons contribute the reciprocal of its fractionation factor to the kinetic solvent isotope effect (providing equilibrium isotope effect is normal). Yet at pH 8.5, the inverse SKIE  $^{D2O}V_{PRPP}$  at pH 542 543 7.4 has become a modest, if any, normal contribution of the proton solvent in catalysis and 544 binding. It has been pointed out that the rate limitation of the chemical step can vary as the pH 545 changes, and the degree of the isotope effects on V and V/K may change depending on the 546 contribution of the isotope-sensitive step to overall rate limitation at saturating and limiting reactant concentrations, respectively.<sup>24</sup> 547 548 Proton inventory studies were performed at pH 7.4 and pH 8.5 at saturating 549 concentrations of substrates (Fig. 6). To ruled out any effect that elevate mole fraction of  $D_2O$ 550 might have on the ionization constants of assay buffer, the pH of the reaction mixtures

containing the highest concentration of  $D_2O$  (90%) was measured. No pH change in the presence of  $D_2O$  was observed, indicating that the use of equivalent buffers (concentrations of all solutes are the same) guaranteed that rates were being measured at equivalent positions on the pH and pD-rate profiles.<sup>37</sup> The proton inventory data show that the modest SKIE on *V* (**Table 4**) arises from a single protonic site (**Fig. 6**). In agreement with the SKIE on *V* (**Table 4**), a modest normal effect with a single protonic site involvement was observed for the HPRT reaction at pH 7.4 (**Fig. 6A**) and at pH 8.5 (**Fig. 6A - inset**). For the GPRT reaction, no protonic site involvement

558

#### **RSC** Advances

was observed at pH 8.5 (Fig. 6B - inset), whereas at pH 7.4 a modest normal effect suggests

559 limited participation, if any, of a single protonic site on V (Fig. 6B). Solvent isotope effects lead to isotope exchanges at hundreds of protic positions of the enzyme, which precludes any 560 561 assignment to a particular chemical group. 562 563 Determination of equilibrium constant. Plotting the MtHGPRT enzyme activity as a function 564 of [PPi]/[PRPP] ratio gives a straight line for HPRT and GPRT reactions (Fig. 7). The analysis 565 of the equilibrium experiments for HPRT and GPRT reactions yielded values of 0.0271 for  $K_{eq}$ of Hx (Fig. 7A) and 0.0357 for  $K_{eq}$  of Gua (Fig. 5B). The standard free energy ( $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ) can thus be 566 calculated by Eq. 13. This analysis gives values for  $\Delta G^{\circ}$  at 25 °C (298.15 K) of 8.95 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> 567 (2.13 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for Hx and 8.27 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (1.97 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) for Gua. These results suggest that 568 569 HPRT and GPRT reactions are not favorable processes at equilibrium. The PRTase reactions display a wide range of  $K_{eq}$ , from 0.1 for OPRTase to a value of 300 for APRTase.<sup>15</sup> However, to 570 571 show whether or not phosphoribosyl transfer is a favorable process ( $\Delta G < 0$ ) in vivo, the 572 intracellular concentrations of substrates and products need to be determined. There are other M. 573 tuberculosis enzymes that catalyze reactions that could provide free bases and PRPP. For 574 instance, purine nucleoside phosphorylase (PNP), involved in the metabolism of both purine and pyrimidine.<sup>40</sup> PNP catalyzes the reversible phosphorolysis of the *N*-glycosidic bond of  $\alpha$ -purine 575 576 (deoxy)ribonucleosides to generate  $\beta$ -(deoxy)ribose 1-phosphate and the corresponding purine bases.41,42 577

578

579 pH-rate profile. To probe for acid-base catalysis and likely residues involved in catalysis and/or
580 substrate binding, pH-rate profiles were determined for the steady-state kinetic parameters of

MtHGPRT. In this experiment, initial velocities measurements were assayed in a broad range of

