

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript	
RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript	
RSC Advances Accepted Manuscrip	
RSC Advances Accepted Manuscrip	
RSC Advances Accepted Manuscri	
RSC Advances Accepted Manuscr	
RSC Advances Accepted Manusc	
RSC Advances Accepted Manus	0
RSC Advances Accepted Manus	
RSC Advances Accepted Manu	U)
RSC Advances Accepted Manu	
RSC Advances Accepted Man	
RSC Advances Accepted Mai	
RSC Advances Accepted Ma	
RSC Advances Accepted M	\mathbf{O}
RSC Advances Accepted N	
RSC Advances Accepted	
RSC Advances Accepted	
RSC Advances Accepted	
RSC Advances Accepte	
RSC Advances Accept	()
RSC Advances Accept	
RSC Advances Accep	
RSC Advances Acce	\mathbf{O}
RSC Advances Acce	
RSC Advances Acc	Y
RSC Advances Ac	C)
RSC Advances Ac	X
RSC Advances A	Y.
RSC Advances /	
RSC Advances	
RSC Advances	
RSC Advance	CD.
RSC Advance	Ä
RSC Advanc	U
RSC Advan	\mathbf{O}
RSC Advan	
RSC Adva	
RSC Adva	
RSC Adv	Y
RSC Ad	
RSC Ac	
RSC A	\mathbf{O}
RSC A	
RSC	
RSC	
RSC	
RSS S	
œ	

Development of antibacterial polyacrylonitrile membrane modified
 with a covalently immobilized lysozyme
 Abstract: A novel antibacterial polyacrylonitrile (PAN) membrane covalently

immobilized with lysozyme was prepared. First, the virginal PAN membranes were 4 5 prepared via the classic immersion precipitation method. After modification with 6 NaOH, HCl, Ethylenediamine (EDA), lysozyme was covalently immobilized onto the 7 surface of PAN membranes by glutaraldehyde. The chemical compositions of virginal and modified membranes were characterized by Fourier Transform Infrared 8 spectroscopy (FT-IR) and Energy Dispersion X-ray (EDX). The morphology and 9 10 performance of the immobilized membranes were characterized by Scanning Electronic Microscopy (SEM), filtration performance measurement, the amount of the 11 12 bonded lysozyme, lysozyme activity measurement and flow cytometry method. The 13 antibacterial tests confirmed that the immobilized lysozyme membrane displayed an 14 excellent antibacterial performance against *staphylococcus aureus* (S. aureus). 15 16 **KEYWORDS**: PAN membrane, lysozyme, antibacterial, flow cytometry

- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20

21

22 1. Introduction

1 In recent years, membrane separation has been widely used in water treatment, food, pharmaceutical, and biotechnological industries. In all polymer membranes, 2 3 polyacrylonitrile (PAN) membrane occupied the main part owing to its good solvent resistance, sufficient chemical stability, excellent mechanical properties, and superior 4 thermal stability.¹ However, membrane fouling will shorten membrane lifetime, 5 reduce membrane flux, increase energy cost and bio-deteriorating of membrane 6 structures.²In food separation and water treatment, membrane fouling caused by the 7 undesirable accumulation of microorganisms (mainly bacteria) is known as 8 bio-fouling. And the build-up of biofilm is considered as a major obstacle in 9 membrane separation. To decrease the bio-fouling due to biofilm by bacteria on the 10 membrane surface, antibacterial treatment of the membrane surface with antibacterial 11 agents is desired. Actually, a series of investigations ³⁻⁴ and antibacterial agents have 12 been employed to construct bactericidal membranes, including N-halamine 13 compounds,⁵⁻⁷ silver ions/nanoparticles (AgNPs),⁸⁻¹⁰ various polycations,¹¹⁻¹³ and 14 enzymes.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ Unfortunately, these methods either would lose their antibacterial 15 properties as the antibacterial substance got released into the surrounding or the whole 16 process was cumbersome, environmentally-unfriendly. With the growing public health 17 18 awareness of the pathogenic effects, and stain formations caused by microorganisms, 19 there is an increasing need for producing an antibacterial membrane that can not only eliminate the bacterial or even kill the bacterial, but also can keep excellent 20 21 antibacterial properties after multiple uses.

