

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This Accepted Manuscript will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/advances

Graphical image



RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

1 2	A Simple Highly Sensitive and Selective TURN-ON Fluorescent Chemosensor for the Detection of Cadmium Ions in Physiological Condition
3 4	Sundaram Ellairaja ^a , Ramar Manikandan ^b , Muthunanthevar Vijayan ^c , Seenivasan Rajagopal ^a , Vairathevar Sivasamy Vasantha ^a *
5	^a School of Chemistry, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai-625021, Tamilnadu, India.
6	^b University of Madras, Chennai-600035, Tamilnadu, India.
7	° Central Electro Chemical Research Institute, Karaikudi, Tamilnadu, India.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	*Corresponding Author
26	Email id: vasantham999@yahoo.co.in (V. S. Vasantha)
27	Tel: 91-452-2458246; Fax: 91-452-2459139

28 Abstract

A very simple fluorophore was synthesized and applied as chemosensor for Cd^{2+} ions detection. 29 Structural characterization was done by ¹HNMR ¹³C and ESI-Mass techniques. All the 30 fluorimetric titrations were carried out by using spectrofluorimetriy. The fluorophore has showed 31 a very good with LOD of 1 fM towards Cd^{2+} ions. The linear range of detection is 5 fM⁻¹ to 32 1mM. Moreover, it has successfully discriminate Zn^{2+} ions and all interfering metal ions in 33 physiological pH (7.5). The quantum yield of the fluorophore increased from 0.4 to 0.78 after the 34 binding of Cd²⁺ ions .The formation of 1:1 complex is confirmed by Job's plot, ¹H NMR and 35 ESI-Mass which have strongly manifests the formation of 1:1 complex. The binding constant is 36 also calculated for the probe- Cd^{2+} ions in lower (femto) concentrations as 2.2 x10³ M⁻¹. The 37 chemosensor has also exhibited very good results in He-La Cells imaging under physiological 38 pH. So the chemosensor that has developed can be recommended for the practical biological 39 applications especially in oncology. 40

41 Keywords: Chemosensors, Internal Charge Transfer, Discrimination, Turn ON, Cell imaging

42

43 Introduction

44 Design of a metal ion sensor has been allured through many researchers related to various applications such as clinical toxicology, environmental bioinorganic chemistry, bioremediation, 45 and waste management.¹⁻³ There were so many sensors have been developed for different metal 46 ions since from the last 10 decades. The need for the development of metal sensor has been 47 increasing till now for their peculiar sensitivity in order to applications concern. Among various 48 metal ions Cd^{2+} ions, is highly noticed due to its high toxicity and carcinogenicity. Cadmium, 49 whose half-life in humans is estimated to be between 15 and 20 years is listed by the U.S. 50 Environmental Protection Agency as one of 126 priority pollutants. Cadmium was listed a 51 52 number 7 on ATSDR's "CERCLA Priority List of Hazardous Substances". In addition, it can accumulate in the human body for >10 years.⁴ The extensive use of cadmium metal in industrial 53 and agriculture fields lead to water and soil contamination. Cadmium ions contamination is 54 mainly derived from phosphate fertilizers, metal alloys, Ni-Cd batteries, paint pigments, ceramic 55 enamels and natural factors like erosion, abrasion and volcanic eruption.⁵ The intake of cadmium 56

57 ions by the cells has showed an adverse effect in cellular functions, molecular mechanism and 58 causes so many acute health diseases like lung cancer, kidney cancer, renal cancer and prostate 59 cancers. Smoking and inhalation of cadmium-containing dust represent additional sources of 60 cadmium uptake in humans. Cadmium ions accumulation is involved in neurological, 61 reproductive, cardiovascular, and developmental disorders.⁶ Even the fertility of the soil is 62 affected primarily with cadmium ions contamination which leads to the poor growth of corps.

63 In order to quantification of cadmium ions, numerous high cost and sophisticated methods were available such as inductively coupled atomic plasma mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS),⁷ inductively 64 coupled plasma emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES),⁸ atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) and 65 anode stripping voltammetry.⁹ Amid these, the fluorescence spectral analysis has been used as a 66 powerful analytical tool owing to its operational simplicity, cost effectiveness, high sensitivity 67 and selectivity.¹⁰ Many fluorescent sensors has been successfully developed for cadmium ions 68 based on the mechanism like PET, ICT, CHEF, FRET etc.¹¹⁻¹² But only a few of them are 69 applicable to live cell imaging.¹³ It is due to poor water solubility of probe, UV-excitation and 70 pH-dependent fluorescence in physiological environments. However the need for developing the 71 cadmium ion sensor in aqueous and biological media has been gradually increasing for its 72 potential applications in living cell imaging. Besides, there is a challenge persisting till now in 73 discriminating ability of the probe towards Zn^{2+} ions in selectivity point of view concern since 74 these two metal ions are having similar physical and chemical properties. Hence, most of the 75 developed sensors showed a poor selectivity between Cd^{2+} and Zn^{2+} ions.¹⁴ In some cases, the 76 fluorescence enhancement was achieved for probe after the addition of various nanomaterials 77 like silver nanoparticles, quantum dots and graphene sheets.¹⁵ But these type of nanoparticles 78 based cadmium ions sensors are belonging to the hard matter. 79