581

582 pH values. The pH-rate profiles are shown in Fig. 8. Data from the pH-rate profile of HPRT reaction for  $k_{\text{cat}}$  and  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{PRPP}}$  were fitted to Eq. 14, yielding values of, respectively, 6.3 (± 1.2) 583 584 and 7.0 ( $\pm$  0.9) (Fig. 8A). For the GPRT reaction, data fitting to Eq. 14 yielded a value of 6.1 ( $\pm$ 2.8) for  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{PRPP}}$  and 6.8 (± 2.0) for  $k_{\text{cat}}/K_{\text{Gua}}$  (Fig. 8B). There appears to be no obvious ionizing 585 group to be predicted from the  $k_{cat}/K_{Hx}$  data for HPRT reaction, and, interestingly,  $k_{cat}$  data for 586 GPRT reaction (Fig. 8A,B). The enzyme was stable over the pH range (HPRT reaction: 5.5 - 10; 587 588 GPRT reaction: 5 - 10) used in pH-rate profiles (data not shown). The pH dependence of  $k_{cat}$  is concerned with the chemical step and its value follows the 589 590  $pK_a$  of groups that play critical roles in catalysis. The pH-rate data of  $k_{cat}$  for HPRT reaction 591 showed a profile of a curve with slope of +1 that goes to zero as a function of increasing pH 592 values (Fig. 8A). In HsHGPRT, Asp137 (Asp126 in MtHGPRT) has been proposed to act as a general acid/base for catalysis.<sup>43</sup> Although attempts of fitting the pH-rate data of  $k_{cat}$  for GPRT 593 reaction (Fig. 8B) to an equation that describes "hollows" were made,<sup>44</sup> no convergence to any 594 595 parameters could be achieved. A similar profile was shown for the HPRT reaction catalyzed by *Hs*HGPRT enzyme.<sup>43</sup> Xu and Grubmeyer concluded that this "hollow" possibly arises from slow 596 597 protonic equilibria for this reaction, in which there are slow proton transfers between enzymatic residues and solvent.<sup>43</sup> The possible role of Asp126 in the chemical mechanism of *Mt*HGPRT is 598 presented in Fig. 9. The transition state shown in Fig. 9 is as proposed by Eads *et al*<sup>12</sup> based on 599 600 the *Hs*HGPRT crystal structure. These authors propose a transition state with oxocarbonium 601 character at the ribose C1'-O4', with a weak bond to the pyrophosphate group and a weak 602 glycosidic bond between the C1' of ribose and N9 of the purine ring. It is interestingly to point

603 out that Eads *et al.* have proposed that the N7 protonated tautomer of Gua (or Hx) is the species

## **RSC Advances**

604	that undergoes catalysis in the forward direction, and that the Asp137 (Asp126 in MtHGPRT)
605	and Lys165 could play a role in transition-state stabilization via protonation or hydrogen bonding
606	at N7. <sup>12</sup> The pH-rate data of $k_{cat}$ for HPRT reaction ( <b>Fig. 8A</b> ) appears to support the proposal that
607	Asp126 plays a role in transition-state stabilization in <i>Mt</i> HGPRT.
608	The pH dependence of $k_{cat}/K_{M}$ relates to the required (or preferred) protonation states for
609	binding and/or subsequent catalysis of groups in either the substrate or the enzyme form it
610	combines with. <sup>44</sup> The data for $k_{cat}/K_{PRPP}$ suggest that an ionizable group with acid dissociation
611	constant of 7.0 for HPRT reaction and 6.1 for GPRT reaction needs to be deprotonated for PRPP
612	binding and/or catalysis (Fig. 8A,B). A highly conserved PRPP binding loop is found in
613	<i>Mt</i> HGPRT, starting at Val118 and extends to Leu131, <sup>19</sup> and the presence of two acidic amino
614	acid is believed to be critical for PRPP binding to type I PRTases. <sup>25</sup> Interestingly, Xu and
615	Grubmeyer found a bell-shaped profile for $k_{cat}/K_{PRPP}$ data of <i>Hs</i> HGPRT, suggesting Asp137 as
616	the residue with $pK_a$ of 7.1 that needs to be deprotonated and Lys165 as the residue with $pK_a$ of
617	8.8 that needs to be protonated for productive PRPP binding. <sup>43</sup> The authors concluded that
618	Lys165 in human HGPRT (Lys154 in MtHGPRT) is involved in ground-state interactions with
619	substrates for nucleotide formation reaction. <sup>43</sup> The crystal structure of <i>Mt</i> HGPRT in complex
620	with GMP and PPi and $Mg^{2+}$ shows that the side chain of Lys154 forms a hydrogen bond with
621	the 6-oxo group of the purine ring, and the authors proposed that this residue plays a role in
622	substrate specificity. <sup>19</sup> However, contrary to the results for human HGPRT, <sup>43</sup> no ionizable group
623	that needs to be protonated was observed in the <i>Mt</i> HPRT pH-rate profile of $k_{cat}/K_{PRPP}$ (Fig. 8).
624	As the slopes of $k_{cat}/K_{PRPP}$ for HPRT and GPRT reactions were +1, it thus tempting to suggest
625	that the carboxyl side chain of Glu122 or Asp123, which are located in the PRPP binding loop, <sup>19</sup>

