RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

Journal Name

ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/



Long-term reliability of silicon wafer-based traditional backsheet modules and double glass modules

Yingbin Zhang^{a,b}, Jianmei Xu^b, Jing Mao^b, JiahuaTao^a, Hui Shen^b, Yifeng Chen^b, Zhiqiang Feng^b, Pierre J. Verlinden^b, Pingxiong Yang^{*a} and Junhao Chu^a

An extensive program of series extended sequential long-term reliability stress including thermal cycling (TC) 600, damp heat (DH) 3000, 600 hours potential induced degradation (PID) and humidity freeze (HF) 50 were performed on silicon wafer-based traditional backsheet modules and double glass photovoltaic (PV) modules. The relative module maximum power (P_{max}) degradation of traditional backsheet modules is 3.87%, 7.34%, 13.3%, 33.73% and that of double glass modules is 2.78%, 3.12%, 2.27%, 2.72%, respectively. From all above results, HF50 has much more impact on P_{max} degradation of traditional backsheet modules, and a strong correlation is found between Water Vapor Transmission Rate (WVTR) of backsheet and the P_{max} degradation thereby. Traditional backsheet modules have higher WVTR and more P_{max} degradation, while double glass modules are impermeable and have much less P_{max} degradation. The key factor for the excellent performance of Si wafer-based double glass PV module is replacing polymer backsheet by glass panel with impermeability of water vapor, which makes double glass modules can offer a much higher reliability and longer durability.

1. Introduction

As a promising renewable energy source, PV energy is gaining great support by the governments worldwide. With the newer techniques of manufacturing crystalline Si wafer-based PV modules and efficient power converter design, it is possible to make the PV project cost-effective.^[1] Si wafer-based double glass photovoltaic modules have exceptionally low degradation, demonstrating its higher reliability and longer durability.^[2] The durability and reliability of Si wafer-based PV modules are extremely important for lowering the leverage cost of electricity generated by PV. If the lifetime of PV modules can be extended to 40 years instead of 20 years, the cost of modules in a PV power plant can be reduced by a factor of two.

Previously, we have reported the basic design concept of double glass PV modules and performed standard test according to IEC61215 on 28th European Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conference in 2013.^[3] Compared to the traditional backsheet modules, double glass modules exhibited excellent performance under different stress

conditions such as damp heat (DH), thermal cycling (TC), humidityfreeze (HF), mechanical loading, fire class A test and potential induced degradation (PID). Although double-glass PV modules have existed by several groups over the past few years, to the best of our knowledge, few systematical studies about the long-term reliability and the P_{max} degradation of double glass modules have been reported. In this work, we performed strict tests in climate chambers to simulate the outdoor field operations, to investigate the long-term reliability of double glass and traditional backsheet PV modules, and try to find out the reason why double glass modules have higher reliability and longer durability. Our results show that great progress has been made in solving differences for two different modules, and we provide new research directions.

2. Experimental

The structure of double glass moudles and standard conventional back sheet moudles is displayed in Fig. 1. The major difference is the traditional backsheet materials of conventional solar modules is replaced with toughened 2.5 mm-thick glass. The preparing process of the samples comprises the following steps: Solar cells are welded and striped followed by a lay up process where we place front glass, solar cells string, EVA, backsheet (or back side glass) and lamilation at temperature 80 to 150 °C for 15 min is operated, then the backsheet modules are framed with Al bars while the double glass modules are frameless, finally the modules are characterized by I-V and EL measurements.

To systematically investigate the degradation behavior of double glass modulescompared with traditional backsheet modules, we carried out an extensive program with series sequential long-term

^{a.} Key Laboratory of Polar Materials and Devices, Ministry of Education, Department of Electronic Engineering, East China Normal University, 500 Donachuan Road, Shanahai 200241. China.

^{b.} State Key Laboratory of PV Science and Technology, Changzhou Trina Solar Energy Co., Ltd. 2 Tianhe Road, Electronics Park, New District, Changzhou, Jiangsu 213031, China.

