RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/



Longbin Cui^{a,b}, Yang Liu^b, Xiaohui Wu^b, Ziqi Hu^b, Zujin Shi^{b*} and Huanjun Li^{a*}

Single-walled carbon nanohorns (SWCNHs) is seldom used as electromagnetic (EM) wave absorption material due to its inferior impedance matching resulting from its high dielectric constant. In this work, decorated with $35.6wt.\% Fe_3O_4$ nanoparticles, the as-prepared composite displays a significantly enhanced EM wave absorption ability because of the improved impedance matching, electric polarization and interfacial polarization. Specifically, the maximum reflection loss of this composite with a thickness of 5.8mm is -38.84dB at 3.72GHz in S-band. The bandwidth of absorption exceeding - 10dB is 9.2GHz (from 3.2GHz to 12.4GHz) with the absorber thickness of 2-6mm. What is more, a second absorption peak with the reflection loss no less than -10dB appears when the absorber thickness is over 4mm.

Introduction

Electromagnetic (EM) pollution is a growing problem around us due to popular application of EM techniques. It endangers public health and safety enormously¹. Therefore, studying of the EM absorption materials has attracted extensive attention. Recently, carbon nanomaterials, particularly CNTs and graphene, have been widely investigated as potential wave absorbing materials². The high dielectric constant, the low density, the large surface area³ and excellent thermal stability⁴ make them extraordinarily eligible for EM wave absorption materials. However, the EM wave absorption abilities are far from satisfaction because of poor impedance matchings when they used alone⁵. To address this issue, a series of novel composites are prepared via loading metal or metaloxide nanoparticles on a nanostructured carbon matrix. like Fe₃O₄/MWCNTs⁴, r-GO/Fe₃O₄^{5b}, Fe/MWCNT⁶, Ni/graphene⁷ and Ag/CNT⁸. In contrast to the pure carbon nanomaterials, these composites have an improved impendence matching as a result of their reduced dielectric constant.

Single-walled carbon nanohorns (SWCNHs), a new carbon nanomaterial found during producing SWCNTs⁹, has been widely studied in different fields recently, such as gas storage, adsorption, catalyst support and drug delivery¹⁰. However, there is no research about wave absorption of SWCNHs. SWCNHs has the aforementioned merits like other carbon nanomaterials¹¹. Compared with the production process of

CNTs, there is no need of expensive metal catalysts for preparation of SWCNTs, which facilitates the low-cost and large-scale industrial production in the future. Consequently, SWCNHs will be more promising as EM wave absorption material. Nevertheless, SWCNHs also suffers from the poor impendence matching due to its high dielectric constant. Therefore, improvement of such property is indispensable.

 Fe_3O_4 , a typical magnetic loss material for EM wave absorption, has abundant sources and has been extensively studied¹². It is a reasonable program to combine SWCNHs with Fe_3O_4 NPs to form binary composites as better EM wave absorption materials. To our best acknowledge, however, $Fe_3O_4/SWCNHs$ composite has been rarely researched and its EM wave absorption property has not been reported yet.

In this work, $Fe_3O_4/SWCNHs$ composite is synthesized with a facile method and its EM wave absorption property is studied. Its amazing EM wave absorption ability may make it be applied to the stealth technology or EM compatibility technology.

Experimental

Preparation of SWCNHs dispersion

SWCNHs was produced using an arc-discharge method as described in our previous report¹² and oxidated by a mixture of concentrated acid (the volume ratio of H_2SO_4/HNO_3 is 3:1) to improve its chemical activity and dispersibility in aqueous solution. 200mg oxidated SWCNHs (ox-SWCNHs) were ultrasonically dispersed in 800mL deionized water for 60min and then divided into four portions equally.

Preparation of Fe_3O_4 /SWCNHs composites and Fe_3O_4

nanoparticles¹³

 $\rm Fe_3O_4/SWCNHs$ composites were prepared with a facile twostep synthetic method. Briefly, 1.0g iron(III) acetylacetonate was dissolved in 150mL triethylene glycol (TREG), and then heated to 278°C slowly and kept at reflux for 30min under the

AL SOCIETY **Hemistry**

^{a.} Department of Applied Chemistry & Pharmaceutical, School of Chemical Engineering and Environment, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 10081, P.R. China. E-mail: lihj@bit.edu.cn; Tel: +86 1068915830

^{b.} Beijing National Laboratory for Molecular Sciences, State Key Lab of Rare Earth Materials Chemistry and Applications, College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, P.R. China. E-mail: zjshi@pku.edu.cn; Tel: +86 1062751495 DOI: 10.1039/X0xX00000X

ARTICLE

protection of nitrogen. After cooling down, 5, 15, 30 and 60mL Fe₃O₄ TREG dispersion were added into the three prepared ox-SWCNHs dispersion respectively. The resultant mixture were stirred over night at room temperature. Then the suspensions were centrifuged and the precipitate were alternately washed 3 times with ethanol and deionized water. Lastly, Fe₃O₄/SWCNHs composites were obtained after being dried in a vacuum oven at 60° C for 12h. The three samples were named as S1, S2, S3 and S4.

The Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles (NPs) were separated by a magnet from the Fe₃O₄ TREG dispersion that was diluted by moderate dosage of ethanol in advance, then washed by ethanol and deionized water and subsequently dried in a vacuum oven at 60° C for 12h.

Characterization

The morphologies of samples were characterized by a transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Hitachi H9000NAR) .The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a Rigaku D/max-2000 using filtered Cu Ka radiation. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of samples in the range of 400-4000cm⁻¹ were investigated with an infrared spectrometric analyzer (VECTOR 22) using the KBr pellet method. The chemical states of elements were investigated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Axis Ultra). Superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID, Quantum Design MPMS-XL5) was used to study the magnetic properties of composites at room temperature. The electromagnetic parameters were measured on a vector network analyzer (HP-8722ES) in the frequency range of 2-18 GHz. In advance, the samples were prepared by uniformly mixing the composites with 50wt.% epoxy and pressing into a cylindrical shape with Φ_{out} of 7.00 mm, Φ_{in} of 3.00 mm, and thickness of 2.00 mm. The weight ratio of SWCNHs to Fe₃O₄ was determined by the residual weight after the composites was treated by concentrated hydrochloric acid for 6h and the results are listed in Table 1.

Sample	M_0^a/mg	M_1^{b}/mg	Wt. ^c %	
S1	50.1	38.3	23.55	
S2	50.0	32.2	35.60	
S3	50.3	22.7	54.87	
S4	50.4	17.2	65.87	

 a M_0 is the mass of sample before the treatment with concentrated hydrochloric acid. b M_1 is the weight of residuum treated by acid. c Wt.% is the weight ratio of Fe₃O₄ in the composite.

Results and discussion

Morphology and structure

The TEM images of the ox-SWCNHs, Fe_3O_4 NPs and Fe_3O_4 /SWCNHs hybrid materials are shown in Fig 1. At low magnification (Fig. 1a), the ox-SWCNHs emerge as spherical aggregates with diameters of 100-150nm. At high magnification (Fig. 1a inset), the defect in the conic node of the SWCNHs after oxidation was observed and indicted by red circle. These defects will create localized states near to Fermi level, which facilitates EM energy absorption by electron transition from contiguous states to Fermi level when the EM wave is radiated on the absorber surface^{5a, 5b}. The Fe₃O₄ NPs are uniform in diameters from 8nm to 10nm (Fig. 1b), which is



Fig.1. TEM images of ox-SWCNHs(a), Fe_3O_4 NPs(b), S1(c), S2(d), S3(e) and S4(f). The inset of (a) is the magnified picture of ox-SWCNHs with defects indicted by red circle.



Binding Energy (eV) Fig.2. (a) XRD patterns of Fe_3O_4 , ox-SWCNHs, S1, S2, S3 and S4; (b) The Fe2p core level XPS spectrum.

Meanwhile, it is beneficial to absorb EM wave that the nanoparticles on the SWCNHs are not aggregated.

In order to obtain the structure information of the composites, XRD characterization was conducted. Fig.2a shows the XRD patterns of ox-SWCHNs, Fe₃O₄ and Fe₃O₄/SWCNHs composites. It is obvious that the peaks (2θ =30.4, 35.6, 43.3, 53.4, 57.3, and 62.8°) of all composites correspond to the diffractions of (220), (311), (400), (422), (511) and (400) planes of Fe₃O₄, respectively. And the peak at 2θ =26.4° is assigned to the diffraction of (002) plane of SWCNHs. The peak intensity of SWCNHs weakens with the increasment of Fe₃O₄ content, while those of Fe₃O₄ become stronger. Moreover, the XRD

diffraction patterns of composites also confirm that Fe₃O₄ has loaded on the SWCNHs successfully. Besides, the average size of Fe₃O₄ NPs calculated using Scherrer Equation is 8.7nm, which agree well with the results observed by TEM. Because the X-ray diffraction peaks of γ -Fe₂O₃ are extremely similar to those of Fe₃O₄, XPS measurement is necessary to ensure the valence states of Fe element. In Fig.2b, the binding energies of Fe2p appear at 711.1eV and 724.6eV and there is no satellite peak at 720eV. It demonstrates that the composition of nanoparticles is Fe₃O₄.

