RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances





RSC Advances

COMMUNICATION

Practical Access to 1,3,5-Triarylbenzenes from Chalcones and DMSO⁺

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

Fuyuan Wang, Jinhai Shen, Guolin Cheng and Xiuling Cui*

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/advances

An unprecedented practical access to 1,3,5-triarylbenzenes has been developed from chalcones with DMSO as a reaction partner and solvent. This procedure involved a base-promoted addition of dimethyl sulfoxide anion to chalcones, followed by an aldol-type cyclization. The results of the isotopic labeling experiments indicated that one C of the central benzene ring was derived from DMSO. In comparison to the reported methods of preparing triarylbenzenes, this protocol could simultaneously provide C₃-symmetric and C₃-unsymmetric triarylbenzenes under obviously milder reaction conditions (60 °C, 5 h). The diverse functionalized triarylbenzenes were obtained in up to 82% yields for 24 examples.

1,3,5-Triarylbenzene is an important motif in advanced functional materials,¹ including electrode devices,² optical materials,³ and conducting polymers.⁴ They are also applied as special ligands for synthesizing macromolecules.⁵ Therefore, numerous methods have been developed for building such a structure. Transition-metal catalyzed [2+2+2] cyclotrimerizations of phenylacetylene have been widely investigated (Scheme 1, eq 1),⁶ in which 1,2,4-triarylbenzenes as side products is unavoidable. Condensation of aryl acetones mediated by Lewis acids provides an alternative pathway (Scheme 1, eq 2).⁷ These methodologies only provide $C_{3^{-1}}$ symmetrical 1,3,5-triarylbenzenes. Transition-metal-catalyzed cross-coupling of 1,3,5-trihalobenzenes with boronates or organometallic reagents have been proven to be a powerful tool to build C3-unsymmetric triarylbenzenes (Scheme 1, eq 3).⁸ However, there are some limitations with this strategy, such as problematic substrates, producing excessive wastes from halides and boronates or organometallic reagents, requirement of transition-metal as well as complicate ligands. Therefore, developing an efficient, clean pathway to construct C₃-unsymmetric or C₃-symmetric triarylbenzenes is still in demand. Herein, we disclosed an operationally simple

methodology to simultaneously synthesize both C₃-symmetric and C₃-unsymmetric1,3,5-triarylbenzene from easily available chalcones and DMSO (Scheme 1, eq 4). DMSO was served as a precursor methine unit (=CH-) to build the benzene ring. In the literature, DMSO was served as a precursor methine unit to form formaldehyde group.⁹ Establishing a benzene ring from DMSO have no report.

Previous work:



This work

$$Ar^{1} + S = Ar^{2} + Ar^{2}$$

Scheme 1 Syntheses of C₃-Symmetric and C₃-Unsymmetric 1,3,5-Triarylbenzenes

The reaction of 1,3-diphenyl-2-propen-1-one (**1a**) was initially conducted at 80 °C in the presence of NaOtBu as a base in DMSO under air. 1,3,5-Triphenylbenzene (**2a**) was obtained in 33% yield (Table 1, entry 1). No desired product was observed in other solvents, such as toluene, THF, NMP and HMPA (entries 2–6). Further studies were focused on screening of the bases. NaOH, KOH and KOtBu provided poor yields (entries 7–9). Other base resulted in no reaction (entries 10–14). The yield was improved obviously, when the loading of NaOtBu was increased to 4 equivalents (54%, entry 15). Further exploration on loading of base indicated that 6 equivalents of NaOtBu could bring the highest yield of 77% (entries 16-17). Moreover, the reaction could proceed smoothly at 60 °C. The yields were decreased with increasing

Engineering Research Center of Molecular Medicine, Ministry of Education, Key Laboratory of Xiamen Marine and Gene Drugs, Institutes of Molecular Medicine and School of Biomedical Sciences, Huaqiao University, Xiamen, 361021. E-mail: cuixl@hau.edu.cn

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental details and full characterisation data. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

COMMUNICATION

or decreasing the reaction temperature (entries 18-21). When the reaction was carried out under the nitrogen and oxygen atmospheres, or in the presence of 4 Å MS, the yield was not improved significantly (entries 22-24). Additionally, trace amounts of product was found using 3 equivalents DMSO as reactant when DMF was used as solvent (entry 25). Finally, the optimal reaction conditions were identified as follows: NaOtBu (6 eq) as a base and DMSO as the solvent at 60 °C for 5 h under air.

