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Abstract

This study addresses the issue of whether the magnetite Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticle shows a true spin-glass like behavior or if this is an artifact of interparticle interaction. Transmission electron microscopy and X-ray diffraction pattern analysis confirms the formation of pseudospherical Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles with a grain size of 8(1) nm. The magnetic moment relaxation $M(t)$ follows a stretched exponential and power law function, confirming the presence of multiple-magnetic anisotropic barriers ($\beta = 0.51(1)$) and weak-interparticle interaction ($n = 0.60(4)$), respectively. The observed field cooling (FC) memory effect occurs because of the presence of magnetic anisotropy arising from a broad size distribution and weak-interparticle interactions. The absence of the zero-field-cooled (ZFC) memory effect signals that the Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticle system is not a true spin-glass system, and the observed decreasing behavior of magnetization in FC measurement is an artifact of the weak-interparticle interaction.
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Introduction

The thermoremanent magnetization decay and consequently the magnetic stability of the nanoparticles are characterized by the magnetic anisotropy energy barrier, which plays an important role in shaping the properties of the magnetic nanoparticles. Magnetic nanoparticles having enhanced magnetic anisotropy have recently gained attention because of their enormous potential technological applications\textsuperscript{1,2}. The anisotropy of the magnetic nanoparticle systems can be enhanced further in a number of different ways. For example, through the particle size distribution in a superparamagnetic (SPM) system\textsuperscript{3}, by an increase of the inter-particle interaction in a spin-glass (SG) system\textsuperscript{4} and because of inter-coupling between FM and AFM spins at the interface in a ferromagnetic (FM)/antiferromagnetic (AFM) core/shell system\textsuperscript{5}. Conventionally the effect of enhanced magnetic anisotropy energy barriers on the magnetic properties of nanoparticles has been studied through observing the decay of the thermoremanent spin dynamics\textsuperscript{4}. Recently, the effect of magnetic anisotropy in SG and SPM nanoparticle systems has also been studied by measuring memory and the effect of aging using field-cooled (FC) and zero-field-cooled (ZFC) protocols. Interestingly the SG system shows aging with both FC and ZFC, whereas the SPM system shows only weak FC aging. Therefore these effects are considered to be decisive in their proper identification and characterization\textsuperscript{3,6-9}. The phenomenon has been studied and observed in a number of FM and AFM systems, there are only a few reports related to ferrimagnetic systems such as CoFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{10,11}, GaFeO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{9}, or Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{12,13}. Ferrite magnetite Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} (FeO·Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}) has a cubic spinel structure above the Verwey transition ($T_V$) \~\textasciitilde 120 K and has gained increased attention in recent years because of its high Curie temperature ($T_C$) \~\textasciitilde 850 K. The Fe$^{3+}$ ions in Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} are located at tetrahedral sites ($A$) and the Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$ ions at octahedral sites ($B$) in an antiparallel arrangement, yielding a ferrimagnetic order below $T_C$. Nanomagnetite
Fe$_3$O$_4$ has seen widespread use in electronics, magneto-optics, magneto caloric refrigeration, dynamic scaling, high-density information storage, catalysis, magnetic resonance imaging and magnetically targeted drug delivery$^{14}$. Most of these applications are based on magnetic properties and therefore influenced by several factors such as size, morphology, point defects (cation/anion vacancies), inter- and intra-particle interactions, synthesis methods, size distribution and so on$^{15}$. Comparison of the properties of the nanomaterials to their bulk counterparts show that, because of the high surface to volume ratio, significant changes are observed in the magnetic structure. Recently, Jeun et al.$^{16}$ observed the SPM behavior from 4.2 to 22.5 nm Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles with a maximum coercivity of 10 Oe. They found that the magnetization dynamics can be described by the Néel-Brown$^{17}$ model of non-interacting, unidirectional anisotropy energy. However, there have also been reports where FM and AFM materials exhibit spin-glass like behavior due to strong interparticle interaction between the nanoparticles of ferrites$^{18,13}$. In their comprehensive analysis, Suzuki et al.$^{12}$ showed the existence of super spin-glass behavior from 5.2(5) nm Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles synthesized using chemical means. They observed the memory effect with both FC and ZFC (deep valley after ZFC aging) protocols, and confirmed the SG behavior from Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles. However, whether the observed SG behavior is a collective phenomenon arising from intercluster interaction or is a true spin-glass phase is a matter of question. Yang et al.$^{13}$ explicitly showed that the SiO$_2$-mediated interparticle spacing effectively modulates the collective behavior of 7.5 nm Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles. The bare Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles, which behave like SG and show both ZFC-FC memory effects due to interparticle interaction, become non-interacting after reaching an interparticle spacing of 31.5 nm, and exhibit FC memory effect only. This indicates that the memory effect is not an intrinsic property of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanostructures but indeed is induced by
the interparticle interactions. It is important to note that the SG behavior can also arise because of spin frustration at the surface of individual nanoparticles. Peddis et al.\textsuperscript{11} reported SG-like freezing and an ZFC aging effect from 3 nm size non-interacting CoFe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} ferrimagnetic particles. Unlike the deep valleys (commonly observed in dense SG-like nanoparticle systems), they observed a linear increasing behavior below the ZFC aging temperature. This observed phenomenon can be attributed to random freezing of the surface SG. Furthermore, Wang et al.\textsuperscript{19} reported observations of the conventional exchange bias phenomenon from compacted magnetic core/shell Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} nanoparticles having a low temperature SG-like surface and ferrimagnetic core. The synthesis method, particle size, their morphology, and interparticle interactions may together or even individually influence the magnetic and structural properties at the nanoscale and therefore a comprehensive analysis is required to obtain a detailed understanding of the effect of finite size on the magnetic properties of ferrimagnetic materials at the nanoscale. In this study, we present a detailed structural and magnetic characterization of 8(1) nm size, chemically synthesized, pseudo-spherical Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} nanoparticles. The aim is to study the effect of interparticle interaction and random freezing of spins on the memory effect within the blocked state.

