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Formation of surface cobalt structures in SiC-supported Fischer-Tropsch catalysts

I.G. Solomonik\textsuperscript{a,1}, K.O. Gryaznov\textsuperscript{b}, V.F. Skok\textsuperscript{a}, V.Z. Mordkovich\textsuperscript{a, b}

The formation of surface cobalt structures was investigated for a number of \(\beta\)-SiC-supported Fischer-Tropsch catalysts. Several different supports were used, both pristine and alumina-modified \(\beta\)-SiC. The techniques of temperature-programmed reduction, nitrogen physisorption, thermal gravimetric analysis, heat conductivity and catalytic testing in Fischer-Tropsch synthesis were employed. It was determined that despite highly similar manufacturer specifications, differences in support genesis may lead to different porosities, surface cobalt phase compositions and catalytic activity, even with the use of identical catalyst preparation procedures. The control of the formation of the surface cobalt structure can also be realized by parameters of the catalyst preparation, such as one- or multi-step impregnation from aqueous or ethanol solution and annealing in air or helium flow or without gas flow. These findings open avenues of research for the optimization of the whole catalyst formation route on the basis of identification of the most efficient surface cobalt phase composition and realization of higher thermal conductivity.
1. Introduction

To achieve an economically feasible gas-to-liquid technology, it is necessary to meet several strict requirements, particularly a robust, highly productive Fischer-Tropsch (FT) catalyst, which would preferably be capable of producing low-wax syncrude in one step. Because the FT process is highly exothermal, the product distribution depends essentially on operating temperature. In addition to that property, the actual temperature distribution in the catalytic bed affects the catalyst deactivation dynamics and its operating lifetime and finally determines the material balance of the integrated gas-to-liquid flowsheet. Thermal stability of a highly productive process is governed by a number of well-known factors, while the importance of thermal conductivity of catalyst pellets and the catalytic bed is critical.

The development of standard extruded pellets with high thermal conductivity due to introduction of metallic aluminium and Raney cobalt and the implementation of a percolation system (by heat runoff) enabled us to create significantly more active catalysts compared with the existing ones. However, the high reactivity of aluminium metal may result in its degradation during the exploitation. If this degradation happens, the catalytic system would transform into conventional cobalt alumina catalysts with lower productivity.

This finding indicates that another, non-metallic thermally conductive component is highly desirable for future highly productive Fischer-Tropsch catalysts. Notably high thermal conductivity and good chemical stability of silicon carbide (SiC) make it an excellent candidate. It is important to notice also that the synthesis of β-SiC with high surface area has been reported, while these studies reported the synthesis of SiC extrudates or foams with open porosity and specific surface area of several scores of metres.

Authors used β-SiC (Sicat product) at 30 to 40 m$^2$/g as a support for the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis catalyst, while these studies found that such catalysts manifest extraordinarily high activity and supreme selectivity for liquid hydrocarbons due to high SiC thermal conductivity (~5.5 W/(m·K)). It is well-known that the composition and properties of the impregnated component and the support may change depending on preparation technique and conditions of pretreatment and activation. The formation of different catalyst phases and surface structures is possible depending on pretreatment support, catalyst synthesis conditions and precursor type.

This study undertook a thorough comparative physico-chemical characterization of β-SiC-supported Fischer-Tropsch synthesis catalysts, which exhibit different performance in catalysis, despite highly similar manufacturer specifications for supports, i.e., similar values for surface area and pore volume of supports.

2. Experimental

2.1 Sample preparation

Granulated silicon carbide was used as a support (Sicat LLC product specifications are shown in Table 1). The pore volume was measured by mercury porosimetry and pore size distribution by nitrogen physisorption.

In certain cases (Table 1, line 5) a pristine support was modified by the γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ layer coating technique. A predetermined amount of Al(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O was introduced into the support by impregnation at 50°C; then, the impregnated sample was titrated with aqueous ammonia solution until pH=8 was achieved. The sample matured in the solution for one day; then, it was taken into the open air and calcined at the temperature that yielded the formation of γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ layer with surface area of approximately 200 m$^2$/g Al$_2$O$_3$.

The catalytic systems (18-20 % wt. Co) were prepared by one- or two-step incipient wetness impregnation of calcined (or pristine) supports with water (or ethanol) solution of cobalt nitrate with intermediate drying; one or two calcinations in air flow or without it. The cobalt concentration was calculated from precursor weight change; the chemical composition of hydrated cobalt nitrate or its oxides after appropriate temperature treatment was used.

The catalyst samples are identified by the following identifier:

$$\alpha\text{Co}/(\text{SiC})-\gamma-\text{xy-}\tau(T)$$

where

- $\alpha\text{Co}$ — Co loading (wt.% in theoretical catalyst composition (a sum of cobalt metal and dry support);
- SiC — pristine or modified Sicat support (see Table 2);
- $\gamma$ — temperature of support thermal treatment or “0” if no treatment was used;
- $\text{xy}$ — number of impregnations;
- $\text{y}$ — solvent used (W for water, E for ethanol);
- $\tau$ — calcination type after second or only one impregnation (A for air flow conditions, O — without air flow, O** — helium flow);
- $T$ — calcination temperature, °C;
- $\tau$ — calcination period, hour.