22

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

2

1 Lysozyme is widely distributed in nature and well known for its antibacterial activity. It can break down bacterial cell walls via catalyzing the hydrolysis of 1, 2 3 4-beta-linkages between N-acetyl-dglucosamine and N-acetylmuramic acid residues in chitodextrins, which increased the permeability of bacteria and caused bacteria to 4 burst.¹⁷ Nevertheless, lysozyme as a soluble enzyme was very unstable and retained 5 its activity only for a short period of time. Therefore, a lot of researches have focused 6 on the conduct of molecular proteins that are immobilized on a solid support.^{18,19} 7 Howell et al improved the flux of ultrafiltration membrane by attaching enzyme onto 8 UF membrane which can hinder the gel formation.²⁰ Shi et al developed anti-fouling 9 and self-cleaning polyethersulfone membrane through immobilization of trypsin.²¹ 10 Compared with the free enzyme, immobilized enzyme showed more stability and 11 12 more robust resistance to environmental changes. In addition, the immobilized enzyme system provided unique advantages in process control and continuous 13 operation, which made the process more economical.²² 14

15

In this study, virginal PAN membranes were prepared by the immersion precipitation phase-inversion method. After modification, lysozyme was covalently immobilized onto the surface of PAN membranes by glutaraldehyde. Morphologies, structures, water flux and antimicrobial efficacies (against *S. aureus*) of the membranes were investigated. The result indicated the activity of immobilized lysozyme was relatively high and could effectively prevent formation of biofilms. The highly antibacterial membranes have potential application in water treatment and food manufacturing.

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

1 2. Experimental

2 2.1 Materials

polyacrylonitrile (PAN) with an average molecular weight of 15,000 g/mol as the 3 membrane-forming polymer was acquired from Sigma Chemical Co. Ltd. Lysozyme 4 5 with a molecular weight of 14.4 kDa was supplied by Shanghai Sangon Biological Engineering Technology Service Co. Ltd. N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), 6 Phosphoric acid, ethylenediamine (EDA), glutaraldehyde, sodium hydroxide, 7 hydrochloric acid and other chemicals were purchased from Xilong Chemical Co. Ltd. 8 All chemicals were used without further purification. S. aureus was preserved by our 9 laboratory. 10

11 2.2 Preparation of virginal PAN membrane

12 The virginal PAN membranes were prepared via the classic immersion precipitation method from a NMP solution containing 16 wt% PAN powder and 4 wt% pore 13 -forming agent H₃PO₄. The casting solution was stirred at 70 °C for 4 h and kept 14 another 8 h at ambient temperature to completely release air bubbles. Subsequently, 15 the solution was cast on the glass plate (cleaned with acetone) with a casting knife. 16 17 Then the glass plate was immediately immersed into a coagulation bath of deionized water at 25 °C. After the primary membranes were peeled off from the substrate, the 18 19 obtained membranes were left in deionized water overnight to completely remove the residual solvent.²³ The virginal membranes (V-PAN) were stored in deionized water 20 for further utilization. 21

22 2.3 Surface Modification of PAN Membrane

23

RSC Advances

1	For modification, the PAN membranes with 16 cm ² surface area were first immersed
2	into a 1 M NaOH solution and then the solution was left in a constantly shaking water
3	bath at 40 $^{\circ}$ C for 1 hour until its color turned into a wine-red. The membranes were
4	then rinsed with deionized water until neutral pH was reached and then immersed in
5	to a 10% (v/v) HCl solution at room temperature for 2 h. 24 After re-rinsing with water
6	until neutral pH was reached again, the modified membranes (M-PAN) were
7	immersed in 150 cm^3 10% (w/w) solution of ethylenediamine for 1 h at room
8	temperature. Finally, the gained membranes were taken out and washed thoroughly
9	with deionized water to remove the unreacted ethylenediamine.
10	2.4 Immobilization of Lysozyme on Modified Membrane
11	The modified membranes (16 cm^2) were equilibrated with 10 mL of sodium
12	phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) for 4 h. The equilibrated membranes were transferred to
13	10% (v/v) solution of glutaral dehyde for 1 h at 4 $^\circ \rm C$, then the membranes were washed
14	3 times with 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) to remove the excess
15	glutaraldehyde. ²⁵ The activated membranes were treated with lysozyme solution (1
16	g/L) for up to 20 h at 4 $^{\circ}$ C. ²⁶ Then immobilized membranes (I-PAN) were taken out
17	and thoroughly rinsed with deionized water and 0.1 M solution of phosphate buffer.
18	The preparation process of immobilized membrane (I-PAN) was shown in Figure 1.
19	Figure 1
20	2.5 Separation performance of membranes
21	A laboratory scale cross flow membrane system was used to characterize the
22	performance of V-PAN, M-PAN, and I-PAN. The membranes were immersed in

deionized water overnight at ambient temperature before filtration. Deionized water

