RSC Advances

This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited, formatted and paginated article as soon as this is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard Terms & Conditions and the Ethical quidelines still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

www.rsc.org/advances

Journal Name RSCPublishing

ARTICLE

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2015, Accepted 00th January 2015

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Shape-controlled synthesis and lithium storage properties of SnO2 nonspherical hollow structures†

Yong Wang,* Panshuang Ding and Xiaowen Su

In this work, a variety of uniform $SnO₂$ nonspherical hollow structures, such as peanuts, capsules and pseudocubes, can be synthesized by using monodisperse hollow silica nonspherical colloids with different shapes as templates. The method is based on polycrystalline $SnO₂$ coating on the surface of hollow silica colloidal template with different shapes and the sequential HF-dissolution of the silica. It is noted that the shapes of these $SnO₂$ nonspherical hollow structures are similar to those of colloidal templates. In virtue of hollow interior voids, the $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes exhibit improved lithium storage properties compared to $SnO₂$ solid spheres.

Introduction

Hollow micro-/nanostructures with controlled shape, size, shell composition, and internal structure have attracted fascinating interest owing to their potential applications in lithium batteries, gas sensors, solar cells, photocatalysis, catalysis, bimolecular-release systems, and so on. $1-6$ To satisfy the different requirements of such applications, hollow materials with varying sizes and shapes have been synthesized by a number of methods, such as electrospinning technique, hydrothermal methods,⁸⁻⁹ biomolecule-assisted route,¹⁰ softand hard-templating methods. $11-13$ Templated synthesis is a straightforward method for the shape control of materials, because the resultant shapes originating from the sacrificed templates are quite predictable.¹⁴ Recently, some nonspherical templates, such as $MnCO_3$ cubes, ¹⁵⁻¹⁶ Cu₂O cubes, ¹⁷ and Fe₂O₃ nanospindles,¹⁸ have been used to synthesize metal oxides with nonspherical hollow structures. Notwithstanding these advances, the shapes of the nonspherical hollow products are still difficult to control by conventional templating methods, because suitable templates with different shapes for the nonspherical hollow products are often not available.¹⁹ Thus, it remains a great challenge to develop new templating routes based on nonspherical templates with well-dispersion and diverse shapes for the shape-controlled synthesis of nonspherical hollow metal oxides.

Tin dioxide is one of the most intensively studied materials owing to its technologically important applications such as gas sensors,²⁰ dye-sensitized solar cells $(DSSCs)$,²¹ supercapacitors, 2^2 and anode materials in lithium rechargeable batteries.^{18,23} Recent researches on $SnO₂$ hollow spheres have indicated that hollow structures can improve the

electrochemical properties of anode materials for lithium batteries.²⁴ More recently, silica-coated $Fe₂O₃$ spindles have been used as a sacrificial template to fabricate hollow $SnO₂$ nanococoons with movable $Fe₂O₃$ cores via a facile solutionphase route.²⁵ In this approach, however, the employed ellipsoidal $Fe₂O₃/SiO₂$ templates show limited morphologies. It is noteworthy that only cocoon-shaped $SnO₂$ hollow structures can be prepared by using ellipsoidal templates in this method, and it is still difficult to obtain $SnO₂$ hollow structures with other shapes, such as peanut- and pseudocube-shape. Therefore, it is still a big challenge to explore the use of monodisperse silica particles with other different shapes as sacrificial templates for the shape-controlled synthesis of $SnO₂$ hollow structures. Moreover, to meet the ever-increasing technological demand, it is necessary to discuss the structure-property relationship of $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes for both fundamental study and practical application.