Recently few chemosensors have been developed for distinguish the Cd²⁺ ions from 80 Zn²⁺ions with different mechanisms.¹⁶⁻¹⁸ Amidst these, only a very few reports had showed a 81 good discrimination for Cd^{2+} ions from Zn^{2+} ions.¹⁹⁻²⁰ Lu and co-workers had reported a selective 82 ratiometric sensor for Cd²⁺ions which were detected in neutral aqueous medium based on two 83 different ICT mechanism.²¹ By utilizing the unique role of 2-picolyl group, they demonstrated a 84 new discrimination strategy for Cd^{2+} ions from Zn^{2+} ions with the LOD of 0.1µM. For the first 85 time Peng and co -workers had developed Cd²⁺ions sensor in water/acetone mixture which had 86 showed a good discrimination of Cd^{2+} ions from Zn^{2+} ions and enhanced fluorescence intensity 87

with shift in emission using BODIPY (4.4-difluoro-1.3,4,5,7-quantmethyl-4- bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-88 indacene) as fluorophore.²² Table.1 shows the different types Cd²⁺ ion sensors reported so far 89 with their LOD. Keeping these points in mind, we have synthesized a very simple Schiff base 90 ligand [(Z)-2-(4H-1, 2, 4-triazol-4-yl) imino methylphenol] as a probe and utilized successfully 91 for the sensing of Cd^{2+} ions over Zn^{2+} ions. The developed fluoro sensor has showed a clear 92 discrimination for Cd^{2+} ions towards Zn^{2+} ions when compare to the other sensors mentioned 93 94 above. Besides, the sensor has showed a very good linear range of detection from 5fM to 1mM with an excellent LOD of 1*f*M. 95

96

97 Experimental

98 Materials and general methods

Analytical grade solvents and double distilled water were used in all steps. The acetate salts of 99 different metal ions were used. ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR were measured on a BrukerAV-400 100 spectrometer with chemical shifts reported in ppm (in (CD₃)₂S=O, or DMSO; TMS as internal 101 standard). Mass spectra were measured on a Thermofleet LC-MS spectrometer. All pH 102 measurements were made with a Eutek pH-Tutor. All the UV studies were done with JASCO 103 550 and Fluorescence spectra titrations were carried out with CARY Eclipse Fluorescence 104 spectrophotometer. Fluorescence microscope (Model: LEICA DMLS) with an objective lens of 105 106 20 magnification was used for the cell imaging studies.

107 Synthetic route for the fluorophore

A solution of I (1.0 mL, 0.94 mmol, 1 equiv.) dissolved in absolute ethanol (10 mL) was 108 added to a solution of II (1 equiv.) in ethanol (5 mL). The resulting slightly yellow solution was 109 110 irradiated in microwave oven for 10 mins and the resulting pale-yellow solution was allowed to cool to room temperature to give a crystalline white powder III (Scheme 1). The product was 111 filtered, washed with ethanol and diethyl ether and dried over MgSO₄ vacuum (2.5 g, 95%). The 112 method of preparation was simple and fast as the totally 10mins was required for this synthesis, 113 when compare to other previous reports.^{27, 28} ¹H NMR (400 MHz, [D6] DMSO, 298 K): $\delta =$ 114 10.47 (s, 1 H), 9.18 (D, 2H), 7.80 (m, 1 H), 7.44 (m, 1 H), 7.02 (m, 2 H) ppm.(Fig. S1) ¹³C NMR 115 (400 MHz, [D6] DMSO, 298 K): $\delta = 158.57$ (C9), 155.31 (C7), 139.43 (C3 and C5), 134.36 116 (C11), 128.13 (C13), 120.11 (C10), 118.65 (C8), 117.17 (C12) ppm.(Fig. S2) C9H8N4O 117 (calculated mass 188.19): Observed Mass [M+H]⁻=187.09 (Fig. S3) 118

120 Result and Discussion

121 **Optimization of pH for the Probe**

In general the protons in probe/fluorophore determine the fluorescence response of the probe and 122 123 the detection of metal ions, so it is necessary to investigate the effect of pH. Fig. 1 shows the fluorescence response of probe as a function of pH. Initially the probe showed an emission peak 124 125 at 511 nm (Fig. 2b) which is mainly owing to the ICT mechanism. The donating nature of hydroxyl and imine functional groups are took part into this mechanism. From the optimization 126 graph, it was clearly seen that under acidic conditions the functional groups in the fluorophore 127 gets protonated. So the initial ICT mechanism is arrested. When the pH is increased from 1.0 to 128 7.0 there is a gradual increment in fluorescent intensity. This is mainly due to the gradual 129 relaxation of arrested ICT mechanism. At pH 7.0, a maximum emission was observed due to the 130 free hydroxyl and imine functional groups under neutral medium which initiates the ICT 131 mechanism once again. Under this neutral aqueous media, in the ground state, the hydroxyl 132 group promotes the electron transfer. When the pH is increased from 7.0 to 13.5, a decrease in 133 fluorescence signal (excited at 332 nm) could be observed for the probe suggesting that 134 deprotonation of hydroxyl group takes place. Meanwhile, the imine group gets protonated by 135 absorbing this deprotonated hydrogen from the hydroxyl group. As a result, a gradual decrease in 136 emission intensity is observed which mainly suggests the inhibition of ICT mechanism. No 137 138 drastic change in wavelength shift is observed while varying the pH from 1 to 13.5. Under acidic condition (pH 1), the probe shows an emission peak at 501nm while the pH is adjusted from 2 to 139 6, the emission peak is slightly red shifted from 501 nm to 508 nm. Under neutral medium (pH 140 7), the probe gives its original fluorescence peak at 511 nm. When the pH is further varied from 141 142 8 to 13.5, the emission peak at 511 nm is blue shifted from 511 nm to 490 nm. (Fig. 1)

143

144 Absorption and Emission studies for the probe – Cd²⁺ ions

In absorption spectra, initially two peaks are observed for the probe at 273 nm and 332 nm which corresponds to the π - π * and n- π * transitions respectively (Fig.2a). Upon the addition of Cd²⁺ions, the intensities of absorption peaks at 273nm and 332nm was increased gradually (Fig. 3 a) and they didn't show any remarkable shift. In emission spectra only one peak is observed at 511 nm with the excitation wavelength of 332 nM (Fig.2b). Upon the addition of Cd²⁺ ions, a

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

observed (Fig. 5)

RSC Advances

new peak is observed at 433 nm with remarkable enhancement of fluorescence intensity (TURN-ON) along with a notable blue shift from the peak at 511 nm. Because, the electron donating ability of the probe is inhibited when Cd^{2+} ions bind with it and the corresponding ICT mechanism is inhibited once again, resulting the blue shift in emission spectra (Fig. 4). In case of fluorescent signaling, molecular switching and metal ions sensing, the Internal Charge Transfer mechanism has been widely exploited owing to its extraordinary spectral shift followed by **RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript** quantitative detection. Generally, if a fluorophore contains an electron donating group like amino, it undergoes ICT from the donor to the fluorophore upon light excitation, which provides a red-shifted emission. When coordinated with a metal ion, the amino group loses its donating ability. Consequently, the ICT is inhibited and the emission shows blue shift. So that quantum yields always change in the processes. In this chemosensor, triazole part could act as a receptor and the hydroxyl group of the salicyaldimine as ICT donor. An imine group between the receptor and the donor part can induce longer wavelengths in absorption and fluorescence spectra. Hence, the possibility of FRET is ruled out as there is no donor and acceptor separately located in our probe.²⁹ So the ICT mechanism is followed here. The fluorescence intensity of the new peak at 436 nm is significantly enhanced and the quantum yield is increased from 0.4 to 0.8 with a remarkable blue shift in wavelength. When the concentration of Cd²⁺ ions is gradually increased, the intensity of the emission peak at 436 nm is also increased with a remarkable blue shift from the peak at 511 nm (Fig.3b). The linear range of the developed sensor is varied from 5fM to 1*m*M Fig 3b and inset in Fig. 3b show the selective overlay and the corresponding linearity plot with varying the Cd^{2+} ions concentration. For the very first time our simple probe is showed an excellent LOD of 1/M. Even for this femto level range a pronounced increased in intensity was Calculation of quantum yields (ϕ) by Emission Spectra

Fluorescence quantum yields (ϕ) were estimated from the area under the corresponding 175 fluorescence curves recorded for probe as well as probe-Cd²⁺ ions. The absorbance value of 176 probe was chosen from the absorption spectra (Fig. 2a). The concentration of probe is 5 µM and 177 the integrated area is calculated from the emission spectra of probe and probe- Cd^{2+} ions (Fig. 2b) 178 &3) with excitation wavelength of 332 nm in phosphate buffer pH=7.0. For the standard 179

180 Rhodamine 6G, all the values were taken from the previous report. The quantum yield
 181 calculation was done based on the following equation.^{30, 31}

182 183

184

 $\phi_{u} = \phi_{s}I_{u}A_{s}A_{exs}\eta_{u}$ $\overline{I_{s}A_{u}A_{exu}\eta_{s}}$

185 Where, ϕ is the quantum yield; I is integrated area under the corrected emission spectra; A is 186 absorbance at the excitation wavelength; λ_{ex} is the excitation wavelength; η is the refractive 187 index of the solution; the subscripts u and s refer to the unknown and the standard, respectively. 188 For this study, Rhodamine 6G in Methanol is taken as a standard, which has the quantum yield 189 of 0.91.