Table 1. Support sample specifications as provided by the manufacturer
2.2 Sample characterization

For example, sample 19Co/SiC2-0-2E-A550(1) was prepared by two-step impregnation of uncalcined pristine SBO704B support in ethanol solution of cobalt nitrate. The intermediate air calcination at 550 °C and 1 hour conditions. Sample contains 19 wt.% of Co° in the assumption that catalyst weight is a sum of cobalt metal and waterless SiC2 supports.

2.2.1 Sample characterization

Thermal conductivity of pristine supports and synthesized catalyst samples was measured from heat capacity at constant pressure data (DSC8000(Perkin Elmer)) and from temperature conductivity (NETZSCH LFA 457/2/G MicroFlash analyzer). It should be noted that cells with small-scale apertures for inlet and outlet laser emission were developed and used.

The effective thermal conductivity of porous silicon carbide extrudates (surface area ca. 30 m²/g) should be 3,5-4,5 W/(m·K)11. Thermal conductivity measured at temperatures ranging from 20 to 300ºC did not decrease by more than 20 %, while heat capacity increased by 20–25 %.

Thermal conductivity was calculated according to the formula:

\[ \lambda = \dot{\alpha} \cdot C_p \cdot \rho \]

where \( \rho \) — apparent density calculated from geometric parameters of sample, kg/m³;

\( \dot{\alpha} \) — temperature conductivity, m²/s;

\( C_p \) — heat capacity, J/(kg·K).

The temperature conductivity of every material under investigation was measured for three representative samples with three independent measurements for each sample at every temperature. The accuracy of the measurements was better than 0.1 %.

The decrease in temperature conductivity at 220 to 250ºC is compensated by the increase of heat capacity, while thermal conductivity remained unchanged within 1 % (see Table 2).

Structural parameters of the pore system were examined by nitrogen physisorption with Autosorb-1C and helium pycnometer Ultrapyc 1200e (Quantachrome Instruments).

All sorption-desorption isotherms and pycnometry data were obtained in the physically same sample after the same sample preparation (thermal vacuum degassing at 300ºC) to eliminate any possible influence of heterogeneity of granular systems.

Catalytic systems were investigated at temperatures ranging up to 1000ºC by thermal programmed reduction at Autosorb-1C (equipped with catharometer). The oxidized precursor was a subject for thermal programmed reduction (TPR regime), while the sample pretreated under catalyst activation (which was then cooled down) was investigated in TPR-AR (thermal programmed reduction after reduction ) regime. One can observe trace oxide phases (composition is close to realized in activated catalyst) reduced under such conditions.

Thermal gravimetric analysis of supports in air and in inert gas was performed with NETZSCH STA-449 F1 equipped with the chromatographic identification of emitted gas composition. The results were used for estimation of the behaviour of pristine supports and changes in phase and surface composition; this estimation also allowed for the simulation of sample modification at calcination.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was done at JEOL JSM-7600F with local elemental analysis by energy dispersion spectroscopy (EDS).

3. Catalytic investigations

Fischer–Tropsch catalyst testing was performed in a stop-and-go regime (daily run of 6-8 hrs) by the technique described elsewhere27. This technique implies the synthesis in a fixed-bed tubular reactor with a non-diluted bed filled with catalyst granules (bed volume 2.5 cc, catalyst granules were 3 mm long and 3 mm in diameter in accordance with Table 1). The ½” tube was equipped with a radial thermowell (1 mm diameter), which allowed us to measure temperature in the centre of the bed. The reactor was equipped with a jacket, where a flow of pressurized boiling water circulated, which provided a very efficient control of the reaction temperature. The synthesis gas with H2:CO ratio 2:1 was fed into the reactor at 2 MPa pressure. The catalyst was pre-activated in 0.1 MPa hydrogen flow for 1 hour at 400°C and GHSV of 3,000 h⁻¹. The activated sample was slowly driven to optimal synthesis conditions by stepwise daily increases of temperature by 3-6°C. Starting synthesis temperature was 170°C. The reactor was driven down to room temperature at the end of a daily run; the next run started at the next temperature step. After achieving maximum productivity at the GHSV of 1,000 h⁻¹, the GHSV was increased to check the catalyst performance at shorter contact times. The technique established that the optimal conditions were determined by the highest possible \( C_{sy} \) yield at chosen GHSV. This work, however, compares the results at nearly identical temperatures and GHSV to understand the role of surface cobalt structures.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Thermal conductivity
One can see that the pristine SiC1 support modification with alumina even in a thin surface layer (SiC1 modif.) results in a significant decrease in thermal conductivity of the sample, while the increase in γ-Al2O3 concentration (SiC4) leads to an even more significant decrease.

It should be noted that SiC1 and SiC2 supports, which are almost identical according to the manufacturer's specifications (see Table 1), are characterized by different thermal conductivity.