In our previous paper, $TiO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes have been fabricated by using α -Fe₂O₃ with different shapes as templates.²⁶ Recently, our group has reported a shape-controlled synthesis of various hollow silica colloids using hematite colloidal particles with different shapes as templates.²⁷ In this work, the as-prepared hollow silica colloids with different shapes, such as peanuts, capsules and pseudocubes, are used as effective sacrificial templates to synthesize $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different nonspherical shapes. As schematically illustrated in Fig. 1, polycrystalline $SnO₂$ is uniformly deposited onto silica hollow colloids with different nonspherical shapes to form double-shelled $silica@SnO₂$ hollow structures, and then the silica is etched by HF solution, which results in the formation of the $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different nonspherical shapes. It is noted that the shapes of these $SnO₂$ hollow structures are similar to those of hollow silica templates. In addition, the electrochemical properties of the obtained hollow $SnO₂$ structures with different nonspherical shapes have also been investigated. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on the synthesis of peanut-shaped SnO₂ hollow structures as well as lithium storage capability of $SnO₂$ nonspherical hollow structures with different shapes.

Fig. 1 Schematic procedure used for fabrication of SnO₂ nonspherical hollow structures with different shapes.

Experimental

Preparation of silica hollow colloids with different shapes

All the reagents were of analytical grade, and used without further purification. Silica hollow colloids with different shapes were prepared as described in our previous study.²⁷ The synthesis of hollow silica colloids with different shapes, including hollow peanuts, hollow capsules and hollow pseudocubes, was achieved by a solution process using the prefabricated hematite colloidal particles with different shapes as sacrificial templates. The synthesis of hematite colloidal particles with different shapes was achieved by a process based on a method developed by Sugimoto et al. 28 For a typical silica coating, hematite colloidal particles (0.6 g) with different shapes were first dispersed by ultrasonication in a mixture consisting of 100 mL of ethanol and 5 mL of deionized water, followed by the addition of $15mL$ of $NH₃ H₂O$ (28%). The mixture was poured into a 250 mL Pyrex bottle, which was then placed in an ultrasonic water bath under 50 °C. Then, 0.3 mL of Tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) was added. After aged for 5 h, the products were collected by filtration, washed three times with deionized water and ethanol before vacuum-drying at 80 ^oC for 10 h. The as-prepared hematite/silica core/shell particles were almost etched with HCl solution (4 M) at $100\degree\text{C}$ for 48 h to obtain hollow silica colloids without hematite cores.

Preparation of SnO² hollow structures with different shapes

For the synthesis of $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes, such as peanuts, capsules and pseudocubes, the procedure was similar except that the shapes of hollow silica colloids using as templates were different. Hollow silica colloids (1.0 g) were dispersed by ultrasonication in a mixture consisting 180 mL of ethanol, 18 mL of deionized water and 17 mL of ammonia (28 %), and aged for 12 h. After the products were collected by filtration, $SiO₂$ particles (0.12 g) were dispersed in 28 mL of ethanol/water mixed solvent (9 mL ethanol and 19 mL water). To this suspension, urea (0.90 g) and potassium stannate trihydrate $(0.123 \text{ g}, \text{Na}_2\text{SnO}_3 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O})$ were added. After shaken by hand for about 5 min until the salts dissolved, the suspension was transferred to a 50 mL Teflonlined stainless-steel autoclave, which was then heated in an air flow electric oven at 170 °C for 36 h. After the autoclave cooled down naturally, the particles were washed three times with deionized water and ethanol before vacuum-drying at 80 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$ for 10 h. After annealing the particles at 600 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$ for 8 h, the silica was dissolved in 0.6 wt% HF solution. At last, he products were collected by filtration, washed three times with deionized water and ethanol before vacuum-drying at 80 °C for 10 h.

Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the samples were recorded with X-ray powder diffraction (XRD, Bruker, D8 ADVANCE). The morphology and structure of the samples were further investigated by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, Hitachi, S-4800) with energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy, and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, FEI Tecnai F20, 200KV). The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) specific surface areas and pore size distributions of the resultant products were measured with a Quantachrome NOVA 1000e analyzer.

Electrochemical tests

Electrochemical experiments were performed using 2032-type coin cells assembled in an argon-filled glove box. The working electrodes were prepared by coating the slurry of the active material powders (70 wt%), acetylene black (15 wt%) and poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) (15 wt%) dissolved in nmethyl pyrrolidinone onto a Cu foil substrate. After drying under vacuum, the electrodes were cut to a 1×1 cm² size. Lithium foil was used as the counter electrode. The electrolyte was composed of $LipF_6$ (1 M) dissolved in ethylene carbonate/dimethyl carbonate /ethylene methyl carbonate (EC/DMC/EMC) with the volume ratio of 1:1:1. The cells were charged and discharged on a LAND CT2001A system in the range of 0.005 -2.5 V (vs. Li /Li⁺) at a current density of $100mA$ g^{-1} .