**Experimental work**

Magnetic iron oxide (Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}) nanoparticles were prepared using a previously reported method\textsuperscript{20} with minor modifications. First, 100 mL of deionized water was placed in a round bottomed flask. Subsequently, the water was deoxygenated by bubbling N\textsubscript{2} gas for 30 min. Later, 25 mL of ammonium hydroxide (1M) was added and the mixture was stirred for 10 minutes at 1000 rpm using mechanical agitation. Afterwards, 5 mL of ferrous chloride 0.0125 M and 10 mL of ferric
chloride 0.0125 M were added. Immediately a black precipitate appeared and separated. A decantation process was applied with the aid of a magnetic field. Finally the product was washed four times with 25 mL of deionized and deoxygenated water and then dried. The synthesized nanoparticles were examined by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM-2000-FX JEOL, Japan) to determine size and morphological analysis. Analysis of the crystalline properties was carried out by X-ray diffraction (XRD) at the National Synchrotron Radiation Research Center in Hinchey, Taiwan (λ = 0.7749 Å) using high energy synchrotron radiation XRD with a BL01C2 beam line. The magnetic properties of the nanoparticles were measured with a superconducting quantum interference device (Quantum Design, MPMS-SQUID-VSM) magnetometer using a maximum applied power of 10 kOe over a temperature range of 2 to 400 K.

Results and Discussion

Structural Analysis

It is clearly evident that the nanoparticles of Fe₃O₄ are well separated and pseudo-spherical in shape; see the TEM image in Figure 1(a). The interconnecting nanoparticles are stuck together in clusters due to the electrostatic effects and as an artifact of the drying of the aqueous suspension. Figure 1(b) shows the mean size and a histogram of the distribution of the nanoparticles scaled from 3 to 22 nm, calculated from a portion of the TEM image. The distribution of the diameters is quite asymmetric and can be described using a log-normal distribution function. The solid line in Figure 1(b) represents the fit, assuming a log-normal distribution function, $f(d) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}d\sigma} \exp \left[ -\frac{(\ln d - \ln<d>)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right]$, where $<d>$ is the mean diameter.
and \( \sigma \) is the standard deviation of the function. The mean diameter and standard deviation obtained from the fit are \(<d> = 8(1) \) nm and \( \sigma = 0.35(2) \), respectively\(^{21} \). The distribution of nanoparticle size can significantly affect the magnetic properties of the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles\(^ {15} \).

Thakur \textit{et al.}\(^ {22} \) also reported a broad size distribution of 0.58 for Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles with a crystalline size of \(~ 10 \) nm synthesized by precipitating ferrous ions. Their results showed a broad ZFC magnetization curve and exhibited SG behavior. In contrast, Jeun \textit{et al.}\(^ {16} \) reported a narrow size distribution for \(~10 \) nm size Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles synthesized using a high temperature thermal decomposition (HTTD) and a seed-mediated growth method, which exhibited an SPM behavior. Either the size distribution or the synthesis method, sometimes both play an important role in defining the magnetic properties of the nanoparticles.