Fig.3 represents a comparison of the FT-IR spectra of ox-SWCNHs, Fe₃O₄ NPs, and S2. The peaks of ox-SWCNHs are ascribed to stretching modes of O-H (ν_{C-H} =3427cm⁻¹), C=O ($\nu_{C=0}$ =1728cm⁻¹), C=C ($\nu_{C=C}$ =1577cm⁻¹) and C-O-C (ν_{C-O} at 1157 and 1030cm⁻¹) bonds. The absorption of Fe₃O₄ at 588cm⁻¹ is attributed to stretching vibration of Fe-O. Compared with that of ox-SWCNHs, the peak of ν_{Fe-O} in the spectrogram of S1 further verifies the existence of Fe₃O₄ NPs in the composites. The disappearance of peak of ν_{O-H} suggests Fe₃O₄ NPs are actively interacting with SWCNHs by bidentate coordination of Fe element with carboxylate group and replacement of hydrogen in hydroxyl groups¹⁴.



Fig.3. The FT-IR spectra of ox-SWCNHs, Fe_3O_4 NPs and S2.

Magnetic property

The magnetic properties of the composites and as-produced Fe_3O_4 NPs were examined at 300K on a superconducting quantum interference device and are shown in Fig. 4. Obviously, the coercivity of Fe_3O_4 NPs is zero, which indicates the nanoparticles are superparamagnetic. The saturation magnetization values of three composite samples are 16.53, 25.23, 37.47 and 41.34 emu·g⁻¹ respectively, while that of Fe_3O_4 NPs is 64.74 emu·g⁻¹. Notably, that the saturation magnetization is greater with a higher ratio of Fe_3O_4 content.



Fig.4.The magnetization hysteresis loops of the as-prepared ${\sf Fe}_3{\sf O}_4$ NPs and composites measured at room temperature.

Microwave absorption property

The reflection losses of ox-SWCNHs, Fe_3O_4 NPs and each composite are measured in the frequency range of 2–18 GHz. Microwave absorption property is evaluated by the reflection loss (RL) calculated with the following equations:

$$Z_{\rm in} = \sqrt{\mu_r / \varepsilon_r} \, \tanh[j(2\pi f d/c)\sqrt{\mu_r \varepsilon_r}] \tag{1}$$

$$R_{\rm L}(\rm dB) = 20\log|(Z_{\rm in} - 1)/(Z_{\rm in} + 1)|$$
(2)

Where the μ_r and ε_r are the relative complex permeability and permittivity respectively, f is the frequency of the electromagnetic waves, d is the thickness of absorber, c is the velocity of electromagnetic waves in free space. The reflection losses of each measured sample with a thickness range from 2 to 6mm are showed in Fig.5. ox-SWCNHs displays an unsatisfactory EM wave absorption property with the maximum RL being below -10dB (Fig.5a). After being decorated with Fe_3O_4 NPs, the values of reflection losses change dramatically (Fig.5b, e, c and d). Overall the values rise firstly and then run down with the increasing weight ratio of Fe₃O₄. Obviously, S2 exhibits the best EM wave absorption ability. To be specific, the bandwidth of S2 is 9.2GHz (from 3.2GHz to 12.4GHz), in which the RL is greater than -10dB for the absorber. When the thickness is 5.8mm, RL reaches the maximum value (-38.84dB) at 3.72GHz which is in S-band. The EM wave absorption materials^{5c, 5d, 6, 7} reported with exceptional EM wave absorption property in S-band are not common. Moreover, when the absorber thickness reaches 4mm, a second absorption peak appears and the RL of this peak can reach -10dB. Although the maximum loss of \$3(-38.75dB) is approximate to that of S2, It is worth noting that its bandwidth is 5.1GHz (from 12.9GHz to 18GHz) and is much narrower than that of S2. In addition, the as-prepared Fe₃O₄ NPs show a relatively weak EM wave absorption (Fig.5f).

ARTICLE



Fig. 5. The reflection loss of (a)ox-SWCNHs, (b)S1, (c)S2, (d)S3 (e)S4 and (f)Fe $_3O_4$ with a thicknesses range from 2 to 6 mm.