Results and discussion

The silica templates with different nonspherical shapes, such as peanuts, capsules and pseudocubes, were prepared as described in our previous study.²⁷ Fig. S1† shows FESEM and TEM **Journal Name ARTICLE**

images of the products, indicating that the silica hollow colloids with different nonspherical shapes are well dispersed and nearly uniform with sizes in the range of $1-2 \mu m$.

The gradual deposition of $SnO₂$ nanocrystallites on the outer surfaces of $SiO₂$ hollow colloids with different shapes led to the formation of double-shelled silica@SnO₂ hollow structures with different shapes (insets of Fig. S2†). The reaction mechanism had been reported in the reference.²¹ In the current hydrothermal synthesis at 170° C, urea reacted with H₂O to form $NH₃$ and $CO₂$ (equation (1)). Because of the affinity of $SnO₂$ to the –OH groups surrounding the surfaces of the silica colloids with different shapes, the released $CO₂$ would react with $H₂O$ to provide H^+ for the deposition of $SnO₂$ on the surface of silica colloids with different shapes (equation (2)) while the released $NH₃$ could provide a basic medium for the dissolution of silica (equation (3) and (4)). The element composition was further confirmed with energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy analysis under TEM. EDX spectra show strong Sn, Si and O signals (Fig. S2†).

$$
CO(NH_2)_2 + H_2O \to CO_2 + 2NH_3 \tag{1}
$$

$$
CO_2 + SnO_3^{2-} \to SnO_2 + CO_3^{2-}
$$
 (2)

$$
NH_3 + H_2O \leftrightarrow NH_4^+ + OH^-
$$
 (3)

$$
SiO2 + 2OH- \rightarrow SiO32- + H2O
$$
\n(4)

In order to further confirm the formation of double-shelled $silica@SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes, we investigated the selective removal of silica in HF solution. After the as-prepared double-shelled silica@SnO₂ hollow structures with different shapes were almost etched with HF solution, various $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes, such as peanuts, capsules and pseudocubes, were synthesized from double-shelled silica $@SnO₂$ structures with similar shapes. The shapes and sizes of the hollow $SnO₂$ were almost identical to those of the silica colloidal templates. In order to obtain more information on hollow $SnO₂$ with different shapes, the morphology and structure of hollow $SnO₂$ with different shapes were investigated by FESEM, TEM and XRD.

As shown in Fig. 2a, when peanut-shaped silica colloids are used as templates, the as-prepared sample is mainly composed of peanuts with lengths of about $2 \mu m$ (Fig. 2a). Fig. 2b shows the corresponding TEM images of the sample, which indicate that the boundary of the shell of the hollow peanuts is quite defined, and the thickness of the shell is 50-100 nm.

The use of the silica colloids as the templates allows for the shape control of the resultant $SnO₂$ hollow structures. For example, uniform and well-defined $SnO₂$ hollow capsules with length of about 2 μ m and width of about 1 μ m (Fig. 2c) can be obtained by replacing peanuts with capsules. The structure of the sample was further characterized by TEM. As shown in Fig.

2d, the edges and centers of the capsules show strong brightness contrast, confirming their hollow nature. Each capsule-shaped structure has a shell with the thickness of 50- 100 nm (Fig. 2d).

Such a novel template process can be extended to the synthesis of pseudocube-shaped hollow $SnO₂$. . The representative SEM patterns of the capsules shown in Fig. 2e clearly indicate that there exist a large number of pseudocubes with edge-length of about 0.8 μ m. The TEM images of the final product (Fig. 2f) show that the pseudocube is empty in the interior.

