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Preparation of SnO$_2$/graphene nanocomposite and its application to the catalytic epoxidation of alkenes with H$_2$O$_2$

Min Liu, Xiaozhong Wang, Yingqi Chen and Liyan Dai*

The in situ growth of SnO$_2$ nanoparticles on graphene has been obtained via a hydrothermal method and the nanocomposite was used as an efficient catalyst for the epoxidation of alkenes with aqueous hydrogen peroxide in nitrile based systems for the first time. Furthermore, the SnO$_2$/graphene nanocomposite could be readily recovered and reused for at least ten consecutive cycles without significant loss of the activity and selectivity.

Growing attention has been paid to the catalytic epoxidation of alkenes recently, since the obtained epoxides constitute highly valuable synthetic intermediates in the production of fine and bulk chemicals such as pesticides, pharmaceuticals, perfume and surfactant, etc. Various heterogeneous catalysts containing transition metal have been employed for epoxidation, for instance, molybdenum-schiff base complexes supported on MCM-41, Mg-Al layered double hydroxide (LDH), immobilization of Mn$^{3+}$ into zeolites or a simple barium oxide. However, multiple drawbacks such as low selectivity, bad oxidant efficiency, inevitable concomitant production and rapid loss of catalytic activity, still exist in these systems, which would hamper industrial application.

Another issue that concerns environmentalists is the use of undesirable oxidants, like peroxy acid, tert-butyl hydroperoxide and iodosylbenzene in conventional heterogeneous epoxidation reactions. Undoubtedly, dilute hydrogen peroxide is the ideal clean oxidant on environmental and economic grounds, owing to the outstanding advantages of low cost, non-toxicity, easy handling and the formation of water as the sole by-product. Consequently, a sustainable epoxidation system should involve an effective catalyst that has higher metal capacity and activity in combination with green oxygen source. Recently we have noticed that basic solid catalysts show highly catalytic activity for epoxidation of alkenes using H$_2$O$_2$ in the presence of a nitrile, wherein nitrile acts as the activating agent to generate a peroxyxcarboximidic acid intermediate.

Nowadays, graphene-based materials incorporated nanoparticles, such as noble metals (Pt, Pd, Au), and transition-metal oxides (TiO$_2$, CoO$_4$, SnO$_2$, WO$_3$, NiO$^{2+}$) have been explored in many extending areas. Graphene possesses a high surface area for the good dispersion of metal or metal oxide, two-dimensional structure for transfer of reactants and products, propitious electrical conductivity for electron transfer, which are attractive advantages in catalytic processes. In addition, metal oxides like NiO$^{2+}$ and Fe$_2$O$_3$ could anchor strongly on the graphene through covalent bond interactions.

Intrigued by these appealing properties of graphene, we first reported here the graphene incorporated SnO$_2$ as an efficient and recyclable catalyst for epoxidation, which was synthesized via a facile in situ hydrothermal strategy by oxidizing SnCl$_2$ with graphene oxide (GO). Graphite oxide, the precursor of graphene, was synthesized according to a modified Hummer method from graphite powder. Given that graphite is relatively inexpensive, achieving graphene sheets on a large scale is strongly desirable. The obtained SnO$_2$/reduced graphene oxide (SnO$_2$/RGO) nanocomposite exhibited superior catalytic activity and impressively good reusability for the epoxidation with H$_2$O$_2$ (30 wt%) in nitrile based system, wherein the active species leached little without obvious aggregation.
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**Fig. 1** XRD patterns of GO and SnO$_2$/RGO nanocomposite
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The XRD patterns of graphene oxide and SnO$_2$/RGO nanocomposite are illustrated in the Fig. 1. A sharp and strong peak at 10.0° in curve provided evidence for the formation of GO. The five dominant broadened peaks at 26.6°, 33.8°, 51.7°, 64.7° and 65.9° in pattern of SnO$_2$/RGO correspond to (110), (101), (211), (112) and (301) of SnO$_2$ (PDF No. 41-1445), respectively, unambiguously demonstrating the formation of tetragonal rutile SnO$_2$ nanocrystals. However, no obvious diffraction peaks assigned to RGOs were found in the XRD pattern of the SnO$_2$/RGO composite, probably because the amount of graphene sheets in SnO$_2$/RGO was very low, which was confirmed by the TG curve (Fig. S1, ESI).