The change in the pore system and the increase in macropore content (i.e., the change in amount both of inner contacts and of pore body carcass elements) also lead to the decrease in thermal conductivity of porous, granular and fibrous materials. As will be shown later, the structural parameters of SiC1 and SiC2 are slightly different, which may cause differences in thermal conductivity.

Table 2. Thermal conductivity of supports at Fischer–Tropsch synthesis temperatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>SiC1</th>
<th>SiC2</th>
<th>SiC3</th>
<th>SiC4</th>
<th>SiC1 modif.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity, W/(m·K)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225°C</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250°C</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average thermal conductivity at 225–250°C, W/(m·K)</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Thermal analysis

All β-SiC (SiC1–SiC3) samples are characterized by the decrease in weight at temperatures below 370°C both in inert and oxidizing atmosphere (Fig. 1). This decrease is caused by simultaneous removal of hydrate–hydroxyl covering and oxidation of the intrinsic carbon (presumably residue after the support manufacturing procedure as indicated from weak vague exo-effect in the corresponding area). This results in insignificant (0.18 % for SiC2) weight loss with stabilization at 320–430°C. Carbon removal as COx is compensated by oxygen coupling with possible formation of carbonate-carboxylate structures and support surface oxidation. Above 400°C, the surface oxidation of samples 2 and 3 becomes predominant, and it results in a weight increase due to SiC oxidation forming surface silicates and hydrosilicates. SiO2 is generated in the surface layer and partially blocks SiC, thus preventing further oxidation of the carbide phase. Therefore, the air calcination of the support affects the surface to different extents depending on the temperature due to the formation of phases similar to SiO2. Consequently, the support is quite stable to oxidation at conditions of Fischer–Tropsch synthesis. This also means that the air calcination at temperatures above 400°C can be used for support surface modification with the formation of SiO2/SiOxCy structures.

At the same time, significant differences occur with high-temperature oxidative treatment.

Heating of the SiC3 sample (X-ray diffraction analysis is the same as for SiC2) results in a lesser weight increase at a significantly higher temperature (748°C). We believe that this finding is because SiC3 has a pre-oxidized surface with no carbon residue, which may influence the catalytic performance of the systems formed at these two supports.

![Fig. 1 Thermal gravimetric analysis of SiC1 (1), SiC2 (2), SiC3 (3), SiC4 (4) and 1 %Al2O3-SiC1 (5) supports in air (1-3) or helium (4, 5) flows](image-url)
It is obvious that a significant amount of carbon residue is oxidized, resulting in the reported exothermal effect. In contrast to SiC2, the carbon residue in SiC1 cannot be amorphous due to the position of the exothermal effect. The observation by scanning electron microscopy showed that the carbon residue of SiC1 has nanometric fibrous morphology, presumably carbon nanotubes.

Thus, the thermal analysis data show that very similar (see Table 1) silicon carbide supports are characterized by significantly different surface composition, thus pre-determining the formation of notably different catalytic systems on this basis.

3.3. Pore structure

3.3.1. Supports

It is well known\textsuperscript{20, 21, 22} that support structure and pore size distribution significantly affect diffusion and mass transfer properties and may determine both catalytic activity and Fischer–Tropsch synthesis selectivity.

The pore structure of supports (see Table 1 for the data specified by the manufacturer) was investigated to provide a more correct interpretation of the catalytic system testing data and an understanding of formation dynamics of precursors and catalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>SiC1</th>
<th>SiC2</th>
<th>SiC3</th>
<th>SiC4</th>
<th>SiC1 modif.</th>
<th>SiC1 *</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S_{BET}$, m$^2$/g</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>29,90</td>
<td>29.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$, g/cm$^3$</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparent</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True</td>
<td>1,09</td>
<td>1,08</td>
<td>1,06</td>
<td>1,08</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1,07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity, %</td>
<td>61.7</td>
<td>68.4</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>68.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>71.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJH***</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macropore volume, cm$^3$/g</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macropore content, %</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>60.1</td>
<td>61.8</td>
<td>58.0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>52.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nitrogen sorption isotherms for SiC1, SiC2 and SiC3 supports correspond to the type of H1\textsuperscript{23} isotherm according to IUPAC classification; this isotherm type is typical for meso- and micro-porous materials.
macroporous globular adsorbents. It is important to notice that neither of the examined supports possess any significant microporosity. Despite similar surface area values (Table 3), these supports have significant differences in macropores. While the total pore volume (in the nitrogen physisorption range) of SiC1 is greater than that of SiC2, the macropore volume is higher in the case of SiC2 (see Table 3). SiC1 sample adsorption is characterized by a maximum macropore area above 500 Å and a shoulder at 50 to 200 Å, while the SiC2 sample is characterized by a substantial increase in mesopore content; and, finally, in the case of SiC3 samples, the 80 to 120 Å pores become predominant. Modification of silicon carbide with large amounts of alumina leads to partial pore collapse, which results in the appearance of secondary porosity at 70 to 200 Å. Introduction of smaller amount of Al₂O₃ (Fig.4, lines 1, 5) results in weaker pristine support macropore depletion and secondary porosity formation.