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

was selected as feed solution. The sample membrane with an effective area of 10.48 cm² was installed on the membrane cell. The system was pre-compacted with deionized water at 0.2 MPa for 3 h to reach a steady state, followed by measuring water fluxes at pressures ranging from 0.08 to 0.16 MPa. And the feed flow rate 1.0 L/min. The water flux (J) was calculated using Equation (1)

$$J = \frac{V}{A\Delta t} \tag{1}$$

7 where V is the volume of permeate water (L), A is the effective area of the membrane 8 (m^2) , and Δt is the permeation time (h).

9

6

For bio-fouling investigations, after the pure water permeability J₀ was measured, the 10 flux for bacterial suspension (S. aureu, 10^5 cells/ml) was measured at the same 11 12 pressure. The filtration of the bacterial suspension was continued for 9 h and then the membrane was immersed in citric acid solution (pH 4.0) for 1 h and backwashed 13 thoroughly with deionized water for 0.5 h. The water flux of the cleaned membranes 14 J_R was measured again. Such a cycle of filtration was carried out continuously for 15 16 three times and the operating pressure was 0.1 MPa for the whole process. In order to evaluate the anti-fouling property of membranes, the flux recovery ratio (FRR) was 17 18 calculated as following Equation (2):

$$FRR = \frac{J_R}{J_0}$$
(2)

20 2.6 Immobilized lysozyme estimation

21 2.6.1 The amount of bound lysozyme

22 The amount of lysozyme bounded to the I-PAN was determined by measuring the

1	initial and final concentrations of lysozyme in the enzyme solution and washing via
2	Brodford's method. ²⁷ The method is based on spectrophotometric measurement of the
3	blue color resulting from the Coomassie brilliant blue G-250 at 595nm. The amount
4	of bound lysozyme can be calculated by the following Equation (3):
5	$M_{b} = C_{0}V_{0}M - (C_{1}V_{1} + C_{2}V_{2}) M $ (3)
6	where C_0 , C_1 , C_2 are enzyme concentrations for initial solution, final solution, and
7	washing liquid respectively, V_0, V_1, V_2 are volumes of the enzyme solutions for
8	initial, final and washing liquid, respectively, M is molar mass of lysozyme.
9	2.6.2 The Detection of Lysozyme Activity
10	The activity of the immobilized lysozyme was determined by the improved shugar's
11	method. ²⁸ The initial decrease in OD_{450} of the suspensions caused by the lysis of <i>M</i> .
12	<i>lysodeikticus</i> cells was measured at 25 $^{\circ}$ C for 1 min. Decrease of 0.001 in OD by 1
13	cm ² PAN membrane was defined as 1 unit of lysozyme activity. The lysozyme activity
14	was measured for three samples and then were averaged.
15	The hydrolytic activity of the immobilized lysozyme can be calculated using the
16	follow Equation (4):
17	$U/cm^2 = \triangle 0D_{450} \times 1000/S $ (4)
18	where: $\triangle OD_{450}$ was the initial decrease in OD_{450} of <i>M. lysodeikticus</i> cells for 1 min,
19	S was the area of PAN membrane.
20	2.7 Membrane Characterization
21	2.7.1 FT-IR and EDX Analysis

22 The chemical compositions of V-PAN, M-PAN, and I-PAN were investigated by

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy Spectra (FT-IR) and Energy Dispersion X-ray (EDX). FT-IR micro-spectroscopic measurements were performed using a Nicolet 380 FT-IR spectrometer. And the diffuse reflectance spectra were scan over the range of 400–4000 cm⁻¹. The element composition and distribution of virginal membrane and immobilized membranes were determined by Energy Dispersion X-ray analysis employing the SEM (SH-4600) with a 20 keV energy beam. 2.7.2 SEM Analysis In order to gather information about the cross-section of membranes, scanning electronic microscopy (Nova NanoSEM 230) measurements were carried out. The membrane samples for SEM analysis were initially dried at room temperature, then the membranes were immersed in liquid nitrogen and fractured into small pieces for examination of the cross-section. And then the samples were sputter-coated with gold-palladium alloy to a thickness of 5 nm before microscopic analysis.