The synchrotron radiation X-ray diffraction (SR-XRD) technique is employed for detailed investigation of the different crystalline phases and strain in nanoscale samples, which would otherwise be quite difficult to investigate using the usual XRD techniques. The observed crystalline phases for Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles obtained from the XRD spectra are depicted in Figure 1(c). The XRD pattern clearly shows formation of an Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) phase without any trace of impurity. A significantly broader nuclear peak which is visible to the naked eye in the XRD spectra is in good agreement with the observed nanometric nature of the sample obtained from the TEM analysis\(^ {23} \). The observed broadening of the peak is a short-range behavior that can be described by the Gaussian instrument resolution function\(^ {24} \). The value of the full width at half maximum (fwhm) of the most intense nuclear peak [311], indexed based on the space group of \( Fd\bar{3}m \) (No. 227) is \( 0.413 \pm 0.004^\circ \) with a grain size of \(~ 10.1 \) nm (calculated by using the Scherer formula\(^ {25} \)). The observed value of the grain size signals the development of short-range crystallinity, which could be either due to the finite size effect or the combined effect of size and
The value of the crystalline size of nanoparticles and the strain effect can be further estimated by using the well-known Williamson-Hall method:

\[ \beta = \beta_{\text{size}} + \beta_{\text{strain}} = \frac{1}{\cos \theta} \left( \frac{k \lambda}{<d_{\text{XRD}}>} + 4\eta \sin \theta \right) \],

where \( \beta \) is the fwhm of the XRD peak, \( k \) is the Scherer constant (\( \approx 0.94 \)) for the nanoparticles, \( \lambda \) is the incident X-ray wavelength, \( \theta \) is the diffraction angle and \( \eta \) is the local lattice distortion parameter (strain). The intercept of the linear fit gives the inverse of the crystalline size \( 1/(11(1) \text{ nm}) \) and the slope of the curve gives a local lattice distortion of \( \eta = 0.00134(68) \), which is in excellent agreement with the grain size estimated from the XRD spectra and larger than the TEM result due to the interconnecting effect of nanoparticles. The estimated value of the lattice distortion is higher than the strain limit of \( \sim 0.01 \) and therefore the observed broadening in the fwhm is purely a finite size effect. Jarari et al.\(^{28}\) also reported similar value for the strain, \( \sim 0.0018 \), from 11.4 nm Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles synthesized by the co-precipitation method, followed by annealing at 450 °C. The XRD pattern of the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles is further refined using Rietveld analysis\(^{29}\). The diffraction pattern (black crosses) is shown. The solid curve (red curve) indicates the fitted pattern. The difference (blue curve) between the observed and the fitted pattern is plotted at the bottom of Figure 1(c).

The obtained refined lattice parameters confirm formation of spinel cubic Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) with the space group \( Fd\bar{3}m \) (No. 225). The fitted value of \( a = b = c = 8.3571(3) \text{ Å} \) shows lattice contraction compared to the reported bulk value of 8.396 Å (JCPDS 19-629). Similar lattice contraction has been reported for Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) nanoparticles which increases further with a decrease of particle size\(^{28}\). However, there have also been reports in which no considerable change in lattice parameter was observed even after reducing the size down to 4 nm\(^{30}\). The anomaly in the lattice parameter at the nanoscale indicates that besides the finite size effect, there are several other factors that play an
important role in defining structural properties, such as point defects (cation/anion vacancies) and preparation methods. Similar lattice contraction with a decrease of particle size has also been reported from spinel cubic Co$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles due to variation of the charge state$^{31}$. The ionic radius of Co$^{2+}$ ions (70 pm) is larger than that of Co$^{3+}$ ions (60 pm) so the effect of calcination results in the substitution of Co$^{3+}$ ions by Co$^{2+}$ in Co$_3$O$_4$. A similar argument can be applied to observed lattice contraction in Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles because the atomic radius of Fe$^{3+}$ ions (64 pm) is smaller than that of Fe$^{2+}$ ions (74 pm). The substitution of Fe$^{2+}$ ions with Fe$^{3+}$ or the existence of vacancies at Fe$^{2+}$ ion sites can result in lattice contraction in Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles.