626 is the likely residue that needs to be deprotonated for productive PRPP binding for *Mt*HGPRT
627 catalysis to occur.

The data for  $k_{cat}/K_{Gua}$  of GPRT reaction were fitted to **Eq. 14**, yielding a p $K_a$  value of 6.8 ( $\pm$  2.0). These results suggest that there is a residue that needs to be deprotonated for productive binding of Gua (**Fig. 8B**). The side chain of conserved Asp126 has been shown to be rotated away from the N7 of the purine ring of GMP and to form a hydrogen bond with the main chain of nitrogen of Gly128 in the 5'-phosphate binding site.<sup>19</sup> For the HPRT reaction, no equation could be fitted to the  $k_{cat}/K_{Hx}$  data (**Fig. 8A**) and it appears that there is no ionizable group involved in Hx binding in the 5.5 to 10 pH range.

## 636 Conclusions

637

638 In this work we present experimental efforts to determine the mode of action of MtHGPRT, 639 showing that this enzyme is a Type I PRTase. The sequential ordered bi-bi mechanism and the homodimeric guaternary conformation are characteristics of this family of enzymes.<sup>25</sup> MtHGPRT 640 641 is less efficient using either Hx or Gua, when compared to *Hs*HGPRT. ITC experiments 642 demonstrated that PRPP. GMP and IMP binds to the free *Mt*HGPRT and no binding of Hx or PPi 643 could be observed, leading to the proposed kinetic mechanism (Fig. 3). Determination of the 644 thermodynamic parameters of *Mt*HGPRT-catalyzed chemical reactions suggests a similar overall 645 free activation energy for HPRT and GPRT reactions, and that these reactions proceed at slower 646 rate than predicted by the collision theory. The stopped-flow results suggest that product release 647 participates in the rate-limiting step. SKIE data suggest proton transfer from solvent is not likely 648 involved in the rate-limiting step. Determination of equilibrium constant values for HPRT and 649 GPRT reactions suggest that these processes are not favorable at equilibrium. The analyses of 650 pH-rate profiles indicated that Glu122 and Asp123 residues are likely to play roles in catalysis 651 and/or PRPP binding. These data appear to be borne out by the crystallographic structure of *Mt*HGPRT and sequence alignment,<sup>19</sup> showing a conserved PRPP binding motif.<sup>12</sup> Site-directed 652 653 mutagenesis efforts should thus be pursued to provide a solid basis for the role, if any, of Glu122 654 and Asp123 in binding and/or catalysis in the mode of action of *Mt*HGPRT. In addition, *M*. 655 tuberculosis hpt (Rv3624c) gene replacement efforts should be carried out to evaluate whether or 656 not *Mt*HGPRT is essential for growth and/or plays any role in latency. The elucidation of the crystal structure of *Mt*HGPRT in complex with products GMP and PPi and  $Mg^{2+}$  by Eng *et al.*<sup>19</sup> 657 658 should aid in the design of structure-based enzyme inhibitors. The rational design of enzyme