Fig. 2 FESEM and TEM images of SnO₂ hollow structures with different shapes: (a-b) peanuts; (c-d) capsules; and (e-f) pseudocubes.

The XRD patterns of the $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes are shown in Fig. 3a-c, which exhibit somewhat broadened diffraction peaks ascribed to the tetragonal rutile phase of $SnO₂$ (JCPDS card no. 41-1445, space group: P4₂/mnm, a₀= 4.738 Å, c₀= 3.187 Å),²⁹⁻³³ indicating that tetragonal rutile $SnO₂$ is the only crystalline phase existing in the obtained $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes. The element composition is further confirmed with energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy analysis under TEM. EDX spectra show strong Sn and O signals (Fig. S3†). It should be noted that a trivial Si signal is detected in all spectra, which probably arises from trace amount of tin silicate formed during

annealing.²³ The atomic ratio of Sn:Si for hollow peanuts, hollow capsules and hollow pseudocubes is $10.2: 1$, $10.8:1$ and 10.8:1 (Fig. S3†), respectively. It indicates that the weight percent of $SnO₂$ in the hollow peanuts, hollow capsules and hollow pseudocubes is 96.2%, 96.4% and 96.4%, respectively.

Fig. 3 XRD patterns of SnO₂ hollow structures with different shapes and solid spheres: (a) hollow peanuts, (b) hollow capsules, (c) hollow pseudocubes, (d) solid spheres

The controlled experiment confirms that the $SiO₂$ templates with different shapes play an important role in the formation of SnO² hollow structures with different shapes. Without the usage of $SiO₂$ templates, the resultant product is composed of heavily aggregated solid spheres with diameters of 300-400 nm (Fig. 4). As shown in Fig. 3d, all of the XRD peaks observed can be assigned to the single phase $SnO₂$ with tetragonal rutile phase (JCPDS card no. 41-1445),²⁹⁻³³ which are similar to those of $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes (Fig. 3a-c).

Fig. 4 (a) SEM and (b) TEM images of SnO₂ solid spheres.

The selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns of $SnO₂$ solid spheres and $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes exhibit three sharp diffraction rings corresponding to the (110) , (101) , and (221) crystalline plane of the rutile-type SnO₂, confirming the formation of polycrystalline $SnO₂$ solid spheres and $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes (Fig. S4 \dagger).²⁹⁻ 33 The high magnification TEM images reveal that $SnO₂$ solid spheres and $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes are composed of tiny nanocrystallites with sizes in the range of 4-5 nm (Fig. S4c, f, i and l†). The lattice fringes of $SnO₂$ solid spheres and $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes are

Fig. 5 (a) The first charge-discharge curves and (b) cyclic performances of $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes and $SnO₂$ solid spheres tested in the potential range of 0.005-2.0 V (vs. Li /Li⁺) at 100mA g^{-1} .

 $SnO₂$ is a very appealing candidate as a substitute for the conventional graphite-based anode in lithium-ion batteries because of its special attributes, including high theoretical capacity (782 mA h g^{-1}), nontoxicity, improved safety, and ready availability at low cost.^{23-24,29-33} In order to investigate the lithium storage performances of $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes, $SnO₂$ solid spheres and different shaped $SnO₂$ hollow structures were used as the anode materials for lithiumion batteries. Fig. 5a shows some representative dischargecharge curves of $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes, $SnO₂$ solid spheres at a current density of 100 mA $g⁻¹$ within a cutoff voltage window of 0.005 -2.0 V. The obtained SnO₂ hollow capsules, hollow peanuts and hollow pseudocubes have a surprisingly large initial discharge capacity of 1728, 1667, and 1649 mA h g^{-1} , which are much higher than that of SnO₂ solid spheres, which is 1409 mA h g^{-1} . It is believed that a high surface area of $SnO₂$ favours efficient contact between active materials and electrolytes thereby providing more active sites for the electrochemical reactions. 30-33 As shown in Fig. 6 and Table 1, the specific surface areas of $SnO₂$ hollow capsules, hollow peanuts, hollow pseudocubes and $SnO₂$ solid spheres **Journal Name ARTICLE**