^aCorresponding author. Tel: +86 21 54345157; fax: +86 21 54345119. Email:pxyang@ee.ecnu.edu.cn (P. Yang)

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

ARTICLE

reliability accelerated tests and characterizations in the State Key Lab of PV Science and Technology (PVST) in Trina solar. The current-voltage (I-V) characteristics of all PV modules were measured under standard test conditions (STC, under 1000 W/m² irradiance density and 25 °C module temperature) before and after different accelerated environments stress tests. Visual inspections were also carried out according to IEC 61215.^[4] 12 pieces of each commercially available traditional backsheet PV modules and double glass PV modules were selected to perform the fair comparison. Each module has 60 pieces 156×156 mm² multicrystalline solar cells connected with ribbons. To minimize the testing errors, all accelerated stress tests were carried out under the same condition, with the same operators, the same chamber and the same IV tester. Both the traditional backsheet modules and the double glass modules were tested under extended climate chamber tests which have 3 times above longer testing times comparing to the standard requirements as described in IEC61215 standard,^[4] i.e. 600 thermal cycles (-40 °C to +85 °C), 3000 hours of damp heat (85 °C, 85% Relative Humidity), 50 humidity-freeze cycles (+85C °C to -40 °C, 85% R.H.) and 600 hours system voltage durability test.



Fig.1 Structure of double glass (a) and traditional module (b).

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Thermal cycling



Fig. 2(a) P_{max} degradation, **(b)** I_{sc} reduction and **(c)** *FF* reduction of traditional modules and double glass modules before and after TC stress.

As reported by Mr. Nochang Park et, al.^[5] the module power decreases as a result of thermal cycling (TC) stress, due to the formation of cracks between the solder and metal. During the thermal cycling conditions, the PV modules experience a continuous thermal load because of the mismatch between the coefficient of thermal expansion of solder and silicon wafer, which leads to fatigue cracks at the solder interconnection,^[6] and causes higher series resistance (R_s) and lower fill factor (FF). Compared with traditional backsheet modules, double glass PV modules are more durable, presenting less power degradation due to thermal cycling stress (see Table S1). As indicated in Fig. 2(a), double glass modules degrade <2.8% over 600 cycles; whereas the backsheet modules degrade over 3.8%. In addition, the I_{sc} and FF have the similar degradation trend in Fig. 2(b) and Fig. 2(c). This suggests that TC stress has a stronger influence on the P_{max} degradation of traditional backsheet modules than that of double glass modules.

3.2 Damp heat



Fig. 3(a) P_{max} degradation, (b) I_{sc} reduction, (c) *FF* reduction and (d) Yellowing index of traditional modules and double glass modules before and after DH stress. (Yellowing index: the yellow index changes before and after thermal aging or light aging, usually represented by ΔY ; Yellow index: characterization of the degree of a polymer material deviation from the white.)

Damp heat (DH) stress is to evaluate the influence of moisture ingress on the PV modules performance. The P_{max} degradation results from the loss in *FF* due to high Rs.^[7] And the cause of the

increase in the series is because the sliver fingers and solder joints could be oxidized or corroded by high thermal and moisture stress.^{[8-} ^{10]} As seen in Table S2, the P_{max} of traditional backsheet PV modules keep going down as aging continued. In contrast, double glass modules remain relatively constant under the same level of exposures. As displayed in Fig. 3(a), under 3000 hours of damp heat exposure, a significant reduction up to 7.34% in power output is observed in traditional backsheet modules, while double glass module samples perform well within the IEC specification, which is less than 5% power degradation. As shown in Fig. 3(b) and Fig. 3(c), the continuing degradation in P_{max} for traditional modules is associated with a drop in I_{sc} and FF. The loss of I_{sc} and FF has significant influence on the degradation of P_{max} . It is also can be seen from Fig. 3(d) that after DH3000 stress, the yellowing index of EVA in traditional module is 14.08, which is much worse than that of double glass modules 4.05. This is because more water moisture ingress into traditional backsheet modules accelerated the aging of EVA.^[13]

3.3 Humidity-freeze stress



Journal Name



ARTICLE



Fig. 4(a) P_{max} degradation, **(b)** I_{sc} reduction and **(c)** *FF* reduction of traditional modules and double glass modules after HF stress, and **(d)** P_{max} degradation of traditional modules with different WVTR backsheet after HF10.