- 659 inhibitors should, preferentially, be based on structure and functional data. Accordingly, the
- 660 results presented here can be useful to these efforts as it provides data on the mode of action of
- 661 *Mt*HGPRT. *Mt*HGPRT inhibitors may be both tested as anti-TB agents and used as tools for for
- 662 chemical biologists to carry out loss-of-function experiments to reveal the biological role of
- 663 *Mt*HGPRT in the context of whole *M. tuberculosis* cells.<sup>45</sup>

- 665 Author Contributions
- 666 The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval
- 667 to the final version of the manuscript.
- 668 Notes
- 669 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## 670 Acknowledgments

- 671 This work was supported by funds awarded by Decit/SCTIE/MSMCT-CNPq-FNDCT-CAPES to
- 672 National Institute of Science and Technology on Tuberculosis (INCT-TB) to D.S.S. and L.A.B.
- 673 L.A.B. and D.S.S. also acknowledge financial support awarded by FAPERGS-CNPq-PRONEX-
- 674 2009. L.A.B. (CNPq, 520182/99-5) and D.S.S. (CNPq, 304051/1975-06) are Research Career
- 675 Awardee of the National Research Council of Brazil (CNPq). P.C.P., B.L.A, and M. R.
- acknowledge scholarships awarded by CAPES and CNPq. L.K.B.M is a Post-Doctoral Fellow of
- 677 FAPERGS/CAPES.

679	References
680	
681	1. World Health Organization (2014), Global Tuberculosis Report 2013, WHO Press, Geneva.
682	
683	2. R.G. Ducati, A. Ruffino-Netto, L.A. Basso, D.S. Santos, Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz, 2006, 101
684	(7), 697-714.
685	
686	3. A. Jain and R. Mondal. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol, 2008, 53, 145–50.
687	
688	4. A.A. Velayati, P. Farnia, M.R. Masjedi, T.A. Ibrahim, P. Tabarsi, et al. Eur Respir J, 2009,
689	<b>34</b> , 1202–1203.
690	
691	5. T.R. Rustad, A.M. Sherrid, K.J. Minch and D.R. Sherman, Cell. Microbiol, 2009, 11, 1151-
692	1159.
693	
694	6. W. Eisenreich, T. Dandekar, J. Heesemann and W. Goebel, Nat. Rev. Microbiol, 2010, 8,
695	401–412.
696	
697	7. M. Niederweis, <i>Microbiology</i> , 2008, <b>154</b> , 679–692.
698	
699	8. K.A. Kantardjieff, C. Vasquez, P. Castro, N.M. Warfel, B.S. Rho, T. Lekin, C.Y. Kim, B.W.
700	Segelke, T.C. Terwilliger, B. Rupp, Acta Crystallogr., D, 2004, 61, 355-364.
701	

702	9. W.B. Parker and M.C. Long, Curr. Pharm. Des., 2007, 13, 599-608.
703	
704	10. N. Munagala, V.J. Basus and C.C. Wang, <i>Biochemistry</i> , 2001, 40, 4303-4311.
705	
706	11. D.L. Musick, CRC Crit. Rev. in Biochem., 1981, 11, 1-34.
707	
708	12. J.C. Eads, G. Scapin, Y. Xu, C. Grubmeyer and J.C. Sacchettini, Cell 1994, 78, 325-334.
709	
710	13. N.R. Munagala, M.S. Chin and C.C. Wang, <i>Biochemistry</i> , 1998, <b>37</b> , 4045-4051.
711	
712	14. J.P. Page, N.R. Munagala and C.C. Wang, Eur. J.Biochem., 2001, 259, 565-571.
713	
714	15. Y. Xu, J. Eads, J.C. Sacchettini and C. Grubmeyer, <i>Biochemistry</i> , 1997, 36, 3700-3712.
715	
716	16. L. Yuan, S.P. Craig 3 <sup>rd</sup> , J.H. McKerrow and C.C. Wang, <i>Biochemistry</i> , 1992, <b>31</b> , 806-810.
717	
718	17. M.A. Wenck, F.J. Medrano, A.E Eakin and S.P. Craig 3 <sup>rd</sup> , <i>Biochim. Biophys. Acta.</i> 2004,
719	<b>1700</b> , 11–18.
720	
721	18. G. Biazus, C.Z. Schneider, M.S. Palma, L.A. Basso and D.S. Santos, Prot. Exp. and Pur.
722	2009, <b>66</b> , 185-190.
723	

724	19. W.S. Eng, D. Hocková, P. Spacek, Z. Janeba, N.P. West, K. Woods, et al, J Med Chem.
725	2015, <b>58</b> , 4822-4838.
726	
727	20. M.B. Patel, S.P. Kumar, N.N. Valand, Y.T. Jasrai, S.K. Menon. J Mol Model. 2013, 19,
728	3201–3217.
729	
730	21. I.H. Segel, in Enzyme kinetics, behavior and analysis of rapid equilibrium and steady-state
731	enzyme systems. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1975, ch. 2, pp 18-89.
732	
733	22. K. Hiromi, Kinetics of Fast Enzyme Reactions: Theory and Practice, Kodansha Ltd., Tokyo,
734	1979, ch. 4, pp. 188–253.
735	
736	23. T. Lonhienne, E. Baise, G. Feller, V. Bouriotis and C. Gerday, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 2001,
737	<b>1545</b> , 349–356.
738	
739	24. P.F. Cook and W.W. Cleland, Enzyme Kinetics and Mechanism, Garland Science Publishing,
740	New York, 2007, ch. 9, pp. 253–323.
741	
742	25. S.C. Sinha and J.L. Smith, Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol., 2001, 11, 733-739.
743	
744	26. J. Victor, A. Leo-Mensah and D.L. Sloan, Biochemistry, 1979, 18, 3597-3604.
745	

746	27. A. Breda, L.A. Rosado, D.M. Lorenzini, L.A. Basso and D.S. Santos, Mol. BioSyst., 2012, 8,
747	572–586.
748	
749	28. C. Salerno and A. Giacomello, J. Bio. Chem., 1981, 256, 3671-3673.
750	
751	29. I.N.S. Subbayya and H. Balaran, FEBS Letters, 2002, 521, 72-76.
752	
753	30. S.R. Krungkrai, S. Aoki, N.M.Q. Palacpac, D. Sato, T. Mitamura, J. Krungkrai and T. Horii,
754	<i>Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.</i> , 2004, <b>134</b> , 245–255.
755	
756	31. G.P. Wang, C. Lundegaard, K.F. Jensen and C. Grubmeyer C, Biochemistry, 1999, 38, 275-
757	283.
758	
759	32. A.D. Villela, R.G. Ducati, L.A. Rosado, C.J. Bloch, M.V. Prates, D.C. Gonçalves, C.H.I.
760	Ramos, L.A. Basso and D.S. Santos, Plos One 2013, 8, e56445.
761	
762	33. P. Kwong, M.L. Doyle, D.J. Casper, C. Cicala, S.A. Leavitt S.A., S. Majeed, Nature, 2002,
763	<b>420</b> , 678–682.
764	
765	34. J.E. Ladbury and M.L. Doyle, <i>Biocalorimetry II</i> , Wiley, London, 2004.
766	
767	35. D.J. Chodera and L.D. Mobley, L.D, Annu. Rev. Biophys., 2013, 42, 121-142.
768	

- 769 36. D.B. Northrop, *Biochemistry*, 1975, 14, 2644–2651.
- 770
- 37. P.F. Cook P.F, *Enzyme Mechanism from Isotope Effects*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1991, pp.
  203–228.
- 773
- 38. M.P. Patel, W.S. Liu, J. West, D. Tew, T.D. Meek, S,H. Thrall, *Biochemistry*, 2005, 44(50),
  16753-16765.
- 776
- 39. R.G. Silva, L.P.S. Carvalho, J.S. Blanchard, D.S. Santos, L.A. Basso L.A, Biochemistry,
- 778 2005, **45(43)**, 13064-13073.
- 779
- 40. L. Mascia, M. Cappiello, S. Cherri, P.L. Ipata, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2000, **1474**, 70-74.
- 781
- 782 41. H.M. Kalckar, J. Biol. Chem., 1947, 167, 429–443.
- 783
- 784 42. D.J. Porter, J. Biol. Chem., 1992, 267, 7342–7351.
- 785
- 786 43. Y. Xu and C. Grubmeyer, *Biochemistry*, 1998, **37**, 4114-4124.
- 787
- 44. P.F. Cook and W.W. Cleland, Enzyme Kinetics and Mechanism, Garland Science Publishing,
- 789 New York, 2007, ch. 10, pp. 325-366.
- 790
- 791 45. A.C. Bishop, V.L. Chen, J. Chem. Biol., 2009, 2, 1-9.
- 792

793	Figure legends
794	
795	Figure 1. Intersecting initial velocity patterns for <i>Mt</i> HGPRT using either Hx or PRPP (A and B),
796	and Gua or PRPP (C and D) as the variable substrate. Each curve represents varied-fixed levels
797	of the cosubstrate.
798	
799	Figure 2. Ligand binding assays for binary complex formation: PRPP (A), IMP (B) and GMP
800	(C). ITC data were fitted to one set of sites binding model.
801	
802	Figure 3. Proposed kinetic enzyme mechanism for <i>Mt</i> HGPRT. This order of substrate binding
803	and product release is suggested on basis of the Lineweaver-Burk plots (kinetics) and
804	thermodynamics.
805	
806	Figure 4. Arrhenius plot for Hx and Gua substrates (temperature dependence of $log k_{cat}$ ).
807	
808	Figure 5. Stopped-flow trace for product formation by measuring the increase in absorbance at
809	245 nm for HPRT (A) and 257.5 nm for GPRT (B) reactions. Data were fitted to Eq. 6 for an
810	initial rapid phase followed by a linear phase. The inset represents the biphasic profile of the
811	experiment in a smaller scale of time (500 ms).
812	
813	Figure 6. Proton inventory at different mole fractions of D <sub>2</sub> O (0-90%). Enzyme activity
814	measurements were carried out at saturating concentrations of substrates. (A) HPRT reaction:

815	4000 $\mu M$ PRPP and 120 $\mu M$ Hx at pH 7.4. (B) GPRT reaction: 3000 $\mu M$ PRPP and Gua 50 $\mu M$
816	at pH 7.4. The insets show the measurements of enzyme activity at pH 8.5.
817	
818	Figure 7. Plot of enzyme activity against different [PPi]/[PRPP] ratios to determine the
819	equilibrium constant for HPRT (A) and GPRT (B) reactions. The ratios for [IMP]/[Hx] and
820	[GMP]/[Gua] were fixed at 1.
821	
822	Figure 8. Dependence of steady-state kinetic parameters on different pH values for HPRT (A)
823	and GPRT (B) reactions.
824	
825	Figure 9. Proposed chemical mechanism for <i>Mt</i> HGPRT.

# 827 Tables

Table 1. True Steady-State Kinetic Parameters for MtHGPRT				
Substrate	$K_m(\mu M)$	$V_{max}$ (U mg <sup>-1</sup> )	$k_{cat}$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )	$k_{cat}/K_m (M^{-1} s^{-1})$
Hypoxanthine	$26 \pm 2$	$2.40\pm0.09$	$0.89\pm0.04$	$3.4 (\pm 0.3) \times 10^4$
PRPP <sup>a</sup>	$14.1 (\pm 0.4) \times 10^2$	-	-	$6.3 (\pm 0.5) \times 10^2$
Guanine	$10 \pm 1$	$0.52\pm0.01$	$0.193\pm0.004$	$1.9 (\pm 0.2) \times 10^4$
$PRPP^b$	$6.5 (\pm 0.7) \times 10^2$	-	-	$2.9 (\pm 0.3) \times 10^2$
aupper i b				

828 <sup>*a*</sup>HPRT reaction; <sup>*b*</sup>GPRT reaction

829

Table 2. Binding parameters for MtHGPRT from ITC titration assays.

	PRPP	GMP	IMP
$\Delta G (\text{kcal mol}^{-1})^{\text{a}}$	-5.9 (± 0.1)	-7.7 (± 0.1)	-5.3 (± 0.2)
$\Delta S$ (cal mol <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )	$20.5 (\pm 0.4)$	$-3.94 (\pm 0.03)$	-38 (± 2)
$\Delta H$ (kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$0.205 (\pm 0.004)$	$-8.9(\pm 0.1)$	$-16.7 (\pm 0.9)$
$K_a (\mathrm{M}^{-1})$	$2.2 (\pm 0.3) \times 10^4$	$4.8 (\pm 0.5) \times 10^5$	$7 (\pm 1) \times 10^3$
$K_d$ ( $\mu$ M)	48 (± 7)	2.1 (± 0.2)	$1.3 (\pm 0.2) \times 10^2$

830

*Table 3. Thermodynamic activation parameters for MtHGPRT<sup>a</sup>* 

Parameter	HPRT	GPRT	
$E_a$ (kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$6.20 \pm 0.09$	$5.10 \pm 0.03$	
$\Delta H^{\#}$ (kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$5.60 \pm 0.08$	$4.50 \pm 0.02$	
$\Delta S^{\#}$ (cal mol <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )	$-39.6 \pm 0.5$	$-46.6 \pm 0.2$	
$\Delta G^{\#}$ (kcal mol <sup>-1</sup> )	$17 \pm 0.2$	$18 \pm 0.1$	
$a^{a}$ All values were determined at 25 °C (208 15 K)			

<sup>a</sup> All values were determined at 25 °C (298.15 K).

# 832

Table 4. Solvent kinetic isotope effect	t for MtHGPRT.	
---	----------------	--

Parameter	SKIE pH 7.4	SKIE pH 8.5	Comments
$^{\text{D2O}}V_{PRPP}$	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	$1.24 \pm 0.07$	HPRT
$^{\mathrm{D2O}}V/K_{PRPP}$	$1.3 \pm 0.2$	$0.95 \pm 0.13$	HPRT
$^{D2O}V_{Hx}$	$1.09 \pm 0.08$	$1.3 \pm 0.1$	HPRT
$^{D2O}V/K_{Hx}$	$1.3 \pm 0.3$	$0.7 \pm 0.2$	HPRT
$^{D2O}V_{PRPP}$	$0.71 \pm 0.04$	$1.04 \pm 0.04$	GPRT
$^{\rm D2O}V/K_{PRPP}$	$0.9 \pm 0.2$	$0.74 \pm 0.09$	GPRT
$^{\rm D2O}V_{Gua}$	$0.92 \pm 0.07$	$0.98 \pm 0.04$	GPRT
$^{\rm D2O}V/K_{Gua}$	$0.8 \pm 0.3$	$0.78 \pm 0.01$	GPRT



Figure 1 120x90mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 2 1970x865mm (96 x 96 DPI)



Figure 3 24x3mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 4 151x111mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 5 61x24mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 6 120x51mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 7 68x23mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 8 322x278mm (300 x 300 DPI)



215x59mm (300 x 300 DPI)