| Table 1 Optimization of reaction conditions to synthesize 1,3,5-triphenylbenzene ^a | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|--|
| | | O Ph Ph | Conditions P | Ph h | | |
| | | 1a Ia | | 2a | | |
| - | Entry | Base (equiv) | Solvent | T (°C) | Yield (%) ^b | |
| - | 1 | NaO <i>t</i> Bu (3) | DMSO | 80 | 33 | |
| | 2 | NaOtBu (3) | Toluene | 80 | none | |
| | 3 | NaOtBu (3) | THF | 80 | none | |
| | 4 | NaO <i>t</i> Bu (3) | DMF | 80 | none | |
| | 5 | NaO <i>t</i> Bu (3) | NMP | 80 | none | |
| | 6 | NaO <i>t</i> Bu (3) | HMPA | 80 | none | |
| | 7 | NaOH (3) | DMSO | 80 | 11 | |
| | 8 | KOH (3) | DMSO | 80 | 12 | |
| | 9 | KO <i>t</i> Bu (3) | DMSO | 80 | 15 | |
| | 10 | Li(OH) (3) | DMSO | 80 | none | |
| | 11 | NaOEt (3) | DMSO | 80 | none | |
| | 12 | K ₃ PO ₄ (3) | DMSO | 80 | none | |
| | 13 | NEt₃ (3) | DMSO | 80 | none | |
| | 14 | DBU (3) | DMSO | 80 | none | |
| | 15 | NaOtBu (4) | DMSO | 80 | 54 | |
| | 16 | NaOtBu (5) | DMSO | 80 | 59 | |
| | 17 | NaO <i>t</i> Bu (6) | DMSO | 80 | 77 | |
| | 18 | NaO <i>t</i> Bu (6) | DMSO | 20 | 34 | |
| | 19 | NaO <i>t</i> Bu (6) | DMSO | 40 | 75 | |
| | 20 | NaOtBu (6) | DMSO | 60 | 78 | |
| | 21 | NaO <i>t</i> Bu (6) | DMSO | 100 | 49 | |
| | 22 ^c | NaO <i>t</i> Bu (6) | DMSO | 60 | 75 | |
| | 23 ^d | NaO <i>t</i> Bu (6) | DMSO | 60 | 77 | |
| | 24 ^e | NaO <i>t</i> Bu (6) | DMSO | 60 | 74 | |
| | 25 ^f | NaO <i>t</i> Bu (6) | DMF | 60 | trace | |

^a Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.25 mmol), solvent (2 ml), under air atmosphere for 5h. ^b Isolated yield based on **1a**. ^c In the presence of 4 Å MS. ^d Under nitrogen atmosphere. ^e Under oxygen atmosphere. ^f DMSO (3 eq) was added.

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, the generality and scope of the substrates were investigated as illustrated in Table 2. As for Ar¹, the para-methoxy and paratert-butyl substituted substrates afforded the desired products in 63% and 49% yields, respectively (Table 2, 2b, 2c). When Ar¹ were para-fluoro and chloro phenyl groups, the yields decreased with their declining electronegativity (2d, 2e). The slight influence of the steric hindrance on this reaction was observed. 4'-methoxychalcone, 3'-For instance, methoxychalcone, and 2'-methoxychalcone afforded the corresponding products in 63%, 73%, and 47% yields (2b, 2f, 2i); 4'-fluorochalcone, 3'-fluorochalcone, and 2'-fluorochalcone provided the desired products in 70%, 61%, and 40% yields (2d, **2g**, **2j**). When Ar¹ were 2-naphenyl, 2-pyridinyl and 3-pyridinyl, the reaction could proceed well and gave the corresponding triarylbenzenes in 74%, 82%, and 45%, respectively (**2k–m**). Compared to **2m**, **2l** was afforded in higher yield, perhaps due to lower electron density at C-2 of pyridine ring.

Table 2 Substrate scope: variation of chalcones at Ar^{1 a}



| ^a Under the optimized co | nditions, isolated yield. |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|

Next, we explored the scope of Ar^2 (Table 3). When Ar^2 were electron-donating groups, such as *para*-methoxy, *para*tert-butyl, and *para*-methylthio phenyl, could give moderate yields (**2n**–**p**). 4-Fluorochalcone gave a higher yield than 4chlorochalcone (**2q**, **2r**). Steric effects were still significant, 3methoxychalcone reacted better than 2-methoxychalcone (**2t**, **2u**). When Ar^2 were 2-thienyl and ferrocenyl, the desired products were afforded in 58% and 57% yields (**2v**, **2w**). In addition, C₃-symmetric triarylbenzenes were also obtained in good yields (**2x**, **2y**). While, no product was observed for the substrates substituted by hydroxyl or carboxyl group at para- or ortho- position.