Compared to traditional backsheet modules, the almost-zero water moisture penetration into the double glass modules is responsible for the excellent performance under humidity freeze reliability test which was carried out up to 50 cycles (Table S3). The result indicates that the P_{max} degradation of traditional backsheet modules reaches up to 33.73% while that of double glass modules is only 2.72% after humidity-freeze 50 cycles stress test in Fig. 4(a). As presented in Fig. 4(c), the significant change of FF for traditional backsheet modules exhibits a strong correlation with the degradation of P_{max} . It is highly suspected that the moisture penetrate the backsheet under Humidity-Freeze condition, and the acetate moiety of the EVA polymer reacts and forms acetic acid with the presence of water moisture, which can accelerate the corrosion of electrical interconnects such as busbar, collector ribbon, and will further lead to higher Rs and lower FF.^[14] Additionally, a further study was performed with different WVTR backsheet modules and a strong correlation has been found between WVTR of backsheet and the P_{max} degradation under HF10. Modules with lower WVTR have less P_{max} degradtion, as displayed in Fig. 4(d).

3.4 Long-term Potential Induced Degradation (PID)





Fig. 5(a) P_{max} degradation, **(b)** I_{sc} reduction and **(c)** *FF* reduction of traditional modules and double glass modules after PID stress. (1000 V, 85 °C, 85% R.H.).

Higher leakage currents which can be caused by the increase of water moisture through solar panel would make the encapsulation material more conductive^[11] and it is one of the factors involved in PID. Two traditional modules and two double glass modules were subjected to PID test (-1000 V, 85 °C, 85% R.H. with Copper foil on both sides) for 600 hours, which was 6 times above IEC standard (see Table S4). As to traditional modules with anti-PID material and anti-PID cells which were particularly fabricated with a special anti-PID technology, the average degradation is about 13.3% after 600 hours' test and the observed average P_{max} degradation of double glass module is only 2.27% due to superior moisture barrier property, as shown in Fig. 5(a). From Fig.5(b) and (c), neither much I_{sc} loss for both module types nor big drop in FF on traditional backsheet modules was found, which is because higher WVTR of backsheet allows water diffusion into PV modules leading corrosion of interconnectors, higher sheet resistance and lower FF.

3.5 Long-term multiple stress sequential



Fig. 6(a) P_{max} degradation, **(b)** I_{sc} reduction and **(c)** *FF* reduction of double glass modules module and traditional modules after long-term multiple sequential stress test.

To understand the limitations of double glass modules, a series of combined sequential stress tests including DH2000, TC600, HF50 were performed on double glass modules and traditional modules (Table S5). The P_{max} degradation of double glass modules and traditional modules are 4.94% and 77.66% respectively, revealing double glass modules exhibit less degradation as illustrated in Fig. 6(a). As indicated in Fig.6(b) and Fig.6(c), the great P_{max} degradation of traditional modules results from the big loss in FF due to high R_s , and this is because water vapor ingressing into traditional modules causes interconnects corrosion after long-term multiple stress. As the major encapsulation material of traditional PV modules, backsheet plays an important role of insulation, protection, and water proof for solar cells. However, the WVTR of polymeric backsheet is $1 \sim 3 \text{g/m}^2/\text{day}$ and the whole backsheet is water ingress route and the distance from atmosphere to all solar cells is 0.8~1 mm (thickness of backsheet plus EVA), while the backside glass panel of double glass module is impermeable, the only water transmission route of double glass modules is though the EVA around the edges and the distance from atmosphere to nearest solar cells is 15 mm, the traditional modules also have exactly same route through EVA between front glass and backsheet as well. Water vapor is known as a very reactive species which assists hydrolysis of polymeric material and corrosion of metallic components. After the long-term multiple stress

sequential, with the presence of water moisture, the acetate moiety of the EVA polymer reacts and forms acetic acid,^[12-14] which can cause badly corrosion of electrical interconnects such as silver fingers and collector ribbons^[15] as showed in Fig.7(b) and increase series resistance and decrease *FF* presented in Fig.6(c). As P. Hacke and X. Han et, al. also reported that an increased electrical resistance is associated with corrosion effects of the electrical interconnects.^[16, 17]