**Temperature dependent Magnetization measurement**

Figure 2(a) shows the ZFC-FC magnetization curve of Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles measured in the 100 Oe field. In the FC mode, the magnetization initially increases, then levels off and afterwards decreases slightly, whereas the ZFC magnetization shows a broad maximum at 324 K followed by a steady decrease to a value approaching zero in the low temperature region. The variation of the magnetization in the FC modes indicates an SG-like behavior, similar to that reported for several different interacting magnetic systems$^3$. The observed broad maximum of the ZFC curve can be ascribed to a broad size distribution as observed from TEM analysis$^{32}$. Each particle gets blocked at a blocking temperature $T_B$ then is poly-dispersed and a wide nanoparticle distribution range, $T_B = 324$ K, will be associated with the mean value of $T_B$. As also reported by Jeun *et al.*$^{16}$, it is assumed that the Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles behave like SPM. According to the Néel-Brown model the uniaxial and non-interacting SPM nanoparticles exhibit a distribution in the anisotropy energy barrier due to poly-dispersity. In this case the blocking temperature can be defined as
$T_B \approx KV / 22k_B$, where $K$ is the magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy density. And $\tau_m = \tau_o \exp(KV / k_BT_B)$, where $\tau_m = 4$ s is the experimental measurement time, and $\tau_o \sim 10^9$ s is the attempt time. Using $T_B = 324$ K (maximum from a ZFC curve in Figure 2(a)), the above equation yields $K = 1.88 \times 10^5$ J/m$^3$ for $\sim 10$ nm Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles. The value is about one order of magnitude higher than that of the bulk Fe$_3$O$_4$ ($K = 0.135 \times 10^5$ J/m$^3$). The observed enhancement can be associated with the surface effect, an intrinsic particle anisotropy (such as stress), or interparticle interactions. However, according to Goya et al.$^{32}$, the contribution of surface anisotropy for pseudospherical nanoparticles should average out to zero. The observed stress in the W-H plot is negligible. However, in our sample, the observed value of $T_B = 324$ K at the 100 Oe field is larger compared to the value of $T_B = 117$ K obtained from non-interacting $\sim 10$ nm size Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles$^{16}$, which agrees with the presence of interparticle interaction. Additionally, the calculated value of $K$ is in good agreement with the reported value of $K = 1.11 \times 10^5$ J/m$^3$ for 11.6 nm size oleate-capped interacting Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles having a value of $T_B = 264$ K measured under a magnetic field of 100 Oe$^{14}$. Therefore, the above discussion and the observed decreasing behavior of the FC magnetization curve with temperature below $T_B$ confirm the existence of interparticle interaction in Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles. However, whether the system behaves like SG or is this is an artifact of interparticle interactions will be discussed further in the text. Above $T_B$, superparamagnetic signals dominate at higher temperature, where the magnetization decreases linearly with increasing temperature. No noticeable differences in the magnetization curves were found between the measurements made with a field-increasing loop and a field-decreasing loop. This is because the thermal excitation overcomes an energy barrier, giving rise to superparamagnetic or paramagnetic properties. Figure 2(b) shows the hysteresis loops M(H) for Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles with a mean diameter 8(1) nm taken at $T=400$ K (zero field cooling
process). They exhibit a saturated magnetization value of 55.1(1) emu/g under applied magnet field $H_a=15$ kOe. There are no significant differences in the magnetization measurements between the field increasing and the field-decreasing loops found above the blocking temperature, which is consistent with the superparamagnetic behavior. It can be seen that the influence of temperature on the saturation magnetization originates from the superparamagnetic characteristics in the Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles. Interestingly, it can be seen that the saturation magnetization is not coincident at higher field of first quadrant for the hysteresis loop. This phenomenon can be interpreted as an exchange inter-coupling effect caused by enhanced anisotropy at higher field, which has been observed and explained in our previous work. It is worth noting that the presence of clear bifurcation between the ZFC and FC curves is visible above the blocking temperature $T_B$ with the curves beginning to merge at an irreversible temperature $T_{irr}$. The irreversibility shown in Figure 2(a) is strongly dependent on the magnitude of the applied magnetic field and is presumably associated with a slow relaxation process for an assembly of weak interacting nanoparticles, as discussed in a previous report. These characteristics can be attributed to a dipole-dipole interaction. In a magnetic mono-dispersed system as reported by Si et al, the dipole-dipole interaction between nanoparticles can be ignored in comparison with the anisotropy energy, but could be of the same order as the particle anisotropy energy in a dense system. At larger particle size, the strength of the dipole interaction starts to increase slowly. This is due to an increase in the magnetic moment per particle, which enhances the magnetic attraction between the particles, although the magnetic attraction is still smaller than the dipole interaction in the region of dense systems, which is called a weak interaction. Their findings confirmed that the value of $T_B$ from Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles is not just governed by the size and distribution of the particles, but also by their nature.
Relaxation dynamics