Electromagnetic parameter analysis

It is well known that the complex permeability ($\mu_r = \mu' \cdot j\mu''$) and permittivity ($\varepsilon_r = \varepsilon' \cdot j\varepsilon''$) play an important role in EM wave absorption. The real part of complex permittivity ε' and the imaginary part ε'' are shown in Fig 6a and 6b. ox-SWCNHs, a typical dielectric loss material for microwave absorption has the highest ε' and ε'' compared with other measured samples. On the contrary, Fe₃O₄ presents the lowest ε' and ε'' . When ox-SWCNHs are loaded with Fe₃O₄ NPs, both ε' and ε'' run down and become nearly constant. The larger weight ratio of Fe₃O₄ is, the smaller values of ε' and ε'' are exhibited. In Fig 6c and Page 4 of 7

6d, it displays the complex permeability real part μ' and imaginary part μ'' . The μ' values of each sample rise slightly with the rise of Fe₃O₄ content. The μ'' values of each measured samples are less than 0.3 over 2-18GHz. The low μ'' values indicate dielectric loss may be the main factor determining the EM wave absorbing performances of composites.

For further studying the EM absorbing mechanism of the composites, the dielectric loss factor $(\tan \delta_F = \epsilon'' / \epsilon')$ and magnetic loss factor (tan $\delta_M = \mu''/\mu'$) were calculated and shown in Fig.7. The $tan\delta_{M}$ of measured samples are low and similar with each other. In compared to ox-SWCNHs and S1, S2 has a lower $tan\delta_E$ but much closer values of $tan\delta_E$ and $tan\delta_M$ in the frequency range of 2-18GHz. It demonstrates that S2 has an improved impedance matching, which may explain why S2 has better EM wave absorbing property than ox-SWCNH and S1 do. When the weight ratio of Fe_3O_4 is elevated, $tan\delta_F$ and $tan \delta_M$ become much closer, but the $tan \delta_F$ drops substantially, which leads to a low consumption when the EM energy penetrates the absorber. Therefore, S2 is better as EM wave absorber than S3 and S4, although the latter ones possess more appropriate impedance matching. In addition, despite that the as-prepared Fe₃O₄ NPs also have a suitable fine impedance matching, they suffer from relatively low $tan \delta_F$ and $tan\delta_{\mbox{\scriptsize M}},$ which results in weak EM wave absorption.

After being loaded with Fe_3O_4 NPs, the composite gains reduced dielectric constants relative to those of bare ox-SWCNHs. And hence an improved impedance matching is achieved. In the meantime, an interfacial polarization between the two phases of Fe_3O_4 and ox-SWCNHs can lead to an additional dielectric loss. Furthermore, there is also an electronic transference between Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} ions that can generate charge polarization. All above characteristics ultimately enable S2 to represent more outstanding wave absorption capability.



ARTICLE



Fig.6. Permittivity and permeability characterizations of the six samples in the 2 - 18 GHz range: (a) real part of complex permittivity spectra, (b) imaginary part of complex permittivity spectra, (c) real part of complex permeability spectra and (d) imaginary part of complex permeability spectra.

ARTICLE



Fig.7. the loss tangent of dielectric and magnetic of (a) ox-SWCNHs, (b) S1, (c) S2, (d) S3, (e) S4 and (f) Fe₃O₄ NPs.

ic of (a) ox-SWC

Conclusions

We have synthesized the Fe₃O₄/SWCNHs composites using a simple and effective approach and their microwave absorbing properties have been systematically studied. With a great impedance matching and a relatively large dielectric loss, the composite with 35.6wt.% exhibits a more excellent EM absorption performance than its counterparts. The maximum reflection loss of this composite with a thickness of 5.8mm is - 38.84dB at 3.72GHz in S-band and the absorption bandwidths exceeding -10dB are 9.2GHz (from 3.2GHz to 12.4GHz) with the absorber thickness of 2-6mm. Besides, a second absorption peak appears when the absorber thickness is over 4mm and its maximum reflection loss can reach -10dB. Due to its easy accessibility and exceptional property, Fe_3O_4 /SWCNHs may be used as attractive candidate for next-generation microwave absorption materials in the foreseeable future.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by NSF of China (No. 21171013, No. 21471010, No. 21174017) and the Ministry of Science and

Technology of China (No. 2013CB933402, No. 2011CB932601). The authors are also grateful to Prof. S. Gao at Peking University for providing magnetic property analysis of $Fe_3O_4/SWCNHs$ composites.