are 243 m²·g⁻¹, 192 m²·g⁻¹, 171 m²·g⁻¹ and 89 m²·g⁻¹, respectively. The above results confirm that a decrease in specific surface area results in a decrease in the first discharge capacity. The $SnO₂$ hollow capsules, hollow peanuts, hollow pseudocubes and $SnO₂$ solid spheres are able to deliver subsequent charge capacity of 724.7, 665.4, 552.6 and 409.9 mA h g^{-1} , when charged to only 2.0 V, leading to initial irreversible losses of about 58.1%, 60.1%, 66.5 % and 70.9%, respectively. The large capacity loss in the initial cycle is mainly attributed to the initial irreversible formation of $Li₂O$, and other irreversible processes, such as trapping of some lithium in the lattice and inevitable formation of a solid electrolyte interface (SEI) layer, which are common for most anode materials.³⁰⁻³³

Fig. 5b shows the comparative cycling performance of $SnO₂$ hollow capsules, hollow peanuts, hollow pseudocubes and $SnO₂$ solid spheres with a voltage window of 0.005–2.0 V at a current rate of 100 mA h g^{-1} . After more than 60 cycles, the high reversible capacity for $SnO₂$ hollow capsules, hollow peanuts and hollow pseudocubes is still found to be 208, 182 and 174 mA h g^{-1} , respectively, while the corresponding value for $SnO₂$ solid spheres is only 102 mA h g⁻¹, because of its much faster capacity fading. In order to further confirm the above conclusion, the coulombic efficiency has been investigated. As shown in Fig. S5†, the coulombic efficiency of these $SnO₂$ hollow structures and solid spheres is in the range of 29 to 42% in the first cycle, which increases to 87-94% after 5 cycles and maintains in the range of 97 to 100% for the rest cycles.

In general, the lithium storage capability of $SnO₂$ should be closely related to its synthesis conditions, morphology, surface area, crystalline, and so on. $23-24,29-33$ It is evident that the SnO₂ hollow structures with different shapes exhibit improved lithium storage properties compared to $SnO₂$ solid spheres, with higher storage capability and enhanced cyclic capacity retention. It should be noted that the procedure for the synthesis of SnO² hollow particles with different shapes was similar to the preparation of $SnO₂$ solid spheres, except that different shaped $SiO₂$ templates were used. Therefore, we could deduce that the possible reason for the $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes to have improved lithium storage performance is the presence of large hollow interior voids in particles with different shapes. It appears that the formation of hollow structures is beneficial to the improved lithium storage capability of $SnO₂$ hollow materials due to the substantial advantages of the large electrode/electrolyte interface, shortened charge/Li⁺ diffusion length, and enhanced structural stability.²⁹⁻³³ Examination of the electrode (without any removing of carbon black or PVDF) after 60 cycles indicates that most of the $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes have expanded and some of them have collapsed with noticeable $SnO₂$ fragments (white arrows of Fig. S6†), and there have been still unbroken particles retained (black arrows of Fig. S6†).

Fig. 6 The nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherm of SnO₂ microscale hollow structures with different shapes and SnO₂ solid spheres.

Table 1 Electrochemical performances and specific surface areas of $SnO₂$ hollow particles and solid spheres

Sample	1st cycle	2nd cycle	60th cycle	specific
	discharge	discharge	discharge	surface
	capacity/m	capacity/mA	capacity/m	areas
	$A h g^{-1}$	$h g^{-1}$	$A h g^{-1}$	$/m2$ g-1
Hollow capsules	1728	833	208	243
Hollow peanuts	1667	740	182	192
Hollow	1649	639	174	171
pseudocubes				
Solid spheres	1409	504	102	89