![Pore size distribution for SiC1 (1), SiC2 (2), SiC3 (3), SiC4 (4) and SiC1 modif. (5) calculated from the BJH desorption isotherm line](image1)

It should be noted that the major modification of surface area and the porous system is achieved under conditions of thermal destruction of the sample (see SiC1 and SiC1*, Table 3), while the actual weight loss during air calcination and carbon decontamination is determined reliably by thermal analysis. As a result, investigated supports have significantly different properties despite very similar manufacturer specifications.

### 3.3.2. Catalysts

Catalysts prepared on pristine investigated supports are presented in Table 4. The pore structure modification process that takes place during active component deposition and catalyst precursor calcination is discussed below using an SiC1 support and Kat 1a catalyst as an example.

The decrease in integral pore volume due to the loading of the support structure with the active component and thermolysis products is observed in the presence of catalyst (Fig.5a). It is accompanied by appearance of secondary micro- and mesoporosity surface area (Fig.5b) due to cobalt component deposition. Surface pore size distribution is also affected (Fig.6).

![Graphs showing integral pore size distribution](image2)

### Table 4. Catalysts and supports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>№</th>
<th>Pristine support</th>
<th>Support designation</th>
<th>Catalyst designation</th>
<th>Catalyst identifier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SB0640F [β-SiC]</td>
<td>SiC1</td>
<td>Kat 1a</td>
<td>19Co/SiC1-0-2E-A750(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SB0704B [β-SiC]</td>
<td>SiC2</td>
<td>Kat 1c</td>
<td>17Co/SiC1-0-2E-A550(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SB0640F [β-SiC]</td>
<td>SiC1 modif.</td>
<td>Kat 1d</td>
<td>19Co/SiC1 modif.-0-1E2W-A250(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SB0704B [β-SiC]</td>
<td>SiC1 modif.</td>
<td>Kat 1e</td>
<td>18Co/SiC2-450-1E-O550(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Graphs showing integral pore size distribution](image3)
3.4. Temperature programmed reduction of precursors and Fischer–Tropsch catalytic systems

The understanding of catalyst surface phases and structures is desirable to achieve higher catalyst productivity and selectivity. It is well known, for example, that Co/Al₂O₃ catalytic systems can be characterized by the presence of a number of intermediate states, which may include either bulk Co° or dispersed Co²⁺. As suggested and supported, a partly reduced cobalt plays a special role. Particularly, it was shown that only one cobalt-oxide phase, which is characterized by specific maximum at TPR, is responsible for liquid hydrocarbon formation during FT synthesis. These phases represent the structures immobilized on the support surface. These structures comprise metal Co° atoms localized at CoOₓ particles. The interaction of the reduced metal with oxide (in the framework of such site) results in electron density shift, causing cobalt effective positive charge acquisition, so the Co²⁺ containing phases can act as selective catalytic sites for Fischer-Tropsch synthesis.

Catalytic systems and theirs precursors were studied by the TPR method to investigate the formation of cobalt-containing phases.

3.4.1. Systems based on SiC₁ support

TPR data of catalytic systems based on the SiC₁ support presented in Fig.7 indicate significant differences depending on the catalyst formation route. High temperature calcination of SiC₁-based catalysts results in a drastic decrease in surface cobalt ion content (seen from the high temperature peak) and immobilized cobalt oxide structures (seen from the observed transition between a broad maximum and a shoulder at 500 to 600°C). This effect can occur due to high temperature oxidation of support into silica and the formation of non-reducible cobalt silicate and hydroxosilicate surface phases.

3.4.2. Systems based on SiC₂ support

Fig.8 represents TPR data from SiC₂-supported catalysts. This system was prepared by single incipient wetness impregnation of a SiC₂ support previously calcined at 450°C with cobalt nitrate hexahydrate; it was then heat treated at different temperatures (450, 650 and 1000°C) under air flow. The Hedwal principle was used to interpret the observed effects. Hedwal states that reaction kinetics change near the phase transition point. Applying that principle to our case, the position of an observed maximum corresponds to the change in reduction reaction or reductive decomposition kinetics (the reductive decomposion occurs with release of H₂O and NOₓ).

Comparison of obtained data with literature allows to identify the resulting phases, structures or surface states of cobalt containing component.

The peak with a maximum at 260°C corresponds to reductive decomposition of Co(NO₃)₂ in the presence of uncalcined 18Co/SiC₂-450-1E_110. The peak shift from 180°C (typical temperature for dry bulk cobalt nitrate) to 260°C is a result of nitrate binding to surface hydroxys (perhaps of hydroxosilicate origin) and decomposition with a hydrated CoO₃ nonstoichiometric composition and the formation of cobalt oxide hydroxycomplexes (shoulder at 300°C). Hydrogen absorption at 360°C and 380°C as well as a shoulder at 450 to 550°C are caused by gradual reduction of massive
differently sized agglomerates of the Co$_3$O$_4$ phase, which is a solid solution of CoO in Co$_2$O$_4$. The broad maximum at 550 to 650°C corresponds to the reduction of multilayer cobalt oxide phases immobilized at the support surface. The nature of the intensive narrow maximum at 650 to 800°C will be discussed later.