14 2.8 Determination of Antibacterial Properties

15 2.8.1 The cultivation of staphylococcus aureus

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

The antibacterial activities of the V-PAN/I-PAN against the Gram-positive bacteria *S. aureus* were tested. *S. aureus* was incubated in 20 mL of broth medium (containing10 g/L peptone, 5 g/L beef extract, 5 g/L sodium chloride, at a pH of 6.8) at 36 $^{\circ}$ C until the exponential growth phase was reached. The bacteria-containing culture was centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 10 min. And after removal of supernatant, the cells were washed twice with sterile phosphate buffered solution (PBS, pH 7.4). Then the bacteria cells were re-suspended and diluted to 10^{6} cells/ml using the standard serial

1	dilution method (based on standard calibration with the assumption that the optical
2	density of 1.0 at 540 nm is equivalent to approximately10 ⁹ cells/mL). ²⁹
3	2.8.2 Flow Cytometry
4	The Flow cytometry method was used to determine the antibacterial of the I-PAN.
5	Flow cytometry could be used to count the number of microorganisms with high
6	accuracy, stability. In this study, S. aureus solution used as sample solution for flow
7	cytometry was collected from biofilm on membranes at the end of bio-fouling
8	experiments. S. aureus were resuspended in PBS. And 50,000 events were detected
9	each run and the rate of samples was 1000 events per second. The samples were
10	stained with Propidium Iodide (PI) because PI binds to DNA and cannot cross an
11	intact cell. ³⁰ The antibacterial efficiency (E_b) was represented by detecting the percent
12	of broken cells. The antibacterial efficiency was measured from Equation 5:
13	$E_b = (W_2 - W_1) \times 100\%$ (5)
14	where W_2 and W_1 are the percent of broken cells of <i>S. aureus</i> corresponding to the
15	V-PAN (as blank sample) and I-PAN, respectively.
16	
17	
18	3. Results and discussion
19	3.1 Surface Chemical Composition of the Membranes
20	V-PAN, M-PAN, and I-PAN are virginal PAN membrane, PAN membrane modified
21	NaOH, HCl, PAN membrane immobilized lysozyme respectively. The V-PAN,

22 M-PAN, and I-PAN were subjected to FT-IR analysis. The FT-IR spectrum of V-PAN

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

1	was shown in Figure 2(a). The spectrum of V-PAN shows characteristic peaks at 2930,
2	2240, 1470cm ⁻¹ were stretching vibration band of methylene (-CH ₂ -), stretching
3	vibration band of nitriles (-CN-), and bending vibration band of methylene (-CH ₂ -),
4	respectively. The characteristic spectra of virginal PAN was similar to a previous
5	research of enzymatic surface modification of Polyacrylonitrile and Its
6	Copolymers. ^{31,32} In the spectrum of Figure 2(b), there are two prominent peaks in the
7	3402 cm ⁻¹ region and 1699~1711cm ⁻¹ region respectively. This phenomenon was
8	most likely to be ascribed to the presence of O-H bond and C=O bond of carboxylic
9	acids in NaOH treated membrane. These two stretching peaks indicated the presence
10	of -COOH in membrane after treated by NaOH. The two common bands of lysozyme
11	1658 and 1519 cm^{-1} belong to the amide I and amide II peaks have appeared in Figure
12	2(c), which corresponded to stretching vibrations of C=O bond and coupling of
13	bending of N-H bond and stretching of C-N bond, respectively. However these peaks
14	migrated to 1666 and 1560 cm ⁻¹ by the influence of the original amide group. ^{33,34}
15	The appearance of these characteristic peaks indicated that lysozyme was
16	immobilized on the PAN membranes by covalent bonding.
17	Figure 2
18	The changes in elemental composition between different membranes were analyzed
19	by EDX. As shown in Table 1, the C element accounted for 63.98% (Wt) in V-PAN
20	and 63.65% in M-PAN, then increased to 66.07% (Wt) in I-PAN. The EDX shows
21	that no S element is existed in V-PAN and M-PAN. The S element accounted for

- 22 0.08% (Wt) in I-PAN because of the immobilized lysozyme. These changes further
- 23 confirmed the successful grafting of lysozyme onto the PAN membrane.