The XPS spectrum of the composite in Fig. S2a obviously suggested the presence of carbon, oxygen and tin. The Sn 3d$_{5/2}$ (486.9 eV) and Sn 3d$_{3/2}$ (495.5 eV) with an 8.6 eV peak-to-peak separation in Fig. S2b further confirmed the formation of SnO$_2$ nanoparticles. The C 1s XPS spectra of GO and SnO$_2$/RGO nanocomposite are shown in Fig. 2a and Fig. 2b, respectively. This region can be fitted into four peaks: nonoxygenated ring C (C–C, C=C and C–H) at 284.5 eV, carbon in C–OH at 286.7 eV, carbonyl carbon (C=O) at 287.6 eV and C=O–OH species at 288.9 eV. Compared to graphene oxide, the intensity of these oxygenated functional groups in SnO$_2$/RGO decreases significantly, indicating that graphene oxide was deoxygenated to graphene by Sn$^{2+}$ ion partly beside hydrothermal procedure.

FTIR spectra of the obtained samples are shown in Fig. S3. Compared to pure stannic oxide, a strong peak at 584 cm$^{-1}$ is associated to Sn-O, clarifying that SnO$_2$ particles exist. In the case of SnO$_2$/RGO, the peaks assigned to carboxyl (1732 cm$^{-1}$) and epoxy (1066 cm$^{-1}$) functional groups are even absent, which also indicates the successful reduction of graphene oxide and the formation of SnO$_2$/RGO.

From the representative TEM images of the composite (Fig. 3a and 3b), it is evident that a large amount of SnO$_2$ nanoparticles are uniformly deposited on the two-dimensional graphene sheets with high density. The HRTEM image in Fig. 3c demonstrates that the grain size of SnO$_2$ nanoparticles can be determined to be around 3 nm. The HRTEM image of the SnO$_2$/RGO taken from an edge (Fig. 3d) exhibits that the layer numbers of the stacked graphene nanosheets in this composite are mainly in the range from three to six. It indicates that the in situ growth of SnO$_2$ on RGOs not only prevented the aggregation of SnO$_2$ nanoparticles, but also preserved the 2-dimensional structure of graphene nanosheets.

To evaluate the catalytic efficiency of the SnO$_2$/RGO nanocomposite, the epoxidation of cyclohexene with 30 wt% H$_2$O$_2$ in the NaHCO$_3$ buffer system was carried out, and the results are presented in Table 1. Interestingly, the conversion and selectivity were moderate in the blank experiment (entry 1). Various metal oxides were also examined for comparison purposes. Among these screened metal oxides, only SnO$_2$ displayed superior activity over the other catalysts both in conversion and selectivity. However, the SnO$_2$ nanoparticles are easy to aggregate, and thus it is essential to find a suitable template to minimize the aggregation problem. Notably, SnO$_2$/RGO nanocomposite was more efficient compared to other supported SnO$_2$ catalysts, suggesting the superiority of the graphene support (entries 10 and 11 vs. entry 13). Obviously, SnCl$_2$·2H$_2$O, GO and RGO didn’t promote the reaction (entries 7, 8 and 9). SnO$_2$/RGO exhibited the highest epoxide selectivity of up to 98.2% with a conversion of 92.5% when the catalyst amount was 5
mol%, which illustrated that SnO₂/RGO nanocomposite is an excellent heterogeneous catalyst for this reaction. We believe that the unique two-dimensional structure properties of graphene were responsible for the enhanced catalytic performance, which not only provided good dispersion of metal oxide but also facilitated accessibility to the catalytic sites on the nanocomposite surfaces for the reactants. Beyond that, the in situ growth of SnO₂ on RGOs reduced the aggregation problems mutually, which preferred to cyclohexenone and others.

As to traditional hydrotalcite catalysis in related systems, the basic hydroxy groups of the hydrotalcites could substitute for the buffer causing lower performance might result from redundant active sites leading to the decomposition of the oxidant. So it could be concluded that only with a suitable amount of catalyst the catalytic performance was prone to be the best for the present reaction system. The influence of other factors has also been discussed, which showed that modestly elevated temperatures were required to obtain a significant increase in catalytic performance and 1.2 molar equivalents H₂O₂ per mole of the substrate were appropriate (see Table S1).

Table 1 Epoxidation of cyclohexene catalyzed by SnO₂/RGO and other catalysts 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>Epoxide selectivity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>blank</td>
<td>67.6</td>
<td>86.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>WO₃</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>79.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>68.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>60.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SnO₂</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>90.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>GO</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>SnCl₂·2H₂O</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>RGO</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>86.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SnO₂/Graphite</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>84.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>SnO₂/SiO₂</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>91.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>SnO₂/RGO</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>89.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>SnO₂/RGO</td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>98.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>SnO₂/RGO</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>97.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>SnO₂/RGO</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>89.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The effect of the catalyst amount on the catalytic performance was also examined. The lower selectivity was observed when the catalyst amount was reduced to 2.5 mol%. With the increase in the catalyst amount, the cyclohexene oxide conversion rose slightly, whereas a further addition of catalyst (10 mol%) decreased the epoxide selectivity from 97.5% to 89.1%. A higher catalyst amount causing lower performance might result from redundant active sites leading to the decomposition of the oxidant. So it could be concluded that only with a suitable amount of catalyst the catalytic performance was prone to be the best for the present reaction system. The influence of other factors has also been discussed, which showed that modestly elevated temperatures were required to obtain a significant increase in catalytic performance and 1.2 molar equivalents H₂O₂ per mole of the substrate were appropriate (see Table S1).

Table 2 Epoxidation of various alkenes catalyzed by SnO₂/RGO nanocomposite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>Selectivity (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>81.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>57.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>92.5</td>
<td>98.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98.8</td>
<td>99.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>67.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41.3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Reaction conditions: substrate (10 mmol), SnO₂/RGO nanocomposite (100mg, 5 mol% of substrate), acetonitrile (5 mL), NaHCO₃ (0.2M, 5mL), H₂O₂ (30 wt%, 12mmol), 50 °C, 3 h. b Catalyst amount is the mol% of SnO₂ catalyst regarding catalytic active species. c Conversion and selectivity were determined by GC and identified by GC-MS. d Main by-products : cyclohexenol, cyclohexenone and others. e Catalyst 100mg. f Catalyst 190mg (5 mol% of cyclohexene regarding catalytic SnO₂ sites).
Another advantage of the SnO$_2$/RGO nanocomposite is the robust reusability, which is a prerequisite for practical applications. After the reaction, the solid catalyst was separated from the reaction mixture conveniently by simple filtration. The recyclability was then tested under the identical conditions through the epoxidation of cyclohexene. The results in Fig. 4 demonstrated that the heterogeneous catalyst was fairly stable for ten cycles without observing significant decrease in catalytic activity. Both conversion and selectivity were still higher than 90% throughout ten runs. The robust recyclability of SnO$_2$/RGO nanocomposite may originate from the strong interactions between graphene and metal oxide.

Evidence for the stability of our catalyst can be seen in further tests. The XRD patterns of the fresh and the reused one (after 10 recycles) are shown in Fig. S6. Obviously, there is no discriminable difference between the fresh catalyst and the spent one, indicating that the characteristic peaks of tetragonal SnO$_2$ still exist. The TEM image of the recovered catalyst (Fig. S7) further confirmed that the size of SnO$_2$ is still around 3nm intuitively after ten recycles, suggesting that graphene prevent the aggregation problem efficiently. The result of ICP-AES analysis showed no trace of Sn in the filtrate, which is also confirmed by the TG curves (Fig. S8), as the amount of active spieces remained at 77% in consecutive cycles. These results infer that the nanocomposite is truly heterogeneous with no active spieces leaching.

Furthermore, the generality of this catalytic system was then investigated under the optimized reaction conditions. As shown in Table 2, styrene showed a conversion of 93.4% and selectivity of 81.4% (entry 1) and the epoxidation was also successfully carried out on norbornene (entry 4). Anethole and allyl alcohol showed relatively high conversion with lower selectivity (entries 2 and 5). The conversion of isophorone and 1-octene were significantly lower than other alkenes (entries 6 and 7), which possibly because weaker electrophilic cycloaddition would occur in the epoxidation of electron-deficient alkenes.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have demonstrated for the first time that SnO$_2$/RGO nanocomposite can be used as an excellent catalyst in the epoxidation of alkenes with a combined oxidant of H$_2$O$_2$ (30 wt%) and acetonitrile. The catalyst is recyclable and stable, which can be reused for at least ten times with no appreciable loss in its catalytic activity. Furthermore, the XRD and TEM analyses of the reused catalyst disclosed that the nanoparticles still dispersed homogeneously on graphene sheets without any aggregation, indicating the unique layered structure of graphene minimized the aggregation problem effectively. Additionally, the use of H$_2$O$_2$ with only 1.2 molar equivalents is another attractive advantage. Other chemical reactions in this catalytic system are under investigation in our laboratory.
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