Conclusions

In summary, uniform $SnO₂$ shells have been successfully deposited onto the surface of silica colloidal templates with different shapes to form double-shelled silica@SnO₂ hollow structures. After the silica is etched by HF solution, the $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes, uniform sizes and shells are obtained. Compared with the reported procedures for hollow particles with different shapes, $23,34-37$ the present approach has three main characteristics: (1) three different shapes of $SnO₂$ nonspherical hollow structures can be easily tailored by simply adjusting the shapes of silica colloidal templates; (2) no protective surfactant is used, so the asprepared SnO₂ hollow structures should have relatively clean surfaces, which are important in some application areas needing strict surface chemistry requirements, such as catalysis, electrochemistry, sensing, etc;^{23,30,38} (3) the as-obtained SnO₂ hollow structures with different shapes have uniform sizes and shapes. The controlled experiment confirms that the silica colloidal templates with different shapes play an important role in the formation of $SnO₂$ hollow structures with similar shapes. Without the usage of silica colloidal templates, the resultant products are composed of solid spheres. The $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes exhibit improved lithium storage properties compared to $SnO₂$ solid spheres, with higher storage capability and enhanced cyclic capacity retention. It indicates that the formation of hollow structures and high surface areas of samples are beneficial to the improved lithium

storage capability of $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes. The above-mentioned investigations bring new insights into the influence of the structure of metal oxides on their electrochemical properties.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Beijing Natural Science Foundation (No. 2152010) and the General Program of Science and Technology Development Project of Beijing Municipal Education Commission (No. KM201210028019).

Notes and references

Department of Chemistry, Capital Normal University, Beijing, 100048, China. E-mail: yongwang@home.ipe.ac.cn.