Different behaviour is observed for calcined samples. All low temperature maxima corresponding to crystalline cobalt oxide decomposition disappear. The Co$_2$O$_4$ reduction maximum shifts to the higher temperature area (420°C). TPR of the calcined samples indicate a calcination-induced transition of the cobalt nitrate phase into surface oxide agglomerates. The amount of Co$_3$O$_4$ phase grows significantly, but the increase in calcination temperature leads to a decline in Co$_2$O$_4$. Reduction peaks at 360 and 380°C for 18Co/SiC2-450-1E-A450 indicate the presence of remaining low temperature phases, which are not observed for the samples calcined at higher temperatures. The increase in temperature in 18Co/SiC2-450-1E-A450 and 18Co/SiC2-450-1E-A650 results in cobalt redistribution on the support surface with the formation of immobilized phases, which are selective in long-chain C$_x$ hydrocarbon production$^{16}$. However, excessively high calcination temperatures lead to the formation of hardly reducible surface and subsurface structures. As a result, some cobalt sites are not involved in catalysis (for example 18Co/SiC2-450-1E-A1000).

Thus, the quantity and quality of resulting cobalt phases can be controlled by variations in the heat treatment temperature. Appropriate calcination temperature ensures efficient cobalt distribution and support surface binding.

Sharp hydrogen consumption maxima of different intensities are observed from TPR data at 650–750°C in the presence of investigated precursors. The appearance of such maxima is not typical for cobalt alumina Fischer–Tropsch synthesis catalysts. The observation of such effects was reported in the presence of heavily loaded cobalt catalysts (up to 60% wt. of Co$^3+$) based on zeolites and silica$^{13}$.

Special experiments were performed to establish that these peaks were not the result of desorption of intrinsic surface groups. It was shown that such groups appear only after deposition of the cobalt-containing component, indicating its special interaction with the support surface during the impregnation. Thus, it shows the reduction of the surface cobalt-containing phase is related to that formed on silicon oxide. Their presence was confirmed by DTA-TG data that show thin surface layer oxidation (during active component deposition and catalyst calcination) of silicon carbide to silica. Decreases in intensity and peak shift to higher reduction temperatures (with the increase in precursor calcination temperature) suggest the intensification of the cobalt interaction with such fixation sites. It also suggests that some cobalt sites migrate to other more strong surface fixation sites. Otherwise, the calcination temperature increase would result in increases of this phase intensity due to decreases in cobalt content (Fig.7) in agglomerated phases with a reduction maximum at 460°C. Infrared spectroscopy and X-ray diffraction methods show$^{12}$ that this surface phase on cobalt-zeolyst systems is similar to cobalt silicates in which cobalt is bonded with one- or two-bridge oxygen. These data are in agreement with both the presence of a surface SiO$_2$/C$_x$ layer declared by the manufacturer$^{9}$ and with surface silicon carbide oxidation discovered in our experiments. The peak intensity decreases with the increase in calcination temperature (Fig.8), and 18Co/SiC2-450-1E-A1000 was characterized by zero intensity. Significant hydrogen consumption occurs at 950–1000°C, suggesting that cobalt migrates to form structures which are more strongly fixed on the support surface. Some cobalt particles will fix on other sites due to diffusion forming surface and subsurface phases, which are not reduced at investigated temperatures and cannot be observed by the TPR method.

It was shown that not only calcination temperature but also calcination atmosphere influences the quality and quantity of cobalt surface structures (Fig. 9). TPR data for catalysts after calcination in air or in an NO atmosphere differ significantly.

The samples investigated in this experiment were calcined at 550°C, which causes decomposition of hydroxyl-containing cobalt oxide phases and eliminates low temperature reduction effects on the TPR line. Only massive Co$_3$O$_4$ phase is detected at the catalyst surface. All samples were characterized by the same reduction temperature of this phase, but peak shape and intensity have significant differences.

17Co/SiC2-0-2W-O550 as compared to 17Co/SiC2-0-2W-A550 was characterized by higher maximum intensity at 440°C (in accordance with higher content of the phase reducible at this temperature). Nearly the same reduction maximum intensity of 16Co/SiC2-0-1W-A550 and 17Co/SiC2-0-2W-A550 indicates a similar content of agglomerated Co$_3$O$_4$ phase. Therefore, the catalyst precursor thermolysis after aqueous solution impregnation (for 17Co/SiC2-0-2W-A550) does not result in a significant increase of cobalt surface sites. The
The formation of surface cobalt-containing phases and structures on pristine SiC support during the increase in cobalt concentration is presented in Fig. 10. In the presence of impregnated 10Co/SiC2-0-1W-AG50 multilayers and surface cobalt-containing phases (which are typical for catalysts based on this support) are formed, even after primary drying (see Fig. 8). Intensive maximum at 270°C is a sum of cobalt nitrate and cobalt oxide hydroxocomplex reduction effects and demonstrates the effective salt component fixation on the support surface. The shoulder at 300°C indicates partly hydrated CoO reduction with the simultaneous formation of massive CoO agglomerates. The latter became partly reduced to CoO at 320°C. Solid solution CoO-Co$_3$O$_4$ formed at the support surface has a broad reduction maximum at 350 to 500°C. The shoulder observed at 550 to 650°C indicates Co formation from the surface CoO, multilayers. High temperature peaks at 670°C, which are comparable to the peak of crystallohydration, is attributed to the reduction of high amounts of isolated cobalt ions weakly fixed on silica-oxide surface sites.

The sample air-calcined at 250°C is characterized by the presence of residual hydrated Co$_3$O$_4$ nonstoichiometric phase (230°C) and cobalt nitrate and the results of its decomposition into massive agglomerates, which are effectively reduced with CoO into Co$_3$O$_4$ (350-500°C). Reduction of the maximum intensity of excess massive oxide phase increases with calcination and becomes more narrow, which indicates a smaller range of agglomerated phase particle size distribution. It should be noted that in spite of growth in the Co$_3$O$_4$ agglomerates content, CoO$_2$ surface phase content remains the same before and after calcination. Surface cobalt phase remains in the oxide form and is strongly fixed at the surface, where no migration occurs. Support pre-treatment determines surface group content. Formation of this phase takes place during cobalt nitrate impregnation and primary drying, as confirmed by increases in this phase content after second impregnation. The decrease in this maximum intensity as a result of precursor calcination is caused by cobalt redistribution to other phases (including subsurface phases) and fixation with the support.

The TPR line for 19Co/SiC2-0-2W-A110 is similar to that of 10Co/SiC2-0-1W-A110 (except cobalt nitrate reduction decomposition at low temperature range). The observed intensity increase is caused by superposition of previously formed cobalt-containing phases with newly formed ones. Second impregnation results in a significant increase in the intensity of high-temperature reduction peaks of cobalt silicate structures, and some shift to lower temperatures was observed. Obviously this phase is also the surface phase, and it is formed during cobalt nitrate’s interaction with surface support groups. Even low temperature calcination of 19Co/SiC2-0-2W-A250(1) leads to the growth of agglomerated cobalt oxide content due to the decrease of isolated cobalt...
ions fixed on silanole groups; however, the level of multilayer structures reduced at 500-650°C remains almost the same. Aqueous solution impregnation and air calcination at low temperature (250°C) of intermediate sample do not cause formation of fixation sites.

3.4.3. Systems based on SiC4 support

It should be noted that support modification does not affect the reductive decomposition of excess salt (Fig. 11), but γ-Al2O3 introduction blocks some surface sites of the silicon carbide support that could transform into silicon oxide structures. Therefore, the cobalt ions are fixed at small amounts of the remaining strong sites (or formed alumina silicate centres) that lead to the shift of the high temperature peak (Fig. 11). The introduction of γ-Al2O3 in the support composition dramatically decreases thermal conductivity (Table 2) and significantly changes the conditions of surface cobalt phase formation (Fig. 12). The system is similar in alumina-based catalysts, and the impact of surface protospinel cobalt aluminate structures increases. Silica or formed aluminosilicate bunches located on remaining γ-Al2O3-free silicon carbide surface led to formation of a high temperature peak.

![Fig. 11. TPR data for one-step impregnated systems based on SiC2 and modified with γ-Al2O3 SiC4 supports](image)

![Fig. 12. TPR data of catalytic systems (aqueous solution impregnation) based on modified by γ-Al2O3 SiC4 support](image)

It should be noted that the above-mentioned influence of air and NOx calcination atmosphere is obvious in this case. The impact of isolated surface cobalt ions decreases and the content of immobilized multilayer structures reducible at up to 600°C increases. The content of immobilized and stronger fixed structures (600–700°C) changes insignificantly.

3.4.4. The influence of differences between SiC1 and SiC2 supports on formation of catalytic systems

As mentioned above, preparation conditions have a significant influence on cobalt-containing precursor interaction with the support surface and result in the formation of different surface phases. The differences between SiC1 and SiC2 supports are very similar if judged from the manufacturer’s specifications.

These differences result in essential differences in TPR data (Fig. 13), even for samples prepared in the same conditions and by the same technique. As noted above (Table 3), these supports differ in total pore volume (which is 20 % less for SiC1), in macropore volume (which is 2 times smaller for SiC1) and in mesopore volume (which is 1.5 times higher for SiC1).

Supports differ significantly in their chemical properties and in the ability to interact with cobalt-containing components, despite their similar total BET surface area. A shift in the TPR maximum at 150–450°C (with no change in shape) was observed for agglomerated structures, which indicates minor differences in the amount or size of formed crystallites. However, significant differences in peak shapes are observed at 500–650°C for surface structures. The reduction peak of the immobilized support CoO phase in the presence of 17Co/SiC2-0-2W-A250 sample has a plateau shape, while in the presence of 15Co/SiC1-0-2W-A250, the same phase appears as a broad peak with high intensity. It should be noted that these differences are observed for catalysts with comparable content of impregnated active components. As mentioned above, this phase is formed by cobalt nitrate’s interaction with support surfaces during the impregnation process. The possible increase in this structure content due to the 20 % larger surface area of SiC1 support mesoporosity seems unlikely because the intensity of the TPR maximum is significantly higher (Fig. 13). The obtained results correlate with the aforementioned shift in the reduction maximum of massive structures in the presence of SiC1 compared to SiC2 and indicates the greater amount of these structures (despite the lower concentration of impregnated components) over dispersion differences. The
total excess of reduced cobalt observed in the presence of 15Co/SiC1-0-2W-A250 at 2 % lower catalyst metal loading indicates a weaker interaction with the support in the presence of SiC1. Cobalt nitrate is converted into the oxidizing melt in crystallohydrate water during the catalyst formation. Lower amounts of reducible and higher amounts of irreducible cobalt phases are formed in the presence of SiC2 support (Fig. 13). This suggests that the SiC1 surface has a higher oxidation resistance compared to SiC2, and this suggestion correlates with the existence of nanocarbon structures in SiC1’s composition.

3.4.5. The influence of structure and surface support properties on catalyst genesis

The investigation of SiC supports and catalyst genesis on their basis enabled the preparation of samples (Table 4) further tested in Fischer-Tropsch synthesis. The choice of particular SiC supports and their pre-treatment conditions were performed in a way to have a set of catalyst samples with the maximum presence of the surface structures that are active and selective in C5+ hydrocarbon synthesis structures.

The formation of the catalyst occurs not only during active component impregnation and appropriate treatment but also during catalyst reduction and running.

Fig. 14 represents TPR data and Fig. 15 represents TPR-AR data obtained after sample pre-reduction in situ in device cells and further TPR of residual oxide phases and structures.

Heat treatment in a hydrogen atmosphere results in almost complete reduction of agglomerated cobalt oxide structures and partial reduction of surface immobilized phases. We investigated the state of cobalt-containing components in detail with the example of Kat 1b. Catalyst Kat 1b was prepared by two-step aqueous solution impregnation of SiC1 with cobalt nitrate with intermediate heat treatment with air flow at 250°C. TPR data are characterized with residual structures of hydrated Co3O4 (peak at 300°C), massive cobalt oxide agglomerates of different sizes (maximums at 370 and 420°C), multilayer surface CoOx (530–630°C) and immobilized cobalt ions (above 650°C). The reduction of Kat 1b leads to the formation of the structures atypical for cobalt oxide; they are characterized by hydrogen consumption maximums at 150°C. However, the multilayer CoOx surface structures at 500 to 650°C are partially reduced, and the reduction maximum of surface cobalt silicate shifts to higher temperatures (as compared to pristine unreduced samples).

It should be noted that TPR intensity is comparable to TPR-AR intensity at high temperature ranges (Fig. 14, 14), which means that formed surface cobalt oxide phases remain in the catalytic system, although they undergo some transformations. Moreover, the reduction treatment of Kat 2 results in the increase of the amounts of such phases.

The reduction of massive Co3O4 agglomerates (300–500°C) and cobalt silicates (740°C) is observed in the presence of Kat 1a samples after high temperature treatment (750°C) in air flow (Fig. 14). TPR-AR data correspond to the same maximum at 150 and 250°C, similarly to the Kat 1b sample (Fig. 15). The high temperature peak shifts to 725°C; it is characterized by increased intensity as compared to reduction (Fig. 14). It seems likely that the prepared catalyst will contain relatively...
high amounts of bulky massive cobalt agglomerates on the surface of un-reduced cobalt silica oxide phase formed during the first impregnation. However, Kat 1 is characterized by a significantly lower amount of immobilized oxide phases reduced at 500–650°C (Fig. 14) than the Kat 1b sample. Kat 2’s surface structure is characterized by hydrogen consumption maximums at 350 to 450 and 740°C. The major amount of cobalt is contained in the CoO phase, which promotes the formation of large cobalt metal particles after reduction. The active sites of Kat 2 will primarily present with large cobalt agglomerates. Kat 1a and Kat 2 are characterized by lower amounts of unreducible oxide structures compared to Kat 1b, which is characterized by significant contents of multilayer cobalt oxide and cobalt silicate surface phases.

The cobalt-containing structures in catalyst composition indicated by the TPR method may be summarized as:
- cobalt oxide agglomerates of different dispersions;
- cobalt immobilized on the surface as CoO, multilayer;
- surface silicates and hydrosilicates Co-SiO with degrees of different order.

Oxide structures of CoO/CoO₅, which are active and selective in Fischer–Tropsch synthesis of liquid hydrocarbons and are immobilized on cobalt catalyst structures, consist of reduced cobalt immobilized on oxide cobalt-containing base, which is connected to the support surface. Electron density shift from metal to support occurs in this structure and the catalytic site can be represented as partially reduced cobalt with an effective Co⁶⁺ charge.