1 2	Table 1
3	3.2 SEM Analysis
4	The SEM images of the cross section of V-PAN, M-PAN, and I-PAN were presented
5	in Figure 3. It is apparent that all the membranes have typical asymmetric structures,
6	which consist of a thin dense layer and a support layer with finger-like structure. The
7	diameters of finger-like structure in modified /immobilized membrane were larger
8	than its counterpart in V-PAN. These changes may be attributed to the damage in the
9	process of modification by sodium hydroxide treatment. ³⁵ Further, in the last step of
10	immobilization of lysozyme, there was no evident change in the cross-sectional
11	morphology of the M-PAN/I-PAN, which can be verified in the Figure 3(b) and
12	Figure 3(c).
13	Figure 3
14	3.3 Membrane Filtration Performance
15	A cross flow membrane system was used to characterize the performance of the
16	V-PAN, M-PAN and I-PAN. Figure 4 illustrates the pure water flux through the
17	V-PAN, M-PAN and I-PAN membranes at different feed pressures. As the applied
18	pressure increased, the pure water flux increased linearly. M-PAN had relatively
19	higher pure water flux than V-PAN and I-PAN. This change can be attributed to that
20	the finger-hole became a little bigger ³⁵ and the surface hydrophilicity of V-PAN was
21	enhanced after NaOH modification. Some enzyme was embedded in the holes when
22	lysozyme was immobilized on the PAN membrane, which resulted in a reduction in
23	the water permeability.

24

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

1	Figure 4
2	As shown in Figure 5, the flux of membrane decreased sharply in the initial few hours
3	of operation. It is proposed that some S. aureus cells in the feed were deposited or
4	adsorbed on the surface (biofilms formation). The flux recovery ratio (FRR) by
5	cleaning with deionized water was analyzed for tested membranes. After first cycle,
6	the FRR were 86.9%, 85.7%, and 93.1% for the V-PAN, M-PAN, I-PAN, respectively.
7	The FRR decreased for both tested membranes with the increase in the operation time.
8	The FRR of the second cycle were 75.4%, 77.8% and 86.2% for the V-PAN, M-PAN,
9	I-PAN, respectively. Compared the data of V-PAN and M-PAN, the litter improvement
10	of flux recovery may be attributed to enhance of hydrophility due the NaOH
11	modification. Although immobilized membrane showed a fraction flux loss due to the
12	fouling on the membrane surface, their higher recovery ratio proved that their
13	absorbed fouling layers are relatively loose and easily removable. The phenomenon
14	can be attributed to the higher hydrophility and reduce the biofilm formation of
15	immobilized membrane due to lysozyme.
16	Figure 6
17	3.4 The Amount and Activity of the Immobilized Lysozyme
18	3.4.1 The Amount of the Immobilized Lysozyme
19	The amount of protein loading on I-PAN were measured and showed in Figure 6. The
20	immobilized lysozyme reached a constant amount of 0.025 mg/cm ² after 20 h of
21	immobilization reaction. The effective of protein loading on the surface of membranes
22	was restricted by the molecular size of lysozyme, density of aldehyde group, and the

23 number of amino groups of lysozyme.

1	Figure 6
2	3.4.2 The Activity of the Immobilized Lysozyme
3	The activity of the immobilized lysozyme was determined by the improved shugar's
4	method. The process included monitoring the degradation rate of M. lysodeikticus
5	through the reduction in absorbance at 450 nm. In a sterilized beaker, 5 ml of the M .
6	lysodeikticus solution (OD=1.0) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.24) and an
7	immobilized PAN membrane was put quickly. The absorbance at 450 nm of the M.
8	lysodeikticus solution was measured before/after treat 1 min of the immobilized
9	membrane using a TU-1810 UV spectrophotometer. The free enzyme was measured
10	by the similar strategy, 2.5 ml of the M. lysodeikticus solution in 0.1 M phosphate
11	buffer and the same quality of enzyme were mixed quickly, and the reduction in the
12	absorbance at 450 nm was recorded for 1 min. All the measurements were carried out
13	at 25 $^{\circ}$ C and pH 6.24. Compared with the free enzyme, the activity after
14	immobilization was reduced from 33 to 17. The reason for this change may be
15	attributed to the diffusional limitations resulting from the diminished molecular
16	flexibility of the enzyme. What is more, during the immobilization process, spatial
17	structure of the enzyme was changed and even some active sites were damaged in the
18	immobilized form of the enzyme.