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: FESEM and TEM images of monodisperse $SiO₂$ hollow colloids with different shapes; EDX spectra and TEM images of double-shelled $SiO_2(a)SnO_2$ hollow structures with different shapes; EDX spectra and TEM images of $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes; TEM, SAED and HRTEM images of $SnO₂$ solid spheres and $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes; Coulombic efficiency of $SnO₂$ solid spheres and $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes; SEM images of $SnO₂$ hollow structures with different shapes after 60 cycles. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- 1 L. Cao, D. H. Chen and R. A. Caruso, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.,* 2013, **52**, 10986-10991.
- 2 F. Bötger-Hiller, P. Kempe, G. Cox, A. Panchenko, N. Janssen, A. Petzold, T. Thurn-Albrecht, L. Borchardt, M. Rose, S. Kaskel, C. Georgi, H. Lang and S. Spange, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.,* 2013, **52**, 6088-6091.
- 3 K. Miszta, R. Brescia, M. Prato, G. Bertoni, S. Marras, Y. Xie, S. Ghosh, M. R. Kim and L. Manna, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 9061-9069.
- 4 C. Li, B. Jiang, M. Imura, V. Malgras and Y. Yamauchi, *Chem. Commun*., 2014, **50**, 15337-15340.
- 5 S. Ching, D. A. Kriz, K. M. Luthy, E. C. Njagi and S. L. Suib, *Chem. Commun*., 2011, **47**, 8286-8288.
- 6 W. J. Cai, W. Q. Wang, Y. Q. Yang, G. H. Ren and T. Chen, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 2295-2299.
- 7 H. G. Wang, D. L. Ma, Y. Huang and X. B. Zhang, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 8987-8993.
- 8 C. W. Sun, S. Rajasekhara, J. B. Goodenough and F.Zhou, J*. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 2132-2135.
- 9 C. W. Sun, J. Sun, G. L. Xiao, H. R. Zhang, X. P. Qiu, H. Li and L. Q. Chen, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 13445-13452.
- 10 G. Chen, W. Ma, X. H. Liu, S. Q. Liang, G. Z. Qiu and R. Z. Ma, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 3544-3547.
- 11 L. T. Lu, L. D. Tung, J. Long, D. G. Fernig and N. T. K. Thanh, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2009, **19**, 6023–6028.
- 12 V. Kozlovskaya, W. Higgins, J. Chen and E. Kharlampieva, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 8352–8354.
- 13 N. C. Strandwitz, S. Shaner and G. D. Stucky, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, **21**, 10672–10675.
- 14 N. Kang, J. H. Park, M.S. Jin, N. Park, S. M. Lee, H. J. Kim, J. M. Kim and S. U. So, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 19115-19118.
- 15 H. B. Lin, H. B. Rong, W. Z. Huang, Y. H. Liao, L. D. Xing, M. Q. Xu, X. P. Li and W. S. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 14189–14194.
- 16 L. Zhou, D. Y. Zhao and X. W. Lou, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 239-241.
- 17 Z. Y. Wang, D. Y. Luan, F. Y. C. Boey and X. W. Lou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 4738–4741.
- 18 Q. J. Yu, W. Q. Wang, H. X. Wang, Y. W. Huang, J. Z. Wang, S. Y. Gao, F. Y. Guo, X. T. Zhang, H. Gao, X. Z. Wang and C. L. Yu, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 2586–2591.
- 19 X. F. Yang, J. X. Fu, C. J. Jin, J. Chen, C. L. Liang, M. M. Wu and W. Z. Zhou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 14279-14287.
- 20 J. Zhang, J. Guo, H. Y. Xu and B. Q. Cao, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces,* 2013, **5**, 7893−7898.
- 21 Z.H. Dong, H. Ren, C. M. Hessel, J. Y. Wang, R. B. Yu, Q. Jin, M. Yang, Z. D. Hu, Y. F. Chen, Z. Y. Tang, H. J. Zhao and D. Wang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 905-909.
- 22 Y. Liu, Y. Jiao, Z. L. Zhang, F. Y. Qu, A. Umar and X. Wu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 2174−2184.
- 23 J. F. Ye, H. J. Zhang, R. Yang, X. G. Li and L. M. Qi, *small*, 2010, **6**, 296-306.
- 24 W. S. Kim, Y. Hwa, J. H. Jeun, H. J. Sohn and S. H. Hong, *J. Power Sources*, 2013, **225**, 108-112.
- 25 X. W. Lou, C. L. Yuan and L. A. Archer, *Adv. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 3328-3332.
- 26 Y. Wang, X. W. Su and S. Lu, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 1969-1976.
- 27 Y. Wang, X. W. Su, P. S. Ding, S. Lu and H. P. Yu, *Langmuir*, 2013, **29**, 11575-11581.
- 28 T. Sugimoto, M. M. khan, A. Muramatsu and H. Itoh, *Collids Surfaces A*, 1993, **79**, 233-247.
- 29 S. J. Ding and X. W. Lou, *Nanoscale*, 2011, **3**, 3586-3588.
- 30 H. K. Wang, F. Fu, F. H. Zhang, H. E. Wang, S. V. Kershaw, J. Q. Xu, S. G. Sun and A. L. Rogach, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 2140- 2148.
- 31 Y. L. Ding, Y. R. Wen, P. A. van Aken, J. Maier and Y. Yu, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 11411-11418.
- 32 X. M. Yin, C. C. Li, M. Zhang, Q. Y. Hao, S. Liu, L. B. Chen and T. H. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 8084-8088.
- 33 A. Bhaskar, M. Deepa and T. N. Rao, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 10762- 10771.
- 34 H. M. Fan, G. J. You, Y. Li, Z. Zheng, H. R. Tan, Z. X. Shen, S. H. Tang and Y. P. Feng, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2009, **113**, 9928-9935.
- 35 J. Chen, X. Wu, X. D. Hou, X. G. Su, Q. L. Chu, N. Fahruddin and J. X. J. Zhao, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces,* 2014, **6**, 21921-21930.
- 36 D. Chen and J. H. Ye, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2008, **18**, 1922-1928.
- 37 Y. H. Deng, H. Tüysüz, J. Henzie and P. D. Yang, *small*, 2011, **7**, 2037-2040.
- 38 Q. Y. Yu, P. P. Wang, S. Hu, J. F. Hui, J. Zhuang and X. Wang, *Langmuir,* 2011, 27, 7185-7191.

Shape-controlled synthesis and lithium storage properties of SnO2 nonspherical hollow structures

Yong Wang,* Panshuang Ding and Xiaowen Su

SnO2 hollow structures, such as peanuts, capsules and pseudocubes, have been controlled-synthesized by using $SiO₂$ colloids with different shapes as templates, and show enhanced lithium storage performances.