Notes and references

- 1 A. Lerchl, Asian J Androl, 2013, **15**, 201.
- 2 (a) S. Tyagi, P. Verma, H.B. Baskey, R.C. Agarwala, V. Agarwala and T.C. Shami, *Ceram. Trans.*, 2012, **38**, 4561; (b) H. Hekmatara, M. Seifi and K. Forooraghi, *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.*, 2013, **346**, 186; (c) P. Bhattacharya, S. Sahoo and C. K. Das, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2013, **7**, 212; (d) V.K. Singh, A. Shukla, M.K.Patra, L. Saini, R.K. Jani, S.R. Vadera and N. Kumar, *Carbon*, 2012, **50**, 2202; (e) P. Bhattacharya and C.K. Das, *J Mater Sci: Mater Electron*, 2013, **24**, 1927; (f) F. Nanni, P. Travaglia and M. Valentini, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 2009, **69**, 485.
- 3 (a) Y.W. Zhu, M. Shanthi, W.W. Cai, X.S. Li, J.W. Suk and Jeffrey R, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **22**, 3906; (b) G.J.H. Melvin, Q.Q. Ni and N. Toshiaki, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2014, **615**, 84.
- 4 (a) J. Zheng, H.L. Lv, X.H. Lin, G.B. Ji, X.G. Li and Y.W. Du, J. Alloys Compd., 2014, 589, 174; (b) A.B. Zhang, M. Tang, X.F. Cao, Z.B. Lu and Y.T. Shen, J Mater Sci., 2014, 49, 4629.
- 5 (a) C. Wang, X.J. Han, P. Xu, X.L. Zhang, Y.C. Du and S.R. Hu, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2011, 98, 072906; (b) E.L. Ma, J.J. Li, N.Q.

CHEMISTRY

Zhao, E.Z. Liu, C.N. He and C.S. Shi, *Mater Lett.*, 2013, **91**, 209; (c) S.W. Lu, W.K. Xu, X.H. Xiong, K.M. Ma and X.Q. Wang, J. Alloys Compd., 2014, **606**, 171; (d) Z.J. Wang, L.N. Wu, J.G. Zhou, W. Cai, B.Z. Shen and Z.H. Jiang, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2013, **117**, 5446.

- 6 Y. Liu, X.X. Liu and X.J. Wang. Chin. Phys. B, 2014, 23, 117705.
- 7 G.J.H. Melvin, Q.Q. Ni, S. Yusuke and N. Toshiaki, J Mater Sci., 2014, 49, 5199.
- 8 Z.T. Zhu, X. Sun, G.X. Li, H.R. Xue, H. Guo and X.L. Fan, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 2015, **377**, 95.
- 9 S. lijima, M. Yudasaka , R. Yamada, S. Bandow, K. Suenaga and F. Kokai, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1999, **309**, 165.
- 10 S.Y. Zhu and G.B. Xu, Nanoscale, 2010, 2, 2538.
- (a) N. Li, Z.Y. Wang, K.K. Zhao, Z.J. Shi, Z.N. Gu and S.K. Xu, *Carbon*, 2010, **48**, 1580; (b) M. Yudasaka, S. lijima and V.H. Crespi, *Top. Appl. Phys.*, 2008, **111**, 605-629.
- (a) H. Zheng, Y. Yang, M.G. Zhou and F.S. Li, Hyperfine Interact., 2009, 189, 131; (b) F.S. Wen, F. Zhang and H. Zheng, J. Magn. Magn. Mater., 2012, 324, 2471; (c) Y.J. Liu, D. Song, C.X. Wu and J.S. Leng, Composites Part B, 2014, 63, 34; (d) J. Kong, J.R. Liu, F.L. Wang, L.Q. Luan, M. Itoh and K. Machida, Appl. Phys. A, 2011, 105, 351; (e) P.F. Guan, X.F. Zhang and J.J. Guo, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2012, 101, 153108; (f) C.L. Hou, T.H. Li, T.K. Zhao, H.G. Liu, L.H. Liu and W.J. Zhang, New Carbon Mater., 2013, 28, 184.
- 13 J.Q. Wan, W. Cai, X.X. Meng and E.Z. Liu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, **47**, 5004.
- 14 NA. Zubir, C. Yacou, J. Motuzas, X. Zhang and J.C. Diniz da Costa, *Sci. Rep.*, 2014, **4**, 4594.