Fig. 7. Collector ribbon appearance of (a) double glass module and (b) traditional module after Long-term multiple sequential stress test; (c) the elongation after break and (d) crack of backsheet after DH stress test.

The visual inspection check shows that the collector ribbon of traditional backsheet module was oxidized seriously and turned black, while that of double glass module appeared only a little bit yellowing, see Fig. 7(a) and Fig. 7(b). And it can be considered that there was relatively little corrosion occurring in double glass modules after the long-term multiple stress. Fig. 7(c) shows that elongation at break of backsheet remained only 2.7% and 1.2% after DH 2000 and DH 3000 stress test, which would make the backsheet

brittle, cracked or even broken easily, as shown in Fig. 7(d), and further result in the oxygen and water moisture transporting into modules and accelerating the aging of EVA and corrosion of solar cells.

High WVTR is responsible for allowing water to enter the PV module which causes corrosion of the inner components. Encapsulants with high WVTR are the reason for corrosion of the solder bonds and copper interconnects.^[18] Higher WVTR of polymeric backsheet causes the moisture and oxygen to enter traditional modules and accelerate the aging of EVA and produce acetic acid. With the presence of moisture and acetic acid, the sliver finger, ribbon connector and backside electrode are corroded [19-21] and led to higher R_s , lower FF, worse yellowing, and more P_{max} degradation of traditional backsheet modules. From the above experiment data and analyses, it can be concluded that the key factor of super less P_{max} degradation and discoloration of double glass modules after extended long-term reliability test is impermeability of backside glass panel, which protects EVA and solar cells, overcomes and remedies all the quality and reliability issues of traditional PV modules.

4. Conclusions

Different extended stress conditions such as TC 600, DH 3000, PID 600, HF 50 were performed, and the P_{max} degradation of traditional backsheet modules is 3.87%, 7.34%, 13.3%, 33.73% while that of double glass modules is 2.78%, 3.12%, 2.27%, 2.72% accordingly. Compared to the traditional backsheet modules, double glass modules have much less P_{max} degradation under different stress conditions. From all stresses, HF 50 has much more impact on P_{max} degradation of traditional backsheet modules than others and a strong correlation was found between WVTR of backsheet and the P_{max} degradation under HF 10 stress. Thereby the penetration of moisture into the module is correlated with decreased performance for a PV module. As the most important factor of double glass PV modules, the impermeability for water vapor of backside glass panel can bring less yellowing and discoloration, less corrosion and oxidation of ribbon connector and backside electrode, and less crack of solar cells, which causes module power less degradation after extended long-term reliability test.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all the team members for the helps in modules samples fabrication and reliability tests in manufacturing department and test laboratory of Trina Solar. This work is supported by the China National "863" Project under the Project Number of 2015AA050302 and China Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province under the Project Number of BK20140273 and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (61474045).

Notes and references

- 1 S. Yuvarajan, D. Yu and S. Xu, A novel power converter for photovoltaic applications, J. Power Sources. 135 (2004) 327-331.
- 2 J.Y. Ye, T. Reindl, A.G. Aberle and T.M. Walsh, Performance Degradation of Various PV Module Technologies in Tropical Singapore, IEEE J. Photovolt. 4 (2014) 1288-1294.
- 3 Y.B. Zhang, J.M. Xu, Y.H. Shu, P. Quan, Y. Wang, J. Mao, Y.Y. Gao, C.G. Fu, Z.Q. Feng, P. J. Verlinden, P.X. Yang and J.H. Chu, High-reliability and long-durability waferbased double-glass module crystalline silicon solar cells with