Thus, Kat 1b and Kat 1a would show the best results if the structure characteristics and thermal conductivity of catalysts based on β-SiC were the same. Kat 2 has a significant content of agglomerated cobalt phase, which promotes the formation of methane, CO₂ and gaseous hydrocarbons. It should be noted that reduced metal cannot be identified by the TPR-AR method, but the higher the cobalt dispersion and the amount of immobilized cobalt oxide at the support surface, the higher the number of such sites formed.

TPR-AR data represent maximums at temperatures up to 300°C, which are not typical for Co⁶⁺ or Co⁵⁺ reduction. It is likely that Co⁶⁺ formation is accompanied by a negligible charge transfer and weak interaction with oxygen, reflected in TPR data as reduction maximums at temperatures extremely low for the reduction of known cobalt oxides. The reduction peak at 150°C, which does not appear in pristine precursors, is observed; it may be caused by different reasons, such as:
- Co⁶⁺ formation on the surface of massive metal due to cobalt re-oxidation by water vapour during the reduction;
- metal re-oxidation by surface hydroxyl groups formed during the reduction due to the diffusive migration of cobalt crystallites on catalyst surface;
- dissociative adsorption of hydrogen on previously formed metal cobalt and atomic hydrogen spillover to surrounding cobalt-containing oxide components with further reduction at lower temperatures.

3.5. Testing of catalysts of different origin in Fischer–Tropsch synthesis

The β-SiC supports, which show similar surface area and X-ray diffraction patterns but differ in other properties, may manifest different catalytic performance. One expects stronger differences in catalytic performance for the samples, which are characterized by stronger differences in catalyst formation parameters.

Indeed, the results of experimental testing, which are shown in Table 5, demonstrate that differences in particular cobalt-oxide phases present in the sample, as well as differences in the dispergation of such phases, critically influence the catalytic performance, especially the achievable maximum yield of the product. Therefore, the approach applied in this work may be useful for the optimization of the catalyst manufacturing procedure for Fischer-Tropsch synthesis catalysts.

As mentioned in 3.4.5, Kat 1b and Kat 1a would show the best results if the structure characteristics and thermal conductivity of catalysts based on β-SiC were the same. At the same time, Kat 2 has smaller amount of unreduced oxide structures after reduction compared to Kat 1b which is characterized by significant amount of multilayer cobalt oxide and cobalt silicate surface phases. It is necessary to note that laboratory-scale catalytic testing provides very low linear gas velocities, which makes the influence of thermal conductivity of the catalyst granules notably important for the performance of the catalyst, which is why the structure and thermal conductive differences of investigated systems slightly change the expected activity range of catalysts.

Kat 1a has the highest productivity in liquid hydrocarbons; all silicon carbide-based systems are characterized by smaller amounts of olefins compared with conventional Fischer–Tropsch synthesis catalysts.

### Table 5. Catalytic performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>№</th>
<th>Samp le</th>
<th>Co₅₀, g/kg·h</th>
<th>S₅₀, %</th>
<th>C₅₀ composition, wt. %</th>
<th>Q (CO₂:H₂=1 :2)</th>
<th>α</th>
<th>Q (CO₂:H₂=1 :2)</th>
<th>α</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kat 1a</td>
<td>327</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kat 1b</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kat 1c</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Kat 1d</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Kat 2</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another important observation is that such high productivity was registered at the undiluted granular fixed bed, while the observed α =0.7–0.8 promised the direct synthesis of liquid hydrocarbons, allowing us to skip the usual
hydrocracking step. The latter consideration may be important from a practical point of view.

4. Conclusions

It was determined that the catalysts based on SiC supports, which are characterized by highly similar surface area and pore volume, still perform differently in Fischer-Tropsch synthesis if the catalyst formation procedure leads to differences in the surface phase composition and active phase dispersion. The SiC supports may carry residual carbon in the form of either amorphous carbon phase or carbon nanotubes. The factor of the residual carbon determines different behaviour during oxidative treatment and differences in pore system and thermal conductivity.

It is possible to control the diversity and content of various cobalt-oxide phases, as well as the efficiency of cobalt distribution at the support surface. The quantity and quality of resulting cobalt phases can be controlled by the temperature variation in heat treatment. Appropriate calcination temperature ensures efficient cobalt distribution and support surface binding. The use of ethanol in the impregnation process provides more uniform cobalt distribution and better dispersion.

It was demonstrated that the contribution of isolated surface-bound cobalt ions decreases if calcination is performed in the presence of nitrogen oxides; the amount of immobilized multilayer cobalt oxides, which are characterized by complete reduction in hydrogen below 600°C, increases compared with calcining in air.

Further optimization of the catalysts can be performed on the basis of reported findings because the dynamics of surface cobalt phase formation and conversions enable the elaboration of optimized SiC-supported catalysts.
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