19

The preservation stability of the lysozyme immobilized on the membrane was also investigated. After preservation in 0.1 M PBS (pH 6.8) solution for 3 months, the immobilized lysozyme lost 24% of their initial activity. Some lysozyme was denatured and lost activity via hydrolysis though it was covalently immobilized. 1

2 3.5 Determination of Antibacterial Properties

3 The viable and broken cell counts of bacteria were measured by Flow cytometry method. At the predetermined time, 0.2 mL of bacteria culture was taken from sample 4 5 solution and decimal serial dilutions with PBS were repeated with each initial sample. 6 In total, 50,000 events were detected each run and the rate of samples was 1000 7 events per second. The antibacterial efficiencies (E_b) of the V-PAN/I-PAN were presented in Figure 7. In the image, X-axis refers to signal intensity of PI while Y-axis 8 9 is number of cells. After being filtered by I-PAN, the number of intact of S. aureus 10 was significantly less than those in the V-PAN. The percent of broken cells of S. *aureus* in control group was 19.3%, which was presented in Figure 7(a). When cells 11 12 are in the exponential growth phase, it is a normal phenomenon that some cells would apoptosis. The percent of broken cells of S. aureus in experiment group was 56.6%, 13 14 which was presented in Figure 7(b). The change of the percent of broken cells 15 indicated that the immobilized lysozyme having a strong bacterial-killing capacity against S. aureus with an antibacterial efficiency (Eb) of 37.3%. This study showed 16 that the immobilized membrane had the potential to reduce bio-fouling in water 17 18 treatment and food separation.

19

---Figure 8----

20 4. Conclusions

21 In this study, a PAN ultrafiltration membranes prepared via the classic immersion 22 precipitation method was modified by immobilized lysozyme on the surface of 23 membranes, resulting in a novel PAN-immobilized membrane with anti-bacterial 24 property. The membrane surface morphology, separation performance, immobilized lysozyme activity, and antibacterial activity were investigated. Compared to the 25 26 virginal membrane, the membrane exhibited a moderate flux and a high antibacterial 27 activity. In addition, the antibacterial activity analysis showed that the number of 28 intact cells of S. aureus after filtered by the immobilized membrane was significantly 29 less than those filtered by the virginal membrane, with an antibacterial efficiency of 37.3%. Therefore, membrane with effective antibacterial performances has been 30

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

prepared, which will have potential applications beyond water treatment or food
manufacturing.
Acknowledgements
The authors gratefully acknowledge financial assistance by the Specialized Research
Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education of China (20103514110002) and
National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.J1103303). The authors also
gratefully acknowledge professor of Zhang Guoliang for providing to improve the
language of manuscript.
References
1. Chen XN, Wan LS, Wu QY, Zhi SL and Xu ZK. Mineralized polyacrylonitrile-based
ultrafiltration membranes with improved water flux and rejection towards dye. J Membrane
<i>Sci</i> 2013; 441 : 112-119.
2. Yang YF, Hu HQ, Li Y, Wan LS and Xu ZK. Membrane surface with antibacterial property
by grafting polycation. J Membrane Sci 2011; 376: 132-141.
3. Mei Y, Yao C, Fan K and Li XS. Surface modification of polyacrylonitrile nanofibrous
membranes with superior antibacterial and easy-cleaning properties through hydrophilic
flexible spacers. J Membrane Sci 2012; 417-418: 20-27.
4. Xu J, Feng XS, Chen PP and Gao CJ. Development of an antibacterial copper (II)-chelated
polyacrylonitrile ultrafiltration membrane. J Membrane Sci 2012; 413-414: 62-69.
5. Cerkez I, Kocer HB, Worley SD, Broughton RM and Huang TS. N-Halamine Biocidal
Coatings via a Layer-by-Layer Assembly Technique. Langmuir 2011; 27: 4091-4097.
6. Jang J and Kim, Y. Fabrication of monodisperse silica-polymer core-shell nanoparticles with
15