Time dependency of the magnetization relaxation $M(t)$ was measured at 30 K and 100 K (in the blocked state) for a time period of 3600 s. The $M(t)$ measurement was carried out by first cooling the system to the desired temperature under a small magnetic field of 100 Oe. Once the temperature stabilized, the magnetic field was switched off in oscillator mode at a rate of 10 Oe/s and subsequent relaxation of the magnetic moment with respect to time was recorded. Figure 3(a) depicts the observed time dependency of magnetization relaxation at 30 and 60 K. The solid line represents the fit to the relaxation dynamics using a stretched exponential function

$$M(t) = M_o - M_e \exp\left(-\left(\frac{t}{\tau}\right)^\beta\right)$$

where $M_o$ is an intrinsic magnetic component, and $M_e$ and $\tau$ are the glassy component and characteristic relaxation time, respectively, both of which depend on the measuring temperature and time. $\beta$ is a stretching parameter ($0 < \beta \leq 1$) and is a function of the measuring temperature only. In the above expression, if $\beta = 1$, then the system relaxes with a single time constant. Therefore the mechanism involves activation against a single anisotropy barrier, otherwise, for $\beta < 1$ it involves activation against multiple anisotropy barriers. Possible causes for occurrence of multiple anisotropy barriers could be the size distribution, interparticle interaction, shape anisotropy or magnetocrystalline anisotropy. There is a satisfactory linear fit. Figure 3(a) shows a plot of $\ln[M(t) - M_o]$ versus $t^\beta$ and the fitting parameters. Values of $\beta = 0.51(1)$ and 0.58(1) are obtained from fit for the 30 and 100 K $M(t)$ curves, respectively, which points towards activation against multiple anisotropy barriers. Here, the existence of multiple anisotropy barriers possibly bears a correlation to the signature of the distribution of the particle size and presence of interparticle interactions. Interestingly, the values of $\tau$ and $\beta$ increase with
the measuring temperature, manifesting softening of the spin relaxation due to increase of the thermal energy.

For a better understanding of the time dependent relaxation dynamics of the system involving interparticle interaction or spin-glass like behavior, the $M(t)$ dynamics are analyzed using the theoretical model proposed by Ulrich et al.\textsuperscript{4}. The model is based on a Monte Carlo simulation, where dipolar interactions are only taken into account to interpret the slow relaxation process of FM nanoparticles\textsuperscript{4}, spin-glass like $\text{La}_{0.9}\text{Sr}_{0.1}\text{CoO}_3$\textsuperscript{36}, AFM NiO\textsuperscript{37} and Fe\textsubscript{50}Ni\textsubscript{50} nanoalloys\textsuperscript{38}. Ulrich explicitly showed that the decay of $M(t)$ follows a power law after the lapse of a crossover time, $t_0$: $W(t) = t^{-n}$, where $W(t)$ is defined as $-(d/dt)\ln M(t)$. In the above expression, the value of $n$ is a function of the measuring temperature, field and particle density, which is $\geq 1$ for dense and $2/3$ for weakly interacting, diluted systems having a distribution in particle size. A plot of $\ln W(t)$ versus $\ln t$ is shown in Figure 3(b). The straight line fit is displayed after a crossover time of $t_0 = 100$ s. The fitting parameters are depicted in the figure. The fitted value of $n = 0.60(4)$ for the 30 K $M(t)$ curve is close to the value of $2/3$ indicating the presence of interparticle interaction. $M(t)$ is measured at 100 K. The magnetic moment relaxes and the value of $n$ decreases to 0.48(1) with an increase in the thermal energy. The important outcome of the above analysis is the fitted value of $n$, which decreases as the temperature approaches $T_B$. On the other hand, the value of $n$ in manganite\textsuperscript{39} increases from 1.01 to 1.5 with an increase of temperature, whereas for a cobaltite it remains constant at $\sim 0.83$\textsuperscript{36}. Khan et al.\textsuperscript{36} found that cobaltite exhibits a glassy behavior resembling that of a true spin-glass phase, whereas the glassy behavior of the manganite\textsuperscript{39} mirrors the collective behavior originating from the strong inter-cluster interactions only. Therefore, according to the above findings and as pointed out by Yang et al.\textsuperscript{13} the glassy behavior of the Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} originates from the interparticle interaction and
varies with the compactness, that is with the strength of the interparticle interaction. The value of $n$ is a measure of the particle interaction indicating the presence of a weak-interparticle interaction in 8(1) nm size Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles. The observed results are in good agreement with the observed well-separated behavior of nanoparticles in the TEM analysis.

