RSC Advances



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



The Organocatalyzed Domino Michael-Aldol Reaction Revisited. Synthesis of Enantioenriched 3-Hydroxycyclohexanone Derivatives by Reaction of Enals with α,α' -Diaryl-substituted Acetone.

James O. Guevara-Pulido, José M. Andrés and Rafael Pedrosa*

Instituto CINQUIMA and Departamento de Química Orgánica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Valladolid. Paseo de Belén 7. 47011-Valladolid. Spain

E-mail: pedrosa@qo.uva.es

Abstract.

The reaction of enals with α,α' -diaryl-substituted acetones (pKa > 18) catalyzed by (S)-1-(2-pyrrolidinylmethyl) pyrrolidine provides a direct access to enantioenriched 2,5,6-trisubstituted-3-hydroxy cyclohexanones. The process constitutes a highly stereoselective organocatalytic tandem Michael-intramolecular aldol reaction. It has been demonstrated that the stereoselection is dependent on the reaction conditions because only *syn* diastereoisomers are able to cyclize, and that *anti* diastereoisomers participate in a retro-Michael process decreasing the enantioselection.

Keywords: Aldol reaction, Cyclization, Michael addition, Organocatalysis, Retro-Michael reaction.

Introduction

Sequential organocatalytic enantioselective Michael addition-cyclization process has been thoroughly exploited in the synthesis of cyclohexanone derivatives with multiple stereocenters. This approach is based on an initial diastereo- and enantioselective Michael addition of reagents with prochiral methylene groups, acting as nucleophiles, to α,β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds activated as iminium ions by a chiral organocatalysts. The Michael adducts then cyclize to cyclohexanone derivatives in different ways, depending on the nature of the carbonyl group, the substituents, the catalyst, and the reaction conditions.

Two different sets of starting compounds have been used for the preparation of cyclohexanone derivatives depending on the nature of the donor and Michael acceptor. In the first one, alkyl-vinyl ketones, acting as Michael acceptor, react with α -functionalized ketones with a highly acidic single methylene group leading to the Michael adducts, which readily cyclize to the final 3-hydroxy cyclohexanones. The nucleophile for the intramolecular aldol reaction is generated by enolization or enamine formation from the carbonyl of the ketone initially acting as Michael acceptor (Eq. 1 in Figure 1). In this way, 3-hydroxycyclohexanones have been prepared with very good stereoselectivity starting from β -keto esters² or β -keto sulfones³ and phenylalanine-derived imidazolidine as organocatalysts, but in good yield and moderate stereoselection in the presence of catalytic proline.⁴

Nevertheless, the most popular Michael acceptors used in those processes are α,β -unsaturated aldehydes, which react with ketoesters,⁵ ketosulfones,⁶ and phosphoryl-3-oxobutanoates⁷ leading to cyclohexenones (Eq.2 in Figure 1). In those cases, the unsaturated ketone is formed in a tandem Michael-Knoevenagel process or in a two-steps protocol promoting the aldol-dehydration process from the initially formed Michael adducts. Methylene cyclohexenones have been also prepared from unsaturated aldehydes and Nazarov reagents as donors,⁸ or from alkyl-vinyl ketones and aldehydes and benzylamine.⁹

Previous work Nucleophile for Michael addition Nucleophile for **EWG** Aldol reaction **EWG** R^1 **EWG** (1) O^{2} R^2 ONE-STEP Nucleophile for Nucleophile for Michael addition Aldol reaction **EWG EWG EWG** (2)0/ R^2 R^2 R^2 HO TWO-STEPS This work Nucleophile for Nucleophile for Michael addition Aldol reaction (3) R^2 HO

Figure 1. Representative asymmetric strategies for the synthesis of 3-hydroxy cyclohexanones

ONE-STEP

It has been established that the pKa value of a nucleophile for a direct amine catalytic activation lies between 16 and 17,¹⁰ and consequently, only ketones activated by electron withdrawing groups have been used in those transformations.¹¹ Recently, it has been demonstrated¹² that 4-nitrophenylacetone constitutes a good nucleophile in the diarylprolinol-catalyzed Michael addition to unsaturated aldehydes, but acidic or basic additives are necessary to get good yields and stereoselectivities. The cyclohexenone derivatives were obtained in a second step after cyclization-dehydration of the Michael adducts. In all those transformations, diarylprolinol ethers have been used as organocatalysts. Those proline-derivatives are able to activate the unsaturated aldehydes by iminium ion formation, but they are unable to promote the necessary activation of the nucleophilic center in the initially formed Michael adducts to promote the cyclization process when weakly acidic ketones were used.

Results and discussion.

We envisaged that a bifunctional organocatalysts, with two different nitrogen atoms, would be able to activate the unsaturated aldehyde by forming an iminium ion and to deprotonate the methylene group in the Michael adduct, promoting a tandem Michael addition-intramolecular aldol reaction to 3-hydroxy cyclohexanones (Eq. 3, Figure 1).

Herein, we summarize the first tandem asymmetric Michael addition-aldol reaction of α,β -unsaturated aldehydes and ketones with pKa > 18 catalyzed by (S)-1-(2-pyrrolidinylmethyl) pyrrolidine (1),¹³ without additional acidic or basic additives. The reaction is highly diastereo- and enantioselective, leading to enantio-enriched 3-hydroxy cyclohexanones with four stereocenters.

Initially, we studied the effect of the solvent and temperature in the reaction of dibenzyl ketone (2a) and cinnamaldehyde (3a) catalyzed by 1, and the results are collected in Table 1. The screening showed that at rt the highest reaction rate and the best yield were obtained in methanol as a solvent (entry 1), but a very complex mixture of diastereoisomers was obtained. The reaction also proceeded in ethereal solvents, toluene, and DCM yielding mixtures of three different diastereomeric 2,5,6-triphenyl-3-hydroxy cyclohexanones (entries 2-6). No improvement in the diastereoselection was observed by lowering the temperature when the reactions were carried out in DCM as solvent (entries 7, 8), but a mixture 7/3 of only two diastereoisomers was obtained at 0 $^{\circ}$ C in diethyl ether (entry 9). Fortunately, excellent diastereo- and enantioselectivities were observed for the reaction in Et₂O at -18 $^{\circ}$ C (entry 10) although at expenses of diminishing the yield of the cyclized product. Increasing the reaction time to 240 h in that conditions did not increase the yield of the cyclization product. Lowering the temperature to -35 $^{\circ}$ C did not improve the stereoselection, but increased the reaction time to ten days (entry 11).

Scheme 1. Organocatalyzed reaction of dibenzyl ketone with cinnamaldehyde.

Table 1. Effect of the solvent and temperature on the tandem Michael-aldol reaction of **2a** and **3a** catalyzed by **1**.

entry	solvent	T (°C)	time (h)	yield $\mathbf{4aa}^a$, $(dr)^b$ [ee] ^c
1	МеОН	rt	10	75% (complex mixture)
2	Toluene	rt	36	50% (2:2:1) [nd]
3	THF	rt	72	50% (4:2:1) [nd]
4	TBME	rt	38	50% (4:2:1) [nd]
5	DCM	rt	24	70% (4:3:1) [nd]
6	Et ₂ O	rt	38	60% (4:2:1) [nd]
7	DCM	0	72	67% (4:2:1) [66] ^d
8	DCM	- 18	72	60% (4:2:1) [78] ^d
9	Et ₂ O	0	96	60% (7:3) [78] ^d
10	Et ₂ O	- 18	144	53% (97:3) [82] ^d
11	Et ₂ O	- 35	240	50% (97:3) [82] ^d

^a Yields refer to isolated compounds. ^b Determined by ¹H-NMR in the reaction mixture.

It is important to note that only cyclization products were observed when the reaction was carried out at rt, whereas a mixture of cyclohexanone derivatives **4aa** and *anti*-Michael adducts **5aa** was detected when the reaction was performed at low temperature (Scheme 2).

Then, we explored the generality of the reaction of different β -substituted unsaturated aldehydes (**3a-f**) with dibenzyl ketone **2a** in Et₂O at -18 °C in the presence of 20 mol% of catalyst **1** without additional acidic or basic additives, and the results are summarized in Scheme 2 and Table 2 (entries 1-6). In all cases mixtures of the *anti*-Michael adducts **5aa-5af** and 3-hydroxy-2,5,6-trisubstituted cyclohexanones **4aa-4af** were obtained as single diastereoisomers, but with enantioselectivities that are dependent on the nature of the substituent at β -position in the unsaturated aldehyde. The stereochemistry of **4aa** was determined by single crystal X-ray analysis, ¹⁴ and generalized for all the 3-hydroxy

^c Determined by Chiral HPLC, and refers to the major diastereoisomer. ^d Different amounts of the Michael adducts were detected in the reaction mixtures.

cyclohexanone derivatives, and indicates that they result from the cyclization of *syn* diastereoisomers **6aa-6af**, which were not detected in the reaction mixtures.

Scheme 2. Domino Michael-intramolecular aldol reaction of different enals and ketones catalyzed by diamine 1.

As a general feature, the observed enantioselectivities were much better for the formation of the *syn* diastereoisomers (isolated as hydroxy cyclohexanones 4) than for the *anti* diastereoisomers.

Furthermore, different ketones (**2b-g**) were tested towards cinnamaldehyde (**3a**) or *p*-methoxy cinnamaldehyde (**3b**) (entries 7-12 in Table 2). Notably, the behavior of these ketones is highly dependent on the substitution pattern. Thus, 1,3-di-*p*-tolylpropan-2-one (**2b**), 1,3-bis(4-chlorophenyl)propan-2-one (**2c**), and 1,3-di(naphtalen-2-yl)propan-2-one (**2e**) ketones behave in a similar way than dibenzyl ketone (**2a**) do, leading to the corresponding hydroxy-cyclohexanones as major products in moderate yield, with excellent diastereoselectivity and moderate to good enantioselectivity (entries 7, 8, and 10 in Table 2). On the contrary, the initial addition of 1,3-bis(4-methoxyphenyl) propan-2-one (**2d**) to enal **3b** was highly diastereoselective, giving the *anti* Michael adduct **5db** in good yield although poor enantioselectivity (entry 9 in Table 2) and only 7% of *syn* diastereoisomer (*syn*-**6bd**) was detected in the reaction mixture. The reaction was also very sensitive to the acidity of the ketone used as nucleophile. Benzyl ethyl ketone (**2f**) only yielded a mixture of *anti*-**5fa** (64%, 30% ee) and *syn*-**6fa** (16%, 78% ee) Michael adducts because the methylene group of the ethyl substituent is not acidic enough (pKa 24.4)¹⁵ to be deprotonated by the catalyst to promote the cyclization process (entry 11 in

Table 2). Additionally, 3-pentanone (**2g**), with pKa 27.1,¹⁵ did not react with cinnamaldehyde after 240 h. at rt (entry 12 in Table 2).

Table 2. Synthesis of 2,5,6-trisubstituted-3-hydroxy cyclohexanones from unsaturated aldehydes and ketones catalyzed by (1).

Entry	Reagents		Time	Products
	$2 (R^1, R^2)$	$3 (R^3)$	(h)	5 (yield), ^a [ee] ^b 4 (yield), ^a [ee], ^b de ^c
1	$R^1 = R^2 = Ph$	Ph	144	5aa ; (20), [46] 4aa ; (53), [83], >96
2	$R^1 = R^2 = Ph$	4-MeOPh	192	5ab ; (16), [38] 4ab ; (60), [80], >96
3	$R^1 = R^2 = Ph$	2-furyl	192	5ac ; (26), [26] 4ac ; (55), [66], >96
4	$R^1 = R^2 = Ph$	Me	336	5ad ; (49), [44] 4ad ; (40), [52], 80
5	$R^1 = R^2 = Ph$	Et	408	5ae ; (44), [40] 4ae ; (40), [68], 50
6	$R^1 = R^2 = Ph$	n-Pr	408	5af ; (42), [32] 4af ; (40), [46], 40
7	$R^1 = R^2 = 4\text{-MePh}$	4-MeOPh	144	5bb ; (16), [46] 4bb ; (50), [76], >96
8	$R^1 = R^2 = 4\text{-ClPh}$	4-MeOPH	144	5cb ; (17), [6] 4cb ; (48), [70], >96
9	$R^1 = R^2 = 4\text{-MeOPh}$	4-MeOPh	240	5db (78), [14] ^d
10	$R^1 = R^2 = 2\text{-Naphtyl}$	4-MeOPh	144	5eb (18), [38] 4eb (48), [84], >96
11	$R^1 = Me, R^2 = Ph$	Ph	72 ^e	5fa (64), [30] ^f
12	$R^1 = R^2 = Me$	Ph	240 ^e	No reaction

^a Yields refer to isolated compounds. ^b Determined by chiral HPLC. ^c Determined by ¹H-NMR of the reaction mixtures, >96 means that only a single diastereoisomer was detected by ¹H-NMR. ^d 7% of **6db** was detected in the mixture. ^e The reaction was carried out at rt. ^f 16% of **6fa** (78 % ee) was isolated.

The incorporation of a nitro substituent at *para* position in the phenyl ring highly increases the acidity at the benzylic position^{11a,16,17} and, in fact, the much more acidic 1,3-bis (4-nitrophenyl) propan-2-one (**2h**) behaves in a quite different way, leading to the Michael-Knoevenagel adduct instead of the Michael-Aldol product. It reacted with **3b** yielding cyclohexenone **7hb** in excellent yield and near total enantioselectivity after 17 h at -18 °C (Eq.1 in Scheme 3). After some control experiment, we were unable to detect the corresponding 3-hydroxy cyclohexanone derivative in the reaction mixture, indicating that the increase of the acidity of methylene protons allows that the initially

formed Michael adduct easily epimerizes and immediately cyclizes and dehydrates to the cyclohexenone derivative **7hb**. Finally, 1,3-bis (2-thienyl) propan-2-one (**2i**) readily reacted with **3b** yielding **4ib** as a mixture of diastereoisomers at C-2 and/or C-3, which spontaneously dehydrated at rt to a single diastereoisomer of cyclohexenone **7ib**, but in moderate enantioselectivity (Eq. 2 in Scheme 3).

Scheme 3. Michael-aldol-dehydration leading to cyclohexenones.

The first step of the process is well established, and accepted that the Michael addition occurs by activation of the enal by formation of an iminium ion, followed by addition of the nucleophile leading to a mixture of enantioenriched *syn* and *anti* diastereoisomers. The evolution of the Michael adducts is dependent on the pKa of the methine protons and the reaction conditions. It has been previously described that, for very acidic substrates, *anti* adduct totally epimerizes to the *syn* diastereoisomer that quickly cyclizes to hydroxy cyclohexanone derivatives by intramolecular aldol reaction^{2,3} or participates in an enolization process and subsequent heterocyclization. In our case, the epimerization is a very slow process or it does not occur at -18 °C, because a mixture of cyclized products 4, resulting form a very quickly cyclization of the *syn* diastereoisomers, and *anti* Michael adducts 5 were isolated. On the contrary, the epimerization takes place above 0 °C, isolating mixtures of cyclized products, but with lower enantioselectivity (compare entries 9 and 10 in Table 1).

We suspect that above 0 °C catalyst 1 was able to promote not only the epimerization of the *anti* diastereoisomer but also a retro-Michael reaction, thus eroding the ee. To shed

light on the observed results we reacted dibenzylketone (2a) with *p*-methoxy benzaldehyde (3b), at 35 °C for 144h, in the presence of racemic prolinol derivative 8 as catalyst (60 mol%), leading to a racemic mixture of *anti-5ab* and *syn-6ab* (1:3) in 20% yield. This mixture was dissolved in diethyl ether, cooled to -18 °C, and stirred for 100 h in the presence of catalyst 1. Chiral HPLC analysis of the final mixture showed that *rac-syn-6ab* was transformed into *rac-3*-hydroxy cyclohexanone 4ab, whereas *anti-5ab* remains in the mixture but partially deracemized in favor of (3S,4R) enantiomer (20% ee) (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4. Intramolecular aldol or de-racemization of syn- or anti-Michael adducts.

This fact confirms that, under the studied conditions, only the *rac-syn*-diastereoisomer quickly cyclizes to the *rac*-hydroxy cyclohexanone, and more important, that *anti*-diastereoisomer deracemizes *via* retro-Michael reaction.¹⁹ The retro-Michael process could also explain that the catalytic process is less enantioselective for the formation of the *anti*- than for the *syn*-diastereoisomers.

With this information in mind, we propose a pathway for the reaction as summarized in Scheme 5. Bifunctional diamine 1 is able to promote the activation of the enal by formation of an iminium ion leading to a mixture of *anti-5* and *syn-6* diastereoisomeric Michael adducts, and the enolization of the *syn*-intermediate and subsequent intramolecular aldol reaction. This fact has also been observed in reactions catalyzed by prolinol derivatives (8 and related compounds) but only for ketones activated by strong electron withdrawing substituents. These diastereoisomers can equilibrate by epimerization at the stereogenic C-4 above 0 °C but not, or very slowly, at -18 °C. At

that temperature, the evolution of diastereoisomers is controlled by the stereogenic center formed in the Michael reaction.² Thus, the *syn* diastereoisomer **6**, with all large substituent at equatorial position, suffers quickly enolization and cyclization promoted by catalyst **1** to the 3-hydroxy cyclohexanone derivative **4**, whereas *anti* isomer does not cyclize and remains in the reaction mixture participating in a retro-Michael-Michael equilibrium responsible for the low enantioselection for this product.

$$R^3$$
 R^3
 R^2
 R^3
 R^3
 R^2
 R^3
 R^2
 R^3
 R^2
 R^3
 R^2
 R^3
 R^2
 R^3
 R^3
 R^2
 R^3
 R^3

Scheme 5. Proposed pathway for the studied reaction.

With respect to the stereochemistry in the Michael adducts, the major enantiomer of the *syn*-diastereoisomer could be formed by attack of the *re*-face of enol approaching to the re-face of the iminium ion²⁰ (**A** in Scheme 5).

Conclusions.

In summary, we have described a synthesis of enantioenriched 3-hydroxy-2,5,6-trisubstituted cyclohexanones although in moderate yield and moderate to good enantioselectivity. More important, the obtained results demonstrate that, contrary to previously described, ketones with pKa > 18 can be used as nucleophiles in organocatalyzed Michael addition to α , β -unsaturated aldehydes. It is necessary that the catalyst of choice be able to activate both the electrophile by formation of an iminium ion and the intermediate Michael adducts by enolization of the ketone. The behavior of the obtained diastereoisomers is dependent on the relative stereochemistry. At -18 °C, syn diastereoisomers quickly cyclize to enantioenriched 2,5,6-trisubstituted 3-hydroxy cyclohexanones, whereas anti diastereoisomers remain in the mixture and partially racemize via retro-Michael reaction.

Experimental.

Chemical yields refer to pure isolated substances. TLC analysis was performed on glass–backed plates coated with silica gel 60 and an F_{254} indicator, and visualized by staining with phosphomolybdic acid solution. Chiral HPLC analysis was performed using different Daicel Chiralcel Columns. UV detection was monitored at 220 nm or at 254 nm. 1 H NMR (400 MHz or 500 Mz) and 13 C NMR (100 MHz or 126 Mz) spectra were recorded in CDCl₃. Chemical shifts for protons are reported in ppm from TMS. Chemical shifts for carbons are reported in ppm from tetramethylsilane and are referenced to the carbon resonance of the solvent. Data are reported as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet m = multiplet, br = broad), coupling constants in Hertz, and integration. Specific rotations were measured using a 5–mL cell with a 1–dm path length, and a sodium lamp, and concentration is given in g per 100 mL. Melting points were obtained with open capillary tubes and are uncorrected. Flash chromatography was carried out using silica gel (230–240 mesh).

General procedure

To a solution of aldehyde (0.30 mmol) and ketone (1.5 equivalents, 0.45 mmol) in 5 mL of diethyl ether cooled to -18 °C was added the catalyst 1 (0.06 mmol, 0.2 equiv), and the solution stirred at that temperature for the time indicated in Table 2. Then the mixture was filtered through a pad of celite, the solvent was evaporated under vacuum and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (Hexane/ethyl acetate) to yield the desired compound.

(2R,3R,5R,6R)-3-Hydroxy-2,5,6-triphenylcyclohexanone (4aa). White solid; mp 150-153 °C (Hexane). [α]_D²⁵ - 49.8, (c = 1, CHCl₃), (83% ee). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.14 (s, 1H), 2.38 – 2.58 (m, 2H), 3.96 (dd, J = 9.0, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.14 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 4.41 – 4.61 (m, 1H), 6.78 – 7.55 (m, 15H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 41.2, 46.0, 61.8, 63.7, 74.1, 126.6, 126.7, 127.5, 127.6 (2C), 127.9 (2C), 128.5 (2C), 128.6 (2C), 129.7 (2C), 130.0 (2C), 136.0, 136.6, 142.5, 205.3; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H hexane/iPrOH = 80:20, 1.0 mL/min λ = 220 nm t_R = 18.8 min (major), t_R = 11.1 min (minor); IR: 3530, 3033, 2920, 1953, 1706, 1488, 1307, 1070 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): m/z = 365.1505, calculated for C₂₄H₂₂NaO₂ +: 365.1512.

(2*R*,3*R*,5*R*,6*R*)-3-Hydroxy-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2,6-diphenylcyclohexanone (4ab). White solid; mp 79-82 0 C (Hexane); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ - 32.0, (c = 1, CHCl₃), (80% ee); 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.14 (s, 1H), 2.39 – 2.51 (m, 2H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.86 – 3.94 (m, 2H), 4.07 – 4.16 (m, 1H), 4.50 (m, 1H), 6.57 – 7.19 (m, 9H), 7.28 – 7.51 (m, 5H); 13 C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 29.8, 41.3, 45.2, 55.2, 61.8, 63.9, 74.0, 76.8, 77.1, 77.4, 113.8 (2C), 126.6, 127.5, 127.9, 128.5, 128.6, 129.7, 130.0 (2C), 134.7, 136.1, 136.8, 158.0, 205.4; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H hexane/iPrOH = 80:20, 1.0 mL/min λ = 220 nm) t_R = 27.2 min (major), t_R = 14.2 min (minor); IR: 3493, 2920, 2853, 1710, 1509, 1245, cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): m/z = 395.1608, calculated for $C_{25}H_{24}NaO_{2}^{+}$: 395.1618.

(2*R*,3*R*,5*R*,6*R*)-3-(Furan-2-yl)-5-hydroxy-2,6-diphenylcyclohexanone (4ac). White solid; mp 160-163 0 C; [α]_D²⁵ - 51.5, (c = 1.3, CHCl₃), (66% ee); 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.18 (s, 1H), 2.38 - 2.53 (m, 1H), 2.56 - 2.68 (m, 1H), 4.03 (dd, J=4.1 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 5.73 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 6.09 (dd, J = 3.1, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 7.51 - 6.91 (m, 11H); 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 38.2, 40.0, 61.6, 61.7, 73.4, 106.1, 109.9, 126.8, 127.5, 127.9 (2C), 128.5 (2C), 129.2 (2C), 129.9 (2C), 135.9, 136.8, 141.1, 155.3, 204.7; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H hexane/iPrOH = 80:20, 1.0 mL/min λ = 220nm), t_R = 17.6 min (major), t_R = 9.8 min (minor); IR: 3540, 3028, 2914, 2083, 1979, 1711, 1499, 1297 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): m/z = 355.1300, calculated for $C_{22}H_{20}NaO_{3}^{+}$: 355.1305.

(2R,3R,5R,6R)-3-Hydroxy-5-methyl-2,6-diphenylcyclohexanone (4ad). White solid; mp 55-58 0 C (Hexane); [α]_D²⁵ + 2.2, (c = 0.6, CHCl₃), (52% ee); 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.90 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 2.02 (m, 3H), 2.31 (dd, J = 10.7, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H,), 3.96 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (sb, 1H), 7.18–7.51 (m, 10H); 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.0, 34.7, 41.1, 61.6, 65.4, 74.2, 127.0, 127.5 (2C), 128.3 (2C), 128.6, 129.6 (2C), 130.1 (2C), 136.1, 137.4, 205.8; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H hexane/iPrOH = 90:10, 1.0 mL/min λ = 220 nm) t_R = 54.4 min (major), t_R = 24.0 min (minor); IR: 3499, 2920, 2093, 1948, 1710, 1494, 1425, 1034 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI): m/z = 280.1362, calculated for C₁₉H₂₀O₂: 280.1463.

(2R,3R,5R,6R)-3-Ethyl-5-hydroxy-2,6-diphenylcyclohexanone (4ae). Colorless oil; $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 36.0$, (c = 1, CHCl₃), (68% ee); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.86 (t, 3H), 1.95 – 2.03 (m, 3H), 2.22 (m, 1H), 2.34 – 2.46 (m, 1H), 2.62 – 2.74 (m, 1H), 3.46 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (bs, 1H), 6.48 – 7.57 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR

(126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.4, 25.4, 26.9, 40.2, 61.7, 63.5, 74.2, 126.9, 127.2, 127.3, 127.4, 128.3, 128.5, 128.6, 128.8, 129.6, 130.0, 136.0, 137.3, 206.0. HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H hexane/iPrOH = 80:20, 1.0 mL/min λ = 220 nm) t_R = 14.7 min (major), t_R = 11.3 min (minor); IR: 3473, 2966, 2925, 2868, 1953, 1716, 1493, 1049, 693 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): m/z = 317.1512, calculated for $C_{20}H_{22}NaO_2^+$: 317.1514.

(2*R*,3*R*,5*R*,6*R*)-3-Hydroxy-2,6-diphenyl-5-propylcyclohexanone (4af). Obtained as an unseparable mixture of diastereoisomers. 46% ee for the *syn*-diastereoisomer. Colorless oil; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.85 (t, 3H), 1.26 – 1.44 (m, 4H), 1.91 – 2.05 (m, 1H), 2.19 (m, 1H), 2.43 (m, 1H), 2.81 (m, 1H), 3.94 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 4.12 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.30 – 4.62 (m, 1H), 7.22 – 7.53 (m, 10H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.2, 20.4, 34.7, 35.0, 35.7, 57.9, 61.1, 73.7, 127.3, 127.4, 128.3, 128.5, 128.6, 128.8, 129.6 (2C), 130.0 (2C), 136.0, 136.6, 207.2. HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H hexane/iPrOH = 80:20, 1.0 mL/min λ = 220 nm) t_R = 13.2, min (major), t_R = 9.2 min (minor); IR: 3454, 3033, 2960, 2929, 2856, 1957, 1879, 1707, 1489, 1453, 704 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): m/z = 331.1674, calculated for C₂₁H₂₄NaO₂⁺: 331.1674.

(2R,3R,5R,6R)-3-Hydroxy-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2,6-dip-tolylcyclohexanone (4bb). White solid; mp 134-137 0 C [α]_D²⁵ + 10.6, (c = 0.8, CHCl₃), (76% ee); 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.22 (s, 3H), 2.35 (m, 4H), 2.42 (m, 2H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.89 (m, 2H), 4.08 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (q, 1H), 6.37 – 7.59 (m, 12H); 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.2 (2C), 29.8, 41.5, 44.9, 55.2, 61.4, 63.4, 74.1, 76.9, 113.8 (2C), 128.5, 128.7, 129.3, 129.4, 129.8 (2C), 133.1 (2C), 133.7 (2C), 135.0, 135.9, 137.1, 157.9, 205.7. HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H hexane/iPrOH = 80:20, 1.0 mL/min λ = 220 nm) t_R = 21.8 min (major) t_R = 12.1 min (minor); IR: 3426, 2920, 2853, 1716, 1514, 1380, 1251 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): m/z = 423.1919, calculated for C₂₇H₂₈NaO₃⁺: 423.1930.

(2R,3R,5R,6R)-2,6-Bis(4-chlorophenyl)-3-hydroxy-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)

cyclohexanone (4cb). White solid; mp 100-103 0 C (Hexane); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} + 12.0$, (c = 0.5, CHCl₃), (70% ee); 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.20 (s, 1H), 2.29 – 2.58 (m, 2H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.81 (m, 1H), 3.87 (d, 1H), 4.06 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (bs, 1H), 6.24 – 7.52 (m, 12H); 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 41.5, 45.4, 55.2, 61.1, 63.3, 73.8, 113.9, 128.2 (2C), 128.4 (2C), 128.6 (2C), 130.9 (2C), 131.5 (2C), 132.4 (2C), 133.5, 134.1, 134.4, 135.2, 158.2, 204.8. HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H hexane/iPrOH = 80:20, 1.0 mL/min $\lambda = 220$ nm t_R = 15.0 min (major), t_R = 13.6 min (minor); IR: 3406, 2925, 1716, 1514,

1488, 1251, 724 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): m/z = 463.0826, calculated for $C_{25}H_{22}Cl_2NaO_3^+$: 463.0838.

(2R,3R,5R,6R)-3-Hydroxy-5-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2,6-di(naphthalen-2-yl)

cyclohexanone (4eb). White solid; mp 90-93 0 C (Hexane); [α]_D²⁵ - 28.1, (c = 1, CHCl₃), (84% ee); 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.33 (s, 1H), 2.42 – 2.62 (m, 2H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 4.02 – 4.16 (m, 1H), 4.14 (d, 1H), 4.31 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.56 (bs, 1H), 6.67 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.18 – 8.15 (m, 14H); 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 41.6, 45.0, 55.1, 61.8, 64.0, 74.0, 113.9 (2C), 125.4, 125.5, 126.0, 126.1, 127.4, 127.5 (2C), 127.6, 127.6, 127.8, 128.1, 128.1, 128.2, 128.4, 128.8, 128.9, 132.5, 132.7, 133.3, 133.4, 133.6, 134.5, 134.6, 158.0, 205.7; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H hexane/iPrOH = 80:20, 1.0 mL/min λ = 220nm t_R = 46.0 min (major), t_R = 42.4 min (minor); IR: 3416, 3049, 2920, 1710, 1514, 1251, 1018, 811 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): m/z = 495.1926, calculated for C₃₃H₂₈NaO₃⁺: 495.1930.

(7hb). Yellow solid; mp 161-165 0 C (Hexane); [α]_D²⁵ - 44.9, (c = 1, CHCl₃), (99% ee); 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.82 – 3.13 (m, 2H), 3.58 – 3.75 (m, 1H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 4.16 (d, J = 13.3 Hz, 1H), 6.73 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.01 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.16 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.32 (dd, J = 5.2, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.05 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 8.22 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H); 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 35.8, 47.1, 49.0, 55.2, 60.7, 114.2 (2C), 123.3 (2C), 123.4, 123.9, 128.4 (2C), 129.6, 130.4, 130.5, 132.5, 138.6, 142.3, 145.2, 146.8, 147.5, 148.7, 158.7, 195.6; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H column hexane/iPrOH = 80:20, 1.0 mL/min λ = 254 nm) t_R = 30.6 min (major), t_R = 16.1 min (minor); IR: 2930, 2832, 1674, 1597, 1509, 1338, 1251, 1106 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): m/z = 467.1217, calculated for C₂₅H₂₀N₂NaO₆⁺: 467.1213.

(5*R*,6*S*)-5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2,6-di(thien-2-yl)cyclohex-2-enone (7ib). Yellow solid; mp 190-192 0 C (Hexane); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ - 3.6, (c = 0.8, CHCl₃), (49% ee); 1 H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.91 (m, 2H), 3.63 (ddd, J = 11.3, 9.2, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 4.31 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (m, 4H), 7.03 (dd, J = 5.1, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (m, 3H), 7.36 (m, 3H); 13 C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 34.9, 47.5, 55.3, 55.4, 114.0 (2C), 124.7, 125.6, 126.4, 126.6, 126.9, 126.9, 128.5, 133.0, 134.1, 136.8, 139.8 (2C), 143.0, 158.5, 195.1; HPLC (Chiralpak AD-H column hexane/iPrOH = 80:20, 1.0 mL/min λ = 254

nm) $t_R = 16.7 \text{ min (major)}, t_R = 29.7 \text{ min (minor)}; IR: 3098, 2922.8, 2853.8, 1675, 1511, 1246, 688 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI): <math>m/z = 367.0824$, calculated for $C_{21}H_{20}O_2S_2^+$: 367.0826.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the financial support provided by the Spanish MINECO (Projects CTQ 2011-28487, and CTQ2014-59870-P) and Junta de Castilla y León (Project VA064U13). J. O. G.-P. thanks the Universidad de Valladolid for a predoctoral fellowship.

Electronic supplementary Information Available: Copies of ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR spectra for all new compounds and copies of the HPLC chromatograms.

References.

- 1 For some recent reviews see: (a) S. Goudedranche, W. Raimondi, X. Bugaut, T. Constantieux, D. Bonne, J. Rodriguez, *Synthesis* 2013, **45**, 1909. (b) H. Pellissier, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2012, **354**, 237. (c) A. Moyano, R. Rios, *Chem. Rev.* 2011, **111**, 4703. (d) J. L. Vicario, D. Badía, L. Carrillo, *Synthesis* 2007, 2065. (e) S. B. Tsogoeva, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2007, 170. (f) D. Almasi, D. A. Alonso, C. Nájera, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2007, **18**, 299. (g) D. Enders, C. Grondal, M. R. H. Hüttl, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, **46**, 1570.
- 2 N. Halland, P. S. Aburel, K. A. Jörgensen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1272.
- 3 J. Pulkkinen, P. S. Aburel, N. Halland, K. A. Jörgensen, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2004, **346**, 1077.
- 4 D. Gryko, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2005, 16, 1377.
- 5 (a) E. Alza, S. Sayalero, S. C. Cambeiro, R. Martín-Rapún, P. O. Miranda, M. A. Pericàs, *Synlett* 2011, 464. (b) Y. Hayashi, M. Toyoshima, H. Gotoh, H. Ishikawa, *Org. Lett.* 2009, 11, 45. (c) P. Bolze, G. Dickmeiss, K. A. Jörgensen, *Org. Lett.* 2008, 10, 3753. (d) A. Carlone, M. Marigo, C. North, A. Landa, K. A. Jörgensen, *Chem. Commun.* 2006, 4928.
- 6 J. Alemán, V. Marcos, L. Marzo, J. L. García-Ruano, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 4482.

- 7 L. Albrecht, B. Richter, C. Vila, H. Krawczyk, K. A. Jörgensen, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2009, **15**, 3093.
- 8 (a) J. Peña, A. B. Antón, R. F. Moro, I. Marcos, N. M. Garrido, D. Díez, *Tetrahedron* 2011, **67**, 8331. (b) S. Cabrera, J. Alemán, P. Bolze, S. Bertelsen, K. A. Jörgensen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, **47**, 121.
- 9 H. Yang, R. G. Carter, Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3108.
- 10 D. A. Alonso, S. Kitagaki, N. Utsumi, C. F. Barbas III, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2008, 47, 4588.
- 11 For some exceptions, leading to Michael adducts, see: (a) S. Vera, M. Marigo, E. C. Escudero-Adán, P. Melchiorre, *Synlett* 2011, 489. (b) C. Cassani, X. Tian, E. C. Escudero-Adán, P. Melchiorre, *Chem. Commun.* 2011, 47, 233. (c) H. Gotoh, H. Ishikawa, Y. Hayashi, *Org. Lett.* 2007, 9, 5307. (d) C. Palomo, A. Landa, A. Mielgo, M. Oiarbide, A. Puente, S. Vera, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, 46, 8431.
- 12 S. Duce, M. Jorge, I. Alonso, J. L. García Ruano, M. B. Cid, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2011, **9**, 8253.
- 13 S. K. Hendrie, J. Leonard, *Tetrahedron* 1987, **43**, 3289. For a review on the use of diamines as organocatalysts see: S. Saito, H. Yamamoto, *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2004, **37**, 570.
- 14 CCDC 1029706 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for compound **4aa**. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif.
- 15 W. S. Mattews, J. E. Bares, J. E. Bartmess, F. G. Bordwell, F. J. Cornforth, G. E. Drucker, Z. Margolin, R. J. McCallum, G. J. McCollum, N. R. Vanier, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1975, **97**, 7006.
- 16 M. B. Cid, S. Duce, S. Morales, E. Rodrigo, J. L. G. Ruano, *Org. Lett.* 2010, **12**, 3586.
- 17 (a) S. Duce, M. Jorge, I. Alonso, J. L. García-Ruano, M. B. Cid, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2013, 7067. (b) F. G. Bordwell, J.-P. Cheng, M. J. Bausch, J. E. Bares, *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* 1988, **1**, 209.

18 (a) Z. Niu, X. He, Y. Shang, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2014, **25**, 796. (b) J. O. Guevara-Pulido, J. M. Andrés, R. Pedrosa, *J. Org. Chem.* 2014, **79**, 8638. (c) J. O. Guevara-Pulido, J. M. Andrés, R. Pedrosa, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2014, 8072.

19 S. P. Lathrop, T. Rovis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 13628.

20 (a) N. Mase, R. Thayumanavan, F. Tanaka, C. F. Barbas III, *Org. Lett.* 2004, **6**, 2527. (b) H. Chen, Y. Wang, S. Wei, J. Sun, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 2007, **18**, 1308.