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

1	excellent antimicrobial efficacy. Chem. Commun. 2008; 34: 4016-4018.
2	7. Dong A, Zhang Q, Wang T, Wang WW, Liu FQ and Gao G. Immobilization of Cyclic
3	N-Halamine on Polystyrene-Functionalized Silica Nanoparticles: Synthesis, Characterization,
4	and Biocidal Activity J. Phys. Chem. C. 2010; 114: 17298-17303.
5	8. Zodrow K, Brunet L, Mahendra S, Li D, Zhang AN, Li QL and Alvarez PJ. Polysulfone
6	ultrafiltration membranes impregnated with silver nanoparticles show improved biofouling
7	resistance and virus removal. Water Res 2009; 43: 715-723.
8	9. Yu DG, Teng MY, Chou WL and Yang MC. Characterization and inhibitory effect of
9	antibacterial PAN-based hollow fiber loaded with silver nitrate. J Membrane Sci 2003; 225:
10	115-123.
11	10. Chou WL, Yu DG and Yang MC. The preparation and characterization of silver-loading
12	cellulose acetate hollow fiber membrane for water treatment. Polym Advan Technol 2005;
13	16 : 600-607.
14	11. Kenawy E, Worley SD and Broughton R. The Chemistry and Applications of Antimicrobial
15	Polymers: A State-of-the-Art Review. Biomacromolecules 2007; 8: 1359-1384.
16	12. Haldar J, An DQ, Alvarez DCL, Chen JZ and Klibanov AM. Polymeric coatings that inactivate
17	both influenza virus and pathogenic bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006; 103:
18	17667-17671.
19	13. Tiller JC, Liao CJ, Lewis K and Klibanov AM. Designing surfaces that kill bacteria on
20	contact. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2001; 98: 5981-5985.
21	14. Muszanska AK, Busscher HJ, Herrmann A, van der Mei HC and Norde W.
22	Pluronic-lysozyme conjugates as anti-adhesive and antibacterial bifunctional polymers for

1	surface coating. <i>Biomacromolecules</i> 2011; 32 : 6333-6341.
2	15. Caro A, Humblot V, Méthivier C, Minier M, Salmain M and Pradier CM. Grafting of
3	Lysozyme and/or Poly(ethylene glycol) to Prevent Biofilm Growth on Stainless Steel
4	Surfaces. J Phys Chem B 2009; 113: 2101-2109.
5	16. Pangule RC, Brooks SJ, Dinu CZ, Bale SS, Salmon SL and Zhu GY. Antistaphylococcal
6	Nanocomposite Films Based on Enzyme-Nanotube Conjugates. ACS NANO 2010; 4:
7	3993-4000.
8	17. Lian ZX, Ma ZS, Wei J and Liu H. Preparation and characterization of immobilized lysozyme
9	and evaluation of its application in edible coatings. Process Biochem 2012; 47: 201-208.
10	18. Park JM, Kim M, Park HS, Jang A, Min J and Kim YH. Immobilization of lysozyme-CLEA
11	onto electrospun chitosan nanofiber for effective antibacterial applications. Int J Biol
12	Macromol 2013; 54 : 37-43.
13	19. Edwards JV, Prevost NT, Condon B, French A and Wu QL. Immobilization of
14	lysozyme-cellulose amide-linked conjugates on cellulose I and II cotton nanocrystalline
15	preparations. Cellulose 2012; 19: 495-506.
16	20. Howell JA and Velicangil O. Theoretical Considerations of Membrane Fouling and Its
17	Treatment with Immobilized Enzymes for Protein Ultrafiltration. J Appl Polym Sci. 1982; 27:
18	21-32.
19	21. Shi Q, Su YL, Ning X, Chen WJ, Peng JM and Jiang ZY. Trypsin-enabled construction of
20	anti-fouling and self-cleaning polyethersulfone membrane. <i>Bioresource Technol</i> .2011; 102 :
21	647-651.
22	22. Park JK, Kim M, Park HS, Jang A, Min J and Kim YH. Immobilization of lysozyme-CLEA

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

1	onto electrospun chitosan nanofiber for effective antibacterial applications Int. J. Biol.
2	Macromol. 2013; 54 : 37-43.
3	23. Li MZ, Li JH, Shao JH, Miao J, Wang J, Zhang QQ and Xu XP. Grafting zwitterionic brush
4	on the surface of PVDF membrane using physisorbed free radical grafting technique. J
5	Membrane Sci 2012; 405-406 : 141-148.
6	24. Mahlicli FY, Altinkaya SA and Yurekli Y. Preparation and characterization of
7	polyacrylonitrile membranes modified with polyelectrolyte deposition for separating similar
8	sized proteins. J Membrane Sci 2012; 415-416: 383-390.
9	25. Godjevargova T and Gabrovska K. Influence of matrix on external mass transfer resistance in
10	immobilized urease membranes. Enzyme Microb Tech 2006; 38: 338-342.
11	26. Park JK, Kim M, Park HS, Jang A, Min J and Kim YH. Immobilization of lysozyme-CLE
12	onto electrospun chitosan nanofiber for effective antibacterial applications. Int. J. Biol.
13	Macromol. 2013; 54 : 37-43.
14	27. Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of
15	protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem. 1976; 72: 248-254.
16	28. Shugar D. The measurement of lysozyme activity and the ultra-violet inactivation of lysozyme
17	Bochim. Biophys. Aeta. 1952; 8: 302-309.
18	29. Wang Q, Fan X, HuY, Yuan J, Cui L and Wang P. Antibacterial functionalization of wool
19	fabric via immobilizing lysozyme. Bioproc. Biosyst. Eng. 2009; 32: 633-639.
20	30. Huang C, Zhu DH, Wu H, Lou WY and Zong MH. Evaluating the influence of inhibitors
21	present in lignocellulosic hydrolysates on the cell membrane integrity of oleaginous
22	yeastTrichosporon fermentans by flow cytometry. Process Biochem. 2014; 49: 395-401.

1	31. Babu V, Pasha SK, Gupta G, Majumdar CB and Choudhury B. Enzymatic Surface			
2	Modification of Polyacrylonitrile and Its Copolymers: Effects of Polymer Surface Area and			
3	Protein Adsorption. Fiber Polym. 2014; 15: 24-29.			
4	32. Zhang XL, Xiao CF, Hu XY and Zhang ZY. Characterization of membranes prepared from			
5	PVDF/PAN blends and their modification with hydrolysis. High Perform Polym 2012;			
6	25 :165-173.			
7	33. Zhou B, Li R, Deng HB, Hu Y and Li B. Antibacterial multilayer films fabricated by			
8	layer-by-layer immobilizing lysozyme and gold nanoparticles on nanofibers. Colloid Surface B.			
9	2014; 116 : 432-438.			
10	34. Wang S, Fang H, Wen Yk, Cai MH, Liu W, He SB and Xu XP. Applications of			
11	HRP-immobilized catalytic beads to the removal of 2,4-dichlorophenol from wastewater. RSC			
12	Adv. 2015; 5 : 57286-57292.			
13	35. Kim I, Yun HG and Lee KH. Preparation of asymmetric polyacrylonitrile membrane with			
14	small pore size by phase inversion and post-treatment process. J Membrane Sci 2002; 199:			
15	75-84			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23 24				
25				



Figure 1. the route map for preparing of I-PAN.



Figure 2. FT- IR spectra of (a) V-PAN (b) M-PAN and (c) I-PAN.

Table 1

Weight percent of elements based on EDX analysis.

Element	V-PAN	M-PAN	I-PAN
С	63.98	63.65	66.07
0	19.34	11.83	8.50
Ν	16.34	24.20	25.17
S	0.00	0.00	0.08
Ρ	0.34	0.32	0.18



Figure 3. SEM images of cross-section morphology of membranes: a, V-PAN b, M-PAN and c, I-PAN.

Page 25 of 32



Figure 4. Pure water flux of the V-PAN, M-PAN and I-PAN as a function of feed pressure



Figure 5. Water flux of the V-PAN, M-PAN and I-PAN during filtration of bacterial suspension.



Figure 6. The amount of lysozyme loading on the I-PAN.





Figure 7. Effect of V-PAN/I-PAN on the cell membrane integrity of *S.aureus*. Because PI binds DNA and cannot cross an intact cell, the percent of broken cells was reflected by PI staining (showed as the right part P7 in each image). In the image, X-axis refers to signal intensity of PI while Y-axis is number of cells.