fire-safety class a certification, 28th EU PVSEC. 4 (2013) 31233-3126

- 4 IEC 61215, "Crystalline Silicon Terrestrial Photovoltaic Modules-Design Qualification and Type Approval" edition 2 (2005).
- 5 N. Park, J. Jeong and C. Han, Estimation of the degradation rate of multi-crystalline silicon photovoltaic module under thermal cycling stress Microelectron. Reliab. 54 (2014) 1562-1566.
- 6 R. Subrahmanyan, J.R. Wilcox and C.Y. Li, A Damage Integral Approach to Thermal Fatigue of Solder Joints, IEEE Trans. 12 (1989) 240-252.
- 7 E.L. Meyer and E.E. van Dyk, Assessing the Reliability and Degradation of Photovoltaic Module Performance Parameters IEEE Trans. Reliab. 53 (2004) 83-92.
- 8 W. Oh, S. Kim, S. Bae, N. Park, Y. Kang, H. Lee and D. Kim, The degradation of multi-crystalline silicon solar cells after damp heat tests, Microelectron. Reliab. 54 (2014) 2176-2179.
- 9 N.G. Dhere and N.R. Raravikar, Adhesional shear strength and surface analysis of a PV module deployed in harsh coastal climate, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells, 67 (2001) 363-367.
- 10 B. Ketola and A. Norris, Degradation Mechanism Investigation of Extended Damp Heat Aged PV Modules, 26th EU PVSEC, Hamburg (2012) 3523-3528.
- 11 J. Berghold, O. Frank, H. Hoehne, S. Pingel, B. Richardson and M. Winkler, Potential Induced Degradation of solar cells and panels, 25th EU PVSEC, Valencia, Spain September (2010) 6-10.
- 12 G. Oreski and G.M. Wallner, Aging mechanisms of polymeric films for PV encapsulation, Solar Energy, 79 (2005) 612-617.
- 13 Philip Hu"lsmann and Karl-Anders Weiss, Simulation of water ingress into PV-modules: IEC-testing, Solar Energy 115 (2015) 347-353.
- 14 M.D. Kempe, G.J. Jorgensen, Kent M. Terwilliger, Tom J. McMahon, Cheryl E. Kennedy and Theodore T. Borek, Acetic acid production and glass transition concerns with ethylene-vinyl acetate used in photovoltaic devices, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells., 91 (2007) 315-329
- 15 Ndiaya, A. et al, Degradation of silicon photovoltaic modules: a literature review, Sol. Energy 96, (2013)140-151.
- 16 M. Koehl, and P. Bentz, Origin of damp-heat induced cell degradation. Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 116, (2013) 49 54.
- 17 X. Han, Y. Wang, L. Zhu, H. Xiang and H. Zhang, Mechanism study of the electrical performance change of silicon concentrator solar cells immersed in de-ionized water, Energy Conversion and Management, 53 (2012) 1-10.
- 18 O. Hasan and A.F.M. Arif, Performance and life prediction model for photovoltaic modules Effect of encapsulant constitutive behavior, Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells., 122 (2014) 75-87.
- 19 T.H. Kim, N.C. Park and D.H. Kim, The effect of moisture on the degradation mechanism of multicrystalline silicon on photovoltaic module proportional to root of the contact resistance, Microelectron Reliab, 53 (2013)1823-1827.
- 20 J. Tao, K. Zhang, C. Zhang, L. Chen, H. Cao, J. Liu, J. Jiang, L. Sun, P. Yanga and J. Chu, A sputtered CdS buffer layer for co-electrodeposited Cu₂ZnSnS₄ solar cells with 6.6% efficiency, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 10337-10340.
- 21 J. Tao, J. Liu, J. He, K. Zhang, J. Jiang, L. Sun, P. Yanga and J. Chu, Synthesis and characterization of Cu₂ZnSnS₄ thin films by the sulfurization of co-electrodeposited Cu–Zn–Sn–S precursor layers for solar cell applications, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 23977-23984.

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx