RSC Advances



RSC Advances

SnCl4 or TiCl4: Highly efficient catalysts for detetrahydropyranylation and demethoxymethylation of phenolic ethers and sequel one-pot asymmetric synthesis of 3-aryl-2-hydroxy-2,3-dihydroindan-1-ones from chalcone epoxides

| Journal: | RSC Advances |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Manuscript ID: | RA-ART-06-2015-010499.R1 |
| Article Type: | Paper |
| Date Submitted by the Author: | 30-Jun-2015 |
| Complete List of Authors: | Ahmed, Naseem; Naseem Ahmed, ; Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Pathe, Gulab; Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Department of Chemistry Jheeta, Sohan; The Open University, Physical Sciences |

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/



Naseem Ahmed^a*, Gulab Khushalrao Pathe^a and Sohan Jheeta^b

Abstract: $SnCl_4$ or $TiCl_4$ catalysts provided a rapid and efficient detetrahydropyranylation and demethoxymethylation of phenolic ethers and sequel one-pot intramolecular Friedel-Crafts alkylation of chalcone epoxides under mild reaction conditions. The reaction took 2-3 min to give the phenols and 1-indanones in excellent yield (90-98%) at 0 °C without affecting other functional groups. With these catalysts, our protocol gave regioselective products as trans-3-aryl-2-hydroxy-1-indanones in excellent yield (76-98%) and enantiomeric excess up to 99.9% under the same conditions.

Introduction

Protection and deprotection of functional groups are the most frequently used strategies in the multi-step organic synthesis. In particular, the protection and deprotection of the hydroxyl group is extremely important because of its enormous demand for the synthesis of a number of compounds of biological and synthetic interest such as carbohydrates, macrolides, peptides, steroids, nucleotides and polyethers.¹ Protection of the hydroxyl group with 3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyran (DHP) and methoxymethyl chloride (MOMCI) is the most frequently used method due to the stability of the resulting 2-tetrahydropyranyl ethers (THPEs) and the methoxymethyl ethers (MOMEs) respectively in the presence of strong bases or nucleophiles such as Grignard reagents, organolithium compounds, metal hydrides, catalytic hydrogenation, alkylating and acylating agents.^{2,3}

Conversely, the deprotection of THP and MOM ethers required efficient methods to avoid decomposition and/or loss of other functional groups in the product under harsh reaction conditions. Therefore, many catalysts are explored for the detetrahydropyranylation of alcohols and phenols include protic acids,⁴ Lewis acids such as BF₃-etherate,⁵ LiBr,⁶ LiBF₄,⁷ LiOTf,⁸ LiClO₄,⁹ Sc(OTf)₃,¹⁰ In(OTf)₃,¹¹ I₂,¹² InCl₃,¹³ ZrCl₄,¹⁴ CuCl₂,¹⁵ and salt

* Corresponding author. Fax and Tel.: +91 1332 285745

Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available:[details of any supplementary information should be available here]. Experimental procedures, characterization data and ¹H, ¹³C NMR spectra associated with

NH₄Cl,¹⁶ expansive graphite,¹⁷ clay materials,¹⁸ silica-supported sulfuric acid,¹⁹ and other miscellaneous catalysts.²⁰⁻²⁶ Similarly, many catalysts are used for the demethoxymethylation of alcohols and phenols; these catalysts include HCl, BBr₃, pyridinium *p*-toluene sulphonate under strong acidic condition, mild Lewis acids ZnBr₂, and TiCl₄ in aprotic conditions and BBr₃ derivatives like Me₂BBr, and (*i*-PrS)₂BBr.²⁷ Most of these methods have different drawbacks such as long reaction time, low yields, reflux at high temperature and tedious workup procedures. Hence, there is still scope to develop more straightforward and efficient methods in the deprotection of tetrahydropyranyl and methoxymethyl ethers.

Indan-1-one and indan-2-one derivatives are important moieties in the core structures of many natural products, agrochemicals and medicines²⁸ including indacrinone,^{28a-c} indanoyl isoleucine conjugates, $^{\rm 28d}$ indanocines, $^{\rm 28e}$ quadranglularin A, $^{\rm 28f,g}$ A,^{28h,i} (+)-pauciflorol F,²⁹ norditerpene parthenocissin taiwaniaquinol B,³⁰ sulindac, NSAID,^{31a-c} NMDA receptor antagonists, $^{\rm 31d}$ benzodiazepines, $^{\rm 31e}$ melatonin precursor, $^{\rm 31f,g}$ and neoflavonoids^{32,33} (Figure 1). They are also reported from higher plants such as Uvaria afzelii roots,³⁴ Pteridium aquilinum^{34c} and Equisetum arvense^{34d} and screened for various biological activities including cancer and Alzheimer's diseases. 2-(Alkoxycarbonyl)- and 2-acetyl-1-indanones are present in cytotoxic natural compound pterosines,^{28,29} a potent and selective COX-2 inhibitor flosulide,^{30,32} and the acetylcholinesterase inhibitor donepezil hydrochloride.³⁰ They are approved by US-FDA for the treatment of mild to moderate Alzheimer's disease.²⁹ Similarly, the enantiomerically pure derivative, 1-amino-2-indanol is a key precursor of the chiral ligand and the chiral auxiliary for asymmetric synthesis of indinavir, a potent inhibitor of the protease of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)³⁵ and Detrol LA (tolterodine tartrate), a muscarine receptor antagonist used for the treatment of urinary bladder disorder.^{36a,b}



[[]a] Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee-247 667, Uttarakhand, India

[[]b] NoR HGT & LUCA, 1 Scott Hall Crescent, Leeds, LS7 3RB, UK

E-mail address: nasemfcy@iitr.ac.in (Naseem Ahmed)

procedures, characterization data and 'H, 'C NMR spectra associated with this article are available

I

Journal Name

ARTICLE

The Indan-1-one bearing carboxylate scaffold is also used a peroxisome proliferator activated receptor γ (PPAR γ) agonist in the treatment of type-2 diabetes.^{36c}



Figure 1. Bioactive indan-1-one derivatives.

Therefore, a number of synthetic methods have been reported that include intramolecular Friedel-Crafts alkylation, ³⁷ Nazarov cyclization, ^{38,39} tandem Knoevenagel condensation-cycloalkylation, ⁴⁰ Heck & Negishi coupling, ⁴¹ Larock annulations ⁴² and ring-closing metathesis ⁴³ reactions under different Lewis acids such as SbF₅, ^{44a} AlCl₃, ^{44b} and TiCl₄. ⁴⁵⁻⁵¹ The Friedel-Crafts reactions were carried out at high temperature and in strong acidic conditions. Similarly, enantioselective indanones synthesis required multi-step reaction and high catalyst loading for the 3-substituted indanone derivatives. ³²

In continuation of our interest to developed a novel methodologies by using different Lewis acid catalysts.⁵³ Herein, we report an efficient deprotection method of THP and MOM ethers and sequel Friedel-Crafts alkylation reaction in the stereoselective synthesis of functionalized 3-aryl 2-hydroxy-1-indanone derivatives catalyzed by a highly efficient SnCl₄ catalyst at 0 $^{\circ}$ C (Table 1). In comparison with other methods, our protocol gave high yields (76-98%) with excellent regioselective products (up to 99.9% ee) in short reaction time (2-3 min).

Results and Discussion

Inspection of table 1 reveals the catalytic efficiency of different metal halides. The metal halides (Table 1, entries 1-6) exhibited poor to moderate catalytic activity. However, $InCl_3$ (Table 1, entry 7) has shown better activity at 10 mol% catalyst loading, which gave the cyclized products in 90% yield without deprotecting the THP and the MOM ethers. However, $SnCl_4$ and $TiCl_4$ (Table 1, entry 10,12) were found to be the most efficient catalysts at 10 mol% catalyst loading and gave the products in 90-98% yield along with deprotection of the THP and the MOM ethers in one-pot reaction within 2-3 min under the same conditions (Table 1, entry 10, 12). We also used SnI_4 and $SnBr_4$ in 5, 10, and 20 mol% catalysts loading during the deprotection of the THP and the MOM ethers however gave the products in 5-10% yield after stirring for 2-6 h at $0^{\circ}C$ (Table 1).

Table 1. Optimization of catalysts in the synthesis of indanones via one-pot deprotection and cyclization reaction

| Catalysts (mol %) / DCM | но |
|-------------------------|----|
| | CI |

| Entry | Catalyst | Loading (mol%) | Rxn.Time | Yield (%) |
|-------|-------------------|----------------|----------|------------------------|
| 1 | $SbCl_3$ | 10 | 20-24 h | 28ª |
| 2 | $SbCl_5$ | 10 | 20-24 h | 20ª |
| 3 | $MgCl_2$ | 10 | 18-24 h | 18ª |
| 4 | $ZrCl_4$ | 10 | 10-15 h | 10 ^ª |
| 5 | ${\rm SnBr_4}$ | 10 | 6 h | 10 ^b |
| 6 | ${\sf Snl}_4$ | 10 | 6 h | 7 ^b |
| 7 | InCl ₃ | 10 | 4-5 h | 90 ^ª |
| 8 | $SnCl_4$ | 2 | 30 min | 45 ^b |
| 9 | SnCl ₄ | 5 | 15 min | 65 ^b |
| 10 | SnCl₄ | 10 | 2 min | 98 ^b |
| 11 | InCl₃ | 20 | 1 min | 70+20 ^c |
| 12 | TiCl₄ | 10 | 2 min | 98 ^d |

^aGave only cyclization product. ^{b,d} Gave both THP & MOM ethers deprotection and sequel cyclization, ^c Side product as 3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-chloro-2,3-dihydro indan-1-one (20%).

To optimize the reaction conditions, the catalysts ($InCl_3$, $SnCl_4$ and $TiCl_4$) loading were carried out in 2, 5, 10 and 20 mol% (Table 1, entries 8-12) in CH_2Cl_2 .SnCl₄ at 2 and 5 mol% loading proceeded slowly, whereas at 20 mol% loading gave the side product as *3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-chloro-2,3-dihydroindan-1-one* (20%), which was isolated and characterized by GC-MS.

Table 2 shows solvent effects using acetone, chloroform, dichloromethane and tetrahydrofuran where $CHCl_3$ and CH_2Cl_2 were found to be the desired solvents (Table 2, entries 4, 6).

Table 2 Solvent effects on yields in the deprotection of THP and MOM ethers



| Entry | Mol% | Solvents | Yield (%) |
|-------|------|----------|-----------------|
| 1 | 2 | Acetone | 40 ^a |
| 2 | 5 | Acetone | 45 [°] |
| 3 | 5 | DCM | 80 ^b |
| 4 | 10 | DCM | 98 ^b |
| 5 | 5 | $CHCl_3$ | 85 [°] |
| 6 | 10 | CHCl₃ | 96 [°] |
| 7 | 5 | THF | 52 ^d |

Please do not adjust margin **RSC Advances**

Journal Name

Table 3 reveals that the THP and MOM removal followed by the Friedel-Crafts alkylation was observed for the chalcone epoxides which gave the corresponding indanone derivatives **1- 6** in excellent yield (90-98%) within 2-3 min. at 0 °C (Table 3, entries 1-6). The products were characterized on the basis of their spectral analysis ¹H-, ¹³C-NMR, GCMS (see supporting information).

One-pot deprotection of the phenolic THP and MOM ethers followed by Friedel-Crafts alkylation reaction gave the indanones in

90-98% yield at 10 mol% catalyst loading (TiCl₄ or SnCl₄) within 2-3 min at 0 $^{\circ}$ C. The presence of other functional groups was fully tolerated under optimized conditions. However, in the alcoholic THP and MOM ethers, 20 mol% catalyst loading gave only 10 and 25% yield respectively (Tables 3, entry 7). However, the chalcones **12-19** only THP and MOM removal was observed, but no intramolecular Friedel-Crafts alkylation. The products were characterized by comparing their physical and spectral data with literature value (Table 3, entries 7-19, see supporting information).

Table 3 Examples of the THP and MOM ethers deprotection and sequel cyclization reaction





^a yield (1-19) from R-OTHP and ^b yield (1-19) from R-OMOM ethers deprotection. *Table 3, entries 7-19, see supporting information.

ARTICLE

Inspection of Table 4 reveals that in racemic indanone synthesis, following a simple experimental procedure (given in the experimental section), trans-chalcone epoxides **1a-1w** was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 by stirring and $SnCl_4$ or TiCl₄ was added in portion and stirred at 0 $^{\circ}$ C for 2-3 min. After usual work up, the trans-3-aryl-2-hydroxy-2, 3-dihydroindan-1-ones **2a-2w** was obtained in 76-98% yields (Table 4).

For example, in the synthesis of racemic indanone **2b** (table 4) chiral HPLC purification gave peaks at 26.9 (50%) and 35.4 (50%) min. for diastereomers (see experimental section). The assigned structures of the chalcone epoxides 1a-1w and the products 2a-2w were confirmed on the basis of their spectral analysis (IR, ¹H and ¹³C-NMR, and GC-MS/HRMS) and also compared with the reported data in the literature. The trans-stereochemistry of epoxides 1a-1w were confirmed by the coupling constants of the α - & β -protons. (4-chlorophenyl)-3-(4-bromophenyl)oxiran-2-yl-For example. *methanone* (**1**i), the ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ (ppm): 4.07 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, β-H, 1H), 4.21 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, α-H, 1H), in which the J-values (2.0 Hz) indicate a trans-substituted epoxide. Similarly, the transconfiguration of 2-hydroxy-2,3-dihydro indan-1-ones 2a-2w were confirmed by the coupling constants of the $\alpha\text{-}\&$ $\beta\text{-protons.}$ For trans-3-(4-bromophenyl)-5-chloro-2-hydroxy-2,3example, dihydroindan-1-one (2i), the ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ (ppm) at 5.15 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, β -H, 1H), 5.31 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, α -H, 1H), in which the J-values (2.0 Hz) indicate a trans-configuration (Lee et al., 2011). In the case of electron donating (Table 4, entry 2j) and electron withdrawing groups on ring-Ar₂ (Table 4, entries **2e**, **2r**, **2w**) the reaction at 0 $^{\circ}$ C gave the decomposed products. Therefore, the reactions was carried out by lowering the temperature (-20 °C) to obtain the desired products.

Table 4. Synthesis of 3-aryl-2-hydroxy-1-indanones from racemic chalcone epoxides $^{\rm a,\ b,\ c}$



Epoxides and 2-hydroxyindan-1-ones are racemic compounds and are shown as a single enantiomeric derivative (*trans*- configuration). ^b Reaction of **2e**, **2j**, **2r**, **2w** were carried out at – 20 °C and others are at 0 °C using 10 mol% of SnCl₄. ^cIsolated yield of racemic 2-hydroxy indan-1-ones.

Table 5 clearly shows that during stereoselective synthesis of indanones, first we synthesized diastereoisomerically excess trans (2R,3S)-chalcone epoxides (1x-1zb) from the corresponding chalcone with α, α' -diphenyl-L-prolinol and TBHP in hexane which gave good yield (58%) with 64-99.9% enantiomeric excess. Epoxides were characterized by comparing with the literature values and enantiomeric excess was determined by chiral HPLC column and optical rotation in chloroform⁵⁴ (see in supporting information). The epoxide ring opening followed by intramolecular Friedel-Crafts alkylation was performed in the presence of TiCl₄ or SnCl₄ to obtain the enantiomerically excess trans (2R, 3S)-indanone derivatives 2x-2zb (Table 5). The enantiomeric excess of indanones was again determined by chiral HPLC column and optical rotation in chloroform (see in experimental section). In general, indanones were obtained in 90-98% yields with 64-99.9% ee (Table 6, supporting information). It was observed that the ring opening of epoxides in the presence of the metal halides, the configuration at C-2 position is retained while C-3 position is changed due to S_N2-like mechanism to obtain regio- and stereoselective intramolecular Friedel-Crafts alkylation. The protons at C-2 and C-3 positions are in trans-orientation which was confirmed by the coupling constant (J =



Table 5 and 6 show that the assigned structures of enantiomerically excess trans-chalcone epoxides 1x-1zb and the products 2x-2zb were confirmed on the basis of their spectral analysis (¹H- & ¹³C-NMR and chiral HPLC) and also compared with those reported data. For example, trans-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2hydroxy-2,3- dihydroindan-1-one (2x) gave the ¹H NMR (500 MHz) δ (ppm) at 5.36 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H, -CO-CH-) and 5.21 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, -CH-Ar-,1H), in which the J-values indicate a trans-configuration. The ¹³CNMR spectrum gave peaks at δ 197.49 ppm for the characteristic carbonyl carbon, 62.95 ppm for Ar-CH-CH, and 75.89 ppm for Ar-CH-CH-CO- of indanone ring carbon. Since diastereoselective epoxide 1x gave peaks at 54.7 min (13%) and 58.7 min (87%), the major peak correlated with the retention time of the reported literature⁵⁴. Therefore, the configuration is confirmed as 2R and 3S. We also took diastereoselective indanone 2x (Table 5) which gave peaks at 27.9 min (13.9%) and 36.9 min (86.1%) (HPLC chromatogram in the supporting information). This retention time match those of racemic indanone (2b). Similarly, all other compounds 2y- 2zb were confirmed on the basis of their analytical data.

Table 5. Synthesis of Enantioselective 3-aryl-2-hydroxy-1-indanones. $_{a,b,c}^{a,b,c}$



 $^{\rm a}$ enantiomeric excess (ee) was determined by chiral HPLC column and found to be equivalent to literature data, $^{\rm b}$ isolated yields. $^{\rm c}$ Reactions are carried out at 0 $^{\rm o}$ C for 2-3 min. HPLC conditions and retention times of racemic and de of the indanonone derivatives is given in Tables 6(supporting information).

Scheme 3 shows the stereoselectivity and high yields for 1indanones under acidic condition (TiCl₄ or SnCl₄) might be due to the variable oxidation state and availability of relatively low energy 5d-orbitals on tin. A proposed reaction mechanism is shown in the Scheme 3, where ligation of SnCl₄ with the MOM oxygen resulted in the removal of the methyl (methylene) oxonium group followed by its reaction with Cl⁻ generated the MOMCl. Similarly, epoxide oxygen ligation might change the tetrahedron structure of SnCl₄ into trigonal bipyramide/octahedron structure. The geometry changes enhanced the steric hindrance which results in the faster epoxide ring opening from β -carbon due to considerable electron deficient character at benzylic position. Therefore, mostly the nucleophile attack took place at β -carbon of carbonyl group. Finally, a base hydrolysis produces SnCl₄ which is used in the next catalytic cycle.



Scheme 3: Proposed mechanism for the deprotection of MOM ethers followed by cyclization with SnCl₄

Conclusions

In conclusion, we developed a novel and highly efficient catalytic detetrahydropyranylation protocol for the and demethoxymethylation of phenolic ethers and stereoselective synthesis of trans-2-hydroxy-3-aryl-1-indanone derivatives by sequential ring opening of chalcone epoxides and intramolecular Friedel-Crafts alkylation in the presence of SnCl₄ or TiCl₄. This method has advantages such as (i) mild protocol (ii) excellent yield (up to 98%) with high regio and stereoselectivity (up to 99.9% ee) (iii) short reaction time (2-3 min) and (iv) easy work-up procedure. To the best of our knowledge, SnCl₄ and TiCl₄ have not been studied in this capacity before and therefore represent a novel subject for investigation.

Experimental Section

General methods

Organic solvents were dried by standard methods; the reagents (chemicals) were purchased from commercial sources, and used withoutfurther purification. All reactions were monitored by TLC using precoated silica gel aluminum plates. Visualization of TLC plates was accomplished with an UV lamp. Column chromatography was performed using silica gel 60–120 mesh size (RANKEM Limited) with EtOAc-hexanes as eluent. Melting points were recorded on

Perfit apparatus and are uncorrected. All products were characterized by NMR, IR and MS spectra. 1H and 13C NMR spectra were recorded in deuterated chloroform (CDCl₃) on a 500 MHz and 125 MHz spectrometer (Bruker), respectively. Chemical shifts were reported in parts per million (ppm, δ) downfield from tetramethylsilane. Proton coupling patterns are described as singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet (t), quartet (q), multiplet (m), and broad (br).

General Procedure for the deprotection of THP and MOM Ethers in alcohols and phenols followed by cyclization to indanones:

SnCl₄ **or** TiCl₄ (10 mol %) was added to a stirred solution of **1a** -**1w** & **THP/MOM** ethers (1 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL) at 0 °C. After TLC monitoring, the reaction mixture was poured in 10 % aqueous Na_2CO_3 solution and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . The combined organic layer was washed with brine solution, dried with anhyd. Na_2SO_4 and concentrated in *vacuo* to give the corresponding alcohol or phenol, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography with hexane-EtOAc eluent to obtain the products **2a** -**2w** & **1 to 19** in excellent yield (80-98%).

2.2.1 Trans-(2R, 3S)-3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxy-2, 3dihydroindan-1-one (2x): Light yellow solid; Yield: 745 mg (98%); m.p = 188-190°C; IR v_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3408 (OH str), 2917 (aromatic C-H str), 1689 (C=O str), 1589 (aromatic, C=C str), 1489, 1415, 1288, 1177, 1091, 1014, 929 and 701; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 7.92 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, H_{Ar}), 7.69 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, H_{Ar}), 7.56 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H, H_{Ar}), 7.49 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 2 H, H_{Ar}), 7.35 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, H_{Ar}), 5.36 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H2), 5.21 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H3), 4.13 (s, br, D₂O exchangeable, 1 H); 13 C-NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ (ppm): 197.4 (C=O), 136.6, 134.8, 134.4, 133.5, 129.4, 129.2, 128.7, 128.5, 75.9 (C2), 62.9 (C3); MS (EI, 70eV): m/z (%) = 258(17) [M⁺, C₁₅H₁₁ClO₂], 242(28), 207(36), 179(43), 165(32), 135(57), 130(61), 105(100), 89(49), 77(61), 75(55) and 51(62);HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C₁₅H₁₁ClO₂ 258.0448, found 258.0450;The absolute configuration was determined by comparison with the optical rotation reported for the $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ = -16.4 (c 1.0, CHCl₃) and chiral HPLC using Chiralcel OD-H column.

2.2.2 3S)-3-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-hydroxy-2, Trans-(2R, 3dihydroindan-1-one (2y): Light yellow solid; Yield: 546 mg (90%); m.p = 183-185°C; IR v_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3434 (OH str), 2924 (aromatic C-H str), 1670 (C=O str), 1588 (aromatic, C=C str), 1485, 1413, 1288, 1177, 1071, 930, 703 and 545; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 7.91 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2 H, H_{Ar}), 7.57 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, H_{Ar}), 7.50 (d, J =7.0 Hz, 2 H, H_{Ar}), 7.43 (d, J = 7.0, 2.0 Hz, 2 H, H_{Ar}), 7.24 (m, 1 H, H_{Ar}), 5.35 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H2), 5.19 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H3), 4.13 (s, br, D_2O exchangeable, 1 H); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ (ppm): 197.3 (C=O), 136.6, 134.6, 134.2, 133.4, 129.3, 129.3, 128.6, 128.4, 76.0 (C2), 62.5 (C3); MS (EI, 70eV): m/z (%) = 302(16) [M⁺, C₁₅H₁₁BrO₂], 196(55), 169(45), 139(73), 105(100), 89(47), 77(54), 63(49) and 51(66);HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C₁₅H₁₁BrO₂ 301.9942, found 301.9940;The absolute configuration was determined by comparison with the optical rotation reported for the [α] $_{D}^{25}$ = -8.8 (c 1.2, CHCl₃) and chiral HPLC using Chiralcel OD-H column.

2.2.3 Trans-(2R, 3S)-2-Hydroxy-3-phenyl-2, 3-dihydrocyclo penta [b]naphthalen-1-one (2z):Light yellow solid; Yield: 757 mg (92%); m.p = 114-116°C; IR v_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3395 (OH str), 2951, 2848 (aromatic C-H str), 1677 (C=O str), 1580 (aromatic, C=C str), 1247, 1092, 842; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 8.45 (m, 1 H, H_{Ar}), 7.99 (m, 2 H, H_{Ar}), 7.85 (m, 1 H, H_{Ar}), 7.65 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H, H_{Ar}), 7.60 (m, 3 H, H_{Ar}), 7.39-7.34 (m, 3 H, H_{Ar}), 5.57 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H2), 5.32 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H3), 4.19 (s, br, D₂O Exchange-able, 1H); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ (ppm): 197.7 (C=O), 138.2, 136.0,132.4, 130.9, 130.5, 129.7, 129.3, 129.2, 128.8, 128.6, 128.0, 127.9, 127.3, 123.9, 76.2 (C2), 64.1 (C3); MS (EI, 70eV): m/z (%) = 274 (12) [M⁺, C₁₉H₁₄O₂], 256 (15), 110 (100);HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C₁₉H₁₄ClO₂ 274.0994, found 274.0995;The absolute configuration was determined by comparison with the optical rotation reported for the [α] $_{D}^{25}$ = -3.5 (c 0.12, CHCl₃) and chiral HPLC using Chiralcel AD-H column.

2.2.4 Trans-(2R, 3S)-3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-5-bromo-2-hydroxy-2,3dihydroindan-1-one (2za):Light yellow solid; Yield: 641mg (95%); m.p = 205-207°C; IR v_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3422 (OH str), 3087 (aromatic C-H str), 1678 (C=O str), 1583 (aromatic, C=C str), 1404, 1278, 1169, 1091, 830, 752 (C-Br, str); ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 7.89 (m, 2 H, H_{Ar}), 7.56-7.50 (m, 3 H, H_{Ar}), 7.41 (m, 2 H, H_{Ar}), 5.31 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H2), 5.16, (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H3), 4.04 (s, br, D₂O exchangeable, 1 H); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ (ppm): 196.6 (C=O), 136.3, 134.9, 132.6, 132.3, 129.8, 129.4, 128.8, 75.9 (C2), 62.8 (C3); MS (EI, 70eV): m/z (%) = 336(30) [M⁺⁻, C₁₅H₁₀ClBrO₂], 185(79), 183 (100), 125(49);HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C₁₅H₁₀BrClO₂ 335.9553, found 335.9555;The absolute configuration was determined by comparison with the optical rotation reported for the [α] D²⁵ = -10.1 (c 0.26, CHCl₃) & chiral HPLC using Chiralcel AD-H column.

2.2.5 Trans-(2R, 3S)-3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-hydroxy-5, 6-dimethyl-2,3-dihydroinden-1-one (2zb):Light yellow solid; Yield: 533 mg (93%); m.p =116-118°C; IR v_{max} (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3412 (OH str), 2952, 2847 (aromatic C-H str), 1675 (C=O str), 1609 (aromatic, C=C str), 1225, 1091, 842, 762; 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ (ppm): 7.71 (m, 1 H, H_{Ar}), 7.61 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, H_{Ar}), 7.50 (m, 2 H, H_{Ar}), 7.33 (m, 1 H, H_{Ar}),7.28 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H, H_{Ar}), 5.25 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H2), 5.14 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1 H, H3), 4.10 (s, br, D₂O exchangeable, 1 H), 2.36(s, 3H), 2.35 (s, 3H); ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ (ppm): 197.1 (C=O), 144.4, 137.9, 136.9, 134.6, 131.1, 130.3, 129.7, 129.4, 128.7, 126.1, 75.6 (C2), 63.2 (C3), 20.2, 19.9; MS (EI, 70eV): m/z (%) = 286(10) [M⁺, C17H15CIO2], 268 (20), 122 (100); HRMS (ESI-TOF) calcd for C17H15CIO2 286.0761, found 286.0763; The absolute configuration was determined by comparison with the optical rotation reported for the $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{25}$ = -9.5 (c 0.57, CHCl₃) and chiral HPLC using Chiralcel AD-H column.

Acknowledgements

Financial support and award of junior research fellowship by the Department of Science and Technology (DST), New Delhi and BRNS, BARC Mumbai, India is greatfully acknowledged. We thank Prof. R. K. Peddinti, Chemistry IIT Roorkee for HPLC assistance.

Keywords: SnCl₄ or TiCl₄ catalyst/ Chalcone epoxide ring opening/ THP and MOM ethers/ Intramolecular Friedel-Crafts alkylation/ Trans-3-aryl-2-hydroxy-2,3-dihydroindan-1-ones.

Notes and references

- 1.(a) V. Amaranth, A. D. Broom, *Chem. Rev.* 1977, **77**, 183; (b) D. N. Robertson, *J. Org. Chem.* 1960, **25**, 931.
- 2.A. R. Hajipour, M. Kargosha, A. E. Ruoho, *Synth. Commun.* 2009, **39**, 1084.
- 3.(a) T. W. Green, P. G. M. Wuts, Organic Synthesis, 3rd ed.; John Wiley: New York, 1999; 306; (b) D. Caine, H. J. Deutsch, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, **100**, 8031; (c) M. Isobe,

H. lio, T. Kawai, T. Goto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, **100**, 1942.

- 4.a) B. Tamami, K. Parvanak, *Tetrahedron Lett*. 2004, 45, 715;
 (b) V. V. Namboodiri, R. S. Varma, *Tetrahedron Lett*. 2002, 43, 1143;
 (c) B. S. Babu, K. Balasubramanian, *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1998, 39, 9287;
 (d) P. N. Reddy, B. K. Sunil, P. S. Kumar, N. Y. Srinivasulu, T. Reddy, B. Rajitha, *Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds* 2005, 41, 11.
- B. Kumara, A. A. Mushtaq, D. Mukherjee, S. S. Chimnib, S. C. Taneja, B. Kumar, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2009, **50**, 6236.
- 6.R. R. Diaz, C. R. Melgarejo, M. T. Plaza, I. I. Cubero, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 7928.
- B. S. Babu, K. K. Balasubramanian, *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1998, 39, 9287.
- Narender, M. S. Reddy, K. R. Rao, Synthesis, 2004, 30, 1741.
- (a) C. Wiles, P. Watts, S. J. Haswell, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 61, 5209; (b) C. Wiles, P. Watts, S. J. Haswell, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, 47, 261; (c) C. Wiles, P. Watts, S. J. Haswell, *Tetrahedron* 2007, 9, 966.
- 10. B. Karimi, J. Maleski, Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 5353.
- 11. T. Mineno, Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 7975.
- 12. B. C. Ranu, M. Saha, J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 8269.
- 13. G. G. Haraldsson, J. E. Baldwin, *Tetrahedron* 1997, **53**, 215. 14.K. Tanemura, T. Haraguchi, T. Suzuki, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*
- 1992, **65**, 304. **15**.H. M. S. Kumar, B. V. S. Reddy, E. J. Reddy, J. S. Yadav, *Chem. Lett.* 1999, **28**, 857.
- 16.J. S. Yadav, D. Srinivas, G. S. Reddy, Synth. Commun. 1998, 28, 1399
- 17.A. T. B. Molnarand, Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 8597.
- 18.T. Akiyama, H. Shima, S. Ozaki, Synlett 1992, 22, 415.
- 19.A. R. Srikrishna, J. A. Sattigeri, D. Vijaykumar, J. Org. Chem. 1995, **60**, 5961.
- 20.K. K. Matthew, R. M. Waymouth, Organometalics 2010, 29, 6051.
- 21.S. Akai, R. Tanaka, H. Hoshi, K. I. Sato. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 8802.
- 22.S. Syam, S. I. Abdelvahab, M. A. Mamary, M. Syam, Molecule 2012, 17, 6179.
- 23.N. Ahmed, B. B. Venkata, H. Kumar, Synthesis 2011, 2471.
- 24.G. Sabhita, J. S. Yadav, M. Rajkumar, R. Babu, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1149.
- 25.J. H. Rigby, J. Z. Wilson, Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 1429.
- 26.D. R. William, S. Sakdarat, Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 3965
- 27.J. H. Han, Y. E. Kwon, J. H. Sohn, D. H. Ryu, *Tetrahedron* 2010, **66**, 1673.
- (a) G. M. Hanna, C. A. Lau-cam, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 1989, 7, 919; (b) M. J. Field, N. Fowler, G. H. Giebisch, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1984, 230, 62; (c) C. Z. Ioav, K. Shirley, K. Miriam, G. Hagai, Mol. Pharmacol. 1983, 23, 92; (d) A. Mithofer, M. Maitrejean, M. Boland, J. Plant Growth Regul. 2005, 170; (e) L. M. Leoni, E. Hamel, D. Genini, C. J. Carrera, H. B. Cottam, A. Dennis, D. A. Carson, J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 2000, 92, 217; (f) S. A. Adesanya, R. Nia, M. T. Martin, N. Boukamcha, A. Montagnac, M. Pais, J. Nat. Prod. 1999, 62, 1694; (g) W. Li, H. Li, Y. Li, Z. Hou, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7609; (h) T. Tanaka, M. Iinuma, H. Murata, Phytochemistry 1998, 48, 1045; (i) H. J. Kim, M. Saleem, S. H. Seo, C. Jin, Y. S. Lee, Planta Med. 2005, 71, 973.

- 29.(a) Y. Kawakami, A. Inoue, T. Kawai, M. Wakita, H. Sugimoto, A. Hopfinger, J. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 1996, 45, 1429; (b) R. J. R. Rao, A. K. S. B. Rao, Y. L. N. Murthy, *Synth. Commun.* 2007, 37, 2847.
- S. Silver, A. S. Leppanen, R. Sjoholm, A. Penninkangas, R. Leino, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2005, 6, 1058; (b) V. V. Izmer, A. Y. Lebedev, M. V. Nikulin, A. N. Ryabov, A. F. Asachenko, A. V. Lygin, D. A. Sorokin, A. Z. Voskoboynikov, *Organometallics* 2006, 25, 1217.
- 31.(a) A. R. Maguire, S. Papot, A. Ford, S. Touhey, R. O'Connor, M. Clynes, *Synlett* 2001, 41; (b) V. B. Birman, Z. Zhao, L. Guo, *Org. Lett.* 2007, 1223; (c) K. Fukatsu, O. Uchikawa, M. Kawada, T. Yamano, M. Yamashita, K. Kato, M. Miyamoto, S. Ohkawa, *J. Med. Chem.* 2002, 45, 4212; (d) S. Tarí, R. Chinchilla, C. Nájera, *Tetrahedron Asymm.* 2009, 20, 2651; (e) C. Bonnefous, J. M. Vernier, J. H. Hutchinson, M. F. Gardner, L. P. Daggett, T. M. Kamenecka, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2005, 15, 4354; (f) L. M. Kielsztein, A. M. Bruno, S. G. Renou, G. Y. M. Iglesias, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2006, 14, 1863; (g) C. Charrier, P. Bertrand, J. P. Gesson, J. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2006, 16, 5339; (h) W. Yin, Y. Ma, J. Xu, Y. Zhao, *J. Org. Chem.* 2006, 71, 4312.
- 32.(a) D. M. X. Donnelly, G. M. Boland, *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 1995, 12, 321; (b) F. Gaviiia, A. M. Costero, A. M. Gonzllez, *J. Org. Chem.* 1990, 55, 2060.
- 33.(a) R. C. Neugebauer, R. Meier, H. Hruby, V. Valkov, E. Verdin, W. Sippl, M. Jung, *J. Med. Chem.* 2008, **51**, 1203;
 (b) J. Posakony, M. Hirao, S. Stevens, J. A. Simon, A. Bedalov, *J. Med. Chem.* 2004, **47**, 2635.
- 34.(a) G. K. S. Prakash, F. Paknia, H. Vaghoo, G. Rasul, T. Mathew, G. A. Olah, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 2219; (b) O. Uchikawa, K. Fukatsu, R. Tokunoh, M. Kawada, K. Matsumoto, K. Kato, K. Hirai, M. Miyamoto, S. Ohkawa, J. Med. Chem. 2002, 45, 4222. (c) H. Hikino, T. Takahashi, S. Arihara, T. Takemoto, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1970, 18, 1488. (d) A. I. Syrchina, O. N. Gorenysheva, V. N. Biyushkin, T. I. Malinovskii, Khim. Prir. Soedin. 1978, 508.
- 35.(a) R. Shintani, K. Takatsu, T. Hayashi, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3735.
- 36.(a) H. H. Wenk, W. Schwab, J. Agric. Food Chem. 2006, 54, 6236; (b) C. E. Smith, W. R. N. Williamson, C. H. Cashin, E. A. Kitchen, J. Med. Chem. 1979, 22, 1464; (c) J. H. Ahn, M. S. Shin, S. H. Jung, S. K. Kang, K. R. Kim, S. D. Rhee, W. H. Jung, S. D. Yang, S. J. Kim, J. R. Woo, J. H. Lee, H. G. Cheon, S. S. Kim, J. Med. Chem. 2006, 49, 4781.
- P. H. Gore, *Chem. Rev.* 1955, **6**, 229; (b) A. K. Sharma, C.
 B. Gorman, *Tetrahedron* 2007, **48**, 389; (c) D. M. Cui, C.
 Zhang, M. Kawamura, S. Shimada, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2004, **45**, 1741; (d) K. Lan, Z. X. Shan, *Synth. Commun.* 2007, 2171; (e) G. B. Womack, J. G. Angeles, V. E. Fanelli, C. A.
 Heyer, *J. Org. Chem.* 2007, **72**, 7046; (f) S. V. Gagnier, R. C.
 Larock, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, **125**, 4804.
- (a) H. Pellissier, *Tetrahedron* 2005, **61**, 6479; (b) A. Frontier,
 C. Collison, *Tetrahedron* 2005, **61**, 7577; (c) D. L. J. Clive,
 M. S. Hisaindee, S. *J. Org. Chem.* 2001, **66**, 954.
- 39.(a) Ma, J. A.; Cahard, D. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 8726; (b) Umemoto, T.; Adachi, K. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 5692.
- 40.G. Sartori, R. Maggi, F. Bigi, C. Porta, X. Tao, S. Ianelli, M. Nardelli, *Tetrahedron* 1995, **51**, 12179.
- (a) S. Ducki, D. Rennison, M. Woo, A. Kendall, N. Lawrence, J. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2009, **17**, 7698; (b) J. A. Brekan, T.

E. Reynolds, K. A. Scheidt, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, **132**, 1472.

- 42.(a) M. E. Jung, P. Y.-S. Lam, M. M. Mansuri, L. M. J. Speltz, Org. Chem. 1985, 7, 1088; (b) B. H. Lee, Y. L. Choi, S. Shin, J. –N. J. Heo, Org. Chem., 2011, 76, 6611.
- 43.E. M. Coyanis, J. L. Panayides, M. A. Fernandes, W. A. L. van Otterlo, J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, **691**, 5222.
- 44.(a) A. Saito, M. Umakoshi, N. Yagyu, Y. Hanzawa, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1783; (b) T. Sasski, S. Eguchi, S. Yamada, T. Hioki, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1982, 1953; (c) A. Gold, J. Schultz, E. Eisenstadt, Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 19, 4491; (d) W. Zhang, X. Cao, H. P. Zi, J. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 959.
- 45.(a) D. P. N. Satchell, *J. Chem. Soc.* 1961, 5404; (b) G. Melvyn, *Tetrahedron* 1984, **40**, 621.
- 46.(a) F. D. Popp, W. E. McEwen, *Chem. Rev.* 1958, **58**, 321; (b)
 A. Guy, J. Guette, *Synthesis* 1980, 222; (c) M. Braun, *Tetrahedron* 1984, **40**, 4585.
- 47.V. Premasagar, V. A. Palaniswamy, E. J. Eisenbraun, *J. Org. Chem.* 1981, **46**, 2974.
- 48. G. H. Posner, M. J. Chapdelaine, C. M. Lentz, *J. Org. Chem.* 1979, **44**, 3661.
- 49.W. S. Johnson, H. J. Glenn, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1949, **71**, 1092.
- 50.J. A. Ragan, J. A. Murry, M. J. Castaldi, A. K. Conrad, B. P. Jones, R. McDermott, B. J. Sitter, T. D. White, G. R. Young, Org. Process Res. Dev. 2001, 5, 498.
- 51.(a) T. Yamato, C. Hideshima, G. K. Prakash, G. A. Olah, J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 3955; (b) G. A. Olah, T. Mathew, G. K. Prakash, Synlett 1999, 1067.
- 52.(a) N. Ahmed, J. E. van Lier, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007, 48, 13;
 (b) N. Ahmed, J. E. van Lier, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, 47, 5345;
 (c) N. Ahmed, J. E. van Lier, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, 47, 2725;
 (d) N. Ahmed, H. Ali, J. E. van Lier, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 253;
 (e) N. Ahmed, H. Ali, J. E. van Lier, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 253;
 (e) N. Ahmed, H. Ali, J. E. van Lier, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 253;
 (e) N. Ahmed, H. Ali, J. E. van Lier, *J. Porphyrins Phthalocyanines* 2006, 10, 1172;
 (f) N. Ahmed, W. H. Ansari, *J. Chem. Research* (S) 2003, 572;
 (g) N. Ahmed, N. K. Konduru, K. A. Praveen, Kamaluddin, *J. Mol. Cata. A: Chemical* 2013, 373, 135.
 (h) N. Ahmed, N. K. Konduru, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* 2012, 8, 177.
 (i) N. K. Konduru, N. Ahmed, *Synth. Commun.* 2013, 43, 2008.
- 53.(a) A. R. Kiasat, R. Badri, B. Zargar, S. Sayyahi, J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 8382; (b) H. Y. Kim, A. Talukdar, Cushman, M. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1085; (c) A. McCluskey, S. K. Leitch, J. Garner, C. E. Caden, S. G. Stewart, Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 8229; (d) Z. Wang, Y. T. Cui, Z-B. Xu, J. Qu, J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 2270 (e) M. C. Singh, R. K. Peddinti, Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 7354; (f) J. A. Kalow, A. G. Doyle, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 3268.

54.A. Lattanzi, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2579.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

SnCl₄ or TiCl₄: Highly efficient catalysts for detetrahydropyranylation and demethoxymethylation of phenolic ethers and sequel one-pot asymmetric synthesis of 3-aryl-2-hydroxy-2,3-dihydroindan-1-ones from chalcone epoxides

Naseem Ahmed^a*, Gulab Khushalrao Pathe^a and Sohan Jheeta^b

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee- 247 667,

Uttarakhand, India

^bNo R HGT & LUCA, 1 Scott Hall Crescent, Leeds, LS7 3RB, UK

* Corresponding author. Fax and Tel.: +91 1332 285745.

E-mail address: nasemfcy@iitr.ac.in (Naseem Ahmed)

R. TiCl₄ or SnCl₄ (10 mol%) С R₃ CH₂CI₂, 0 °C, 2-3 min в R₄ R₄ 2x -2zb (2R, 3S) Ŕ₅ Ŕ. upto 98% yield 1x - 1zb (2R,3S) 54 - 99 **9** where R₁ = H, CH₃; where R₁ = H, CH₃; $R_2 = H, CH_3, OH, OH,$ $R_2 = H, CH_3, OTHP, OMOM,$ R₃ = H; R₃ = H; R₄ = H, CI, Br,OH, OH; R₄ = H, CI, Br, OTHP, OMOM; $R_5 = H.$ $R_5 = H.$