To clarify the reaction mechanism, some controlled experiments were carried out. ¹³C-labeled dimethyl sulfoxide was used as solvent instead of DMSO for the reaction of 1-(2thienyl)-3-pheny-2-propen-1-one (1v) under the standard 4-13C-labeled reaction conditions. 1-pheny-3,5-di(2thienyl)benzene (2v') was obtained and identified by ¹³C NMR spectra (Scheme 2, eq 5). The peak at 122.5 ppm became an extremely strong signal. This result indicated that one single carbon atom (instead of two symmetric carbon atoms) on the benzene ring came from dimethyl sulfoxide. β-Methyl chalcone could not give the desired product under the optimal reaction condition (Scheme 2, eq 6). Therefore, the C-C bond between β-carbon of chalcone and methyl of dimethyl sulfoxide was

RSC Advances COMMUNICATION

suggested to be formed by the Michael addition. When 2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-piperidinyloxy (TEMPO) was added to this reaction system, the reaction was significantly unaffected (Scheme 2, eq 7), suggesting radical processes excluded in this procedure.



^{*a*} Reaction conditions: **1** (0.25 mmol), NaOtBu (6 eq), DMSO (2 mL), 60 °C, 5 h under air, isolated yield; ^{*b*} substrate was 1,3-di-3-methylphenyl-2-propen-1-one; ^{*c*} substrate was 1,3-di-2-thienyl-2-propen-1-one.





A plausible reaction mechanism for the formation of triarylbenzenes from chalcones and DMSO was proposed and depicted in Scheme 3. Initially, chalcones and DMSO went through Michael addition via NaOtBu-mediated deprotonation of dimethyl sulfoxide¹⁰ to form the intermediates **A**. Then **A** proceeded the second Michael addition to form the intermediates **B**. Next, a ring closure via demethylsulfinylation and intramolecular [2+2] cycloaddition^{7a} formed **C**, which was

converted to arylformate and 1,3,5-triarylbenzenes via a subsequent retro-[2+2] cycloaddition.^{7a}



Conclusions

In summary, we have developed an unprecedented protocol to synthesize 1,3,5-triarylbenzenes under metal-free and airtolerant conditions. This substrates-available and operationally simple method gives a rapid access to a variety of complicated multiarylated benzenes. Further mechanism exploration and utilization of this method is ongoing in this laboratory.

Acknowledgments

Financial support was provided by NSF of China (21202048), Program for Minjiang Scholar program (10BS216), Xiamen Southern Oceanographic Center (13GYY003NF16) and NSF of Fujian Province, China (2013J01050).

Notes and references

- (a) T. R. Cook, Y.-R. Zheng and P. J. Stang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, 113, 734; (b) Y. Shirota, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2005, 15, 75.
- 2 (a) M. J. Plater, M. McKay and T. Jackson, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2000, 2695; (b) S. V. Lindeman, Y. T. Struchkov, V. N. Michailov, A. L. Rusanov, Izv. Akad. Nauk. Ser. Khim., 1994, 1986; Chem. Abstr. 1995, 123, 32717p; (c) M. Aslam, D. A. Aguilar, WO 9314065, 1993; Chem. Abstr. 1993, 119, 270793x.
- 3 (a) Q. Q. He, H. M. Huang, J. L. Yang, H. Z. Lin and F. L. Bai, J. Mater. Chem., 2003, 13, 1085; (b) J. Pang, E. J. P. Marcotte, C. Seward, R. S. Brown and S. N. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 4042; (c) M. J. Plater, M. McKay and T. Jackson, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2000, 2695; (d) T. Weil, E. Reuther and K. Mullen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 1900; (e) L. H. Yu and J. S. Lindsey, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 7402.
- 4 (a) C. Y. Bao, R. Lu, M. Jin, P. C. Xue, C. H. Tan, T. H. Xu, G. F. Liu and Y. Y. Zhao, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2006, **12**, 3287; (b) C. Gu, N. Huang, J. Gao, F. Xu, Y. Xu and D. Jiang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 4850; (c) L.-R. Tsai, C.-W. Li and Y. Chen, *J. Polym. Sci. Pol. Chem.*, 2008, **46**, 5945.
- (a) A. Jimenez, R. A. Bilbeisi, T. K. Ronson, S. Zarra, C. Woodhead and J. R. Nitschke, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, 53, 4556; (b) J. S. Kanady, T. Rosalie, J. A. Stull, L. Lu, T. A. Stich, M. W. Day, J. Yano, R. D. Britt and T. Agapie, *Chem. Sci*, 2013, 4, 3986; (c) C. Morchutt, J. Bjoerk, S. Krotzky, R.

COMMUNICATION

Gutzler and K. Kern, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 2440; (d) M. Taddei, F. Costantino, R. Vivani, S. Sabatini, S.-H. Lim and S. M. Cohen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 5737; (e) E. Y. Tsui, M. W. Day and T. Agapie, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 1668; (f) X. Y. Cao, X. H. Liu, X. H. Zhou, Y. Zhang, Y. Jiang, Y. Cao, Y. X. Cui and J. Pei, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, **69**, 6050; (g) J. S. Moore and M. L. Kraft, *Science*, 2008, **320**, 620; (h) M. Numata, T. Kozawa, R. Nogami, K. Tanaka, Y. Sanada and K. Sakurai, *B. Chem. Soc. JPN.*, 2015, **88**, 471; (i) M. J. Plater and M. Praveen, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **38**, 1081; (j) Q. Wang, C. Zhang, B. C. Noll, H. Long, Y. Jin and W. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 10663; (k) L. Zang, Y. Che and J. S. Moore, *Accounts Chem. Res.*, 2008, **41**, 1596; (l) E. Weber, M. Hecker, E. Koepp and W. Orlia, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 2, 1988, 1251.

- 6 (a) X. Bu, Z. Zhang and X. Zhou, Organometallics, 2010, 29, 3530; (b) G. Hilt, T. Vogler, W. Hess and F. Galbiati, Chem. Commun., 2005, 1474; (c) A. Joosten, M. Soueidan, C. Denhez, D. Harakat, F. Helion, J.-L. Namy, J.-L. Vasse and J. Szymoniak, Organometallics, 2008, 27, 4152; (d) Y.-L. Xu, Y.-M. Pan, Q. Wu, H.-S. Wang and P.-Z. Liu, J. Org. Chem., 2011, 76, 8472.
- 7 (a) K. Deng, Q.-Y. Huai, Z.-L. Shen, H.-J. Li, C. Liu and Y.-C. Wu, Org. letters, 2015, 17, 1473; (b) X. B. Jing, F. Xu, Q. H. Zhu, X. F. Ren, C. G. Yan, L. Wang and J. R. Wang, Synthetic Commun., 2005, 35, 3167; (c) A. Kumar, M. Dixit, S. P. Singh, R. Raghunandan, P. R. Maulik and A. Goel, Tetrahedron Lett., 2009, 50, 4335; (d) F. Ono, Y. Ishikura, Y. Tada, M. Endo and T. Sato, Synlett, 2008, 2365; (e) K. Phatangare, V. Padalkar, D. Mhatre, K. Patil and A. Chaskar, Synthetic Commun., 2009, 39, 4117; (f) G. P. Zhang, R. H. Qiu, X. H. Xu, H. H. Zhou, Y. F. Kuang and S. H. Chen, Synthetic Commun., 2012, 42, 858; (g) S. Zhao, L. Kang, H. Ge, F. Yang, C. Wang, C. Li, Q. Wang and M. Zhao, Synthetic Commun., 2012, 42, 3569; (h) Y. Zhao, J. Li, C. Li, K. Yin, D. Ye and X. Jia, Green Chem., 2010, 12, 1370.
- 8 (a) Z. G. Hu, J. Liu, G. G. Li, Z. B. Dong and W. Li, J. Chin. Chem. Soc., 2004, 51, 581; (b) L. Liu, Y. Dong, B. Pang and J. Ma, J. Org. Chem., 2014, 79, 7193; (c) S. Paul and J. H. Clark, Green Chem., 2003, 5, 635; (d) M. A. Pena, I. Perez, J. P. Sestelo and L. A. Sarandeses, Chem. Commun., 2002, 2246; (e) D.-G. Yu, M. Yu, B.-T. Guan, B.-J. Li, Y. Zheng, Z.-H. Wu and Z.-J. Shi, Org. Letters, 2009, 11, 3374; (f) F. Zhao, Y.-F. Zhang, J. Wen, D.-G. Yu, J.-B. Wei, Z. Xi and Z.-J. Shi, Org. Letters, 2013, 15, 3230.
- 9 (a) H. Fei, J. Yu, Y. Jiang, H. Guo and J. Cheng, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2013, 11, 7092; (b) Z. Zhang, Q. Tian, J. Qian, Q. Iiu, T. Liu, L. Shi and G. Zhang, J. Org. Chem., 2014, 79, 8182; (c) H. Cao, S. Lei, N. Li, L. Chen, J. Liu, H. Cai, S. Qiu and J. Tan, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 1823.
- (a) M. Y. Chang, C. K. Chan, S. Y. Lin and M. H. Wu, *Tetrahedron*, 2013, **69**, 9616; (b) A. A. Fokin, A. O. Kushko, A. V. Kirij, A. G. Yurchenko and P. V. Schleyer, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2000, **65**, 2984; (c) M. Mondal, *Synlett*, 2005, 2697.

Page 4 of 5



• tolerance of air and moisture

We presents a practical access to 1,3,5-triarylbenzenes from simple chalcones and DMSO, which serves as solvent and precursor methine unit. This protocol could simultaneously provide a variety of C₃-symmetric and C₃-unsymmetric 1,3,5-triarylbenzenes under metal-free and mild reaction conditions.