**Memory effect**

The memory effect on the thermal variation of magnetization using the FC protocol in the $H_a = 100$ Oe field is investigated in Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles. The observed results are depicted in Figure 4. First, magnetization was measured in cooling mode from 400 K down to 2 K at a cooling rate of 2 K/min. During this cooling process, the temperature of the sample was “held” at 300, 200, 100, and 30 K for a time period of 2 h each. During this time, the magnetic field was switched off in oscillatory mode at a rate of 10 Oe/s to allow the magnetic moments to relax to a zero field. After completion of each of the 2 h halts the magnetic field was turned on and measurement was subsequently resumed at the same cooling rate down to 2 K. The curve, designated as the cooling curve, is shown in the figure. Following this, the second cycle of measurement was started in heating mode at a rate of 2 K/min, continuously heating the sample from 2 K to 400 K; this curve is designated as the warming (memory) curve in the figure. For comparison, the FC (reference) curve, denoted as the reference FC cooling curve, is also displayed. We note discernable evidence of steps in the warming (memory) curve around T, although measurements were carried out continuously without pause. The observed step-like behavior indicates that the spin configuration imprinted at each temperature halt is retrieved by the curve while warming. These steps are the signature of the memory effect and can be used as a good measure for determining
whether the system is SPM or SG. The unique part of the steps is the decrease in magnetization of the FC curve with temperature as compared with the reference curve. Such behavior is commonly assigned to interacting SG like systems. In contrast, a noninteracting SPM system shows increasing magnetization behavior with a decrease of temperature. However, it is hard to conclude that the Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles behave like SG from the decreasing behavior of magnetization alone. A non-SG system having interparticle interaction can also show similar behavior, which will be discussed later in the text. Furthermore, during FC cooling there is also an abrupt relaxation of the magnetic moment at 100 K in comparison to the other temperature pauses. This observed behavior could be due to the Verwey transition (∆Tᵥ) as reported at ~120 K from bulk Fe₃O₄ where the magnetic easy axis switches from the <111> to <100> direction. Recently Mitra et al. reported the observation of kinks around ~120 K after ZFC-FC measurements of amine coated octahedral Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles (6 to 14 nm) which they assigned to ∆Tᵥ. Interestingly, similar size nanoparticles, synthesized under similar conditions, but having a spherical morphology do not show any ∆Tᵥ in the ZFC-FC measurement. The observed anomaly was assigned to high stoichiometric defects in the spherical nanoparticles as compared to that of octahedral nanoparticles. This indicates that the 8(1) nm Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles may have vacancies at the Fe²⁺ sites (Fe²⁺ has higher atomic radii than Fe³⁺) resulting in lattice contraction, as observed from analysis of the XRD spectra. However, it has also been reported that this is due to the finite size effect ∆Tᵥ of spherical nanoparticles shifting to a lower temperature with a decrease of particle size. In addition, the lattice contraction and stoichiometric defects will lead to a shift in the hysteresis loop known as the spontaneous exchange bias (SEB) phenomenon. The uncompensated and randomly oriented surface spins behave like short-range clusters of spins (weak FM) in which case they can easily be magnetized while cooling in a zero magnetic field. Saha et al.
first explained the zero-field or spontaneous EB effect by using a micromagnetic simulation in a bilayer system with a polycrystalline AFM. The term \textit{spontaneous} refers to the case in which the system is not conventionally field cooled. A small SEB field $H_{SEB} = -5$ Oe was obtained from the $M(H_a)$ loop taken at 2 K, as shown in Figure S1 (see supporting information). The observed SEB effect is attributed to the weak coupling of nanoparticle and the short-range surface spins, which behave like weak FM.