190

191 Determination of Binding Constant Probe-Cd²⁺ ions Complex

The binding constant K_s of the probe- Cd^{2+} ions are determined in both lower and higher concentration ranges (Fig. 5a and 5b). Benesi-Hildebrand modified equation was used for the calculation of binding constant, where the binding constant was calculated from the ratio of intercept to slope.

196

K_s= Intercept/ Slope

And it is found to be 2.2×10^3 M⁻¹ for femto molar range. From this data, it is clearly revealed that the developed sensor exhibited a very good binding even in lower concentration of Cd²⁺ions. The stoichiometry of the probe- Cd²⁺ ion is confirmed through a continuous variation method i.e., the Job's Plot.³² From the figure 6, it is clearly revealed the formation of 1:1 stoichiometry of with a 0.5 molar ratio of probe- Cd²⁺ complex. In addition to that it is also confirmed via the mass spectrometry studies (Fig. S4) and ¹H NMR titrations (Fig. S5).

The reversibility experiment was also done by EDTA titration.³³ The initial fluorescence intensity at 436 nm of probe-Cd²⁺ was gradually diminished while vary the EDTA from zero to one equivalent. (Inset Fig. S6). While adding the one equivalent of EDTA to the solution containing probe-Cd²⁺, the initial fluorescence intensity of the probe at 511 nm is recovered (Fig. S6).These results suggested that the developed sensor could be reusable for real time analysis. [Detailed experimental condition was given in supporting information.] (Scheme 2)

- 209
- 210
- 211

¹H NMR titrations of probe-Cd²⁺ ions complex formation

In further, the binding of Cd^{2+} ions with the probe was confirmed by NMR titrations. Upon the addition of 1 equivalent of Cd^{2+} ions to the 1 equivalent of probe, the peak at 10.5 ppm (imine peak) and peak at 3.4ppm (OH) is completely quenched. This study clearly reveals that after the binding of Cd^{2+} ions, the deprotonation takes place. And there is a slight downfield shift experienced by the remaining characteristic peaks which is mainly due to the deshielding effect of the Cd^{2+} ions through the direct N and O-metal interactions (Fig. S5).

219

220 Interference studies of other metal ions

The specific selectivity of the probe was also determined by recording the florescent spectra in 221 the presence of series of other metal cations. The interference of other heavy metal ions has 222 studied and observed at the emission peak of Cd^{2+} ion –probe at 436 nm. Expect Cd^{2+} ions, other 223 ions like Au³⁺, Zn²⁺, Pb²⁺, Hg²⁺, Cr³⁺, Fe²⁺, Cu²⁺, Ca²⁺, Ba²⁺, Mg²⁺, Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, Ag⁺, Na⁺ and K⁺ 224 ions do not show any fluorescence effect at 436 nm. Only the peak intensity at 511 nm slightly 225 decreases for some metal ions without the formation of new peak (Fig. 4). This is due to the 226 formation on non fluorescent complexes with other metal ions.^{10, 34} In order to further support, 227 the color changes were observed both visual eye as well as under UV lamp, while the interferents 228 is added to the probe Cd^{2+} ion alone showed an increase in fluorescence when compare to all 229 other interfering metal ions in both visualization.(Fig. S7). Moreover for the Zn^{2+} ions, the 230 synthesized probe has showed an excellent discrimination over Cd²⁺ ions when compare to the 231 other Cd²⁺ ions sensors reported. Under optimized conditions, the developed chemosensor has 232 exhibited a significant enhancement of fluorescence intensity in presence of Cd²⁺ ions. The 233 competitive fluorimetric titrations were also conducted and the results showed a very good 234 selectivity towards Cd^{2+} ions rather than other metal ions. Two types of titrations were done 235 here. Initially 1 equivalent of Cd^{2+} ions (10 µL) was added into the solution of probe (5µM) in 236 the presence of one equivalent of other metal ions. Simultaneously, the same competitive 237 titrations were also carried out with two equivalents of other metal ions (20 µL) (Fig. 7a & 7b). 238 In both the cases, Hg^{2+} ions induce minimal quenching of fluorescence, whereas $Li^+ Ca^{2+}$ and 239 Na⁺ ions induce a slight enhancement of the fluorescence. 240

241

242 Competitive Spectral Studies in lower concentration (fM) of Cd²⁺ ions

RSC Advances Accepted Manuscript

Additionally, the interference of other metal ions were also cross checked for very low 243 concentration of Cd^{2+} ions (*f*M). For this, 1 ml of Cd^{2+} ions (in Femto molar concentration) was 244 added to the 1 ml of probe in the presence of 1 equivalent (10 μ L) and two equivalents (20 μ L) 245 of other metal ions (Fig. 8a & 8b). In the first test, a Co^{2+} ion has showed a slight increase in 246 fluorescence. Whereas in presence of 2 equivalents of other metal ions, there is a slight 247 increment of fluorescence intensity observed. Hence from these two competitive experiments, it 248 is clearly showed that our probe showed a very good selectivity towards Cd²⁺ ions in a very low 249 concentration (fM) in presence of other metals, even in higher concentrations (Fig. 9). 250

251

252 Naked Eye Discrimination of Cd²⁺ from Zn²⁺ ions

As we pointed out early, the discrimination of Cd^{2+} ions from Zn^{2+} ions is very important on 253 cadmium ion based chemosensors. There are many similarities between Cd^{2+} and Zn^{2+} ions. Cd^{2+} 254 ion is commonly found in Zn ores, which are the principal commercial sources of Cd^{2+} . Both 255 metals are classified, commonly with mercury (Hg), in group II B of post-transition elements of 256 the periodic table. Besides, Cd^{2+} ion are intermediate in size between Zn^{2+} and Hg^{2+} ion, Cd^{2+} and 257 Zn^{2+} ions have a similar electron configuration on their outer shells. Both metals have filled inner 258 electron shells (d shells) with the outermost shell having two s electrons. Zn^{2+} ion, unlike Cd^{2+} 259 ion is relatively stable in its divalent state and does not undergo redox changes. Cd^{2+} ion is 260 generally classified as a 'soft' metal that is more likely to form covalent linkages with electron-261 donating ligands, whereas Zn²⁺ ion is classified as 'intermediate in softness.³⁵ In this developed 262 chemosensor, the binding site i.e. imine nitrogen and hydroxyl group both are coming under soft 263 base categories. So it has selectively binds Cd^{2+} ion (soft acid) rather than Zn^{2+} ion (intermediate 264 base). This phenomenon was also confirmed by the selectivity fluorimetric titrations itself. 265 Moreover, it is clearly distinguished by both naked eye and UV lamp analysis. Initially the probe 266 was colorless under normal vision but it showed an intense green color after the binding of Cd^{2+} 267 ions with the probe. The same trend was also observed when the probe is subjected to UV lamp. 268 The slight green fluorescence color of the probe is increased immensely (very bright green 269 fluorescence) after the addition of Cd^{2+} ions (Fig.10a & 10b). In both the visualization, Zn^{2+} ions 270 have showed only a negligible change. So our synthesized fluorophore is successfully 271 discriminates the Cd^{2+} ions from the Zn^{2+} ions in both naked eye and under UV Lamp. 272

274 Imaging studies at Living cells

To further highlight the practical application of the probe, we carried out living cells imaging studies by using the confocal fluorescence microscopy. Incubation of He-La cells with probe (5 μ M) for 0.5 h at 37 °C was followed by the addition of Cd²⁺ ions (5 μ M) and then was incubated for another 0.5 h. Initially the cell exhibits a moderate weak fluorescence and then the great enhancement of fluorescence is observed (Fig. 11).These results are suggested that the ability of the probe to penetrate the cell membrane and can be used for tracking the of Cd²⁺ ions in living cells and in vivo potentially.

282

283 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have designed a new simple fluorescent sensor platform based on the simple 284 salicyaldimine based fluorophore. It shows high sensitivity in femto level and selectivity toward 285 Cd²⁺ ion in physiological pH. The fluorescence intensity was significantly enhanced and the 286 quantum yield was increased from 0.4 to 0.8. Moreover, its fluorescence intensity is enhanced in 287 a linear fashion with lower concentration (fM) of Cd²⁺ ion and thus can be potentially used for 288 quantification of Cd^{2+} ion even it will present in femto molar concentration. The living cell 289 imaging studies under physiological pH further demonstrate its value in the practical applications 290 of biological systems. 291

292

293 Acknowledgement

This work is financially supported by DST-INSPIRE Fellowship Scheme, NEW DELHI, INDIA.

So, the author thanks DST for offering fellowship for pursuing his Ph.D program.

296

297 Abbreviations

PET- Photoinduced Electron Transfer, ICT- Internal Charge Transfer, FRET- Fourier Energy
 Transfer, CHEF- Chealtion Enhanced Fluorescence.

- 301
- 302
- 303

304 References

- 305 (1) (a) G. K.Walkup and B. Imperiali, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 3053. (b) Liu, J.; Lu, Y. J.
 306 Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 12298.
- 307 (2) (a) S. Deo and H. A. Godwin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 174. (b) J. Li, Y. Lu, J. Am.
 308 Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 10466.
- 309 (3) (a) A. Miyawaki, J. Llopis, R. Helm, J. M. McCaffery, J. A. Adams, M. Ikura, R. Y. Tsien,
 310 *Nature.*, 1997, **388**, 882. (b) P. Chen, C. He, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 728.
- 311 (4) (a) H. Wang, L. Xue, H. Jiang, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 3844. (b) P. N. Basa, A. Bhowmick,
- 312 M. M. Schulz, A. G. Sykes, J. Org. Chem., 2011, 76, 7866. (c) A. E. Palmer, ACS
- 313 Chem. Biol., 2009, **3**, 157. (d) J. H. Lee, A. R. Jeong, I. S. Shin, H. J. Kim, J. I. Hong,
- 314 Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 764. (e) M. Zheng, Z. Xie, D. Qu, D. Li, P. Du, X. Jing, Z. Sun, ACS
- 315 *Appl. Mater. Interfaces.*, 2013, **5**, 13242. (f) R. R. Lauwerys, A. M. Bernard and H. A.
- 316 Reels, *Clin. Chem.*, 1994, **40**, 1391. (g) T. Jin, J. Lu, M. Nordberg, *Neurotoxicology.*,
- 1998, 19, 529. (h) Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, 4770 Buford Hwy
 NE, Atlanta,GA 30341. http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/cercla/07list.html.
- 319 (5) (a) R. E. Clement, P. W. Yang, C. Koester, J. Anal. Chem., 1999, 71, 257.
- 320 (b) L. H. Thaller, A. H. Zimmerman, J. Power Sources., 1996, 63, 53.
- 321 (c) R. E. Hamon, M. J. McLaughlin, R. Naidu, R. Correll, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 1998, 32,
 322 3699.
- 323 (d) S. E. Bailey, T. J. Olin, R. M. Bricka, D. D. Adrian, *Water Res.*, 1999, **33**, 2469.
- 324 (6) (a) M. P. Waalkes, J. Inorg. Biochem., 2000, 79, 241.
- 325 (b) M. P. Waalkes, T. P. Coogan, R. A. Barter, *Crit. Rev. Toxicol.*, 1992, 22, 175.
- (c) W. de Vries, P. F. Römkens and G. Schütze, Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol., 2007, 191,
 91. (d) H. N. Kim, W. X. Ren, J. S. Kim and J. Yoon, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 3210 and
 references therein.
- (7) (a) C. Cloquet, J. Corrigan, G. Libourel, T. Sterckeman, E. Perdrix, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*,
 2006, 40, 2525. (b) S. P. Dolan, D. A. Nortrup, P. M. Bolger, S. G. Capar, S. G. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2003, 51, 1307.
- (8) (a) N. H. Bings, A. Bogaerts, J. A. C. Broekaert, *Anal. Chem.*, 2002, 74, 2671. (b) S. M. Pyle,
 J. M. Nocerino, S. N. Deming, J. A. Palasota, J. M. Palasota, E. L. Miller, D. C. Hillman,

C. A. Kuharic, W. H. Cole, P. M. Fitzpatrick, M. A Watson, K. D. Nichols, K. D. 334 Environ. Sci. Technol., 1996, 30, 204. 335 (9) (a) Y. Wang, Y. H. Wang, Z. L. Fang, Anal. Chem., 2005, 77, 5396. (b) Q. Y. Ye, Y. Li, Y. 336 Jiang, X. P. Yan, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2003, 51, 2111. 337 (10) (a) K. Komatsu, Y. Urano, H. Kojima, T. Nagano, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, **129**, 13447.(b) E. 338 M. Nolan, S. J. Lippard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 5910. (c) S. Yoon, A. E. Albers, 339 340 A. P. Wong, C. J. Chang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 16030. (d) J. Y. Kwon, Y. J. Jang, Y. J. Lee, K. M. Kim, M. S. Seo, W. Nam, J. Yoon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 341 10107. (e) J. Wang, X. Qian, J. Cui, J. Org. Chem., 2006, 71, 4308. (f) X. Guo, X. Qian, 342 L. Jia, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 2272. (g) C. Lu, Z. Xu, J. Cui, R. Zhang, X. Qian, 343 J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 3554. (h) M. Royzen, Z. Dai, J. W. Canary, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 344 2005, 127, 1612. (i) W. Jiang, Q. Fu, H. Fan, J. Ho, W. Wang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 345 2007, 46, 8445. (j) C. W. Rogers, M. O. Wolf, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 1898. 346 (k) A. Coskun, E. U. Akkava, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 14474. (l) J. F. Callan, A. P. 347 de Silva, D. C. Magri, D. C. Tetrahedron., 2005, 61, 8551. 348 C. Lu, Z. Xu, J. Cui, R. Zhang, X. Qian, J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 3554. 349 (11)J. Jia, Q. C. Xu, R. C. Li, X. Tang, Y. F. He, M. Y. Zhang, Y. Zhang, G. W. Xing, G. (12)350 Org. Biomol. Chem., 2012, 10, 6279. 351 (13)W. Wang, O. Wen, Y. Zhang, X. Fei, Y. Li, O. Yang, X. Xu, Dalton Trans., 2013, 42, 352 1827. 353 (14) (a) F. A. Cotton and G. Wilkinson, Advances in Inorganic Chemistry., 5th ed.; Wiley: New 354 York., 1988, 957. (b) D. Dakternieks, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1990, 98, 279. 355 (c) M. Li, H. Y. Lu, R. L. Liu, J. D. Chen, C. F.Chen, J. Org. Chem., 2012, 77, 3670. 356 357 (15)A. Bencini, F. Caddeo, C. Caltagirone, A. Garau, M. B. Hurstouse, F. Isaia, S. Lampis, V. Lippolis, F. Lopez, V. Meli, M. Monduzzi, M. C. Mostallino, S. Murgia, S. Puccioni, J. 358 359 Schmidt, P. P. Seccif, Y. Talmong, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2013, 11, 7751. L. Xue, C. Liu, H. Jiang, Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 1656. 360 (16)361 (17)R. T. Bronson, D. J. Michaelis, R. D. Lamb, G. A. Husseini, P. B. Farnsworth, M. R. Linford, M. R. M. Izatt, J. S. Bradshaw, P. B. Savage, Org. Lett., 2005, 7, 1106. 362 (18) S. Sarkar, R. Shunmugam, Appl. Mater. Interfaces., 2013, 5, 7379. 363 (19) L. Xue, C. Liu, H. Jiang, H. Org. Lett., 2009, 11, 1655. 364

- 365 (20) L. K. Zhang, Q. X. Tong, L. J. Shi, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, 42, 8567.
- 366 (21) C. Lu, Z. Xu, J. Cui, R. Zhang, X. Qian, J. Org. Chem., 2007, 72, 3554.
- 367 (22) X. Peng, J. Du, J. Fan, J. Wang, Y. Wu, J. Zhao, S. Sun, T. J. Xu, J. Am. Chem. Soc.,
 368 2007, 129, 1500.
- 369 (23). T. Cheng, Y. Xu, S. Zhang, W. Zhu, X. Qian, L. Duan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 16160.
- 371 (24). X. Liu, N. Zhang, J. Zhou, T. Chang, C. Fangab, D. Shangguan, *Analyst.*, 2013, 138, 901.
- 372 (25). P. G. Sutariya, A. Pandya, N. R.Modi, S. K. Menon, *Analyst.*, 2013, **138**, 2244.
- 373 (26). M. Taki, M. Desaki, A. Ojida, S. Iyoshi, T. Hirayama, I. Hamachi, Y. Yamamoto, J. Am.
 374 Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 12564.
- 375 (27). F. Robert, A. D. Naik, F. Hidara, B. Tinant, R. Robiette, J. Wouters, Y. Garcia, Y. *Eur. J.*376 *Org. Chem.*, 2010, **10**, 621.
- 377 (28). R. Herchel, L. Pavelek, Z. Tr'avn'i cek, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, 40, 11896.
- (29). C. Kaewtong, J. Noiseephum, Y. Uppa, N. Morakot, N. Morakot, B. Wanno, T.
 Tuntulani, B. Pulpoka, *New J. Chem.*, 2010, 34, 1104.
- (30). D. Magde, R. Wong, P. G. Seybold, *Photochemistry and Photobiology*., 2002, **75**(4), 327.
- (31). (a) C. Tanyu, X. Yufang, Z. Shenyi, Z. Weiping, Q. Xuhong, D. Liping, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 16160. (b) J. R. Lakowicz, *Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy*,
 second edition, Kluwer Academic/Plenum, 1999, 725 pp. (c) M. Fischer and J. Georges, *Chemical Physical Letters.*, 1996, **260**, 115.
- 385

- 587 F. M Mary, A. De Bank. Paul, C. Lorenzo, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2012, **10**, 8753. (c) G. S.
- 388 Pinkesh, R. M. Nishith, P. Alok, K. J. Bhoomika, V. J. Kuldeep, K. M. Shobhana, *Analyst.*, 2012,
- **137**, 5491. (d) W. Wei, W. Qian, Z. Yue, F. Xiaoliang, L. Yaoxian, Y. Qingbiao Yang, X.
 Xiaoyi Xu, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 1827.
- 391 (33). S. Muthaiah Shellaiah, W. Yen-Hsing, L. Hong-Cheu, *Analyst*, 2013, **138**, 2931.
- (34). (a) M. Hiroshi, S. Hiroshi, S. Tokashi, H. Kei, Y. Seikichi, M. Noboru, *J. Phys. Chem.* 1984,88,
 5868. (b) S. T. Samuel, J. K. Su, T. K. Eric, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, 133, 2664. (c) Y. P.

^{386 (32). (}a) J. Hatai, S. Pal, S. Bandyopadhyay, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2012, **53**, 4357. (b) C.Alexander,

Page 15 of 22

394

RSC Advances



424 methylphenol] – Cadmium ions (II)

175	Tabla
425	I able

4	2	t

426	Sl.No	Type of the	Mechanism	Selectivity	Sensitivity	Quantum	Reference
427		Chemosensor		·	•	yield	
	1.	OFF - ON	CHEF	Cd2+	53nM		14
	2.	TURN ON	PET	Cd ²⁺	0.25 +	0.2	15
					0.03 pM		
	3.	TURN ON	PET	Cd ²⁺	1.6nM	0.3	17
	4.	TURN ON	ICT	Cd ²⁺	$0.1\mu M$	0.6	21
	5.	TURN ON	PET	Cd^{2+}	0.6µM	0.3	23
	6.	TURN ON	PET	Cd ²⁺	48 nM		24
	7.	ON-OFF-ON	PET	Cd ²⁺ Sr ²⁺	0.94pM		25
	8.	TURN ON	ICT	Cd2+	100pM	0.7	26
	9.	TURN ON	ICT	Cd ²⁺	$1 \mathrm{fM}$	0.8	In this
							work

Table 1 Various types of Cd^{2+} ions sensors and their lower limit of detection.



Fig. 1 Effect of pH on fluorescence Intensity of sensor in buffer (pH=7.0). The concentration of
probe is 5 μM, excitation wavelength was 332nm. Emission spectra of probe at pH from 1 to 13.5
[Inset: Fluorescence Intensity at 511 nm versus pH. Excitation and emission slit widths are 5 nm
and 2.5 nm respectively].



Fig. 2 (a) Absorption spectra and (b) Emission spectra [with excitation wavelength of 332 nm in
phosphate buffer pH=7.0) concentration of probe is 5 μM].



Fig. 3 (a) Absorption spectra of the probe in presence of Cd^{2+} ions from 0-20µM and (b) Emission spectra with excitation wavelength of 332 nm in phosphate buffer (pH=7.0) in the presence of increasing the concentration of Cd^{2+} ions from (5x10⁻¹⁵M to 1x10⁻³M). (a) probe; (b) 5x10⁻¹⁵ (c) 1x10⁻¹⁴; (d) 1x10⁻¹²: (e) 1x10⁻⁹; (f) 5x10⁻⁹; (g) 1x10⁻⁸; (h) 1x10⁻⁶: (i) 5x10⁻⁶; (j) 1x10⁻⁵; (k) 1x10⁻³. concentration of the probe is 5 µM. [Excitation and emission slit widths are 5 nm and 2.5 nm respectively Inset: corresponding linearity plot for the selective concentrations].



Fig. 4 Selectivity of probe in phosphate buffer (pH = 7.0) in the presence of different metal ions. Excitation wavelength is 332 nm In this emission plot, Zn^{2+} ions and other metal ions are quenches the fluorescence intensity of the probe with little change in the emission maximum but enhancement with enormous blue shift is only observed in the presence of Cd^{2+} ions. [The total concentration of sensor is 5 μ M. Excitation and emission slit widths are 5 and 2.5 nm respectively].

20µM



Fig. 5 (a) Linear response of Sensor as a function of higher concentration of Cd²⁺ ions in buffer
solution (pH=7.0). (b) Linear response of Sensor as a function of lower concentration of Cd²⁺ ions
(fM) in buffer solution (pH=7.0).



516

 510
 5.0

 517
 4.5

 518
 3.5

 519
 2.5

 520
 2.5

 521
 1.5

0.2

5.5

1.0

0.0

522 523

525

524



Molefraction of Cd2+

0.4

0.6

1.0

0.8

- 527
- 528
- 529
- 530



Fig. 7 (a) The fluorescence intensity of sensor at 511 nm with 1 equiv (10 μ L) of other metal ions followed by 1 equiv Cd²⁺ions (10 μ L) (b) Fluorescence intensity of sensor at 511 nm with 2 equiv (20 μ L) of other metal ions followed by1 equiv Cd²⁺ions (10 μ L). [Concentration of probe is 5 μ M, Phosphate buffer, pH 7.5 and Slit widths were 5 nm and 2.5nm respectively. Excitation wavelength is 332 nm, concentration of Cd²⁺ ions and other metal ions are 1X10⁻³ M)



Fig. 8 (a) Fluorescence intensity of 1ml of probe with 1 ml of Cd^{2+} ions in presence of one equivalent (10 µL) of other metal ions. (b) Fluorescence intensity of 1ml of probe with 1 ml of Cd^{2+} ions with 2 equivalents (20 µL) of other metal ions. [Excitation wavelength 332 nm in phosphate buffer solution (pH=7.0), excitation and emission slit widths are 5 nm and 2.5 nm respectively, the concentration of other interfering metal ions are1x10⁻³M and Cd^{2+} ions are 1x10⁻¹⁵M]

- 556
- 557
- 558



Fig. 9 Emission spectra of probe in the presence of lower concentrations of Cd^{2+} ions. [Inset: Fluorescence intensity of probe (5 µM) at 436 nm as a function of concentration of Cd^{2+} ions $1x10^{-15}$ M to $5x10^{-12}$ M in buffer solution (pH=7.0). Excitation and emission slit widths are 5 nm and 2.5 nm, respectively.] (a) probe; (b) $1x10^{-15}$ (c) $2x10^{-15}$; (d) $3x10^{-15}$: (e) $5x10^{-15}$; (f) $1x10^{-14}$; (g) $5x10^{-14}$; (h) $1x10^{-13}$; (i) $5x10^{-13}$; (j) $1x10^{-12}$ and (k) $5x10^{-12}$.



Fig. 10 (a) Naked eye detection of Cd²⁺ over Zn²⁺ions and (b) Response of the probe in presence of Cd²⁺ ion under UV-Lamp.

- 585
- 586

