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We propose three-terminal spin devices with graphene nanoribbons (terminals) and a graphene flake (channel) to generate a highly spin-polarized current without an external magnetic field or ferromagnetic electrodes. The Hubbard repulsion within the mean-field approximation plays the main role to separate the unpolarized electric current at the source terminal into spin-polarized currents at the drain terminals. It is shown that by modulating one of the drain voltages, a fully spin-polarized current can be generated in the other drain terminal. In addition, the geometry of the channel and the arrangement of edge atoms have significant impact on the efficiency of spin currents in the three-terminal junctions which might be utilized in generation of graphene-based spin transistors.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

The ability to control electron transport by an external electric field and fabrication of well-defined graphene structure has attracted much experimental and theoretical interest in nanoelectronics1,2. Graphene-based transistors are considered as promising candidates for post-silicon electronics3–7 due to their high carrier mobility, high field transport, short gate possibility and band gap engineering. Graphene might also be a promising material for fabrication of spintronic devices due to long spin-relaxation time and length, the low intrinsic spin-orbit coupling and the hyperfine interactions8–10. For instance, a large magnetoresistance was observed in graphene nanoribbon field-effect transistors by applying a perpendicular magnetic field9.

Most of the previous studies in spin field-effect transistors demonstrate spin filtering effect by utilizing graphene and/or organic molecules in contact with magnetic electrodes10–13. Interestingly, it has been shown that zigzag-shaped graphene nanoribbons and quantum dots have spin-polarized features both theoretically and experimentally14–16. Moreover, spin-polarized currents induced by zigzag-edge states of graphene-based structures with various geometries were intensively investigated17–19. However, many details in developing and engineering field-effect transistor based on graphene is still unclear. For instance, the high contact resistance between graphene and metallic source, drain, and gate electrodes are among important challenges in developing transistor designs3,20. On the other hand, in the case of spintronic devices, it is very important to find nonmagnetic materials and design appropriate nano-structures where a spin-polarized current can be injected and flowed without becoming depolarized21. Historically, various three-terminal structures for generating spin currents with spin-orbit interaction, ferromagnetic contacts and interference effect have been proposed22–28.

Here, we propose novel spin-dependent Y-shaped and T-shaped transistors based solely on carbon atoms and intrinsic magnetism in zigzag edges for generating fully spin-polarized currents in the system. We utilize armchair and zigzag graphene nanoribbons as electrodes and zigzag-edge graphene flakes as channels. The effect of structural difference in the channels and also in the electrodes on spin-polarized currents will be examined. In these spin-dependent three-terminal devices which consist of two drain terminals, one of the drain voltages is adjusted to provide the required conditions to generate a fully spin-polarized current into the second drain terminal. The induced localized magnetic moments on the zigzag edges of the channel are the main source in generating spin currents between source and drain electrodes. It is shown that the spectrum of the spin-polarized current which is produced without utilizing a magnetic field or magnetic materials, depends on the geometry of the channel, carbon-based electrodes, and also the atomic arrangement of the edges.

II. MODEL AND METHOD

We first consider the spin transistor effect in a three-terminal Y-shaped junction as shown in Fig. 1. The device consists of a hexagonal graphene flake (channel) sandwiched between three perfect semi-infinite armchair graphene nanoribbons. The total Hamiltonian is described by the orbital tight-binding model including the Hubbard repulsion with the mean-field approximation in which the electron-electron interaction induces localized magnetic moments on the zigzag-shaped edges. The mean-field Hamiltonian for the graphene flak can be written as17,19:

\[ H_C = t \sum_{<ij>,\sigma} c^\dagger_{i,\sigma} c^\sigma_{j,j,\sigma} + U \sum_{i,\sigma} \bar{n}_{i,\sigma}(\bar{n}_{i,\bar{\sigma}}) , \]

where the operator \( c^\dagger_{i,\sigma} (c^\sigma_{i,\sigma}) \) creates (annihilates) an electron with spin \( \sigma \) at site \( i \) and \( \bar{n}_{i,\sigma} = c^\dagger_{i,\sigma} c^\sigma_{i,\sigma} \) is a number operator. The first term corresponds to the single \( \pi \)-orbital tight-binding Hamiltonian with hopping parameter \( t = -2.66 \) eV, while the second term accounts for the on-site Coulomb interaction with \( U = 2.82 \) eV. To study the electronic and spin transport in this model, we use the non-equilibrium Green’s function method.
in which the Green’s function of the channel is expressed as

$$\hat{G}_C(\varepsilon) = [\varepsilon \hat{I} - \hat{H}_C - \sum_{q=1}^{3} \hat{\Sigma}_q(\varepsilon)]^{-1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\hat{\Sigma}_q(\varepsilon)$ is the self-energy matrix which contains the influence of electronic structure of the $q$th graphene nanoribbon (terminal) through the surface Green’s function$^{29}$. Here, one of the leads is considered as the source terminal (Source) and the other leads serve as drain terminals (Drain 1 and Drain 2). The magnetic moment (spin) on each atomic site of the channel is expressed as $\hat{n}_{i,\sigma} = \langle \hat{S}_i \rangle = \langle \hat{n}_{i,\uparrow} \rangle - \langle \hat{n}_{i,\downarrow} \rangle / 2$ where $\hat{n}_{i,\sigma}$ is the number operator at $i$th site. Thus, by neglecting spin-flip scattering, the transmission probability, $T_{p,q}^\sigma$, for electrons transmitted from terminal $p$ to terminal $q$ in spin channel $\sigma$ ($=\uparrow$ or $\downarrow$) can be written as $T_{p,q}^\sigma(\varepsilon) = \text{Tr}[\hat{G}_p \hat{\Sigma}_q(\varepsilon) \hat{G}_q^\dagger]^\sigma$, where the coupling matrices $\Gamma_p$ are expressed as $\Gamma_p = -2\text{Im}[\hat{\Sigma}_p(\varepsilon)]$.$^{30}$ It is clear that the transmission probabilities are only spin and energy dependent.

The net spin current $I_\sigma^p$, flowing into terminal $p$ is obtained through the multi-terminal Landauer- Büttiker formula$^{23,30}$,

$$I_\sigma^p = e^2 / h \sum_q (T_{p,q}^\sigma V_p - T_{q,p}^\sigma V_q),$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $V_p$ is the voltage at terminal $p$. Therefore, the net charge current flowing through terminal $p$ is expressed as

$$I_p = I_{\uparrow}^p + I_{\downarrow}^p.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

To obtain a fully spin-polarized current, one of the conducting spin channels must be blocked. For instance, to generate a net spin current $\sigma$ into lead 3 of Fig. 1, we set $I_3^\sigma = 0$ in Eq. 3. If we consider lead 1 as the source terminal with voltage $V_1 = 0$, and leads 2 and 3 as drain terminals with voltages $V_2$ and $V_3 = V_0$, respectively, the required voltage $V_2$ in terminal 2 for blocking electrons with spin $\sigma$ in terminal 3 can be obtained as

$$V_2^\sigma / V_0 = \frac{[T_{3,1}^\sigma(\varepsilon) + T_{3,2}^\sigma(\varepsilon)]}{T_{2,3}^\sigma(\varepsilon)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

which is applied to both spin-up and spin-down electrons. The superscript $\sigma$ in $V_2^\sigma$ is used to indicate that only the net spin current $\sigma$ flowing through the terminal 3 is blocked and, thus, the net charge current can be written as

$$I_3 = I_3^\sigma = \frac{e^2 V_0}{h} \left[ - \frac{V_2^\sigma}{V_0} T_{2,3}^\sigma + (T_{3,1}^\sigma + T_{3,2}^\sigma) \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Therefore, by tuning the voltage in one of the drain terminals, a net spin current can be achieved in the other drain terminal. This is a novel mechanism to produce a fully spin-polarized current in graphene-based transistors in the absence of spin-orbit interaction.

Note that since we deal with the ratio of drain voltages, we keep the transport in ballistic regime, both the voltage values $V_0$ and $V_2^\sigma$ are assumed to be very small which imply that the electrons are transmitted through the channel with the energies close to the Fermi level ($E_F = 0$ eV). It is evident from Eq. (4) that the voltage $V_2^\sigma$ is energy dependent through the

FIG. 1: (Color online) (a) Schematic view of the three-terminal Y-shaped junction with localized magnetic moments on zigzag edges. The red circles correspond to the majority spin electrons. The lead 1 is the source terminal and the leads 2 and 3 are the drain terminals. Spin-dependent transmission coefficients (b) between leads 2 and 3, and (c) between leads 1 and 3 as a function of electron energy. The solid (blue) and dashed (red) curves correspond to spin-up and spin-down electrons, respectively.

FIG. 2: (Color online) (a) The fully spin-polarized currents in terminal 3 and (b) the ratio of voltages $V_2^\sigma / V_0$ as a function of electron energy. The solid (blue) and dashed (red) curves correspond to spin-up and spin-down electrons, respectively.
transmission probabilities $T_{p,q}^{\sigma}$. As a result, the spin current $I_p^\sigma$ is a function of both the electron energy $\varepsilon$ and the drain voltage $V_2^\sigma$, as it is discussed below. Moreover, in Eq. (3) we have assumed that the applied biases are very small and, hence, $T_{p,q}^{\sigma}$ are unaffected by the bias voltages. This is reasonable, because an all-graphene three-terminal system, like the ones we study here, can be considered as a uniform system with negligible contact resistance\(^{31}\).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Now, we present the numerical results of spin-dependent transmission probabilities and net spin currents in three-terminal junctions with two different geometries: hexagonal (Y-shaped) and rectangular (T-shaped) structures. As shown in Fig. 1(a), in the Y-shaped junction a hexagonal-shape graphene flake (channel) with zigzag edges is sandwiched between three perfect semi-infinite armchair graphene nanoribbons (electrodes). The hexagonal channel consisting of 486 carbon atoms has the same number of A- and B-type atoms and according to Lieb's theorem\(^{32}\), the total magnetic moment of the ground state in the graphene flake is zero. However, by choosing an appropriate design for the electrodes in a way that the semi-infinite armchair nanoribbons are connected to only one type of carbon atoms of the channel, one can induce an imbalance in the edge magnetism between the two sublattices A and B. In this context, the total magnetic moment of the channel in Fig. 1(a) increases from zero to $3\mu_B$ and, hence, a spin current without magnetic electrodes is produced. In the proposed structure the drain terminals have different widths, indicating that the rotational symmetry in the junction is broken and the transmission coefficients and spin currents

in drains 1 and 2 are different.

The generation mechanism of the fully spin-polarized current relies solely on the magnetic edge states in the channel. When an unpolarized charge current flows in the channel, due to its interaction with the localized magnetic moments, it becomes spin-polarized and, hence, two spin-up and spin-down currents with different transmission probabilities are generated. As a result, by using Eq. 4, we can find an appropriate electrostatic potential for electrons with energy $\varepsilon$ in terminal 2 to block one of the spin currents in terminal 3. This implies that the charge current flowing in terminal 3 (see Eq. 5) is a net spin (up or down) current, while the both spin-up and spin-down currents in terminal 2 remain nonzero.

The spin-dependent transmission coefficients from terminal 2 to terminal 3, $T_{2,3}^{\sigma}$, and from terminal 1 to terminal 3, $T_{1,3}^{\sigma}$, as a function of electron energy $\varepsilon$, are depicted in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c), respectively. It is shown that the transmission spectra for the two spin subbands are approximately split in the all electronic states within the shown energy windows. This feature implies that the three-terminal graphene transistor at ground state can be utilized for generation of spin-polarized currents without including spin-orbit interaction or applying magnetic fields. In other words, the separation between the two spin subbands which provide two paths for electron conduction through the junction well explains the spin-filter effect in this type of transistors. Since nonmagnetic electrodes are used in this structure, it is evident that the emergence of magnetic properties is due to the edge localized magnetic moments of the hexagonal graphene flake. Moreover, the spin-dependent transmission coefficients so

![FIG. 3: (a) Schematic view of a three-terminal T-shaped junction with localized magnetic moments at zigzag edge; the red circles correspond to the majority spin electrons. (b) and (c) show the spin- and energy-dependent transmission coefficient between the drain 1 and drain 2 and between source and drain 2, respectively. The solid (blue) and dashed (red) curves correspond to spin-up and spin-down electrons.](image)

![FIG. 4: (Color online) (a) The fully spin-polarized currents in terminal 3 and (b) the ratio of voltages $V_2^\sigma/(V_2 V_0)$ as a function of electron energy. The solid (blue) and dashed (red) curves correspond to spin-up and spin-down electrons, respectively.](image)
of terminal 2 also affects the magnitude of the induced magnetic moment of the zigzag-shaped edges in the source and drain terminals. The triangular junction does not vanish at the band center due to the mirror symmetry in the junction. Note that, the transmission coefficients obey the relation ∑ σ p l p,q = ∑ σ p r p,q at equilibrium\(^{10}\).

In order to achieve a fully spin-polarized current, for an electron with energy \(E\) and spin \(σ\) the drain voltage \(V_{2p}^{σ}(E)\) is tuned through the constraining imposed by Eq. 4 which allows us to control the spin polarization of electrical current in the lead 3. The net spin current \(I_{3}^{σ}\) as a function of electron energy is shown in Fig. 2(a) when the drain voltage \(V_{2p}^{σ}\) for the same electron energies takes the values shown in Fig. 2(b). We see that the current in lead 3 for the same energy ranges is fully spin polarized. In fact, for all electron energies at which \(V_{2p}^{σ}(E)\) and \(V_{2p}^{σ}(E)\) of Fig. 2(b) do not take the same values, a fully spin-polarized current in lead 3 is generated.

In other words, if the voltage in terminal 2 takes the value \(V_{2p}^{σ} (≠ V_{2p}^{σ})\), only \(I_{3}^{σ}\) will flow into the lead 3, while in the case of \(V_{2p}^{σ} = V_{2p}^{σ}\) both currents in Fig. 2(a) cross each other and this feature happens only if \(I_{3}^{σ} = I_{3}^{σ}\). Therefore, the dependence of spin currents in lead 3 on the drain voltage \(V_{2}\) reveals a voltage-controlled spin transport in this type of Y-shaped transistors.

In Fig. 3(a) we consider a T-shaped junction consisting of a rectangular graphene flake (channel), sandwiched between two perfect semi-infinite zigzag nanoribbons as source (lead 1) and drain 2 (lead 3) terminals, and one semi-infinite armchair nanoribbon as drain 1 (lead 2) terminal. The rectangular channel with 180 carbon atoms has the same number of A- and B-type atoms and hence \(\sum m_{i} = 0\). By including the effect of semi-infinite electrodes as shown in Fig. 3(a), however, the total magnetic moment increases to \(1.8\mu_{B}\). Density functional theory calculations predict that the spin-correlation length limits the long-range magnetic order to 1 nm at room temperature\(^{33}\). Therefore, the electron-electron interaction is limited in the channel region. In other words, the effect of induced magnetic moments on the zigzag-shaped edges in the source and drain terminals are not intentionally included in our calculations to emphasize on the role of channel geometry and its magnetic edge states in generating spin-polarized currents in such graphene nano-transistors without magnetic electrodes.

Comparing the transmission coefficients shown in Fig. 3(b) and 3(c) it is clear that due to the influence of edge states in the channel, the spectra are spin polarized and depend on the electrode geometries. In contrast to the hexagonal junction shown in Fig. 1, the transmission coefficient of the rectangular junction does not vanish at the band center due to the zigzag-shaped edges in the source and drain terminals. The spin-dependent transmissions in such three-terminal junctions are sensitive to the width of the electrodes. The drain terminal 2 in Fig. 3(a) is wider than the other terminals. The width of terminal 2 also affects the magnitude of the induced magnetism and, hence, the generation of net spin currents becomes considerably size-dependent.

Figure 4 shows the spin-polarized electric currents in the terminal 3 and the ratio of voltages \(V_{2}^{σ}(E)/V_{1}\) as a function of energy. The result reveals that the spin-dependent electron transport can be controlled by modulating the drain voltage. In addition, it is possible to alter the magnitude and the spin of spin currents if an appropriate drain voltage is chosen. In this way, the spin-dependent currents can be easily reversed and tuned between the source and drain terminals without requiring magnetic fields or electrodes, which may open a way for generation of voltage-driven spintronic devices\(^{24}\).

Comparing Fig. 2 with Fig. 4, we find that the T-shaped structure at energies around Fermi level can function as a spin current switch in a more efficient way than the Y-shaped junction. This feature comes from zero-energy edge states\(^{35}\) in the zigzag graphene nanoribbons (leads) 1 and 3 of Fig. 3(a) which act as the source and the drain terminals, respectively. In fact, at very low bias, only electrons with energies around Fermi level acquire a chance to travel from the source to the drain. Therefore, by tuning the voltage in the terminal 2, one can obtain a fully spin-polarized current (see Fig. 4(a)).

Due to the absence of zero-energy edge states in the armchair graphene nanoribbons (leads) of the Y-shaped structure, a gap opens in the spin currents which vanishes the switching behavior around zero energy. Moreover, the geometry of the edges in the proposed T-shaped and Y-shaped junctions shows that the zigzag edges in the channel play the main role in the process of net spin current generation and therefore, the chirality of the leads, whether zigzag or armchair, is not crucial in the process. We should also mention that since the value of induced total magnetic moment in the Y-shaped and T-shaped junctions presented here is maximum among other three-terminal structures (not shown), it is believed that the two structures are optimal.

Note that in most three-terminal systems the spin-orbit interaction which couples the spin of electrons with their motion is the main source in generation of pure spin currents\(^{26–28,36}\). Moreover, the spin-orbit interaction may lead to spin-flip scattering between electronic states with opposite spin orientations which lessens the degree of spin polarization in multi-terminal structures. In the carbon-based devices, however, the strength of intrinsic spin-orbit coupling is weak. Therefore, by designing a graphene-based three-terminal structure and utilizing the property of magnetic edge states, one can generate a net spin current without applying a magnetic field or ferromagnetic leads\(^{24,26,37}\).

**IV. CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, we have proposed three-terminal spin transistors based solely on carbon atoms and shown that by modulating voltage in one of the drain terminals the spin currents in the other drain terminal will be highly affected, so that a fully spin-polarized currents can be achieved. We found that the geometry of graphene flakes, the arrangement of edge atoms and the type of graphene nanoribbons taken in each terminal have significant impacts on the voltage required to generate a fully spin-polarized current. The advantage of such carbon-based spin transistors is utilizing non-magnetic electrodes with...
and the spin-dependent electron scattering. Moreover, the graphene nanoribbons are matched more conveniently to the graphene flakes and reduce the contact resistance. Therefore, the three-terminal spin devices in which the electron transmission is sensitive to the magnetic configurations of the localized moments and magnitude of the drain potentials can be an attractive pathway for designing spintronic devices with nonmagnetic electrodes.

Although the device fabrication and experimental measurement of spin currents in the well-defined zigzag edges are important challenges in this type of junctions, the Y-shaped nanoribbons with zigzag edges and Y-junction carbon nanotubes with magnetic impurity may be experimentally useful for testing fully spin-polarized currents in such spin transistors.
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