To gain further insight into the influence of the interparticle interaction on the collective magnetic properties of Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles, the memory effect was also measured with the ZFC protocol. It is known from the literature that the ZFC memory effect can only be observed from interacting or spin-glass-like systems and not in a non-interacting particle system$^3$. However, from careful repeated experiments, we note that the memory effect is absent in the thermal variation ZFC magnetization curve recorded when the sample was cooled down to 2 K from 200 K without the application of a field. This is displayed in the thermal variation of the difference plot ($\delta M$) between the ZFC (Memory) and ZFC (Reference), as seen in the inset to Figure 4. During the ZFC process, the sample temperature stabilized at 100 K, as shown in the ZFC magnetization plot, which is clearly highlighted in the difference plot, i.e., no memory is imprinted by aging in the zero field for the 4 h time period. The absence of memory in ZFC mode indicates that either the system is SPM or the interparticle interaction is too weak to retain the ZFC memory effect. The former argument can be avoided as we observed a coercivity of ~205 Oe after measuring the hysteresis loop at 2 K (data not shown). The latter argument is in good agreement with the dynamic time relaxation behavior of Fe$_3$O$_4$ particles, hinting that the absence of a ZFC memory effect is because of the weak interaction within the particles. In an SG, the length of the spin-spin correlation grows during the stop, even in zero fields, and a
memory dip typically shows up upon reheating. This is not possible in a non/weak-interacting nanoparticle system which does not show the memory deep in the ZFC mode. In non/weak-interacting nanoparticle systems, the distribution of relaxation times originates only from that of the individual particle volumes and is thus an extrinsic effect. On the other hand, it is the consequence of the cooperative phenomenon of spins and is thus intrinsic and dependent on the age of the system.

**Conclusion**

Pure magnetite Fe$_3$O$_4$ nanoparticles were successfully synthesized by chemical means using the colloidal method at room temperature. The TEM analysis showed the formation of pseudo-spherical nanoparticles having a mean diameter of $<d> = 8(1)$ nm and wide range distribution $\sigma = 0.35(2)$. Analysis of the XRD spectra showed that the nanoparticles were formed of a pure spinel cubic Fe$_3$O$_4$ phase with 8.3571(3) Å. The observed slight lattice contraction could be due to Fe$^{2+}$ vacancies or the finite size effect. The broad maxima observed at $T_B = 324$ K in the zero-field-cooled (ZFC) magnetic measurements can be attributed to the size distribution as observed from the TEM analysis. The FC magnetization measured with- and without-aging (memory effect) showed decreasing behavior of magnetization below $T_B$ similar to that of the spin-glass-like behavior. However, the absence of the ZFC memory effect confirms that the observed spin-glass-like behavior is not the true phenomenon, but is an artifact of interparticle interaction. The presence of multi-magnetic anisotropy barriers ($\beta = 0.51(1)$) and interparticle interaction ($n = 0.60(4)$) was confirmed by fitting time relaxation dynamics using the stretched-exponential and power-law function, respectively. The cause of the multi-magnetic
anisotropy could be the wide size distribution or the interparticle interaction. We conclude that
the decreasing behavior of the magnetization during FC measurement with and without aging
was not truly due to the spin-glass-like behavior, but arose from weak-interparticle interactions.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1 (a) TEM image of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles showing the pseudospherical morphology; (b) plot of distribution of the mean diameter of nanoparticles obtained from the TEM image. The solid line represents the fitting curve, assuming a log-normal distribution function. The fitted parameters are depicted in the figure; (c) the observed (black crosses) and Rietveld-refined (red solid line) x-ray diffraction pattern of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles.

Figure 2 (a) ZFC-FC magnetization curve of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles measured in a field of $H_a = 100$ Oe. (b) Hysteresis loop of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles taken at 400 K.

Figure 3 (a) Stretched-exponential and (b) power-law fit to the time dependent magnetization decay curve of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles measured at 30 and 100 K, respectively. The fitting parameters are depicted in the figure.

Figure 4 The FC memory effect measured in the $H_a = 100$ Oe field with a halt of 2 h at each temperature (30, 100, 200 and 300 K). Black solid line curve representing the reference curve measured in the 100 Oe field. In the inset is the observed result after measuring the memory effect using the ZFC protocol.
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The observed field cooling memory effect of the Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles is induced by
the interparticle interactions.